Course-Section: MAED 504S 0101 Title Baltimore County Instructor: ACTON, ROBIN

Enrollment:

Questionnaires: 14

14

University of Maryland Page 938 JUN 13, 2006 Spring 2006 Job IRBR3029

	Ouestionnaire

Ouestions					NR	NA	Fro	_	ncie:	s 4	5	Inst Mean	tructor Rank		Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
		ew insights,ski			0	0	0	0 1	2	4	8	4.43	652/1481		4.26	4.29	4.28	4.43
	2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals								1	8	4	4.07	971/1481	4.07	4.26	4.23	4.11	4.07
		uestions reflec			0	0	0	0	2	10	2	4.00	893/1249	4.00	4.37	4.27	4.24	4.00
		uations reflect		1 0	1	0	0	0	1	7	5	4.31			4.27	4.21	4.16	4.31
				what you learned	0	0	1	3	5	3	2		1250/1396		4.07	3.98	4.00	3.14
				o what you learned	0	0	1	3	3	5	2		1200/1342		4.12	4.07	4.18	3.29
		g system clearl		ined	0	0	0	0	1	3	10	4.64	298/1459	4.64	4.19	4.16	4.01	4.64
		was class canc			1	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1480	5.00	4.64	4.68	4.74	5.00
9. How wo	ould you	grade the overa	ll teac	hing effectiveness	4	0	0	0	1	8	1	4.00	836/1450	4.00	4.10	4.09	3.96	4.00
		Lectur																
		uctor's lecture			1	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	400/1409	4.77	4.46	4.42	4.36	4.77
		ctor seem inter			1	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	804/1407	4.77	4.77	4.69	4.73	4.77
				xplained clearly	1	0	0	1	2	6	4	4.00	1002/1399	4.00	4.30	4.26	4.16	4.00
4. Did th	ne lecture	es contribute t	o what	you learned	1	0	0	1	1	4	7	4.31	829/1400	4.31	4.35	4.27	4.17	4.31
5. Did av	udiovisua	l techniques en	hance y	our understanding	1	1	0	0	2	8	2	4.00	590/1179	4.00	3.94	3.96	3.81	4.00
		Discus																
				what you learned	0	0	0	1	4	4	5	3.93	779/1262	3.93		4.05	4.07	3.93
				d to participate	0	0	0	0	3	6	5			4.14	4.40	4.29	4.30	4.14
				d open discussion	0	0	0	0	3	5	6	4.21			4.34	4.30	4.33	4.21
4. Were s	special to	echniques succe	ssful		0	2	1	0	5	4	2	3.50	604/ 788	3.50	4.03	4.00	3.97	3.50
		Labora																
2. Were y	you provi	ded with adequa	te back	ground information	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 249	****	4.08	4.11	3.93	****
				Frequ	iency	/ Dist	trib	utio	n									
Credits E	Earned	Cum. GPA		Expected Grades				Re	ason	S			Ту	pe			Majors	5
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	 1	A 10		Red	auir	ed f	or Ma	 aior		0	Graduat	 e 1	.4	Majc	 r	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В 4		2.0	-1)	-	-	or adda		-		-	•
56-83						Gei	nera	1				0	Under-q	rad	0	Non-	-major	0
	84-150 0 3.00-3.49 1 D 0					001	c. a	_				-	onder 9		•	1,011		J
Grad.						E14	ecti [.]	ves				0	#### - 1	Means t	here a	re not	enous	rh
JI aa.		3.30 1.00	_	P 0		11.11	CCCI	v C D				•	respons				_	,
	I O					O+1	her				1	_0	T CBPOHS		,c 5191.	LLLCai		
	5 U					001					1	- 0						
? 0																		

Course-Section: MAED 506 8020 University of Maryland Title CONCEPTS/APPL CALCULUS Baltimore County Spring 2006

