Course-Section: MUSC 101 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 54

Title: Fundamentals Music Thry

Instructor: Richards, Kazuko

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	2	0	9	14	4.40	755/1520	4.12	4.69	4.31	4.14	4.40
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	7	17	4.54	541/1520	4.46	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.54
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	22	4.81	232/1291	4.66	4.77	4.33	4.24	4.81
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	4	4	16	4.50	493/1483	4.17	4.75	4.23	4.09	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	1	3	3	16	4.48	395/1417	3.99	4.56	4.08	4.02	4.48
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	0	1	3	15	4.74	183/1405	4.74	4.54	4.12	3.96	4.74
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	5	3	16	4.27	737/1504	4.40	4.26	4.16	4.13	4.27
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	10	15	4.54	1097/1519	4.73	4.77	4.70	4.71	4.54
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	1	8	16	4.60	262/1495	4.28	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	1	0	2	5	15	4.43	926/1459	4.39	4.67	4.47	4.40	4.43
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	3	19	4.78	845/1460	4.68	4.91	4.74	4.68	4.78
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	1	4	1	8	9	3.87	1185/1455	4.05	4.72	4.32	4.26	3.87
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	2	2	6	11	4.09	1056/1456	4.13	4.82	4.34	4.26	4.09
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	7	1	1	3	2	9	4.06	692/1316	3.80	4.73	4.03	3.91	4.06
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	19	0	1	0	0	1	5	4.29	603/1243	4.16	4.70	4.17	3.98	4.29
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	19	0	0	1	0	1	5	4.43	645/1241	4.00	4.76	4.33	4.14	4.43
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	19	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	709/1236	4.18	4.74	4.40	4.19	4.43

Course-Section: MUSC 101 01

Title: Fundamentals Music Thry

Instructor: Richards, Kazuko

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 54

Questionnaires: 26

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	19	4	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	3.89	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	13	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	6	1.00-1.99	1	В	5						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	11	Under-grad	26	Non-major	26
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	6						

Course-Section: MUSC 101 02

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 96

ritie: r

Title: Fundamentals Music Thry

Questionnaires: 78

Instructor: Hawley JR, Thoma

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	22	0	2	4	16	13	21	3.84	1259/1520	4.12	4.69	4.31	4.14	3.84
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	22	0	2	1	7	10	36	4.38	760/1520	4.46	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.38
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	22	0	2	0	5	9	40	4.52	535/1291	4.66	4.77	4.33	4.24	4.52
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	23	29	2	4	2	6	12	3.85	1159/1483	4.17	4.75	4.23	4.09	3.85
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	24	9	7	4	10	7	17	3.51	1182/1417	3.99	4.56	4.08	4.02	3.51
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	24	35	3	1	3	1	11	3.84	****/1405	4.74	4.54	4.12	3.96	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	23	0	1	1	6	7	40	4.53	415/1504	4.40	4.26	4.16	4.13	4.53
8. How many times was class cancelled	23	2	0	0	0	4	49	4.92	473/1519	4.73	4.77	4.70	4.71	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	35	2	0	0	11	21	9	3.95	956/1495	4.28	4.58	4.11	4.01	3.95
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	26	0	2	1	4	15	30	4.35	1019/1459	4.39	4.67	4.47	4.40	4.35
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	27	0	0	2	6	4	39	4.57	1150/1460	4.68	4.91	4.74	4.68	4.57
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	29	0	0	2	9	14	24	4.22	946/1455	4.05	4.72	4.32	4.26	4.22
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	26	2	4	0	10	6	30	4.16	1015/1456	4.13	4.82	4.34	4.26	4.16
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	32	16	3	4	9	2	12	3.53	1045/1316	3.80	4.73	4.03	3.91	3.53
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	52	0	1	2	5	5	13	4.04	758/1243	4.16	4.70	4.17	3.98	4.04
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	52	0	3	3	7	2	11	3.58	1117/1241	4.00	4.76	4.33	4.14	3.58
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	52	0	2	3	4	3	14	3.92	1003/1236	4.18	4.74	4.40	4.19	3.92

Course-Section: MUSC 101 02

Title: Fundamentals Music Thry

Instructor: Hawley JR, Thoma

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 96

Questionnaires: 78

				Fre	quend	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	52	10	3	1	4	1	7	3.50	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	3.89	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	46	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	6	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	3	С	1	General	27	Under-grad	78	Non-major	71
84-150	10	3.00-3.49	5	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	13	F	0	Electives	14	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				l	0	Other	2				
				?	28						

Course-Section: MUSC 112 03

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Title: Music Repertoire

Questionnaires: 3

Instructor: Yoshioka, Airi

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.24	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.75	4.23	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.54	4.12	3.96	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1504	5.00	4.26	4.16	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.26	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.26	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.70	4.17	3.98	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.74	4.40	4.19	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 112 03

Title: Music Repertoire

Instructor: Yoshioka, Airi

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.66	4.02	3.89	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	۸.	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
Credits L	arried	Cuiii. Gr	•	Lxpecteu	Grades	Reasons		турс		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 125 01

C 125 01 Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 20

i itie:

Title: Theory I:Basics Of Music

Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	3	15	4.83	203/1520	4.42	4.69	4.31	4.14	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	2	2	14	4.67	360/1520	4.54	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	83/1291	4.93	4.77	4.33	4.24	4.94
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	4	14	4.78	201/1483	4.60	4.75	4.23	4.09	4.78
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	1	6	10	4.39	492/1417	4.38	4.56	4.08	4.02	4.39
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	0	7	10	4.59	303/1405	4.29	4.54	4.12	3.96	4.59
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	1	0	2	4	11	4.33	656/1504	4.33	4.26	4.16	4.13	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	8	10	4.56	1076/1519	4.78	4.77	4.70	4.71	4.56
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	187/1495	4.04	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.71
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	3	15	4.83	321/1459	4.37	4.67	4.47	4.40	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	326/1460	4.88	4.91	4.74	4.68	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	2	15	4.78	307/1455	4.16	4.72	4.32	4.26	4.78
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	120/1456	4.56	4.82	4.34	4.26	4.94
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	2	0	0	1	4	11	4.63	221/1316	4.48	4.73	4.03	3.91	4.63
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	142/1243	4.89	4.70	4.17	3.98	4.89
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	188/1241	4.89	4.76	4.33	4.14	4.89
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.74	4.40	4.19	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 125 01
Title: Theory I:Basics Of Music
Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 20

Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	11	4	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	91/889	4.80	4.66	4.02	3.89	4.80

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	20	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	ıt		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: MUSC 125 02

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 19

i itie:

Title: Theory I:Basics Of Music

Questionnaires: 12

Instructor: Macaulay, Janice

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank Mean e 0 0 0 1 3 3 5 4.00 1118/1520 4.4 olls 0 0 0 1 1 2 8 4.42 709/1520 4.5 oals 0 0 0 0 1 11 4.92 125/1291 4.9					Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect				
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	3	5	4.00	1118/1520	4.42	4.69	4.31	4.14	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	2	8	4.42	709/1520	4.54	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.42
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	125/1291	4.93	4.77	4.33	4.24	4.92
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	607/1483	4.60	4.75	4.23	4.09	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	1	0	2	5	4.38	502/1417	4.38	4.56	4.08	4.02	4.38
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	2	0	1	4	4.00	843/1405	4.29	4.54	4.12	3.96	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	1	8	4.33	656/1504	4.33	4.26	4.16	4.13	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	2	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1519	4.78	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	2	2	3	1	3.38	1333/1495	4.04	4.58	4.11	4.01	3.38
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	3	3	4	3.91	1284/1459	4.37	4.67	4.47	4.40	3.91
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	779/1460	4.88	4.91	4.74	4.68	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	2	1	2	1	5	3.55	1308/1455	4.16	4.72	4.32	4.26	3.55
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	1	3	6	4.18	1003/1456	4.56	4.82	4.34	4.26	4.18
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	5	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	462/1316	4.48	4.73	4.03	3.91	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1243	4.89	4.70	4.17	3.98	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1241	4.89	4.76	4.33	4.14	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1236	5.00	4.74	4.40	4.19	****

Course-Section: MUSC 125 02

Title: Theory I:Basics Of Music

Instructor: Macaulay,Janice

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 19

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/889	4.80	4.66	4.02	3.89	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	1			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 170 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 24

i itie.

Title: Beginning Voice Class

Questionnaires: 23

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	0	0	1	7	9	4.47	651/1520	4.47	4.69	4.31	4.14	4.47
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	0	0	2	5	10	4.47	625/1520	4.47	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.47
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	10	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	546/1291	4.50	4.77	4.33	4.24	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	1	0	1	2	2	11	4.44	593/1483	4.44	4.75	4.23	4.09	4.44
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	6	3	3	2	1	3	5	3.36	1245/1417	3.36	4.56	4.08	4.02	3.36
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	11	0	2	2	0	2	3.33	1266/1405	3.33	4.54	4.12	3.96	3.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	2	2	2	4	3	4	3.33	1379/1504	3.33	4.26	4.16	4.13	3.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	0	0	0	1	7	6	4.36	544/1495	4.36	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.36
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	0	0	4	2	7	4.23	1108/1459	4.23	4.67	4.47	4.40	4.23
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	1	0	13	4.86	675/1460	4.86	4.91	4.74	4.68	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	0	0	2	3	9	4.50	637/1455	4.50	4.72	4.32	4.26	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	2	2	9	4.54	651/1456	4.54	4.82	4.34	4.26	4.54
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	10	8	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	****/1316	****	4.73	4.03	3.91	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	263/1243	4.71	4.70	4.17	3.98	4.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	364/1241	4.71	4.76	4.33	4.14	4.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	16	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	589/1236	4.57	4.74	4.40	4.19	4.57
4. Were special techniques successful	16	3	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	3.89	****

Course-Section: MUSC 170 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 24

Title: Beginning Voice Class

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank information 21 0 0 0 2 0 4.00 ****/165 ****/165 ****/160 *****/160 *****/160 *****/158 *****/158 *****/158 *****/158 *****/158 *****/158 *****/158 *****/158 *****/158 ******/158 *****/158 ******/158 <					Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect				
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
. Were you provided with adequate background information	21	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/165	****	5.00	4.19	4.31	****
. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	21	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/160	****	5.00	4.45	4.49	****
. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/158	****	5.00	4.36	4.43	****
. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	22	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/150	****	5.00	4.05	4.26	****
Seminar														
. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	21	1	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/67	****	4.88	4.60	4.51	****
. Was the instructor available for individual attention	21	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/66	****	4.75	4.55	4.36	****
. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	21	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	5.00	4.54	4.01	****
. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	21	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.88	4.59	4.43	****
. Were criteria for grading made clear	21	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.83	4.20	3.90	****
Field Work														
. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/32	****	5.00	4.36	4.08	****
. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	20	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/31	****	5.00	4.15	3.67	****
. Was the instructor available for consultation	20	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/23	****	5.00	4.48	****	****
. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	20	0	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	****/27	****	5.00	4.23	5.00	****
. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	20	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/20	****	5.00	4.23	****	****
Self Paced														
. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/24	****	4.63	4.17	4.37	****
. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	19	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	****/15	****	4.54	4.17	4.22	****
. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	19	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/22	****	4.43	4.07	3.99	****
. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	19	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/15	****	4.63	4.06	4.14	****

Course-Section: MUSC 170 01

Title: Beginning Voice Class

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 24

23

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	19	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/12	****	4.36	4.16	3.92	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	3	Α	12	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	23	Non-major	23
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	4				
				?	10						

Course-Section: MUSC 173 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 13

i icie.

Title: Intro To Choral Singing

Questionnaires: 13

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	3	1	1	6	3.67	1341/1520	3.67	4.69	4.31	4.14	3.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	2	7	4.33	809/1520	4.33	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	1	1	2	6	4.30	782/1291	4.30	4.77	4.33	4.24	4.30
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	1	2	2	1	4	3.50	1334/1483	3.50	4.75	4.23	4.09	3.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	4	0	3	1	0	4	3.63	1125/1417	3.63	4.56	4.08	4.02	3.63
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	6	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	385/1405	4.50	4.54	4.12	3.96	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	4	2	1	0	4	2.82	1460/1504	2.82	4.26	4.16	4.13	2.82
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	6	6	4.50	1129/1519	4.50	4.77	4.70	4.71	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	0	0	3	2	4	4.11	811/1495	4.11	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.11
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	0	3	1	7	4.08	1199/1459	4.08	4.67	4.47	4.40	4.08
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	727/1460	4.83	4.91	4.74	4.68	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	3	3	4	4.10	1028/1455	4.10	4.72	4.32	4.26	4.10
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	1	3	7	4.33	866/1456	4.33	4.82	4.34	4.26	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	3	0	1	2	1	5	4.11	659/1316	4.11	4.73	4.03	3.91	4.11
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	537/1243	4.38	4.70	4.17	3.98	4.38
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	564/1241	4.50	4.76	4.33	4.14	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	404/1236	4.75	4.74	4.40	4.19	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	5	2	1	0	0	3	2	3.83	583/889	3.83	4.66	4.02	3.89	3.83

