Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: CYBR 620 02

Title: Introduction to Cybersec

Instructor: Forno, Richard

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 25

Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	6	13	4.60	460/1449	4.30	4.43	4.33	4.41	4.60
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals		0	0	0	2	7	11	4.45	637/1446	3.95	4.22	4.29	4.30	4.45
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals		10	0	1	2	3	2	3.75	1081/1256	3.38	3.84	4.34	4.30	3.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals		6	1	0	2	9	1	3.69	1198/1402	3.57	4.09	4.27	4.26	3.69
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned		0	0	0	4	7	9	4.25	628/1358	3.37	3.56	4.13	4.18	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	8	4	5	3.82	980/1327	3.56	3.79	4.16	4.29	3.82
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	1	2	4	12	4.42	585/1435	4.11	4.09	4.20	4.23	4.42
8. How many times was class cancelled		0	0	0	0	0	20	5.00	1/1446	4.96	4.83	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness		0	0	0	3	11	3	4.00	868/1437	3.53	3.79	4.12	4.17	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	5.00	1/1386	4.21	4.31	4.48	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject		0	0	0	0	1	19	4.95	266/1390	4.79	4.87	4.74	4.77	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly		0	0	0	2	6	12	4.50	635/1379	3.94	4.24	4.34	4.34	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned		0	0	0	1	4	15	4.70	461/1379	4.12	4.06	4.36	4.35	4.70
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding		0	0	3	4	5	7	3.84	858/1236	3.71	3.40	4.08	3.94	3.84
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	3	14	4.72	232/1121	4.21	4.11	4.18	4.29	4.72
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	1	4	3	10	4.22	764/1122	4.14	4.46	4.36	4.44	4.22
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	1	2	15	4.78	361/1121	4.49	4.49	4.40	4.52	4.78
4. Were special techniques successful	2	11	1	0	0	4	2	3.86	522/790	3.86	3.77	4.06	4.08	3.86

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: CYBR 620 02

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 25

0

Title: Introduction to Cybersec

Questionnaires: 20

Instructor: Forno, Richard

				Fre	quen	cies		Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/205	****	****	4.29	3.54	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	18	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/201	***	****	4.51	4.10	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	15	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/67	****	****	4.58	4.67	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	15	0	0	1	2	0	2	3.60	57/66	3.60	3.60	4.36	4.36	3.60
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	37/64	4.20	4.20	4.25	4.32	4.20
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	15	1	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/75	****	****	4.32	4.37	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	15	2	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/73	****	****	4.00	4.02	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	****/34	****	****	4.33	4.35	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	18	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	****/35	****	****	4.15	3.87	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	17	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/30	****	****	4.09	3.81	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	16	2	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/30	****	****	4.04	3.79	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/31	****	****	4.34	4.38	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	18	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/18	***	****	4.13	4.22	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	18	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/24	***	****	4.34	4.63	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	18	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/15	***	****	4.18	4.75	****

Frequency Distribution

Reasons

15

Expected Grades Credits Earned Cum. GPA 00-27 0.00-0.99 Α 10 Required for Majors

Type Majors Graduate Major 9

Run Date: 7/14/2011 11:25:20 AM

Page 2 of 3

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-S	Section:	CYBR 620 02	2				Term	ı - Spi	ring 2	011						Enro	Ilment:	25
	Title:	Introduction	to Cy	bersec											Q	uestion	naires:	20
Ins	tructor:	Forno,Richa	rd															
							Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced																		
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1		General				0		Under-grad	11		Non-major		0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	9	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electives					**** - Means there are not enough responses						
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other				0							
				?	2													