Course-Section: AMST 100 01

Title: Intro. to American Studi

Instructor: Campbell, Duncan

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 60

| | • | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In: | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|----|------|-----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 3.96 | 1138/1449 | 3.89 | 4.45 | 4.33 | 4.14 | 3.96 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 4.08 | 1017/1446 | 4.20 | 4.52 | 4.29 | 4.20 | 4.08 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 4.44 | 594/1256 | 4.46 | 4.66 | 4.34 | 4.21 | 4.44 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 14 | 4.19 | 868/1402 | 4.26 | 4.59 | 4.27 | 4.10 | 4.19 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 16 | 4.35 | 540/1358 | 4.33 | 4.46 | 4.13 | 4.04 | 4.35 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 3.81 | 992/1327 | 3.92 | 4.48 | 4.16 | 3.92 | 3.81 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 17 | 4.46 | 532/1435 | 4.37 | 4.39 | 4.20 | 4.11 | 4.46 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 4.08 | 1324/1446 | 4.23 | 4.62 | 4.67 | 4.57 | 4.08 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 9 | 4.13 | 769/1437 | 4.03 | 4.33 | 4.12 | 4.04 | 4.13 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 4.28 | 1030/1386 | 4.37 | 4.69 | 4.48 | 4.40 | 4.28 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 4.38 | 1230/1390 | 4.45 | 4.81 | 4.74 | 4.67 | 4.38 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 4.23 | 919/1379 | 4.29 | 4.64 | 4.34 | 4.28 | 4.23 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 14 | 4.15 | 977/1379 | 4.21 | 4.65 | 4.36 | 4.26 | 4.15 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 1 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 3.80 | 882/1236 | 4.02 | 4.33 | 4.08 | 3.93 | 3.80 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3.50 | 931/1121 | 3.77 | 4.57 | 4.18 | 3.89 | 3.50 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 4.25 | 746/1122 | 4.44 | 4.74 | 4.36 | 4.09 | 4.25 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 4.92 | 190/1121 | 4.75 | 4.78 | 4.40 | 4.08 | 4.92 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 15 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3.30 | 688/790 | 3.54 | 4.30 | 4.06 | 3.89 | 3.30 |

| Course-S | Section: | AMST 100 01 | l | | | | Term | ո - Sprin | g 20 |)11 | | | | | | Enro | <mark>Ilment:</mark> | 60 |
|------------|----------|--------------|-------|----------|-------|-----|--------|------------|-------|------|----|------|-----------------|-----------|---------|----------|----------------------|------|
| | Title: | Intro. to Am | erica | n Studi | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 27 |
| Ins | tructor: | Campbell, Du | ıncan | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Frequ | uenc | ies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | | Questions | | | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Fre | eque | ncy Di | stri | buti | on | | | | | | | |
| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | | Expected | Grade | es | | Rea | son | S | | | Туре | : | | Maj | jors | |
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 15 | | Requ | ired for N | Major | s | 4 | | Graduate | 0 | | Major | | 2 |
| 28-55 | 5 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 1 | 2.00-2.99 | 2 | С | 0 | | Gene | eral | | | 17 | | Under-grad | 27 | | Non-ma | ajor | 25 |
| 84-150 | 3 | 3.00-3.49 | 2 | D | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 5 | F | 0 | | Electi | ives | | | 2 | | **** - Means | there are | not end | ough res | ponses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | | | | | | to be significa | ant | | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | | Othe | r | | | 0 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Course-Section: AMST 100 02

Title: Intro. to American Studi

Instructor: Hummel, Michael

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 40

| That deter. Hammer, when det | _ | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|----|------|-----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 11 | 7 | 3.83 | 1227/1449 | 3.89 | 4.45 | 4.33 | 4.14 | 3.83 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 13 | 4.31 | 797/1446 | 4.20 | 4.52 | 4.29 | 4.20 | 4.31 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 18 | 4.48 | 544/1256 | 4.46 | 4.66 | 4.34 | 4.21 | 4.48 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 15 | 4.32 | 743/1402 | 4.26 | 4.59 | 4.27 | 4.10 | 4.32 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 15 | 4.32 | 559/1358 | 4.33 | 4.46 | 4.13 | 4.04 | 4.32 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 11 | 4.04 | 830/1327 | 3.92 | 4.48 | 4.16 | 3.92 | 4.04 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 16 | 4.28 | 749/1435 | 4.37 | 4.39 | 4.20 | 4.11 | 4.28 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 11 | 4.38 | 1119/1446 | 4.23 | 4.62 | 4.67 | 4.57 | 4.38 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 3 | 3.92 | 986/1437 | 4.03 | 4.33 | 4.12 | 4.04 | 3.92 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 16 | 4.46 | 853/1386 | 4.37 | 4.69 | 4.48 | 4.40 | 4.46 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 19 | 4.52 | 1152/1390 | 4.45 | 4.81 | 4.74 | 4.67 | 4.52 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 15 | 4.34 | 823/1379 | 4.29 | 4.64 | 4.34 | 4.28 | 4.34 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 14 | 4.28 | 883/1379 | 4.21 | 4.65 | 4.36 | 4.26 | 4.28 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 14 | 4.23 | 568/1236 | 4.02 | 4.33 | 4.08 | 3.93 | 4.23 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 4.05 | 716/1121 | 3.77 | 4.57 | 4.18 | 3.89 | 4.05 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 15 | 4.64 | 429/1122 | 4.44 | 4.74 | 4.36 | 4.09 | 4.64 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 16 | 4.59 | 530/1121 | 4.75 | 4.78 | 4.40 | 4.08 | 4.59 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 7 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 3.79 | 551/790 | 3.54 | 4.30 | 4.06 | 3.89 | 3.79 |

Course-Section: AMST 100 02

Title: Intro. to American Studi

Instructor: Hummel, Michael

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 40

| | _ | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4.33 | ****/200 | **** | **** | 4.28 | 4.19 | **** |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4.33 | ****/205 | **** | **** | 4.29 | 4.37 | **** |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4.33 | ****/201 | **** | **** | 4.51 | 4.57 | **** |
| 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4.00 | ****/202 | **** | **** | 4.42 | 4.55 | **** |
| 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5.00 | ****/196 | **** | **** | 4.25 | 4.42 | **** |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4.67 | ****/67 | **** | 5.00 | 4.58 | 4.48 | **** |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5.00 | ****/66 | **** | 5.00 | 4.36 | 4.35 | **** |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4.00 | ****/64 | **** | 5.00 | 4.25 | 4.01 | **** |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4.67 | ****/75 | **** | 5.00 | 4.32 | 3.95 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4.67 | ****/73 | **** | 5.00 | 4.00 | 3.44 | **** |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4.67 | ****/34 | **** | **** | 4.33 | 2.63 | **** |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4.67 | ****/35 | *** | **** | 4.15 | 5.00 | **** |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4.33 | ****/30 | *** | **** | 4.09 | 5.00 | **** |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4.33 | ****/30 | *** | **** | 4.04 | 4.75 | **** |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4.67 | ****/27 | *** | **** | 4.13 | **** | *** |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5.00 | ****/31 | *** | **** | 4.34 | 4.82 | *** |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4.67 | ****/18 | *** | **** | 4.13 | 4.88 | *** |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4.67 | ****/24 | *** | **** | 4.34 | 4.64 | **** |

Course-Section: AMST 100 02

Title: Intro. to American Studi

Instructor: Hummel, Michael

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 40

Questionnaires: 29

| | | | | Fre | quend | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|--|----|----|---|-----|-------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4.33 | ****/15 | **** | **** | 4.18 | 4.50 | **** |
| 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4.67 | ****/13 | *** | **** | 4.07 | 4.63 | **** |

| Credits E | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | l Grades | Reasons | | Type | | Majors | |
|-----------|-------|-----------|---|----------|----------|---------------------|----|------------------|-------------|------------------|----|
| 00-27 | 2 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 15 | Required for Majors | 4 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 1 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 1 | В | 10 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 2 | 2.00-2.99 | 2 | С | 0 | General | 14 | Under-grad | 29 | Non-major | 28 |
| 84-150 | 6 | 3.00-3.49 | 5 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 4 | F | 0 | Electives | 5 | **** - Means the | ere are not | enough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significan | t | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 4 | | | | | | |

