University of Maryland Baltimore County

Fall 2005

Page 1087 JAN 21, 2006 Job IRBR3029

Enrollment:	32				
Questionnaires:	27	Student	Course	Evaluation	Questionnaire

Course-Section: JDST 100 0101

INTRO JUDAIC STUDIES

BUCHDAHL, GUSTA

Title

Instructor:

		Frequencies			Instructor		Course Dept		UMBC Level		Sect			
Questions			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course			1	0	2	5	14	4.41	768/1674	4.41	4.23	4.27	4.07	4.41
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals		0	1	1	2	7	11	4.18	1009/1674	4.18	4.26	4.23	4.16	4.18
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	5	13	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	517/1423	4.56	4.36	4.27	4.16	4.56
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	5	14	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	687/1609	4.38	4.23	4.22	4.05	4.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	5	1	0	5	3	5	8	3.76	1040/1585	3.76	4.04	3.96	3.88	3.76
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	14	0	1	1	3	2	3.86	1066/1535	3.86	4.08	4.08	3.89	3.86
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	5	0	1	1	7	7	4.25	866/1651	4.25	4.20	4.18	4.10	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	5	0	0	0	0	17	5	4.23	1442/1673	4.23	4.65	4.69	4.67	4.23
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness		2	1	1	1	5	10	4.22	757/1656	4.22	4.06	4.07	3.96	4.22
T. c. mb. co. c.														
Lecture	5	0	0	0	4	2	1 -	4.50	858/1586	4.50	4.43	4.43	4 27	4 50
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	-	0	0	0	4	3 1	15 21	4.95	,	4.50	4.43	4.43	4.37 4.60	4.95
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5 5	0	1	1	2	8	10		1052/1582		4.72	4.09	4.00	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned		0	1	1	1	6 6	13		905/1575	4.14	4.30	4.27	4.17	4.14
		20	1	0	Τ	0	1.2		****/1380		3.94	3.94	3.78	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	20	Т	U	U	U	U	1.00	***/1380		3.94	3.94	3.78	
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	2	2	2	1	6	3.54	1157/1520	3.54	4.14	4.01	3.76	3.54
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate		0	1	2	2	2	7	3.86	1158/1515	3.86	4.37	4.24	3.97	3.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	1	1	1	1	10	4.29	865/1511	4.29	4.37	4.27	4.00	4.29
The area		.	.,											

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expecte	d Grades	Reasons	Туре	Majors			
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	 А	13	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	C	1	General	9	Under-grad	27	Non-major	13
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	#### - Means	there	are not enoug	h
				P	0			responses to be significant		gnificant	
				I	0	Other	3				
				2	2						