Course-Section: BIOL 100L 01

Title: Concepts Of Biology Lab

Instructor: Claassen, Lark A

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 107

Questionnaires: 68

								structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	3	9	17	22	15	3.56	1389/1528	3.56	4.40	4.31	4.16	3.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	3	6	15	22	20	3.76	1308/1527	3.76	4.27	4.28	4.23	3.76
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	5	5	12	17	27	3.85	1121/1333	3.85	4.22	4.34	4.26	3.85
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	4	9	17	21	15	3.52	1363/1495	3.52	4.16	4.25	4.11	3.52
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	7	14	21	23	3.88	980/1439	3.88	3.99	4.11	3.97	3.88
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	9	22	18	15	3.53	1197/1425	3.53	4.02	4.12	3.93	3.53
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	4	8	15	17	22	3.68	1263/1508	3.68	4.13	4.18	4.11	3.68
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	1	0	0	0	8	57	4.88	618/1526	4.88	4.83	4.66	4.57	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	16	1	3	8	23	14	3	3.12	1393/1490	3.12	4.09	4.11	4.02	3.12
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	1	3	7	19	34	4.28	1058/1428	4.28	4.60	4.49	4.43	4.28
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	1	3	8	18	33	4.25	1322/1436	4.25	4.78	4.74	4.70	4.25
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	1	5	12	21	22	3.95	1120/1427	3.95	4.32	4.32	4.27	3.95
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	3	5	10	13	13	19	3.52	1301/1425	3.52	4.39	4.34	4.31	3.52
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	2	4	9	16	12	17	3.50	1061/1291	3.50	4.21	4.05	3.97	3.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	44	0	5	7	4	3	5	2.83	1224/1271	2.83	4.04	4.16	3.98	2.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	44	0	5	5	7	2	5	2.88	1247/1276	2.88	4.27	4.33	4.14	2.88
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	44	0	6	6	3	2	7	2.92	1247/1273	2.92	4.33	4.38	4.18	2.92
4. Were special techniques successful	44	5	3	1	7	2	6	3.37	790/922	3.37	4.00	4.02	3.87	3.37

Course-Section: BIOL 100L 01

Title: Concepts Of Biology Lab

Instructor: Claassen,Lark A

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 107

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	9	0	4	3	14	17	21	3.81	155/198	3.81	4.46	4.16	3.90	3.81
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	9	0	2	3	8	17	29	4.15	141/208	4.15	4.42	4.27	4.23	4.15
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	11	0	1	2	4	10	40	4.51	131/194	4.51	4.69	4.56	4.54	4.51
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	10	0	2	4	9	14	29	4.10	151/194	4.10	4.46	4.37	4.30	4.10
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	10	1	4	8	9	15	21	3.72	147/176	3.72	4.17	4.23	4.19	3.72
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	60	3	0	1	1	1	2	3.80	****/76	****	4.80	4.51	4.44	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	61	2	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	****/74	***	4.75	4.31	4.43	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	61	2	0	1	2	1	1	3.40	****/66	***	5.00	4.27	4.15	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	60	4	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	****/76	****	4.80	4.27	4.21	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	61	1	0	2	0	1	3	3.83	****/73	****	4.40	3.94	3.82	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	61	0	0	1	2	0	4	4.00	****/42	***	****	4.00	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	61	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	****/41	***	****	4.06	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	61	3	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/30	***	****	4.74	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	61	1	2	0	1	0	3	3.33	****/32	***	****	4.20	4.09	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	61	3	1	0	1	0	2	3.50	****/29	***	****	4.34	4.87	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	60	0	3	0	2	1	2	2.88	****/43	***	4.17	4.43	4.68	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	60	0	1	0	0	3	4	4.13	****/31	***	4.17	4.53	4.51	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	60	1	1	2	2	1	1	2.86	****/36	***	4.00	4.43	4.33	****

Course-Section: BIOL 100L 01

Title: Concepts Of Biology Lab

Instructor: Claassen, Lark A

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 107

Questionnaires: 68

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	61	3	1	1	2	0	0	2.25	****/21	****	****	4.54	4.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	60	2	0	2	1	0	3	3.67	****/20	****	****	4.45	4.39	****

Credits I	Earned	Cum. GP.	Α	Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	48	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	13	1.00-1.99	0	В	26						
56-83	8	2.00-2.99	9	С	13	General	8	Under-grad	68	Non-major	66
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	13	D	3						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	12	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	13						

Course-Section: BIOL 101 01

Title: Concepts Of Biology

Instructor: Lake,Reagan A

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 140

_a.r.s,r.sa.ga.rrs	0 0 3 3 15 18 60 4.30 865/15							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	3	3	15	18	60	4.30	865/1528	4.30	4.40	4.31	4.16	4.30
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	3	2	9	16	69	4.47	623/1527	4.47	4.27	4.28	4.23	4.47
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	3	0	10	17	68	4.50	564/1333	4.50	4.22	4.34	4.26	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	39	4	0	7	13	34	4.26	844/1495	4.26	4.16	4.25	4.11	4.26
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	30	8	3	16	20	21	3.63	1139/1439	3.63	3.99	4.11	3.97	3.63
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	67	3	2	5	8	13	3.84	1032/1425	3.84	4.02	4.12	3.93	3.84
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	3	10	22	63	4.48	489/1508	4.48	4.13	4.18	4.11	4.48
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	3	0	0	0	5	90	4.95	340/1526	4.95	4.83	4.66	4.57	4.95
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	18	2	0	0	12	36	31	4.24	686/1490	4.24	4.09	4.11	4.02	4.24
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	2	3	7	84	4.76	459/1428	4.76	4.60	4.49	4.43	4.76
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	1	0	4	7	85	4.80	839/1436	4.80	4.78	4.74	4.70	4.80
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	3	0	8	16	70	4.55	577/1427	4.55	4.32	4.32	4.27	4.55
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	1	2	1	7	11	75	4.63	529/1425	4.63	4.39	4.34	4.31	4.63
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	2	2	2	12	17	60	4.41	425/1291	4.41	4.21	4.05	3.97	4.41
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	9	2	14	14	47	4.02	774/1271	4.02	4.04	4.16	3.98	4.02
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	6	7	23	16	35	3.77	1053/1276	3.77	4.27	4.33	4.14	3.77
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	4	6	15	21	40	4.01	943/1273	4.01	4.33	4.38	4.18	4.01
4. Were special techniques successful	12	55	4	4	13	4	7	3.19	828/922	3.19	4.00	4.02	3.87	3.19

Course-Section: BIOL 101 01

Title: Concepts Of Biology

Instructor: Lake, Reagan A

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 140

Instructor: Lake, Reagan A														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	94	3	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/198	****	4.46	4.16	3.90	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	96	0	1	1	0	0	1	2.67	****/208	****	4.42	4.27	4.23	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	95	2	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/194	****	4.69	4.56	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	95	2	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/194	***	4.46	4.37	4.30	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	95	2	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/176	***	4.17	4.23	4.19	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	94	3	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/76	****	4.80	4.51	4.44	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	94	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	****	4.75	4.31	4.43	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	95	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	5.00	4.27	4.15	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	95	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/76	****	4.80	4.27	4.21	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	95	1	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	****/73	****	4.40	3.94	3.82	****
Field Work														
Did field experience contribute to what you learned	95	0	3	0	0	0	1	2.00	****/42	****	****	4.00	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	95	0	3	0	0	0	1	2.00	****/41	****	****	4.06	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	95	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.74	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	96	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.09	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	96	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.34	4.87	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	96	0	1	0	0	1	1	3.33	****/43	***	4.17	4.43	4.68	***
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	96	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	***	4.17	4.53	4.51	***
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	96	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	4.00	4.43	4.33	****

Course-Section: BIOL 101 01

Title: Concepts Of Biology

Instructor: Lake, Reagan A

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 140

Questionnaires: 99

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	95	2	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/21	****	****	4.54	4.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	96	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	****	4.45	4.39	****

Credits	Earned	Cum. GP	Α	Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	16	0.00-0.99	4	Α	24	Required for Majors	55	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	7	1.00-1.99	0	В	32						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	18	General	20	Under-grad	99	Non-major	96
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	9	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	1	Electives	1	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	21						

Course-Section: BIOL 106 01

Title: The Human Organism

Instructor: Lake,Reagan A

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 132

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	1	14	15	35	4.29	875/1528	4.29	4.40	4.31	4.16	4.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	1	3	8	15	38	4.32	829/1527	4.32	4.27	4.28	4.23	4.32
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	3	3	9	14	35	4.17	889/1333	4.17	4.22	4.34	4.26	4.17
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	27	2	2	7	7	19	4.05	1024/1495	4.05	4.16	4.25	4.11	4.05
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	25	5	4	9	8	13	3.51	1209/1439	3.51	3.99	4.11	3.97	3.51
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	44	1	3	2	1	13	4.10	826/1425	4.10	4.02	4.12	3.93	4.10
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	3	8	12	41	4.42	558/1508	4.42	4.13	4.18	4.11	4.42
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	2	0	1	0	2	58	4.92	509/1526	4.92	4.83	4.66	4.57	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	14	5	3	1	12	19	13	3.79	1124/1490	3.79	4.09	4.11	4.02	3.79
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	1	9	52	4.82	352/1428	4.82	4.60	4.49	4.43	4.82
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	2	5	55	4.85	677/1436	4.85	4.78	4.74	4.70	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	1	6	6	49	4.66	420/1427	4.66	4.32	4.32	4.27	4.66
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	1	0	1	2	12	46	4.69	448/1425	4.69	4.39	4.34	4.31	4.69
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	3	3	2	6	5	42	4.40	432/1291	4.40	4.21	4.05	3.97	4.40
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	34	0	4	2	6	6	15	3.79	944/1271	3.79	4.04	4.16	3.98	3.79
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	36	0	2	2	11	6	10	3.65	1110/1276	3.65	4.27	4.33	4.14	3.65
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	36	0	1	4	6	5	15	3.94	995/1273	3.94	4.33	4.38	4.18	3.94
4. Were special techniques successful	35	16	3	1	4	2	6	3.44	****/922	***	4.00	4.02	3.87	****

Course-Section: BIOL 106 01

Title: The Human Organism

Instructor: Lake,Reagan A

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 132

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean 64 2 0 0 0 1 0 4.00							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	64	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/198	***	4.46	4.16	3.90	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	65	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/208	****	4.42	4.27	4.23	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	65	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/194	****	4.69	4.56	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	65	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/194	***	4.46	4.37	4.30	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	65	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/176	***	4.17	4.23	4.19	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	65	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/76	***	4.80	4.51	4.44	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	66	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/74	***	4.75	4.31	4.43	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	66	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	***	5.00	4.27	4.15	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	66	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/76	***	4.80	4.27	4.21	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	66	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	***	4.40	3.94	3.82	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	65	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	****/42	***	****	4.00	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	66	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/41	***	****	4.06	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	66	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	***	****	4.74	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	66	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	***	****	4.20	4.09	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	66	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	***	****	4.34	4.87	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	66	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/43	***	4.17	4.43	4.68	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	66	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	4.17	4.53	4.51	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	66	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	***	4.00	4.43	4.33	****

Course-Section: BIOL 106 01

Title: The Human Organism

Instructor: Lake,Reagan A

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 132

Questionnaires: 67

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	66	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/21	****	****	4.54	4.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	66	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/20	****	***	4.45	4.39	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	10	0.00-0.99	0	Α	17	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	7	1.00-1.99	1	В	24						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	2	С	13	General	42	Under-grad	67	Non-major	65
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	6	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	3				
				?	12						

Course-Section: BIOL 109 1

Title: Life: Intro To Mod Biol

Instructor: Caruso, Steven M

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 49

	_		Frequencies					In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	1	7	10	11	9	3.53	1401/1528	3.53	4.40	4.31	4.16	3.53
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	2	9	10	18	4.13	1025/1527	4.13	4.27	4.28	4.23	4.13
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	5	3	1	16	14	3.79	1149/1333	3.79	4.22	4.34	4.26	3.79
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	2	5	4	15	13	3.82	1202/1495	3.82	4.16	4.25	4.11	3.82
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	2	2	4	6	14	10	3.72	1090/1439	3.72	3.99	4.11	3.97	3.72
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	4	3	11	10	10	3.50	1211/1425	3.50	4.02	4.12	3.93	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	2	1	5	10	20	4.18	870/1508	4.18	4.13	4.18	4.11	4.18
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	1	0	0	0	4	33	4.89	583/1526	4.89	4.83	4.66	4.57	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	1	0	0	5	14	9	4.14	800/1490	4.14	4.09	4.11	4.02	4.14
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	2	6	27	4.71	553/1428	4.71	4.60	4.49	4.43	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	3	3	29	4.74	933/1436	4.74	4.78	4.74	4.70	4.74
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	1	3	8	23	4.51	613/1427	4.51	4.32	4.32	4.27	4.51
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	1	0	1	5	9	19	4.35	854/1425	4.35	4.39	4.34	4.31	4.35
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	1	3	5	5	21	4.20	574/1291	4.20	4.21	4.05	3.97	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	5	2	2	7	6	3.32	1141/1271	3.32	4.04	4.16	3.98	3.32
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	2	2	6	7	5	3.50	1152/1276	3.50	4.27	4.33	4.14	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	7	6	9	4.09	912/1273	4.09	4.33	4.38	4.18	4.09
4. Were special techniques successful	19	5	4	0	4	5	3	3.19	828/922	3.19	4.00	4.02	3.87	3.19

Course-Section: BIOL 109 1

Title: Life: Intro To Mod Biol

Instructor: Caruso, Steven M

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 49

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	6	0	1	3	5	10	15	4.03	135/198	4.03	4.46	4.16	3.90	4.03
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	6	0	0	2	5	7	20	4.32	110/208	4.32	4.42	4.27	4.23	4.32
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	6	1	0	0	2	4	27	4.76	76/194	4.76	4.69	4.56	4.54	4.76
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	6	1	2	4	4	10	13	3.85	170/194	3.85	4.46	4.37	4.30	3.85
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	6	1	2	4	2	7	18	4.06	121/176	4.06	4.17	4.23	4.19	4.06
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	38	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	4.80	4.51	4.44	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	38	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	***	4.75	4.31	4.43	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	38	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	***	5.00	4.27	4.15	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	38	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/76	***	4.80	4.27	4.21	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	38	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/73	***	4.40	3.94	3.82	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	38	0	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	****/42	***	****	4.00	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	38	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/41	***	****	4.06	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	38	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	***	****	4.74	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	38	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	***	****	4.20	4.09	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	38	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/43	***	4.17	4.43	4.68	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	38	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	4.17	4.53	4.51	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	38	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/36	****	4.00	4.43	4.33	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	38	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/21	***	****	4.54	4.63	***

Title: Life: Intro To Mod Biol	Course-Section:
	Title:

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	38	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	****	4.45	4.39	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	1	Α	6	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	0	В	20						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	4	С	4	General	23	Under-grad	40	Non-major	40
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	7	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	6						

Course-Section: BIOL 109 1

Title: Life: Intro To Mod Biol

Instructor: Schneider, Susan

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 49

Thisti uctor. Schilleluer, Susain	Frequencies						Įn:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean		Mean		
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	1	7	10	11	9	3.53	1401/1528	3.53	4.40	4.31	4.16	3.53
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	2	9	10	18	4.13	1025/1527	4.13	4.27	4.28	4.23	4.13
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	5	3	1	16	14	3.79	1149/1333	3.79	4.22	4.34	4.26	3.79
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	2	5	4	15	13	3.82	1202/1495	3.82	4.16	4.25	4.11	3.82
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	2	2	4	6	14	10	3.72	1090/1439	3.72	3.99	4.11	3.97	3.72
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	4	3	11	10	10	3.50	1211/1425	3.50	4.02	4.12	3.93	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	2	1	5	10	20	4.18	870/1508	4.18	4.13	4.18	4.11	4.18
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	1	0	0	0	4	33	4.89	583/1526	4.89	4.83	4.66	4.57	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	34	0	1	0	0	3	2	3.83	****/1490	4.14	4.09	4.11	4.02	4.14
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	34	0	0	1	1	2	2	3.83	****/1428	4.71	4.60	4.49	4.43	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	33	0	1	2	1	0	3	3.29	****/1436	4.74	4.78	4.74	4.70	4.74
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	33	0	1	2	2	0	2	3.00	****/1427	4.51	4.32	4.32	4.27	4.51
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	33	0	1	2	1	0	3	3.29	****/1425	4.35	4.39	4.34	4.31	4.35
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	33	1	0	1	2	1	2	3.67	****/1291	4.20	4.21	4.05	3.97	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	5	2	2	7	6	3.32	1141/1271	3.32	4.04	4.16	3.98	3.32
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	2	2	6	7	5	3.50	1152/1276	3.50	4.27	4.33	4.14	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	7	6	9	4.09	912/1273	4.09	4.33	4.38	4.18	4.09
4. Were special techniques successful	19	5	4	0	4	5	3	3.19	828/922	3.19	4.00	4.02	3.87	3.19

