Course-Section: EDUC 310 01

Title: Inquiry Into Education

Instructor: Oliva,Linda M

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	8	7	4.47	649/1449	4.67	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.47
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	2	4	7	4.07	1028/1446	4.27	4.31	4.29	4.33	4.07
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	11	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	1163/1256	4.25	4.40	4.34	4.39	3.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	2	1	4	7	3.93	1072/1402	4.43	4.28	4.27	4.37	3.93
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	1	4	5	3	3.40	1204/1358	3.73	4.16	4.13	4.14	3.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	5	8	4.50	404/1327	4.53	4.28	4.16	4.23	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	1	12	4.67	313/1435	4.36	4.25	4.20	4.25	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	3	4	8	4.33	1151/1446	4.32	4.79	4.67	4.68	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	4	7	0	3.64	1186/1437	4.22	4.10	4.12	4.14	3.64
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	5	2	7	4.14	1123/1386	4.45	4.50	4.48	4.53	4.14
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	425/1390	4.93	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	6	7	4.43	743/1379	4.65	4.44	4.34	4.38	4.43
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	4	4	5	3.93	1110/1379	4.40	4.32	4.36	4.40	3.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	1	3	4	5	4.00	709/1236	4.06	4.02	4.08	4.18	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	335/1121	4.80	4.39	4.18	4.31	4.60
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	170/1122	4.90	4.53	4.36	4.46	4.91
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1121	4.94	4.58	4.40	4.53	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	4	1	0	1	2	2	5	4.10	409/790	4.55	4.22	4.06	4.11	4.10

Course-Section: EDUC 310 01

Title: Inquiry Into Education

Instructor: Oliva,Linda M

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	18/34	4.65	4.67	4.33	4.87	4.80
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	10	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	12/35	4.40	4.15	4.15	4.66	4.80
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	10	1	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	13/30	4.32	4.33	4.09	4.61	4.75
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	10	1	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	12/30	4.25	4.11	4.04	4.49	4.50
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	10	1	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	18/27	4.19	4.15	4.13	4.33	4.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	2	Α	14	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	15
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: EDUC 310 02

Title: Inquiry Into Education
Instructor: Smith,Murdux

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 17

'	_	IR NA 1 2 3 4 5						In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	15	4.88	158/1449	4.67	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	4	9	4.47	624/1446	4.27	4.31	4.29	4.33	4.47
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1256	4.25	4.40	4.34	4.39	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	86/1402	4.43	4.28	4.27	4.37	4.92
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	2	4	8	4.06	796/1358	3.73	4.16	4.13	4.14	4.06
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	3	11	4.56	347/1327	4.53	4.28	4.16	4.23	4.56
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	3	2	9	4.06	938/1435	4.36	4.25	4.20	4.25	4.06
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	11	5	4.31	1167/1446	4.32	4.79	4.67	4.68	4.31
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	118/1437	4.22	4.10	4.12	4.14	4.80
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	462/1386	4.45	4.50	4.48	4.53	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	372/1390	4.93	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	163/1379	4.65	4.44	4.34	4.38	4.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	15	4.88	211/1379	4.40	4.32	4.36	4.40	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	4	3	8	4.13	658/1236	4.06	4.02	4.08	4.18	4.13
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1121	4.80	4.39	4.18	4.31	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	191/1122	4.90	4.53	4.36	4.46	4.89
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	234/1121	4.94	4.58	4.40	4.53	4.89
4. Were special techniques successful	7	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/790	4.55	4.22	4.06	4.11	5.00

Course-Section: EDUC 310 02

Title: Inquiry Into Education
Instructor: Smith, Murdux

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	15	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/205	****	****	4.29	4.44	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	3	1	10	4.50	22/34	4.65	4.67	4.33	4.87	4.50
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	2	0	1	2	0	4	7	4.00	23/35	4.40	4.15	4.15	4.66	4.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	2	5	1	1	1	1	5	3.89	20/30	4.32	4.33	4.09	4.61	3.89
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	2	5	1	0	1	3	4	4.00	17/30	4.25	4.11	4.04	4.49	4.00
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	2	6	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	14/27	4.19	4.15	4.13	4.33	4.38

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	7	1.00-1.99	1	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	16	Non-major	16
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

Course-Section: EDUC 311 01

Title: Psyc Foundation Of Educ

Instructor: Williams, Vickie

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 35

Questionnaires: 20

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	5	13	4.63	418/1449	4.66	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	5	13	4.63	397/1446	4.68	4.31	4.29	4.33	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	6	13	4.60	433/1256	4.64	4.40	4.34	4.39	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	1	1	3	13	4.56	468/1402	4.62	4.28	4.27	4.37	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	4	3	11	4.26	618/1358	4.37	4.16	4.13	4.14	4.26
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	1	17	4.75	180/1327	4.72	4.28	4.16	4.23	4.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	1	2	15	4.63	347/1435	4.65	4.25	4.20	4.25	4.63
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	566/1446	4.84	4.79	4.67	4.68	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	8	10	4.56	321/1437	4.63	4.10	4.12	4.14	4.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	122/1386	4.92	4.50	4.48	4.53	4.94
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1390	4.97	4.77	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	76/1379	4.87	4.44	4.34	4.38	4.94
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	197/1379	4.86	4.32	4.36	4.40	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	2	0	0	2	4	9	4.47	373/1236	4.65	4.02	4.08	4.18	4.47
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	353/1121	4.45	4.39	4.18	4.31	4.57
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	479/1122	4.56	4.53	4.36	4.46	4.57
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	427/1121	4.75	4.58	4.40	4.53	4.71
4. Were special techniques successful	13	1	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	81/790	4.54	4.22	4.06	4.11	4.83

Course-S	Section:	EDUC 311 01	1				Term	<mark>ո - Sprin</mark> զ	<mark>2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011</mark>	l						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	35
	Title:	Psyc Founda	tion (Of Educ											Q	uestion	naires:	20
Ins	tructor:	Williams, Vic	kie															
								Frequ	encies	5		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	3 4		5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	tribu	ıtio	n							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	Grade	es		Reas	sons				Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14		Requ	ired for M	ajors		15		Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	4													
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	eral			0		Under-grad	20		Non-ma	ajor	20
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	5	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Elect	ives			2		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Othe	r			1							
				2	2													

Course-Section: EDUC 311 02

Title: Psyc Foundation Of Educ

Instructor: Williams, Vickie

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 36

Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	6	13	4.68	348/1449	4.66	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.68
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	5	14	4.74	263/1446	4.68	4.31	4.29	4.33	4.74
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	4	14	4.68	345/1256	4.64	4.40	4.34	4.39	4.68
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	6	13	4.68	316/1402	4.62	4.28	4.27	4.37	4.68
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	4	12	4.47	404/1358	4.37	4.16	4.13	4.14	4.47
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	4	14	4.68	235/1327	4.72	4.28	4.16	4.23	4.68
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	1	4	13	4.67	313/1435	4.65	4.25	4.20	4.25	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	2	16	4.79	752/1446	4.84	4.79	4.67	4.68	4.79
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	184/1437	4.63	4.10	4.12	4.14	4.71
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	17	4.89	220/1386	4.92	4.50	4.48	4.53	4.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	319/1390	4.97	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	2	16	4.79	275/1379	4.87	4.44	4.34	4.38	4.79
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	253/1379	4.86	4.32	4.36	4.40	4.84
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	107/1236	4.65	4.02	4.08	4.18	4.84
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	547/1121	4.45	4.39	4.18	4.31	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	496/1122	4.56	4.53	4.36	4.46	4.56
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	361/1121	4.75	4.58	4.40	4.53	4.78
4. Were special techniques successful	11	1	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	329/790	4.54	4.22	4.06	4.11	4.25

Course-Section: EDUC 311 02

Title: Psyc Foundation Of Educ

Instructor: Williams, Vickie

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 36

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	18	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/200	****	****	4.28	4.44	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	18	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/205	***	****	4.29	4.44	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	18	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/201	****	****	4.51	4.59	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	18	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/202	***	****	4.42	4.48	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	18	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/196	***	****	4.25	4.37	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/67	***	4.41	4.58	5.00	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	***	4.20	4.36	4.70	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/64	***	4.13	4.25	4.48	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/75	***	4.06	4.32	4.80	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	***	3.74	4.00	4.64	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/34	***	4.67	4.33	4.87	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/35	***	4.15	4.15	4.66	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	***	4.33	4.09	4.61	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	***	4.11	4.04	4.49	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/27	***	4.15	4.13	4.33	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	****	4.34	4.38	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/18	****	****	4.13	****	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/24	***	****	4.34	5.00	***

Course-Section: EDUC 311 02

Title: Psyc Foundation Of Educ

Instructor: Williams, Vickie

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 36

Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quend	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/15	****	****	4.18	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	19	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/13	***	****	4.07	5.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	16	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	3	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	20	Non-major	17
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: EDUC 313 01

Title: Peer Assisted Lrning I

Instructor: Bichy, Cassie L

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 4

'				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	2.75	1432/1449	2.75	4.34	4.33	4.38	2.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	1327/1446	3.50	4.31	4.29	4.33	3.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	1236/1256	3.00	4.40	4.34	4.39	3.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	1391/1402	2.50	4.28	4.27	4.37	2.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	1296/1358	3.00	4.16	4.13	4.14	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	3.00	1264/1327	3.00	4.28	4.16	4.23	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	970/1435	4.00	4.25	4.20	4.25	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.79	4.67	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	2.33	1428/1437	2.33	4.10	4.12	4.14	2.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1177/1386	4.00	4.50	4.48	4.53	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	1331/1390	4.00	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	1220/1379	3.67	4.44	4.34	4.38	3.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	3.00	1334/1379	3.00	4.32	4.36	4.40	3.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	3.50	1012/1236	3.50	4.02	4.08	4.18	3.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	931/1121	3.50	4.39	4.18	4.31	3.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	857/1122	4.00	4.53	4.36	4.46	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	855/1121	4.00	4.58	4.40	4.53	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	731/790	3.00	4.22	4.06	4.11	3.00

Course-S	Section:	EDUC 313 0	1				Term	n - Sprin	<mark>, 2011</mark>							Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	4
	Title:	Peer Assiste	d Lrn	ing I											Q	uestion	naires:	4
Ins	tructor:	Bichy,Cassie	e L															
								Frequ	encies			Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3 4		5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	tribu	tior	1							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	sons				Туре	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3		Requ	ired for M	ajors		1		Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0													
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	eral			0		Under-grad	4		Non-ma	ajor	4
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Elect	ives			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Othe	r			1							

Course-Section: EDUC 314 01

Title: Peer Assisted Lrning II

Instructor: Bichy, Cassie L

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 8

·	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	3	2	1	2	3.25	1401/1449	3.25	4.34	4.33	4.38	3.25
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	2	2	3.63	1289/1446	3.63	4.31	4.29	4.33	3.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	5	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	519/1256	4.50	4.40	4.34	4.39	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	1	0	1	1	2	3.60	1236/1402	3.60	4.28	4.27	4.37	3.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	2	1	3	1	0	2.43	1347/1358	2.43	4.16	4.13	4.14	2.43
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	3	0	4	0	2.88	1290/1327	2.88	4.28	4.16	4.23	2.88
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	1	2	2	3.25	1340/1435	3.25	4.25	4.20	4.25	3.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	6	1	4.00	1354/1446	4.00	4.79	4.67	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	1	0	4	1	0	2.83	1395/1437	2.83	4.10	4.12	4.14	2.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	3	2	2	3.63	1303/1386	3.63	4.50	4.48	4.53	3.63
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	1267/1390	4.29	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.29
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	2	4	1	3.63	1232/1379	3.63	4.44	4.34	4.38	3.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	3	2	1	3.43	1284/1379	3.43	4.32	4.36	4.40	3.43
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	5	2	4.13	658/1236	4.13	4.02	4.08	4.18	4.13
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	882/1121	3.67	4.39	4.18	4.31	3.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	691/1122	4.33	4.53	4.36	4.46	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	1	1	0	1	3.33	1048/1121	3.33	4.58	4.40	4.53	3.33
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	0	1	0	2	0	3.33	681/790	3.33	4.22	4.06	4.11	3.33

					_													
Course-S	Section:	EDUC 314 0	1				Term	ı - Spring	g 201	1						Enro	Ilment:	8
	Title	Peer Assiste	ed Lrn	ing II											Q	uestion	naires:	8
Ins	structor:	Bichy,Cassie	e L															
								Frequ	encie	s		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fr	eque	ncy Dis	trib	utio	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	sons				Турє	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7		Requ	ired for M	ajors		2		Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0													
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	8		Non-ma	ajor	8
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0		Electi	ves			1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other				2							
				2	1													