Course-Section: MUSC 173 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 13

Title: Intro To Choral Singing

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

'	primation 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/165 is 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/160 is 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/158 is 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/158 is 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/150 is 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/67 is 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/66 is 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/66 is 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/68 is 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/68 is 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/66 is 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/66 is 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/66 is 12 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/66 is 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/66 is 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/66 is 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/66 is 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/66 is 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/164	****	5.00	4.15	4.13	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/165	****	5.00	4.19	4.31	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/160	****	5.00	4.45	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/158	****	5.00	4.36	4.43	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/150	****	5.00	4.05	4.26	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.88	4.60	4.51	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.75	4.55	4.36	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	5.00	4.54	4.01	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.88	4.59	4.43	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.83	4.20	3.90	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	5.00	4.36	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	5.00	4.15	3.67	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	5.00	4.48	****	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	5.00	4.23	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	5.00	4.23	****	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/24	****	4.63	4.17	4.37	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	11	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/15	****	4.54	4.17	4.22	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	11	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/22	****	4.43	4.07	3.99	****

Course-Section: MUSC 173 01

Title: Intro To Choral Singing

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	11	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/15	****	4.63	4.06	4.14	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/12	****	4.36	4.16	3.92	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	1	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	12	Non-major	13
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	4						

Course-Section: MUSC 174 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 7

ritie:

Title: Beg Vocal Methods

Questionnaires: 6

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	1	2	2	3.67	1341/1520	3.67	4.69	4.31	4.14	3.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	1086/1520	4.00	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1291	****	4.77	4.33	4.24	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	1254/1483	3.67	4.75	4.23	4.09	3.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1187/1417	3.50	4.56	4.08	4.02	3.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	1331/1405	3.00	4.54	4.12	3.96	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	1	0	2	1	0	2.75	1463/1504	2.75	4.26	4.16	4.13	2.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	718/1495	4.20	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	616/1459	4.67	4.67	4.47	4.40	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	727/1460	4.83	4.91	4.74	4.68	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	1075/1455	4.00	4.72	4.32	4.26	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	4.00	1094/1456	4.00	4.82	4.34	4.26	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	3	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	729/1316	4.00	4.73	4.03	3.91	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	1	4.00	766/1243	4.00	4.70	4.17	3.98	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	415/1241	4.67	4.76	4.33	4.14	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	505/1236	4.67	4.74	4.40	4.19	4.67

Course-Section: MUSC 174 01

Title: Beg Vocal Methods

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	1	0	0	3	1	3.60	679/889	3.60	4.66	4.02	3.89	3.60

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 176 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 22

Title: Keyboard Skills I

Questionnaires: 18

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	4	13	4.67	399/1520	4.58	4.69	4.31	4.14	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	3	14	4.67	360/1520	4.75	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.24	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	132/1483	4.81	4.75	4.23	4.09	4.87
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	13	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	659/1417	4.20	4.56	4.08	4.02	4.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	14	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1405	****	4.54	4.12	3.96	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	4	12	4.50	437/1504	4.67	4.26	4.16	4.13	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	0	0	4	8	2	3.86	1060/1495	3.93	4.58	4.11	4.01	3.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	12	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	321/1459	3.42	4.67	4.47	4.40	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	12	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	12	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.26	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	683/1456	4.50	4.82	4.34	4.26	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	11	2	1	0	0	1	3	4.00	729/1316	4.00	4.73	4.03	3.91	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1243	****	4.70	4.17	3.98	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.14	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	****/1236	****	4.74	4.40	4.19	****
4. Were special techniques successful	14	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	3.89	****

Course-Section: MUSC 176 01

Title: Keyboard Skills I

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 22

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	1	1	3	3	4.00	12/24	4.00	4.63	4.17	4.37	4.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	10	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	6/15	4.63	4.54	4.17	4.22	4.63
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	10	0	0	1	0	5	2	4.00	10/22	4.00	4.43	4.07	3.99	4.00
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	10	0	0	1	1	4	2	3.88	8/15	3.88	4.63	4.06	4.14	3.88
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	10	1	0	1	2	2	2	3.71	9/12	3.71	4.36	4.16	3.92	3.71

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	16
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	18	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				l	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 176 02

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 6

Title: Keyboard Skills I

Questionnaires: 6

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	607/1520	4.58	4.69	4.31	4.14	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	168/1520	4.75	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.24	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	222/1483	4.81	4.75	4.23	4.09	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1417	4.20	4.56	4.08	4.02	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1405	****	4.54	4.12	3.96	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	126/1504	4.67	4.26	4.16	4.13	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	4.00	891/1495	3.93	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	1	0	1	0	0	2.00	1458/1459	3.42	4.67	4.47	4.40	2.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.68	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.26	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1456	4.50	4.82	4.34	4.26	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1243	****	4.70	4.17	3.98	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.14	****

Course-Section: MUSC 176 02

Title: Keyboard Skills I

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1236	****	4.74	4.40	4.19	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 178 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 14

ricie.

Title: Keyboard Skills III

Questionnaires: 14

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	5	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	695/1520	4.37	4.69	4.31	4.14	4.44
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1520	4.90	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	5	6	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1291	4.80	4.77	4.33	4.24	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	5	6	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1483	4.83	4.75	4.23	4.09	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	5	8	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1417	4.33	4.56	4.08	4.02	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	5	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	173/1504	4.64	4.26	4.16	4.13	4.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	5	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	829/1519	4.89	4.77	4.70	4.71	4.78
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	0	5	3	4.38	520/1495	4.34	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.38
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1459	4.20	4.67	4.47	4.40	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1460	4.40	4.91	4.74	4.68	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	3.91	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1243	****	4.70	4.17	3.98	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.14	****

Run Date: 1/31/2012 1:36:45 PM Page 23 of 183

Course-Section: MUSC 178 01
Title: Keyboard Skills III
Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1236	****	4.74	4.40	4.19	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	14	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: MUSC 178 02