Course-Section: AMST 200 01

Title: Multicultural America

Instructor: Muller, Christin

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 42

Questionnaires: 24

| | _ | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|----|------|-----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 3.96 | 1146/1449 | 4.12 | 4.45 | 4.33 | 4.32 | 3.96 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 14 | 4.33 | 776/1446 | 4.45 | 4.52 | 4.29 | 4.27 | 4.33 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 4.30 | 749/1256 | 4.37 | 4.66 | 4.34 | 4.36 | 4.30 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 4.05 | 989/1402 | 4.18 | 4.59 | 4.27 | 4.28 | 4.05 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 3.88 | 962/1358 | 4.18 | 4.46 | 4.13 | 4.13 | 3.88 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 3.78 | 1007/1327 | 4.02 | 4.48 | 4.16 | 4.12 | 3.78 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 4.17 | 858/1435 | 4.34 | 4.39 | 4.20 | 4.17 | 4.17 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 2 | 4.04 | 1339/1446 | 4.20 | 4.62 | 4.67 | 4.63 | 4.04 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 3.73 | 1138/1437 | 3.80 | 4.33 | 4.12 | 4.10 | 3.73 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 4.41 | 929/1386 | 4.52 | 4.69 | 4.48 | 4.46 | 4.41 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 18 | 4.77 | 838/1390 | 4.75 | 4.81 | 4.74 | 4.76 | 4.77 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 4.41 | 770/1379 | 4.48 | 4.64 | 4.34 | 4.31 | 4.41 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 16 | 4.41 | 786/1379 | 4.52 | 4.65 | 4.36 | 4.37 | 4.41 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 2 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3.22 | 1113/1236 | 3.57 | 4.33 | 4.08 | 4.16 | 3.22 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 4.59 | 347/1121 | 4.58 | 4.57 | 4.18 | 4.11 | 4.59 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 13 | 4.71 | 370/1122 | 4.62 | 4.74 | 4.36 | 4.34 | 4.71 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 4.76 | 372/1121 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.40 | 4.39 | 4.76 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 7 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3.40 | ****/790 | 4.11 | 4.30 | 4.06 | 4.01 | **** |

| | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|----------|--------------|--------|----------|-------|-----|--------|------------|-------|------|----|------|-----------------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|------|
| Course-S | Section: | AMST 200 0 | 1 | | | | Term | ı - Sprin | ig 20 | 011 | | | | | | Enro | Ilment: | 42 |
| | Title: | Multicultura | al Ame | erica | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 24 |
| Ins | tructor: | Muller,Chris | tin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | _ | | | Frequ | uenc | ies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | | Questions | | | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Fre | eque | ncy Di | stri | buti | on | | | | | | | |
| Credits E | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grade | es | | Rea | ason | ıS | | | Турє | ; | | Maj | jors | |
| 00-27 | 2 | 0.00-0.99 | 1 | Α | 11 | | Requ | ired for N | Majo | rs | 8 | | Graduate | 0 | | Major | | 4 |
| 28-55 | 6 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 1 | С | 3 | | Gene | ral | | | 9 | | Under-grad | 24 | | Non-ma | ajor | 20 |
| 84-150 | 3 | 3.00-3.49 | 2 | D | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 7 | F | 0 | | Electi | ves | | | 3 | | **** - Means | there are | not end | ough res | ponses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | | | | | | to be significa | ant | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | 0 | | Other | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Course-Section: AMST 200 02

Title: Multicultural America

Instructor: Muller, Christin

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 39

| manor join istin | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | | | 0 |
|---|----|----|---|---|------|------|----|------|-----------|--------|------|------|------|------|
| | | | | | quen | cies | | | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 14 | 4.28 | 877/1449 | 4.12 | 4.45 | 4.33 | 4.32 | 4.28 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 18 | 4.56 | 492/1446 | 4.45 | 4.52 | 4.29 | 4.27 | 4.56 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 17 | 4.44 | 594/1256 | 4.37 | 4.66 | 4.34 | 4.36 | 4.44 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 14 | 4.30 | 762/1402 | 4.18 | 4.59 | 4.27 | 4.28 | 4.30 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 16 | 4.48 | 393/1358 | 4.18 | 4.46 | 4.13 | 4.13 | 4.48 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 12 | 4.26 | 654/1327 | 4.02 | 4.48 | 4.16 | 4.12 | 4.26 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 17 | 4.52 | 459/1435 | 4.34 | 4.39 | 4.20 | 4.17 | 4.52 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 10 | 4.36 | 1127/1446 | 4.20 | 4.62 | 4.67 | 4.63 | 4.36 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 3.86 | 1042/1437 | 3.80 | 4.33 | 4.12 | 4.10 | 3.86 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 19 | 4.64 | 645/1386 | 4.52 | 4.69 | 4.48 | 4.46 | 4.64 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 21 | 4.72 | 923/1390 | 4.75 | 4.81 | 4.74 | 4.76 | 4.72 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 16 | 4.54 | 588/1379 | 4.48 | 4.64 | 4.34 | 4.31 | 4.54 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 17 | 4.64 | 531/1379 | 4.52 | 4.65 | 4.36 | 4.37 | 4.64 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 3 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 3.92 | 811/1236 | 3.57 | 4.33 | 4.08 | 4.16 | 3.92 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 4.57 | 353/1121 | 4.58 | 4.57 | 4.18 | 4.11 | 4.57 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 4.54 | 512/1122 | 4.62 | 4.74 | 4.36 | 4.34 | 4.54 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 4.79 | 350/1121 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.40 | 4.39 | 4.79 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 12 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 4.11 | 404/790 | 4.11 | 4.30 | 4.06 | 4.01 | 4.11 |

Course-Section: AMST 200 02

Title: Multicultural America

Instructor: Muller, Christin

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 39

Questionnaires: 26

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/200 | *** | **** | 4.28 | 4.35 | **** |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/205 | *** | **** | 4.29 | 4.10 | **** |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/75 | *** | 5.00 | 4.32 | 4.48 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/73 | *** | 5.00 | 4.00 | 4.04 | **** |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.00 | ****/34 | *** | **** | 4.33 | 3.66 | **** |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.00 | ****/35 | *** | **** | 4.15 | 3.19 | **** |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/30 | *** | **** | 4.09 | 3.74 | **** |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/27 | *** | **** | 4.13 | 3.33 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/31 | *** | **** | 4.34 | 2.63 | *** |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | l Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|----------|---------------------|----|------------------|-------------|------------------|----|
| 00-27 | 6 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 10 | Required for Majors | 3 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 1 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 8 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 1 | С | 2 | General | 12 | Under-grad | 26 | Non-major | 26 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 2 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 5 | F | 0 | Electives | 5 | **** - Means the | ere are not | enough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significan | t | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 1 | | | | |

| Course-Section: | AMST 200 02 | | | Term | ı - Spr | ing 2 | 011 | | | | | | Enro | <mark>Ilment:</mark> | 39 |
|-----------------|-----------------------|----|----|------|---------|-------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|----------------------|------|
| Title: | Multicultural America | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 26 |
| Instructor: | Muller,Christin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| : | Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Run Date: 7/14/2011 10:27:27 AM

