

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 212 01
Title:	Prin Of Digital Design
Instructor:	Phatak,Dhananja

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	21
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	1	1	3	8	4.38	805/1542	4.38	4.24	4.33	4.35	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	3	5	4	3.92	1190/1542	3.92	3.97	4.29	4.29	3.92
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	2	5	5	4.08	954/1339	4.08	4.03	4.32	4.40	4.08
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	6	0	0	2	3	2	4.00	1058/1498	4.00	4.02	4.26	4.31	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	6	2	0	1	1	2	3.17	1335/1428	3.17	3.75	4.12	4.17	3.17
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	8	1	1	0	1	1	3.00	1349/1407	3.00	3.94	4.15	4.14	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	3	0	1	3	4	1	3.56	1308/1521	3.56	3.78	4.20	4.22	3.56
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.80	4.70	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	1	4	4	3	3.75	1160/1518	3.75	3.98	4.11	4.12	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	5	5	4.36	993/1472	4.36	4.13	4.46	4.53	4.36
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.65	4.72	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	2	2	4	3	3.73	1258/1471	3.73	3.95	4.32	4.37	3.73
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	0	1	3	6	4.18	1016/1470	4.18	4.00	4.33	4.40	4.18
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	0	1	2	4	3	3.90	863/1310	3.90	3.86	4.06	4.19	3.90
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	2	1	3	1	3.43	1037/1210	3.43	4.02	4.18	4.18	3.43
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	661/1211	4.43	4.29	4.37	4.34	4.43
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	703/1207	4.43	4.30	4.41	4.40	4.43
4. Were special techniques successful	7	5	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	****/859	****	4.04	4.08	4.07	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 212 01
Title:	Prin Of Digital Design
Instructor:	Phatak,Dhananja

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	21
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	5	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	28/207	4.67	4.52	4.12	4.26	4.67
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	5	0	0	0	3	2	4	4.11	130/210	4.11	4.22	4.17	4.32	4.11
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	5	0	0	1	0	3	5	4.33	147/202	4.33	4.35	4.50	4.62	4.33
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	5	0	0	0	2	3	4	4.22	119/202	4.22	4.52	4.32	4.20	4.22
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	5	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	71/199	4.44	4.15	4.15	4.32	4.44
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/69	****	****	4.56	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/69	****	****	4.60	4.52	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/68	****	****	4.50	4.34	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/73	****	****	4.54	4.63	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.55	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.10	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.27	3.95	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	13	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/18	****	****	4.25	4.50	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 212 01
Title:	Prin Of Digital Design
Instructor:	Phatak,Dhananja

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	21
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	13	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/13	****	****	4.14	4.50	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 2	Required for Majors 12	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 9		
56-83	4	2.00-2.99 1	C 1	General 0	Under-grad 14
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 5	D 0		Non-major 3
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 0	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 2		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 306 01
Title:	Intro Circuit Theory
Instructor:	Yan,Li

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	24
Questionnaires:	16

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	6	7	4.25	962/1542	4.25	4.24	4.33	4.37	4.25
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	3	3	7	3	3.63	1365/1542	3.63	3.97	4.29	4.31	3.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	2	7	6	4.06	958/1339	4.06	4.03	4.32	4.36	4.06
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	1	5	4	3	3.69	1267/1498	3.69	4.02	4.26	4.32	3.69
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	1	4	2	3	3.70	1134/1428	3.70	3.75	4.12	4.15	3.70
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	6	3	3	3.75	1080/1407	3.75	3.94	4.15	4.20	3.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	5	3	3	2	1	2.36	1505/1521	2.36	3.78	4.20	4.23	2.36
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.80	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	1	2	5	4	1	3.15	1400/1518	3.15	3.98	4.11	4.13	3.15
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	3	4	8	4.19	1134/1472	4.19	4.13	4.46	4.46	4.19
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	1	1	7	7	4.25	1335/1475	4.25	4.65	4.72	4.74	4.25
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	2	5	3	4	3.47	1342/1471	3.47	3.95	4.32	4.33	3.47
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	3	3	2	5	3.19	1388/1470	3.19	4.00	4.33	4.35	3.19
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	6	1	4	2	1	2	2.90	1246/1310	2.90	3.86	4.06	4.11	2.90
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	711/1210	4.14	4.02	4.18	4.27	4.14
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	1	0	2	4	4.29	777/1211	4.29	4.29	4.37	4.45	4.29
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	1	4	0	2	3.43	1122/1207	3.43	4.30	4.41	4.51	3.43
4. Were special techniques successful	9	4	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/859	****	4.04	4.08	4.13	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 306 01
Title:	Intro Circuit Theory
Instructor:	Yan,Li