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 11

Title: Keyboard Skills III

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	5	4	4.30	874/1520	4.37	4.69	4.31	4.14	4.30
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	192/1520	4.90	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	232/1291	4.80	4.77	4.33	4.24	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	151/1483	4.83	4.75	4.23	4.09	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	540/1417	4.33	4.56	4.08	4.02	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1405	****	4.54	4.12	3.96	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	437/1504	4.64	4.26	4.16	4.13	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1519	4.89	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	7	3	4.30	605/1495	4.34	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.30
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	1132/1459	4.20	4.67	4.47	4.40	4.20
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	1268/1460	4.40	4.91	4.74	4.68	4.40
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	920/1455	4.25	4.72	4.32	4.26	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	945/1456	4.25	4.82	4.34	4.26	4.25
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	3.91	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1243	****	4.70	4.17	3.98	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.14	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1236	****	4.74	4.40	4.19	****
4. Were special techniques successful	8	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	3.89	****

Course-Section: MUSC 178 02

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 11

Title: Keyboard Skills III

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/164	****	5.00	4.15	4.13	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/165	****	5.00	4.19	4.31	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/160	****	5.00	4.45	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/158	****	5.00	4.36	4.43	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/150	****	5.00	4.05	4.26	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.88	4.60	4.51	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.75	4.55	4.36	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	5.00	4.54	4.01	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.88	4.59	4.43	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.83	4.20	3.90	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	5.00	4.36	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	5.00	4.15	3.67	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	5.00	4.48	****	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	5.00	4.23	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	5.00	4.23	****	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/24	****	4.63	4.17	4.37	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/15	****	4.54	4.17	4.22	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/22	****	4.43	4.07	3.99	****

Course-Section: MUSC 178 02

Title: Keyboard Skills III

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 11
Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	8	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/15	****	4.63	4.06	4.14	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	8	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/12	****	4.36	4.16	3.92	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 184 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 6

riue:

Title: Woodwind Mthds: Clrnt &

Instructor: Spece, Richard D

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	203/1520	4.83	4.69	4.31	4.14	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	168/1520	4.83	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	204/1291	4.83	4.77	4.33	4.24	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	222/1483	4.75	4.75	4.23	4.09	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	229/1417	4.67	4.56	4.08	4.02	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1405	****	4.54	4.12	3.96	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	272/1504	4.67	4.26	4.16	4.13	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	1129/1519	4.50	4.77	4.70	4.71	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	661/1495	4.25	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.26	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.26	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1316	****	4.73	4.03	3.91	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1243	****	4.70	4.17	3.98	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.14	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1236	****	4.74	4.40	4.19	****
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	3.89	****

Course-Section: MUSC 184 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 6

Title: Woodwind Mthds: Clrnt &

Instructor: Spece, Richard D

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/164	****	5.00	4.15	4.13	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/165	****	5.00	4.19	4.31	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/160	****	5.00	4.45	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/158	****	5.00	4.36	4.43	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/150	****	5.00	4.05	4.26	****
Seminar														
Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.88	4.60	4.51	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.75	4.55	4.36	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/62	****	5.00	4.54	4.01	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.88	4.59	4.43	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.83	4.20	3.90	****
Field Work														
Did field experience contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	5.00	4.36	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	5.00	4.15	3.67	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	5.00	4.48	****	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	5.00	4.23	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	5.00	4.23	****	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	4.63	4.17	4.37	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/15	****	4.54	4.17	4.22	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	4.43	4.07	3.99	****

Course-Section: MUSC 184 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 6

Title: Woodwind Mthds: Clrnt &

Instructor: Spece, Richard D

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/15	****	4.63	4.06	4.14	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/12	****	4.36	4.16	3.92	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 185 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 4

_

Title: Woodwind Mthds: Flute an

Questionnaires: 4

Instructor: Spece, Richard D

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	288/1520	4.75	4.69	4.31	4.14	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.24	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.75	4.23	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.54	4.12	3.96	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	437/1504	4.50	4.26	4.16	4.13	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	1129/1519	4.50	4.77	4.70	4.71	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	891/1495	4.00	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1230/1459	4.00	4.67	4.47	4.40	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.26	5.00

Run Date: 1/31/2012 1:36:46 PM Page 31 of 183

Course-Section: MUSC 185 01

Title: Woodwind Mthds: Flute an

Instructor: Spece, Richard D

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.26	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	0
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 188 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Title: Percussion Methods

Questionnaires: 3

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

•				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.24	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.75	4.23	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.54	4.12	3.96	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1504	5.00	4.26	4.16	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.26	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.26	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.70	4.17	3.98	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.74	4.40	4.19	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 188 01
Title: Percussion Methods
Instructor: Goldstein,Thoma

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.66	4.02	3.89	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	0	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				1	0	Other	0					
				?	0							

Course-Section: MUSC 190 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 2

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Andrist, Audrey

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.98	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.45	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	999/1504	4.48	4.26	4.16	4.13	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.84	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.68	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0	
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	0							

Course-Section: MUSC 190 02

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 13

_

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Hawley JR,Thoma

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	e Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	347/1520	4.98	4.69	4.31	4.14	4.70
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	306/1520	4.45	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.70
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.24	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1483	4.83	4.75	4.23	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.02	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	6	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	999/1504	4.48	4.26	4.16	4.13	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	4	4.40	1214/1519	4.84	4.77	4.70	4.71	4.40
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	6	3	4.33	568/1495	4.68	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1459	4.86	4.67	4.47	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	903/1460	4.96	4.91	4.74	4.68	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1075/1455	4.71	4.72	4.32	4.26	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	866/1456	4.76	4.82	4.34	4.26	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1316	4.80	4.73	4.03	3.91	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1243	4.67	4.70	4.17	3.98	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1241	4.67	4.76	4.33	4.14	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion		0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/1236	4.67	4.74	4.40	4.19	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	4.67	4.63	4.17	4.37	****

Course-Section: MUSC 190 02

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Hawley JR,Thoma

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	4.50	4.43	4.07	3.99	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	10
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	1			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 03

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 2

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Questionnaires: 1

Instructor: Appelman, Harold

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.98	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1086/1520	4.45	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	4.83	4.75	4.23	4.09	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1331/1405	4.42	4.54	4.12	3.96	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1432/1504	4.48	4.26	4.16	4.13	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.84	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.68	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 05

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 7

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	4.98	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	4.45	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	4.83	4.75	4.23	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.02	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1504	4.48	4.26	4.16	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1129/1519	4.84	4.77	4.70	4.71	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.68	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	4.86	4.67	4.47	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	4.96	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	4.71	4.72	4.32	4.26	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	4.76	4.82	4.34	4.26	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 06

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 8

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Questionnaires: 3

Instructor: King, Thomas D.