Course-Section: EDUC 388 01

Title: Inclusion & Instruction

Instructor: Wilson-Craig,Es

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 17

<u> </u>	•			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	16	4.88	150/1449	4.69	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	56/1446	4.87	4.31	4.29	4.33	4.94
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	106/1256	4.85	4.40	4.34	4.39	4.93
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	75/1402	4.87	4.28	4.27	4.37	4.94
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	2	12	4.53	353/1358	4.45	4.16	4.13	4.14	4.53
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	217/1327	4.60	4.28	4.16	4.23	4.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	1	0	15	4.65	336/1435	4.76	4.25	4.20	4.25	4.65
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	1	0	0	1	15	4.71	848/1446	4.73	4.79	4.67	4.68	4.71
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	270/1437	4.31	4.10	4.12	4.14	4.62
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1386	4.78	4.50	4.48	4.53	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.44	4.34	4.38	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.32	4.36	4.40	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	172/1236	4.76	4.02	4.08	4.18	4.73
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	124/1121	4.78	4.39	4.18	4.31	4.89
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1122	4.92	4.53	4.36	4.46	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1121	4.92	4.58	4.40	4.53	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	8	2	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/790	5.00	4.22	4.06	4.11	5.00

					_													
Course-S	Section:	EDUC 388 0	1				Term	ı - Sprin	ng 20	D11						Enro	Ilment:	17
	Title:	Inclusion &	Instru	uction							,				Q	uestion	naires:	17
Ins	tructor:	Wilson-Crai	g,Es															
								Frequ	uenc	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fr	eque	ncy Di	stri	buti	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	ason	IS			Турє	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14		Requ	ired for N	Majo	rs	15		Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	1													
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	2	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	17		Non-ma	ajor	17
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	6	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	•			0							
				2	2													

Course-Section: EDUC 388 02

Title: Inclusion & Instruction

Instructor: Danna,Sandra

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	594/1449	4.69	4.34	4.33	4.38	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	186/1446	4.87	4.31	4.29	4.33	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	247/1256	4.85	4.40	4.34	4.39	4.78
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	179/1402	4.87	4.28	4.27	4.37	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	0	2	5	4.38	511/1358	4.45	4.16	4.13	4.14	4.38
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	404/1327	4.60	4.28	4.16	4.23	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	107/1435	4.76	4.25	4.20	4.25	4.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	788/1446	4.73	4.79	4.67	4.68	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	1	0	4	2	4.00	868/1437	4.31	4.10	4.12	4.14	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	755/1386	4.78	4.50	4.48	4.53	4.56
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.44	4.34	4.38	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.32	4.36	4.40	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	146/1236	4.76	4.02	4.08	4.18	4.78
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	283/1121	4.78	4.39	4.18	4.31	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	243/1122	4.92	4.53	4.36	4.46	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	292/1121	4.92	4.58	4.40	4.53	4.83
4. Were special techniques successful	4	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/790	5.00	4.22	4.06	4.11	5.00

Course-S	Section:	EDUC 388 0	2				Term	- Spring	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	15
	Title:	Inclusion &	Instru	uction						-				Q	uestion	naires:	10
Ins	tructor:	Danna,Sand	Ira														
					_			Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Турє	<u>)</u>		Мај	ors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7		Requ	ired for Ma	ors	5	;	Graduate	2		Major		0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	8		Non-ma	ijor	10
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	•		2							
				?	2												

Course-Section: EDUC 410 01

Title: Read Contnt Area I

Instructor: North-Coleman,C

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 33

Questionnaires: 28

	•			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	4	7	17	4.46	649/1449	4.46	4.34	4.33	4.46	4.46
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	7	20	4.68	339/1446	4.74	4.31	4.29	4.34	4.68
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	17	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	302/1256	4.73	4.40	4.34	4.43	4.73
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	4	20	4.54	492/1402	4.68	4.28	4.27	4.35	4.54
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	4	6	15	4.14	737/1358	4.11	4.16	4.13	4.21	4.14
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	5	21	4.64	272/1327	4.51	4.28	4.16	4.28	4.64
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	4	4	18	4.36	666/1435	4.45	4.25	4.20	4.27	4.36
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	20	8	4.29	1190/1446	4.28	4.79	4.67	4.71	4.29
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	0	0	9	12	4.57	304/1437	4.51	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	27	5.00	1/1386	4.94	4.50	4.48	4.55	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	26	4.96	213/1390	4.98	4.77	4.74	4.78	4.96
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	3	23	4.81	235/1379	4.82	4.44	4.34	4.40	4.81
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	25	4.89	197/1379	4.82	4.32	4.36	4.44	4.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	1	2	3	20	4.62	256/1236	4.63	4.02	4.08	4.13	4.62
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	4	18	4.82	159/1121	4.76	4.39	4.18	4.39	4.82
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	1	3	18	4.77	303/1122	4.86	4.53	4.36	4.54	4.77
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	3	19	4.86	257/1121	4.84	4.58	4.40	4.60	4.86
4. Were special techniques successful	6	0	1	1	1	2	17	4.50	200/790	4.72	4.22	4.06	4.27	4.50

Course-Section: EDUC 410 01

Title: Read Contnt Area I

Instructor: North-Coleman,C

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 33

Questionnaires: 28

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	27	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	***	4.41	4.58	4.47	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	27	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	***	4.20	4.36	4.33	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	27	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	***	4.13	4.25	4.24	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	27	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/75	****	4.06	4.32	4.27	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	27	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	***	3.74	4.00	4.09	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	6	0.00-0.99	1	Α	23	Required for Majors	25	Graduate	5	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	23	Non-major	28
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	5	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: EDUC 410 02

Title: Read Contnt Area I

Instructor: North-Coleman,C

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 32

	•			Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	5	17	4.46	649/1449	4.46	4.34	4.33	4.46	4.46
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	21	4.81	186/1446	4.74	4.31	4.29	4.34	4.81
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	19	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	****/1256	4.73	4.40	4.34	4.43	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	1	2	20	4.83	164/1402	4.68	4.28	4.27	4.35	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	6	8	11	4.08	791/1358	4.11	4.16	4.13	4.21	4.08
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	4	8	14	4.38	543/1327	4.51	4.28	4.16	4.28	4.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	3	6	17	4.54	449/1435	4.45	4.25	4.20	4.27	4.54
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	19	7	4.27	1204/1446	4.28	4.79	4.67	4.71	4.27
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	1	0	0	1	9	10	4.45	417/1437	4.51	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.45
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	3	21	4.88	254/1386	4.94	4.50	4.48	4.55	4.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	24	5.00	1/1390	4.98	4.77	4.74	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	4	20	4.83	211/1379	4.82	4.44	4.34	4.40	4.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	2	20	4.75	385/1379	4.82	4.32	4.36	4.44	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	1	0	1	2	19	4.65	228/1236	4.63	4.02	4.08	4.13	4.65
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	1	0	2	14	4.71	249/1121	4.76	4.39	4.18	4.39	4.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	119/1122	4.86	4.53	4.36	4.54	4.94
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	1	1	14	4.81	316/1121	4.84	4.58	4.40	4.60	4.81
4. Were special techniques successful	10	1	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	47/790	4.72	4.22	4.06	4.27	4.93

Course-	Section:	EDUC 410 C	2				Term	n - Spring	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	32
	Title:	Read Contn	t Area	I										Q	uestion	naires:	26
Ins	structor:	North-Coler	man,C														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	25		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	25		Graduate	6		Major		2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0		Under-grad	20		Non-ma	ajor	24
84-150	10	3.00-3.49	7	D	0												
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00	14	F	0		Electi	ves		0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other			0	ı						
				?	0												

Course-Section: EDUC 412 01

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 30

.....

Title: Analysis Of Tchng & Lrng

Questionnaires: 25

Instructor: Williams, Vickie

	•			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	5	17	4.52	567/1449	4.57	4.34	4.33	4.46	4.52
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	1	20	4.64	382/1446	4.59	4.31	4.29	4.34	4.64
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	19	4.68	345/1256	4.51	4.40	4.34	4.43	4.68
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	2	20	4.68	316/1402	4.67	4.28	4.27	4.35	4.68
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	4	18	4.60	285/1358	4.42	4.16	4.13	4.21	4.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	2	20	4.68	235/1327	4.69	4.28	4.16	4.28	4.68
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	2	21	4.76	205/1435	4.53	4.25	4.20	4.27	4.76
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	5	19	4.72	824/1446	4.74	4.79	4.67	4.71	4.72
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	0	0	0	1	4	10	4.60	279/1437	4.40	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	2	20	4.91	204/1386	4.72	4.50	4.48	4.55	4.91
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	1	21	4.95	266/1390	4.82	4.77	4.74	4.78	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	3	18	4.77	288/1379	4.69	4.44	4.34	4.40	4.77
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	1	1	20	4.74	415/1379	4.68	4.32	4.36	4.44	4.74
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	1	0	1	3	17	4.59	270/1236	4.64	4.02	4.08	4.13	4.59
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	2	1	10	4.62	326/1121	4.81	4.39	4.18	4.39	4.62
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	2	1	10	4.62	446/1122	4.81	4.53	4.36	4.54	4.62
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	2	1	10	4.62	515/1121	4.81	4.58	4.40	4.60	4.62
4. Were special techniques successful	12	1	0	0	2	2	8	4.50	200/790	4.75	4.22	4.06	4.27	4.50

Course-Section: EDUC 412 01

Title: Analysis Of Tchng & Lrng

Instructor: Williams, Vickie

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 30

mstructor. Williams, vickie														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
Did the lab increase understanding of the material	22	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/200	***	****	4.28	4.11	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	23	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/205	***	****	4.29	3.91	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	23	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/201	****	****	4.51	4.19	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	23	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	***	****	4.42	3.90	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	23	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/196	****	****	4.25	3.43	***
Seminar														
Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	23	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/67	****	4.41	4.58	4.47	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	23	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/66	****	4.20	4.36	4.33	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	23	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/64	****	4.13	4.25	4.24	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	23	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/75	****	4.06	4.32	4.27	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	23	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/73	****	3.74	4.00	4.09	****
Field Work														
Did field experience contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	1	2	0	2	3.60	****/34	****	4.67	4.33	4.42	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	21	0	0	1	1	0	2	3.75	****/35	****	4.15	4.15	4.16	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	21	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/30	****	4.33	4.09	4.08	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	21	2	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/30	****	4.11	4.04	3.96	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	21	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	4.15	4.13	4.20	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	****/31	****	****	4.34	4.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	22	0	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	****/18	***	****	4.13	4.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	22	0	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	****/24	****	****	4.34	3.98	****
										-				

Course-Section: EDUC 412 01

Title: Analysis Of Tchng & Lrng

Instructor: Williams, Vickie

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 25

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	22	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/15	****	****	4.18	3.94	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	22	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/13	****	***	4.07	3.80	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	18	Required for Majors	21	Graduate	1	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	24	Non-major	24
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: FDUC 412 02

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 15

004130 000110111	2000 112 02
Title:	Analysis Of Tchng & Lrng
Instructor:	Singer,Jonathan

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	0	11	4.62	446/1449	4.57	4.34	4.33	4.46	4.62
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	2	9	4.54	531/1446	4.59	4.31	4.29	4.34	4.54
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	1	1	1	6	4.33	717/1256	4.51	4.40	4.34	4.43	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	339/1402	4.67	4.28	4.27	4.35	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	3	1	8	4.23	648/1358	4.42	4.16	4.13	4.21	4.23
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	226/1327	4.69	4.28	4.16	4.28	4.69
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	1	1	9	4.31	720/1435	4.53	4.25	4.20	4.27	4.31
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	776/1446	4.74	4.79	4.67	4.71	4.77
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	2	4	4	4.20	691/1437	4.40	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	2	9	4.54	774/1386	4.72	4.50	4.48	4.55	4.54
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	2	0	11	4.69	969/1390	4.82	4.77	4.74	4.78	4.69
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	504/1379	4.69	4.44	4.34	4.40	4.62
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	1	10	4.62	567/1379	4.68	4.32	4.36	4.44	4.62
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	2	0	11	4.69	199/1236	4.64	4.02	4.08	4.13	4.69
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1121	4.81	4.39	4.18	4.39	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1122	4.81	4.53	4.36	4.54	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1121	4.81	4.58	4.40	4.60	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	7	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/790	4.75	4.22	4.06	4.27	5.00

Course-S	Section:	EDUC 412 02	2				Term	n - Spring	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	15
	Title:	Analysis Of T	Гс <mark>hn</mark> g	& Lrng						_				Q	uestion	naires:	13
Ins	tructor:	Singer, Jonat	han														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Турє	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9		Requ	ired for Ma	ors	10)	Graduate	7		Major		3
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	6		Non-ma	ajor	10
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	7	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	2												