Course-Section: BIOL 109 1

Title: Life: Intro To Mod Biol

Instructor: Schneider, Susan

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 49

Tristructor. Scrinelaer, Susair														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	6	0	1	3	5	10	15	4.03	135/198	4.03	4.46	4.16	3.90	4.03
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	6	0	0	2	5	7	20	4.32	110/208	4.32	4.42	4.27	4.23	4.32
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	6	1	0	0	2	4	27	4.76	76/194	4.76	4.69	4.56	4.54	4.76
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	6	1	2	4	4	10	13	3.85	170/194	3.85	4.46	4.37	4.30	3.85
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	6	1	2	4	2	7	18	4.06	121/176	4.06	4.17	4.23	4.19	4.06
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	38	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	4.80	4.51	4.44	***
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	38	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	***	4.75	4.31	4.43	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	38	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	***	5.00	4.27	4.15	***
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	38	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/76	***	4.80	4.27	4.21	***
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	38	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/73	***	4.40	3.94	3.82	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	38	0	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	****/42	****	****	4.00	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	38	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/41	***	****	4.06	4.10	***
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	38	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	***	****	4.74	5.00	***
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	38	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	***	****	4.20	4.09	***
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	38	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/43	****	4.17	4.43	4.68	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	38	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	***	4.17	4.53	4.51	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	38	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/36	***	4.00	4.43	4.33	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	38	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/21	***	****	4.54	4.63	****

rse-Section: BIOL 109 1	Term - Fall 2010	Enrollment: 49
Title: Life: Intro To Mod Biol		Questionnaires: 40
Instructor: Schnoider Susan		

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	38	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	***	4.45	4.39	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	1	Α	6	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	0	В	20						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	4	С	4	General	23	Under-grad	40	Non-major	40
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	7	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	6						

Course-Section: BIOL 141 01

Title: Foundations of Biology

Instructor: Sokolove, Philli

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 277

	Frequencies					In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	querio	Δ1 C 3	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General	IVIX	IVA	'	2	J	4	J	Mean	Nank	Wicari	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Did you gain new insights, skills from this course		0	4	F	22	70	00	117	1004/1500	4.17	4.40	4.31	11/	4 17
	2	0	4	5	32	79	90	4.17	1004/1528	4.17	4.40		4.16	4.17
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	11	10	50	75	63	3.81	1279/1527	3.81	4.27	4.28	4.23	3.81
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	12	29	49	62	58	3.60	1217/1333	3.60	4.22	4.34	4.26	3.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	67	6	24	40	41	30	3.46	1378/1495	3.46	4.16	4.25	4.11	3.46
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	6	3	5	28	59	107	4.30	615/1439	4.30	3.99	4.11	3.97	4.30
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	102	15	12	20	36	23	3.38	1272/1425	3.38	4.02	4.12	3.93	3.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	10	17	40	62	80	3.89	1150/1508	3.89	4.13	4.18	4.11	3.89
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	2	1	0	1	92	113	4.53	1044/1526	4.53	4.83	4.66	4.57	4.53
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	1	15	15	52	98	26	3.51	1269/1490	3.56	4.09	4.11	4.02	3.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	3	5	13	57	132	4.48	887/1428	4.47	4.60	4.49	4.43	4.47
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	3	2	15	42	147	4.57	1141/1436	4.60	4.78	4.74	4.70	4.60
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	7	13	38	72	79	3.97	1104/1427	3.98	4.32	4.32	4.27	3.98
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	15	10	43	57	85	3.89	1162/1425	3.94	4.39	4.34	4.31	3.94
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	8	14	10	35	56	84	3.93	803/1291	3.96	4.21	4.05	3.97	3.96
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	36	0	14	21	47	46	48	3.53	1069/1271	3.53	4.04	4.16	3.98	3.53
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	36	0	5	9	34	51	77	4.06	908/1276	4.06	4.27	4.33	4.14	4.06
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	37	0	9	12	29	42	83	4.02	943/1273	4.02	4.33	4.38	4.18	4.02
4. Were special techniques successful	37	6	17	13	29	51	59	3.72	629/922	3.72	4.00	4.02	3.87	3.72

Course-Section: BIOL 141 01

Title: Foundations of Biology

Instructor: Sokolove, Philli

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 277

Instructor: Sokolove, Philli														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	196	10	3	1	1	0	1	2.17	****/198	***	4.46	4.16	3.90	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	201	0	3	1	2	2	3	3.09	****/208	***	4.42	4.27	4.23	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	201	5	0	1	1	3	1	3.67	****/194	***	4.69	4.56	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	202	6	0	1	1	2	0	3.25	****/194	****	4.46	4.37	4.30	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	202	5	1	1	1	2	0	2.80	****/176	***	4.17	4.23	4.19	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	198	7	1	0	2	3	1	3.43	****/76	***	4.80	4.51	4.44	***
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	202	5	0	1	2	2	0	3.20	****/74	***	4.75	4.31	4.43	***
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	202	6	1	0	1	2	0	3.00	****/66	***	5.00	4.27	4.15	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	202	5	0	2	1	2	0	3.00	****/76	***	4.80	4.27	4.21	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	202	3	1	1	1	1	3	3.57	****/73	***	4.40	3.94	3.82	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	201	0	4	2	2	2	1	2.45	****/42	***	****	4.00	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	202	0	3	0	2	2	3	3.20	****/41	***	****	4.06	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	202	4	0	1	1	4	0	3.50	****/30	***	****	4.74	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	202	5	0	2	1	2	0	3.00	****/32	***	****	4.20	4.09	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	202	5	0	3	0	2	0	2.80	****/29	***	***	4.34	4.87	***
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	202	0	1	0	4	4	1	3.40	****/43	****	4.17	4.43	4.68	***
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	201	1	0	2	1	4	3	3.80	****/31	****	4.17	4.53	4.51	***
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	201	3	0	2	2	3	1	3.38	****/36	****	4.00	4.43	4.33	****

Course-Section: BIOL 141 01

Title: Foundations of Biology

Instructor: Sokolove,Philli

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 277

Questionnaires: 212

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	201	4	1	3	2	1	0	2.43	****/21	****	***	4.54	4.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	201	2	1	2	3	0	3	3.22	****/20	****	****	4.45	4.39	****

Earned	Cum. GP	Α	Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
64	0.00-0.99	15	Α	57	Required for Majors	183	Graduate	0	Major	95
24	1.00-1.99	1	В	79						
13	2.00-2.99	8	С	43	General	8	Under-grad	212	Non-major	117
6	3.00-3.49	13	D	2						
0	3.50-4.00	32	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means th	nere are not	enough responses	
			Р	0			to be significar	nt		
			I	0	Other	7				
			?	14						
_	64 24 13 6	64 0.00-0.99 24 1.00-1.99 13 2.00-2.99 6 3.00-3.49	64 0.00-0.99 15 24 1.00-1.99 1 13 2.00-2.99 8 6 3.00-3.49 13	64 0.00-0.99 15 A 24 1.00-1.99 1 B 13 2.00-2.99 8 C 6 3.00-3.49 13 D 0 3.50-4.00 32 F P	64 0.00-0.99 15 A 57 24 1.00-1.99 1 B 79 13 2.00-2.99 8 C 43 6 3.00-3.49 13 D 2 0 3.50-4.00 32 F 0 P 0 I 0	64 0.00-0.99 15 A 57 Required for Majors 24 1.00-1.99 1 B 79 13 2.00-2.99 8 C 43 General 6 3.00-3.49 13 D 2 0 3.50-4.00 32 F 0 Electives P 0 I 0 Other	64 0.00-0.99 15 A 57 Required for Majors 183 24 1.00-1.99 1 B 79 13 2.00-2.99 8 C 43 General 8 6 3.00-3.49 13 D 2 0 3.50-4.00 32 F 0 Electives 1 P 0 I 0 Other 7	64 0.00-0.99 15 A 57 Required for Majors 183 Graduate 24 1.00-1.99 1 B 79 13 2.00-2.99 8 C 43 General 8 Under-grad 6 3.00-3.49 13 D 2 0 3.50-4.00 32 F 0 Electives 1 **** - Means the policy of th	64 0.00-0.99 15 A 57 Required for Majors 183 Graduate 0 24 1.00-1.99 1 B 79 13 2.00-2.99 8 C 43 General 8 Under-grad 212 6 3.00-3.49 13 D 2 0 3.50-4.00 32 F 0 Electives 1 **** - Means there are not to be significant I 0 Other 7	64 0.00-0.99 15 A 57 Required for Majors 183 Graduate 0 Major 24 1.00-1.99 1 B 79 13 2.00-2.99 8 C 43 General 8 Under-grad 212 Non-major 6 3.00-3.49 13 D 2 0 3.50-4.00 32 F 0 Electives 1 **** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant I 0 Other 7

Course-Section: BIOL 141 01

Title: Foundations of Biology

Instructor: Miller, Stephen

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 277

miner, stephen				Ero	quen	cios		ln	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	quen	a d	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean			
General	IVIX	IVA	'		J	4	J	Mean	Karik	Wearr	Meari	IVICALI	Mean	IVICALI
				_	0.0	70			1001/1500		4.40			
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	4	5	32	79	90	4.17	1004/1528	4.17	4.40	4.31	4.16	4.17
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	11	10	50	75	63	3.81	1279/1527	3.81	4.27	4.28	4.23	3.81
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	12	29	49	62	58	3.60	1217/1333	3.60	4.22	4.34	4.26	3.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	67	6	24	40	41	30	3.46	1378/1495	3.46	4.16	4.25	4.11	3.46
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	6	3	5	28	59	107	4.30	615/1439	4.30	3.99	4.11	3.97	4.30
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	102	15	12	20	36	23	3.38	1272/1425	3.38	4.02	4.12	3.93	3.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	10	17	40	62	80	3.89	1150/1508	3.89	4.13	4.18	4.11	3.89
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	2	1	0	1	92	113	4.53	1044/1526	4.53	4.83	4.66	4.57	4.53
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	1	5	12	65	92	27	3.62	1233/1490	3.56	4.09	4.11	4.02	3.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	13	0	2	2	17	58	120	4.47	898/1428	4.47	4.60	4.49	4.43	4.47
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	14	0	0	2	11	44	141	4.64	1078/1436	4.60	4.78	4.74	4.70	4.60
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	14	0	6	8	42	67	75	3.99	1088/1427	3.98	4.32	4.32	4.27	3.98
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	14	0	9	12	32	63	82	3.99	1084/1425	3.94	4.39	4.34	4.31	3.94
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	15	11	10	9	36	48	83	3.99	738/1291	3.96	4.21	4.05	3.97	3.96
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	36	0	14	21	47	46	48	3.53	1069/1271	3.53	4.04	4.16	3.98	3.53
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	36	0	5	9	34	51	77	4.06	908/1276	4.06	4.27	4.33	4.14	4.06
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	37	0	9	12	29	42	83	4.02	943/1273	4.02	4.33	4.38	4.18	4.02
4. Were special techniques successful	37	6	17	13	29	51	59	3.72	629/922	3.72	4.00	4.02	3.87	3.72

Course-Section: BIOL 141 01

Title: Foundations of Biology

Instructor: Miller, Stephen

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 277

	-			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
Did the lab increase understanding of the material	196	10	3	1	1	0	1	2.17	****/198	****	4.46	4.16	3.90	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	201	0	3	1	2	2	3	3.09	****/208	***	4.42	4.27	4.23	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	201	5	0	1	1	3	1	3.67	****/194	****	4.69	4.56	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	202	6	0	1	1	2	0	3.25	****/194	****	4.46	4.37	4.30	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	202	5	1	1	1	2	0	2.80	****/176	****	4.17	4.23	4.19	****
Seminar														
Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	198	7	1	0	2	3	1	3.43	****/76	****	4.80	4.51	4.44	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	202	5	0	1	2	2	0	3.20	****/74	***	4.75	4.31	4.43	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	202	6	1	0	1	2	0	3.00	****/66	***	5.00	4.27	4.15	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	202	5	0	2	1	2	0	3.00	****/76	****	4.80	4.27	4.21	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	202	3	1	1	1	1	3	3.57	****/73	****	4.40	3.94	3.82	****
Field Work														
Did field experience contribute to what you learned	201	0	4	2	2	2	1	2.45	****/42	****	****	4.00	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	202	0	3	0	2	2	3	3.20	****/41	***	****	4.06	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	202	4	0	1	1	4	0	3.50	****/30	***	****	4.74	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	202	5	0	2	1	2	0	3.00	****/32	***	****	4.20	4.09	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	202	5	0	3	0	2	0	2.80	****/29	***	****	4.34	4.87	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	202	0	1	0	4	4	1	3.40	****/43	***	4.17	4.43	4.68	***
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	201	1	0	2	1	4	3	3.80	****/31	***	4.17	4.53	4.51	***
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	201	3	0	2	2	3	1	3.38	****/36	***	4.00	4.43	4.33	****

Course-Section: BIOL 141 01

Title: Foundations of Biology

Instructor: Miller, Stephen

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 277

Questionnaires: 212

				Fre	quend	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	201	4	1	3	2	1	0	2.43	****/21	****	***	4.54	4.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	201	2	1	2	3	0	3	3.22	****/20	****	***	4.45	4.39	****

						, ,					
Credits E	Earned	Cum. GP	Α	Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	64	0.00-0.99	15	Α	57	Required for Majors	183	Graduate	0	Major	95
28-55	24	1.00-1.99	1	В	79						
56-83	13	2.00-2.99	8	С	43	General	8	Under-grad	212	Non-major	117
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	13	D	2						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	32	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means th	nere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significa	nt		
				I	0	Other	7				
				?	14						

Course-Section: BIOL 141H 02

Title: Foundations of BiologyInstructor: Sokolove, Philli

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 20

<u> </u>	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	5	12	4.53	613/1528	4.53	4.40	4.31	4.16	4.53
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	6	11	4.47	623/1527	4.47	4.27	4.28	4.23	4.47
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	1	1	6	3	4.00	1003/1333	4.00	4.22	4.34	4.26	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	8	7	4.11	1002/1495	4.11	4.16	4.25	4.11	4.11
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	4	2	11	4.16	753/1439	4.16	3.99	4.11	3.97	4.16
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	2	5	9	4.11	816/1425	4.11	4.02	4.12	3.93	4.11
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	3	1	4	6	4	3.39	1362/1508	3.39	4.13	4.18	4.11	3.39
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	10	9	4.47	1091/1526	4.47	4.83	4.66	4.57	4.47
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	12	6	4.26	663/1490	4.26	4.09	4.11	4.02	4.26
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	13	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	335/1428	4.83	4.60	4.49	4.43	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	13	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.78	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	13	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	420/1427	4.67	4.32	4.32	4.27	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	242/1425	4.83	4.39	4.34	4.31	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	12	2	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	425/1291	4.40	4.21	4.05	3.97	4.40
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	4	4	8	4.06	765/1271	4.06	4.04	4.16	3.98	4.06
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	2	2	13	4.65	461/1276	4.65	4.27	4.33	4.14	4.65
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	2	5	10	4.47	663/1273	4.47	4.33	4.38	4.18	4.47
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	1	1	2	13	4.59	185/922	4.59	4.00	4.02	3.87	4.59

Course-S	Section:	BIOL 141H	02				Term	n - Fall 201	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	20
	Title:	Foundations	s of Bi	ology-										Q	uestion	naires:	19
Ins	tructor:	Sokolove,Ph	nilli														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	7	0.00-0.99	1	Α	13		Requ	ired for Ma	ors	14		Graduate	0		Major		11
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	4												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	19		Non-ma	ajor	8
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			2							
				?	2												