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	24
Questionnaires:	16

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	5	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	51/207	4.55	4.52	4.12	4.17	4.55
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	5	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	107/210	4.27	4.22	4.17	4.21	4.27
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	5	0	0	1	1	2	7	4.36	142/202	4.36	4.35	4.50	4.54	4.36
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	5	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	43/202	4.82	4.52	4.32	4.44	4.82
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	5	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	57/199	4.55	4.15	4.15	4.18	4.55

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	5	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	7						
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	1	C	1	General	0	Under-grad	16	Non-major	3
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 310 1
Title:	Systems Design & Prog
Instructor:	Patel,Chintan

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	25
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	5	13	4.58	548/1542	4.58	4.24	4.33	4.37	4.58
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	2	9	7	4.11	1078/1542	4.11	3.97	4.29	4.31	4.11
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	3	4	5	7	3.84	1088/1339	3.84	4.03	4.32	4.36	3.84
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	1	2	4	8	4.27	843/1498	4.27	4.02	4.26	4.32	4.27
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	8	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	494/1428	4.40	3.75	4.12	4.15	4.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	6	1	0	1	5	5	4.08	837/1407	4.08	3.94	4.15	4.20	4.08
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	0	4	3	10	4.17	934/1521	4.17	3.78	4.20	4.23	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	721/1541	4.89	4.80	4.70	4.71	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	1	0	0	3	9	4.46	421/1518	4.46	3.98	4.11	4.13	4.46
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	1	1	4	11	4.47	858/1472	4.47	4.13	4.46	4.46	4.47
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	781/1475	4.81	4.65	4.72	4.74	4.81
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	1	0	0	7	8	4.31	894/1471	4.31	3.95	4.32	4.33	4.31
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	0	3	12	4.63	558/1470	4.63	4.00	4.33	4.35	4.63
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	1	0	2	6	6	4.07	728/1310	4.07	3.86	4.06	4.11	4.07
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	1	0	0	4	6	4.27	621/1210	4.27	4.02	4.18	4.27	4.27
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	1	0	1	3	6	4.18	840/1211	4.18	4.29	4.37	4.45	4.18
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	2	0	0	3	6	4.00	918/1207	4.00	4.30	4.41	4.51	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 310 1
Title:	Systems Design & Prog
Instructor:	Patel,Chintan

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	25
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	9	3	0	1	2	1	3	3.86	568/859	3.86	4.04	4.08	4.13	3.86

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	8	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	18
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	B	9						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	1	C	1	General	0	Under-grad	19	Non-major	1
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: CMPE 311 01		Term - Spring 2012								Enrollment: 16				
Title: C Prog & Embedded System										Questionnaires: 7				
Instructor: Robucci,Ryan W														
		Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	632/1542	4.50	4.24	4.33	4.37	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	833/1542	4.33	3.97	4.29	4.31	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	757/1339	4.33	4.03	4.32	4.36	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	1058/1498	4.00	4.02	4.26	4.32	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	1	1	2	0	0	2.25	1420/1428	2.25	3.75	4.12	4.15	2.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	252/1407	4.67	3.94	4.15	4.20	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	0	2	2	1	3.33	1378/1521	3.33	3.78	4.20	4.23	3.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	803/1541	4.83	4.80	4.70	4.71	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	1213/1518	3.67	3.98	4.11	4.13	3.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	5	1	4.17	1148/1472	4.17	4.13	4.46	4.46	4.17
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	727/1475	4.83	4.65	4.72	4.74	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	870/1471	4.33	3.95	4.32	4.33	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	498/1470	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.35	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	1	0	3	1	3.80	923/1310	3.80	3.86	4.06	4.11	3.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	1	2	2	3.67	966/1210	3.67	4.02	4.18	4.27	3.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	3	1	2	3.83	1015/1211	3.83	4.29	4.37	4.45	3.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	769/1207	4.33	4.30	4.41	4.51	4.33
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/207	****	4.52	4.12	4.17	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 311 01
Title:	C Prog & Embedded System
Instructor:	Robucci,Ryan W

