Course-Section: DANC 110 0101 University of Maryland Title BEGIN MOD DANC TECH I

Baltimore County Spring 2007

Page 459

JUN 26, 2007

Job IRBR3029

1 0 1 5 4.43 240/ 854 4.43 4.29 4.02 3.87 4.43

Instructor: LACY, SANDRA L Enrollment: 25

4. Were special techniques successful

Ouestionnaires: 25

Student Course Evaluation Ouestionnaire

Frequencies Instructor Course Dept UMBC Level Sect Ouestions NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course 8 0 0 1 3 10 4.29 859/1522 4.29 4.52 4.30 4.14 4.29 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals 8 0 0 0 2 3 12 4.59 454/1522 4.59 4.52 4.26 4.18 4.59 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals 8 14 0 1 0 0 2 4.00 ****/1285 **** 4.57 4.30 4.22 **** 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals 9 4 0 1 2 4 5 4.08 966/1476 4.08 4.13 4.22 4.09 4.08 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned 8 14 0 0 2 0 1 3.67 ****/1412 **** 3.51 4.06 4.01 **** 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned 8 2 1 2 5 3 4 3.47 1170/1381 3.47 3.60 4.08 3.93 3.47 7. Was the grading system clearly explained 8 2 0 0 5 1 9 4.27 770/1500 4.27 3.96 4.18 4.16 4.27 8. How many times was class cancelled 9 0 0 0 3 9 4 4.06 1368/1517 4.06 4.63 4.65 4.62 4.06 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness $$ 8 $$ 0 $$ 1 $$ 8 $$ 6 $$ 4.12 $$ 8.10 $$ 4.11 $$ 4.02 $$ 4.12 Lecture 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared 9 0 Ω 0 1 3 12 4.69 578/1440 4.69 4.81 4.45 4.40 4.69 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject 9 0 0 0 0 0 16 5.00 1/1448 5.00 4.94 4.71 4.63 5.00 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly 9 0 0 0 2 2 12 4.63 457/1436 4.63 4.55 4.29 4.24 4.63 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned 9 0 0 0 1 3 12 4.69 430/1432 4.69 4.71 4.29 4.23 4.69 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding 9 2 0 0 1 4 9 4.57 232/1221 4.57 4.35 3.93 3.86 4.57 Discussion 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned 11 0 0 0 3 1 10 4.50 390/1280 4.50 4.54 4.10 3.92 4.50 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate 12 0 0 0 1 0 12 4.85 281/1277 4.85 4.79 4.34 4.13 4.85 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion 13 0 0 0 2 1 9 4.58 524/1269 4.58 4.71 4.31 4.04 4.58

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	A	10	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	3	C	0	General	8	Under-grad	25	Non-major	25
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	3	#### - Means	there	are not enough	ı
				P	1			responses to	be sig	nificant	
				I	0	Other	2	_			
				?	0						

Course-Section: DANC 202 0101 University of Maryland Title DANCE HIST II: CONTEMP

Baltimore County Spring 2007

Instructor: WALTON, ELIZABE

Enrollment: 26 Questionnaires: 26

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Page 460 JUN 26, 2007 Job IRBR3029

							Fre	equei	ncies	3		Inst	ructor	Cour	se Dep	t UMB	C Level	Sect	
			Question	S		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mea	n Mea	n Mea	n Mean	Mean
			 Genera	 1															
1 т	Did voi	ı gain ne	ew insights,ski		this course	3	0	0	0	0	3	20	4.87	204/152	2 4.8	7 4.5	2 4.3	0 4.34	4.87
			ctor make clear			3	0	0	0	1	10	12	4.48	592/152					4.48
			estions reflec	_	-	3	0	0	0	1	3	19	4.78	248/128					4.78
		_	ations reflect			3	1	0	1	2	7	12	4.36	671/147					4.36
					hat you learned	3	0	0	0	3	4	16	4.57	305/141					4.57
					what you learned	3	0	0	2	2	8	11	4.22	643/138					4.22
			g system clearl		_	3	1	0	0	1	7	14	4.59	396/150					4.59
		-	was class canc			3	0	0	0	0	0	23	5.00	1/151					5.00
		_			ing effectiveness	8	0	0	0	1	9	8	4.39	525/149	7 4.3	9 4.4	9 4.1	1 4.11	4.39
			Lectur	e															
1. 1	Were th	ne instr	actor's lecture	s well p	repared	7	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	288/144	0 4.8	4 4.8	1 4.4	5 4.42	4.84
2. I	Did the	e instru	ctor seem inter	ested in	the subject	7	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	296/144	8 4.9	5 4.9	4 4.7	1 4.78	4.95
3. 7	Was lec	cture mat	terial presente	d and ex	plained clearly	7	0	0	0	1	3	15	4.74	326/143	6 4.7	4 4.5	5 4.2	9 4.29	4.74
4. I	Did the	e lecture	es contribute t	o what y	ou learned	7	0	0	0	0	2	17	4.89	174/143	2 4.8	9 4.7	1 4.2	9 4.31	4.89
5. I	Did aud	diovisua	l techniques en	hance yo	ur understanding	7	0	0	0	1	4	14	4.68	162/122	1 4.6	8 4.3	5 3.9	3 4.02	4.68
	Discussion																		
	. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned					17	0	1	0	3	1	4	3.78	894/128	0 3.7	8 4.5	4 4.1	0 4.08	3.78
					to participate	18	0	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	891/127	7 4.1	3 4.7	9 4.3	4 4.33	4.13
					open discussion	18	0	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	777/126				1 4.33	4.25
4. 1	Were sp	pecial to	echniques succe	ssful		18	6	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/ 85	4 ***	* 4.2	9 4.0	2 4.00	***
			Labora																
					round information	25	0	0	0	0	0	1		****/ 22	-	* ***	1.5		***
		_			r lab activities	25	0	0	1	0	0	0		****/ 21	•	* ***	* 4.5		***
4. I	Did the	e lab ins	structor provid	e assist	ance	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/ 21	6 ***	* ***	* 4.4	2 4.72	***
			Semina	=															
1. 1	Were as	ssigned	topics relevant	to the	announced theme	25	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 7	9 ***	* ***	* 4.5	3 4.58	***
					Frequ	lency	Dist	trib	ution	ı									
Cred	Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grade								Rea	asons	3			Г	уре			Majors	3
00-	00-27 5 0.00-0.99 0 A 9						Red		ed fo	or Ma	aior	 s	2	Gradua	 te	0	 Ма	 ior	9
	-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В 8							-	_	010000		ŭ	.10	<i>,</i>	-
	56-83 3 2.00-2.99 1 C 2			Ger	nera	1				2	Under-	grad	26	No	n-major	17			
	84-150 4 3.00-3.49 3 D 0														-			•	
	Grad. 0 3.50-4.00 11 F 0				Ele	ecti	ves				2	#### -	Means	there	are n	ot enoug	qh		
	P 0														gnific	-	-		
	I 0				Otl	ner				1	5	-			_				
	? 0																		

