Course-Section: ANCS 150 01

Title: Word Roots Latin/Greek

Instructor: Peterson, Anna I

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 100

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	0	3	7	34	4.62	486/1542	4.62	4.73	4.33	4.18	4.62
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	3	7	34	4.70	366/1542	4.70	4.70	4.29	4.23	4.70
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	1	1	2	40	4.84	215/1339	4.84	4.75	4.32	4.14	4.84
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	19	0	1	2	4	19	4.58	464/1498	4.58	4.57	4.26	4.08	4.58
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	0	2	8	32	4.71	212/1428	4.71	4.47	4.12	3.98	4.71
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	25	0	1	0	3	16	4.70	216/1407	4.70	4.54	4.15	3.92	4.70
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	10	34	4.73	250/1521	4.73	4.55	4.20	4.09	4.73
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	18	27	4.60	1047/1541	4.60	4.02	4.70	4.66	4.60
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	0	0	0	6	21	9	4.08	857/1518	4.08	4.55	4.11	4.00	4.08
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	1	3	9	31	4.59	702/1472	4.59	4.80	4.46	4.38	4.59
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	1	2	6	35	4.70	987/1475	4.70	4.91	4.72	4.63	4.70
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	1	2	8	32	4.65	475/1471	4.65	4.72	4.32	4.23	4.65
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	3	3	6	9	23	4.05	1093/1470	4.05	4.63	4.33	4.21	4.05
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	4	3	3	8	9	17	3.85	893/1310	3.85	4.38	4.06	3.93	3.85
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	29	0	1	1	5	3	7	3.82	888/1210	3.82	4.25	4.18	3.91	3.82
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	30	0	1	1	1	2	11	4.31	755/1211	4.31	4.56	4.37	4.15	4.31
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	29	0	0	0	2	4	11	4.53	615/1207	4.53	4.61	4.41	4.12	4.53

Course-Section: ANCS 150 01

Title: Word Roots Latin/Greek

Instructor: Peterson,Anna I

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 100

Questionnaires: 46

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	29	8	2	0	2	2	3	3.44	****/859	****	4.17	4.08	3.95	***

Credits E	Earned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	10	0.00-0.99	0	Α	35	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	1	Major	1
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	4	С	1	General	23	Under-grad	45	Non-major	45
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	13	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	4				
				?	6						

Course-Section: ANCS 200 01

Title: Israel/Ancient Near East

Instructor: Wright,Laura

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 47

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	8	22	4.68	423/1542	4.68	4.73	4.33	4.35	4.68
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	6	24	4.74	311/1542	4.74	4.70	4.29	4.29	4.74
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	3	25	4.77	301/1339	4.77	4.75	4.32	4.40	4.77
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	2	3	16	4.67	357/1498	4.67	4.57	4.26	4.31	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	5	5	21	4.52	381/1428	4.52	4.47	4.12	4.17	4.52
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	13	0	0	1	3	14	4.72	201/1407	4.72	4.54	4.15	4.14	4.72
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	4	2	24	4.67	330/1521	4.67	4.55	4.20	4.22	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	2	28	4.87	738/1541	4.87	4.02	4.70	4.68	4.87
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	3	9	13	4.40	494/1518	4.40	4.55	4.11	4.12	4.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	4	23	4.79	401/1472	4.79	4.80	4.46	4.53	4.79
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	1	26	4.89	565/1475	4.89	4.91	4.72	4.79	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	1	2	6	19	4.54	607/1471	4.54	4.72	4.32	4.37	4.54
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	1	1	2	22	4.59	598/1470	4.59	4.63	4.33	4.40	4.59
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	5	0	2	3	4	13	4.27	556/1310	4.27	4.38	4.06	4.19	4.27
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	19	0	1	1	1	2	7	4.08	747/1210	4.08	4.25	4.18	4.18	4.08
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	19	0	0	0	2	0	10	4.67	451/1211	4.67	4.56	4.37	4.34	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	19	0	0	0	2	1	9	4.58	570/1207	4.58	4.61	4.41	4.40	4.58
4. Were special techniques successful	19	0	0	2	1	2	7	4.17	414/859	4.17	4.17	4.08	4.07	4.17

Course-Section: ANCS 200 01

Title: Israel/Ancient Near East

Instructor: Wright,Laura

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 47

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	28	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/207	****	****	4.12	4.26	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	28	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/210	****	****	4.17	4.32	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	28	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/202	****	****	4.50	4.62	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	28	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/202	****	****	4.32	4.20	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	28	0	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	****/199	****	****	4.15	4.32	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	28	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/69	****	4.75	4.56	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	28	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	****/69	****	5.00	4.60	4.52	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/68	****	4.75	4.50	4.34	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/73	****	****	4.54	4.63	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	28	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/67	****	****	4.17	3.72	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.55	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	29	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	29	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.70	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	29	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/23	****	****	4.41	4.50	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	29	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.50	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/30	****	****	4.27	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	29	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/19	****	****	4.57	4.50	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	29	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/29	****	****	4.29	4.50	****

Course-Section: ANCS 200 01

Title: Israel/Ancient Near East

Instructor: Wright,Laura

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 47

Questionnaires: 31

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	29	0	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	****/18	****	****	4.25	4.50	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	29	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/13	****	****	4.14	4.50	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	1	Α	19	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	1	В	9						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	2	С	2	General	16	Under-grad	31	Non-major	30
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	11	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ANCS 201 01