Course-Section: AMST 300 01

Title: Approach In Amer Studies

Instructor: Bryan, Kathy S

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 13

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|----|------|-----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 4.62 | 446/1449 | 4.62 | 4.45 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 4.62 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 4.69 | 311/1446 | 4.69 | 4.52 | 4.29 | 4.33 | 4.69 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.00 | ****/1256 | *** | 4.66 | 4.34 | 4.39 | **** |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 4.85 | 150/1402 | 4.85 | 4.59 | 4.27 | 4.37 | 4.85 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 4.77 | 156/1358 | 4.77 | 4.46 | 4.13 | 4.14 | 4.77 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 4.85 | 122/1327 | 4.85 | 4.48 | 4.16 | 4.23 | 4.85 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 4.69 | 279/1435 | 4.69 | 4.39 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.69 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 4.85 | 647/1446 | 4.85 | 4.62 | 4.67 | 4.68 | 4.85 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 4.75 | 155/1437 | 4.75 | 4.33 | 4.12 | 4.14 | 4.75 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 4.69 | 568/1386 | 4.69 | 4.69 | 4.48 | 4.53 | 4.69 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 5.00 | 1/1390 | 5.00 | 4.81 | 4.74 | 4.76 | 5.00 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 4.69 | 397/1379 | 4.69 | 4.64 | 4.34 | 4.38 | 4.69 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4.77 | 370/1379 | 4.77 | 4.65 | 4.36 | 4.40 | 4.77 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3.55 | 999/1236 | 3.55 | 4.33 | 4.08 | 4.18 | 3.55 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 4.82 | 159/1121 | 4.82 | 4.57 | 4.18 | 4.31 | 4.82 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 5.00 | 1/1122 | 5.00 | 4.74 | 4.36 | 4.46 | 5.00 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 5.00 | 1/1121 | 5.00 | 4.78 | 4.40 | 4.53 | 5.00 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 4.50 | 200/790 | 4.50 | 4.30 | 4.06 | 4.11 | 4.50 |

| Course-S | Section: | AMST 300 0 | 1 | | | | Term | n - Spring | 2011 | | | | | | Enro | <mark>Ilment:</mark> | 14 |
|------------|----------|-------------|-----|-----------|-------|-----|--------|-------------|--------|-----|------|-----------------|-----------|---------|----------|----------------------|------|
| | Title: | Approach In | Ame | r Studies | | | | | | _ | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 13 |
| Ins | tructor: | Bryan,Kathy | S | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Freque | ncies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | | Questions | | | NR | NA | 1 | 2 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Fre | eque | ncy Dis | tribut | ion | | | | | | | |
| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | | Expected | Grade | es | | Reas | ons | | | Турє |) | | Ma | jors | |
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 3 | | Requ | ired for Ma | ajors | 12 | 2 | Graduate | 0 | | Major | | 12 |
| 28-55 | 2 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 2 | 2.00-2.99 | 2 | С | 1 | | Gene | ral | | 1 | I | Under-grad | 13 | | Non-ma | ajor | 1 |
| 84-150 | 2 | 3.00-3.49 | 2 | D | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 2 | F | 0 | | Electi | ves | | (|) | **** - Means | there are | not end | ough res | ponses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | | | | | to be significa | ant | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | 0 | | Other | | | (|) | | | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Course-Section: AMST 304 01

Title: Community Research

Instructor: Hanlon, Bernadet

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 23

| mistractor. Harnon, bernadet | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|----|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | | | | |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 4.44 | 691/1449 | 4.44 | 4.45 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 4.44 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 4.69 | 325/1446 | 4.69 | 4.52 | 4.29 | 4.33 | 4.69 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 5.00 | 1/1256 | 5.00 | 4.66 | 4.34 | 4.39 | 5.00 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 4.92 | 86/1402 | 4.92 | 4.59 | 4.27 | 4.37 | 4.92 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 4.25 | 628/1358 | 4.25 | 4.46 | 4.13 | 4.14 | 4.25 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 4.25 | 662/1327 | 4.25 | 4.48 | 4.16 | 4.23 | 4.25 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 4.31 | 709/1435 | 4.31 | 4.39 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.31 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 4.75 | 788/1446 | 4.75 | 4.62 | 4.67 | 4.68 | 4.75 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 4.44 | 427/1437 | 4.44 | 4.33 | 4.12 | 4.14 | 4.44 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 4.53 | 774/1386 | 4.53 | 4.69 | 4.48 | 4.53 | 4.53 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 4.73 | 906/1390 | 4.73 | 4.81 | 4.74 | 4.76 | 4.73 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 4.60 | 518/1379 | 4.60 | 4.64 | 4.34 | 4.38 | 4.60 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 4.64 | 531/1379 | 4.64 | 4.65 | 4.36 | 4.40 | 4.64 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 4.47 | 373/1236 | 4.47 | 4.33 | 4.08 | 4.18 | 4.47 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 4.50 | 396/1121 | 4.50 | 4.57 | 4.18 | 4.31 | 4.50 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 4.83 | 243/1122 | 4.83 | 4.74 | 4.36 | 4.46 | 4.83 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 5.00 | 1/1121 | 5.00 | 4.78 | 4.40 | 4.53 | 5.00 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 4.42 | 253/790 | 4.42 | 4.30 | 4.06 | 4.11 | 4.42 |

| | | | | | _ | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
|-----------|----------|-------------|-------|----------|-------|----|--------|-------------|-------|-----|------|-----------------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|------|
| Course-S | Section: | AMST 304 0 | 1 | | | | Term | n - Spring | 2011 | | | | | | Enro | Ilment: | 23 |
| | Title: | Community | Resea | arch | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 16 |
| Ins | tructor: | Hanlon,Berr | nadet | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Freque | ncies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | | Questions | | | NR | NA | 1 | 2 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Fr | eque | ncy Dist | ribut | ion | | | | | | | |
| Credits E | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grade | es | | Reas | ons | | | Турє |) | | Ma | jors | |
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 12 | | Requ | ired for Ma | jors | 8 | } | Graduate | 0 | | Major | | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 3 | 2.00-2.99 | 2 | С | 0 | | Gene | ral | | C |) | Under-grad | 16 | | Non-ma | ajor | 16 |
| 84-150 | 4 | 3.00-3.49 | 4 | D | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 3 | F | 0 | | Electi | ives | | 4 | | **** - Means | there are | not end | ough res | ponses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | | | | | to be significa | ant | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | 0 | | Other | r | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 2 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Course-Section: AMST 310 01

Title: Gender And Inequality

Instructor: Taylor, Dabrina

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 40

| <u> </u> | • | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|----|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 15 | 4.62 | 446/1449 | 4.62 | 4.45 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 4.62 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 4.59 | 453/1446 | 4.59 | 4.52 | 4.29 | 4.33 | 4.59 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 4.64 | 400/1256 | 4.64 | 4.66 | 4.34 | 4.39 | 4.64 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 4.79 | 201/1402 | 4.79 | 4.59 | 4.27 | 4.37 | 4.79 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 4.73 | 184/1358 | 4.73 | 4.46 | 4.13 | 4.14 | 4.73 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 4.71 | 209/1327 | 4.71 | 4.48 | 4.16 | 4.23 | 4.71 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 16 | 4.64 | 347/1435 | 4.64 | 4.39 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.64 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 4.82 | 707/1446 | 4.82 | 4.62 | 4.67 | 4.68 | 4.82 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 4.00 | 868/1437 | 4.00 | 4.33 | 4.12 | 4.14 | 4.00 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 4.73 | 516/1386 | 4.73 | 4.69 | 4.48 | 4.53 | 4.73 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 4.86 | 633/1390 | 4.86 | 4.81 | 4.74 | 4.76 | 4.86 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 4.90 | 127/1379 | 4.90 | 4.64 | 4.34 | 4.38 | 4.90 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 17 | 4.73 | 430/1379 | 4.73 | 4.65 | 4.36 | 4.40 | 4.73 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 16 | 4.80 | 127/1236 | 4.80 | 4.33 | 4.08 | 4.18 | 4.80 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 4.92 | 91/1121 | 4.92 | 4.57 | 4.18 | 4.31 | 4.92 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 5.00 | 1/1122 | 5.00 | 4.74 | 4.36 | 4.46 | 5.00 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 5.00 | 1/1121 | 5.00 | 4.78 | 4.40 | 4.53 | 5.00 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 4.56 | 179/790 | 4.56 | 4.30 | 4.06 | 4.11 | 4.56 |

Course-Section: AMST 310 01

Title: Gender And Inequality

Instructor: Taylor, Dabrina

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 40

Questionnaires: 22

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/205 | **** | **** | 4.29 | 4.44 | **** |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/75 | **** | 5.00 | 4.32 | 4.80 | **** |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 21 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | ****/34 | **** | **** | 4.33 | 4.87 | **** |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/35 | **** | *** | 4.15 | 4.66 | **** |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/30 | **** | *** | 4.09 | 4.61 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 21 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | ****/31 | **** | *** | 4.34 | 4.38 | **** |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|------------------|-------------|------------------|----|
| 00-27 | 1 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 6 | Required for Majors | 6 | Graduate | 1 | Major | 1 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 6 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 5 | 2.00-2.99 | 2 | С | 2 | General | 2 | Under-grad | 21 | Non-major | 21 |
| 84-150 | 6 | 3.00-3.49 | 5 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 1 | 3.50-4.00 | 5 | F | 0 | Electives | 5 | **** - Means the | ere are not | enough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significan | t | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 7 | | | | | | |