Course-Section: DANC 202H 0101 University of Maryland Title DANCE HIST II - HONORS Baltimore County JUN 26, 2007 Spring 2007 Job IRBR3029

Instructor: WALTON, ELIZABE

Enrollment: 3 Questionnaires: 3

Ctudent	Course	Fralustion	Ouestionnaire

Page 461

						3		Inst	ructor	Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1522	5.00	4.52	4.30	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	545/1522	4.50	4.52	4.26	4.29	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	531/1285	4.50	4.57	4.30	4.36	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1009/1476	4.00	4.13	4.22	4.20	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1165/1412	3.50	3.51	4.06	4.00	3.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1286/1381	3.00	3.60	4.08	3.97	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1298/1500	3.50	3.96	4.18	4.20	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1517	5.00	4.63	4.65	4.63	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	385/1497	4.50	4.49	4.11	4.11	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	798/1440	4.50	4.81	4.45	4.42	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.94	4.71	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1056/1436	4.00	4.55	4.29	4.29	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	632/1432	4.50	4.71	4.29	4.31	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	899/1221	3.50	4.35	3.93	4.02	3.50
Frequ	ency	Dist	ribu	ıtioı	ı									

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means	there	are not enough	Ĺ
				P	0	Electives		responses to	be sig	nificant	
				I	0	Other		_			
				2	0						

Course-Section: DANC 216 0101 University of Maryland Title BALLET II (INTERMEDIAT KATRINIC, KAREN

Baltimore County Spring 2007

Instructor: Enrollment: 9 Questionnaires: 9

Ctudent	Course	Fralustion	Ouestionnaire

Page 462

JUN 26, 2007

Job IRBR3029

							_	ncies	3		Inst	tructor	Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect	
		Questions	5		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Genera	 1															
1. Did vo	ou gain ne	ew insights,ski	_	m this course	2	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	525/1522	4.57	4.52	4.30	4.34	4.57
		ctor make clear			2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	164/1522		4.52	4.26	4.29	4.86
		uestions reflect	-	_	2	2	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	228/1285		4.57	4.30		4.80
	_	uations reflect		_	2	2	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1476		4.13	4.22	4.20	5.00
				what you learned	2	5	0	1	0	0	1		****/1412		3.51	4.06	4.00	****
				what you learned	2	1	0	0	3	2	1		1097/1381	3.67	3.60	4.08	3.97	3.67
		g system clearl		_	2	1	0	0	3	1			1129/1500		3.96	4.18	4.20	3.83
		was class cance			2	0	0	0	0	6			1331/1517		4.63	4.65		4.14
				ning effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	2			264/1497				4.11	
1 77		Lecture			0	0	0	0	0	0	-	F 00		****	4 01	4 45	4 40	****
		uctor's lecture	-	-	8	0	0	0	0	0	1		****/1440		4.81	4.45 4.71	4.42	****
	Did the instructor seem interested in the subject Was lecture material presented and explained clearly				8	0	0	0	0	0	1		****/1448		4.94		4.78	****
	Was lecture material presented and explained clearly Did the lectures contribute to what you learned					0	0	0	0	0			****/1436		4.55	4.29	4.29	****
				•	8	0	0	0	0	•			****/1432		4.71	4.29	4.31	****
5. Did au	udiovisua.	l techniques en	nance y	our understanding	8	0	U	U	0	0	Τ	5.00	****/1221	****	4.35	3.93	4.02	***
		Discus	sion															
1. Did cl	lass discu	ussions contrib	ute to	what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1280	****	4.54	4.10	4.08	****
2. Were a	all studer	nts actively end	courage	d to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1277	****	4.79	4.34	4.33	****
3. Did th	he instruc	ctor encourage :	fair and	d open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1269	****	4.71	4.31	4.33	****
4. Were s	special te	echniques succes	ssful		8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 854	****	4.29	4.02	4.00	****
				Frequ		. Dia	- wib	.+	_									
				riequ	terrey	/ DIS	CLID	10101	11									
Credits H	Earned	Cum. GPA		Expected Grades				Rea	asons	5			Ту	pe			Majors	
00-27	00-27 1 0.00-0.99 0 A 3						auir	ed fo	or Ma	iors	 s	0	Graduat	 e	0	Majo	r	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В 1						. ,	-	-		-	-) -		-
56-83						Ger	nera:	l				3	Under-q	rad	9	Non-	major	9
84-150												-	5		-		3	-
Grad.						Ele	ecti	ves				1	#### - 1	Means t	here a	re not	enoug	h
	P 1												respons				_	
	I O				Ot.1	her					0			5				
				? 0								-						
	? 0																	

