Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: GERO 700 01

Title: Sociocult Gero

Instructor: Rubinstein,Robe

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 8

mstructor. Rubinstein, Robe	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1449	5.00	5.00	4.33	4.41	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	4	3	4.13	988/1446	4.13	4.13	4.29	4.30	4.13
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	6	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1256	****	****	4.34	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	236/1402	4.75	4.75	4.27	4.26	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	163/1358	4.75	4.75	4.13	4.18	4.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	106/1327	4.88	4.88	4.16	4.29	4.88
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	1	1	5	4.13	898/1435	4.13	4.13	4.20	4.23	4.13
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1446	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	184/1437	4.71	4.71	4.12	4.17	4.71
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	0	3	4	4.25	1052/1386	4.25	4.25	4.48	4.47	4.25
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1390	5.00	5.00	4.74	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	491/1379	4.63	4.63	4.34	4.34	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	385/1379	4.75	4.75	4.36	4.35	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	7	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1236	****	****	4.08	3.94	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1121	5.00	5.00	4.18	4.29	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1122	5.00	5.00	4.36	4.44	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1121	5.00	5.00	4.40	4.52	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/790	5.00	5.00	4.06	4.08	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: GERO 700 01

Title: Sociocult Gero
Instructor: Rubinstein,Robe

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	***	****	4.58	4.67	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	***	****	4.36	4.36	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	***	****	4.25	4.32	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/75	***	****	4.32	4.37	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/73	****	****	4.00	4.02	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	4	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						