Course-Section: BIOL 215H 1

Title: Ebiology - Phage Hunters

Instructor: Sandoz, James W

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	4	8	4.19	994/1528	4.19	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.19
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	5	4	5	3.75	1308/1527	3.75	4.27	4.28	4.32	3.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	7	6	4.13	934/1333	4.13	4.22	4.34	4.40	4.13
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	4	1	4	5	3.38	1401/1495	3.38	4.16	4.25	4.28	3.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	4	0	5	4	3.69	1112/1439	3.69	3.99	4.11	4.12	3.69
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	4	3	2	6	3.50	1211/1425	3.50	4.02	4.12	4.11	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	4	1	7	4	0	2.69	1473/1508	2.69	4.13	4.18	4.19	2.69
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	811/1526	4.75	4.83	4.66	4.64	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	4	9	1	3.79	1130/1490	3.54	4.09	4.11	4.11	3.54
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	1	3	2	5	4.00	1202/1428	4.09	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.09
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	806/1436	4.82	4.78	4.74	4.76	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	1	0	3	4	3	3.73	1238/1427	3.68	4.32	4.32	4.33	3.68
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	1	2	3	4	3.73	1236/1425	3.82	4.39	4.34	4.37	3.82
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	2	0	1	2	3	3	3.89	849/1291	3.79	4.21	4.05	4.14	3.79
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	3	0	2	1	3.17	1176/1271	3.17	4.04	4.16	4.21	3.17
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	2	1	0	3	3.67	1102/1276	3.67	4.27	4.33	4.37	3.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	877/1273	4.17	4.33	4.38	4.43	4.17
4. Were special techniques successful	10	4	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/922	***	4.00	4.02	4.11	***

Course-Section: BIOL 215H 1

Title: Ebiology - Phage Hunters
Instructor: Sandoz, James W

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	2	0	0	0	2	3	9	4.50	58/198	4.50	4.46	4.16	4.41	4.50
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	3	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	66/208	4.54	4.42	4.27	4.30	4.54
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	2	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	65/194	4.79	4.69	4.56	4.57	4.79
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	2	0	0	0	4	3	7	4.21	142/194	4.21	4.46	4.37	4.43	4.21
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	2	3	2	1	2	4	2	3.27	167/176	3.27	4.17	4.23	4.18	3.27
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	4.80	4.51	4.17	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	15	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/74	****	4.75	4.31	3.91	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	****	5.00	4.27	3.85	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/76	****	4.80	4.27	4.15	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	15	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/73	***	4.40	3.94	3.95	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	10	Under-grad	16	Non-major	16
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: BIOL 215H 1

Title: Ebiology - Phage Hunters

Instructor: Caruso, Steven M

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 18

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	4	8	4.19	994/1528	4.19	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.19
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	5	4	5	3.75	1308/1527	3.75	4.27	4.28	4.32	3.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	7	6	4.13	934/1333	4.13	4.22	4.34	4.40	4.13
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	4	1	4	5	3.38	1401/1495	3.38	4.16	4.25	4.28	3.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	4	0	5	4	3.69	1112/1439	3.69	3.99	4.11	4.12	3.69
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	4	3	2	6	3.50	1211/1425	3.50	4.02	4.12	4.11	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	4	1	7	4	0	2.69	1473/1508	2.69	4.13	4.18	4.19	2.69
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	811/1526	4.75	4.83	4.66	4.64	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	1	1	6	5	1	3.29	1353/1490	3.54	4.09	4.11	4.11	3.54
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	3	3	5	4.18	1126/1428	4.09	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.09
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	806/1436	4.82	4.78	4.74	4.76	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	1	0	4	3	3	3.64	1269/1427	3.68	4.32	4.32	4.33	3.68
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	0	2	4	4	3.91	1157/1425	3.82	4.39	4.34	4.37	3.82
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	1	0	2	2	3	3	3.70	973/1291	3.79	4.21	4.05	4.14	3.79
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	3	0	2	1	3.17	1176/1271	3.17	4.04	4.16	4.21	3.17
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	2	1	0	3	3.67	1102/1276	3.67	4.27	4.33	4.37	3.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	877/1273	4.17	4.33	4.38	4.43	4.17
4. Were special techniques successful	10	4	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/922	****	4.00	4.02	4.11	****

Course-Section: BIOL 215H 1

Title: Ebiology - Phage Hunters

Instructor: Caruso, Steven M

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 16

	,			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	2	0	0	0	2	3	9	4.50	58/198	4.50	4.46	4.16	4.41	4.50
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	3	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	66/208	4.54	4.42	4.27	4.30	4.54
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	2	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	65/194	4.79	4.69	4.56	4.57	4.79
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	2	0	0	0	4	3	7	4.21	142/194	4.21	4.46	4.37	4.43	4.21
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	2	3	2	1	2	4	2	3.27	167/176	3.27	4.17	4.23	4.18	3.27
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	4.80	4.51	4.17	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	15	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/74	***	4.75	4.31	3.91	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	***	5.00	4.27	3.85	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/76	***	4.80	4.27	4.15	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	15	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/73	***	4.40	3.94	3.95	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	10	Under-grad	16	Non-major	16
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: BIOL 233 01

Title: Nutrition And Health

Instructor: Leupen, Sarah Ma

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 59

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	5	28	4.71	362/1528	4.71	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	32	4.91	105/1527	4.91	4.27	4.28	4.32	4.91
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	32	4.89	165/1333	4.89	4.22	4.34	4.40	4.89
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	1	4	28	4.74	247/1495	4.74	4.16	4.25	4.28	4.74
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	2	6	24	4.69	222/1439	4.69	3.99	4.11	4.12	4.69
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	7	25	4.63	283/1425	4.63	4.02	4.12	4.11	4.63
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	6	29	4.83	134/1508	4.83	4.13	4.18	4.19	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	33	4.94	340/1526	4.94	4.83	4.66	4.64	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	2	4	23	4.72	177/1490	4.72	4.09	4.11	4.11	4.72
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	3	32	4.91	199/1428	4.91	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.91
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	34	4.97	155/1436	4.97	4.78	4.74	4.76	4.97
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	32	4.91	124/1427	4.91	4.32	4.32	4.33	4.91
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	31	4.86	220/1425	4.86	4.39	4.34	4.37	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	1	0	1	8	24	4.59	267/1291	4.59	4.21	4.05	4.14	4.59
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	1	2	3	14	4.50	446/1271	4.50	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	0	0	0	3	17	4.85	246/1276	4.85	4.27	4.33	4.37	4.85
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	0	0	0	1	19	4.95	118/1273	4.95	4.33	4.38	4.43	4.95
4. Were special techniques successful	15	0	0	0	2	2	16	4.70	146/922	4.70	4.00	4.02	4.11	4.70

Course-Section: BIOL 233 01

Title: Nutrition And Health

Instructor: Leupen, Sarah Ma

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 59

Questionnaires: 35

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/208	****	4.42	4.27	4.30	****
Seminar														
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	5.00	4.27	3.85	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	4.80	4.27	4.15	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.40	3.94	3.95	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	****	4.17	4.43	3.95	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	24	Required for Majors	26	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	4	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	35	Non-major	30
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	6	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: BIOL 251 1

Title: Anatomy & Physiology I

Instructor: Fleischmann,Est

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 117

Questionnaires: 91

'				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	1	1	6	80	4.88	183/1528	4.88	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	7	24	58	4.57	489/1527	4.57	4.27	4.28	4.32	4.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	2	9	21	57	4.49	578/1333	4.49	4.22	4.34	4.40	4.49
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	40	0	2	4	10	33	4.51	483/1495	4.51	4.16	4.25	4.28	4.51
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	2	0	1	11	23	50	4.44	459/1439	4.44	3.99	4.11	4.12	4.44
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	62	2	0	3	4	16	4.28	635/1425	4.28	4.02	4.12	4.11	4.28
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	2	8	24	54	4.48	489/1508	4.48	4.13	4.18	4.19	4.48
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	2	86	4.98	170/1526	4.98	4.83	4.66	4.64	4.98
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	16	3	2	0	3	28	39	4.42	479/1490	4.42	4.09	4.11	4.11	4.42
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	4	17	66	4.71	553/1428	4.71	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	5	82	4.94	310/1436	4.94	4.78	4.74	4.76	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	4	26	55	4.60	506/1427	4.60	4.32	4.32	4.33	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	3	10	73	4.81	265/1425	4.81	4.39	4.34	4.37	4.81
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	19	4	3	6	13	38	4.22	567/1291	4.22	4.21	4.05	4.14	4.22
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	73	0	2	2	2	4	8	3.78	****/1271	***	4.04	4.16	4.21	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	73	0	1	0	5	2	10	4.11	****/1276	***	4.27	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	73	0	1	1	4	2	10	4.06	****/1273	***	4.33	4.38	4.43	****
4. Were special techniques successful	73	12	2	0	0	0	4	3.67	****/922	***	4.00	4.02	4.11	***

Course-Section: BIOL 251 1

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 117

Title: Anatomy & Physiology I

Instructor: Fleischmann, Est

Questionnaires: 91

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	90	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/198	***	4.46	4.16	4.41	***
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	89	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/208	***	4.42	4.27	4.30	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	89	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/194	***	4.69	4.56	4.57	***
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	89	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/194	***	4.46	4.37	4.43	***
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	90	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	***	****	4.00	3.68	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	90	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/41	***	****	4.06	3.81	***
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	90	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	***	****	4.34	4.11	***
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	90	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	***	4.17	4.43	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	90	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	***	4.17	4.53	4.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	90	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	***	4.00	4.43	3.75	****

Credits E	Earned	Cum. GP.	Α	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	19	Required for Majors	52	Graduate	0	Major	25
28-55	9	1.00-1.99	0	В	33						
56-83	10	2.00-2.99	9	С	16	General	1	Under-grad	91	Non-major	66
84-150	16	3.00-3.49	21	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	11	F	0	Electives	9	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	7				

Course-Section: BIOL 251 1			Term	ı - Fal	1 2010)						Enro	Ilment:	117
Title: Anatomy & Physiology I											Qı	uestion	naires:	91
Instructor: Fleischmann,Est														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
2	10													

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:20:35 AM

Course-Section: BIOL 251L 02

Title: Anatomy & Physiol Lab I

Instructor: Fleischmann,Est

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 25

'	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	20	4.79	251/1528	4.85	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.79
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	8	14	4.57	501/1527	4.68	4.27	4.28	4.32	4.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	2	4	8	10	4.08	962/1333	4.36	4.22	4.34	4.40	4.08
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	8	1	2	1	4	8	4.00	1047/1495	4.31	4.16	4.25	4.28	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	2	5	16	4.61	292/1439	4.49	3.99	4.11	4.12	4.61
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	13	1	0	3	1	5	3.90	976/1425	4.29	4.02	4.12	4.11	3.90
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	2	2	3	15	4.26	771/1508	4.36	4.13	4.18	4.19	4.26
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	23	4.96	283/1526	4.97	4.83	4.66	4.64	4.96
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	2	6	10	4.44	434/1490	4.54	4.09	4.11	4.11	4.44
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	1	3	4	11	4.32	1037/1428	4.64	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.32
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	1	2	16	4.79	870/1436	4.91	4.78	4.74	4.76	4.79
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	1	2	7	9	4.26	908/1427	4.54	4.32	4.32	4.33	4.26
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	0	2	6	10	4.26	922/1425	4.64	4.39	4.34	4.37	4.26
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	3	0	0	3	2	11	4.50	327/1291	4.28	4.21	4.05	4.14	4.50
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	1	0	2	3	4.17	701/1271	4.25	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.17
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	591/1276	4.67	4.27	4.33	4.37	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	776/1273	4.42	4.33	4.38	4.43	4.33
4. Were special techniques successful	18	3	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	****/922	****	4.00	4.02	4.11	****

Course-Section: BIOL 251L 02

Title: Anatomy & Physiol Lab I

Instructor: Fleischmann,Est

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 25

Questionnaires: 24

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	8	0	1	0	2	2	11	4.38	91/198	4.70	4.46	4.16	4.41	4.38
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	8	0	0	0	1	6	9	4.50	68/208	4.66	4.42	4.27	4.30	4.50
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	8	0	0	0	3	2	11	4.50	131/194	4.47	4.69	4.56	4.57	4.50
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	8	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	49/194	4.72	4.46	4.37	4.43	4.69
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	8	11	0	0	2	0	3	4.20	****/176	***	4.17	4.23	4.18	****
Seminar														
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	23	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/76	***	4.80	4.27	4.15	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	23	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/73	***	4.40	3.94	3.95	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	23	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/42	***	****	4.00	3.68	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	23	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/41	***	****	4.06	3.81	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	23	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	***	****	4.34	4.11	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	23	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/43	***	4.17	4.43	3.95	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	23	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/21	***	****	4.54	3.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	23	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/20	***	****	4.45	3.77	***

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	5	General	0	Under-grad	24	Non-major	16

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:20:35 AM

Course-Section: BIOL 251L 02					Term - Fall 2010						Enrollment: 25									
Title: Anatomy & Physiol Lab I															Q	uestion	naires:	24		
Instructor: Fleischmann,Est																				
						Frequencies						Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions						NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean		
Self Paced																				
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	9	D	1															
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves			1 **** - Means there a					re not enough responses				
				Р	0						1	to be significa								
				1	0		Other				1									
				?	8															

Course-Section: BIOL 251L 03

Title: Anatomy & Physiol Lab I

Instructor: Fleischmann,Est

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 25

	•	Frequencies			Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions		NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
Did you gain new insights, skills from this course		0	0	0	0	3	20	4.87	191/1528	4.85	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.87
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals		0	0	0	0	4	19	4.83	188/1527	4.68	4.27	4.28	4.32	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals		0	0	0	1	9	13	4.52	542/1333	4.36	4.22	4.34	4.40	4.52
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals		5	0	1	0	9	8	4.33	746/1495	4.31	4.16	4.25	4.28	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned		0	1	0	2	4	16	4.48	406/1439	4.49	3.99	4.11	4.12	4.48
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned		9	0	0	2	5	7	4.36	563/1425	4.29	4.02	4.12	4.11	4.36
7. Was the grading system clearly explained		0	0	2	4	4	12	4.18	870/1508	4.36	4.13	4.18	4.19	4.18
8. How many times was class cancelled		0	0	0	0	0	23	5.00	1/1526	4.97	4.83	4.66	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness		0	0	1	0	6	10	4.47	389/1490	4.54	4.09	4.11	4.11	4.47
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	4	18	4.82	368/1428	4.64	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.82
. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject		0	0	0	0	0	22	5.00	1/1436	4.91	4.78	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly		0	0	0	1	5	16	4.68	392/1427	4.54	4.32	4.32	4.33	4.68
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned		0	0	0	0	3	19	4.86	208/1425	4.64	4.39	4.34	4.37	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	7	0	0	2	2	9	4.54	304/1291	4.28	4.21	4.05	4.14	4.54
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	598/1271	4.25	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate		0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	268/1276	4.67	4.27	4.33	4.37	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion		0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	637/1273	4.42	4.33	4.38	4.43	4.50
4. Were special techniques successful		1	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	****/922	***	4.00	4.02	4.11	****

Course-Section: BIOL 251L 03

Title: Anatomy & Physiol Lab I

Instructor: Fleischmann,Est

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 25

Questionnaires: 23

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	6	0	0	0	1	1	15	4.82	16/198	4.70	4.46	4.16	4.41	4.82
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	6	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	32/208	4.66	4.42	4.27	4.30	4.76
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	6	0	0	0	2	7	8	4.35	149/194	4.47	4.69	4.56	4.57	4.35
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	6	0	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	58/194	4.72	4.46	4.37	4.43	4.65
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	6	13	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	****/176	***	4.17	4.23	4.18	***

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	7	С	7	General	0	Under-grad	23	Non-major	22
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: BIOL 251L 04

Title: Anatomy & Physiol Lab I

Instructor: Fleischmann,Est

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 23

<u> </u>				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	175/1528	4.85	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	396/1527	4.68	4.27	4.28	4.32	4.65
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	0	6	10	4.47	606/1333	4.36	4.22	4.34	4.40	4.47
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	7	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	369/1495	4.31	4.16	4.25	4.28	4.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	1	1	5	9	4.38	530/1439	4.49	3.99	4.11	4.12	4.38
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	12	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	301/1425	4.29	4.02	4.12	4.11	4.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	306/1508	4.36	4.13	4.18	4.19	4.65
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	340/1526	4.97	4.83	4.66	4.64	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	184/1490	4.54	4.09	4.11	4.11	4.71
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	385/1428	4.64	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	361/1436	4.91	4.78	4.74	4.76	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	420/1427	4.54	4.32	4.32	4.33	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	277/1425	4.64	4.39	4.34	4.37	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	10	1	0	1	0	3	3.80	902/1291	4.28	4.21	4.05	4.14	3.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1271	4.25	4.04	4.16	4.21	***
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1276	4.67	4.27	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	16	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1273	4.42	4.33	4.38	4.43	****
4. Were special techniques successful	16	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/922	***	4.00	4.02	4.11	****