Baltimore County

Course-Section: DANC 230 0101

12

IMPROVISATION

LACY, SANDRA L

Title

Instructor:

Enrollment:

Questionnaires: 12

University of Maryland Page 463 JUN 26, 2007 Spring 2007 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

							Fre	eque:	ncies	3		Inst	ructor	Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Question	S		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		 Genera	 1															
1. Did vo	nı gain ne	ew insights,ski		m this course	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1522	5.00	4.52	4.30	4.34	5.00
		ctor make clear			3	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	358/1522		4.52	4.26	4.29	4.67
		estions reflec			3	6	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1285	5.00	4.57	4.30	4.36	5.00
	_	ations reflect		_	3	5	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	226/1476	4.75	4.13	4.22	4.20	4.75
				what you learned	3	8	0	0	0	0	1		****/1412		3.51	4.06	4.00	****
	_	_		o what you learned	3	8	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1381	****	3.60	4.08	3.97	****
		g system clearl		-	3	2	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	600/1500	4.43	3.96	4.18	4.20	4.43
		was class canc			3	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	532/1517	4.89	4.63	4.65	4.63	4.89
				hing effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1497	5.00	4.49	4.11	4.11	5.00
		Lectur	e															
1. Were t	he instr	prepared	3	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	604/1440	4.67	4.81	4.45	4.42	4.67		
		ctor seem inter			3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.94	4.71	4.78	5.00
	Was lecture material presented and explained clearly						0	0	0	3	5	4.63	457/1436	4.63	4.55	4.29	4.29	4.63
	Did the lectures contribute to what you learned						0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1432	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.31	5.00
				our understanding	3	4	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1221	5.00	4.35	3.93	4.02	5.00
		Discus	sion															
1. Did cl	ass disc			what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	390/1280	4.50	4.54	4.10	4.08	4.50
				d to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1277	5.00	4.79	4.34	4.33	5.00
				d open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1269	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.33	5.00
		echniques succe			4	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	157/ 854	4.63	4.29	4.02	4.00	4.63
				Frequ	ency	/ Dist	trib	utio:	n									
Credits E	arnod	Cum. GPA		Expected Grades				Po	asons	,			Ty	20			Majors	
CIECTICS F		Cuiii. GPA								- 				 be				, . – – – –
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A 6		Red	quir	ed f	or Ma	ajora	5	0	Graduat	е	0	Majo	or	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В 0														
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	C 1		Gei	nera	1				5	Under-g	rad 1	.2	Non-	-major	10
84-150	1	3.00-3.49																
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00					ecti	ves				0	#### - 1					jh
				P 1									respons	es to b	e sign	ificar	ıt	
				I 0		Otl	her					3						
				? 0														

Course-Section: DANC 290 0101 University of Maryland Page 464 INDEP STUDIES IN DANCE Baltimore County JUN 26, 2007 Job IRBR3029

Title Instructor: HAMBY, DOUGLAS Spring 2007

Enrollment:	3		
Questionnaires:	3	Student Course Evaluation Questi	onnaire

			Fre	equer	ncies	3		Inst	ructor	Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1489/1522	3.00	4.52	4.30	4.34	3.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	545/1522	4.50	4.52	4.26	4.29	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1009/1476	4.00	4.13	4.22	4.20	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	806/1381	4.00	3.60	4.08	3.97	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained			0	0	1	0	1	4.00	988/1500	4.00	3.96	4.18	4.20	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1517	5.00	4.63	4.65	4.63	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1497	5.00	4.49	4.11	4.11	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1440	5.00	4.81	4.45	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.94	4.71	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.55	4.29	4.29	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1432	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.31	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	 А	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means	there	are not enough	
				P	0			responses to	be sig	nificant	
				I	0	Other		-			
				?	1						

Course-Section: DANC 301E 0101 University of Maryland Title ENTREPRENEURSHIP DANCE Baltimore County Spring 2007

HAMBY, DOUGLAS

Instructor: Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Page 465

JUN 26, 2007

Job IRBR3029

			Fre	equei	ncies	3		Inst	ructor	Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1522	5.00	4.52	4.30	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	545/1522	4.50	4.52	4.26	4.25	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1324/1476	3.50	4.13	4.22	4.26	3.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	1327/1412	3.00	3.51	4.06	4.03	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	331/1381	4.50	3.60	4.08	4.13	4.50
 Was the grading system clearly explained 	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1500	5.00	3.96	4.18	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1389/1517	4.00	4.63	4.65	4.62	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	385/1497	4.50	4.49	4.11	4.13	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	798/1440	4.50	4.81	4.45	4.46	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.94	4.71	4.71	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.55	4.29	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1432	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.29	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	390/1280	4.50	4.54	4.10	4.14	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1277	5.00	4.79	4.34	4.38	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1269	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.39	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 854	***	4.29	4.02	4.00	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	2	Under-grad	5	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means	there	are not enough	ı
				P	0			responses to	be sig	gnificant	
				I	0	Other	0	_			
				2	Λ						