Course-Section: AMST 320 01

Title: Tv In American Culture

Instructor: Hummel, Michael

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 40

| mistractor. | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Įn: | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|----|------|-----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | J | | | |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 19 | 4.60 | 460/1449 | 4.60 | 4.45 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 4.60 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 4.83 | 158/1446 | 4.83 | 4.52 | 4.29 | 4.33 | 4.83 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 24 | 4.76 | 269/1256 | 4.76 | 4.66 | 4.34 | 4.39 | 4.76 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 23 | 4.73 | 258/1402 | 4.73 | 4.59 | 4.27 | 4.37 | 4.73 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 15 | 4.17 | 717/1358 | 4.17 | 4.46 | 4.13 | 4.14 | 4.17 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 21 | 4.63 | 281/1327 | 4.63 | 4.48 | 4.16 | 4.23 | 4.63 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 19 | 4.43 | 572/1435 | 4.43 | 4.39 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.43 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 12 | 4.41 | 1087/1446 | 4.41 | 4.62 | 4.67 | 4.68 | 4.41 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 4.44 | 427/1437 | 4.44 | 4.33 | 4.12 | 4.14 | 4.44 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 26 | 4.90 | 220/1386 | 4.90 | 4.69 | 4.48 | 4.53 | 4.90 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 25 | 4.86 | 633/1390 | 4.86 | 4.81 | 4.74 | 4.76 | 4.86 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 25 | 4.86 | 175/1379 | 4.86 | 4.64 | 4.34 | 4.38 | 4.86 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 27 | 4.90 | 183/1379 | 4.90 | 4.65 | 4.36 | 4.40 | 4.90 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 27 | 4.93 | 54/1236 | 4.93 | 4.33 | 4.08 | 4.18 | 4.93 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 13 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 4.22 | 624/1121 | 4.22 | 4.57 | 4.18 | 4.31 | 4.22 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 4.65 | 421/1122 | 4.65 | 4.74 | 4.36 | 4.46 | 4.65 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 4.76 | 372/1121 | 4.76 | 4.78 | 4.40 | 4.53 | 4.76 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 14 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 4.23 | 341/790 | 4.23 | 4.30 | 4.06 | 4.11 | 4.23 |

Course-Section: AMST 320 01

Title: Tv In American Culture

Instructor: Hummel, Michael

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 40

| | l | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/200 | **** | **** | 4.28 | 4.44 | **** |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/205 | *** | **** | 4.29 | 4.44 | **** |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/201 | *** | **** | 4.51 | 4.59 | **** |
| 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/202 | *** | **** | 4.42 | 4.48 | **** |
| 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/196 | *** | **** | 4.25 | 4.37 | **** |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/67 | **** | 5.00 | 4.58 | 5.00 | **** |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/66 | **** | 5.00 | 4.36 | 4.70 | **** |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/64 | **** | 5.00 | 4.25 | 4.48 | **** |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/75 | **** | 5.00 | 4.32 | 4.80 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/73 | **** | 5.00 | 4.00 | 4.64 | **** |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/34 | **** | **** | 4.33 | 4.87 | **** |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/35 | *** | **** | 4.15 | 4.66 | **** |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/30 | *** | **** | 4.09 | 4.61 | **** |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/30 | *** | **** | 4.04 | 4.49 | **** |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/27 | **** | **** | 4.13 | 4.33 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/31 | **** | **** | 4.34 | 4.38 | **** |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/18 | **** | **** | 4.13 | **** | **** |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/24 | **** | **** | 4.34 | 5.00 | **** |

Course-Section: AMST 320 01

Title: Tv In American Culture

Instructor: Hummel, Michael

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 40

Questionnaires: 31

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|--|----|----|---|-----|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/15 | **** | **** | 4.18 | 5.00 | **** |
| 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/13 | *** | *** | 4.07 | 5.00 | **** |

| | | | | | | 1 3 | | | | | |
|---------|----------|-----------|---|----------|----------|---------------------|----|------------------|-------------|------------------|----|
| Credits | s Earned | Cum. GP/ | 4 | Expected | d Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 1 | Α | 21 | Required for Majors | 3 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 1 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 6 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 6 | С | 1 | General | 10 | Under-grad | 31 | Non-major | 30 |
| 84-150 | 15 | 3.00-3.49 | 6 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 7 | F | 0 | Electives | 12 | **** - Means the | ere are not | enough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significan | t | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 2 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 3 | | | | | | |

Course-Section: AMST 325 01

Title: Studies In Popular Cult
Instructor: King, Paula Nico

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 41

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In: | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|----|------|-----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 4.25 | 903/1449 | 4.24 | 4.45 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 4.25 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 20 | 4.54 | 531/1446 | 4.49 | 4.52 | 4.29 | 4.33 | 4.54 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 19 | 4.67 | 367/1256 | 4.56 | 4.66 | 4.34 | 4.39 | 4.67 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 4.54 | 480/1402 | 4.63 | 4.59 | 4.27 | 4.37 | 4.54 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 19 | 4.65 | 241/1358 | 4.47 | 4.46 | 4.13 | 4.14 | 4.65 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 15 | 4.50 | 404/1327 | 4.49 | 4.48 | 4.16 | 4.23 | 4.50 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 20 | 4.59 | 391/1435 | 4.38 | 4.39 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.59 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 14 | 4.52 | 1012/1446 | 4.66 | 4.62 | 4.67 | 4.68 | 4.52 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 8 | 4.12 | 791/1437 | 4.16 | 4.33 | 4.12 | 4.14 | 4.12 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 17 | 4.67 | 614/1386 | 4.68 | 4.69 | 4.48 | 4.53 | 4.67 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 21 | 4.88 | 607/1390 | 4.90 | 4.81 | 4.74 | 4.76 | 4.88 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 4.67 | 437/1379 | 4.64 | 4.64 | 4.34 | 4.38 | 4.67 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 18 | 4.75 | 385/1379 | 4.74 | 4.65 | 4.36 | 4.40 | 4.75 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 13 | 4.60 | 264/1236 | 4.54 | 4.33 | 4.08 | 4.18 | 4.60 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 4.56 | 359/1121 | 4.59 | 4.57 | 4.18 | 4.31 | 4.56 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 4.75 | 322/1122 | 4.70 | 4.74 | 4.36 | 4.46 | 4.75 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 4.81 | 316/1121 | 4.83 | 4.78 | 4.40 | 4.53 | 4.81 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 4.20 | 359/790 | 4.17 | 4.30 | 4.06 | 4.11 | 4.20 |

Course-Section: AMST 325 01

Title: Studies In Popular Cult

Instructor: King, Paula Nico

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 41

| NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean Rank Rank Mean Mean Rank Mean Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean | n Mean | Level Mean | Sect Mean |
|---|--------|---------------|--------------|
| Laboratory 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material 29 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/200 **** *** | | Mean | Mean |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material 29 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/200 **** *** | 4.28 | | |
| | 4.28 | | |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information 29 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/205 **** *** | | 4.44 | **** |
| | 4.29 | 4.44 | **** |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities 29 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/201 **** *** | 4.51 | 4.59 | **** |
| 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance 29 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/202 **** *** | 4.42 | 4.48 | **** |
| 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified 29 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/196 **** *** | 4.25 | 4.37 | **** |
| Seminar | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme 29 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/67 **** 5.00 | 4.58 | 5.00 | **** |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention 29 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/66 **** 5.00 | 4.36 | 4.70 | *** |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned 29 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/64 **** 5.00 | 4.25 | 4.48 | **** |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned 29 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/75 **** 5.00 | 4.32 | 4.80 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear 29 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/73 **** 5.00 | 4.00 | 4.64 | **** |
| Field Work | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned 29 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/34 **** *** | 4.33 | 4.87 | **** |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria 29 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/35 **** *** | 4.15 | 4.66 | **** |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation 29 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/30 **** *** | 4.09 | 4.61 | **** |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations 29 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/30 **** *** | 4.04 | 4.49 | **** |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities 29 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/27 **** *** | 4.13 | 4.33 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned 29 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/31 **** *** | 4.34 | 4.38 | **** |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal 29 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/18 **** *** | 4.13 | *** | **** |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful 29 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/24 **** *** | 4.34 | 5.00 | **** |

