

Course-Section: DANC 110 0101
 Title BEGIN MOD DANC TECH I
 Instructor: LACY, SANDRA L
 Enrollment: 25
 Questionnaires: 25

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2007

Page 459
 JUN 26, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	

General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	8	0	0	1	3	3	10	4.29	859/1522	4.29	4.52	4.30	4.14	4.29	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	8	0	0	0	2	3	12	4.59	454/1522	4.59	4.52	4.26	4.18	4.59	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	8	14	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	****/1285	****	4.57	4.30	4.22	****	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	9	4	0	1	2	4	5	4.08	966/1476	4.08	4.13	4.22	4.09	4.08	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	8	14	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	****/1412	****	3.51	4.06	4.01	****	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	8	2	1	2	5	3	4	3.47	1170/1381	3.47	3.60	4.08	3.93	3.47	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	8	2	0	0	5	1	9	4.27	770/1500	4.27	3.96	4.18	4.16	4.27	
8. How many times was class cancelled	9	0	0	0	3	9	4	4.06	1368/1517	4.06	4.63	4.65	4.62	4.06	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	1	2	8	6	4.12	820/1497	4.12	4.49	4.11	4.02	4.12	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	578/1440	4.69	4.81	4.45	4.40	4.69	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.94	4.71	4.63	5.00	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	0	0	2	2	12	4.63	457/1436	4.63	4.55	4.29	4.24	4.63	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	430/1432	4.69	4.71	4.29	4.23	4.69	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	2	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	232/1221	4.57	4.35	3.93	3.86	4.57	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	3	1	10	4.50	390/1280	4.50	4.54	4.10	3.92	4.50	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	1	0	12	4.85	281/1277	4.85	4.79	4.34	4.13	4.85	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	0	2	1	9	4.58	524/1269	4.58	4.71	4.31	4.04	4.58	
4. Were special techniques successful	11	7	0	1	0	1	5	4.43	240/ 854	4.43	4.29	4.02	3.87	4.43	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	4	0.00-0.99 0	A 10	Required for Majors	4
28-55	2	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		Graduate 0
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 3	C 0	General	8
84-150	5	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		25
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 5	F 0	Electives	3
			P 1		#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			I 0	Other	2
			? 0		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	

General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	3	0	0	0	0	3	20	4.87	204/1522	4.87	4.52	4.30	4.34	4.87	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	1	10	12	4.48	592/1522	4.48	4.52	4.26	4.29	4.48	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	1	3	19	4.78	248/1285	4.78	4.57	4.30	4.36	4.78	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	1	0	1	2	7	12	4.36	671/1476	4.36	4.13	4.22	4.20	4.36	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	3	4	16	4.57	305/1412	4.57	3.51	4.06	4.00	4.57	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	2	2	8	11	4.22	643/1381	4.22	3.60	4.08	3.97	4.22	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	1	0	0	1	7	14	4.59	396/1500	4.59	3.96	4.18	4.20	4.59	
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	0	23	5.00	1/1517	5.00	4.63	4.65	4.63	5.00	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	0	1	9	8	4.39	525/1497	4.39	4.49	4.11	4.11	4.39	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	288/1440	4.84	4.81	4.45	4.42	4.84	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	296/1448	4.95	4.94	4.71	4.78	4.95	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	1	3	15	4.74	326/1436	4.74	4.55	4.29	4.29	4.74	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	2	17	4.89	174/1432	4.89	4.71	4.29	4.31	4.89	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	0	0	0	1	4	14	4.68	162/1221	4.68	4.35	3.93	4.02	4.68	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	1	0	3	1	4	3.78	894/1280	3.78	4.54	4.10	4.08	3.78	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	891/1277	4.13	4.79	4.34	4.33	4.13	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	777/1269	4.25	4.71	4.31	4.33	4.25	
4. Were special techniques successful	18	6	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/ 854	****	4.29	4.02	4.00	****	
Laboratory															
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 228	****	****	4.35	4.56	****	
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	25	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/ 217	****	****	4.51	4.57	****	
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/ 216	****	****	4.42	4.72	****	
Seminar															
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	25	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 79	****	****	4.58	4.58	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	5	0.00-0.99 0	A 9	Required for Majors	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 8		Graduate 0
56-83	3	2.00-2.99 1	C 2	General	2
84-150	4	3.00-3.49 3	D 0		Under-grad 26
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 11	F 0	Electives	2
			P 0		#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			I 0	Other	15
			? 0		