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1520	4.98	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1520	4.45	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	437/1504	4.48	4.26	4.16	4.13	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1519	4.84	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	4.68	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	4.86	4.67	4.47	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	4.96	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	4.71	4.72	4.32	4.26	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	4.76	4.82	4.34	4.26	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1316	4.80	4.73	4.03	3.91	5.00

Run Date: 1/31/2012 1:36:46 PM Page 40 of 183

Course-Section: MUSC 190 06

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: King,Thomas D.

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/24	4.67	4.63	4.17	4.37	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 08

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 4

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Yoshioka, Airi

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1520	4.98	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	360/1520	4.45	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	4.83	4.75	4.23	4.09	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	272/1504	4.48	4.26	4.16	4.13	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	956/1519	4.84	4.77	4.70	4.71	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1203/1495	4.68	4.58	4.11	4.01	3.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1459	4.86	4.67	4.47	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1460	4.96	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1455	4.71	4.72	4.32	4.26	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1456	4.76	4.82	4.34	4.26	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1316	4.80	4.73	4.03	3.91	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 09

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 10

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art Instructor: Tremblay, Christ

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.98	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1517/1520	4.45	4.59	4.27	4.20	2.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.84	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.68	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/24	4.67	4.63	4.17	4.37	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/22	4.50	4.43	4.07	3.99	5.00
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/15	5.00	4.63	4.06	4.14	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 10

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 7

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Kannen, Maria La

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.98	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.45	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.84	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.68	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 13

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 1

Instructor: Forshee,Zane F.

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.98	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1086/1520	4.45	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.84	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	891/1495	4.68	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 15

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 4

_

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Questionnaires: 1

Instructor: Kesner,Lori A.

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.98	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1086/1520	4.45	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.84	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	891/1495	4.68	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1230/1459	4.86	4.67	4.47	4.40	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	4.96	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1075/1455	4.71	4.72	4.32	4.26	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1094/1456	4.76	4.82	4.34	4.26	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	729/1316	4.80	4.73	4.03	3.91	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	766/1243	4.67	4.70	4.17	3.98	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	922/1241	4.67	4.76	4.33	4.14	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	947/1236	4.67	4.74	4.40	4.19	4.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	12/24	4.67	4.63	4.17	4.37	4.00

Run Date: 1/31/2012 1:36:46 PM Page 46 of 183

Course-Section: MUSC 190 15

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Kesner,Lori A.

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	10/22	4.50	4.43	4.07	3.99	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0	_			
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 25

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 4

.....

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Questionnaires: 2

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Ran						structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	4.98	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	4.45	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.24	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1483	4.83	4.75	4.23	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1405	4.42	4.54	4.12	3.96	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1504	4.48	4.26	4.16	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1519	4.84	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	4.68	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1459	4.86	4.67	4.47	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1460	4.96	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1455	4.71	4.72	4.32	4.26	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1456	4.76	4.82	4.34	4.26	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1316	4.80	4.73	4.03	3.91	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1243	4.67	4.70	4.17	3.98	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1241	4.67	4.76	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	4.67	4.74	4.40	4.19	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 190 25

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Belzer,Matthew

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quend	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.66	4.02	3.89	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits	Earned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 28

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 6

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Questionnaires: 1

Instructor: Dove,Barry B

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.98	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.45	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1010/1483	4.83	4.75	4.23	4.09	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.02	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1504	4.48	4.26	4.16	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.84	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.68	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 37

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Richards, Kazuko

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1520	4.98	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1520	4.45	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.24	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	324/1483	4.83	4.75	4.23	4.09	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	235/1405	4.42	4.54	4.12	3.96	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	272/1504	4.48	4.26	4.16	4.13	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	956/1519	4.84	4.77	4.70	4.71	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	4.68	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	1	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0	_			
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 39

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Spece, Richard D

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	4.98	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1086/1520	4.45	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	4.83	4.75	4.23	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1405	4.42	4.54	4.12	3.96	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1504	4.48	4.26	4.16	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1129/1519	4.84	4.77	4.70	4.71	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	351/1495	4.68	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	4.86	4.67	4.47	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	4.96	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	4.71	4.72	4.32	4.26	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	4.76	4.82	4.34	4.26	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1316	4.80	4.73	4.03	3.91	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1243	4.67	4.70	4.17	3.98	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1241	4.67	4.76	4.33	4.14	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 190 39

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Spece,Richard D

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	4.67	4.74	4.40	4.19	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 191 02

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 16

Title: Recital Preparation

Questionnaires: 3

Instructor: Bailey, Connie C

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1520	4.64	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1520	4.69	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	4.79	4.77	4.33	4.24	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	4.64	4.75	4.23	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	4.50	4.56	4.08	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1405	4.58	4.54	4.12	3.96	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1504	4.80	4.26	4.16	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1519	4.92	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	891/1495	4.41	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.26	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.26	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	3.91	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.70	4.17	3.98	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.74	4.40	4.19	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 191 02

Title: Recital Preparation

Instructor: Bailey, Connie C

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.66	4.02	3.89	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 191 03

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 24

Title: Recital Preparation

Questionnaires: 22

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	1	0	3	3	11	4.28	904/1520	4.64	4.69	4.31	4.14	4.28
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	1	0	3	1	13	4.39	747/1520	4.69	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.39
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	11	0	1	0	0	6	4.57	473/1291	4.79	4.77	4.33	4.24	4.57
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	11	0	1	1	0	5	4.29	768/1483	4.64	4.75	4.23	4.09	4.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	12	0	1	1	1	3	4.00	803/1417	4.50	4.56	4.08	4.02	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	12	0	1	1	0	4	4.17	742/1405	4.58	4.54	4.12	3.96	4.17
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	3	0	1	1	1	12	4.60	331/1504	4.80	4.26	4.16	4.13	4.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	1	0	0	17	4.83	733/1519	4.92	4.77	4.70	4.71	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	1	1	15	4.82	122/1495	4.41	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.82
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	19	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.40	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	19	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.68	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	19	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.26	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.26	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	19	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	3.91	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1243	5.00	4.70	4.17	3.98	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	20	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.14	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	20	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1236	5.00	4.74	4.40	4.19	****

Course-Section: MUSC 191 03

Title: Recital Preparation

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 22

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	20	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/889	5.00	4.66	4.02	3.89	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	15	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	18
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	22	Non-major	4
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	ıt		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	6						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 2

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Andrist, Audrey

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	4.85	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	4.51	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	4.88	4.75	4.23	4.09	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1432/1504	4.02	4.26	4.16	4.13	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1519	4.80	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	4.71	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	4.75	4.67	4.47	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	4.75	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	4.75	4.72	4.32	4.26	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	4.94	4.82	4.34	4.26	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1243	4.67	4.70	4.17	3.98	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 193 01

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Andrist, Audrey

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.14	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0	_			
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 03

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 4

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Appelman, Harold

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.85	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1472/1520	4.51	4.59	4.27	4.20	3.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1348/1417	4.64	4.56	4.08	4.02	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1504	4.02	4.26	4.16	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1435/1519	4.80	4.77	4.70	4.71	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	891/1495	4.71	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 04

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 4

i icic.