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/210	****	4.22	4.17	4.21	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/202	****	4.35	4.50	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/202	****	4.52	4.32	4.44	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	4.15	4.15	4.18	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	1	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	1
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 314 01
Title:	Prin Of Electronic Circ
Instructor:	Yan,Li

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	17
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	584/1542	4.55	4.24	4.33	4.37	4.55
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	6	3	4.00	1122/1542	4.00	3.97	4.29	4.31	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	4.09	946/1339	4.09	4.03	4.32	4.36	4.09
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	3	3	2	3.88	1176/1498	3.88	4.02	4.26	4.32	3.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	1	0	0	1	5	4.29	598/1428	4.29	3.75	4.12	4.15	4.29
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	505/1407	4.43	3.94	4.15	4.20	4.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	817/1521	4.27	3.78	4.20	4.23	4.27
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.80	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	1	6	2	3.90	1057/1518	3.90	3.98	4.11	4.13	3.90
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	503/1472	4.73	4.13	4.46	4.46	4.73
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	1	0	0	1	9	4.55	1165/1475	4.55	4.65	4.72	4.74	4.55
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	0	1	3	6	4.18	1000/1471	4.18	3.95	4.32	4.33	4.18
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	0	3	6	4.09	1075/1470	4.09	4.00	4.33	4.35	4.09
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	4	1	1	0	4	1	3.43	1103/1310	3.43	3.86	4.06	4.11	3.43
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	1	0	2	0	3.33	1073/1210	3.33	4.02	4.18	4.27	3.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	451/1211	4.67	4.29	4.37	4.45	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	769/1207	4.33	4.30	4.41	4.51	4.33
4. Were special techniques successful	8	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/859	****	4.04	4.08	4.13	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 314 01
Title:	Prin Of Electronic Circ
Instructor:	Yan,Li

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	17
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	2	1	0	0	3	3	2	3.88	146/207	3.88	4.52	4.12	4.17	3.88
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	3.89	153/210	3.89	4.22	4.17	4.21	3.89
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	2	0	0	1	2	1	5	4.11	178/202	4.11	4.35	4.50	4.54	4.11
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	2	0	0	1	1	2	5	4.22	119/202	4.22	4.52	4.32	4.44	4.22
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	2	0	2	1	3	1	2	3.00	197/199	3.00	4.15	4.15	4.18	3.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	1	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	5						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	C	3	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	3
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 320 1
Title:	Prob, Stat, & Random Pro
Instructor:	Chang,Chein-i

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	30
Questionnaires:	21

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	3	2	9	1	6	3.24	1478/1542	3.24	4.24	4.33	4.37	3.24
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	2	9	6	1	2	2.60	1526/1542	2.60	3.97	4.29	4.31	2.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	5	7	4	2	2	2.45	1334/1339	2.45	4.03	4.32	4.36	2.45
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	8	3	2	2	3	2	2.92	1468/1498	2.92	4.02	4.26	4.32	2.92
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	7	1	4	2	3	3	3.23	1319/1428	3.23	3.75	4.12	4.15	3.23
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	7	3	2	2	2	4	3.15	1323/1407	3.15	3.94	4.15	4.20	3.15
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	4	2	6	2	5	3.11	1420/1521	3.11	3.78	4.20	4.23	3.11
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	20	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.80	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	5	6	5	0	0	2.00	1512/1518	2.00	3.98	4.11	4.13	2.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	4	5	8	0	3	2.65	1460/1472	2.65	4.13	4.46	4.46	2.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	1	1	3	3	12	4.20	1351/1475	4.20	4.65	4.72	4.74	4.20
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	5	9	5	0	1	2.15	1464/1471	2.15	3.95	4.32	4.33	2.15
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	11	3	5	0	1	1.85	1467/1470	1.85	4.00	4.33	4.35	1.85
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	13	1	0	1	1	1	3.25	****/1310	****	3.86	4.06	4.11	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	1	0	0	1	4	4.17	696/1210	4.17	4.02	4.18	4.27	4.17
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	1	0	0	1	5	4.29	777/1211	4.29	4.29	4.37	4.45	4.29
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	1	0	0	2	4	4.14	871/1207	4.14	4.30	4.41	4.51	4.14