Course-Section: BIOL 251L 04

Title: Anatomy & Physiol Lab I

Instructor: Fleischmann,Est

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 23

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	7	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	12/198	4.70	4.46	4.16	4.41	4.91
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	7	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	39/208	4.66	4.42	4.27	4.30	4.73
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	7	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	126/194	4.47	4.69	4.56	4.57	4.55
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	7	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	28/194	4.72	4.46	4.37	4.43	4.82
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	7	9	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/176	****	4.17	4.23	4.18	***

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	2	С	4	General	1	Under-grad	18	Non-major	17
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	8	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	4						

Course-Section: BIOL 301 1

Title: Ecology & Evolution

Instructor: Omland, Kevin E

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 261

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	1	11	23	30	4.26	908/1528	4.26	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.26
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	2	17	28	18	3.95	1169/1527	3.95	4.27	4.28	4.27	3.95
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	5	14	31	15	3.86	1110/1333	3.86	4.22	4.34	4.34	3.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	27	0	2	5	14	16	4.19	922/1495	4.19	4.16	4.25	4.28	4.19
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	1	8	14	15	16	10	3.10	1352/1439	3.10	3.99	4.11	4.13	3.10
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	27	4	6	6	13	9	3.45	1241/1425	3.45	4.02	4.12	4.17	3.45
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	1	0	8	22	34	4.35	654/1508	4.35	4.13	4.18	4.17	4.35
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	3	61	4.95	283/1526	4.95	4.83	4.66	4.68	4.95
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	12	1	0	7	15	21	11	3.67	1203/1490	3.97	4.09	4.11	4.11	3.97
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	4	4	12	39	4.46	909/1428	4.65	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	2	9	48	4.78	886/1436	4.77	4.78	4.74	4.74	4.77
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	3	3	9	16	27	4.05	1060/1427	4.26	4.32	4.32	4.31	4.26
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	1	6	5	15	31	4.19	981/1425	4.40	4.39	4.34	4.34	4.40
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	10	2	1	5	6	17	26	4.13	654/1291	4.38	4.21	4.05	4.09	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	54	0	2	2	2	5	2	3.23	****/1271	***	4.04	4.16	4.19	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	55	0	0	0	6	3	3	3.75	****/1276	****	4.27	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	55	0	0	1	3	2	6	4.08	****/1273	****	4.33	4.38	4.40	****
4. Were special techniques successful	54	6	1	1	2	2	1	3.14	****/922	****	4.00	4.02	4.02	****

Course-	Section:	BIOL 301 1					Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	261
	Title:	Ecology & E	voluti	on						_				Q	uestion	naires:	67
Ins	structor:	Omland,Kev	/in E														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	tribut	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре	:		Ma	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	20		Requ	ired for Ma	ajors	52	2	Graduate	0		Major		39
28-55	9	1.00-1.99	0	В	28												
56-83	11	2.00-2.99	4	С	6		Gene	ral		1		Under-grad	67		Non-ma	ajor	28
84-150	10	3.00-3.49	17	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	20	F	0		Electi	ves		3	3	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			1							
				?	9												

Course-Section: BIOL 301 1

Title: Ecology & Evolution

Instructor: Mendelson, Tamra

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 261

menuelson, ramia				Fre	quen	cies		. In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean				
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	1	11	23	30	4.26	908/1528	4.26	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.26
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	2	17	28	18	3.95	1169/1527	3.95	4.27	4.28	4.27	3.95
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	5	14	31	15	3.86	1110/1333	3.86	4.22	4.34	4.34	3.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	27	0	2	5	14	16	4.19	922/1495	4.19	4.16	4.25	4.28	4.19
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	1	8	14	15	16	10	3.10	1352/1439	3.10	3.99	4.11	4.13	3.10
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	27	4	6	6	13	9	3.45	1241/1425	3.45	4.02	4.12	4.17	3.45
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	1	0	8	22	34	4.35	654/1508	4.35	4.13	4.18	4.17	4.35
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	3	61	4.95	283/1526	4.95	4.83	4.66	4.68	4.95
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	16	1	0	1	2	22	25	4.42	464/1490	3.97	4.09	4.11	4.11	3.97
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	15	0	0	0	0	8	44	4.85	319/1428	4.65	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	15	0	0	0	1	7	44	4.83	774/1436	4.77	4.78	4.74	4.74	4.77
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	16	0	0	1	0	16	34	4.63	477/1427	4.26	4.32	4.32	4.31	4.26
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	1	1	12	38	4.67	462/1425	4.40	4.39	4.34	4.34	4.40
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	15	1	0	1	1	18	31	4.55	297/1291	4.38	4.21	4.05	4.09	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	54	0	2	2	2	5	2	3.23	****/1271	****	4.04	4.16	4.19	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	55	0	0	0	6	3	3	3.75	****/1276	***	4.27	4.33	4.37	***
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	55	0	0	1	3	2	6	4.08	****/1273	***	4.33	4.38	4.40	***
4. Were special techniques successful	54	6	1	1	2	2	1	3.14	****/922	***	4.00	4.02	4.02	****

					_					_							
Course-	-Section:	BIOL 301 1					Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	261
	Title:	Ecology & E	voluti	on						_				Q	uestion	naires:	67
Ins	structor:	Mendelson,	Tamra	ì													
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	Earned	Cum. GP.	Α	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре)		Ma	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	20		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	52	!	Graduate	0		Major		39
28-55	9	1.00-1.99	0	В	28												
56-83	11	2.00-2.99	4	С	6		Gene	ral		1		Under-grad	67		Non-ma	ajor	28
84-150	10	3.00-3.49	17	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	20	F	0		Electi	ves		3	}	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	•		1							
				2	a												

Course-Section: BIOL 301 1

Title: Ecology & Evolution

Instructor: Leips, Jeffery W

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 261

riisti uctor. Leips, Jeriery W				Fre	quen	ries		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean				
General		1 21 7												
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	1	11	23	30	4.26	908/1528	4.26	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.26
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	2	17	28	18	3.95	1169/1527	3.95	4.27	4.28	4.27	3.95
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	5	14	31	15	3.86	1110/1333	3.86	4.22	4.34	4.34	3.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	27	0	2	5	14	16	4.19	922/1495	4.19	4.16	4.25	4.28	4.19
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	1	8	14	15	16	10	3.10	1352/1439	3.10	3.99	4.11	4.13	3.10
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	27	4	6	6	13	9	3.45	1241/1425	3.45	4.02	4.12	4.17	3.45
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	1	0	8	22	34	4.35	654/1508	4.35	4.13	4.18	4.17	4.35
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	3	61	4.95	283/1526	4.95	4.83	4.66	4.68	4.95
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	0	0	1	16	32	8	3.82	1103/1490	3.97	4.09	4.11	4.11	3.97
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	11	0	0	1	0	16	39	4.66	637/1428	4.65	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	11	0	0	0	1	15	40	4.70	1007/1436	4.77	4.78	4.74	4.74	4.77
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	10	0	0	3	6	31	17	4.09	1048/1427	4.26	4.32	4.32	4.31	4.26
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	1	7	19	28	4.35	862/1425	4.40	4.39	4.34	4.34	4.40
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	11	1	0	2	3	18	32	4.45	376/1291	4.38	4.21	4.05	4.09	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	54	0	2	2	2	5	2	3.23	****/1271	****	4.04	4.16	4.19	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	55	0	0	0	6	3	3	3.75	****/1276	***	4.27	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	55	0	0	1	3	2	6	4.08	****/1273	***	4.33	4.38	4.40	****
4. Were special techniques successful	54	6	1	1	2	2	1	3.14	****/922	***	4.00	4.02	4.02	****

Course-	Section:	BIOL 301 1					Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	261
	Title:	Ecology & E	voluti	on										Q	uestion	naires:	67
Ins	structor:	Leips,Jeffer	y W														
					_			Freque	ncies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	20		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	52	!	Graduate	0		Major		39
28-55	9	1.00-1.99	0	В	28												
56-83	11	2.00-2.99	4	С	6		Gene	ral		1		Under-grad	67		Non-ma	ajor	28
84-150	10	3.00-3.49	17	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	20	F	0		Electi	ves		3	}	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			1							
				?	9												

Course-Section: BIOL 302 02

Title: Molec & General Genetics

Instructor: Farabaugh,Phili

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 174

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	2	12	37	57	4.35	825/1528	4.26	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.35
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	3	3	17	52	35	4.03	1099/1527	4.03	4.27	4.28	4.27	4.03
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	4	20	44	41	4.09	957/1333	4.05	4.22	4.34	4.34	4.09
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	27	2	4	10	36	31	4.08	1011/1495	4.02	4.16	4.25	4.28	4.08
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	12	0	6	18	29	43	4.14	770/1439	4.01	3.99	4.11	4.13	4.14
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	33	0	8	16	27	23	3.88	1000/1425	3.81	4.02	4.12	4.17	3.88
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	6	9	18	31	44	3.91	1136/1508	3.88	4.13	4.18	4.17	3.91
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	1	0	1	1	25	80	4.72	867/1526	4.70	4.83	4.66	4.68	4.72
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	13	1	4	2	24	49	17	3.76	1142/1490	3.74	4.09	4.11	4.11	3.76
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	2	5	6	38	59	4.34	1021/1428	4.40	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.34
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	1	7	14	34	53	4.20	1340/1436	4.33	4.78	4.74	4.74	4.20
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	3	7	29	36	34	3.83	1192/1427	3.96	4.32	4.32	4.31	3.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	5	9	18	38	40	3.90	1157/1425	4.05	4.39	4.34	4.34	3.90
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	2	3	6	13	29	55	4.20	584/1291	4.27	4.21	4.05	4.09	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	9	11	21	32	29	3.60	1041/1271	3.61	4.04	4.16	4.19	3.60
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	2	11	15	29	45	4.02	922/1276	3.91	4.27	4.33	4.37	4.02
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	2	3	26	30	41	4.03	939/1273	4.00	4.33	4.38	4.40	4.03
4. Were special techniques successful	8	45	8	7	12	16	14	3.37	790/922	3.35	4.00	4.02	4.02	3.37

Course-Section: BIOL 302 02

Title: Molec & General Genetics

Instructor: Farabaugh, Phili

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 174

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	107	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/198	****	4.46	4.16	4.26	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	107	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/208	****	4.42	4.27	4.31	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	108	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/194	****	4.69	4.56	4.59	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	108	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/194	****	4.46	4.37	4.37	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	108	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/176	****	4.17	4.23	4.33	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	107	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/76	****	4.80	4.51	4.02	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	107	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/74	****	4.75	4.31	3.86	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	107	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/66	****	5.00	4.27	4.00	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	107	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/76	****	4.80	4.27	3.68	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	107	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/73	****	4.40	3.94	4.27	****
Field Work														
Did field experience contribute to what you learned	108	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/42	****	****	4.00	3.20	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	108	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/41	****	****	4.06	3.86	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	108	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.74	4.80	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	108	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.38	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	108	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.34	4.79	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	108	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/43	****	4.17	4.43	3.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	109	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.17	4.53	4.75	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	108	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/36	***	4.00	4.43	5.00	****

Course-Section: BIOL 302 02

Title: Molec & General Genetics

Instructor: Farabaugh, Phili

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 174

Questionnaires: 110

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	108	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.54	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	108	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/20	****	***	4.45	5.00	****

Credits E	Earned	Cum. GP.	Α	Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	2	Α	47	Required for Majors	94	Graduate	1	Major	58
28-55	23	1.00-1.99	0	В	38						
56-83	19	2.00-2.99	2	С	5	General	1	Under-grad	109	Non-major	52
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	23	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	34	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means th	nere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significar	nt		
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	15						

Course-Section: BIOL 302 03

Title: Molec & General Genetics

Instructor: Farabaugh, Phili

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 141

·	•			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	4	1	12	34	41	4.16	1015/1528	4.26	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.16
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	2	4	18	33	35	4.03	1092/1527	4.03	4.27	4.28	4.27	4.03
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	2	1	8	17	27	37	4.01	997/1333	4.05	4.22	4.34	4.34	4.01
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	24	0	7	15	20	26	3.96	1103/1495	4.02	4.16	4.25	4.28	3.96
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	7	4	7	16	25	32	3.88	974/1439	4.01	3.99	4.11	4.13	3.88
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	33	3	4	16	18	18	3.75	1095/1425	3.81	4.02	4.12	4.17	3.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	5	4	22	29	32	3.86	1171/1508	3.88	4.13	4.18	4.17	3.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	1	1	1	1	20	65	4.67	910/1526	4.70	4.83	4.66	4.68	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	19	4	0	4	23	31	12	3.73	1167/1490	3.74	4.09	4.11	4.11	3.73
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	2	10	23	55	4.46	909/1428	4.40	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.46
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	1	0	10	24	55	4.47	1213/1436	4.33	4.78	4.74	4.74	4.47
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	2	7	15	19	43	4.09	1044/1427	3.96	4.32	4.32	4.31	4.09
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	1	1	2	16	27	40	4.20	973/1425	4.05	4.39	4.34	4.34	4.20
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	3	2	3	11	16	51	4.34	480/1291	4.27	4.21	4.05	4.09	4.34
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	8	7	17	24	25	3.63	1027/1271	3.61	4.04	4.16	4.19	3.63
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	5	6	16	27	27	3.80	1040/1276	3.91	4.27	4.33	4.37	3.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	1	7	18	21	33	3.98	967/1273	4.00	4.33	4.38	4.40	3.98
4. Were special techniques successful	14	42	9	4	2	10	12	3.32	802/922	3.35	4.00	4.02	4.02	3.32

Course-Section: BIOL 302 03 Title: Molec & General Genetics Instructor: Farabaugh,Phili

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 141

Questionnaires: 93

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	91	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/198	***	4.46	4.16	4.26	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	91	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/208	***	4.42	4.27	4.31	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	92	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	4.80	4.51	4.02	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	92	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	***	4.75	4.31	3.86	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	92	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/76	***	4.80	4.27	3.68	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	92	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	***	4.40	3.94	4.27	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	92	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	***	****	4.00	3.20	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	92	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/41	***	****	4.06	3.86	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	92	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	***	****	4.74	4.80	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	92	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	***	****	4.20	3.38	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	92	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	***	****	4.34	4.79	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	92	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	***	4.17	4.43	3.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	92	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	***	4.17	4.53	4.75	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	92	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	***	4.00	4.43	5.00	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	92	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	***	****	4.54	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	92	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	***	****	4.45	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:20:36 AM

Course-	-Section	: BIOL 302 0	3				Term	ı - Fall 2	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	141
	Title	: Molec & Ge	neral (Genetics							,				Q	uestion	naires:	93
In	structor	: Farabaugh,	Phili															
					-			Frequ	uend	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Self Paced																
Credits E	Earned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	asor	าร			Туре	>		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	3	Α	25		Requ	ired for N	Мајо	rs	66		Graduate	1		Major		52
28-55	11	1.00-1.99	0	В	38													
56-83	14	2.00-2.99	4	С	6		Gene	eral			1		Under-grad	92		Non-ma	ajor	41
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	10	D	0													
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	20	F	0		Electi	ives			1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	1		Other	r			2							
				?	19													

Course-Section: BIOL 302L 02

Title: Mol & Gen Genetics Lab

Instructor: Sandoz, James W

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 26

	•			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	2	1	3	5	14	4.12	1057/1528	4.24	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.12
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	2	1	3	6	13	4.08	1057/1527	4.22	4.27	4.28	4.27	4.08
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	1	2	3	17	4.28	810/1333	4.45	4.22	4.34	4.34	4.28
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	1	2	7	13	4.12	982/1495	4.21	4.16	4.25	4.28	4.12
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	2	1	2	5	9	3.95	918/1439	3.67	3.99	4.11	4.13	3.95
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	2	5	2	14	3.96	925/1425	3.95	4.02	4.12	4.17	3.96
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	2	5	7	9	3.76	1226/1508	3.98	4.13	4.18	4.17	3.76
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	5.00	1/1526	4.94	4.83	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	2	0	1	5	14	4.32	603/1490	4.20	4.09	4.11	4.11	4.32
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	0	1	5	17	4.54	806/1428	4.52	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.54
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	1	0	0	2	21	4.75	917/1436	4.74	4.78	4.74	4.74	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	2	1	2	4	15	4.21	959/1427	4.13	4.32	4.32	4.31	4.21
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	1	2	4	15	4.21	966/1425	4.19	4.39	4.34	4.34	4.21
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	3	1	2	7	2	9	3.76	930/1291	3.89	4.21	4.05	4.09	3.76
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	2	0	1	2	5	3.80	934/1271	3.73	4.04	4.16	4.19	3.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	1	0	1	3	5	4.10	890/1276	4.20	4.27	4.33	4.37	4.10
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	1	0	1	2	6	4.20	857/1273	4.19	4.33	4.38	4.40	4.20
4. Were special techniques successful	15	5	2	0	1	0	2	3.00	****/922	****	4.00	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section: BIOL 302L 02