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.85	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1086/1520	4.51	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	4.64	4.56	4.08	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1405	4.67	4.54	4.12	3.96	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1504	4.02	4.26	4.16	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.80	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.71	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 05

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 7

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1520	4.85	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1520	4.51	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1483	4.88	4.75	4.23	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	4.64	4.56	4.08	4.02	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1318/1504	4.02	4.26	4.16	4.13	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	956/1519	4.80	4.77	4.70	4.71	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1495	4.71	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	4.75	4.67	4.47	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	4.75	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	4.75	4.72	4.32	4.26	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	4.94	4.82	4.34	4.26	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 08

C 193 08

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Yoshioka, Airi

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1520	4.85	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1520	4.51	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1483	4.88	4.75	4.23	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	362/1417	4.64	4.56	4.08	4.02	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1504	4.02	4.26	4.16	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1519	4.80	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1495	4.71	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	4.75	4.67	4.47	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	4.75	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	4.75	4.72	4.32	4.26	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	4.94	4.82	4.34	4.26	5.00

Run Date: 1/31/2012 1:36:47 PM Page 63 of 183

Course-Section: MUSC 193 08

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Yoshioka, Airi

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	3.91	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 10

SC 193 10

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors
Instructor: Kannen, Maria La

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

·				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1520	4.85	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1520	4.51	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	3.33	1379/1504	4.02	4.26	4.16	4.13	3.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1519	4.80	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1495	4.71	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	4.75	4.67	4.47	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	4.75	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	4.75	4.72	4.32	4.26	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	4.94	4.82	4.34	4.26	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	3.91	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 12

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 5

ritie:

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Questionnaires: 2

·				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	607/1520	4.85	4.69	4.31	4.14	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	4.51	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1010/1483	4.88	4.75	4.23	4.09	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	4.64	4.56	4.08	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	843/1405	4.67	4.54	4.12	3.96	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1504	4.02	4.26	4.16	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1519	4.80	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1437/1459	4.75	4.67	4.47	4.40	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1459/1460	4.75	4.91	4.74	4.68	3.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1400/1455	4.75	4.72	4.32	4.26	3.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	683/1456	4.94	4.82	4.34	4.26	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	3.91	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	766/1243	4.67	4.70	4.17	3.98	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	947/1236	4.50	4.74	4.40	4.19	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.66	4.02	3.89	5.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/32	5.00	5.00	4.36	4.08	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/31	5.00	5.00	4.15	3.67	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 193 12

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/27	5.00	5.00	4.23	5.00	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 13

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Forshee, Zane F.

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	4.85	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	584/1520	4.51	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1435/1519	4.80	4.77	4.70	4.71	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	4.71	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 14

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Cella, Lisa M

Questionnaires: 2

		Frequence				cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	4.85	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	4.51	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.24	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1483	4.88	4.75	4.23	4.09	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1504	4.02	4.26	4.16	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1519	4.80	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.71	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	4.75	4.67	4.47	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	4.75	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	4.75	4.72	4.32	4.26	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	4.94	4.82	4.34	4.26	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 18

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Spece, Richard D

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.85	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.51	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.24	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	4.88	4.75	4.23	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	4.64	4.56	4.08	4.02	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1504	4.02	4.26	4.16	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.80	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 23

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 1

i itic.

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Bange, Darren R

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.85	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1472/1520	4.51	4.59	4.27	4.20	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.80	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.71	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	12/24	4.00	4.63	4.17	4.37	4.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/22	5.00	4.43	4.07	3.99	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Туре		Majors			
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses				
				Р	0			to be significant				
				1	0	Other	0					
				?	0							

Course-Section: MUSC 193 27

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1118/1520	4.85	4.69	4.31	4.14	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1086/1520	4.51	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1501/1504	4.02	4.26	4.16	4.13	1.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1519	4.80	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	891/1495	4.71	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	4.75	4.67	4.47	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	4.75	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	4.75	4.72	4.32	4.26	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned			0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	4.94	4.82	4.34	4.26	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Туре		Majors				
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	2		
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0								
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0		
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0								
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	0 Electives 0 **** - Means there are				not enough responses			
				Р	0			to be significant					
				1	0	Other	0						
				?	1								

Course-Section: MUSC 193 28

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 2

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Dove, Barry B

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	607/1520	4.85	4.69	4.31	4.14	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	584/1520	4.51	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1504	4.02	4.26	4.16	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1129/1519	4.80	4.77	4.70	4.71	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	351/1495	4.71	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 35

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 2

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Jurkscheit, Kris

Questionnaires: 2

			Frequencies					In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	4.85	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	584/1520	4.51	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1504	4.02	4.26	4.16	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1519	4.80	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	351/1495	4.71	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 40

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 5

1166

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Humphreys, Miche

	_
Questionnaires:	3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	399/1520	4.85	4.69	4.31	4.14	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	360/1520	4.51	4.59	4.27	4.20	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.24	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	4.88	4.75	4.23	4.09	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1501/1504	4.02	4.26	4.16	4.13	1.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	956/1519	4.80	4.77	4.70	4.71	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	4.71	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 41

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 2

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Questionnaires: 2

Instructor: Goldstein, Alon

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	4.85	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	4.51	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.24	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1483	4.88	4.75	4.23	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	4.64	4.56	4.08	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1405	4.67	4.54	4.12	3.96	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	437/1504	4.02	4.26	4.16	4.13	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1519	4.80	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	891/1495	4.71	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	4.75	4.67	4.47	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	4.75	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	4.75	4.72	4.32	4.26	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	4.94	4.82	4.34	4.26	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	3.91	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1243	4.67	4.70	4.17	3.98	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	4.50	4.74	4.40	4.19	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 193 41

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Goldstein, Alon

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Frequencies					structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.66	4.02	3.89	5.00

Frequency Distribution

	Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades										
Credits	Earned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 194 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 2

Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj

Instructor: Andrist, Audrey

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.00	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.24	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.75	4.23	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.02	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1504	5.00	4.26	4.16	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.26	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.26	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 194 02

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 1

.....

Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj

Questionnaires: 1

Instructor: Hawley JR, Thoma

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1118/1520	4.00	4.69	4.31	4.14	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	891/1495	4.50	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/24	5.00	4.63	4.17	4.37	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	20/22	3.00	4.43	4.07	3.99	3.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 194 12

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 1

Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj

Questionnaires: 1

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.00	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.24	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.75	4.23	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.02	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.54	4.12	3.96	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1504	5.00	4.26	4.16	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.50	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 194 13

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 1

Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj

Instructor: Forshee, Zane F.

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.00	4.69	4.31	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	891/1495	4.50	4.58	4.11	4.01	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.68	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.26	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.26	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0	_			
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 194 15

5

Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj

Instructor: Kesner, Lori A.

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1520/1520	4.00	4.69	4.31	4.14	1.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.59	4.27	4.20	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.50	4.58	4.11	4.01	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 210 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 10

Title: Musicianship Lab III

Questionnaires: 10

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

						In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	288/1520	4.65	4.69	4.31	4.36	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	415/1520	4.76	4.59	4.27	4.34	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	290/1291	4.80	4.77	4.33	4.44	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	138/1483	4.93	4.75	4.23	4.28	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	3	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	282/1417	4.68	4.56	4.08	4.14	4.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	4	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	385/1405	4.63	4.54	4.12	4.13	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	748/1504	4.24	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	1001/1519	4.76	4.77	4.70	4.64	4.63
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1495	4.89	4.58	4.11	4.16	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	833/1459	4.58	4.67	4.47	4.52	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	1195/1460	4.75	4.91	4.74	4.80	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	920/1455	4.46	4.72	4.32	4.39	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	683/1456	4.67	4.82	4.34	4.46	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	198/1316	4.67	4.73	4.03	4.18	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	567/1243	4.33	4.70	4.17	4.22	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	415/1241	4.67	4.76	4.33	4.38	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	781/1236	4.33	4.74	4.40	4.45	4.33

Course-Section: MUSC 210 01

Title: Musicianship Lab III

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	7	1	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	3.99	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 210 2

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 12

Title: Musicianship Lab III

Instructor: Cella, Lisa M

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	543/1520	4.65	4.69	4.31	4.36	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	130/1520	4.76	4.59	4.27	4.34	4.89
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	185/1291	4.80	4.77	4.33	4.44	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1483	4.93	4.75	4.23	4.28	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	156/1417	4.68	4.56	4.08	4.14	4.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	169/1405	4.63	4.54	4.12	4.13	4.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	3	4	4.22	781/1504	4.24	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.22
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	632/1519	4.76	4.77	4.70	4.64	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	147/1495	4.89	4.58	4.11	4.16	4.78
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	616/1459	4.58	4.67	4.47	4.52	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1460	4.75	4.91	4.74	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	450/1455	4.46	4.72	4.32	4.39	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	280/1456	4.67	4.82	4.34	4.46	4.83

Page 85 of 183 Run Date: 1/31/2012 1:36:47 PM

Course-Section: MUSC 210 2

Title: Musicianship Lab III

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 9

				Frequencies				In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1316	4.67	4.73	4.03	4.18	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 218 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 14

Title: Recording Techniques

Questionnaires: 12

Instructor: Revill, David

		NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean		structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect					
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	288/1520	4.75	4.69	4.31	4.36	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	249/1520	4.75	4.59	4.27	4.34	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	386/1291	4.67	4.77	4.33	4.44	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	106/1483	4.90	4.75	4.23	4.28	4.90
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	229/1417	4.67	4.56	4.08	4.14	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	121/1405	4.83	4.54	4.12	4.13	4.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	1	3	0	7	4.18	825/1504	4.18	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.18
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	351/1495	4.50	4.58	4.11	4.16	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	321/1459	4.83	4.67	4.47	4.52	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	257/1455	4.82	4.72	4.32	4.39	4.82
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	280/1456	4.83	4.82	4.34	4.46	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	4.18	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	149/1243	4.88	4.70	4.17	4.22	4.88
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	198/1241	4.88	4.76	4.33	4.38	4.88
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.74	4.40	4.45	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	4	1	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.66	4.02	3.99	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 218 01

Title: Recording Techniques

Instructor: Revill, David

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 12

			Frequencies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	11	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/66	****	4.83	4.20	4.34	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	4.63	4.17	4.60	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/15	****	4.54	4.17	4.87	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	4.43	4.07	4.93	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/15	****	4.63	4.06	4.85	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/12	****	4.36	4.16	4.86	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	1			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: MUSC 221 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 12

l itie:

Title: Jazz Thry And Aural Skls

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Questionnaires: 10

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	140/1520	4.90	4.69	4.31	4.36	4.90
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	443/1520	4.60	4.59	4.27	4.34	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.44	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	171/1483	4.80	4.75	4.23	4.28	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	1	7	4.50	362/1417	4.50	4.56	4.08	4.14	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	9	4.80	135/1405	4.80	4.54	4.12	4.13	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	2	5	4.10	916/1504	4.10	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.10
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	1024/1519	4.60	4.77	4.70	4.64	4.60
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	262/1495	4.60	4.58	4.11	4.16	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	194/1455	4.88	4.72	4.32	4.39	4.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.46	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	256/1316	4.57	4.73	4.03	4.18	4.57
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	339/1243	4.60	4.70	4.17	4.22	4.60
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	666/1241	4.40	4.76	4.33	4.38	4.40
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	5 0 0 0 0			0	2	3	4.60	564/1236	4.60	4.74	4.40	4.45	4.60

Course-Section: MUSC 221 01

Title: Jazz Thry And Aural Skls

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	2	0	0	2	1	0	3.33	757/889	3.33	4.66	4.02	3.99	3.33

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 223 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 4

Title: Jazz Composition

Questionnaires: 4

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.69	4.31	4.36	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.59	4.27	4.34	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.44	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.75	4.23	4.28	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.14	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.54	4.12	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	437/1504	4.50	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	852/1519	4.75	4.77	4.70	4.64	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.58	4.11	4.16	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.39	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.46	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	4.18	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.70	4.17	4.22	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.38	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.74	4.40	4.45	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 223 01 Term - Fall 2011