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 320 1
Title:	Prob, Stat, & Random Pro
Instructor:	Chang,Chein-i

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	30
Questionnaires:	21

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	14	6	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/859	****	4.04	4.08	4.13	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	8	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	17
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	7						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	4	C	2	General	0	Under-grad	21	Non-major	4
84-150	13	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 330 1
Title:	Em Waves Transmission
Instructor:	Carter,Gary M

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	33
Questionnaires:	28

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	1	1	10	9	6	3.67	1387/1542	3.67	4.24	4.33	4.37	3.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	2	9	8	7	3.67	1343/1542	3.67	3.97	4.29	4.31	3.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	1	1	4	4	12	5	3.62	1180/1339	3.62	4.03	4.32	4.36	3.62
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	13	2	0	5	5	2	3.36	1394/1498	3.36	4.02	4.26	4.32	3.36
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	10	0	1	5	9	2	3.71	1134/1428	3.71	3.75	4.12	4.15	3.71
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	11	1	1	3	5	5	3.80	1053/1407	3.80	3.94	4.15	4.20	3.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	1	0	1	6	10	8	4.00	1046/1521	4.00	3.78	4.20	4.23	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	1	0	0	1	0	23	4.92	620/1541	4.92	4.80	4.70	4.71	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	1	0	10	9	1	3.43	1326/1518	3.43	3.98	4.11	4.13	3.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	1	0	4	9	11	4.16	1148/1472	4.16	4.13	4.46	4.46	4.16
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	1	0	0	6	18	4.60	1119/1475	4.60	4.65	4.72	4.74	4.60
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	1	2	6	12	4	3.64	1291/1471	3.64	3.95	4.32	4.33	3.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	1	0	2	9	7	6	3.71	1254/1470	3.71	4.00	4.33	4.35	3.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	1	0	0	6	10	6	4.00	761/1310	4.00	3.86	4.06	4.11	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	2	0	3	6	7	3.89	862/1210	3.89	4.02	4.18	4.27	3.89
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	4	5	9	4.28	783/1211	4.28	4.29	4.37	4.45	4.28
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	1	0	4	6	7	4.00	918/1207	4.00	4.30	4.41	4.51	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	12	13	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	****/859	****	4.04	4.08	4.13	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 330 1
Title:	Em Waves Transmission
Instructor:	Carter, Gary M

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	33
Questionnaires:	28

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	27	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/210	****	4.22	4.17	4.21	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	27	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	27	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	27	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/30	****	****	4.27	3.17	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 6	Required for Majors 22	Graduate 0 Major 25
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 1	B 11		
56-83	2	2.00-2.99 3	C 6	General 0	Under-grad 28 Non-major 3
84-150	13	3.00-3.49 8	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 6	F 0	Electives 1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 4		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 415 01
Title:	Program Logic Devices
Instructor:	Mohsenin,Tinoos