Course-Section: EDUC 414 01

Title: Adolescent Literature

Instructor: North-Coleman,C

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 20

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	4	12	4.50	594/1449	4.50	4.34	4.33	4.46	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	6	8	4.22	896/1446	4.22	4.31	4.29	4.34	4.22
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	13	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	433/1256	4.60	4.40	4.34	4.43	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	14	4.78	213/1402	4.78	4.28	4.27	4.35	4.78
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	14	4.72	184/1358	4.72	4.16	4.13	4.21	4.72
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	15	4.78	165/1327	4.78	4.28	4.16	4.28	4.78
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	4	3	9	4.18	848/1435	4.18	4.25	4.20	4.27	4.18
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	15	3	4.17	1275/1446	4.17	4.79	4.67	4.71	4.17
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	2	6	6	4.29	606/1437	4.29	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.29
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	498/1386	4.73	4.50	4.48	4.55	4.73
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	2	2	11	4.60	518/1379	4.60	4.44	4.34	4.40	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	415/1379	4.73	4.32	4.36	4.44	4.73
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	0	5	10	4.67	220/1236	4.67	4.02	4.08	4.13	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	91/1121	4.93	4.39	4.18	4.39	4.93
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1122	5.00	4.53	4.36	4.54	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.58	4.40	4.60	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	4	1	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	53/790	4.92	4.22	4.06	4.27	4.92

Course-Section: EDUC 414 01

Title: Adolescent Literature

Instructor: North-Coleman,C

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 20

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.41	4.58	4.47	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.20	4.36	4.33	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/64	****	4.13	4.25	4.24	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/75	****	4.06	4.32	4.27	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	17	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/73	****	3.74	4.00	4.09	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	18	Non-major	18
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	4						

Course-Section: EDUC 416 01

Title: Materials Tch Read

Instructor: McCann, Teresa

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 22

				Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	1	1	8	7	4.06	1077/1449	4.06	4.34	4.33	4.46	4.06
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	6	9	4.33	776/1446	4.33	4.31	4.29	4.34	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	11	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	717/1256	4.33	4.40	4.34	4.43	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	2	8	7	4.17	898/1402	4.17	4.28	4.27	4.35	4.17
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	8	8	4.33	549/1358	4.33	4.16	4.13	4.21	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	2	4	11	4.39	543/1327	4.39	4.28	4.16	4.28	4.39
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	2	5	9	4.44	572/1435	4.44	4.25	4.20	4.27	4.44
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	9	9	4.50	1019/1446	4.50	4.79	4.67	4.71	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	1	4	9	2	3.75	1117/1437	3.75	4.10	4.12	4.20	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	1	2	2	10	4.40	929/1386	4.40	4.50	4.48	4.55	4.40
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	1	1	4	9	4.40	1223/1390	4.40	4.77	4.74	4.78	4.40
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	3	2	10	4.47	689/1379	4.47	4.44	4.34	4.40	4.47
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	3	3	8	4.20	943/1379	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.44	4.20
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	1	0	0	3	5	6	4.21	583/1236	4.21	4.02	4.08	4.13	4.21
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	3	4	3	4.00	727/1121	4.00	4.39	4.18	4.39	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	2	3	5	4.30	717/1122	4.30	4.53	4.36	4.54	4.30
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	524/1121	4.60	4.58	4.40	4.60	4.60
4. Were special techniques successful	9	3	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	389/790	4.14	4.22	4.06	4.27	4.14

Course-S	Section:	EDUC 416 0	1				Term	n - Spring	2011						Enro	Ilment:	22
	Title:	Materials To	h Rea	ıd						_				Q	uestion	naires:	19
Ins	tructor:	McCann,Ter	esa														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ons			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	16		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	16	;	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	19		Non-ma	ajor	19
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	8	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	3												

Course-Section: EDUC 418 01

Title: Instruction Of Reading

Instructor: Priestly, Nicole

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 21

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	2	14	4.67	376/1449	4.67	4.34	4.33	4.46	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	6	10	4.44	650/1446	4.44	4.31	4.29	4.34	4.44
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	11	0	0	3	0	4	4.14	864/1256	4.14	4.40	4.34	4.43	4.14
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	2	12	4.44	613/1402	4.44	4.28	4.27	4.35	4.44
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	4	4	9	4.17	717/1358	4.17	4.16	4.13	4.21	4.17
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	4	2	12	4.44	476/1327	4.44	4.28	4.16	4.28	4.44
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	1	3	3	9	3.89	1076/1435	3.89	4.25	4.20	4.27	3.89
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	9	9	4.50	1019/1446	4.50	4.79	4.67	4.71	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	6	8	4.38	504/1437	4.38	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.38
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	2	14	4.67	614/1386	4.67	4.50	4.48	4.55	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	2	0	16	4.78	838/1390	4.78	4.77	4.74	4.78	4.78
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	3	13	4.61	504/1379	4.61	4.44	4.34	4.40	4.61
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	2	13	4.56	633/1379	4.56	4.32	4.36	4.44	4.56
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	2	7	9	4.39	452/1236	4.39	4.02	4.08	4.13	4.39
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	353/1121	4.57	4.39	4.18	4.39	4.57
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	2	1	10	4.62	446/1122	4.62	4.53	4.36	4.54	4.62
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	372/1121	4.77	4.58	4.40	4.60	4.77
4. Were special techniques successful	5	2	1	0	2	1	7	4.18	369/790	4.18	4.22	4.06	4.27	4.18

Course-Section: EDUC 418 01

Title: Instruction Of Reading

Instructor: Priestly, Nicole

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 21

Questionnaires: 18

									structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	14	0	1	0	1	0	2	3.50	****/34	****	4.67	4.33	4.42	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	14	0	0	1	1	0	2	3.75	****/35	***	4.15	4.15	4.16	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	14	2	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/30	****	4.33	4.09	4.08	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	14	2	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/30	****	4.11	4.04	3.96	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	14	2	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/27	****	4.15	4.13	4.20	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	18	Non-major	18
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	8	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: EDUC 419 01

Title: Assess Reading

Instructor: Small,Sue E

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 20

•								Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	2	9	4.58	486/1449	4.58	4.34	4.33	4.46	4.58
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	466/1446	4.58	4.31	4.29	4.34	4.58
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1256	***	4.40	4.34	4.43	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	97/1402	4.92	4.28	4.27	4.35	4.92
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	70/1358	4.92	4.16	4.13	4.21	4.92
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	128/1327	4.83	4.28	4.16	4.28	4.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	479/1435	4.50	4.25	4.20	4.27	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.79	4.67	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	5	3	4.10	803/1437	4.10	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.10
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	354/1386	4.82	4.50	4.48	4.55	4.82
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	531/1390	4.91	4.77	4.74	4.78	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	588/1379	4.55	4.44	4.34	4.40	4.55
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	430/1379	4.73	4.32	4.36	4.44	4.73
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	2	5	4	4.18	607/1236	4.18	4.02	4.08	4.13	4.18
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	593/1121	4.27	4.39	4.18	4.39	4.27
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	429/1122	4.64	4.53	4.36	4.54	4.64
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	316/1121	4.82	4.58	4.40	4.60	4.82
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	0	0	2	1	7	4.50	200/790	4.50	4.22	4.06	4.27	4.50

Course-Section: EDUC 419 01

Title: Assess Reading

Instructor: Small, Sue E

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 20
Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	5	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	41/67	4.57	4.41	4.58	4.47	4.57
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	5	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	20/66	4.86	4.20	4.36	4.33	4.86
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	31/64	4.43	4.13	4.25	4.24	4.43
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	35/75	4.57	4.06	4.32	4.27	4.57
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	5	0	0	0	3	2	2	3.86	47/73	3.86	3.74	4.00	4.09	3.86
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	12/34	4.92	4.67	4.33	4.42	4.92
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	0	0	1	1	0	4	6	4.08	21/35	4.08	4.15	4.15	4.16	4.08
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	0	3	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	9/30	4.89	4.33	4.09	4.08	4.89
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations		0	0	0	2	4	6	4.33	16/30	4.33	4.11	4.04	3.96	4.33
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities		3	0	0	2	0	7	4.56	12/27	4.56	4.15	4.13	4.20	4.56

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	9	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	12
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	9	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant	1		
				l	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: EDUC 421 01

Title: Tchng Science: Elem Sch

Instructor: Blunck, Susan M

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 13

	Frequencies Instructor NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Ran								structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	133/1449	4.91	4.34	4.33	4.46	4.91
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	176/1446	4.82	4.31	4.29	4.34	4.82
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.40	4.34	4.43	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.28	4.27	4.35	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1358	5.00	4.16	4.13	4.21	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.28	4.16	4.28	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	2	1	7	4.50	479/1435	4.50	4.25	4.20	4.27	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.79	4.67	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1437	5.00	4.10	4.12	4.20	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.39	4.18	4.39	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1122	5.00	4.53	4.36	4.54	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.58	4.40	4.60	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	67/790	4.90	4.22	4.06	4.27	4.90
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	17/34	4.82	4.67	4.33	4.42	4.82
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/35	5.00	4.15	4.15	4.16	5.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	9/30	4.91	4.33	4.09	4.08	4.91
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	8/30	4.82	4.11	4.04	3.96	4.82
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	0	1	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	9/27	4.90	4.15	4.13	4.20	4.90

Course-S	Section:	EDUC 421 0	1				Term	- Spring	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	13
	Title:	Tchng Scien	ce: El	em Sch										Q	uestion	naires:	11
Ins	tructor:	Blunck,Susa	n M														
								Freque	ncies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Field Work															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	8		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	8	1	Graduate	4		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	7		Non-ma	ajor	11
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	3												

Course-Section: EDUC 422 01

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 15

Title.

Title: Social Studies: Elem Sch

Questionnaires: 15

Instructor: Fitzhugh, Willia

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	1	5	7	4.29	877/1449	4.29	4.34	4.33	4.46	4.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	1	4	8	4.36	756/1446	4.36	4.31	4.29	4.34	4.36
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	324/1256	4.70	4.40	4.34	4.43	4.70
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	3	10	4.47	584/1402	4.47	4.28	4.27	4.35	4.47
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	1	10	4.50	371/1358	4.50	4.16	4.13	4.21	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	2	2	9	4.36	572/1327	4.36	4.28	4.16	4.28	4.36
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	1	2	9	4.07	938/1435	4.07	4.25	4.20	4.27	4.07
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.79	4.67	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	2	6	2	4.00	868/1437	4.00	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	1	1	0	8	4.50	803/1386	4.50	4.50	4.48	4.55	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	1	0	0	9	4.70	958/1390	4.70	4.77	4.74	4.78	4.70
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	1	0	0	2	7	4.40	770/1379	4.40	4.44	4.34	4.40	4.40
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	0	0	4	5	4.20	943/1379	4.20	4.32	4.36	4.44	4.20
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	1	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	492/1236	4.33	4.02	4.08	4.13	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	335/1121	4.60	4.39	4.18	4.39	4.60
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	275/1122	4.80	4.53	4.36	4.54	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	328/1121	4.80	4.58	4.40	4.60	4.80
4. Were special techniques successful	5	2	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	102/790	4.75	4.22	4.06	4.27	4.75

Course-S	Section:	EDUC 422 01					Term	<mark>ո - Sprin</mark>	g 20)11						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	15
	Title:	Social Studie	s: Ele	em Sch											Q	uestion	naires:	15
Ins	tructor:	Fitzhugh,Wil	lia															
								Frequ	ienc	ies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fre	eque	ncy Di	stril	buti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	Grade	es		Rea	son	S			Турє	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12		Requ	ired for N	/lajor	s	11		Graduate	4		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0													
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	eral			0	ı	Under-grad	11		Non-ma	ajor	15
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0													
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Elect	ives			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Othe	r			0							
				2	3													

Course-Section: EDUC 439 01

Title: Observation & Assessment

Instructor: Rivkin,Mary S

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	5	5	4.00	1106/1449	4.00	4.34	4.33	4.46	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	0	5	4	3	3.62	1293/1446	3.62	4.31	4.29	4.34	3.62
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	8	0	0	1	3	1	4.00	936/1256	4.00	4.40	4.34	4.43	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	2	5	5	4.25	810/1402	4.25	4.28	4.27	4.35	4.25
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	4	6	3	3.79	1028/1358	3.79	4.16	4.13	4.21	3.79
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	5	4	4	3.92	915/1327	3.92	4.28	4.16	4.28	3.92
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	2	6	3	2	3.38	1301/1435	3.38	4.25	4.20	4.27	3.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.79	4.67	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	1	2	4	2	3.78	1103/1437	3.78	4.10	4.12	4.20	3.78
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	4	3	6	4.15	1117/1386	4.15	4.50	4.48	4.55	4.15
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	1058/1390	4.62	4.77	4.74	4.78	4.62
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	2	3	4	4	3.77	1186/1379	3.77	4.44	4.34	4.40	3.77
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	2	6	3	3.69	1204/1379	3.69	4.32	4.36	4.44	3.69
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	1	4	2	4	3.82	876/1236	3.82	4.02	4.08	4.13	3.82
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	353/1121	4.57	4.39	4.18	4.39	4.57
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	222/1122	4.86	4.53	4.36	4.54	4.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	673/1121	4.43	4.58	4.40	4.60	4.43
4. Were special techniques successful	7	1	0	1	2	0	3	3.83	531/790	3.83	4.22	4.06	4.27	3.83