Instructor: Kelly, Brian W

Enrollment: 2 Questionnaires: 1

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

		Frequencies						Inst	ructor	Course Dept		UMBC Level		Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1481	4.69	4.26	4.29	4.28	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1481	4.49	4.26	4.23	4.11	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1249	4.69	4.37	4.27	4.24	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	4.63	4.19	4.16	4.01	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1480	5.00	4.64	4.68	4.74	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	836/1450	3.72	4.10	4.09	3.96	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1409	4.67	4.46	4.42	4.36	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1407	4.90	4.77	4.69	4.73	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1002/1399	4.02	4.30	4.26	4.16	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1400	4.40	4.35	4.27	4.17	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1262	4.32	4.18	4.05	4.07	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1259	4.19	4.40	4.29	4.30	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1256	4.21	4.34	4.30	4.33	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	394/ 788	3.80	4.03	4.00	3.97	4.00

Page 939

JUN 13, 2006

Job IRBR3029

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Type	Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	1	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	0	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means	there	are not enough	ı
				P	0			responses to	be sig	nificant	
				I	0	Other	1	-			
				?	0						

Course-Section: MAED 506 8720

Title CONCEPTS/APPL CALCULUS

Instructor: EBERSOLE, ERIC

Enrollment: 22 Questionnaires: 21

Page 940 JUN 13, 2006 Job IRBR3029

Student	Course	Evaluation	Questionnaire

University of Maryland

Baltimore County

Spring 2006

						Frequencies					Ins	tructor	Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	l Sect
		Questions		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		General															
l Did vou	asin na	ew insights,skills f	com this course	4	0	0	1	3	4	9	4.24	870/1481	4.69	4.26	4.29	4.28	4.2
		ctor make clear the		4	0	2	0	8	2	5		1333/1481	4.49	4.26	4.23	4.11	3.4
		uestions reflect the		4	0	0	2	3	4	8	4.06	873/1249		4.37	4.27	4.24	4.0
. Did the	_	4	3	0	1	4	5	4		1123/1424		4.27	4.21	4.16	3.8		
		5	4	3	3	2	2	2		1345/1396		4.27	3.98	4.10	2.7		
		eadings contribute to signments contribute		4	4	1	0	3	3	6	4.00	755/1342		4.12	4.07	4.18	4.0
		g system clearly exp	-	4	0	0	0	5 6	4	7	4.00	935/1459		4.12	4.07	4.18	4.0
			lained		-	0	0	-	0	-							
_		was class cancelled	1.1 66	4	0	-		0	-	17	5.00	1/1480		4.64	4.68	4.74	5.0
. How woul	La you g	grade the overall te	aching effectiveness	6	0	3	1	6	3	2	3.00	1354/1450	3.72	4.10	4.09	3.96	3.0
		Lecture															
. Were the	e instru	uctor's lectures well	prepared	4	0	0	0	5	4	8	4.18	1080/1409	4.67	4.46	4.42	4.36	4.1
. Did the	instruc	ctor seem interested	in the subject	4	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	919/1407	4.90	4.77	4.69	4.73	4.7
. Was lect	cure mat	terial presented and	explained clearly	4	0	1	4	7	3	2	3.06	1320/1399	4.02	4.30	4.26	4.16	3.0
		es contribute to wha	-	4	0	1	2	5	5	4		1224/1400	4.40	4.35	4.27	4.17	3.5
		l techniques enhance		4	5	1	1	4	5	1		972/1179		3.94		3.81	
. Dia auai	LOVIBUU	r ccenniques ciniance	your understanding	-	3	_	_	-	5	_	3.33	J12/11/J	1.00	3.51	3.70	3.01	J.:
		Discussion															
		ussions contribute t	-	5	0	0	2	4	6	4	3.75	887/1262		4.18	4.05	4.07	3.
. Were all	l studer	nts actively encoura	ged to participate	5	0	1	4	2	6	3	3.38	1130/1259	4.19	4.40	4.29	4.30	3.3
		ctor encourage fair	and open discussion	5	0	4	1	3	5	3	3.13	1162/1256	4.21	4.34	4.30	4.33	3.1
. Were spe	ecial te	echniques successful		5	12	1	0	1	0	2	3.50	****/ 788	3.80	4.03	4.00	3.97	* * *
		Laboratory															
. Did the	lab ind	crease understanding	of the material	17	2	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/ 246	****	4.26	4.20	4.27	***
		ded with adequate ba		18	0	0	1	1	1	0		****/ 249	****	4.08	4.11	3.93	***
_	_	materials available	_	19	0	0	1	1	0	0		****/ 242	****	4.45	4.40	4.27	***
	_	structor provide ass		19	1	0	1	0	0	0		****/ 240	****	4.37	4.20	4.15	***
		nts for lab reports		19	1	0	0	1	0	0		****/ 217		4.42	4.04	3.73	***
. were red	4ull eillei	ics for lab reports	stearty specified	19		U	U	1	U	U	3.00	/ 21/		4.42	4.04	3.73	
		Seminar															
. Were ass	signed t	topics relevant to the	ne announced theme	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 68	***	4.66	4.49	4.23	***
			Frequ	ency	Dis	trib	utio	n									
redits Ear	rned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades				Re	asons	3			Тν	pe			Majors	:
00-27	9	0.00-0.99 0	A 4		Red	quir	ed f	or Ma	ajor	s	0	Graduat	e	7	Majo	or	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	В 9														
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 1		Gei	nera	1				0	Under-g	rad 1	.4	Non-	-major	9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0														
Grad.	7	3.50-4.00 10	F 0		Ele	ecti	ves				0	#### -	Means t	here a	are not	enoug	gh
			P 0									respons				_	
			I 0		Otl	her				1	.6			3			
			3 0														