Course-Section: AMST 325 01

Title: Studies In Popular Cult
Instructor: King, Paula Nico

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 41

Questionnaires: 30

| | | | | Fre | quend | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|--|----|----|---|-----|-------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/15 | **** | **** | 4.18 | 5.00 | **** |
| 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/13 | **** | **** | 4.07 | 5.00 | **** |

| | | | | | . 3 | | | | | |
|--------|--------------|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| Earned | Cum. GPA | Д | Expected | d Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
| 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 18 | Required for Majors | 8 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 4 |
| 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 4 | | | | | | |
| 2 | 2.00-2.99 | 3 | С | 1 | General | 7 | Under-grad | 30 | Non-major | 26 |
| 10 | 3.00-3.49 | 7 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 3 | F | 0 | Electives | 6 | **** - Means the | ere are not | enough responses | |
| | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significan | t | | |
| | | | I | 0 | Other | 1 | | | | |
| | | | ? | 6 | | | | | | |
| | 0 2 10 | 0 0.00-0.99 0 1.00-1.99 2 2.00-2.99 10 3.00-3.49 | 0 0.00-0.99 0 0 1.00-1.99 0 2 2.00-2.99 3 10 3.00-3.49 7 | 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 2 2.00-2.99 3 C 10 3.00-3.49 7 D 0 3.50-4.00 3 F P | 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 18 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 4 2 2.00-2.99 3 C 1 10 3.00-3.49 7 D 0 0 3.50-4.00 3 F 0 P 0 | 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 18 Required for Majors 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 4 2 2.00-2.99 3 C 1 General 10 3.00-3.49 7 D 0 0 3.50-4.00 3 F 0 Electives P 0 I 0 Other | 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 18 Required for Majors 8 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 4 2 2.00-2.99 3 C 1 General 7 10 3.00-3.49 7 D 0 Electives 6 0 3.50-4.00 3 F 0 Electives 6 P 0 0 Other 1 | 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 18 Required for Majors 8 Graduate 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 4 4 7 Under-grad 10 3.00-2.99 3 C 1 General 7 Under-grad 10 3.50-4.00 3 F 0 Electives 6 ***** - Means the to be significant I 0 Other 1 | 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 18 Required for Majors 8 Graduate 0 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 4 2 2.00-2.99 3 C 1 General 7 Under-grad 30 10 3.00-3.49 7 D 0 0 ***** - Means there are not to be significant P 0 Other 1 1 0 Other 1 | 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 18 Required for Majors 8 Graduate 0 Major 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 4 2 2.00-2.99 3 C 1 General 7 Under-grad 30 Non-major 10 3.00-3.49 7 D 0 ***** - Means there are not enough responses 0 3.50-4.00 3 F 0 Electives 6 ****** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant 1 0 Other 1 |

Course-Section: AMST 325 02

Title: Studies In Popular Cult
Instructor: Taylor, Dabrina

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 37

| <u> </u> | • | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|----|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 12 | 4.23 | 920/1449 | 4.24 | 4.45 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 4.23 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 13 | 4.44 | 650/1446 | 4.49 | 4.52 | 4.29 | 4.33 | 4.44 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 3 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 4.45 | 581/1256 | 4.56 | 4.66 | 4.34 | 4.39 | 4.45 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 18 | 4.71 | 293/1402 | 4.63 | 4.59 | 4.27 | 4.37 | 4.71 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 15 | 4.29 | 588/1358 | 4.47 | 4.46 | 4.13 | 4.14 | 4.29 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 14 | 4.48 | 440/1327 | 4.49 | 4.48 | 4.16 | 4.23 | 4.48 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 11 | 4.16 | 858/1435 | 4.38 | 4.39 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.16 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 21 | 4.80 | 728/1446 | 4.66 | 4.62 | 4.67 | 4.68 | 4.80 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 4.21 | 680/1437 | 4.16 | 4.33 | 4.12 | 4.14 | 4.21 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 20 | 4.69 | 568/1386 | 4.68 | 4.69 | 4.48 | 4.53 | 4.69 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 4.92 | 425/1390 | 4.90 | 4.81 | 4.74 | 4.76 | 4.92 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 17 | 4.62 | 504/1379 | 4.64 | 4.64 | 4.34 | 4.38 | 4.62 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 4.73 | 415/1379 | 4.74 | 4.65 | 4.36 | 4.40 | 4.73 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 16 | 4.48 | 352/1236 | 4.54 | 4.33 | 4.08 | 4.18 | 4.48 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 18 | 4.62 | 326/1121 | 4.59 | 4.57 | 4.18 | 4.31 | 4.62 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 19 | 4.65 | 412/1122 | 4.70 | 4.74 | 4.36 | 4.46 | 4.65 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 23 | 4.85 | 281/1121 | 4.83 | 4.78 | 4.40 | 4.53 | 4.85 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 2 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 4.13 | 394/790 | 4.17 | 4.30 | 4.06 | 4.11 | 4.13 |

Course-Section: AMST 325 02

Title: Studies In Popular Cult
Instructor: Taylor, Dabrina

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 37

Questionnaires: 28

| | , | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 27 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | ****/205 | **** | **** | 4.29 | 4.44 | **** |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 27 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | ****/34 | **** | **** | 4.33 | 4.87 | **** |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 27 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | ****/35 | **** | **** | 4.15 | 4.66 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/31 | **** | **** | 4.34 | 4.38 | **** |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/18 | **** | **** | 4.13 | **** | **** |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/24 | **** | **** | 4.34 | 5.00 | **** |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | Ą | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|----|------------------|-------------|------------------|----|
| 00-27 | 1 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 7 | Required for Majors | 5 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 9 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 1 | С | 4 | General | 3 | Under-grad | 28 | Non-major | 28 |
| 84-150 | 4 | 3.00-3.49 | 5 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 5 | F | 0 | Electives | 10 | **** - Means the | ere are not | enough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significan | t | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 2 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 8 | | | | | | |

Course-Section: AMST 352 01

Title: Amer Culture:Global Pers

Instructor: Campbell,Duncan

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 46

| | _ | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|----|------|-----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 4.32 | 847/1449 | 4.32 | 4.45 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 4.32 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 4.32 | 797/1446 | 4.32 | 4.52 | 4.29 | 4.33 | 4.32 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 4.53 | 501/1256 | 4.53 | 4.66 | 4.34 | 4.39 | 4.53 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 4.65 | 362/1402 | 4.65 | 4.59 | 4.27 | 4.37 | 4.65 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 4.32 | 568/1358 | 4.32 | 4.46 | 4.13 | 4.14 | 4.32 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 4.42 | 500/1327 | 4.42 | 4.48 | 4.16 | 4.23 | 4.42 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 4.44 | 558/1435 | 4.44 | 4.39 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.44 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 4.26 | 1204/1446 | 4.26 | 4.62 | 4.67 | 4.68 | 4.26 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 4.19 | 713/1437 | 4.19 | 4.33 | 4.12 | 4.14 | 4.19 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 4.74 | 498/1386 | 4.74 | 4.69 | 4.48 | 4.53 | 4.74 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 4.84 | 684/1390 | 4.84 | 4.81 | 4.74 | 4.76 | 4.84 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 4.47 | 675/1379 | 4.47 | 4.64 | 4.34 | 4.38 | 4.47 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 4.53 | 666/1379 | 4.53 | 4.65 | 4.36 | 4.40 | 4.53 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 3.80 | 882/1236 | 3.80 | 4.33 | 4.08 | 4.18 | 3.80 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 4.54 | 377/1121 | 4.54 | 4.57 | 4.18 | 4.31 | 4.54 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 4.64 | 421/1122 | 4.64 | 4.74 | 4.36 | 4.46 | 4.64 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 4.93 | 169/1121 | 4.93 | 4.78 | 4.40 | 4.53 | 4.93 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 8 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4.00 | ****/790 | **** | 4.30 | 4.06 | 4.11 | **** |