Course-Section: DANC 202H 0101
 Title DANCE HIST II - HONORS
 Instructor: WALTON, ELIZABE
 Enrollment: 3
 Questionnaires: 3

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2007

Page 461
 JUN 26, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect		
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1522	5.00	4.52	4.30	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	545/1522	4.50	4.52	4.26	4.29	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	531/1285	4.50	4.57	4.30	4.36	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1009/1476	4.00	4.13	4.22	4.20	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1165/1412	3.50	3.51	4.06	4.00	3.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1286/1381	3.00	3.60	4.08	3.97	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1298/1500	3.50	3.96	4.18	4.20	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1517	5.00	4.63	4.65	4.63	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	385/1497	4.50	4.49	4.11	4.11	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	798/1440	4.50	4.81	4.45	4.42	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.94	4.71	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1056/1436	4.00	4.55	4.29	4.29	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	632/1432	4.50	4.71	4.29	4.31	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	899/1221	3.50	4.35	3.93	4.02	3.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: DANC 216 0101
 Title BALLET II (INTERMEDIAT
 Instructor: KATRINIC, KAREN
 Enrollment: 9
 Questionnaires: 9

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2007

Page 462
 JUN 26, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	

General															
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	525/1522	4.57	4.52	4.30	4.34	4.57	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	164/1522	4.86	4.52	4.26	4.29	4.86	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	2	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	228/1285	4.80	4.57	4.30	4.36	4.80	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	2	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1476	5.00	4.13	4.22	4.20	5.00	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	5	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/1412	****	3.51	4.06	4.00	****	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	3	2	1	3.67	1097/1381	3.67	3.60	4.08	3.97	3.67	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	1	0	0	3	1	2	3.83	1129/1500	3.83	3.96	4.18	4.20	3.83	
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	6	1	4.14	1331/1517	4.14	4.63	4.65	4.63	4.14	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	264/1497	4.67	4.49	4.11	4.11	4.67	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1440	****	4.81	4.45	4.42	****	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1448	****	4.94	4.71	4.78	****	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1436	****	4.55	4.29	4.29	****	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1432	****	4.71	4.29	4.31	****	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1221	****	4.35	3.93	4.02	****	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1280	****	4.54	4.10	4.08	****	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1277	****	4.79	4.34	4.33	****	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1269	****	4.71	4.31	4.33	****	
4. Were special techniques successful	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 854	****	4.29	4.02	4.00	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 3	Required for Majors 0	Graduate 0 Major 0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 1	C 0	General 3	Under-grad 9 Non-major 9
84-150	2	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 1	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 1		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

Course-Section: DANC 230 0101
 Title IMPROVISATION
 Instructor: LACY, SANDRA L
 Enrollment: 12
 Questionnaires: 12

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2007

Page 463
 JUN 26, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	

General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1522	5.00	4.52	4.30	4.34	5.00	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	358/1522	4.67	4.52	4.26	4.29	4.67	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	6	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1285	5.00	4.57	4.30	4.36	5.00	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	5	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	226/1476	4.75	4.13	4.22	4.20	4.75	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	8	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1412	****	3.51	4.06	4.00	****	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	8	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1381	****	3.60	4.08	3.97	****	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	2	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	600/1500	4.43	3.96	4.18	4.20	4.43	
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	532/1517	4.89	4.63	4.65	4.63	4.89	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1497	5.00	4.49	4.11	4.11	5.00	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	604/1440	4.67	4.81	4.45	4.42	4.67	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.94	4.71	4.78	5.00	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	457/1436	4.63	4.55	4.29	4.29	4.63	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1432	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.31	5.00	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	4	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1221	5.00	4.35	3.93	4.02	5.00	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	390/1280	4.50	4.54	4.10	4.08	4.50	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1277	5.00	4.79	4.34	4.33	5.00	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1269	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.33	5.00	
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	157/ 854	4.63	4.29	4.02	4.00	4.63	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	A 6	Required for Majors	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	B 0		Graduate 0
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	C 1	General	5
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	D 0		Under-grad 12
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	0
			P 1		#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			I 0	Other	3
			? 0		

Course-Section: DANC 290 0101
 Title INDEP STUDIES IN DANCE
 Instructor: HAMBY, DOUGLAS
 Enrollment: 3
 Questionnaires: 3