Course-Section: EDUC 439 01

Title: Observation & Assessment
Instructor: Rivkin,Mary S

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/34	***	4.67	4.33	4.42	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	11	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/35	***	4.15	4.15	4.16	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	11	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/30	***	4.33	4.09	4.08	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	11	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/30	***	4.11	4.04	3.96	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	11	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/27	****	4.15	4.13	4.20	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	5	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	12
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	5	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: EDUC 441 01

Title: Materials For Early Lit

Instructor: Scully,Patricia

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 18

	•			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	269/1449	4.75	4.34	4.33	4.46	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	325/1446	4.69	4.31	4.29	4.34	4.69
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	519/1256	4.50	4.40	4.34	4.43	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	304/1402	4.69	4.28	4.27	4.35	4.69
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	3	11	4.56	319/1358	4.56	4.16	4.13	4.21	4.56
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	6	9	4.50	404/1327	4.50	4.28	4.16	4.28	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	290/1435	4.69	4.25	4.20	4.27	4.69
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	368/1446	4.94	4.79	4.67	4.71	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	105/1437	4.83	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	1	14	4.81	354/1386	4.81	4.50	4.48	4.55	4.81
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	14	4.81	761/1390	4.81	4.77	4.74	4.78	4.81
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	0	15	4.88	163/1379	4.88	4.44	4.34	4.40	4.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	15	4.88	211/1379	4.88	4.32	4.36	4.44	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	4	5	6	4.13	649/1236	4.13	4.02	4.08	4.13	4.13
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	144/1121	4.85	4.39	4.18	4.39	4.85
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	233/1122	4.85	4.53	4.36	4.54	4.85
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	169/1121	4.92	4.58	4.40	4.60	4.92
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	146/790	4.64	4.22	4.06	4.27	4.64

Course-S	Section:	EDUC 441 0	1				Term	ı - Spring :	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	18
	Title:	Materials Fo	r Earl	y Lit						-				Q	uestion	naires:	16
Ins	tructor:	Scully,Patrio	cia														
								Frequer	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Disti	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Туре	!		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	14		Graduate	2		Major		7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0		Under-grad	14		Non-ma	ajor	9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	6	F	0		Electi	ves		0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ugh resp	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			1							
				?	1												

Course-Section: EDUC 442 01

Title: Process Sem ECE - Media

Instructor: Costello, Margar

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	2	10	4.57	500/1449	4.57	4.34	4.33	4.46	4.57
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	10	4.64	382/1446	4.64	4.31	4.29	4.34	4.64
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	519/1256	4.50	4.40	4.34	4.43	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	3	2	8	4.38	688/1402	4.38	4.28	4.27	4.35	4.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	3	2	8	4.14	737/1358	4.14	4.16	4.13	4.21	4.14
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	2	2	8	4.50	404/1327	4.50	4.28	4.16	4.28	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	257/1435	4.71	4.25	4.20	4.27	4.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.79	4.67	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	364/1437	4.50	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	3	10	4.64	645/1386	4.64	4.50	4.48	4.55	4.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	940/1390	4.71	4.77	4.74	4.78	4.71
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	370/1379	4.71	4.44	4.34	4.40	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	445/1379	4.71	4.32	4.36	4.44	4.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	6	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	159/1236	4.75	4.02	4.08	4.13	4.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.39	4.18	4.39	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1122	5.00	4.53	4.36	4.54	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.58	4.40	4.60	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	1	0	0	0	8	4.56	179/790	4.56	4.22	4.06	4.27	4.56

Course-Section: EDUC 442 01

Title: Process Sem ECE - Media

Instructor: Costello, Margar

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/34	***	4.67	4.33	4.42	***
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/35	***	4.15	4.15	4.16	***
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	12	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	***	4.33	4.09	4.08	***
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/30	***	4.11	4.04	3.96	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/27	****	4.15	4.13	4.20	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	5	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	12
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	5	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: EDUC 447 01

Title: Tchng Rdg & Writing ECE

Instructor: Scully,Patricia

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 20

The second country is a second	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	6	11	4.65	404/1449	4.65	4.34	4.33	4.46	4.65
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	13	4.76	230/1446	4.76	4.31	4.29	4.34	4.76
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	12	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.40	4.34	4.43	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	12	4.71	293/1402	4.71	4.28	4.27	4.35	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	156/1358	4.76	4.16	4.13	4.21	4.76
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	13	4.76	173/1327	4.76	4.28	4.16	4.28	4.76
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	3	14	4.82	147/1435	4.82	4.25	4.20	4.27	4.82
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.79	4.67	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	132/1437	4.79	4.10	4.12	4.20	4.79
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	583/1386	4.69	4.50	4.48	4.55	4.69
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	372/1390	4.94	4.77	4.74	4.78	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	316/1379	4.75	4.44	4.34	4.40	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	5	11	4.69	484/1379	4.69	4.32	4.36	4.44	4.69
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	5	3	7	4.13	649/1236	4.13	4.02	4.08	4.13	4.13
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	165/1121	4.80	4.39	4.18	4.39	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	404/1122	4.67	4.53	4.36	4.54	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.58	4.40	4.60	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	2	0	0	2	1	10	4.62	154/790	4.62	4.22	4.06	4.27	4.62

Course-Section: EDUC 447 01

Title: Tchng Rdg & Writing ECE

Instructor: Scully,Patricia

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 20

Questionnaires: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	11	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	32/67	4.83	4.41	4.58	4.47	4.83
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	11	1	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	32/66	4.60	4.20	4.36	4.33	4.60
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	1	0	1	4	4.33	34/64	4.33	4.13	4.25	4.24	4.33
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	27/75	4.67	4.06	4.32	4.27	4.67
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	11	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	13/73	4.83	3.74	4.00	4.09	4.83
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	14/34	4.90	4.67	4.33	4.42	4.90
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	7	0	0	0	2	1	7	4.50	15/35	4.50	4.15	4.15	4.16	4.50
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	7	3	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	11/30	4.86	4.33	4.09	4.08	4.86
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	7	2	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	7/30	4.88	4.11	4.04	3.96	4.88
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	7	2	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	9/27	4.88	4.15	4.13	4.20	4.88

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	8	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	15
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	8	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: EDUC 453 01

Title: Elem Intrnshp Seminar
Instructor: Bourne,Barbara

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	1	2	0	3	3.43	1373/1449	3.43	4.34	4.33	4.46	3.43
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	677/1446	4.43	4.31	4.29	4.34	4.43
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	1123/1256	3.67	4.40	4.34	4.43	3.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	3.00	1363/1402	3.00	4.28	4.27	4.35	3.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	1	3	0	1	3.20	1272/1358	3.20	4.16	4.13	4.21	3.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	3	2	0	1	2.57	1310/1327	2.57	4.28	4.16	4.28	2.57
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	2	0	3	3.83	1118/1435	3.83	4.25	4.20	4.27	3.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.79	4.67	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	1	1	2	1	3.60	1201/1437	3.60	4.10	4.12	4.20	3.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	3	2	4.00	1177/1386	4.00	4.50	4.48	4.55	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	940/1390	4.71	4.77	4.74	4.78	4.71
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	989/1379	4.14	4.44	4.34	4.40	4.14
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	3	1	2	3.57	1237/1379	3.57	4.32	4.36	4.44	3.57
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	2	3	1	3.83	864/1236	3.83	4.02	4.08	4.13	3.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	484/1121	4.40	4.39	4.18	4.39	4.40
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	1	0	2	2	4.00	857/1122	4.00	4.53	4.36	4.54	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	1	1	2	1	3.60	991/1121	3.60	4.58	4.40	4.60	3.60
4. Were special techniques successful	2	4	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/790	***	4.22	4.06	4.27	****

Course-Section: EDUC 453 01

Title: Elem Intrnshp Seminar

Instructor: Bourne, Barbara

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	38/67	4.67	4.41	4.58	4.47	4.67
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	28/66	4.67	4.20	4.36	4.33	4.67
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	34/64	4.33	4.13	4.25	4.24	4.33
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	63/75	3.67	4.06	4.32	4.27	3.67
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	4	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	33/73	4.33	3.74	4.00	4.09	4.33
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/34	5.00	4.67	4.33	4.42	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	2	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	20/35	4.20	4.15	4.15	4.16	4.20
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	3	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	16/30	4.33	4.33	4.09	4.08	4.33
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	3	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	16/30	4.33	4.11	4.04	3.96	4.33
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	3	1	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	18/27	4.00	4.15	4.13	4.20	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	5	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	2	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	7
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant	:		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: EDUC 457 01

Title: Scndry Intrnshp Seminar
Instructor: Oliva,Linda M

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 56

Questionnaires: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	6	1	3	6	3	2.95	1420/1449	2.95	4.34	4.33	4.46	2.95
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	6	3	2	7	1	2.68	1431/1446	2.68	4.31	4.29	4.34	2.68
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	15	1	0	1	2	0	3.00	****/1256	***	4.40	4.34	4.43	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	6	1	4	1	2	2.43	1395/1402	2.43	4.28	4.27	4.35	2.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	14	3	0	1	0	0	1.50	****/1358	****	4.16	4.13	4.21	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	7	1	5	3	0	2.25	1324/1327	2.25	4.28	4.16	4.28	2.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	3	4	2	5	2	3	2.88	1391/1435	2.88	4.25	4.20	4.27	2.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	2	4	13	4.58	970/1446	4.58	4.79	4.67	4.71	4.58
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	4	4	1	4	1	2.57	1414/1437	2.57	4.10	4.12	4.20	2.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	3	3	3	4	2	2.93	1370/1386	2.93	4.50	4.48	4.55	2.93
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	2	2	3	4	4	3.40	1377/1390	3.40	4.77	4.74	4.78	3.40
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	3	3	4	2	3	2.93	1347/1379	2.93	4.44	4.34	4.40	2.93
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	6	3	2	2	2	2.40	1374/1379	2.40	4.32	4.36	4.44	2.40
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	1	5	2	2	3	1	2.46	1209/1236	2.46	4.02	4.08	4.13	2.46
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	6	1	2	2	1	2.25	1113/1121	2.25	4.39	4.18	4.39	2.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	2	2	2	2	4	3.33	1032/1122	3.33	4.53	4.36	4.54	3.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	2	2	2	2	3	3.18	1063/1121	3.18	4.58	4.40	4.60	3.18
4. Were special techniques successful	8	3	2	1	1	2	2	3.13	723/790	3.13	4.22	4.06	4.27	3.13

Course-Section: EDUC 457 01

Title: Scndry Intrnshp Seminar

Instructor: Oliva,Linda M

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 56

Questionnaires: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	11	1	0	1	0	4	2	4.00	58/67	4.00	4.41	4.58	4.47	4.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	11	1	3	0	2	2	0	2.43	64/66	2.43	4.20	4.36	4.33	2.43
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	11	1	2	0	4	0	1	2.71	62/64	2.71	4.13	4.25	4.24	2.71
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	4	1	2	1	3.00	68/75	3.00	4.06	4.32	4.27	3.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	11	0	5	0	1	1	1	2.13	72/73	2.13	3.74	4.00	4.09	2.13
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/34	***	4.67	4.33	4.42	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	17	0	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	****/35	***	4.15	4.15	4.16	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	17	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	***	4.33	4.09	4.08	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	17	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/30	***	4.11	4.04	3.96	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	18	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/27	***	4.15	4.13	4.20	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP.	Α	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	15	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	6	Major	0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	19
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00	14	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: EDUC 601 01

Title: Human Learning/Cognition
Instructor: Oliva,Linda M

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	3	3	4.14	1007/1449	4.14	4.34	4.33	4.41	4.14
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	5	1	3.86	1180/1446	3.86	4.31	4.29	4.30	3.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	1	0	0	1	1	3.33	1199/1256	3.33	4.40	4.34	4.30	3.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	3	3	4.00	1022/1402	4.00	4.28	4.27	4.26	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	1	1	3	3.83	994/1358	3.83	4.16	4.13	4.18	3.83
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	0	0	5	4.33	591/1327	4.33	4.28	4.16	4.29	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	139/1435	4.83	4.25	4.20	4.23	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	836/1446	4.71	4.79	4.67	4.81	4.71
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	5	0	4.00	868/1437	4.00	4.10	4.12	4.17	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	4.14	1123/1386	4.14	4.50	4.48	4.47	4.14
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	1097/1390	4.57	4.77	4.74	4.77	4.57
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	1	0	2	3	3.71	1205/1379	3.71	4.44	4.34	4.34	3.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	2	3	1	3.43	1284/1379	3.43	4.32	4.36	4.35	3.43
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	1	1	4	4.14	641/1236	4.14	4.02	4.08	3.94	4.14
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	547/1121	4.33	4.39	4.18	4.29	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	537/1122	4.50	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	591/1121	4.50	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.50
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	1	1	1	3	4.00	425/790	4.00	4.22	4.06	4.08	4.00