| Course-S | Section: | AMST 352 0 | 1 | | | | Term | <mark>- Spring 2</mark> | 2011 | | | | | | Enro | Ilment: | 46 |
|------------|----------|--------------|-------|----------|-------|-----|--------|-------------------------|--------|----|------|-----------------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|------|
| | Title: | Amer Cultur | e:Glo | bal Pers | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 21 |
| Inst | tructor: | Campbell, Du | uncan | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Frequer | ncies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | | Questions | | | NR | NA | 1 | 2 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Fre | eque | ncy Disti | ributi | on | | | | | | | |
| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grade | es | | Reaso | ns | | | Туре | : | | Maj | jors | |
| 00-27 | 1 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 6 | | Requ | ired for Maj | ors | 6 | ; | Graduate | 0 | | Major | | 2 |
| 28-55 | 1 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 3 | 2.00-2.99 | 3 | С | 0 | | Gene | ral | | 6 | i | Under-grad | 21 | | Non-ma | ajor | 19 |
| 84-150 | 3 | 3.00-3.49 | 4 | D | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 1 | F | 0 | | Electi | ves | | 3 | 1 | **** - Means | there are | not end | ough res | ponses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | | | | | to be significa | ant | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | 0 | | Other | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Course-Section: AMST 358 01

Title: SpecTopic:AmerSigns

Instructor: Bryan,Kathy S

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 34

Questionnaires: 20

| | _ | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|----|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 17 | 4.75 | 269/1449 | 4.75 | 4.45 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 4.75 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 4.85 | 140/1446 | 4.85 | 4.52 | 4.29 | 4.33 | 4.85 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5.00 | 1/1256 | 5.00 | 4.66 | 4.34 | 4.39 | 5.00 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 4.84 | 150/1402 | 4.84 | 4.59 | 4.27 | 4.37 | 4.84 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 4.75 | 163/1358 | 4.75 | 4.46 | 4.13 | 4.14 | 4.75 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 15 | 4.70 | 217/1327 | 4.70 | 4.48 | 4.16 | 4.23 | 4.70 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 4.75 | 215/1435 | 4.75 | 4.39 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.75 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 4.95 | 316/1446 | 4.95 | 4.62 | 4.67 | 4.68 | 4.95 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 4.89 | 84/1437 | 4.89 | 4.33 | 4.12 | 4.14 | 4.89 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 4.83 | 320/1386 | 4.83 | 4.69 | 4.48 | 4.53 | 4.83 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 4.94 | 319/1390 | 4.94 | 4.81 | 4.74 | 4.76 | 4.94 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 4.89 | 151/1379 | 4.89 | 4.64 | 4.34 | 4.38 | 4.89 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 4.89 | 197/1379 | 4.89 | 4.65 | 4.36 | 4.40 | 4.89 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 4.94 | 54/1236 | 4.94 | 4.33 | 4.08 | 4.18 | 4.94 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 4.88 | 124/1121 | 4.88 | 4.57 | 4.18 | 4.31 | 4.88 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 4.82 | 254/1122 | 4.82 | 4.74 | 4.36 | 4.46 | 4.82 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 4.94 | 127/1121 | 4.94 | 4.78 | 4.40 | 4.53 | 4.94 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4.50 | 200/790 | 4.50 | 4.30 | 4.06 | 4.11 | 4.50 |

Course-Section: AMST 358 01

Title: SpecTopic:AmerSigns

Instructor: Bryan,Kathy S

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 34

Questionnaires: 20

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/200 | *** | *** | 4.28 | 4.44 | **** |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/205 | *** | **** | 4.29 | 4.44 | **** |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/201 | **** | *** | 4.51 | 4.59 | **** |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|------------------|-------------|------------------|----|
| 00-27 | 1 | 0.00-0.99 | 2 | Α | 9 | Required for Majors | 6 | Graduate | 1 | Major | 8 |
| 28-55 | 2 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 8 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 3 | С | 1 | General | 3 | Under-grad | 19 | Non-major | 12 |
| 84-150 | 4 | 3.00-3.49 | 1 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 1 | 3.50-4.00 | 3 | F | 0 | Electives | 6 | **** - Means the | ere are not | enough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significan | t | | |
| | | | | 1 | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 2 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Course-Section: AMST 374 01

Title: Perspec On The Future

Instructor: Belasco, Warren

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 41

| ' | _ | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|----|------|-----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 23 | 4.68 | 362/1449 | 4.68 | 4.45 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 4.68 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 25 | 4.93 | 75/1446 | 4.93 | 4.52 | 4.29 | 4.33 | 4.93 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 4.87 | 165/1256 | 4.87 | 4.66 | 4.34 | 4.39 | 4.87 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 4.96 | 54/1402 | 4.96 | 4.59 | 4.27 | 4.37 | 4.96 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 24 | 4.88 | 88/1358 | 4.88 | 4.46 | 4.13 | 4.14 | 4.88 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 4.96 | 46/1327 | 4.96 | 4.48 | 4.16 | 4.23 | 4.96 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 22 | 4.84 | 131/1435 | 4.84 | 4.39 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.84 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 11 | 4.38 | 1119/1446 | 4.38 | 4.62 | 4.67 | 4.68 | 4.38 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 4.67 | 226/1437 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.12 | 4.14 | 4.67 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 4.92 | 163/1386 | 4.92 | 4.69 | 4.48 | 4.53 | 4.92 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 4.96 | 213/1390 | 4.96 | 4.81 | 4.74 | 4.76 | 4.96 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 4.92 | 101/1379 | 4.92 | 4.64 | 4.34 | 4.38 | 4.92 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 24 | 4.88 | 197/1379 | 4.88 | 4.65 | 4.36 | 4.40 | 4.88 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 22 | 4.59 | 270/1236 | 4.59 | 4.33 | 4.08 | 4.18 | 4.59 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 4.86 | 139/1121 | 4.86 | 4.57 | 4.18 | 4.31 | 4.86 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 5.00 | 1/1122 | 5.00 | 4.74 | 4.36 | 4.46 | 5.00 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 4.93 | 148/1121 | 4.93 | 4.78 | 4.40 | 4.53 | 4.93 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 13 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 4.67 | 134/790 | 4.67 | 4.30 | 4.06 | 4.11 | 4.67 |

Course-Section: AMST 374 01

Title: Perspec On The Future

Instructor: Belasco, Warren

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 41

| Tristructor: Belasco, Warren | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/200 | **** | **** | 4.28 | 4.44 | **** |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/205 | **** | **** | 4.29 | 4.44 | **** |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/201 | *** | **** | 4.51 | 4.59 | **** |
| 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/202 | *** | **** | 4.42 | 4.48 | **** |
| 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/196 | *** | **** | 4.25 | 4.37 | **** |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/67 | *** | 5.00 | 4.58 | 5.00 | **** |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/66 | *** | 5.00 | 4.36 | 4.70 | **** |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/64 | *** | 5.00 | 4.25 | 4.48 | **** |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/75 | *** | 5.00 | 4.32 | 4.80 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/73 | *** | 5.00 | 4.00 | 4.64 | **** |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/34 | *** | *** | 4.33 | 4.87 | **** |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/35 | *** | *** | 4.15 | 4.66 | **** |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/30 | *** | *** | 4.09 | 4.61 | **** |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/30 | *** | *** | 4.04 | 4.49 | **** |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/27 | *** | *** | 4.13 | 4.33 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/31 | *** | *** | 4.34 | 4.38 | **** |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/18 | **** | **** | 4.13 | *** | **** |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/24 | *** | *** | 4.34 | 5.00 | **** |