Title: Mol & Gen Genetics Lab

Instructor: Sandoz, James W

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 26

	l			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	5	0	1	0	0	6	13	4.50	58/198	4.58	4.46	4.16	4.26	4.50
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	4	0	0	1	1	8	11	4.38	95/208	4.22	4.42	4.27	4.31	4.38
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	4	0	0	1	0	3	17	4.71	90/194	4.75	4.69	4.56	4.59	4.71
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	4	0	1	0	0	5	15	4.57	74/194	4.62	4.46	4.37	4.37	4.57
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	4	0	0	0	1	4	16	4.71	28/176	4.54	4.17	4.23	4.33	4.71
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	23	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/76	****	4.80	4.51	4.02	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/74	***	4.75	4.31	3.86	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	***	5.00	4.27	4.00	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/76	***	4.80	4.27	3.68	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	***	4.40	3.94	4.27	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/42	***	****	4.00	3.20	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/41	***	****	4.06	3.86	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	***	****	4.74	4.80	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	***	****	4.20	3.38	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	***	****	4.34	4.79	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/43	****	4.17	4.43	3.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	4.17	4.53	4.75	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	***	4.00	4.43	5.00	***

Course-Section: BIOL 302L 02

Title: Mol & Gen Genetics Lab

Instructor: Sandoz, James W

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 26

Questionnaires: 25

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/21	****	****	4.54	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	24	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/20	***	***	4.45	5.00	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP.	Α	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	0	Major	15
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	9						
56-83	7	2.00-2.99	3	С	2	General	1	Under-grad	25	Non-major	10
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: BIOL 302L 03

Title: Mol & Gen Genetics Lab

Instructor: Sandoz, James W

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 26

'				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	3	2	9	9	4.04	1115/1528	4.24	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.04
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	3	9	10	4.08	1057/1527	4.22	4.27	4.28	4.27	4.08
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	8	14	4.64	425/1333	4.45	4.22	4.34	4.34	4.64
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	13	9	4.35	733/1495	4.21	4.16	4.25	4.28	4.35
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	2	3	3	7	3	3.33	1291/1439	3.67	3.99	4.11	4.13	3.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	6	11	4	3.63	1157/1425	3.95	4.02	4.12	4.17	3.63
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	4	10	8	4.00	1050/1508	3.98	4.13	4.18	4.17	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	5.00	1/1526	4.94	4.83	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	1	1	10	5	4.12	833/1490	4.20	4.09	4.11	4.11	4.12
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	1	2	3	16	4.55	806/1428	4.52	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.55
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	6	17	4.74	948/1436	4.74	4.78	4.74	4.74	4.74
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	1	0	3	9	8	4.10	1044/1427	4.13	4.32	4.32	4.31	4.10
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	1	1	9	10	4.18	981/1425	4.19	4.39	4.34	4.34	4.18
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	1	2	1	6	6	4	3.47	1070/1291	3.89	4.21	4.05	4.09	3.47
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	2	0	1	5	3	3.64	1022/1271	3.73	4.04	4.16	4.19	3.64
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	0	1	0	2	8	4.55	557/1276	4.20	4.27	4.33	4.37	4.55
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	1	1	0	2	5	4.00	947/1273	4.19	4.33	4.38	4.40	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	14	8	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/922	***	4.00	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section: BIOL 302L 03

Title: Mol & Gen Genetics Lab

Instructor: Sandoz, James W

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 26

Questionnaires: 24

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	6	0	0	0	2	4	12	4.56	51/198	4.58	4.46	4.16	4.26	4.56
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	6	0	1	1	2	4	10	4.17	139/208	4.22	4.42	4.27	4.31	4.17
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	6	0	0	0	0	3	15	4.83	49/194	4.75	4.69	4.56	4.59	4.83
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	6	0	0	1	1	2	14	4.61	64/194	4.62	4.46	4.37	4.37	4.61
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	7	0	0	0	2	5	10	4.47	62/176	4.54	4.17	4.23	4.33	4.47

Credits E	Earned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	19	Graduate	0	Major	18
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	11						
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	5	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	24	Non-major	6
84-150	12	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

Course-Section: BIOL 302L 04

Title: Mol & Gen Genetics Lab

Instructor: Sandoz, James W

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 26

Questionnaires: 19

Frequencies Instructor Course Ora UMBC Level Sect Questions 5 NR NA 3 Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean General 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course 1 0 0 1 3 6 8 1015/1528 4.24 4.40 4.31 4.34 4.17 4.17 0 2 7 8 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals 1 0 1 4.22 932/1527 4.22 4.27 4.28 4.27 4.22 3. Did the exam guestions reflect the expected goals 0 393/1333 4.22 4.67 1 0 0 1 4 13 4.67 4.45 4.34 4.34 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals 1 0 0 2 1 6 9 4.22 879/1495 4.21 4.16 4.25 4.28 4.22 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned 1 3 2 1 4 3 5 3.53 1197/1439 3.67 3.99 4.11 4.13 3.53 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned 1 2 3 4 7 3.82 1040/1425 3.95 4.02 4.12 4.17 3.82 1 1 5 7. Was the grading system clearly explained 1 0 1 1 3 8 4.00 1050/1508 3.98 4.13 4.18 4.17 4.00 8. How many times was class cancelled 1 0 0 0 0 5 13 4.72 853/1526 4.94 4.83 4.66 4.68 4.72 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness 3 0 1 0 4 1 4.19 756/1490 4.20 4.09 4.19 10 4.11 4.11 Lecture 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared 1 0 0 1 0 4 13 4.61 718/1428 4.52 4.60 4.49 4.48 4.61 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject 1 0 0 0 1 4 13 4.67 1043/1436 4.74 4.78 4.74 4.74 4.67 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly 0 2 6 9 4.22 1 0 1 4.22 942/1427 4.13 4.32 4.32 4.31 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned 1 0 1 1 2 4 10 4.17 997/1425 4.19 4.39 4.34 4.34 4.17 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding 3 2 0 3 1 4 3.93 814/1291 3.89 4.21 4.05 4.09 3.93 6 Discussion 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned **** 17 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 ****/1271 3.73 4.04 4.16 4.19 **** 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate 17 0 0 0 0 1 1 4.50 ****/1276 4.20 4.27 4.33 4.37 ****/1273 *** 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion 0 0 0 0 0 1 4.19 4.33 4.38 18 5.00 4.40 ****/922 4. Were special techniques successful *** **** 0 0 0 0 5.00 4.00 4.02 4.02 17 1

Course-Section: BIOL 302L 04

Title: Mol & Gen Genetics Lab

Instructor: Sandoz, James W

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 26

Questionnaires: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	11	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	58/198	4.58	4.46	4.16	4.26	4.50
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	11	0	0	1	1	3	3	4.00	157/208	4.22	4.42	4.27	4.31	4.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	11	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	114/194	4.75	4.69	4.56	4.59	4.63
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	11	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	93/194	4.62	4.46	4.37	4.37	4.50
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	11	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	59/176	4.54	4.17	4.23	4.33	4.50

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	19	Non-major	10
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	6						

Course-Section: BIOL 302L 05

Title: Mol & Gen Genetics Lab

Instructor: Sandoz, James W

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 25

motractor. Canaczpanies W				Ero	quen	cioc		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	quen	162	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
	INK	IVA	ı	2	3	4	5	ivieari	Kalik	ivieari	ivieari	iviean	iviean	iviean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	5	10	4.47	674/1528	4.24	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.47
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	5	9	4.35	795/1527	4.22	4.27	4.28	4.27	4.35
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	6	9	4.41	690/1333	4.45	4.22	4.34	4.34	4.41
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	5	6	6	4.06	1024/1495	4.21	4.16	4.25	4.28	4.06
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	1	2	4	2	6	3.67	1126/1439	3.67	3.99	4.11	4.13	3.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	5	6	6	4.06	858/1425	3.95	4.02	4.12	4.17	4.06
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	2	2	10	4.06	1011/1508	3.98	4.13	4.18	4.17	4.06
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1526	4.94	4.83	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	2	6	5	4.23	698/1490	4.20	4.09	4.11	4.11	4.23
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	9	6	4.24	1093/1428	4.52	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.24
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	5	12	4.71	996/1436	4.74	4.78	4.74	4.74	4.71
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	2	3	6	6	3.94	1128/1427	4.13	4.32	4.32	4.31	3.94
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	4	5	6	3.88	1167/1425	4.19	4.39	4.34	4.34	3.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	1	1	3	4	5	3.79	916/1291	3.89	4.21	4.05	4.09	3.79
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	1	1	1	1	4	3.75	961/1271	3.73	4.04	4.16	4.19	3.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	385/1276	4.20	4.27	4.33	4.37	4.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	584/1273	4.19	4.33	4.38	4.40	4.57
4. Were special techniques successful	10	3	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/922	***	4.00	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section: BIOL 302L 05

Title: Mol & Gen Genetics Lab

Instructor: Sandoz, James W

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 25

	•			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	6	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	24/198	4.58	4.46	4.16	4.26	4.73
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	6	0	0	1	1	3	6	4.27	119/208	4.22	4.42	4.27	4.31	4.27
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	6	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	30/194	4.75	4.69	4.56	4.59	4.91
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	6	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	28/194	4.62	4.46	4.37	4.37	4.82
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	6	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	38/176	4.54	4.17	4.23	4.33	4.64
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	15	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	4.80	4.51	4.02	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	***	4.75	4.31	3.86	***
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	5.00	4.27	4.00	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	4.80	4.27	3.68	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.40	3.94	4.27	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	****	****	4.00	3.20	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/41	****	****	4.06	3.86	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.74	4.80	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.38	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.34	4.79	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/43	****	4.17	4.43	3.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	15	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/31	****	4.17	4.53	4.75	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	15	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/36	****	4.00	4.43	5.00	****

Course-Section: BIOL 302L 05

Title: Mol & Gen Genetics Lab

Instructor: Sandoz, James W

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 25

Questionnaires: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	15	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.54	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	15	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/20	****	***	4.45	5.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	1	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	16	Non-major	7
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: BIOL 302L 06

Title: Mol & Gen Genetics Lab

Instructor: Sandoz, James W

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 25

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	3	3	15	4.41	765/1528	4.24	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.41
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	1	8	12	4.36	783/1527	4.22	4.27	4.28	4.27	4.36
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	7	11	4.27	816/1333	4.45	4.22	4.34	4.34	4.27
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	3	6	11	4.29	808/1495	4.21	4.16	4.25	4.28	4.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	7	2	1	2	2	8	3.87	985/1439	3.67	3.99	4.11	4.13	3.87
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	1	0	8	11	4.29	635/1425	3.95	4.02	4.12	4.17	4.29
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	0	3	6	11	4.09	979/1508	3.98	4.13	4.18	4.17	4.09
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	0	21	5.00	1/1526	4.94	4.83	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	1	0	1	8	6	4.13	822/1490	4.20	4.09	4.11	4.11	4.13
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	0	0	3	17	4.67	637/1428	4.52	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	1	19	4.86	677/1436	4.74	4.78	4.74	4.74	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	1	3	4	12	4.19	967/1427	4.13	4.32	4.32	4.31	4.19
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	1	1	0	1	3	14	4.53	644/1425	4.19	4.39	4.34	4.34	4.53
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	1	0	2	2	15	4.50	327/1291	3.89	4.21	4.05	4.09	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	1	2	2	2	3.71	982/1271	3.73	4.04	4.16	4.19	3.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	1	0	3	1	2	3.43	1177/1276	4.20	4.27	4.33	4.37	3.43
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	0	0	3	1	3	4.00	947/1273	4.19	4.33	4.38	4.40	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	15	3	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/922	****	4.00	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section: BIOL 302L 06

Title: Mol & Gen Genetics Lab

Instructor: Sandoz, James W

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 25

Questionnaires: 22

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	7	0	1	0	0	2	12	4.60	44/198	4.58	4.46	4.16	4.26	4.60
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	7	0	0	2	1	3	9	4.27	121/208	4.22	4.42	4.27	4.31	4.27
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	7	0	1	0	0	1	13	4.67	104/194	4.75	4.69	4.56	4.59	4.67
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	7	0	1	0	0	2	12	4.60	67/194	4.62	4.46	4.37	4.37	4.60
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	7	0	1	0	1	3	10	4.40	71/176	4.54	4.17	4.23	4.33	4.40

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	12						
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	22	Non-major	12
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	8	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	1						

Course-Section: BIOL 303 1

Title: Cell Biology

Instructor: Rosenberg, Suzan

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 139

Questionnaires: 47

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	1	2	10	10	22	4.11	1067/1528	4.11	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.11
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	3	6	5	16	14	3.73	1326/1527	3.73	4.27	4.28	4.27	3.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	5	6	8	17	8	3.39	1271/1333	3.39	4.22	4.34	4.34	3.39
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	34	2	1	3	2	3	3.27	****/1495	***	4.16	4.25	4.28	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	4	4	3	14	7	12	3.50	1216/1439	3.50	3.99	4.11	4.13	3.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	39	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	****/1425	***	4.02	4.12	4.17	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	2	3	11	10	17	3.86	1164/1508	3.86	4.13	4.18	4.17	3.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	1	42	4.98	170/1526	4.98	4.83	4.66	4.68	4.98
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	1	0	5	24	10	4.05	878/1490	3.46	4.09	4.11	4.11	3.46
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	2	1	6	32	4.66	653/1428	4.14	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.14
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	2	5	34	4.78	870/1436	4.47	4.78	4.74	4.74	4.47
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	1	0	5	10	25	4.41	757/1427	3.64	4.32	4.32	4.31	3.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	1	1	3	8	28	4.49	696/1425	3.91	4.39	4.34	4.34	3.91
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	2	1	1	8	10	19	4.15	624/1291	4.00	4.21	4.05	4.09	4.00
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	27	0	1	2	3	3	11	4.05	765/1271	4.05	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.05
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	28	0	3	0	6	3	7	3.58	1135/1276	3.58	4.27	4.33	4.37	3.58
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	28	0	2	2	6	1	8	3.58	1152/1273	3.58	4.33	4.38	4.40	3.58
4. Were special techniques successful	28	13	0	1	1	1	3	4.00	****/922	***	4.00	4.02	4.02	****

ourse-Section:	BIOL 303 1	Term - Fall 2010							Enr	ollme	ent	:
Title:	Cell Biology							Q	uestic	onnai	es:	
Instructor:	Rosenberg, Suzan											

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	46	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/76	***	4.80	4.51	4.02	****

arned	Cum. GP.	Α	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	16	Required for Majors	35	Graduate	0	Major	27
3	1.00-1.99	0	В	13						
11	2.00-2.99	2	С	11	General	0	Under-grad	47	Non-major	20
9	3.00-3.49	11	D	0						
0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
			Р	0			to be significan	t		
			I	0	Other	0				
			?	6						
	3 11	0 0.00-0.99 3 1.00-1.99 11 2.00-2.99 9 3.00-3.49	0 0.00-0.99 0 3 1.00-1.99 0 11 2.00-2.99 2 9 3.00-3.49 11	0 0.00-0.99 0 A 3 1.00-1.99 0 B 11 2.00-2.99 2 C 9 3.00-3.49 11 D 0 3.50-4.00 10 F	0 0.00-0.99 0 A 16 3 1.00-1.99 0 B 13 11 2.00-2.99 2 C 11 9 3.00-3.49 11 D 0 0 3.50-4.00 10 F 0 P 0 I 0	0 0.00-0.99 0 A 16 Required for Majors 3 1.00-1.99 0 B 13 11 2.00-2.99 2 C 11 General 9 3.00-3.49 11 D 0 0 3.50-4.00 10 F 0 Electives P 0 I 0 Other	0 0.00-0.99 0 A 16 Required for Majors 35 3 1.00-1.99 0 B 13 11 2.00-2.99 2 C 11 General 0 9 3.00-3.49 11 D 0 0 3.50-4.00 10 F 0 Electives 0 P 0 I 0 Other 0	0 0.00-0.99 0 A 16 Required for Majors 35 Graduate 3 1.00-1.99 0 B 13 11 2.00-2.99 2 C 11 General 0 Under-grad 9 3.00-3.49 11 D 0 Electives 0 ***** - Means the to be significant 0 3.50-4.00 10 F 0 Other 0	0 0.00-0.99 0 A 16 Required for Majors 35 Graduate 0 3 1.00-1.99 0 B 13 11 2.00-2.99 2 C 11 General 0 Under-grad 47 9 3.00-3.49 11 D 0 0 **** - Means there are not to be significant 0 3.50-4.00 10 F 0 Clectives 0 ***** - Means there are not to be significant 0 0 0 Other 0 0 Other 0	0 0.00-0.99 0 A 16 Required for Majors 35 Graduate 0 Major 3 1.00-1.99 0 B 13 11 2.00-2.99 2 C 11 General 0 Under-grad 47 Non-major 9 3.00-3.49 11 D 0 ***** - Means there are not enough responses 0 3.50-4.00 10 F 0 Electives 0 ***** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant 0 1 0 Other 0