Course-Section: DANC 301J 0101 University of Maryland Title Baltimore County INTER/ADV JAZZ WORKSHO Spring 2007

Instructor: KATRINIC, KAREN

Enrollment: 13 Questionnaires: 13

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Page 466

JUN 26, 2007

Job IRBR3029

			Fre	equer	ncies	3		Inst	ructor	Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	158/1522	4.92	4.52	4.30	4.34	4.92
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	179/1522	4.83	4.52	4.26	4.25	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	11	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1285	****	4.57	4.30	4.30	***
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	8	0	0	3	1	0	3.25	1380/1476	3.25	4.13	4.22	4.26	3.25
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	5	1	0	2	1	3	3.71	1045/1412	3.71	3.51	4.06	4.03	3.71
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	4	2	1	3	1	1	2.75	1338/1381	2.75	3.60	4.08	4.13	2.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	3	0	0	2	5	2	4.00	988/1500	4.00	3.96	4.18	4.13	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	9	3	4.25	1268/1517	4.25	4.63	4.65	4.62	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	6	4	4.27	633/1497	4.27	4.49	4.11	4.13	4.27
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1440	5.00	4.81	4.45	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.94	4.71	4.71	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	295/1436	4.75	4.55	4.29	4.30	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	294/1432	4.80	4.71	4.29	4.29	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1221	****	4.35	3.93	3.94	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	7	Expecte	d Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	А	10	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	7	Under-grad	13	Non-major	2
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means	there	are not enough	n
				P	0			responses to	be sig	nificant	
				I	0	Other	3	_			
				2	0						

Course-Section: DANC 310 0101 University of Maryla
Title INTERM MODERN DANCE I Baltimore County
Instructor: LACY, SANDRA L Spring 2007

Ρ

I

0

0

Enrollment:

Questionnaires: 7

7

University of Maryland Page 467
Baltimore County JUN 26, 2007
Spring 2007 Job IRBR3029

5

responses to be significant

Student	Course	Evaluation	Ouestionna	ire

		Ouestions			MP	NA	Fr	equei 2	ncies	s 4	5	Inst Mean	ructor Rank	Course	Dept Mean	-	Level	Sect Mean
		Quescions																
		General																
1. Did y	ou gain ne	w insights,skil	ls fro	om this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1522	5.00	4.52	4.30	4.34	5.00
2. Did t	he instruc	tor make clear	the ex	xpected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	299/1522	4.71	4.52	4.26	4.25	4.71
3. Did t	he exam qu	estions reflect	the e	expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1285	****	4.57	4.30	4.30	****
4. Did o	ther evalu	ations reflect	the ex	xpected goals	0	4	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1009/1476	4.00	4.13	4.22	4.26	4.00
5. Did a	ssigned re	adings contribu	te to	what you learned	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1412	****	3.51	4.06	4.03	****
6. Did w	ritten ass	ignments contri	bute t	to what you learne	d 0	2	1	0	1	2	1	3.40	1198/1381	3.40	3.60	4.08	4.13	3.40
		system clearly		ained	0	0	0	2	1	1	3		1211/1500		3.96	4.18	4.13	3.71
		was class cance			0	0	0	0	0	5	2		1251/1517		4.63	4.65	4.62	4.29
9. How w	ould you g	rade the overal	l tead	ching effectivenes	s 0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1497	5.00	4.49	4.11	4.13	5.00
		Lecture		•							_							
		ctor's lectures			2	0	0	0	0	0	5		1/1440		4.81		4.46	5.00
		tor seem intere			2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1448		4.94	4.71	4.71	5.00
		-		explained clearly	2		0	0	0	0	5		1/1436		4.55	4.29	4.30	5.00
		s contribute to		-	2	0	0	0	0	0	5		1/1432			4.29	4.29	5.00
5. Did a	udiovisual	techniques enh	ance y	your understanding	2	0	0	1	1	0	3	4.00	606/1221	4.00	4.35	3.93	3.94	4.00
		Discuss	ion															
1 Did a	lace dican			what you learned	0	0	0	0	Λ	2	5	4.71	253/1280	4.71	4.54	4.10	4.14	4.71
				ed to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1277		4.79	4.34	4.38	5.00
				nd open discussion	-	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	277/1269		4.71	4.31	4.39	4.86
		chniques succes		id open discussion	. 0	3	1	0	0	1	-	3.75	588/ 854		4.29	4.02		3.75
1	Spectar co	omingaco bacces	5242		· ·	J	_	Ū	ŭ	-	_	3.73	300, 031	3.75	1.27	1.02	1.00	3.73
				Fre	quenc	y Dis	trib	utio	n									
Credits	Earned	Cum. GPA		Expected Grade	S			Rea	asons	3			Ту	pe			Majors	\$
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	 A 4		Re	auir	ed fo	or Ma	 ajors	 3	0	 Graduat	 e	0	Majo	 or	3
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В 2		110	7			, , , , ,	-	-	2_ 44440	-	-	- 100) 0	-	3
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	C 1		Ge:	nera	1				2	Under-q	rad	7	Non-	major	4
84-150 1 3.00-3.49 2 D 0									2 Officer		5				3			
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F 0		El	ecti	ves				0	#### -	Means t	here a	re not	enoug	_j h

Other

University of Maryland Page 468
Baltimore County JUN 26, 2007
Spring 2007 Job IRBR3029