Title: The Ancient Greeks

Instructor: Phin, Timothy J

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 59

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	33	4.92	152/1542	4.92	4.73	4.33	4.35	4.92
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	8	26	4.67	416/1542	4.67	4.70	4.29	4.29	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	32	4.89	176/1339	4.89	4.75	4.32	4.40	4.89
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	2	11	20	4.55	500/1498	4.55	4.57	4.26	4.31	4.55
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	2	9	22	4.36	527/1428	4.36	4.47	4.12	4.17	4.36
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	6	11	18	4.25	684/1407	4.25	4.54	4.15	4.14	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	3	5	27	4.61	395/1521	4.61	4.55	4.20	4.22	4.61
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	2	12	16	6	3.72	1519/1541	3.72	4.02	4.70	4.68	3.72
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	0	0	0	5	27	4.84	134/1518	4.84	4.55	4.11	4.12	4.84
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	33	4.94	125/1472	4.94	4.80	4.46	4.53	4.94
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	35	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.91	4.72	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	4	31	4.89	186/1471	4.89	4.72	4.32	4.37	4.89
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	34	4.97	53/1470	4.97	4.63	4.33	4.40	4.97
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	2	0	0	2	4	26	4.75	140/1310	4.75	4.38	4.06	4.19	4.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	20	0	1	1	3	3	8	4.00	774/1210	4.00	4.25	4.18	4.18	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	20	0	0	0	2	4	10	4.50	580/1211	4.50	4.56	4.37	4.34	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	20	0	0	0	1	4	11	4.63	537/1207	4.63	4.61	4.41	4.40	4.63

Course-Section: ANCS 201 01

Title: The Ancient Greeks

Instructor: Phin,Timothy J

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 59

Questionnaires: 36

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	19	10	1	0	1	0	5	4.14	****/859	****	4.17	4.08	4.07	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	27	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	4	С	1	General	14	Under-grad	36	Non-major	32
84-150	11	3.00-3.49	11	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	10	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ANCS 320 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 23

riue:

Title: Gender and Women

Instructor: Phin, Timothy J

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	250/1542	4.81	4.73	4.33	4.37	4.81
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	169/1542	4.88	4.70	4.29	4.31	4.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	89/1498	4.94	4.57	4.26	4.32	4.94
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.47	4.12	4.15	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	113/1407	4.87	4.54	4.15	4.20	4.87
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	124/1521	4.88	4.55	4.20	4.23	4.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	4	7	5	4.06	1439/1541	4.06	4.02	4.70	4.71	4.06
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	77/1518	4.93	4.55	4.11	4.13	4.93
Lecture												,		
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	367/1472	4.80	4.80	4.46	4.46	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	376/1475	4.93	4.91	4.72	4.74	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	280/1471	4.80	4.72	4.32	4.33	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	336/1470	4.79	4.63	4.33	4.35	4.79
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	121/1310	4.79	4.38	4.06	4.11	4.79
Discussion												,		
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.25	4.18	4.27	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.56	4.37	4.45	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.61	4.41	4.51	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	7	6	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/859	****	4.17	4.08	4.13	****

Course-Section: ANCS 320 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 23 Questionnaires: 16

Title: Gender and Women

Instructor: Phin, Timothy J

, , , , , ,														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mear
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	****	4.12	4.17	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/210	****	****	4.17	4.21	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	****	4.50	4.54	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	12	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	31/69	4.75	4.75	4.56	4.70	4.75
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	12	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/69	5.00	5.00	4.60	4.68	5.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	31/68	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.51	4.75
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/73	****	****	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	13	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/67	****	****	4.17	4.46	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.27	3.17	****

Course-Section: ANCS 320 01

Title: Gender and Women

Instructor: Phin,Timothy J

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 23

Questionnaires: 16

	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.57	4.33	****

Credits F	Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
Ci Cuits L	arrica	Cuiii. Gi A	•	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Турс		Majors		
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	4	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	5	Under-grad	16	Non-major	12	
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	2	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	3	3 **** - Means there are not enough responses				
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	2							

Course-Section: ANCS 350 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 12

.....

Title: Topics: Ancient Studies

Questionnaires: 9

Instructor: Mason, Richard S

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	486/1542	4.63	4.73	4.33	4.37	4.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	615/1542	4.50	4.70	4.29	4.31	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	825/1339	4.25	4.75	4.32	4.36	4.25
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	0	4	3	4.13	986/1498	4.13	4.57	4.26	4.32	4.13
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	2	0	4	3.75	1097/1428	3.75	4.47	4.12	4.15	3.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	792/1407	4.14	4.54	4.15	4.20	4.14
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	1	0	1	2	3	3.86	1170/1521	3.86	4.55	4.20	4.23	3.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	1	0	4	1	0	2.83	1539/1541	2.83	4.02	4.70	4.71	2.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	373/1518	4.50	4.55	4.11	4.13	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	256/1472	4.88	4.80	4.46	4.46	4.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.91	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	346/1471	4.75	4.72	4.32	4.33	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	374/1470	4.75	4.63	4.33	4.35	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	576/1310	4.25	4.38	4.06	4.11	4.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	578/1210	4.33	4.25	4.18	4.27	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	739/1211	4.33	4.56	4.37	4.45	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	769/1207	4.33	4.61	4.41	4.51	4.33

Course-Section: ANCS 350 01

Title: Topics: Ancient Studies

Instructor: Mason,Richard S

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 9

			Frequencies					In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	1	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	****/859	****	4.17	4.08	4.13	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors			
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	4		
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2								
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	5		
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0								
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the					
				Р	0			to be significant					
				1	0	Other	0						
				?	2								