Course-S	Section:	EDUC 601 0	1				Term	<mark>- Spring</mark> 2	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	8
	Title:	Human Lear	ning/	Cognition										Q	uestion	naires:	7
Ins	tructor:	Oliva,Linda	M														
								Frequer	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Disti	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Туре	!		Maj	iors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	6		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	5	;	Graduate	3		Major		7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	4		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	oonses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	1												

Course-Section: EDUC 602 03

Title: Instructional Sys Dev I

Instructor: Hodell, Charles

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		ln:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1449	5.00	4.34	4.33	4.41	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	121/1446	4.88	4.31	4.29	4.30	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	385/1402	4.63	4.28	4.27	4.26	4.63
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	93/1358	4.88	4.16	4.13	4.18	4.88
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	106/1327	4.88	4.28	4.16	4.29	4.88
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	257/1435	4.71	4.25	4.20	4.23	4.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.79	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	364/1437	4.50	4.10	4.12	4.17	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	462/1386	4.75	4.50	4.48	4.47	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	316/1379	4.75	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	211/1379	4.88	4.32	4.36	4.35	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	4	1	3	3.88	840/1236	3.88	4.02	4.08	3.94	3.88
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	207/1121	4.75	4.39	4.18	4.29	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	322/1122	4.75	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	383/1121	4.75	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	0	2	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	379/790	4.17	4.22	4.06	4.08	4.17
Seminar														
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	***	3.74	4.00	4.02	***

Course-Section:	EDUC 602 03			Term	- Spr	ing 2	011						Enro	Ilment:	8
Title:	Instructional Sys Dev I											Qı	uestion	naires:	8
Instructor:	Hodell,Charles														
		_			Fred	quen	ries		Ind	structor	Course	Ora	LIMADO	Lovial	
					1100	quenc	5103		1115	structor	Cour 3C	Org	OMBC	Levei	Sect
	Questions Seminar			1		3	4	5	Mean			Ü	Mean		

						- 1 · · · J					
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	4	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: EDUC 603 01

Title: Instr Sys Dev II

Instructor: Petska, Deborah

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	0	3	5	4.22	929/1449	4.22	4.34	4.33	4.41	4.22
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	2	6	4.44	650/1446	4.44	4.31	4.29	4.30	4.44
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	367/1256	4.67	4.40	4.34	4.30	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	697/1402	4.38	4.28	4.27	4.26	4.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	4	3	4.11	766/1358	4.11	4.16	4.13	4.18	4.11
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	253/1327	4.67	4.28	4.16	4.29	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	558/1435	4.44	4.25	4.20	4.23	4.44
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	566/1446	4.89	4.79	4.67	4.81	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	1	3	3	4.00	868/1437	4.00	4.10	4.12	4.17	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	2	1	5	4.11	1139/1386	4.11	4.50	4.48	4.47	4.11
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	1002/1390	4.67	4.77	4.74	4.77	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	0	2	1	5	4.00	1058/1379	4.00	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	1	2	4	3.89	1132/1379	3.89	4.32	4.36	4.35	3.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	2	1	1	1	3	3.25	1104/1236	3.25	4.02	4.08	3.94	3.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	2	6	4.44	448/1121	4.44	4.39	4.18	4.29	4.44
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	1	0	0	8	4.67	404/1122	4.67	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	2	0	1	6	4.22	784/1121	4.22	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.22
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	2	0	0	2	4	3.75	562/790	3.75	4.22	4.06	4.08	3.75

Course-Section: EDUC 603 01

Title: Instr Sys Dev II

Instructor: Petska,Deborah

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/34	****	4.67	4.33	4.35	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	***	4.15	4.15	3.87	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.33	4.09	3.81	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.11	4.04	3.79	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	***	4.15	4.13	3.92	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	7	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	7	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: EDUC 608 01

Title: Instruct Reading
Instructor: Priestly, Nicole

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	3	1	3	4	0	2.73	1435/1449	2.73	4.34	4.33	4.41	2.73
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	2	5	4	0	0	2.18	1444/1446	2.18	4.31	4.29	4.30	2.18
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	2	0	1	0	2.67	1251/1256	2.67	4.40	4.34	4.30	2.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	4	2	4	1	0	2.18	1401/1402	2.18	4.28	4.27	4.26	2.18
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	4	1	3	1	2	2.64	1340/1358	2.64	4.16	4.13	4.18	2.64
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	3	2	3	2	0	2.40	1319/1327	2.40	4.28	4.16	4.29	2.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	5	4	0	0	0	1.44	1434/1435	1.44	4.25	4.20	4.23	1.44
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	5	4.45	1057/1446	4.45	4.79	4.67	4.81	4.45
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	3	1	7	0	0	2.36	1427/1437	2.36	4.10	4.12	4.17	2.36
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	2	1	2	3	1	3.00	1362/1386	3.00	4.50	4.48	4.47	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	1	1	0	3	4	3.89	1345/1390	3.89	4.77	4.74	4.77	3.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	1	5	2	0	2.89	1351/1379	2.89	4.44	4.34	4.34	2.89
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	1	5	1	0	2.56	1368/1379	2.56	4.32	4.36	4.35	2.56
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	2	0	7	1	0	2.70	1194/1236	2.70	4.02	4.08	3.94	2.70
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	3	3	1	1	2.45	1102/1121	2.45	4.39	4.18	4.29	2.45
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	3	1	2	2	3	3.09	1075/1122	3.09	4.53	4.36	4.44	3.09
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	3	0	2	4	2	3.18	1063/1121	3.18	4.58	4.40	4.52	3.18
4. Were special techniques successful	0	4	2	2	2	1	0	2.29	784/790	2.29	4.22	4.06	4.08	2.29

Course-Section: EDUC 608 01

Title: Instruct Reading

Instructor: Priestly, Nicole

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	1	1	2	5	3.64	27/34	3.64	4.67	4.33	4.35	3.64
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	0	0	7	1	1	2	0	1.82	35/35	1.82	4.15	4.15	3.87	1.82
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	0	4	4	0	2	1	0	2.00	29/30	2.00	4.33	4.09	3.81	2.00
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	0	5	3	3	0	0	0	1.50	30/30	1.50	4.11	4.04	3.79	1.50
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	0	5	4	0	0	1	1	2.17	25/27	2.17	4.15	4.13	3.92	2.17

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	5	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	11
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	5	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: EDUC 615 01

Title: Children's Literature

Instructor: Young, Patricia

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 12

Frequencies Instructor Course Ora UMBC Level Sect Questions 3 5 Mean Mean Mean Mean NR NA Mean Rank Mean General 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course 0 0 0 0 0 8 376/1449 4.67 4.34 4.33 4.41 4.67 4 4.67 0 0 5 7 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals 0 0 0 4.58 466/1446 4.58 4.31 4.29 4.30 4.58 3. Did the exam guestions reflect the expected goals 5 0 0 3 458/1256 4.57 4.40 4.34 4.30 4.57 0 0 4 4.57 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals 0 0 0 0 0 3 9 4.75 236/1402 4.75 4.28 4.27 4.26 4.75 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned 0 0 0 0 0 4 8 4.67 232/1358 4.67 4.16 4.13 4.18 4.67 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned 0 0 2 128/1327 4.83 4.28 4.29 4.83 0 0 0 10 4.83 4.16 4.20 7. Was the grading system clearly explained 0 0 0 0 0 1 11 4.92 75/1435 4.92 4.25 4.23 4.92 8. How many times was class cancelled 0 1 0 0 0 1 10 4.91 526/1446 4.91 4.79 4.67 4.81 4.91 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness 2 3 2 4 0 0 1 735/1437 4.17 4.12 4.17 4.17 4.10 4.17 Lecture 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared 0 0 0 0 0 1 11 4.92 183/1386 4.92 4.50 4.48 4.47 4.92 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject 0 0 0 0 0 2 10 4.83 710/1390 4.83 4.77 4.74 4.77 4.83 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly 0 0 3 9 4.75 4.34 4.75 0 0 0 316/1379 4.75 4.44 4.34 3 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned 0 0 0 0 0 9 4.75 385/1379 4.75 4.32 4.36 4.35 4.75 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding 0 0 0 1 0 1 10 4.67 220/1236 4.67 4.02 4.08 3.94 4.67 Discussion 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned 0 0 0 0 0 3 9 4.75 207/1121 4.75 4.39 4.18 4.29 4.75 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate 0 0 0 0 1 1 10 4.75 322/1122 4.75 4.53 4.36 4.44 4.75 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion 0 0 0 0 0 2 10 4.83 292/1121 4.83 4.58 4.40 4.52 4.83 4. Were special techniques successful 3 0 0 1 7 4.33 287/790 4.33 4.22 4.06 0 1 4.08 4.33

Course-	Section:	EDUC 615 0	1				Term	n - Spring	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	13
	Title:	Children's L	iterat	ure						_				Q	uestion	naires:	12
Ins	structor:	Young,Patri	cia														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	11		Graduate	12		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	0		Non-ma	ajor	12
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	12	3.50-4.00	7	F	1		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	•		0)						
				?	0												

Course-Section: EDUC 625 01

Title: Teach Read Writ ELS I

Instructor: Shin,Sarah J

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	248/1449	4.78	4.34	4.33	4.41	4.78
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	219/1446	4.78	4.31	4.29	4.30	4.78
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	367/1256	4.67	4.40	4.34	4.30	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	468/1402	4.56	4.28	4.27	4.26	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1358	5.00	4.16	4.13	4.18	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	165/1327	4.78	4.28	4.16	4.29	4.78
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	99/1435	4.89	4.25	4.20	4.23	4.89
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	566/1446	4.89	4.79	4.67	4.81	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	226/1437	4.67	4.10	4.12	4.17	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	237/1386	4.89	4.50	4.48	4.47	4.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.44	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	197/1379	4.89	4.32	4.36	4.35	4.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	394/1236	4.44	4.02	4.08	3.94	4.44
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	190/1121	4.78	4.39	4.18	4.29	4.78
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	303/1122	4.78	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	361/1121	4.78	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.78
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	150/790	4.63	4.22	4.06	4.08	4.63

Course-S	Section:	EDUC 625 0	1				Term	ı - Spring	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	10
	Title:	Teach Read	Writ I	ELS I										Q	uestion	naires:	9
Ins	tructor:	Shin,Sarah	J														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	ributi	ion							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре	:		Ma	jors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	8	}	Graduate	2		Major		6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	7		Non-ma	ajor	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	3												

Course-Section: EDUC 644 01

Title: Ling/Esol Educators

Instructor: Shin,Sarah J

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 19

Questionnaires: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	17	4.89	141/1449	4.89	4.34	4.33	4.41	4.89
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	2	15	4.78	219/1446	4.78	4.31	4.29	4.30	4.78
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	4	14	4.78	247/1256	4.78	4.40	4.34	4.30	4.78
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	3	1	1	10	4.20	859/1402	4.20	4.28	4.27	4.26	4.20
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	16	4.79	142/1358	4.79	4.16	4.13	4.18	4.79
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	0	2	15	4.53	385/1327	4.53	4.28	4.16	4.29	4.53
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	131/1435	4.84	4.25	4.20	4.23	4.84
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	1	17	4.84	647/1446	4.84	4.79	4.67	4.81	4.84
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	169/1437	4.73	4.10	4.12	4.17	4.73
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	0	2	16	4.74	498/1386	4.74	4.50	4.48	4.47	4.74
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	0	4	14	4.63	477/1379	4.63	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	1	17	4.79	340/1379	4.79	4.32	4.36	4.35	4.79
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	2	2	15	4.68	206/1236	4.68	4.02	4.08	3.94	4.68
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	1	2	13	4.59	347/1121	4.59	4.39	4.18	4.29	4.59
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	1	0	4	12	4.59	471/1122	4.59	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.59
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	372/1121	4.76	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.76
4. Were special techniques successful	2	8	1	1	0	1	6	4.11	404/790	4.11	4.22	4.06	4.08	4.11

Course-Section: EDUC 644 01

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 19

Questionnaires: 19

Title: Ling/Esol Educators

Instructor: Shin, Sarah J

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/200	***	****	4.28	3.91	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/205	***	****	4.29	3.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	***	****	4.42	4.30	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	***	4.41	4.58	4.67	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/75	***	4.06	4.32	4.37	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	***	3.74	4.00	4.02	****
Field Work														
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	***	4.15	4.15	3.87	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	***	4.11	4.04	3.79	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/31	***	****	4.34	4.38	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	***	****	4.13	4.22	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	***	***	4.34	4.63	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	18	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/15	***	****	4.18	4.75	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	9	Major	16
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						

Run Date: 7/14/2011 11:44:30 AM

Course-S	Section	: EDUC 644 0				Term	- Spr	ring 2	011						Enro	Ilment:	19	
	Title	: Ling/Esol Ed	ducators	5							,				Q	uestion	naires:	19
Ins	tructor	: Shin,Sarah	J															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
		Self Paced																
Grad.							0 Electives 2 **** - Means there are not enough response:								oonses			
	P												to be signification	ant				
	I						Other				1							
			?	1														

Course-Section: EDUC 648 01

Title: Consulting
Instructor: Buelow, John W.