Course-Section: AMST 374 01

Title: Perspec On The Future

Instructor: Belasco, Warren

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 41

Questionnaires: 28

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|--|----|----|---|-----|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/15 | **** | **** | 4.18 | 5.00 | **** |
| 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/13 | **** | *** | 4.07 | 5.00 | **** |

| lajors | М | | Type | | Reasons | d Grades | Expected | A | Cum. GPA | arned | Credits E |
|----------|---------------|-------------|------------------|---|---------------------|-------------|------------------|---|-----------|-------|-----------|
| . 3 | Major | 0 | Graduate | 8 | Required for Majors | 19 | Α | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 1 | 00-27 |
| | | | | | | 2 | В | 1 | 1.00-1.99 | 1 | 28-55 |
| major 25 | Non-n | 28 | Under-grad | 2 | General | 0 | С | 2 | 2.00-2.99 | 3 | 56-83 |
| | | | | | | 0 | D | 3 | 3.00-3.49 | 7 | 84-150 |
| sponses | not enough re | nere are no | **** - Means th | 7 | Electives | 0 | F | 4 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | Grad. |
| | | nt | to be significar | | | 0 | Р | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | Other | 0 | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | 7 | ? | | | | |
| | | nere are no | **** - Means th | 7 | Electives | 0 0 0 | D F P I | 3 | 3.00-3.49 | 7 0 | 84-150 |

Course-Section: AMST 375 01

Title: Studies in Asian America

Instructor: Bhalla, Tamara A

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 35

| matractor. Briana, ramara A | | | | Е | | | | | | Causes | 0.11 | 111100 | 1 | Card |
|---|----|----|---|---|------|------|----|------|----------|--------|------|--------|------|------|
| | | | | | quen | cies | | | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 21 | 4.69 | 348/1449 | 4.69 | 4.45 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 4.69 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 20 | 4.66 | 368/1446 | 4.66 | 4.52 | 4.29 | 4.33 | 4.66 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 18 | 4.68 | 345/1256 | 4.68 | 4.66 | 4.34 | 4.39 | 4.68 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 19 | 4.55 | 468/1402 | 4.55 | 4.59 | 4.27 | 4.37 | 4.55 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 23 | 4.76 | 163/1358 | 4.76 | 4.46 | 4.13 | 4.14 | 4.76 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 21 | 4.69 | 235/1327 | 4.69 | 4.48 | 4.16 | 4.23 | 4.69 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 19 | 4.55 | 430/1435 | 4.55 | 4.39 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.55 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 24 | 4.86 | 627/1446 | 4.86 | 4.62 | 4.67 | 4.68 | 4.86 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 12 | 4.55 | 321/1437 | 4.55 | 4.33 | 4.12 | 4.14 | 4.55 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 4.81 | 354/1386 | 4.81 | 4.69 | 4.48 | 4.53 | 4.81 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 24 | 4.89 | 582/1390 | 4.89 | 4.81 | 4.74 | 4.76 | 4.89 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 4.84 | 199/1379 | 4.84 | 4.64 | 4.34 | 4.38 | 4.84 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 23 | 4.85 | 239/1379 | 4.85 | 4.65 | 4.36 | 4.40 | 4.85 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 16 | 4.54 | 304/1236 | 4.54 | 4.33 | 4.08 | 4.18 | 4.54 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 19 | 4.72 | 232/1121 | 4.72 | 4.57 | 4.18 | 4.31 | 4.72 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 22 | 4.88 | 191/1122 | 4.88 | 4.74 | 4.36 | 4.46 | 4.88 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 22 | 4.88 | 234/1121 | 4.88 | 4.78 | 4.40 | 4.53 | 4.88 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 16 | 4.62 | 154/790 | 4.62 | 4.30 | 4.06 | 4.11 | 4.62 |

Course-Section: AMST 375 01

Title: Studies in Asian America

Instructor: Bhalla, Tamara A

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 35

Questionnaires: 29

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/205 | **** | **** | 4.29 | 4.44 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/31 | **** | **** | 4.34 | 4.38 | **** |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/18 | **** | **** | 4.13 | **** | **** |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/24 | **** | **** | 4.34 | 5.00 | **** |
| 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/15 | **** | **** | 4.18 | 5.00 | **** |
| 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/13 | **** | **** | 4.07 | 5.00 | **** |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | Ą | Expected | l Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|----------|---------------------|----|------------------|-------------|------------------|----|
| 00-27 | 1 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 4 | Required for Majors | 6 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 3 |
| 28-55 | 1 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 17 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 2 | 2.00-2.99 | 3 | С | 2 | General | 4 | Under-grad | 29 | Non-major | 26 |
| 84-150 | 4 | 3.00-3.49 | 3 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 3 | F | 0 | Electives | 12 | **** - Means the | ere are not | enough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significan | t | | |
| | | | | 1 | 0 | Other | 2 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 5 | | | | | | |

Course-Section: AMST 382 01

Title: Perspectives on Family

Instructor: Bryan,Kathy S

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 43

| | Frequencies Instructor NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank | | | | | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect | | | |
|---|---|----|---|---|---|----------|--------|------|----------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 5.00 | 1/1449 | 5.00 | 4.45 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 5.00 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 4.84 | 149/1446 | 4.84 | 4.52 | 4.29 | 4.33 | 4.84 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5.00 | 1/1256 | 5.00 | 4.66 | 4.34 | 4.39 | 5.00 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 4.72 | 270/1402 | 4.72 | 4.59 | 4.27 | 4.37 | 4.72 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 4.94 | 47/1358 | 4.94 | 4.46 | 4.13 | 4.14 | 4.94 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 4.78 | 165/1327 | 4.78 | 4.48 | 4.16 | 4.23 | 4.78 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 4.72 | 247/1435 | 4.72 | 4.39 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.72 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 4.78 | 764/1446 | 4.78 | 4.62 | 4.67 | 4.68 | 4.78 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 4.38 | 493/1437 | 4.38 | 4.33 | 4.12 | 4.14 | 4.38 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 4.88 | 237/1386 | 4.88 | 4.69 | 4.48 | 4.53 | 4.88 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 5.00 | 1/1390 | 5.00 | 4.81 | 4.74 | 4.76 | 5.00 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 4.82 | 223/1379 | 4.82 | 4.64 | 4.34 | 4.38 | 4.82 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 4.88 | 197/1379 | 4.88 | 4.65 | 4.36 | 4.40 | 4.88 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 5.00 | 1/1236 | 5.00 | 4.33 | 4.08 | 4.18 | 5.00 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 4.92 | 91/1121 | 4.92 | 4.57 | 4.18 | 4.31 | 4.92 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 4.77 | 313/1122 | 4.77 | 4.74 | 4.36 | 4.46 | 4.77 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 5.00 | 1/1121 | 5.00 | 4.78 | 4.40 | 4.53 | 5.00 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 4.50 | 200/790 | 4.50 | 4.30 | 4.06 | 4.11 | 4.50 |

| Course-S | Section: | AMST 382 0 | 1 | | | | Term | n - Spring | 2011 | | | | | | Enro | <mark>Ilment:</mark> | 43 |
|------------|----------|--------------|--------|----------|-------|-----|--------|------------|--------|------|------|-----------------|-----------|---------|----------|----------------------|------|
| | Title: | Perspective | s on F | amily | | | | | | _ | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 19 |
| Ins | tructor: | Bryan, Kathy | y S | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Freque | ncies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | | Questions | | | NR | NA | 1 | 2 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Fre | eque | ncy Dis | tribut | tion | | | | | | | |
| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | Д | Expected | Grade | es | | Reas | ons | | | Турє |) | | Ma | jors | |
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 11 | | Requ | ired for M | ajors | 4 | 1 | Graduate | 0 | | Major | | 3 |
| 28-55 | 2 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 3 | 2.00-2.99 | 2 | С | 0 | | Gene | ral | | 7 | 7 | Under-grad | 19 | | Non-ma | ajor | 16 |
| 84-150 | 1 | 3.00-3.49 | 4 | D | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 2 | F | 0 | | Electi | ves | | 4 | 1 | **** - Means | there are | not end | ough res | ponses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | | | | | to be significa | ant | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | 0 | | Other | | | (|) | | | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Course-Section: AMST 422 01