G 1 1 1	~			
Student	Course	Evaluation	Ouestion	naire

Course-Section: DANC 316 0101

BALLET III

20

DOLID, LAURA

Title

Instructor:
Enrollment:

Questionnaires: 20

								_	ncies				tructor	Course	_			
		Question	5		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Genera	 1															
1 Did vo	nı gain ne	ew insights,ski	_	m this course	8	0	1	1	4	1	5	3 67	1338/1522	3.67	4.52	4.30	4.34	3.67
_	_	ctor make clear			8	0	1	2	1	0	8		1080/1522		4.52	4.26	4.25	4.00
		estions reflec			8	10	1	0	0	0	1		****/1285	****	4.57	4.30	4.30	****
	_	ations reflect		_	8	5	0	0	3	0	4		913/1476	4.14	4.13	4.22	4.26	4.14
				what you learned	8	10	1	0	0	0	1		****/1412		3.51	4.06	4.03	****
				what you learned	8	4	4	1	0	1	2		1350/1381		3.60	4.08	4.13	2.50
		g system clearl			8	2	2	0	0	6	2		1262/1500		3.96	4.18	4.13	3.60
		was class canc			8	0	0	0	0	3	9		802/1517		4.63	4.65	4.62	4.75
	-			ning effectiveness	10	0	1	0	2	5	2		1181/1497		4.49	4.11		3.70
	2			J									,					
		Lectur	e															
1. Were t	the instru	actor's lecture	s well :	orepared	13	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	532/1440	4.71	4.81	4.45	4.46	4.71
	the instructor seem interested in the subject				12	0	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	1157/1448	4.50	4.94	4.71	4.71	4.50
3. Was le	Was lecture material presented and explained clearly				13	0	1	0	1	1	4	4.00	1056/1436	4.00	4.55	4.29	4.30	4.00
4. Did th	Did the lectures contribute to what you learned				14	0	1	0	0	1	4	4.17	949/1432	4.17	4.71	4.29	4.29	4.17
5. Did au	udiovisual	l techniques en	nance y	our understanding	12	5	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	****/1221	****	4.35	3.93	3.94	****
		-	_															
		Discus	sion															
1. Did cl	lass discu	ussions contrib	ute to v	what you learned	17	0	1	0	0	1	1	3.33	****/1280	****	4.54	4.10	4.14	****
2. Were a	all studer	nts actively en	courage	d to participate	17	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	****/1277	****	4.79	4.34	4.38	****
3. Did th	ne instruc	ctor encourage	fair and	d open discussion	17	0	1	1	0	0	1	2.67	****/1269	****	4.71	4.31	4.39	****
4. Were s	special te	echniques succe	ssful		17	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 854	****	4.29	4.02	4.00	****
				Frequ	ıency	7 Dis	trib	utio	n									
Credits E	Earned	Cum. GPA		Expected Grades				Rea	asons	;			Ту	ре			Majors	;
00-27	00-27 3 0.00-0.99 0 A 4					Re	guire	ed f	or Ma	jors	 5	0	Graduat	 e	0	Majo	 or	9
28-55						_				J						5		-
56-83					Gei	nera:	L				0	Under-q	rad 2	:0	Non-	-major	11	
84-150												5				3		
Grad.						Ele	ecti	<i>r</i> es				1	#### - 1	Means t	here a	re not	enou	ıh
				P 0									respons				_	
				I O		Ot]	her					8	-		5			
				? 1														

Course-Section: DANC 320 0101 University of Maryland Title INTERM MODERN DANCE II

Baltimore County Spring 2007

Instructor: WALTON, ELIZABE Enrollment:

DILL OTTINCITE.	10
Questionnaires:	19

JUN 26, 2007 Job IRBR3029

Page 469

Student	Course	Evaluation	Questionnaire
---------	--------	------------	---------------

			Fre	equer	ncies	3		Inst	ructor	Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	7	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	225/1522	4.83	4.52	4.30	4.34	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	7	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	358/1522	4.67	4.52	4.26	4.25	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	10	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1285	****	4.57	4.30	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	7	1	0	1	2	4	4	4.00	1009/1476	4.00	4.13	4.22	4.26	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	7	9	0	1	1	0	1	3.33	****/1412	****	3.51	4.06	4.03	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	7	1	0	1	3	4	3	3.82	1008/1381	3.82	3.60	4.08	4.13	3.82
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	7	1	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	556/1500	4.45	3.96	4.18	4.13	4.45
8. How many times was class cancelled	7	0	0	0	0	7	5	4.42	1152/1517	4.42	4.63	4.65	4.62	4.42
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	348/1497	4.56	4.49	4.11	4.13	4.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	452/1440	4.75	4.81	4.45	4.46	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	444/1448	4.92	4.94	4.71	4.71	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	295/1436	4.75	4.55	4.29	4.30	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	254/1432	4.83	4.71	4.29	4.29	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	4	1	0	0	1	4	4.17	524/1221	4.17	4.35	3.93	3.94	4.17
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1280	****	4.54	4.10	4.14	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1277	****	4.79	4.34	4.38	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1269	****	4.71	4.31	4.39	****
4. Were special techniques successful	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 854	****	4.29	4.02	4.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	6	0.00-0.99	0		7	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	19	Non-major	10
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means	there	are not enough	h
				P	0			responses to	be si	gnificant	
				I	0	Other	10	_			
				2	Λ						