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	0	6	4.38	818/1542	4.38	4.24	4.33	4.42	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	2	4	4.13	1060/1542	4.13	3.97	4.29	4.33	4.13
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	507/1339	4.57	4.03	4.32	4.44	4.57
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	822/1498	4.29	4.02	4.26	4.35	4.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	851/1428	4.00	3.75	4.12	4.22	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	1	1	3	1	3.67	1126/1407	3.67	3.94	4.15	4.30	3.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	838/1521	4.25	3.78	4.20	4.24	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	4.13	1415/1541	4.13	4.80	4.70	4.72	4.13
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	4.38	534/1518	4.38	3.98	4.11	4.18	4.38
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	983/1472	4.38	4.13	4.46	4.50	4.38
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	897/1475	4.75	4.65	4.72	4.74	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	946/1471	4.25	3.95	4.32	4.36	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	692/1470	4.50	4.00	4.33	4.38	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	3	1	4	4.13	690/1310	4.13	3.86	4.06	4.09	4.13
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	1191/1210	2.50	4.02	4.18	4.34	2.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1100/1211	3.50	4.29	4.37	4.47	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	918/1207	4.00	4.30	4.41	4.53	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 415 01
Title:	Program Logic Devices
Instructor:	Mohsenin,Tinoos

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/859	****	4.04	4.08	4.19	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 5	Required for Majors 7	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		Major 8
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 1	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 8
84-150	4	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 0
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 422 1
Title:	Digital Signal Process
Instructor:	Adali,Tulay

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	18
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	1	2	7	3	3.92	1246/1542	3.92	4.24	4.33	4.42	3.92
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	5	5	3	3.85	1250/1542	3.85	3.97	4.29	4.33	3.85
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	3	7	4.31	785/1339	4.31	4.03	4.32	4.44	4.31
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	0	2	6	4	3.92	1139/1498	3.92	4.02	4.26	4.35	3.92
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	0	7	3	1	3.08	1353/1428	3.08	3.75	4.12	4.22	3.08
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	1	0	5	2	3	3.55	1187/1407	3.55	3.94	4.15	4.30	3.55
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	3	6	4	4.08	1011/1521	4.08	3.78	4.20	4.24	4.08
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	0	12	4.85	787/1541	4.85	4.80	4.70	4.72	4.85
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	5	5	1	3.64	1230/1518	3.64	3.98	4.11	4.18	3.64
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	0	4	4	4	3.77	1340/1472	3.77	4.13	4.46	4.50	3.77
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	2	6	5	4.23	1341/1475	4.23	4.65	4.72	4.74	4.23
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	2	4	5	2	3.54	1324/1471	3.54	3.95	4.32	4.36	3.54
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	2	7	3	3.85	1204/1470	3.85	4.00	4.33	4.38	3.85
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	3	2	0	4	2	1	3.00	1218/1310	3.00	3.86	4.06	4.09	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1210	****	4.02	4.18	4.34	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/1211	****	4.29	4.37	4.47	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/1207	****	4.30	4.41	4.53	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 422 1
Title:	Digital Signal Process
Instructor:	Adali,Tulay

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	18
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	12	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/859	****	4.04	4.08	4.19	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1 A 4	Required for Majors	6 Graduate 0 Major 10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0 B 8		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0 C 1	General	0 Under-grad 14 Non-major 4
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	4 D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4 F 0	Electives	7 **** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			0 P 0		
			0 I 0	Other	0
			0 ? 0		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 451 01
Title:	Capstone II
Instructor:	LaBerge,E F

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	31
Questionnaires:	21

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	5	5	11	4.29	929/1542	4.29	4.24	4.33	4.42	4.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	5	11	5	4.00	1122/1542	4.00	3.97	4.29	4.33	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	19	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/1339	****	4.03	4.32	4.44	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	5	9	6	4.05	1032/1498	4.05	4.02	4.26	4.35	4.05
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	8	2	3	3	4	1	2.92	1380/1428	2.92	3.75	4.12	4.22	2.92
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	3	6	7	3	3.29	1292/1407	3.29	3.94	4.15	4.30	3.29
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	1	8	7	4	3.70	1238/1521	3.70	3.78	4.20	4.24	3.70
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	4	16	4.80	853/1541	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.72	4.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	2	17	4.80	151/1518	4.80	3.98	4.11	4.18	4.80
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	10	10	4.43	926/1472	4.43	4.13	4.46	4.50	4.43
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	4	17	4.81	808/1475	4.81	4.65	4.72	4.74	4.81
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	7	13	4.57	567/1471	4.57	3.95	4.32	4.36	4.57
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	3	5	11	4.19	1009/1470	4.19	4.00	4.33	4.38	4.19
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	2	7	10	4.42	404/1310	4.42	3.86	4.06	4.09	4.42
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	187/1210	4.83	4.02	4.18	4.34	4.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	451/1211	4.67	4.29	4.37	4.47	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	311/1207	4.83	4.30	4.41	4.53	4.83