Course-Section: BIOL 303 1

Title: Cell Biology

Instructor: Starz-Gaiano,Mi

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 139

<u> </u>	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	1	2	10	10	22	4.11	1067/1528	4.11	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.11
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	3	6	5	16	14	3.73	1326/1527	3.73	4.27	4.28	4.27	3.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	5	6	8	17	8	3.39	1271/1333	3.39	4.22	4.34	4.34	3.39
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	34	2	1	3	2	3	3.27	****/1495	***	4.16	4.25	4.28	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	4	4	3	14	7	12	3.50	1216/1439	3.50	3.99	4.11	4.13	3.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	39	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	****/1425	***	4.02	4.12	4.17	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	2	3	11	10	17	3.86	1164/1508	3.86	4.13	4.18	4.17	3.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	1	42	4.98	170/1526	4.98	4.83	4.66	4.68	4.98
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	7	6	17	5	5	2.88	1435/1490	3.46	4.09	4.11	4.11	3.46
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	3	5	8	11	12	3.62	1343/1428	4.14	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.14
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	1	3	7	6	22	4.15	1355/1436	4.47	4.78	4.74	4.74	4.47
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	6	11	10	6	6	2.87	1391/1427	3.64	4.32	4.32	4.31	3.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	6	5	8	10	10	3.33	1338/1425	3.91	4.39	4.34	4.34	3.91
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	1	5	2	6	6	19	3.84	875/1291	4.00	4.21	4.05	4.09	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	27	0	1	2	3	3	11	4.05	765/1271	4.05	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.05
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	28	0	3	0	6	3	7	3.58	1135/1276	3.58	4.27	4.33	4.37	3.58
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	28	0	2	2	6	1	8	3.58	1152/1273	3.58	4.33	4.38	4.40	3.58
4. Were special techniques successful	28	13	0	1	1	1	3	4.00	****/922	****	4.00	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section:	BIOL 303 1	Term - Fall 2010	Enrollment:
Title: (Cell Biology		Questionnaires:
Instructor:	Starz-Gajano.Mi		

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	46	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/76	***	4.80	4.51	4.02	****

Cre	edits Ea	arned	Cum. GP.	Α	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00)-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	16	Required for Majors	35	Graduate	0	Major	27
28	3-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	13						
56	6-83	11	2.00-2.99	2	С	11	General	0	Under-grad	47	Non-major	20
84-	150	9	3.00-3.49	11	D	0						
G	rad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
					Р	0			to be significan	t		
					I	0	Other	0				
					?	6						

Course-Section: BIOL 303L 01

Title: Cell Biology Lab

Instructor: Mackay,Andrew B

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 143

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	2	9	37	88	4.55	578/1528	4.55	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.55
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	17	0	0	0	4	26	90	4.72	301/1527	4.72	4.27	4.28	4.27	4.72
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	20	0	1	0	20	32	64	4.35	750/1333	4.35	4.22	4.34	4.34	4.35
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	20	3	0	0	12	39	63	4.45	592/1495	4.45	4.16	4.25	4.28	4.45
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	26	9	2	0	15	33	52	4.30	605/1439	4.30	3.99	4.11	4.13	4.30
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	25	2	1	2	24	30	53	4.20	726/1425	4.20	4.02	4.12	4.17	4.20
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	25	0	0	0	15	26	71	4.50	448/1508	4.50	4.13	4.18	4.17	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	25	0	0	0	1	28	83	4.73	839/1526	4.73	4.83	4.66	4.68	4.73
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	1	0	0	4	49	73	4.55	312/1490	4.55	4.09	4.11	4.11	4.55
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	39	0	0	0	0	12	86	4.88	270/1428	4.88	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	39	0	0	1	0	22	75	4.74	933/1436	4.74	4.78	4.74	4.74	4.74
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	39	0	0	0	1	13	84	4.85	193/1427	4.85	4.32	4.32	4.31	4.85
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	40	0	1	1	2	16	77	4.72	393/1425	4.72	4.39	4.34	4.34	4.72
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	45	37	2	1	9	16	27	4.18	594/1291	4.18	4.21	4.05	4.09	4.18
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	113	0	4	0	2	6	12	3.92	****/1271	***	4.04	4.16	4.19	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	113	0	2	1	2	8	11	4.04	****/1276	***	4.27	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	113	0	2	1	3	5	13	4.08	****/1273	***	4.33	4.38	4.40	****
4. Were special techniques successful	113	11	0	0	2	2	9	4.54	****/922	***	4.00	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section: BIOL 303L 01

Title: Cell Biology Lab

Instructor: Mackay,Andrew B

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 143

Questionnaires: 137

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	72	0	0	0	3	16	46	4.66	33/198	4.66	4.46	4.16	4.26	4.66
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	73	0	0	0	1	9	54	4.83	24/208	4.83	4.42	4.27	4.31	4.83
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	73	0	0	2	3	11	48	4.64	109/194	4.64	4.69	4.56	4.59	4.64
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	73	0	0	0	3	15	46	4.67	51/194	4.67	4.46	4.37	4.37	4.67
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	73	0	0	0	2	15	47	4.70	29/176	4.70	4.17	4.23	4.33	4.70
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	135	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/76	****	4.80	4.51	4.02	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	136	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	***	4.75	4.31	3.86	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	136	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	***	5.00	4.27	4.00	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	136	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	4.80	4.27	3.68	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	136	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	***	4.40	3.94	4.27	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	136	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	***	****	4.00	3.20	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	136	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/41	***	****	4.06	3.86	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	136	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	***	****	4.74	4.80	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	136	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.38	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	136	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	***	****	4.34	4.79	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	1	Α	49	Required for Majors	97	Graduate	0	Major	74
28-55	1	1 00-1 99	Ο	R	34						

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:20:37 AM

Course-	Section	n: BIOL 303L	01				Term	- Fall	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	143
	Title	e: Cell Biology	/ Lab												Q	uestion	naires:	137
Ins	structo	r: Mackay,And	drew B															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Field Work																
56-83	8	2.00-2.99	9	С	10		Gene	ral			1		Under-grad	137		Non-ma	ajor	63
84-150	40	3.00-3.49	19	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	21	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				1							
				?	41													

Course-Section: BIOL 304 1

Title: Plant Biology

Instructor: Lu,Hua

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 177

Thou dotor:														
				Fre	quen	cies			structor	Course	Org	UMBC		Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	3	0	10	32	42	4.26	908/1528	4.26	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.26
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	18	35	32	4.10	1044/1527	4.10	4.27	4.28	4.27	4.10
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	7	14	30	34	4.00	1003/1333	4.00	4.22	4.34	4.34	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	52	3	2	7	10	13	3.80	1213/1495	3.80	4.16	4.25	4.28	3.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	15	13	11	22	5	17	3.03	1359/1439	3.03	3.99	4.11	4.13	3.03
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	62	2	5	3	2	9	3.52	****/1425	****	4.02	4.12	4.17	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	2	3	7	14	27	30	3.91	1127/1508	3.91	4.13	4.18	4.17	3.91
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	1	0	1	0	2	80	4.94	396/1526	4.94	4.83	4.66	4.68	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	14	2	1	1	24	33	12	3.76	1142/1490	3.94	4.09	4.11	4.11	3.94
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	1	1	6	12	63	4.63	702/1428	4.68	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.68
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	3	7	74	4.85	709/1436	4.83	4.78	4.74	4.74	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	2	5	12	23	41	4.16	1000/1427	4.33	4.32	4.32	4.31	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	2	4	4	13	60	4.51	667/1425	4.55	4.39	4.34	4.34	4.55
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	4	1	5	7	18	47	4.35	472/1291	4.32	4.21	4.05	4.09	4.32
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	70	0	0	0	3	2	12	4.53	****/1271	****	4.04	4.16	4.19	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	72	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	****/1276	***	4.27	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	71	0	1	0	2	0	13	4.50	****/1273	***	4.33	4.38	4.40	****
4. Were special techniques successful	71	7	2	0	3	0	4	3.44	****/922	***	4.00	4.02	4.02	****

Course-	Section:	BIOL 304 1					Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	177
	Title:	Plant Biolog	ЭУ							_				Q	uestion	naires:	87
Ins	structor:	Lu,Hua															
								Freque	ncies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	27		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	73	1	Graduate	0		Major		48
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	31												
56-83	10	2.00-2.99	6	С	12		Gene	ral		2		Under-grad	87		Non-ma	ajor	39
84-150	20	3.00-3.49	14	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	14	F	0		Electi	ves		0	1	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			1							
				?	11												

Course-Section: BIOL 304 1

Title: Plant Biology

Instructor: Behrens,Paul W

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 177

Portractor.				Ero	quen	oioc -		I۳	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1		quen	JIES 4	Е	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
	INK	IVA	ı	2	3	4	5	iviean	Kalik	ivieari	iviean	iviean	iviean	ivieari
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	3	0	10	32	42	4.26	908/1528	4.26	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.26
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	18	35	32	4.10	1044/1527	4.10	4.27	4.28	4.27	4.10
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	7	14	30	34	4.00	1003/1333	4.00	4.22	4.34	4.34	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	52	3	2	7	10	13	3.80	1213/1495	3.80	4.16	4.25	4.28	3.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	15	13	11	22	5	17	3.03	1359/1439	3.03	3.99	4.11	4.13	3.03
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	62	2	5	3	2	9	3.52	****/1425	***	4.02	4.12	4.17	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	2	3	7	14	27	30	3.91	1127/1508	3.91	4.13	4.18	4.17	3.91
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	1	0	1	0	2	80	4.94	396/1526	4.94	4.83	4.66	4.68	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	19	1	1	1	6	40	19	4.12	833/1490	3.94	4.09	4.11	4.11	3.94
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	0	1	4	10	63	4.73	515/1428	4.68	4.60	4.49	4.48	4.68
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	1	2	7	69	4.82	774/1436	4.83	4.78	4.74	4.74	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	1	2	4	21	52	4.51	613/1427	4.33	4.32	4.32	4.31	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	1	3	6	7	62	4.59	567/1425	4.55	4.39	4.34	4.34	4.55
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	7	1	7	5	17	43	4.29	518/1291	4.32	4.21	4.05	4.09	4.32
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	70	0	0	0	3	2	12	4.53	****/1271	****	4.04	4.16	4.19	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	72	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	****/1276	****	4.27	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	71	0	1	0	2	0	13	4.50	****/1273	***	4.33	4.38	4.40	****
4. Were special techniques successful	71	7	2	0	3	0	4	3.44	****/922	***	4.00	4.02	4.02	****

Course-	Section:	BIOL 304 1					Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	177
	Title:	Plant Biolog	ЭУ							_				Q	uestion	naires:	87
Ins	structor:	Behrens,Pa	ul W														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	tribut	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	27		Requ	ired for Ma	ajors	73	3	Graduate	0		Major		48
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	31												
56-83	10	2.00-2.99	6	С	12		Gene	ral		2	2	Under-grad	87		Non-ma	ajor	39
84-150	20	3.00-3.49	14	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	14	F	0		Electi	ves		C)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			1							
				?	11												

Course-Section: BIOL 395 01

Title: MARC U*STAR Writ in Scie

Instructor: Farabaugh,Robin

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 5

'	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	973/1528	4.20	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.20
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	206/1527	4.80	4.27	4.28	4.27	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.16	4.25	4.28	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	499/1439	4.40	3.99	4.11	4.13	4.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	136/1425	4.80	4.02	4.12	4.17	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	3.60	1293/1508	3.60	4.13	4.18	4.17	3.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.00	4.83	4.66	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	221/1490	4.67	4.09	4.11	4.11	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.60	4.49	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.78	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.32	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.39	4.34	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1291	***	4.21	4.05	4.09	***
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1271	***	4.04	4.16	4.19	***
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1276	***	4.27	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1273	***	4.33	4.38	4.40	****
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/922	***	4.00	4.02	4.02	***

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:20:37 AM

Course-	Section	: BIOL 395 01	1				Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	6
	Title	: MARC U*ST	AR W	rit in Scie						_				Q	uestion	naires:	5
Ins	structor	: Farabaugh,F	Robin														
					-			Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	4	ļ	Graduate	0		Major		2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		C)	Under-grad	5		Non-ma	ajor	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electi	ves		C)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	3							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			C)						
				?	1												

Course-Section: BIOL 395 01

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 6

Title: MARC U*STAR Writ in Scie

Questionnaires: 5

Instructor: Hibbert, Taifa

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	973/1528	4.20	4.40	4.31	4.34	4.20
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	206/1527	4.80	4.27	4.28	4.27	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.16	4.25	4.28	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	499/1439	4.40	3.99	4.11	4.13	4.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	136/1425	4.80	4.02	4.12	4.17	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	3.60	1293/1508	3.60	4.13	4.18	4.17	3.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.00	4.83	4.66	4.68	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1271	***	4.04	4.16	4.19	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1276	***	4.27	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1273	***	4.33	4.38	4.40	****
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/922	***	4.00	4.02	4.02	***

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	3			to be significant			
				l	0	Other	0				

Course-Section:	BIOL 395 01				Term	- Fall	2010)						Enrol	Ilment:	6
Title:	MARC U*STAR Writ in Scie)											Q	uestion	naires:	5
Instructor	Hibbert,Taifa															
						Fred	quenc	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	١	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion															
	2		4													

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:20:37 AM

Course-Section: BIOL 396 01

Title: Ugrad Tchng Assistantshp

Instructor: Sokolove, Philli

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 8

	•	Frequencies							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.40	4.31	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.27	4.28	4.27	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.22	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.16	4.25	4.28	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1439	5.00	3.99	4.11	4.13	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	136/1425	4.80	4.02	4.12	4.17	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1508	5.00	4.13	4.18	4.17	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	4.33	1216/1526	4.33	4.83	4.66	4.68	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	221/1490	4.67	4.09	4.11	4.11	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.60	4.49	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.78	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.32	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.39	4.34	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.21	4.05	4.09	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1271	***	4.04	4.16	4.19	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1276	***	4.27	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1273	***	4.33	4.38	4.40	****
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/922	***	4.00	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section: BIOL 396 01

Title: Ugrad Tchng Assistantshp

Instructor: Sokolove, Philli

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 6

									structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/198	***	4.46	4.16	4.26	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/208	***	4.42	4.27	4.31	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	***	4.69	4.56	4.59	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	***	4.46	4.37	4.37	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/176	***	4.17	4.23	4.33	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	4.80	4.51	4.02	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	***	4.75	4.31	3.86	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	***	5.00	4.27	4.00	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	4.80	4.27	3.68	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	***	4.40	3.94	4.27	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	4
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	4			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	4				
				?	1						

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:20:37 AM

Course-Section: BIOL 411 01

Title: Bacterial Physiology

Instructor: Schreier, Harold

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 41

This tractor.				Fre	quen	ries		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	3	8	12	4.39	775/1528	4.39	4.40	4.31	4.39	4.39
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	7	7	8	3.96	1169/1527	3.96	4.27	4.28	4.30	3.96
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	4	12	6	4.00	1003/1333	4.00	4.22	4.34	4.37	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	12	0	1	3	2	3	3.78	1233/1495	3.78	4.16	4.25	4.33	3.78
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	3	1	5	8	5	0	2.89	1398/1439	2.89	3.99	4.11	4.20	2.89
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	11	1	4	1	3	2	3.09	1337/1425	3.09	4.02	4.12	4.26	3.09
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	1	2	2	6	11	4.09	979/1508	4.09	4.13	4.18	4.24	4.09
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	1	0	3	16	4.70	881/1526	4.70	4.83	4.66	4.71	4.70
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	1	0	3	10	4	3.89	1060/1490	3.89	4.09	4.11	4.19	3.89
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	1	5	14	4.65	653/1428	4.65	4.60	4.49	4.54	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	1	19	4.95	258/1436	4.95	4.78	4.74	4.75	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	3	8	9	4.30	874/1427	4.30	4.32	4.32	4.37	4.30
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	5	14	4.65	489/1425	4.65	4.39	4.34	4.37	4.65
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	1	0	0	2	7	9	4.39	440/1291	4.39	4.21	4.05	4.10	4.39
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	1	1	3	2	3.86	900/1271	3.86	4.04	4.16	4.33	3.86
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	17	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	531/1276	4.57	4.27	4.33	4.49	4.57
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	0	2	0	2	3	3.86	1037/1273	3.86	4.33	4.38	4.55	3.86
4. Were special techniques successful	17	5	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	****/922	***	4.00	4.02	4.23	****