Course-Section: DANC 326 0101
Title MTHDS OF TEACHING DANC

MTHDS OF TEACHING DANC
HESS, CAROL A

Baltimore County
Spring 2007

Instructor: HESS, CAROL A

Enrollment: 6
Questionnaires: 5

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

University of Maryland

Page 470 JUN 26, 2007 Job IRBR3029

			Fre	equei	ncies	3		Inst	ructor	Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned 7. Was the grading system clearly explained 8. How many times was class cancelled 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2 2 3 2 2 2 2 2 4	0 0 0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 2 2 1 3	3 3 1 2 0 0 2 0		1/1522 1/1522 938/1285 316/1476 760/1412 806/1381 312/1500 1389/1517 ****/1497	5.00 5.00 4.00 4.67 4.00 4.67 4.00	4.52 4.52 4.57 4.13 3.51 3.60 3.96 4.63 4.49	4.30 4.26 4.30 4.22 4.06 4.08 4.18 4.65 4.11	4.34 4.25 4.30 4.26 4.03 4.13 4.13 4.62 4.13	5.00 5.00 4.00 4.67 4.00 4.67 4.00 ****
Lecture 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding Discussion	3 3 3 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	2 2 2 1	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 4.50	1/1440 1/1448 1/1436 1/1432 279/1221	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 4.50	4.81 4.94 4.55 4.71 4.35	4.45 4.71 4.29 4.29 3.93	4.46 4.71 4.30 4.29 3.94	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 4.50
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion 4. Were special techniques successful Frequence	2 2 2 2 2 iency	0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 ution	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	3 3 3 1	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	1/1280 1/1277 1/1269 ****/ 854	5.00 5.00 5.00 ****	4.54 4.79 4.71 4.29	4.10 4.34 4.31 4.02	4.14 4.38 4.39 4.00	5.00 5.00 5.00 ****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	3	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	5	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means	there	are not enough	
				P	0			responses to	be sig	nificant	
				I	0	Other	1	-			
				2	0						

Course-Section: DANC 330 0101 University of Maryland Title DANCE COMPOSITION I Instructor: BARGER, RENEE B

Baltimore County Spring 2007

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 11

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Page 471 JUN 26, 2007 Job IRBR3029

	Ouestion	ıs			NR	NA	Fr 1	equei 2	ncie	s 4	5	Inst Mean	tructor Rank	Course	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
	Genera	ıl																
	in new insights,ski				2	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	290/1522			4.30	4.34	4.78
	nstructor make clear	-			2	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	639/1522		4.52	4.26	4.25	4.44
	cam questions reflec				2	6	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	366/1285		4.57	4.30	4.30	4.67
	evaluations reflect				2	2	1	0	0	0	6	4.43	597/1476		4.13	4.22	4.26	4.43
	ned readings contrib				2	2	3	2	0	1	1		1396/1412		3.51	4.06	4.03	2.29
	en assignments contr		_	learned	2	6	0	1	1	0	1		1227/1381	3.33	3.60	4.08	4.13	3.33
	ading system clearl		ined		2	0	1	0	1	3	4	4.00	988/1500		3.96	4.18	4.13	4.00
-	imes was class cand				2	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1517	5.00	4.63	4.65	4.62	5.00
9. How would	you grade the overa	ıll teach	ning effect	iveness	4	1	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	573/1497	4.33	4.49	4.11	4.13	4.33
	Lectur	_																
	nstructor's lecture				3	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1440			4.45	4.46	5.00
	nstructor seem inter		_		3	0	0	0	0	1	7		575/1448		4.94	4.71	4.71	4.88
	re material presente		_	_	3	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	457/1436		4.55	4.29	4.30	4.63
4. Did the le	ectures contribute t	o what	you learned	l	3	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	200/1432	4.88	4.71	4.29	4.29	4.88
	Discus																	
1 Did aloga	discussions contrib		shot man la	- Amad	_	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1280	5.00	4.54	4.10	4.14	5.00
	students actively en		-		5 5	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1277	5.00	4.79	4.10	4.14	5.00
	students actively en structor encourage	_	_	_	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1269		4.71	4.31	4.39	5.00
	al techniques succe		a open disc	ussion	5	3	0	0	1	0	_	4.33	287/ 854			4.02	4.00	4.33
4. Were speci	ar techniques succe	SSIUI			5	3	U	U		U	4	4.33	207/ 054	4.33	4.43	4.02	4.00	4.33
				Frequ	iency	Dis	trib	utio	n									
Credits Earne	ed Cum. GPA		Expected	l Grades				Rea	ason	g			Ty	ne			Majors	:
		- 																
00-27 1	0.00-0.99	0	A	5		Red	quir	ed fo	or M	ajor	5	0	Graduat	е	0	Majo	r	6
28-55 2	1.00-1.99	0	В	4			_											
56-83	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gei	nera	1				1	Under-g	rad 1	.1	Non-	major	5
84-150 0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0														
Grad. 0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Ele	ecti	ves				0	#### - 1	Means t	here a	re not	enoug	jh
			P	0									respons	es to b	e sign	ificar	ıt	
			I	0		Otl	her					8	_					
			?	0														

Course-Section: DANC 340 0101 University of Maryland Title DANCE AND TECHNOLOGY Baltimore County HESS, CAROL A

Ρ

I

0

0

1

Spring 2007

Page 472

JUN 26, 2007

Job IRBR3029

responses to be significant

Instructor: Enrollment: 10 Questionnaires: 10 Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