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 451 01
Title:	Capstone II
Instructor:	LaBerge,E F

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	31
Questionnaires:	21

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	15	2	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/859	****	4.04	4.08	4.19	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	A	17	Required for Majors	19	Graduate	0	Major	19
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	1	General	0	Under-grad	21	Non-major	2
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 491 03
Title:	Spec Topic In Comp Engr
Instructor:	Robucci,Ryan W

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	720/1542	4.31	4.24	4.33	4.42	4.44
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	0	3	4	4.00	1122/1542	4.08	3.97	4.29	4.33	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	289/1339	4.49	4.03	4.32	4.44	4.78
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	660/1498	4.32	4.02	4.26	4.35	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	2	2	4	3.89	1000/1428	4.18	3.75	4.12	4.22	3.89
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	1	0	0	2	4	4.14	792/1407	4.24	3.94	4.15	4.30	4.14
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	2	0	5	3.78	1212/1521	4.10	3.78	4.20	4.24	3.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	1085/1541	4.55	4.80	4.70	4.72	4.56
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	3	2	4.00	920/1518	4.19	3.98	4.11	4.18	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	1	0	1	4	3	3.89	1302/1472	4.13	4.13	4.46	4.50	3.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1475	4.80	4.65	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	725/1471	4.41	3.95	4.32	4.36	4.44
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	498/1470	4.37	4.00	4.33	4.38	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	404/1310	4.23	3.86	4.06	4.09	4.43
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	212/1210	4.32	4.02	4.18	4.34	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	290/1211	4.43	4.29	4.37	4.47	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	556/1207	4.48	4.30	4.41	4.53	4.60
4. Were special techniques successful	4	1	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	120/859	4.13	4.04	4.08	4.19	4.75

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 491 03
Title:	Spec Topic In Comp Engr
Instructor:	Robucci,Ryan W

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	7	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	****	4.56	4.62	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	****	4.60	4.67	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	****	4.50	4.65	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	****	4.54	4.72	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	****	4.17	4.37	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	A	5	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	4	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	5	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 491 1
Title:	Spec Topic In Comp Engr
Instructor:	Slaughter,Gymam

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	23
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	5	12	4.47	676/1542	4.31	4.24	4.33	4.42	4.47
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	5	5	8	4.05	1100/1542	4.08	3.97	4.29	4.33	4.05
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	16	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1339	4.49	4.03	4.32	4.44	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	0	1	7	9	4.28	833/1498	4.32	4.02	4.26	4.35	4.28
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	268/1428	4.18	3.75	4.12	4.22	4.65
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	1	3	5	8	4.18	766/1407	4.24	3.94	4.15	4.30	4.18
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	8	9	4.32	772/1521	4.10	3.78	4.20	4.24	4.32
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	17	4.89	705/1541	4.55	4.80	4.70	4.72	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	1	7	5	4.31	629/1518	4.19	3.98	4.11	4.18	4.31
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	9	8	4.32	1042/1472	4.13	4.13	4.46	4.50	4.32
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	4	15	4.79	843/1475	4.80	4.65	4.72	4.74	4.79
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	5	12	4.53	617/1471	4.41	3.95	4.32	4.36	4.53
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	4	2	12	4.44	764/1470	4.37	4.00	4.33	4.38	4.44
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	4	4	8	4.25	576/1310	4.23	3.86	4.06	4.09	4.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	323/1210	4.32	4.02	4.18	4.34	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	580/1211	4.43	4.29	4.37	4.47	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	311/1207	4.48	4.30	4.41	4.53	4.83
4. Were special techniques successful	13	2	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/859	4.13	4.04	4.08	4.19	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 491 1
Title:	Spec Topic In Comp Engr
Instructor:	Slaughter,Gymam