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2007

Page 464
 JUN 26, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank					

General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1489/1522	3.00	4.52	4.30	4.34	3.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	545/1522	4.50	4.52	4.26	4.29	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1009/1476	4.00	4.13	4.22	4.20	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	806/1381	4.00	3.60	4.08	3.97	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	988/1500	4.00	3.96	4.18	4.20	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1517	5.00	4.63	4.65	4.63	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1497	5.00	4.49	4.11	4.11	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1440	5.00	4.81	4.45	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.94	4.71	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.55	4.29	4.29	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1432	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.31	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors				
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	A 1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	B 0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	C 0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	D 0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
			P 0						
			I 0	Other	2				
			? 1						

Course-Section: DANC 301E 0101
 Title ENTREPRENEURSHIP DANCE
 Instructor: HAMBY, DOUGLAS
 Enrollment: 5
 Questionnaires: 5

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2007

Page 465
 JUN 26, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	

General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1522	5.00	4.52	4.30	4.34	5.00	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	545/1522	4.50	4.52	4.26	4.25	4.50	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1324/1476	3.50	4.13	4.22	4.26	3.50	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	1327/1412	3.00	3.51	4.06	4.03	3.00	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	331/1381	4.50	3.60	4.08	4.13	4.50	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1500	5.00	3.96	4.18	4.13	5.00	
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1389/1517	4.00	4.63	4.65	4.62	4.00	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	385/1497	4.50	4.49	4.11	4.13	4.50	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	798/1440	4.50	4.81	4.45	4.46	4.50	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.94	4.71	4.71	5.00	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.55	4.29	4.30	5.00	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1432	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.29	5.00	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	390/1280	4.50	4.54	4.10	4.14	4.50	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1277	5.00	4.79	4.34	4.38	5.00	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1269	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.39	5.00	
4. Were special techniques successful	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 854	****	4.29	4.02	4.00	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	2	Under-grad	5	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: DANC 301J 0101
 Title INTER/ADV JAZZ WORKSHO
 Instructor: KATRINIC, KAREN
 Enrollment: 13
 Questionnaires: 13

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2007

Page 466
 JUN 26, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies						Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect	
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	

General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	158/1522	4.92	4.52	4.30	4.34	4.92
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	179/1522	4.83	4.52	4.26	4.25	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	11	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1285	****	4.57	4.30	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	8	0	0	3	1	0	3.25	1380/1476	3.25	4.13	4.22	4.26	3.25
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	5	1	0	2	1	3	3.71	1045/1412	3.71	3.51	4.06	4.03	3.71
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	4	2	1	3	1	1	2.75	1338/1381	2.75	3.60	4.08	4.13	2.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	3	0	0	2	5	2	4.00	988/1500	4.00	3.96	4.18	4.13	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	9	3	4.25	1268/1517	4.25	4.63	4.65	4.62	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	6	4	4.27	633/1497	4.27	4.49	4.11	4.13	4.27

Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1440	5.00	4.81	4.45	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.94	4.71	4.71	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	295/1436	4.75	4.55	4.29	4.30	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	294/1432	4.80	4.71	4.29	4.29	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1221	****	4.35	3.93	3.94	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	A	10	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	7	Under-grad	13	Non-major	2
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	0						

Course-Section: DANC 310 0101
 Title INTERM MODERN DANCE I
 Instructor: LACY, SANDRA L
 Enrollment: 7
 Questionnaires: 7

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2007

Page 467
 JUN 26, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1522	5.00	4.52	4.30	4.34	5.00	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	299/1522	4.71	4.52	4.26	4.25	4.71	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1285	****	4.57	4.30	4.30	****	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1009/1476	4.00	4.13	4.22	4.26	4.00	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1412	****	3.51	4.06	4.03	****	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	1	0	1	2	1	3.40	1198/1381	3.40	3.60	4.08	4.13	3.40	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	1	1	3	3.71	1211/1500	3.71	3.96	4.18	4.13	3.71	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	4.29	1251/1517	4.29	4.63	4.65	4.29	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1497	5.00	4.49	4.11	4.13	5.00	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1440	5.00	4.81	4.45	4.46	5.00	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.94	4.71	4.71	5.00	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.55	4.29	4.30	5.00	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1432	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.29	5.00	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	1	1	0	3	4.00	606/1221	4.00	4.35	3.93	3.94	4.00	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	253/1280	4.71	4.54	4.10	4.14	4.71	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1277	5.00	4.79	4.34	4.38	5.00	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	277/1269	4.86	4.71	4.31	4.39	4.86	
4. Were special techniques successful	0	3	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	588/ 854	3.75	4.29	4.02	4.00	3.75	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	A 4	Required for Majors	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	B 2		Graduate
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	C 1	General	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	D 0		7
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	F 0	Electives	0
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	5
			? 0		

- Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Course-Section: DANC 316 0101
 Title BALLET III
 Instructor: DOLID, LAURA
 Enrollment: 20
 Questionnaires: 20

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2007

Page 468
 JUN 26, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
General															
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	8	0	1	1	4	1	5	3.67	1338/1522	3.67	4.52	4.30	4.34	3.67	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	8	0	1	2	1	0	8	4.00	1080/1522	4.00	4.52	4.26	4.25	4.00	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	8	10	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1285	****	4.57	4.30	4.30	****	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	8	5	0	0	3	0	4	4.14	913/1476	4.14	4.13	4.22	4.26	4.14	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	8	10	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1412	****	3.51	4.06	4.03	****	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	8	4	4	1	0	1	2	2.50	1350/1381	2.50	3.60	4.08	4.13	2.50	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	8	2	2	0	0	6	2	3.60	1262/1500	3.60	3.96	4.18	4.13	3.60	
8. How many times was class cancelled	8	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	802/1517	4.75	4.63	4.65	4.62	4.75	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	0	1	0	2	5	2	3.70	1181/1497	3.70	4.49	4.11	4.13	3.70	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	13	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	532/1440	4.71	4.81	4.45	4.46	4.71	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	12	0	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	1157/1448	4.50	4.94	4.71	4.71	4.50	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	13	0	1	0	1	1	4	4.00	1056/1436	4.00	4.55	4.29	4.30	4.00	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	14	0	1	0	0	1	4	4.17	949/1432	4.17	4.71	4.29	4.29	4.17	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	12	5	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	****/1221	****	4.35	3.93	3.94	****	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	1	0	0	1	1	3.33	****/1280	****	4.54	4.10	4.14	****	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	17	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	****/1277	****	4.79	4.34	4.38	****	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	1	1	0	0	1	2.67	****/1269	****	4.71	4.31	4.39	****	
4. Were special techniques successful	17	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 854	****	4.29	4.02	4.00	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	3	0.00-0.99 0	A 4	Required for Majors 0	Graduate 0 Major 9
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 5		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 20 Non-major 11
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 3	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 1	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 8	
			? 1		

Course-Section: DANC 320 0101
 Title INTERM MODERN DANCE II
 Instructor: WALTON, ELIZABE
 Enrollment: 19
 Questionnaires: 19

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2007

Page 469
 JUN 26, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	7	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	225/1522	4.83	4.52	4.30	4.34	4.83	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	7	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	358/1522	4.67	4.52	4.26	4.25	4.67	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	10	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1285	****	4.57	4.30	4.30	****	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	7	1	0	1	2	4	4	4.00	1009/1476	4.00	4.13	4.22	4.26	4.00	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	7	9	0	1	1	0	1	3.33	****/1412	****	3.51	4.06	4.03	****	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	7	1	0	1	3	4	3	3.82	1008/1381	3.82	3.60	4.08	4.13	3.82	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	7	1	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	556/1500	4.45	3.96	4.18	4.13	4.45	
8. How many times was class cancelled	7	0	0	0	0	0	7	5	4.42	1152/1517	4.42	4.63	4.65	4.42	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	348/1497	4.56	4.49	4.11	4.13	4.56	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	452/1440	4.75	4.81	4.45	4.46	4.75	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	444/1448	4.92	4.94	4.71	4.71	4.92	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	295/1436	4.75	4.55	4.29	4.30	4.75	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	254/1432	4.83	4.71	4.29	4.29	4.83	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	4	1	0	0	1	4	4.17	524/1221	4.17	4.35	3.93	3.94	4.17	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1280	****	4.54	4.10	4.14	****	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1277	****	4.79	4.34	4.38	****	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1269	****	4.71	4.31	4.39	****	
4. Were special techniques successful	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 854	****	4.29	4.02	4.00	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	6	0.00-0.99 0	A 7	Required for Majors 0	Graduate 0 Major 9
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 2	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 19 Non-major 10
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 4	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 3	F 0	Electives 0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 10	
			? 0		

Course-Section: DANC 326 0101
 Title MTHDS OF TEACHING DANC
 Instructor: HESS, CAROL A
 Enrollment: 6
 Questionnaires: 5