		Ouestion	G.			NR	NA	Fr	eque 2	ncie	S 1	5	Inst Mean	ructor Rank	Course	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
		Question	5 										Mean			Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Genera	1																
1. Did vou	ı gain ne	w insights,ski	- lls fro	om this course		1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	190/1522	4.89	4.52	4.30	4.34	4.89
		tor make clear				1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	142/1522	4.89	4.52	4.26	4.25	4.89
		estions reflec				1	8	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1285	****	4.57	4.30	4.30	****
4. Did oth	ner evalu	ations reflect	the ex	spected goals		1	1	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	226/1476	4.75	4.13	4.22	4.26	4.75
5. Did ass	signed re	adings contrib	ute to	what you learne	ed	1	8	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1412	****	3.51	4.06	4.03	****
6. Did wri	itten ass	signments contr	ibute t	to what you lear	rned	1	6	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	806/1381	4.00	3.60	4.08	4.13	4.00
7. Was the	e grading	system clearly	y expla	ained		1	4	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	630/1500	4.40	3.96	4.18	4.13	4.40
8. How mar	ny times	was class cance	elled			1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1517	5.00	4.63	4.65	4.62	5.00
9. How wou	ıld you g	rade the overa	ll tead	ching effectiven	ness	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1497	5.00	4.49	4.11	4.13	5.00
		Lecture	e																
1. Were th	ne instru	ctor's lecture	-	prepared		3	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	532/1440	4.71	4.81	4.45	4.46	4.71
		tor seem inter				3	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1448		4.94	4.71	4.71	5.00
				explained clearl	Lv	3	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	357/1436		4.55	4.29	4.30	4.71
		s contribute to			-	3	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	227/1432	4.86	4.71	4.29	4.29	4.86
				our understandi	ing	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1221	5.00	4.35	3.93	3.94	5.00
		Discus	gion																
1 Did cla	aga diadi			what you learne	h-d	7	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	530/1280	4.33	4 54	4.10	4.14	4.33
				ed to participat		7	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	743/1277	4.33	4.79	4.34	4.38	4.33
				nd open discussi		7	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	875/1269	4.00	4.71	4.31	4.39	4.00
		chniques succe		id open dibeabbi	.011	7	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	287/ 854		4.29	4.02	4.00	4.33
				H	reque	ncv	Dist	trib	utio	n									
				-	10440	1107	210	0112	u010										
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected Gra	ades				Re	ason	S			Ту	pe			Majors	3
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A 7			Red	quir	ed f	or M	ajors	 3	0	Graduat	 e	0	Majo	or	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	в 0							-						_		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C 0			Ger	nera	1				0	Under-g	rad 1	.0	Non-	-major	5
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	0	D 0															
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F 0			Ele	ecti	ves				1	#### - 1	Means t	here a	re not	enoug	jh

Other

Course-Section: DANC 390 0101 University of Maryland Page 473 Title Baltimore County JUN 26, 2007 DANCE PRODUCTION WKSP Job IRBR3029

Instructor: ABEL, CHARLES Spring 2007

Enrollment: 10 Questionnaires: 10

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

			Fre	equei	ncies	3		Inst	ructor	Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	246/1522	4.80	4.52	4.30	4.34	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	322/1522	4.70	4.52	4.26	4.25	4.70
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1476	****	4.13	4.22	4.26	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1381	****	3.60	4.08	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	3	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	988/1500	4.00	3.96	4.18	4.13	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	487/1517	4.90	4.63	4.65	4.62	4.90
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	189/1497	4.75	4.49	4.11	4.13	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1440	5.00	4.81	4.45	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.94	4.71	4.71	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	415/1436	4.67	4.55	4.29	4.30	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1432	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.29	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1221	****	4.35	3.93	3.94	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	A	 7	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	5	Under-grad	10	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	#### - Means	there	are not enough	ı
				P	0			responses to	be sig	gnificant	
				I	0	Other	5	-			
				2	1						

Course-Section:	DANC 400 0101	University of Maryland	Page 474
Title	INDEP STUDIES IN DANCE	Baltimore County	JUN 26, 2007

Instructor: HAMBY, DOUGLAS Spring 2007 Job IRBR3029

Enrollment:	2	_	
Questionnaires:	2	Student Course E	valuation Questionnaire

			Fre	eque	ncies	3		Inst	cructor	Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1122/1522	4.00	4.52	4.30	4.42	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	545/1522	4.50	4.52	4.26	4.34	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1009/1476	4.00	4.13	4.22	4.31	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1381	5.00	3.60	4.08	4.21	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1430/1500	3.00	3.96	4.18	4.25	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1517	5.00	4.63	4.65	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	385/1497	4.50	4.49	4.11	4.21	4.50

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	 А	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means	there	are not enough	ı
				P	0			responses to	be sig	nificant	
				I	0	Other	2	_			
				2	0						

Course-Section: DANC 416 0101 Title ADVANCED BALLET

University of Maryland Baltimore County Spring 2007

I

?