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	23
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	14	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/207	5.00	4.52	4.12	4.41	5.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	14	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	38/210	4.60	4.22	4.17	4.02	4.60
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	14	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	96/202	4.60	4.35	4.50	4.42	4.60
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	14	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	45/202	4.80	4.52	4.32	4.23	4.80
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	14	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	51/199	4.60	4.15	4.15	3.77	4.60
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	16	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/69	****	****	4.56	4.62	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	16	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/69	****	****	4.60	4.67	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/68	****	****	4.50	4.65	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/73	****	****	4.54	4.72	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	16	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/67	****	****	4.17	4.37	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.39	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.25	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	17	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.56	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	17	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/23	****	****	4.41	4.33	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.70	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	****	4.27	3.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	****	4.57	4.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	****	****	4.29	4.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 491 1
Title:	Spec Topic In Comp Engr
Instructor:	Slaughter,Gymam

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	23
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/18	****	****	4.25	2.67	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/13	****	****	4.14	3.33	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1 A 17	Required for Majors	2 Graduate 4 Major 10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0 B 0		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2 C 0	General	1 Under-grad 15 Non-major 9
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	5 D 0		
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	6 F 0	Electives	12 **** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	1
			? 2		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 491 2
Title:	Spec Topic In Comp Engr
Instructor:	Mohsenin,Tinoos

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	5

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	4.00	1173/1542	4.31	4.24	4.33	4.42	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	992/1542	4.08	3.97	4.29	4.33	4.20
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	865/1339	4.49	4.03	4.32	4.44	4.20
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	854/1498	4.32	4.02	4.26	4.35	4.25
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	851/1428	4.18	3.75	4.12	4.22	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	530/1407	4.24	3.94	4.15	4.30	4.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	892/1521	4.10	3.78	4.20	4.24	4.20
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	1360/1541	4.55	4.80	4.70	4.72	4.20
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	686/1518	4.19	3.98	4.11	4.18	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	1120/1472	4.13	4.13	4.46	4.50	4.20
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	1119/1475	4.80	4.65	4.72	4.74	4.60
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	946/1471	4.41	3.95	4.32	4.36	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	4.00	1108/1470	4.37	4.00	4.33	4.38	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	761/1310	4.23	3.86	4.06	4.09	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1007/1210	4.32	4.02	4.18	4.34	3.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	918/1211	4.43	4.29	4.37	4.47	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	918/1207	4.48	4.30	4.41	4.53	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 491 2
Title:	Spec Topic In Comp Engr
Instructor:	Mohsenin,Tinoos

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	5

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	713/859	4.13	4.04	4.08	4.19	3.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1 A 3	Required for Majors	1 Graduate 0 Major 5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0 B 1		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0 C 0	General	0 Under-grad 5 Non-major 0
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1 D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2 F 0	Electives	2 **** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			0 P 0		
			0 I 0	Other	1
			1 ? 1		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 699 20
Title:	Ind Study In Cmpe
Instructor:	Younis,Mohamed

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	1
Questionnaires:	1

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1173/1542	4.50	4.24	4.33	4.39	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1122/1542	4.50	3.97	4.29	4.31	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1058/1498	4.50	4.02	4.26	4.25	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	851/1428	4.50	3.75	4.12	4.13	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.80	4.70	4.75	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	3.98	4.11	4.15	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.02	4.18	4.28	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.30	4.41	4.53	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	A	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	1	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	0	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	CMPE 699 22
Title:	Ind Study In Cmpe
Instructor:	Slaughter,Gymam

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment:	1
Questionnaires:	1

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.50	4.24	4.33	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.50	3.97	4.29	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	4.50	4.02	4.26	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.50	3.75	4.12	4.13	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1407	5.00	3.94	4.15	4.20	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.80	4.70	4.75	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	3.98	4.11	4.15	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 0	Required for Majors 0	Graduate 1 Major 1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 0		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 0 Non-major 0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00 0	F 0	Electives 0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 1		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 0		