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 13

								structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	258/1449	4.77	4.34	4.33	4.41	4.77
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals		0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	230/1446	4.77	4.31	4.29	4.30	4.77
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals		9	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1256	****	4.40	4.34	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals		0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	150/1402	4.85	4.28	4.27	4.26	4.85
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned		0	0	1	1	0	11	4.62	276/1358	4.62	4.16	4.13	4.18	4.62
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	1	11	4.69	226/1327	4.69	4.28	4.16	4.29	4.69
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	215/1435	4.75	4.25	4.20	4.23	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	8	5	4.38	1111/1446	4.38	4.79	4.67	4.81	4.38
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	226/1437	4.67	4.10	4.12	4.17	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	320/1386	4.83	4.50	4.48	4.47	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	397/1379	4.69	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.69
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	370/1379	4.77	4.32	4.36	4.35	4.77
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	2	0	1	2	7	4.00	709/1236	4.00	4.02	4.08	3.94	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	257/1121	4.69	4.39	4.18	4.29	4.69
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	3	0	10	4.54	512/1122	4.54	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.54
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	372/1121	4.77	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.77
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	1	0	3	8	4.50	200/790	4.50	4.22	4.06	4.08	4.50

Course-Section: EDUC 648 01

Title: Consulting

Instructor: Buelow, John W.

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quend	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	***	4.41	4.58	4.67	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.20	4.36	4.36	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	4.13	4.25	4.32	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/34	****	4.67	4.33	4.35	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	9	Major	12
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	9	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	10	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: EDUC 650 01

Title: Educ In Cultural Perspec

Instructor: Young, Patricia

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 22

	_							Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	0	1	13	4.67	376/1449	4.67	4.34	4.33	4.41	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals		0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	66/1446	4.93	4.31	4.29	4.30	4.93
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals		3	0	1	0	2	9	4.58	450/1256	4.58	4.40	4.34	4.30	4.58
Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals		0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	136/1402	4.87	4.28	4.27	4.26	4.87
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned		0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	62/1358	4.93	4.16	4.13	4.18	4.93
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	73/1327	4.93	4.28	4.16	4.29	4.93
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	67/1435	4.93	4.25	4.20	4.23	4.93
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.79	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	192/1437	4.70	4.10	4.12	4.17	4.70
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	163/1386	4.92	4.50	4.48	4.47	4.92
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	425/1390	4.93	4.77	4.74	4.77	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	199/1379	4.85	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.85
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	1	12	4.71	445/1379	4.71	4.32	4.36	4.35	4.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	1	0	0	10	4.73	179/1236	4.73	4.02	4.08	3.94	4.73
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	149/1121	4.83	4.39	4.18	4.29	4.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	243/1122	4.83	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.58	4.40	4.52	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	1	0	1	4	6	4.17	379/790	4.17	4.22	4.06	4.08	4.17

Course-S	Section:	EDUC 650 0	1				Term	n - Spring	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	22
	Title:	Educ In Cult	tural F	Perspec						_				Q	uestion	naires:	15
Ins	tructor:	Young,Patri	cia														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Д	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	10		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	10)	Graduate	8		Major		0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	7		Non-ma	ajor	15
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	8	3.50-4.00	7	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0	1						
				?	5												

Course-Section: EDUC 655 01

Title: Tch Read Writ ELS II

Instructor: De Wit, Kathleen

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 17

moti deter.				Ero	quen	cios		Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	querii 3	Δ	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General	TVIX	1471	'		<u> </u>			Mean	Runk	, , , , ,	Mean	Mean	Wicarr	Wicari
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	4	3	8	4.27	894/1449	4.27	4.34	4.33	4.41	4.27
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals		0	0	1	3	6	5	4.00	1061/1446	4.00	4.31	4.29	4.30	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals		0	0	2	1	7	5	4.00	936/1256	4.00	4.40	4.34	4.30	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals		0	0	2	2	7	4	3.87	1116/1402	3.87	4.28	4.27	4.26	3.87
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned		0	0	0	2	6	7	4.33	549/1358	4.33	4.16	4.13	4.18	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	3.87	956/1327	3.87	4.28	4.16	4.29	3.87
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	1	2	8	2	3.47	1275/1435	3.47	4.25	4.20	4.23	3.47
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.79	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	2	3	5	3	3.69	1157/1437	3.88	4.10	4.12	4.17	3.88
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	2	5	7	4.20	1090/1386	4.18	4.50	4.48	4.47	4.18
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	1	1	6	7	4.27	1273/1390	4.38	4.77	4.74	4.77	4.38
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	2	5	3	5	3.73	1197/1379	3.95	4.44	4.34	4.34	3.95
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	5	4	4	3.60	1231/1379	3.76	4.32	4.36	4.35	3.76
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	1	3	3	5	1	3.15	1127/1236	3.28	4.02	4.08	3.94	3.28
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	2	4	7	4.07	710/1121	4.07	4.39	4.18	4.29	4.07
. Were all students actively encouraged to participate		0	0	0	0	8	7	4.47	574/1122	4.47	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.47
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	4.67	473/1121	4.67	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	5	8	2	3.80	545/790	3.80	4.22	4.06	4.08	3.80

										_							
Course-S	Section:	EDUC 655 0				Term	ı - Spring	2011						Enro	Ilment:	17	
	Title:	Tch Read W	rit ELS	SII						_				Q	uestion	naires:	15
Ins	tructor:	De Wit,Kath	leen														
					_			Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
	dita Formad Cum CDA Fun					Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	les Reasons						Туре)		Ma	jors	
00-27	•				9		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	10)	Graduate	8		Major		2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		1		Under-grad		7 Non-m		ajor	13
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.					0 Electives				4		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses		
	Р				0 to be					to be significa	o be significant						
				1	0		Other 0)							
				2	2												

Course-Section: EDUC 655 01

Title: Tch Read Writ ELS II

Instructor: England, Yuliya

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 17

							In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	4	3	8	4.27	894/1449	4.27	4.34	4.33	4.41	4.27
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals		0	0	1	3	6	5	4.00	1061/1446	4.00	4.31	4.29	4.30	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals		0	0	2	1	7	5	4.00	936/1256	4.00	4.40	4.34	4.30	4.00
Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals		0	0	2	2	7	4	3.87	1116/1402	3.87	4.28	4.27	4.26	3.87
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	6	7	4.33	549/1358	4.33	4.16	4.13	4.18	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	3	5	5	3.87	956/1327	3.87	4.28	4.16	4.29	3.87
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	1	2	8	2	3.47	1275/1435	3.47	4.25	4.20	4.23	3.47
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.79	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	2	1	4	6	4.08	822/1437	3.88	4.10	4.12	4.17	3.88
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	3	4	5	4.17	1112/1386	4.18	4.50	4.48	4.47	4.18
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	1162/1390	4.38	4.77	4.74	4.77	4.38
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	1	3	1	7	4.17	974/1379	3.95	4.44	4.34	4.34	3.95
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	2	3	1	6	3.92	1117/1379	3.76	4.32	4.36	4.35	3.76
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	2	0	4	1	2	3	3.40	1052/1236	3.28	4.02	4.08	3.94	3.28
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	2	4	7	4.07	710/1121	4.07	4.39	4.18	4.29	4.07
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	8	7	4.47	574/1122	4.47	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.47
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	4.67	473/1121	4.67	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	5	8	2	3.80	545/790	3.80	4.22	4.06	4.08	3.80

Course-S	Section:				Term	n - Spring	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	17		
	Title:	Tch Read W	rit ELS	SII						_				Q	uestion	naires:	15
Ins	tructor:	England,Yul	iya														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	ribut	ion							
Credits Ea	arned	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє	9		Maj	jors			
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	9		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	10)	Graduate	8		Major		2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	eral		1		Under-grad	7		Non-ma	ajor	13
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	8	3.50-4.00	8	F	0		Elect	ives		4		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be signification	ant				
				1	0 Other				C)							
				2	2												

Course-Section: EDUC 667 01

Title: Grammar For Amer Engl
Instructor: Nelson, John E

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 16

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	1	13	4.69	348/1449	4.69	4.34	4.33	4.41	4.69
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	11	4.63	411/1446	4.63	4.31	4.29	4.30	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	1	14	4.75	269/1256	4.75	4.40	4.34	4.30	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	4	11	4.56	456/1402	4.56	4.28	4.27	4.26	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	1	0	4	9	4.27	618/1358	4.27	4.16	4.13	4.18	4.27
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	2	13	4.69	235/1327	4.69	4.28	4.16	4.29	4.69
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	1	14	4.75	215/1435	4.75	4.25	4.20	4.23	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	9	4.56	977/1446	4.56	4.79	4.67	4.81	4.56
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	1	0	0	2	10	4.54	338/1437	4.54	4.10	4.12	4.17	4.54
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	0	0	15	4.81	354/1386	4.81	4.50	4.48	4.47	4.81
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	14	4.81	761/1390	4.81	4.77	4.74	4.77	4.81
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	2	12	4.63	491/1379	4.63	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	1	14	4.75	385/1379	4.75	4.32	4.36	4.35	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	8	2	1	0	1	4	3.50	1012/1236	3.50	4.02	4.08	3.94	3.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	0	5	9	4.31	565/1121	4.31	4.39	4.18	4.29	4.31
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	1	1	3	11	4.50	537/1122	4.50	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	2	0	1	13	4.56	550/1121	4.56	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.56
4. Were special techniques successful	0	3	1	0	1	5	6	4.15	384/790	4.15	4.22	4.06	4.08	4.15

Course-Section: EDUC 667 01

Title: Grammar For Amer Engl
Instructor: Nelson, John E

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 16

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions						4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/34	****	4.67	4.33	4.35	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	***	4.15	4.15	3.87	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	8	Major	13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	8	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant	:		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: EDUC 678 01

Title: Inst Strat/Div Needs

Instructor: Berge,Nancy B

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 9

'	_		Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	527/1449	4.56	4.34	4.33	4.41	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	354/1446	4.67	4.31	4.29	4.30	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	215/1256	4.80	4.40	4.34	4.30	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	468/1402	4.56	4.28	4.27	4.26	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	5	3	4.22	658/1358	4.22	4.16	4.13	4.18	4.22
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	3	4	4.22	687/1327	4.22	4.28	4.16	4.29	4.22
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	644/1435	4.38	4.25	4.20	4.23	4.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.79	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	448/1437	4.43	4.10	4.12	4.17	4.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1386	5.00	4.50	4.48	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	288/1379	4.78	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.78
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	508/1379	4.67	4.32	4.36	4.35	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	220/1236	4.67	4.02	4.08	3.94	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	466/1121	4.43	4.39	4.18	4.29	4.43
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	479/1122	4.57	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.57
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	269/1121	4.86	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.86
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	0	0	3	2	1	3.67	590/790	3.67	4.22	4.06	4.08	3.67

					_													
Course-	Section:	EDUC 678 0	1				Term	ı - Sprin	g 20	011						Enro	Ilment:	9
	Title:	Inst Strat/D	oiv Ne	eds											Q	uestion	naires:	9
Ins	structor:	Berge, Nanc	у В															
								Frequ	enc	ies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fr	eque	ncy Dis	stri	buti	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es	·	Rea	son	S			Туре	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6		Requ	ired for N	1ajoı	rs	8	,	Graduate	6		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral			0)	Under-grad	3		Non-ma	ajor	9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	3	D	0													
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00	2	F	1		Electi	ves			0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				0)						
				2	1													

Course-Section: EDUC 688 01

Title: Methodology Teach ELS

Instructor: Nelson, John E

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 17

matiator. Noison, some		Frequencies						In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean		Mean	
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	80/1449	4.94	4.34	4.33	4.41	4.94
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	297/1446	4.71	4.31	4.29	4.30	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	269/1256	4.75	4.40	4.34	4.30	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	65/1402	4.94	4.28	4.27	4.26	4.94
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	4	3	9	4.12	766/1358	4.12	4.16	4.13	4.18	4.12
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	272/1327	4.65	4.28	4.16	4.29	4.65
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	336/1435	4.65	4.25	4.20	4.23	4.65
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.79	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	177/1437	4.73	4.10	4.12	4.17	4.73
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1386	5.00	4.50	4.48	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	4	11	4.53	611/1379	4.53	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.53
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	370/1379	4.76	4.32	4.36	4.35	4.76
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	3	2	1	4	5	2	3.29	1095/1236	3.29	4.02	4.08	3.94	3.29
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	207/1121	4.75	4.39	4.18	4.29	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	2	2	12	4.63	438/1122	4.63	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.63
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	383/1121	4.75	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	2	3	11	4.56	174/790	4.56	4.22	4.06	4.08	4.56