0

0

Instructor: ODA, MISAKO 13

Enrollment: Questionnaires: 13

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Page 475 JUN 26, 2007 Job IRBR3029

							Fr	equei	ncies			Ins	tructor	Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Question	ns		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	_	Genera																
_	-	ew insights,ski			2	0	1	1	2	3	4		1310/1522		4.52			3.73
		ctor make clear			2	0	0	1	3	4	3		1238/1522		4.52	4.26		3.82
		uations reflect		1 0	2	3		3	2	3			1416/1476		4.13	4.22		3.00
		_		o what you learned	2	3	1	1	5				1338/1381	2.75	3.60	4.08		2.75
		g system clearl		ined	2	3	1	3					1450/1500		3.96	4.18		2.88
	-	was class cand			2	0	0	0	0	•		5.00	1/1517		4.63	4.65		5.00
9. How wou	uld you g	grade the overa	all teac	hing effectiveness	4	0	0	0	2	5	2	4.00	898/1497	4.00	4.49	4.11	4.21	4.00
		Lectur																
1 Wome +h	ha inata	uctor's lecture		nanawad	6	0	0	0	0	1	6	1 06	272/1440	1 06	4.81	1 1E	4 E2	4.86
		ctor's lecture			6	0	0	0	0	1			629/1448		4.94			
					7	0	2	0	0	2								
3. was led	cture mai	teriai presente	ed and e	xplained clearly	/	U	2	U	U	2	2	3.33	1334/1436	3.33	4.55	4.29	4.32	3.33
4. Did the	e lecture	es contribute t	o what	you learned	6	0	0	2	1	0	4	3.86	1148/1432	3.86	4.71	4.29	4.34	3.86
		Discus	aion															
1 Did cla	agg diga			what you learned	12	0	Ο	1	0	0	Ο	2 00	****/1280	****	4 54	4.10	4.28	****
				d to participate	12	0	-	0	0	1			****/1277			4.34		****
		_	_	d open discussion	12	0	•	0	1	0			****/1269					****
													,					
				Frequ	lency	Dist	trib	ution	n									
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected Grades				Rea	asons				Ту	pe			Majors	3
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	A 3				 ad f	or Ma				 Graduat		0	Majo		9
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B 5		rec	4urr,	eu I	JI Md	יייני	D	U	Graduat	C	U	Ma J	JI)
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C 0		Ger	nera	1				1	Under-q	rad 1	3	Non-	-major	4
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D 0		GEI	лста	_				-	onder -g.	Luu I	J	MOII	a JUI	I
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F 0		ភ្ន	ecti [.]	17AC				0	#### - 1	Meand +	here s	re not	enous	rh
Grau.	U	3.30-4.00	J	P 2		11.7.6	LI	vca				U	respons				_	1++
				F 2								•	respons	ca LU D	e prai	itttcal	.10	

Other

10

Course-Section: DANC 420 0101 University of Maryland Title ADV DANCE TECHNIQUE II

Instructor:

HAMBY, DOUGLAS

Baltimore County Spring 2007

Page 476

JUN 26, 2007

Job IRBR3029

Enrollment: 16 Questionnaires: 16 Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

								_	ncies				tructor	Course	_			
		Question	S		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Genera	1															
1. Did y	ou gain n	ew insights,ski	- lls fro	m this course	5	0	0	1	1	5	4	4.09	1060/1522	4.09	4.52	4.30	4.42	4.09
_	_	ctor make clear			5	0	0	2	3	2	4	3.73	1280/1522	3.73	4.52	4.26	4.34	3.73
3. Did t	he exam q	uestions reflec	t the e	xpected goals	5	7	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	766/1285	4.25	4.57	4.30	4.42	4.25
4. Did o	ther eval	uations reflect	the ex	pected goals	5	4	0	1	1	0	5	4.29	758/1476	4.29	4.13	4.22	4.31	4.29
6. Did w	ritten as	signments contr	ibute t	o what you learned	5	3	2	1	0	3	2	3.25	1248/1381	3.25	3.60	4.08	4.21	3.25
7. Was t	he gradin	g system clearl	y expla	ined	5	2	3	0	2	2	2	3.00	1430/1500	3.00	3.96	4.18	4.25	3.00
8. How ma	any times	was class canc	elled		5	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	963/1517	4.64	4.63	4.65	4.71	4.64
9. How we	ould you	grade the overa	ll teac	hing effectiveness	7	0	0	1	2	2	4	4.00	898/1497	4.00	4.49	4.11	4.21	4.00
		Lectur	e															
1. Were	the instr	uctor's lecture		prepared	10	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	604/1440	4.67	4.81	4.45	4.52	4.67
		ctor seem inter			9	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.94	4.71	4.75	5.00
3. Was 1	ecture ma	terial presente	d and e	xplained clearly	10	0	1	0	0	2	3	4.00	1056/1436	4.00	4.55	4.29	4.32	4.00
4. Did t	he lectur	es contribute t	o what	you learned	10	0	0	1	1	2	2	3.83	1156/1432	3.83	4.71	4.29	4.34	3.83
5. Did a	udiovisua	l techniques en	hance y	our understanding	10	2	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	786/1221	3.75	4.35	3.93	4.04	3.75
		Discus	sion															
1. Did c	lass disc			what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1280	****	4.54	4.10	4.28	****
				d to participate	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1277	****	4.79	4.34	4.50	****
3. Did t	he instru	ctor encourage	fair an	d open discussion	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1269	***	4.71	4.31	4.49	****
				Frequ	ency	, Dist	rib	utio:	n									
				_	1													
Credits	Earned	Cum. GPA		Expected Grades				Re	asons	5			Ту	pe			Majors	\$
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A 7		Red	quire	ed f	or Ma	jors	3	0	Graduat	e	0	Majo	r	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В 3														
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	C 1		Ger	nera.	l				0	Under-g	rad 1	.6	Non-	-major	8
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	0	D 0														
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F 0		Ele	ecti	ves				0	#### -				_	jh
	P 0												respons	es to b	e sign	nificar	ıt	
		I 0		Otl	ner				1	.1								
				? 0														