					_													
Course-S	Section:	BIOL 411 01	1				Term	ı - Fall 2	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	41
	Title:	Bacterial Ph	ysiolo	gy											Q	uestion	naires:	24
Ins	tructor:	Schreier,Ha	rold															
								Frequ	<mark>ienc</mark>	ies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fr	eque	ncy Di	stri	buti	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	son	S			Турє	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	1	Α	5		Requ	ired for N	Лајог	rs	12		Graduate	5		Major		14
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	9													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	3		Gene	ral			0	1	Under-grad	19		Non-ma	ajor	10
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	9	D	0													
Grad.	5	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves			4		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	-			0	1						
				2	6													

Course-Section: BIOL 420 02

Title: Adv Topics:Cell Biology

Instructor: Wagner,Cynthia

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 29

	•			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	8	14	4.64	477/1528	4.64	4.40	4.31	4.39	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	5	13	4.41	737/1527	4.41	4.27	4.28	4.30	4.41
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	6	0	0	1	6	8	4.47	620/1333	4.47	4.22	4.34	4.37	4.47
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	5	13	4.36	708/1495	4.36	4.16	4.25	4.33	4.36
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	6	15	4.64	265/1439	4.64	3.99	4.11	4.20	4.64
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	4	5	12	4.27	646/1425	4.27	4.02	4.12	4.26	4.27
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	3	7	11	4.27	758/1508	4.27	4.13	4.18	4.24	4.27
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	15	4.68	900/1526	4.68	4.83	4.66	4.71	4.68
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	1	3	7	6	4.06	878/1490	4.06	4.09	4.11	4.19	4.06
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	3	13	6	4.14	1157/1428	4.14	4.60	4.49	4.54	4.14
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	20	4.91	516/1436	4.91	4.78	4.74	4.75	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	4	11	7	4.14	1016/1427	4.14	4.32	4.32	4.37	4.14
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	11	9	4.38	830/1425	4.38	4.39	4.34	4.37	4.38
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	2	0	10	7	4.16	624/1291	4.16	4.21	4.05	4.10	4.16
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	381/1271	4.58	4.04	4.16	4.33	4.58
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	348/1276	4.75	4.27	4.33	4.49	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	507/1273	4.67	4.33	4.38	4.55	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	10	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	185/922	4.58	4.00	4.02	4.23	4.58

Course-Section: BIOL 420 02

Title: Adv Topics:Cell Biology

Instructor: Wagner,Cynthia

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 29

Questionnaires: 22

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/198	***	4.46	4.16	4.37	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	4.80	4.51	4.83	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	4.80	4.27	4.42	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.40	3.94	4.23	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	3	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	19	Non-major	13
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	8						

Course-Section: BIOL 426 1

Title: Appr To Molecular Biol

Instructor: Craig,Nessly C

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 27

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	4	2	4	11	4.05	1115/1528	4.05	4.40	4.31	4.39	4.05
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	4	3	8	4	3	2.95	1490/1527	2.95	4.27	4.28	4.30	2.95
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	5	4	8	4	3.41	1268/1333	3.41	4.22	4.34	4.37	3.41
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	3	3	6	3	4	3.11	1446/1495	3.11	4.16	4.25	4.33	3.11
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	3	0	4	13	4.05	829/1439	4.05	3.99	4.11	4.20	4.05
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	4	3	3	3	3	2.88	1372/1425	2.88	4.02	4.12	4.26	2.88
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	6	5	6	3	2	2.55	1479/1508	2.55	4.13	4.18	4.24	2.55
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	1	0	0	0	21	4.82	724/1526	4.82	4.83	4.66	4.71	4.82
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	8	8	2	3.67	1203/1490	3.67	4.09	4.11	4.19	3.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	0	7	3	9	3.95	1232/1428	3.95	4.60	4.49	4.54	3.95
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	1	3	5	11	4.30	1304/1436	4.30	4.78	4.74	4.75	4.30
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	2	3	6	6	3	3.25	1349/1427	3.25	4.32	4.32	4.37	3.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	2	3	5	8	3.75	1226/1425	3.75	4.39	4.34	4.37	3.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	10	3	1	5	1	0	2.40	1264/1291	2.40	4.21	4.05	4.10	2.40
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	1	1	4	8	4.36	584/1271	4.36	4.04	4.16	4.33	4.36
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	152/1276	4.93	4.27	4.33	4.49	4.93
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	1	0	3	10	4.57	584/1273	4.57	4.33	4.38	4.55	4.57
4. Were special techniques successful	8	8	0	0	6	0	0	3.00	857/922	3.00	4.00	4.02	4.23	3.00

Course-	Section:	BIOL 426 1					Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	27
	Title:	Appr To Mol	ecula	r Biol						_				Q	uestion	naires:	22
Ins	structor:	Craig, Nessly	/ C														
					_			Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє	<u>)</u>		Мај	ors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	3		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	12		Graduate	13		Major		5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	3		Gene	ral		0		Under-grad	9		Non-ma	ijor	17
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	13	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves		5		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	•		1							
				?	2												

Course-Section: BIOL 430 01

Title: Biological Chemistry

Instructor: Bustos, Mauricio

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 50

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	1	0	3	8	9	4.14	1036/1528	4.14	4.40	4.31	4.39	4.14
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	1	3	3	10	5	3.68	1345/1527	3.68	4.27	4.28	4.30	3.68
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	1	0	5	9	6	3.90	1087/1333	3.90	4.22	4.34	4.37	3.90
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	10	0	4	1	3	3	3.45	1381/1495	3.45	4.16	4.25	4.33	3.45
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	0	2	1	4	8	5	3.65	1130/1439	3.65	3.99	4.11	4.20	3.65
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	5	11	2	1	2	1	2	3.00	1345/1425	3.00	4.02	4.12	4.26	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	1	1	1	6	11	4.25	783/1508	4.25	4.13	4.18	4.24	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	17	3	4.15	1362/1526	4.15	4.83	4.66	4.71	4.15
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	1	1	2	6	9	0	3.28	1356/1490	3.28	4.09	4.11	4.19	3.28
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	1	2	1	5	11	4.15	1145/1428	4.15	4.60	4.49	4.54	4.15
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	1	1	0	7	10	4.26	1318/1436	4.26	4.78	4.74	4.75	4.26
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	2	0	4	9	5	3.75	1226/1427	3.75	4.32	4.32	4.37	3.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	3	0	4	7	6	3.65	1258/1425	3.65	4.39	4.34	4.37	3.65
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	0	1	1	3	8	5	3.83	882/1291	3.83	4.21	4.05	4.10	3.83
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	****/1271	****	4.04	4.16	4.33	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	20	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	****/1276	***	4.27	4.33	4.49	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	20	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/1273	***	4.33	4.38	4.55	****
4. Were special techniques successful	20	1	1	1	0	1	0	2.33	****/922	****	4.00	4.02	4.23	****

Course-S	Section:	BIOL 430 01					Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	50
	Title:	Biological Cl	nemis	try										Q	uestion	naires:	24
Ins	tructor:	Bustos,Maur	ricio														
								Frequer	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Disti	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	14	ļ	Graduate	0		Major		10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	10												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	24		Non-ma	ajor	14
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	4	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electi	ves		2	2	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	8												

Course-Section: BIOL 442 01

Title: Developmental Biology

Instructor: Blumberg, Daphne

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 210

man dotor. Planborg, baptine				Er.	OL 10/2	oloo		1	atmatam	Course	Onc	LIMPO	Love	Coot
Overallar a	ND	N.I.O.	1		quen	cies	-		structor		Org	UMBC		Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	1	5	16	28	4.42	739/1528	4.42	4.40	4.31	4.39	4.42
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	2	0	10	21	17	4.02	1099/1527	4.02	4.27	4.28	4.30	4.02
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	4	3	12	18	13	3.66	1198/1333	3.66	4.22	4.34	4.37	3.66
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	36	2	3	4	3	2	3.00	1453/1495	3.00	4.16	4.25	4.33	3.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	9	10	3	15	8	4	2.83	1405/1439	2.83	3.99	4.11	4.20	2.83
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	37	3	1	3	2	4	3.23	1310/1425	3.23	4.02	4.12	4.26	3.23
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	2	0	5	8	15	20	4.04	1018/1508	4.04	4.13	4.18	4.24	4.04
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	50	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.83	4.66	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	0	4	11	11	15	2	3.00	1406/1490	3.69	4.09	4.11	4.19	3.69
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	1	2	5	12	28	4.33	1021/1428	4.54	4.60	4.49	4.54	4.54
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	1	1	1	16	29	4.48	1206/1436	4.68	4.78	4.74	4.75	4.68
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	3	6	9	20	10	3.58	1284/1427	4.05	4.32	4.32	4.37	4.05
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	4	6	3	18	17	3.79	1212/1425	4.12	4.39	4.34	4.37	4.12
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	9	4	1	6	13	15	3.87	855/1291	4.08	4.21	4.05	4.10	4.08
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	45	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	****/1271	***	4.04	4.16	4.33	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	45	0	0	1	1	3	2	3.86	****/1276	***	4.27	4.33	4.49	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	45	0	0	0	3	2	2	3.86	****/1273	****	4.33	4.38	4.55	****
4. Were special techniques successful	45	5	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/922	***	4.00	4.02	4.23	****

Course-Section: BIOL 442 01

Title: Developmental Biology

Instructor: Blumberg, Daphne

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 210

Questionnaires: 52

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	51	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/198	****	4.46	4.16	4.37	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	51	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/208	****	4.42	4.27	4.21	***

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	Α	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	18	Required for Majors	38	Graduate	0	Major	34
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	14						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	5	С	6	General	0	Under-grad	52	Non-major	18
84-150	19	3.00-3.49	9	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	10						

Course-Section: BIOL 442 01

Title: Developmental Biology

Instructor: Brewster,Rachel

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 210

mati dotor.	_			Ent				1	_ 4 4	Course	0.55	LIMADO	Laurat	Cast
	NE				quen	cies	_		structor	Course	Org	UMBC		Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	1	5	16	28	4.42	739/1528	4.42	4.40	4.31	4.39	4.42
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	2	0	10	21	17	4.02	1099/1527	4.02	4.27	4.28	4.30	4.02
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	4	3	12	18	13	3.66	1198/1333	3.66	4.22	4.34	4.37	3.66
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	36	2	3	4	3	2	3.00	1453/1495	3.00	4.16	4.25	4.33	3.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	9	10	3	15	8	4	2.83	1405/1439	2.83	3.99	4.11	4.20	2.83
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	37	3	1	3	2	4	3.23	1310/1425	3.23	4.02	4.12	4.26	3.23
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	2	0	5	8	15	20	4.04	1018/1508	4.04	4.13	4.18	4.24	4.04
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	50	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.83	4.66	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	0	1	0	2	18	21	4.38	518/1490	3.69	4.09	4.11	4.19	3.69
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	1	2	5	39	4.74	497/1428	4.54	4.60	4.49	4.54	4.54
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	1	4	42	4.87	612/1436	4.68	4.78	4.74	4.75	4.68
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	1	2	2	9	33	4.51	613/1427	4.05	4.32	4.32	4.37	4.05
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	2	1	3	9	32	4.45	755/1425	4.12	4.39	4.34	4.37	4.12
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	8	2	1	2	13	21	4.28	518/1291	4.08	4.21	4.05	4.10	4.08
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	45	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	****/1271	***	4.04	4.16	4.33	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	45	0	0	1	1	3	2	3.86	****/1276	****	4.27	4.33	4.49	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	45	0	0	0	3	2	2	3.86	****/1273	***	4.33	4.38	4.55	****
4. Were special techniques successful	45	5	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/922	***	4.00	4.02	4.23	***

Course-Section: BIOL 442 01

Title: Developmental Biology

Instructor: Brewster,Rachel

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 210

Questionnaires: 52

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	51	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/198	****	4.46	4.16	4.37	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	51	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/208	****	4.42	4.27	4.21	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	Α	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	18	Required for Majors	38	Graduate	0	Major	34
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	14						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	5	С	6	General	0	Under-grad	52	Non-major	18
84-150	19	3.00-3.49	9	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	10						

Course-Section: BIOL 451 01

Title: Neurobiology

Instructor: Leupen, Sarah Ma

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 32

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	1	23	4.88	175/1528	4.88	4.40	4.31	4.39	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	2	6	16	4.48	607/1527	4.48	4.27	4.28	4.30	4.48
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	7	15	4.48	592/1333	4.48	4.22	4.34	4.37	4.48
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	1	6	17	4.67	313/1495	4.67	4.16	4.25	4.33	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	2	21	4.76	161/1439	4.76	3.99	4.11	4.20	4.76
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	5	18	4.64	266/1425	4.64	4.02	4.12	4.26	4.64
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	3	2	20	4.54	419/1508	4.54	4.13	4.18	4.24	4.54
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	1	0	0	24	4.88	601/1526	4.88	4.83	4.66	4.71	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	5	21	4.81	122/1490	4.22	4.09	4.11	4.19	4.22
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	24	5.00	1/1428	4.87	4.60	4.49	4.54	4.87
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	24	5.00	1/1436	4.89	4.78	4.74	4.75	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	23	4.96	69/1427	4.37	4.32	4.32	4.37	4.37
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	24	4.92	130/1425	4.46	4.39	4.34	4.37	4.46
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	1	3	3	17	4.50	327/1291	4.15	4.21	4.05	4.10	4.15
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	5	3	8	4.19	685/1271	4.19	4.04	4.16	4.33	4.19
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	339/1276	4.76	4.27	4.33	4.49	4.76
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	1	5	10	4.56	592/1273	4.56	4.33	4.38	4.55	4.56
4. Were special techniques successful	11	0	0	0	2	2	11	4.60	177/922	4.60	4.00	4.02	4.23	4.60

Course-Section: BIOL 451 01

Title: Neurobiology

Instructor: Leupen,Sarah Ma

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 32

Questionnaires: 26

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	25	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/208	****	4.42	4.27	4.21	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	22	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/76	****	4.80	4.51	4.83	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	22	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/76	***	4.80	4.27	4.42	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	22	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	****/73	***	4.40	3.94	4.23	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	25	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/42	****	****	4.00	4.73	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/43	***	4.17	4.43	4.63	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	24	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/31	***	4.17	4.53	4.17	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	***	4.00	4.43	4.38	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	16	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	3	Major	13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	23	Non-major	13
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: BIOL 451 01

Title: Neurobiology

Instructor: Lin,Weihong

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 32

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	1	23	4.88	175/1528	4.88	4.40	4.31	4.39	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	2	6	16	4.48	607/1527	4.48	4.27	4.28	4.30	4.48
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	7	15	4.48	592/1333	4.48	4.22	4.34	4.37	4.48
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	1	6	17	4.67	313/1495	4.67	4.16	4.25	4.33	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	2	21	4.76	161/1439	4.76	3.99	4.11	4.20	4.76
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	5	18	4.64	266/1425	4.64	4.02	4.12	4.26	4.64
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	3	2	20	4.54	419/1508	4.54	4.13	4.18	4.24	4.54
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	1	0	0	24	4.88	601/1526	4.88	4.83	4.66	4.71	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	2	8	11	3	3.63	1227/1490	4.22	4.09	4.11	4.19	4.22
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	2	2	19	4.74	515/1428	4.87	4.60	4.49	4.54	4.87
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	3	19	4.78	870/1436	4.89	4.78	4.74	4.75	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	2	1	5	7	8	3.78	1214/1427	4.37	4.32	4.32	4.37	4.37
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	1	4	5	12	4.00	1076/1425	4.46	4.39	4.34	4.37	4.46
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	2	3	1	3	4	10	3.81	902/1291	4.15	4.21	4.05	4.10	4.15
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	5	3	8	4.19	685/1271	4.19	4.04	4.16	4.33	4.19
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	339/1276	4.76	4.27	4.33	4.49	4.76
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	1	5	10	4.56	592/1273	4.56	4.33	4.38	4.55	4.56
4. Were special techniques successful	11	0	0	0	2	2	11	4.60	177/922	4.60	4.00	4.02	4.23	4.60