Course-S	Section:	EDUC 688 0				Term	n - Spring	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	17	
	Title:	Methodolog	y Tea	ch ELS						_				Q	uestion	naires:	17
Ins	tructor:	Nelson,John	Ε														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє	;		Maj	jors		
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	13	3	Graduate	4		Major		12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	13		Non-ma	ajor	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	7	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0 Other				2	2							
				?	3												

Course-Section: EDUC 689 01

Title: Adv Spec Top In Educ

Instructor: Small,Sue E

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

	_		Frequencies					In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	5.00	4.34	4.33	4.41	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.31	4.29	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.28	4.27	4.26	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1358	5.00	4.16	4.13	4.18	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.28	4.16	4.29	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1435	5.00	4.25	4.20	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.79	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1437	5.00	4.10	4.12	4.17	5.00
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1386	5.00	4.50	4.48	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.44	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.32	4.36	4.35	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1227/1236	2.00	4.02	4.08	3.94	2.00
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.39	4.18	4.29	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1122	5.00	4.53	4.36	4.44	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.58	4.40	4.52	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/790	5.00	4.22	4.06	4.08	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 7/14/2011 11:44:31 AM

Course-S	Course-Section: EDUC 689 01						Term	n - Spring	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	1
	Title	: Adv Spec To	p In E	Educ						_				Q	uestion	naires:	1
Ins	tructor	: Small,Sue E															
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре	;		Ма	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1		Requ	ired for Ma	ajors	•	1	Graduate	1		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		()	Under-grad	0		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		()	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			()						
				?	0												

Course-Section: EDUC 771 01

Title: Research Designs In Educ

Instructor: Nwankwo, Adam F

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 19

Questionnaires: 19

Frequencies Instructor Course Ora UMBC Level Sect Questions 5 Mean Mean Mean Mean NR NA 3 Mean Rank Mean General 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course 3 0 2 3 3 2 3.00 1417/1449 3.40 4.34 4.33 4.41 3.00 6 0 5 3 5 2 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals 3 1 2.44 1439/1446 2.81 4.31 4.29 4.30 2.44 ****/1256 **** 3. Did the exam guestions reflect the expected goals 15 0 0 0 4.00 4.40 4.30 3 0 1 4.00 4.34 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals 3 0 1 5 3 3 4 3.25 1331/1402 3.17 4.28 4.27 4.26 3.25 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned 3 1 3 3 4 4 1 2.80 1326/1358 3.17 4.16 4.13 4.18 2.80 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned 3 0 3 2 5 4 2 1264/1327 3.34 4.28 4.29 3.00 3.00 4.16 2 4.20 7. Was the grading system clearly explained 3 0 3 4 4 3 2.88 1391/1435 3.05 4.25 4.23 2.88 8. How many times was class cancelled 3 0 0 0 0 1 15 4.94 368/1446 4.93 4.79 4.67 4.81 4.94 2 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness 0 4 6 1 0 2.79 4.12 4.17 2.46 1421/1437 4.10 2.46 Lecture 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared 4 0 1 2 4 6 2 3.40 1332/1386 3.57 4.50 4.48 4.47 3.40 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject 3 0 0 0 3 2 11 4.50 1162/1390 4.74 4.77 4.74 4.77 4.50 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly 0 3 2 1358/1379 4.34 2.73 4 6 4 0 2.73 3.44 4.44 4.34 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned 4 0 3 3 5 2 2 2.80 1352/1379 3.26 4.32 4.36 4.35 2.80 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding 4 2 1 6 2 3 2.77 1185/1236 2.90 4.02 4.08 3.94 2.77 Discussion 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned 4 0 2 2 4 6 1 3.13 1041/1121 3.62 4.39 4.18 4.29 3.13 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate 4 0 4 2 3 4 2 2.87 1094/1122 3.67 4.53 4.36 4.44 2.87 2 5 5 2 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion 0 1 3.27 1055/1121 3.93 4.58 4.40 4.52 3.27 4 4. Were special techniques successful 9 0 3 2 1 0 774/790 3.32 4.22 4 2.67 4.06 4.08 2.67

Course-Section: EDUC 771 01

Title: Research Designs In Educ

Instructor: Nwankwo,Adam F

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 19

Questionnaires: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	3	0	0	4	5	5	2	3.31	63/67	3.31	4.41	4.58	4.67	3.31
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	3	0	1	3	2	7	3	3.50	58/66	3.50	4.20	4.36	4.36	3.50
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	3	5	4	3	3.31	56/64	3.31	4.13	4.25	4.32	3.31
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	3	0	3	3	5	3	2	2.88	73/75	2.88	4.06	4.32	4.37	2.88
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	3	0	2	5	4	3	2	2.88	62/73	2.88	3.74	4.00	4.02	2.88

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	12	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	19
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	12	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0		0				
				?	8						

Course-Section: EDUC 771 03

Title: Research Designs In Educ
Instructor: Schaffer, Eugene

Questions

General

1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course

2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals

3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals

5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned

6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned

4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals

7. Was the grading system clearly explained

8. How many times was class cancelled

Term - Spring 2011

NR

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 15

Frequencies Instructor Course Org UMBC Level Sect 3 Mean Mean Mean Mean NA Mean Rank Mean 0 2 2 4 5 2 3.20 1407/1449 3.40 4.34 4.33 4.41 3.20 0 3 2 3 6 1 3.00 1411/1446 2.81 4.31 4.29 4.30 3.00 0 0 2 4.00 936/1256 4.00 4.40 4.34 4.30 4.00 11 1 1 0 2 1 6 3 3 3.27 1330/1402 3.17 4.28 4.27 4.26 3.27 0 4 0 3 5 3 3.20 1272/1358 3.17 4.16 4.13 4.18 3.20 0 2 1 3 5 4 3.53 1115/1327 3.34 4.28 4.29 3.53 4.16 6 3 1 1 3 1 2.79 1399/1435 3.05 4.25 4.20 4.23 2.79 0 0 0 1 0 14 4.87 606/1446 4.93 4.79 4.67 4.81 4.87

9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	1	1	6	2	0	2.90	1387/1437	2.79	4.10	4.12	4.17	2.90
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	1	1	4	3	6	3.80	1256/1386	3.57	4.50	4.48	4.47	3.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	906/1390	4.74	4.77	4.74	4.77	4.73
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	2	7	1	5	3.60	1238/1379	3.44	4.44	4.34	4.34	3.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	4	3	5	3	3.47	1269/1379	3.26	4.32	4.36	4.35	3.47
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	3	1	3	6	0	2.92	1163/1236	2.90	4.02	4.08	3.94	2.92
Discussion														

1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned 0 0 1 1 4 5 3.73 861/1121 3.62 4.39 4.18 4.29 3.73 4.13 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate 0 0 0 2 1 5 7 4.13 815/1122 3.67 4.53 4.36 4.44 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion 5 9 0 0 0 0 1 4.53 570/1121 3.93 4.58 4.40 4.52 4.53 4. Were special techniques successful 0 0 5 1 5 3 3.30 688/790 3.32 4.22 4.06 4.08 3.30 1

Run Date: 7/14/2011 11:44:31 AM

Course-Section: EDUC 771 03

Title: Research Designs In Educ

Instructor: Schaffer, Eugene

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/200	****	****	4.28	3.91	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/205	***	****	4.29	3.54	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/201	***	****	4.51	4.10	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	***	****	4.42	4.30	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/196	****	****	4.25	4.16	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	13	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/67	3.31	4.41	4.58	4.67	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	13	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/66	3.50	4.20	4.36	4.36	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/64	3.31	4.13	4.25	4.32	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/75	2.88	4.06	4.32	4.37	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	13	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/73	2.88	3.74	4.00	4.02	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/34	****	4.67	4.33	4.35	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	***	4.15	4.15	3.87	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	***	4.33	4.09	3.81	***
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	***	4.11	4.04	3.79	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	***	4.15	4.13	3.92	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	***	****	4.34	4.38	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	***	****	4.13	4.22	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	***	****	4.34	4.63	****

Course-Section: EDUC 771 03

Title: Research Designs In Educ

Instructor: Schaffer, Eugene

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/15	****	***	4.18	4.75	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	***	4.07	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	8	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	15
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	8	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: EDUC 771 05

Title: Research Designs In Educ
Instructor: Schaffer, Eugene

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

	•			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1106/1449	3.40	4.34	4.33	4.41	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	1411/1446	2.81	4.31	4.29	4.30	3.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	1363/1402	3.17	4.28	4.27	4.26	3.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1174/1358	3.17	4.16	4.13	4.18	3.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1127/1327	3.34	4.28	4.16	4.29	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1262/1435	3.05	4.25	4.20	4.23	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1446	4.93	4.79	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	1364/1437	2.79	4.10	4.12	4.17	3.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1319/1386	3.57	4.50	4.48	4.47	3.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1390	4.74	4.77	4.74	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1058/1379	3.44	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1254/1379	3.26	4.32	4.36	4.35	3.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	1144/1236	2.90	4.02	4.08	3.94	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	727/1121	3.62	4.39	4.18	4.29	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	857/1122	3.67	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	855/1121	3.93	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	425/790	3.32	4.22	4.06	4.08	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 7/14/2011 11:44:31 AM

Course-S	Section:	EDUC 771 0	5				Term	ı - Spring	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	2
	Title	Research De	esigns	In Educ						_				Q	uestion	naires:	2
Ins	tructor	Schaffer,Eug	gene														
					-			Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє	;		Ма	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1		Requ	ired for Ma	ijors	2	2	Graduate	2		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		()	Under-grad	0		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		()	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			()						
				?	0												

Course-Section: EDUC 781 01

Title: Teacher Leadership

Instructor: Ward, Debra L

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 23

Wala, Boola E				_				_		0	-			0 .
					quen	cies			structor	Course	Org	UMBC		Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	2	2	7	8	4	3.43	1371/1449	3.72	4.34	4.33	4.41	3.43
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	2	3	11	6	3.83	1197/1446	3.91	4.31	4.29	4.30	3.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	22	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1256	3.50	4.40	4.34	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	8	9	5	3.78	1158/1402	3.64	4.28	4.27	4.26	3.78
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	6	8	7	0	2.95	1308/1358	2.98	4.16	4.13	4.18	2.95
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	1	1	8	10	1	3.43	1161/1327	3.46	4.28	4.16	4.29	3.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	8	7	6	3.74	1173/1435	3.87	4.25	4.20	4.23	3.74
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.79	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	3	0	5	10	2	3.40	1288/1437	3.20	4.10	4.12	4.17	3.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	3	5	14	4.39	937/1386	4.70	4.50	4.48	4.47	4.39
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	2	3	18	4.70	969/1390	4.85	4.77	4.74	4.77	4.70
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	5	8	10	4.22	937/1379	4.61	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.22
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	4	6	3	9	3.65	1216/1379	3.58	4.32	4.36	4.35	3.65
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	2	4	7	7	2	3.14	1130/1236	3.07	4.02	4.08	3.94	3.14
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	6	11	5	3.87	816/1121	3.93	4.39	4.18	4.29	3.87
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	1	3	5	14	4.39	639/1122	4.20	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.39
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	5	6	11	4.27	761/1121	4.39	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.27
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	1	3	9	10	4.22	353/790	4.36	4.22	4.06	4.08	4.22

Course-Section: EDUC 781 01

Title: Teacher Leadership

Instructor: Ward, Debra L

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 23

Questionnaires: 23

<u>'</u>				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	22	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/205	****	***	4.29	3.54	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	22	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/67	****	4.41	4.58	4.67	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	22	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/66	****	4.20	4.36	4.36	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/64	***	4.13	4.25	4.32	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/75	***	4.06	4.32	4.37	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/73	***	3.74	4.00	4.02	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/34	****	4.67	4.33	4.35	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/35	****	4.15	4.15	3.87	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	22	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/30	****	4.11	4.04	3.79	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/31	****	****	4.34	4.38	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	22	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/18	***	****	4.13	4.22	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/24	****	***	4.34	4.63	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	11	0.00-0.99	0	Α	21	Required for Majors	23	Graduate	11	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	23
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	1						

Run Date: 7/14/2011 11:44:31 AM

Course-	Section:	EDUC 781 C)1				Term	ı - Spr	ing 2	011						Enro	Ilment:	23
	Title:	Teacher Lea	adership								,				Q	uestion	naires:	23
Ins	tructor:	Ward, Debra	a L															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Self Paced																
Grad.	11	3.50-4.00	18	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	•			0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: EDUC 781 02