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2007

Page 470
 JUN 26, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank					

General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1522	5.00	4.52	4.30	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1522	5.00	4.52	4.26	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	938/1285	4.00	4.57	4.30	4.30	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	316/1476	4.67	4.13	4.22	4.26	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	760/1412	4.00	3.51	4.06	4.03	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	806/1381	4.00	3.60	4.08	4.13	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	312/1500	4.67	3.96	4.18	4.13	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	1389/1517	4.00	4.63	4.65	4.62	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1497	****	4.49	4.11	4.13	****
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1440	5.00	4.81	4.45	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.94	4.71	4.71	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.55	4.29	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1432	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.29	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	279/1221	4.50	4.35	3.93	3.94	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1280	5.00	4.54	4.10	4.14	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1277	5.00	4.79	4.34	4.38	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1269	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.39	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 854	****	4.29	4.02	4.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	3	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	5	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: DANC 330 0101
 Title DANCE COMPOSITION I
 Instructor: BARGER, RENEE B
 Enrollment: 11
 Questionnaires: 11

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2007

Page 471
 JUN 26, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect		
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	290/1522	4.78	4.52	4.30	4.34	4.78
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	639/1522	4.44	4.52	4.26	4.25	4.44
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	6	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	366/1285	4.67	4.57	4.30	4.30	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	2	1	0	0	0	6	4.43	597/1476	4.43	4.13	4.22	4.26	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	2	3	2	0	1	1	2.29	1396/1412	2.29	3.51	4.06	4.03	2.29
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	6	0	1	1	0	1	3.33	1227/1381	3.33	3.60	4.08	4.13	3.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	1	0	1	3	4	4.00	988/1500	4.00	3.96	4.18	4.13	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1517	5.00	4.63	4.65	4.62	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	573/1497	4.33	4.49	4.11	4.13	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1440	5.00	4.81	4.45	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	575/1448	4.88	4.94	4.71	4.71	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	457/1436	4.63	4.55	4.29	4.30	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	200/1432	4.88	4.71	4.29	4.29	4.88
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1280	5.00	4.54	4.10	4.14	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1277	5.00	4.79	4.34	4.38	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1269	5.00	4.71	4.31	4.39	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	5	3	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	287/ 854	4.33	4.29	4.02	4.00	4.33

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 5	Required for Majors	0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		Graduate 0
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		Under-grad 11
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 3	F 0	Electives	0
			P 0		#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			I 0	Other	8
			? 0		

Course-Section: DANC 340 0101
 Title DANCE AND TECHNOLOGY
 Instructor: HESS, CAROL A
 Enrollment: 10
 Questionnaires: 10

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2007

Page 472
 JUN 26, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	

General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	190/1522	4.89	4.52	4.30	4.34	4.89	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	142/1522	4.89	4.52	4.26	4.25	4.89	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	8	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1285	****	4.57	4.30	4.30	****	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	226/1476	4.75	4.13	4.22	4.26	4.75	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	8	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1412	****	3.51	4.06	4.03	****	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	6	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	806/1381	4.00	3.60	4.08	4.13	4.00	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	4	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	630/1500	4.40	3.96	4.18	4.13	4.40	
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1517	5.00	4.63	4.65	4.62	5.00	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1497	5.00	4.49	4.11	4.13	5.00	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	532/1440	4.71	4.81	4.45	4.46	4.71	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.94	4.71	4.71	5.00	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	357/1436	4.71	4.55	4.29	4.30	4.71	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	227/1432	4.86	4.71	4.29	4.29	4.86	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1221	5.00	4.35	3.93	3.94	5.00	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	530/1280	4.33	4.54	4.10	4.14	4.33	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	743/1277	4.33	4.79	4.34	4.38	4.33	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	875/1269	4.00	4.71	4.31	4.39	4.00	
4. Were special techniques successful	7	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	287/ 854	4.33	4.29	4.02	4.00	4.33	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 7	Required for Majors	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 0		Graduate 0
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 1	C 0	General	0
84-150	4	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		Under-grad 10
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 3	F 0	Electives	1
			P 0		#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			I 0	Other	7
			? 1		

Course-Section: DANC 390 0101
 Title DANCE PRODUCTION WKSP
 Instructor: ABEL, CHARLES
 Enrollment: 10
 Questionnaires: 10

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2007

Page 473
 JUN 26, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	

General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	246/1522	4.80	4.52	4.30	4.34	4.80	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	322/1522	4.70	4.52	4.26	4.25	4.70	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1476	****	4.13	4.22	4.26	****	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1381	****	3.60	4.08	4.13	****	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	3	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	988/1500	4.00	3.96	4.18	4.13	4.00	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	487/1517	4.90	4.63	4.65	4.62	4.90	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	189/1497	4.75	4.49	4.11	4.13	4.75	

Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1440	5.00	4.81	4.45	4.46	5.00	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.94	4.71	4.71	5.00	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	415/1436	4.67	4.55	4.29	4.30	4.67	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1432	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.29	5.00	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1221	****	4.35	3.93	3.94	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99 0	A 7	Required for Majors	0
28-55	4	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		Graduate 0
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General	5
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 4	D 0		Under-grad 10
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives	1
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 1		
				Other	5

- Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Course-Section: DANC 400 0101
 Title INDEP STUDIES IN DANCE
 Instructor: HAMBY, DOUGLAS
 Enrollment: 2
 Questionnaires: 2

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2007

Page 474
 JUN 26, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect		
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		

General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1122/1522	4.00	4.52	4.30	4.42	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	545/1522	4.50	4.52	4.26	4.34	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1009/1476	4.00	4.13	4.22	4.31	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1381	5.00	3.60	4.08	4.21	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1430/1500	3.00	3.96	4.18	4.25	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1517	5.00	4.63	4.65	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	385/1497	4.50	4.49	4.11	4.21	4.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors				
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A 1	Required for Majors 0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B 1					
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C 0	General 1	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D 0					
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F 0	Electives 0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P 0					
				I 0	Other 2				
				? 0					

Course-Section: DANC 416 0101
 Title ADVANCED BALLET
 Instructor: ODA, MISAKO
 Enrollment: 13
 Questionnaires: 13

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2007

Page 475
 JUN 26, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank					

General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	2	0	1	1	2	3	4	3.73	1310/1522	3.73	4.52	4.30	4.42	3.73
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	1	3	4	3	3.82	1238/1522	3.82	4.52	4.26	4.34	3.82
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	3	0	3	2	3	0	3.00	1416/1476	3.00	4.13	4.22	4.31	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	3	1	1	5	1	0	2.75	1338/1381	2.75	3.60	4.08	4.21	2.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	3	1	3	1	2	1	2.88	1450/1500	2.88	3.96	4.18	4.25	2.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1517	5.00	4.63	4.65	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	2	5	2	4.00	898/1497	4.00	4.49	4.11	4.21	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	272/1440	4.86	4.81	4.45	4.52	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	629/1448	4.86	4.94	4.71	4.75	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	2	0	0	2	2	3.33	1334/1436	3.33	4.55	4.29	4.32	3.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	2	1	0	4	3.86	1148/1432	3.86	4.71	4.29	4.34	3.86
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/1280	****	4.54	4.10	4.28	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1277	****	4.79	4.34	4.50	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1269	****	4.71	4.31	4.49	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	A	3	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	5						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	13	Non-major	4
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	2						
				I	0	Other	10				
				?	0						

Course-Section: DANC 420 0101
 Title ADV DANCE TECHNIQUE II
 Instructor: HAMBY, DOUGLAS
 Enrollment: 16
 Questionnaires: 16

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Spring 2007

Page 476
 JUN 26, 2007
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	5	0	0	1	1	5	4	4.09	1060/1522	4.09	4.52	4.30	4.42	4.09	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	5	0	0	2	3	2	4	3.73	1280/1522	3.73	4.52	4.26	4.34	3.73	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	5	7	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	766/1285	4.25	4.57	4.30	4.42	4.25	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	5	4	0	1	1	0	5	4.29	758/1476	4.29	4.13	4.22	4.31	4.29	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	5	3	2	1	0	3	2	3.25	1248/1381	3.25	3.60	4.08	4.21	3.25	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	5	2	3	0	2	2	2	3.00	1430/1500	3.00	3.96	4.18	4.25	3.00	
8. How many times was class cancelled	5	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	963/1517	4.64	4.63	4.65	4.71	4.64	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	1	2	2	4	4.00	898/1497	4.00	4.49	4.11	4.21	4.00	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	604/1440	4.67	4.81	4.45	4.52	4.67	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.94	4.71	4.75	5.00	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	10	0	1	0	0	2	3	4.00	1056/1436	4.00	4.55	4.29	4.32	4.00	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	1	1	2	2	3.83	1156/1432	3.83	4.71	4.29	4.34	3.83	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	10	2	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	786/1221	3.75	4.35	3.93	4.04	3.75	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1280	****	4.54	4.10	4.28	****	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1277	****	4.79	4.34	4.50	****	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1269	****	4.71	4.31	4.49	****	

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	7	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	C	1	General	0	Under-grad	16	Non-major	8
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	11				
				?	0						