Course-Section: BIOL 451 01

Title: Neurobiology

Instructor: Lin,Weihong

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 32

Questionnaires: 26

			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
25	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/208	****	4.42	4.27	4.21	****
22	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/76	***	4.80	4.51	4.83	****
22	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/76	***	4.80	4.27	4.42	****
22	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	****/73	***	4.40	3.94	4.23	****
25	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/42	***	****	4.00	4.73	****
25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/43	***	4.17	4.43	4.63	****
24	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/31	***	4.17	4.53	4.17	****
25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	***	4.00	4.43	4.38	****
	25 22 22 22 25 25 24	25 0 22 0 22 1 22 0 25 0 25 0 24 0	25 0 1 22 0 0 22 1 0 22 0 0 25 0 1 25 0 0 24 0 0	NR NA 1 2 25 0 1 0 22 0 0 0 22 1 0 0 22 0 0 0 25 0 1 0 25 0 0 0 24 0 0 0	NR NA 1 2 3 25 0 1 0 0 22 0 0 0 0 22 1 0 0 1 22 0 0 0 0 25 0 1 0 0 25 0 0 0 1 24 0 0 0 0	25 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 22 1 0 0 0 22 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 5 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 5 0 0 0 0 1 0 2 4 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 25 0 1 0 0 0 0 22 0 0 0 0 4 2 2 1 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 0 <td>NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean 25 0 1 0 0 0 0 1.00 22 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 22 1 0 0 1 0 2 4.33 22 0 0 0 0 2 2 4.50 25 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 3.00 24 0 0 0 1 1 4.50</td> <td>NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank 25 0 1 0 0 0 1.00 ****/208 22 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 ****/76 22 1 0 0 1 0 2 4.33 ****/76 22 0 0 0 0 2 2 4.50 ****/73 25 0 1 0 0 0 1.00 ****/42 25 0 0 0 1 0 3.00 ****/43 24 0 0 0 1 1 4.50 ****/31</td> <td>NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank Mean 25 0 1 0 0 0 1.00 ****/208 **** 22 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 ****/76 **** 22 1 0 0 1 0 2 4.33 ****/76 **** 22 0 0 0 2 2 4.50 ****/73 ***** 25 0 1 0 0 3.00 ****/42 **** 25 0 0 0 1 0 0 3.00 ****/43 **** 24 0 0 0 1 1 4.50 ****/31 ****</td> <td>NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank Mean Mean 25 0 1 0 0 0 1.00 ****/208 **** 4.42 22 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 ****/76 **** 4.80 22 1 0 0 1 0 2 4.33 ****/76 **** 4.80 22 0 0 0 0 2 2 4.50 ****/73 **** 4.40 25 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 3.00 ****/43 **** 4.17 24 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 4.50 ****/31 **** 4.17</td> <td>NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank Mean 4.27 22 0</td> <td>NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank Mean Mean</td>	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean 25 0 1 0 0 0 0 1.00 22 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 22 1 0 0 1 0 2 4.33 22 0 0 0 0 2 2 4.50 25 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 3.00 24 0 0 0 1 1 4.50	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank 25 0 1 0 0 0 1.00 ****/208 22 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 ****/76 22 1 0 0 1 0 2 4.33 ****/76 22 0 0 0 0 2 2 4.50 ****/73 25 0 1 0 0 0 1.00 ****/42 25 0 0 0 1 0 3.00 ****/43 24 0 0 0 1 1 4.50 ****/31	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank Mean 25 0 1 0 0 0 1.00 ****/208 **** 22 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 ****/76 **** 22 1 0 0 1 0 2 4.33 ****/76 **** 22 0 0 0 2 2 4.50 ****/73 ***** 25 0 1 0 0 3.00 ****/42 **** 25 0 0 0 1 0 0 3.00 ****/43 **** 24 0 0 0 1 1 4.50 ****/31 ****	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank Mean Mean 25 0 1 0 0 0 1.00 ****/208 **** 4.42 22 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 ****/76 **** 4.80 22 1 0 0 1 0 2 4.33 ****/76 **** 4.80 22 0 0 0 0 2 2 4.50 ****/73 **** 4.40 25 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 3.00 ****/43 **** 4.17 24 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 4.50 ****/31 **** 4.17	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank Mean 4.27 22 0	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank Mean Mean

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	16	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	3	Major	13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	23	Non-major	13
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: BIOL 483 01

Title: Evol: Genes To Genomes

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 34

Instructor: Leips, Jeffery W

That dotor. Leips, seriety W				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	1	3	23	4.71	362/1528	4.71	4.40	4.31	4.39	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	0	3	10	14	4.29	872/1527	4.29	4.27	4.28	4.30	4.29
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	5	23	4.82	219/1333	4.82	4.22	4.34	4.37	4.82
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	2	7	18	4.50	496/1495	4.50	4.16	4.25	4.33	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	4	5	17	4.50	367/1439	4.50	3.99	4.11	4.20	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	7	18	4.59	310/1425	4.59	4.02	4.12	4.26	4.59
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	1	2	1	3	20	4.44	530/1508	4.44	4.13	4.18	4.24	4.44
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	26	4.96	227/1526	4.96	4.83	4.66	4.71	4.96
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	1	0	2	6	16	4.44	434/1490	4.41	4.09	4.11	4.19	4.41
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	4	22	4.78	441/1428	4.77	4.60	4.49	4.54	4.77
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	2	24	4.85	677/1436	4.88	4.78	4.74	4.75	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	2	4	21	4.70	364/1427	4.66	4.32	4.32	4.37	4.66
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	2	24	4.85	220/1425	4.79	4.39	4.34	4.37	4.79
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	4	0	0	1	4	16	4.71	167/1291	4.68	4.21	4.05	4.10	4.68
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	238/1271	4.76	4.04	4.16	4.33	4.76
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	212/1276	4.88	4.27	4.33	4.49	4.88
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	3	14	4.82	323/1273	4.82	4.33	4.38	4.55	4.82
4. Were special techniques successful	12	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	119/922	4.76	4.00	4.02	4.23	4.76

Course-Section: BIOL 483 01

Title: Evol: Genes To Genomes

Instructor: Leips, Jeffery W

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 34

Questionnaires: 29

				Fre	quend	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	19	1	0	1	1	2	5	4.22	108/198	4.22	4.46	4.16	4.37	4.22
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	20	0	0	1	1	1	6	4.33	107/208	4.33	4.42	4.27	4.21	4.33
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	20	1	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	76/194	4.75	4.69	4.56	4.52	4.75
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	20	0	0	1	1	0	7	4.44	106/194	4.44	4.46	4.37	4.45	4.44
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	20	0	0	2	0	2	5	4.11	116/176	4.11	4.17	4.23	3.87	4.11

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	2	Α	12	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	7	Major	24
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	11						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	1	General	2	Under-grad	22	Non-major	5
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	7	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Course-Section: BIOL 483 01

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 34

Title.

Title: Evol: Genes To Genomes

Instructor: Mendelson, Tamra

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	1	3	23	4.71	362/1528	4.71	4.40	4.31	4.39	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	0	3	10	14	4.29	872/1527	4.29	4.27	4.28	4.30	4.29
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	5	23	4.82	219/1333	4.82	4.22	4.34	4.37	4.82
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	2	7	18	4.50	496/1495	4.50	4.16	4.25	4.33	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	4	5	17	4.50	367/1439	4.50	3.99	4.11	4.20	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	7	18	4.59	310/1425	4.59	4.02	4.12	4.26	4.59
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	1	2	1	3	20	4.44	530/1508	4.44	4.13	4.18	4.24	4.44
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	26	4.96	227/1526	4.96	4.83	4.66	4.71	4.96
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	1	0	1	7	16	4.48	374/1490	4.41	4.09	4.11	4.19	4.41
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	3	22	4.88	253/1428	4.77	4.60	4.49	4.54	4.77
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	3	22	4.88	580/1436	4.88	4.78	4.74	4.75	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	6	19	4.76	283/1427	4.66	4.32	4.32	4.37	4.66
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	4	20	4.76	335/1425	4.79	4.39	4.34	4.37	4.79
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	2	0	0	1	5	16	4.68	189/1291	4.68	4.21	4.05	4.10	4.68
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	238/1271	4.76	4.04	4.16	4.33	4.76
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	212/1276	4.88	4.27	4.33	4.49	4.88
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	3	14	4.82	323/1273	4.82	4.33	4.38	4.55	4.82
4. Were special techniques successful	12	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	119/922	4.76	4.00	4.02	4.23	4.76

Course-Section: BIOL 483 01

Title: Evol: Genes To Genomes

Instructor: Mendelson, Tamra

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 34

Questionnaires: 29

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	19	1	0	1	1	2	5	4.22	108/198	4.22	4.46	4.16	4.37	4.22
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	20	0	0	1	1	1	6	4.33	107/208	4.33	4.42	4.27	4.21	4.33
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	20	1	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	76/194	4.75	4.69	4.56	4.52	4.75
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	20	0	0	1	1	0	7	4.44	106/194	4.44	4.46	4.37	4.45	4.44
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	20	0	0	2	0	2	5	4.11	116/176	4.11	4.17	4.23	3.87	4.11

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	2	Α	12	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	7	Major	24
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	11						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	1	General	2	Under-grad	22	Non-major	5
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	7	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Course-Section: BIOL 483 01 Title: Evol: Genes To Genomes

Instructor: Omland, Kevin E

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 34

That dotor.				-				_			-			0 .
					quen	cies			structor	Course	Org	UMBC		Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	1	3	23	4.71	362/1528	4.71	4.40	4.31	4.39	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	0	3	10	14	4.29	872/1527	4.29	4.27	4.28	4.30	4.29
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	5	23	4.82	219/1333	4.82	4.22	4.34	4.37	4.82
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	2	7	18	4.50	496/1495	4.50	4.16	4.25	4.33	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	4	5	17	4.50	367/1439	4.50	3.99	4.11	4.20	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	7	18	4.59	310/1425	4.59	4.02	4.12	4.26	4.59
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	1	2	1	3	20	4.44	530/1508	4.44	4.13	4.18	4.24	4.44
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	26	4.96	227/1526	4.96	4.83	4.66	4.71	4.96
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	1	0	2	9	13	4.32	591/1490	4.41	4.09	4.11	4.19	4.41
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	1	1	4	19	4.64	669/1428	4.77	4.60	4.49	4.54	4.77
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	2	23	4.92	413/1436	4.88	4.78	4.74	4.75	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	1	2	5	17	4.52	601/1427	4.66	4.32	4.32	4.37	4.66
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	0	3	21	4.76	335/1425	4.79	4.39	4.34	4.37	4.79
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	4	0	0	2	3	15	4.65	213/1291	4.68	4.21	4.05	4.10	4.68
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	238/1271	4.76	4.04	4.16	4.33	4.76
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	212/1276	4.88	4.27	4.33	4.49	4.88
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	3	14	4.82	323/1273	4.82	4.33	4.38	4.55	4.82
4. Were special techniques successful	12	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	119/922	4.76	4.00	4.02	4.23	4.76

Course-Section: BIOL 483 01

Title: Evol: Genes To Genomes

Instructor: Omland, Kevin E

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 34

Questionnaires: 29

				Fre	quend	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	19	1	0	1	1	2	5	4.22	108/198	4.22	4.46	4.16	4.37	4.22
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	20	0	0	1	1	1	6	4.33	107/208	4.33	4.42	4.27	4.21	4.33
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	20	1	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	76/194	4.75	4.69	4.56	4.52	4.75
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	20	0	0	1	1	0	7	4.44	106/194	4.44	4.46	4.37	4.45	4.44
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	20	0	0	2	0	2	5	4.11	116/176	4.11	4.17	4.23	3.87	4.11

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	2	Α	12	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	7	Major	24
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	11						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	1	General	2	Under-grad	22	Non-major	5
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	7	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Course-Section: BIOL 635L 01

Title: Adv Molec Biol Lab

Instructor: Wolf, Julia B

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.40	4.31	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	704/1527	4.43	4.27	4.28	4.36	4.43
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	4.14	916/1333	4.14	4.22	4.34	4.39	4.14
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	267/1495	4.71	4.16	4.25	4.33	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	851/1439	4.00	3.99	4.11	4.24	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	301/1425	4.60	4.02	4.12	4.28	4.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	845/1508	4.20	4.13	4.18	4.25	4.20
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.83	4.66	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	464/1490	4.43	4.09	4.11	4.16	4.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	385/1428	4.80	4.60	4.49	4.56	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.78	4.74	4.83	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	230/1427	4.80	4.32	4.32	4.36	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	556/1425	4.60	4.39	4.34	4.34	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	574/1291	4.20	4.21	4.05	3.99	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	446/1271	4.50	4.04	4.16	4.27	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	805/1276	4.25	4.27	4.33	4.43	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	637/1273	4.50	4.33	4.38	4.52	4.50
4. Were special techniques successful	3	1	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	659/922	3.67	4.00	4.02	4.00	3.67

Course-Section: BIOL 635L 01

Title: Adv Molec Biol Lab

Instructor: Wolf, Julia B

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	2	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/198	5.00	4.46	4.16	4.54	5.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	26/208	4.80	4.42	4.27	4.40	4.80
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	58/194	4.80	4.69	4.56	4.58	4.80
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	30/194	4.80	4.46	4.37	4.64	4.80
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	2	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	71/176	4.40	4.17	4.23	4.66	4.40

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	3	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	7
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: BIOL 700 01

Title: Intro To Grad Experience

Instructor: Robinson, Phylli

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	2	4	4	7	3.94	1195/1528	3.94	4.40	4.31	4.45	3.94
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	70/1527	4.94	4.27	4.28	4.36	4.94
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	339/1333	4.71	4.22	4.34	4.39	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	496/1495	4.50	4.16	4.25	4.33	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	3	4	8	4.19	727/1439	4.19	3.99	4.11	4.24	4.19
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	1	8	5	4.00	891/1425	4.00	4.02	4.12	4.28	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	3	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	76/1508	4.92	4.13	4.18	4.25	4.92
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.83	4.66	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	11	3	4.21	722/1490	4.21	4.09	4.11	4.16	4.21
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	155/1428	4.93	4.60	4.49	4.56	4.93
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.78	4.74	4.83	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	96/1427	4.93	4.32	4.32	4.36	4.93
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	378/1425	4.73	4.39	4.34	4.34	4.73
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	5	10	4.56	282/1291	4.56	4.21	4.05	3.99	4.56
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	7	7	4.40	549/1271	4.40	4.04	4.16	4.27	4.40
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	6	9	4.60	506/1276	4.60	4.27	4.33	4.43	4.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	279/1273	4.87	4.33	4.38	4.52	4.87
4. Were special techniques successful	2	5	0	0	3	3	4	4.10	442/922	4.10	4.00	4.02	4.00	4.10

Course-Section: BIOL 700 01

Title: Intro To Grad Experience

Instructor: Robinson, Phylli

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 19

Questionnaires: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	4.80	4.51	4.51	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/76	****	4.80	4.27	4.33	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.40	3.94	3.81	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	2	Α	2	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	12	Major	16
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	12	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	10			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	5						

Course-Section: BIOL 750 01

Title: Organismic Biology

Instructor: Lu,Hua

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 5

	•			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	238/1528	4.80	4.40	4.31	4.45	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	259/1527	4.75	4.27	4.28	4.36	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1333	****	4.22	4.34	4.39	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	657/1495	4.40	4.16	4.25	4.33	4.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	367/1439	4.50	3.99	4.11	4.24	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	396/1425	4.50	4.02	4.12	4.28	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	448/1508	4.50	4.13	4.18	4.25	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.83	4.66	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	344/1490	4.50	4.09	4.11	4.16	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	854/1428	4.50	4.60	4.49	4.56	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.78	4.74	4.83	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	843/1427	4.33	4.32	4.32	4.36	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	870/1425	4.33	4.39	4.34	4.34	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	480/1291	4.33	4.21	4.05	3.99	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	319/1271	4.67	4.04	4.16	4.27	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	439/1276	4.67	4.27	4.33	4.43	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.33	4.38	4.52	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	719/922	3.50	4.00	4.02	4.00	3.50

Course-Section: BIOL 750 01

Title: Organismic Biology

Instructor: Lu,Hua

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	27/76	4.80	4.80	4.51	4.51	4.80
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	24/74	4.75	4.75	4.31	4.32	4.75
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/66	5.00	5.00	4.27	4.44	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	21/76	4.80	4.80	4.27	4.33	4.80
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	24/73	4.40	4.40	3.94	3.81	4.40

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	4	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	2	Major	3
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses			
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						