Title: Teacher Leadership

Instructor: Ward, Debra L

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

Frequencies Instructor Course Ora UMBC Level Sect Questions 5 Mean Mean Mean Mean NR NA 3 Mean Rank Mean General 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 1106/1449 3.72 4.34 4.33 4.41 4.00 4.00 0 0 2 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals 0 0 0 0 4.00 1061/1446 3.91 4.31 4.29 4.30 4.00 3. Did the exam guestions reflect the expected goals 0 0 0 1 1163/1256 3.50 4.40 4.30 3.50 0 1 0 3.50 4.34 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 3.50 1274/1402 3.64 4.28 4.27 4.26 3.50 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 3.00 1296/1358 2.98 4.16 4.13 4.18 3.00 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned 0 0 1 0 1127/1327 4.28 4.29 3.50 0 0 1 3.50 3.46 4.16 4.20 7. Was the grading system clearly explained 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 4.00 970/1435 3.87 4.25 4.23 4.00 8. How many times was class cancelled 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 1/1446 5.00 4.79 4.67 4.81 5.00 0 0 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness 1 0 0 1 0 3.00 1364/1437 3.20 4.12 4.17 3.00 4.10 Lecture 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 1/1386 4.70 4.50 4.48 4.47 5.00 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 1/1390 4.85 4.77 4.74 4.77 5.00 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly 0 0 0 2 4.34 5.00 0 0 0 5.00 1/1379 4.61 4.44 4.34 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 3.50 1254/1379 3.58 4.32 4.36 4.35 3.50 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 3.00 1144/1236 3.07 4.02 4.08 3.94 3.00 Discussion 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned 727/1121 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 4.00 3.93 4.39 4.18 4.29 4.00 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 4.00 857/1122 4.20 4.53 4.36 4.44 4.00 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 591/1121 4.39 4.58 4.40 4.52 4.50 4.50 4. Were special techniques successful 0 0 0 0 1 200/790 4.22 4.06 0 1 4.50 4.36 4.08 4.50

Course-S	Section:	EDUC 781 0	2				Term	ı - Spring 2	2011						Enro	Ilment:	2
	Title:	Teacher Lea	dersh	ip						•				Q	uestion	naires:	2
Ins	tructor:	Ward,Debra	L														
								Frequer	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Disti	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	2	!	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	2		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ugh resp	oonses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	0												

Course-Section: EDUC 791P 01

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 19

TITIO.

Title: Practicum In Ed Sec 7-12

Questionnaires: 17

Instructor: Murphy, Joyce A

The state of the s	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	2	6	8	4.18	977/1449	4.18	4.34	4.33	4.41	4.18
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	8	5	4.06	1033/1446	4.06	4.31	4.29	4.30	4.06
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	11	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	519/1256	4.50	4.40	4.34	4.30	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	8	6	4.18	888/1402	4.18	4.28	4.27	4.26	4.18
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	2	6	7	4.00	827/1358	4.00	4.16	4.13	4.18	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	3	5	7	4.00	847/1327	4.00	4.28	4.16	4.29	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	2	1	3	3	7	3.75	1165/1435	3.75	4.25	4.20	4.23	3.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	316/1446	4.94	4.79	4.67	4.81	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	0	2	4	4	4.20	691/1437	4.20	4.10	4.12	4.17	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	11	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	803/1386	4.50	4.50	4.48	4.47	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	11	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	1002/1390	4.67	4.77	4.74	4.77	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	11	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	832/1379	4.33	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	836/1379	4.33	4.32	4.36	4.35	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	10	1	1	0	2	0	3	3.67	954/1236	3.67	4.02	4.08	3.94	3.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	5	8	4.40	484/1121	4.40	4.39	4.18	4.29	4.40
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	341/1122	4.73	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.73
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	3	1	11	4.53	570/1121	4.53	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.53
4. Were special techniques successful	2	2	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	187/790	4.54	4.22	4.06	4.08	4.54

Course-S	Section:	EDUC 791P	01				Term	ı - Spring	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	19
	Title:	Practicum Ir	n Ed S	Sec 7-12						_				Q	uestion	naires:	17
Ins	tructor:	Murphy,Joyd	ce A														
					_			Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	2	Α	14		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	15	5	Graduate	7		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		C)	Under-grad	10		Non-ma	ajor	17
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	7	3.50-4.00	6	F	0		Electi	ves		C)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	•		C)						
				?	2												

Course-Section: EDUC 791S 01

Title: ESOL Certification Inter

Instructor: James, Anne P

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	594/1449	4.50	4.34	4.33	4.41	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	1327/1446	3.50	4.31	4.29	4.30	3.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	936/1256	4.00	4.40	4.34	4.30	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	1274/1402	3.50	4.28	4.27	4.26	3.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	628/1358	4.25	4.16	4.13	4.18	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	1017/1327	3.75	4.28	4.16	4.29	3.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	1262/1435	3.50	4.25	4.20	4.23	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.79	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	364/1437	4.50	4.10	4.12	4.17	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	1272/1386	3.75	4.50	4.48	4.47	3.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	872/1390	4.75	4.77	4.74	4.77	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	902/1379	4.25	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	1053/1379	4.00	4.32	4.36	4.35	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	3.50	1012/1236	3.50	4.02	4.08	3.94	3.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	727/1121	4.00	4.39	4.18	4.29	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	746/1122	4.25	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	383/1121	4.75	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	329/790	4.25	4.22	4.06	4.08	4.25

Course-Section: EDUC 791S 01

Title: ESOL Certification Inter

Instructor: James,Anne P

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	44/67	4.50	4.41	4.58	4.67	4.50
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	33/66	4.50	4.20	4.36	4.36	4.50
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/64	5.00	4.13	4.25	4.32	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/75	5.00	4.06	4.32	4.37	5.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	39/73	4.00	3.74	4.00	4.02	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	1	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: EDUC 792L 01

Title: Int In Edu Tesol K-12

Instructor: Stein,Hollis G

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	192/1449	4.83	4.34	4.33	4.41	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	158/1446	4.83	4.31	4.29	4.30	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1256	***	4.40	4.34	4.30	***
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.28	4.27	4.26	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	128/1358	4.80	4.16	4.13	4.18	4.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.28	4.16	4.29	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	479/1435	4.50	4.25	4.20	4.23	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	1151/1446	4.33	4.79	4.67	4.81	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	155/1437	4.75	4.10	4.12	4.17	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1386	5.00	4.50	4.48	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	437/1379	4.67	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.32	4.36	4.35	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	492/1236	4.33	4.02	4.08	3.94	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.39	4.18	4.29	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1122	5.00	4.53	4.36	4.44	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.58	4.40	4.52	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	2	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	329/790	4.25	4.22	4.06	4.08	4.25

Course-Section: EDUC 792L 01

Title: Int In Edu Tesol K-12

Instructor: Stein, Hollis G

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/67	5.00	4.41	4.58	4.67	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	20/66	4.83	4.20	4.36	4.36	4.83
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	18/64	4.80	4.13	4.25	4.32	4.80
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	27/75	4.67	4.06	4.32	4.37	4.67
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	37/73	4.17	3.74	4.00	4.02	4.17
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	18/34	4.80	4.67	4.33	4.35	4.80
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	12/35	4.80	4.15	4.15	3.87	4.80
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/30	5.00	4.33	4.09	3.81	5.00
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	1	1	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	12/30	4.50	4.11	4.04	3.79	4.50
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	1	2	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	15/27	4.33	4.15	4.13	3.92	4.33

Frequency Distribution

						. 9					
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	6	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	0	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Run Date: 7/14/2011 11:44:32 AM

Course-Section: EDUC 794 01

Title: ISD Project Seminar

Instructor: Schwartz,Ronald

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	527/1449	4.56	4.34	4.33	4.41	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	776/1446	4.33	4.31	4.29	4.30	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1256	***	4.40	4.34	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	1	1	0	5	4.29	781/1402	4.29	4.28	4.27	4.26	4.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	2	0	1	2	3.60	1124/1358	3.60	4.16	4.13	4.18	3.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	101/1327	4.89	4.28	4.16	4.29	4.89
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	2	1	3	2	3.63	1231/1435	3.63	4.25	4.20	4.23	3.63
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	764/1446	4.78	4.79	4.67	4.81	4.78
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	735/1437	4.17	4.10	4.12	4.17	4.17
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	1052/1386	4.25	4.50	4.48	4.47	4.25
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	607/1390	4.88	4.77	4.74	4.77	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	743/1379	4.43	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.43
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	445/1379	4.71	4.32	4.36	4.35	4.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	2	0	2	0	3	3.29	1095/1236	3.29	4.02	4.08	3.94	3.29
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	1	4	4.29	587/1121	4.29	4.39	4.18	4.29	4.29
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	728/1122	4.29	4.53	4.36	4.44	4.29
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	427/1121	4.71	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.71
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	562/790	3.75	4.22	4.06	4.08	3.75

Course-Section: EDUC 794 01

Title: ISD Project Seminar

Instructor: Schwartz,Ronald

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.41	4.58	4.67	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.20	4.36	4.36	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	4.13	4.25	4.32	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/75	****	4.06	4.32	4.37	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	3.74	4.00	4.02	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	2	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: EDUC 795 01

Title: Sem Study Teaching
Instructor: Frick,Jerri L.

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 21

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	2	6	7	4	3.68	1296/1449	4.34	4.34	4.33	4.41	3.68
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	11	5	4.11	1006/1446	4.55	4.31	4.29	4.30	4.11
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	13	0	0	0	5	1	4.17	849/1256	4.58	4.40	4.34	4.30	4.17
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	2	2	7	7	4.06	989/1402	4.53	4.28	4.27	4.26	4.06
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	2	8	4	3	3.33	1232/1358	3.67	4.16	4.13	4.18	3.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	5	6	7	4.11	783/1327	4.56	4.28	4.16	4.29	4.11
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	1	5	6	6	3.94	1024/1435	4.47	4.25	4.20	4.23	3.94
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	316/1446	4.97	4.79	4.67	4.81	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	4	11	4	4.00	868/1437	4.50	4.10	4.12	4.17	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	5	14	4.74	498/1386	4.87	4.50	4.48	4.47	4.74
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	7	12	4.63	1036/1390	4.82	4.77	4.74	4.77	4.63
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	3	9	7	4.21	937/1379	4.61	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.21
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	3	9	6	4.05	1032/1379	4.53	4.32	4.36	4.35	4.05
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	1	5	9	4	3.84	858/1236	4.42	4.02	4.08	3.94	3.84
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	1	5	9	1	3.47	943/1121	4.24	4.39	4.18	4.29	3.47
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	1	3	9	4	3.94	896/1122	4.47	4.53	4.36	4.44	3.94
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	2	9	6	4.24	779/1121	4.62	4.58	4.40	4.52	4.24
4. Were special techniques successful	3	1	1	0	7	5	3	3.56	621/790	3.78	4.22	4.06	4.08	3.56

Course-Section: EDUC 795 01

Title: Sem Study Teaching
Instructor: Frick, Jerri L.

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 21

Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/34	****	4.67	4.33	4.35	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	19	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/35	****	4.15	4.15	3.87	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	4.11	4.04	3.79	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/27	***	4.15	4.13	3.92	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Туре		Majors				
C	0-27	9	0.00-0.99	1	Α	6	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	10	Major	0	
2	28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	13							
5	6-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	20	
84	l-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
(Grad.	10	3.50-4.00	17	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses				
					Р	0			to be significan	t			
					I	0	Other	0					
					?	1							

Course-Section: EDUC 795 02

Title: Sem Study Teaching

Instructor: Frick, Jerri L.

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 1

	Frequencies			Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect				
Questions		NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	4.34	4.34	4.33	4.41	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	4.55	4.31	4.29	4.30	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1256	4.58	4.40	4.34	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1402	4.53	4.28	4.27	4.26	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	827/1358	3.67	4.16	4.13	4.18	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1327	4.56	4.28	4.16	4.29	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1435	4.47	4.25	4.20	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	4.97	4.79	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1437	4.50	4.10	4.12	4.17	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1386	4.87	4.50	4.48	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1390	4.82	4.77	4.74	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	4.61	4.44	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	4.53	4.32	4.36	4.35	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	4.42	4.02	4.08	3.94	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1121	4.24	4.39	4.18	4.29	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1122	4.47	4.53	4.36	4.44	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1121	4.62	4.58	4.40	4.52	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	425/790	3.78	4.22	4.06	4.08	4.00

Course-Section: EDUC 795 02						Term - Spring 2011								Enrollment: 1				
Title: Sem Study Teaching										_				Q	uestion	naires:	1	
Ins																		
				Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect					
Questions						NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
				Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on										
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Grades Reasons						Type			Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1		Required for Majors					Graduate 1			Major		0	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad 0			Non-major		1	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad. 1 3.50-4.00 0 F					0 Electives 0				**** - Means there are not enough response:					oonses				
				Р	0						to be significa	ant						
				1	0		Other			0)							
				?	0													