Title: Preserving Places

Instructor: King, Paula Nico

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 12

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|---|------|-----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 4.40 | 733/1449 | 4.40 | 4.45 | 4.33 | 4.46 | 4.40 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3.50 | 1327/1446 | 3.50 | 4.52 | 4.29 | 4.34 | 3.50 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4.50 | ****/1256 | **** | 4.66 | 4.34 | 4.43 | **** |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3.86 | 1121/1402 | 3.86 | 4.59 | 4.27 | 4.35 | 3.86 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3.90 | 939/1358 | 3.90 | 4.46 | 4.13 | 4.21 | 3.90 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 4.33 | 591/1327 | 4.33 | 4.48 | 4.16 | 4.28 | 4.33 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3.44 | 1281/1435 | 3.44 | 4.39 | 4.20 | 4.27 | 3.44 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 4.89 | 566/1446 | 4.89 | 4.62 | 4.67 | 4.71 | 4.89 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4.17 | 735/1437 | 4.17 | 4.33 | 4.12 | 4.20 | 4.17 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4.25 | 1052/1386 | 4.25 | 4.69 | 4.48 | 4.55 | 4.25 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 4.38 | 1234/1390 | 4.38 | 4.81 | 4.74 | 4.78 | 4.38 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3.75 | 1190/1379 | 3.75 | 4.64 | 4.34 | 4.40 | 3.75 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3.63 | 1225/1379 | 3.63 | 4.65 | 4.36 | 4.44 | 3.63 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4.00 | 709/1236 | 4.00 | 4.33 | 4.08 | 4.13 | 4.00 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4.60 | 335/1121 | 4.60 | 4.57 | 4.18 | 4.39 | 4.60 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4.20 | 776/1122 | 4.20 | 4.74 | 4.36 | 4.54 | 4.20 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3.00 | 1078/1121 | 3.00 | 4.78 | 4.40 | 4.60 | 3.00 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4.00 | 425/790 | 4.00 | 4.30 | 4.06 | 4.27 | 4.00 |

Course-Section: AMST 422 01

Title: Preserving Places

Instructor: King,Paula Nico

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 10

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|--|----|----|---|-----|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/67 | *** | 5.00 | 4.58 | 4.47 | **** |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/66 | *** | 5.00 | 4.36 | 4.33 | **** |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/64 | *** | 5.00 | 4.25 | 4.24 | **** |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/75 | *** | 5.00 | 4.32 | 4.27 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/73 | *** | 5.00 | 4.00 | 4.09 | **** |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/34 | *** | **** | 4.33 | 4.42 | **** |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/35 | *** | **** | 4.15 | 4.16 | **** |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/30 | *** | **** | 4.09 | 4.08 | **** |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/30 | *** | **** | 4.04 | 3.96 | **** |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/27 | *** | **** | 4.13 | 4.20 | **** |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|------------------|-------------|------------------|---|
| 00-27 | 1 | 0.00-0.99 | 1 | Α | 4 | Required for Majors | 5 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 5 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 3 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 1 | С | 0 | General | 1 | Under-grad | 10 | Non-major | 5 |
| 84-150 | 3 | 3.00-3.49 | 2 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 1 | Electives | 1 | **** - Means the | ere are not | enough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significan | t | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 2 | | | | | | |

Course-Section: AMST 490 01

Title: Senior Seminar

Instructor: Bhalla, Tamara A

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 11

| | _ | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4.50 | 594/1449 | 4.50 | 4.45 | 4.33 | 4.46 | 4.50 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4.25 | 863/1446 | 4.25 | 4.52 | 4.29 | 4.34 | 4.25 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4.67 | 367/1256 | 4.67 | 4.66 | 4.34 | 4.43 | 4.67 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4.67 | 339/1402 | 4.67 | 4.59 | 4.27 | 4.35 | 4.67 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4.43 | 460/1358 | 4.43 | 4.46 | 4.13 | 4.21 | 4.43 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 4.38 | 553/1327 | 4.38 | 4.48 | 4.16 | 4.28 | 4.38 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4.00 | 970/1435 | 4.00 | 4.39 | 4.20 | 4.27 | 4.00 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5.00 | 1/1446 | 5.00 | 4.62 | 4.67 | 4.71 | 5.00 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4.50 | 364/1437 | 4.50 | 4.33 | 4.12 | 4.20 | 4.50 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5.00 | 1/1386 | 5.00 | 4.69 | 4.48 | 4.55 | 5.00 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5.00 | 1/1390 | 5.00 | 4.81 | 4.74 | 4.78 | 5.00 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5.00 | 1/1379 | 5.00 | 4.64 | 4.34 | 4.40 | 5.00 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5.00 | 1/1379 | 5.00 | 4.65 | 4.36 | 4.44 | 5.00 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4.75 | 159/1236 | 4.75 | 4.33 | 4.08 | 4.13 | 4.75 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4.40 | 484/1121 | 4.40 | 4.57 | 4.18 | 4.39 | 4.40 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5.00 | 1/1122 | 5.00 | 4.74 | 4.36 | 4.54 | 5.00 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4.80 | 328/1121 | 4.80 | 4.78 | 4.40 | 4.60 | 4.80 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5.00 | 1/790 | 5.00 | 4.30 | 4.06 | 4.27 | 5.00 |

Course-Section: AMST 490 01

Title: Senior Seminar

Instructor: Bhalla, Tamara A

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 8

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|--|----|----|---|-----|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/67 | **** | 5.00 | 4.58 | 4.47 | **** |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/66 | **** | 5.00 | 4.36 | 4.33 | **** |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/64 | *** | 5.00 | 4.25 | 4.24 | **** |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/75 | *** | 5.00 | 4.32 | 4.27 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/73 | **** | 5.00 | 4.00 | 4.09 | **** |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Type | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|-------------------|---|-----------|---|
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 3 | Required for Majors | 7 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 8 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 3 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 3 | С | 2 | General | 0 | Under-grad | 8 | Non-major | 0 |
| 84-150 | 6 | 3.00-3.49 | 4 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 1 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 **** - Means there are not enough respons | | | | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 0 | | | | | | |

Course-Section: AMST 496 01

Title: Honors Research In AMST

Instructor: Bryan, Kathy S.

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 4

| | _ | | Frequencies | | | | Instructor | | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect | |
|---|----|----|-------------|---|---|---|------------|------|----------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1449 | 5.00 | 4.45 | 4.33 | 4.46 | 5.00 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1446 | 5.00 | 4.52 | 4.29 | 4.34 | 5.00 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1402 | 5.00 | 4.59 | 4.27 | 4.35 | 5.00 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1327 | 5.00 | 4.48 | 4.16 | 4.28 | 5.00 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | 970/1435 | 4.00 | 4.39 | 4.20 | 4.27 | 4.00 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1446 | 5.00 | 4.62 | 4.67 | 4.71 | 5.00 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1437 | 5.00 | 4.33 | 4.12 | 4.20 | 5.00 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1386 | 5.00 | 4.69 | 4.48 | 4.55 | 5.00 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1390 | 5.00 | 4.81 | 4.74 | 4.78 | 5.00 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1379 | 5.00 | 4.64 | 4.34 | 4.40 | 5.00 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1379 | 5.00 | 4.65 | 4.36 | 4.44 | 5.00 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1121 | 5.00 | 4.57 | 4.18 | 4.39 | 5.00 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1122 | 5.00 | 4.74 | 4.36 | 4.54 | 5.00 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/1121 | 5.00 | 4.78 | 4.40 | 4.60 | 5.00 |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/67 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.58 | 4.47 | 5.00 |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/66 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.36 | 4.33 | 5.00 |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/64 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.25 | 4.24 | 5.00 |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/75 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.32 | 4.27 | 5.00 |

Course-Section: AMST 496 01

Title: Honors Research In AMST
Instructor: Bryan, Kathy S.

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 1

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | 1/73 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 4.09 | 5.00 |

| | | | | | | 1 3 | | | | | |
|-----------|-------|-----------|---|----------|-------------|---------------------|---|---|---|-----------|---|
| Credits E | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Type | | Majors | |
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 0 | Required for Majors | 1 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 1 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 0 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 0 | General | 0 | Under-grad | 1 | Non-major | 0 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 0 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 Electives | | 0 | **** - Means there are not enough responses | | | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 0 | | | | | | |