Course-Section: MAED 506 8721 University of Maryland Title CONCEPTS/APPL CALCULUS Baltimore County Instructor: Kelly, Brian W

Spring 2006

Page 941

JUN 13, 2006

Job IRBR3029

- Means there are not enough

responses to be significant

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 6

Grad.

2

3.50-4.00

5

F

Ρ

I

?

0

0

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

						Frequencies						Inst	ructor	Course Dept		ot UMBC Level		Sect
		Question	S		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Genera	 1															
1 Did voi	u dain n	ew insights,ski		om this course	Λ	0	0	0	Λ	1	5	4.83	210/1481	4.69	4.26	4.29	4.28	4.83
		ctor make clear			0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1481	4.49	4.26	4.23	4.11	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals							0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1249	4.69	4.37	4.27	4.24	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals							0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1424	4.43	4.27	4.21	4.16	5.00
	5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned								1	0	2		1083/1396	3.13	4.07	3.98	4.00	3.50
	-	-		to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	190/1342		4.12	4.07	4.18	4.67
		g system clearl		-	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	143/1459	4.63	4.19	4.16	4.01	4.83
		was class cance			0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1480	5.00	4.64	4.68	4.74	5.00
9. How wor	uld you	grade the overa	ll tead	ching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	4.17	722/1450	3.72	4.10	4.09	3.96	4.17
		Lectur	_															
1 Word +1	٥	0	0	0	Λ	1	_	4.83	290/1409	4.67	4.46	4.42	4.36	4.83				
		uctor's lecture: ctor seem inter			0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1407	4.90	4.77	4.42	4.73	5.00
				explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1399	4.02	4.77	4.26	4.16	5.00
		es contribute t			0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	421/1400	4.40	4.35	4.27	4.17	4.67
				your understanding	0	3	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	177/1179	4.00	3.94	3.96	3.81	
3. <u>21</u> a aa	arov road	r occimination circ		your underpounding	Ü		Ü	Ü	Ü	_	_	1.07	1.,,11.,	1.00	3.71	3.70	3.01	1.07
		Discus																
1. Did cla	ass disc	ussions contrib	ute to	what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	0	3	4.20	610/1262	4.32	4.18	4.05	4.07	4.20
2. Were a	ll stude	nts actively en	courage	ed to participate	1	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	821/1259	4.19	4.40	4.29	4.30	4.20
		_		nd open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	571/1256	4.21	4.34	4.30	4.33	4.50
4. Were sp	pecial to	echniques succe	ssful		1	0	1	0	1	1	2	3.60	584/ 788	3.80	4.03	4.00	3.97	3.60
				Frequ	ency	Dist	rib	ution	า									
				-	-													
Credits Ea				Rea	asons	3			Ty	pe			Majors					
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A 5		Rec	quir	ed fo	or Ma	ajors	3	0	Graduat	 e	2	Majo	r r	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	в 1	-											5		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C 0		Ger	nera	1				0	Under-grad 4		Non-major		6	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D 0														

Electives

Other