Title: Jazz Composition

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Enrollment:	4
Questionnaires:	4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.66	4.02	3.99	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 225 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 19

Title: Theory III:Counterpoint

Questionnaires: 13

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	276/1520	4.77	4.69	4.31	4.36	4.77
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	92/1520	4.92	4.59	4.27	4.34	4.92
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	11	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1291	****	4.77	4.33	4.44	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.75	4.23	4.28	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	149/1417	4.77	4.56	4.08	4.14	4.77
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	90/1405	4.90	4.54	4.12	4.13	4.90
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	272/1504	4.67	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	152/1495	4.77	4.58	4.11	4.16	4.77
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	286/1459	4.86	4.67	4.47	4.52	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.39	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.46	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	2	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	4.18	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1243	****	4.70	4.17	4.22	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.38	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1236	****	4.74	4.40	4.45	****

Course-Section: MUSC 225 01

Title: Theory III:Counterpoint

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 19

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	10	1	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	3.99	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	4	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 227 1

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 18

i itie.

Title: Thry V:20Th Cent Mus Ana

Questionnaires: 15

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	2	2	5	5	3.73	1312/1520	3.73	4.69	4.31	4.36	3.73
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	2	2	8	2	3.53	1368/1520	3.53	4.59	4.27	4.34	3.53
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	5	7	4.27	809/1291	4.27	4.77	4.33	4.44	4.27
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	2	3	5	2	3.58	1291/1483	3.58	4.75	4.23	4.28	3.58
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	2	4	4	3	3.27	1281/1417	3.27	4.56	4.08	4.14	3.27
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	0	4	6	3	3.71	1093/1405	3.71	4.54	4.12	4.13	3.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	3	3	6	1	3.07	1426/1504	3.07	4.26	4.16	4.15	3.07
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	11	4	4.27	1307/1519	4.27	4.77	4.70	4.64	4.27
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	2	1	0	3	6	0	3.40	1321/1495	3.40	4.58	4.11	4.16	3.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	2	1	4	5	4.00	1230/1459	4.00	4.67	4.47	4.52	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	1135/1460	4.58	4.91	4.74	4.80	4.58
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	1	0	8	3	4.08	1037/1455	4.08	4.72	4.32	4.39	4.08
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	0	0	5	6	4.25	945/1456	4.25	4.82	4.34	4.46	4.25
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	198/1316	4.67	4.73	4.03	4.18	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1243	****	4.70	4.17	4.22	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	1	1	0	0	1	2.67	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.38	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	1	1	0	1	3.33	****/1236	****	4.74	4.40	4.45	****

Course-Section: MUSC 227 1

Title: Thry V:20Th Cent Mus Ana
Instructor: Rubin,Anna I

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	12	1	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	3.99	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	3
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 230 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 37

Title: Musics Of The World

Questionnaires: 36

Instructor: Beck, Gina C

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	11	0	1	1	1	5	17	4.44	695/1520	4.44	4.69	4.31	4.36	4.44
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	11	0	0	0	1	8	16	4.60	443/1520	4.60	4.59	4.27	4.34	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	11	0	0	1	2	2	20	4.64	404/1291	4.64	4.77	4.33	4.44	4.64
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	11	2	1	0	1	1	20	4.70	286/1483	4.70	4.75	4.23	4.28	4.70
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	2	5	18	4.64	246/1417	4.64	4.56	4.08	4.14	4.64
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	2	0	7	16	4.48	409/1405	4.48	4.54	4.12	4.13	4.48
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	11	0	1	0	2	4	18	4.52	415/1504	4.52	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.52
8. How many times was class cancelled	11	0	0	0	0	2	23	4.92	473/1519	4.92	4.77	4.70	4.64	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	13	1	0	1	3	11	7	4.09	828/1495	4.09	4.58	4.11	4.16	4.09
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	14	0	1	0	0	0	21	4.82	356/1459	4.82	4.67	4.47	4.52	4.82
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	14	0	0	1	0	0	21	4.86	648/1460	4.86	4.91	4.74	4.80	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	14	0	0	0	1	3	18	4.77	307/1455	4.77	4.72	4.32	4.39	4.77
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	2	19	4.90	200/1456	4.90	4.82	4.34	4.46	4.90
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	15	0	0	0	0	2	19	4.90	80/1316	4.90	4.73	4.03	4.18	4.90
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	23	0	1	0	2	2	8	4.23	638/1243	4.23	4.70	4.17	4.22	4.23
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	23	0	0	1	0	2	10	4.62	465/1241	4.62	4.76	4.33	4.38	4.62
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	23	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	623/1236	4.54	4.74	4.40	4.45	4.54

Course-Section: MUSC 230 01

Title: Musics Of The World

Instructor: Beck, Gina C

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 37

Questionnaires: 36

				Fre	quend	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	23	5	2	0	1	1	4	3.63	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	3.99	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	10						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	3	General	6	Under-grad	36	Non-major	32
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	15						

Course-Section: MUSC 261 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 4

- 10-0

Title: Teaching Choral Singing

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	1118/1520	4.00	4.69	4.31	4.36	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	1378/1520	3.50	4.59	4.27	4.34	3.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	1254/1483	3.67	4.75	4.23	4.28	3.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	843/1405	4.00	4.54	4.12	4.13	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	2.00	1494/1504	2.00	4.26	4.16	4.15	2.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	1314/1519	4.25	4.77	4.70	4.64	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	3.75	1136/1495	3.75	4.58	4.11	4.16	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1437/1459	3.00	4.67	4.47	4.52	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1400/1455	3.00	4.72	4.32	4.39	3.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.46	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.70	4.17	4.22	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	922/1241	4.00	4.76	4.33	4.38	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.74	4.40	4.45	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 261 01

Title: Teaching Choral Singing

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.66	4.02	3.99	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 22

Title: Chambers Players

Questionnaires: 13

Instructor: Yoshioka, Airi

·								structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	465/1520	4.62	4.69	4.31	4.33	4.62
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	541/1520	4.54	4.59	4.27	4.26	4.54
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	11	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1291	****	4.77	4.33	4.32	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	171/1483	4.80	4.75	4.23	4.25	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	11	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1417	****	4.56	4.08	4.07	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	12	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1405	****	4.54	4.12	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	7	0	1	1	0	4	4.17	848/1504	4.17	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	2	6	5	4.23	1328/1519	4.23	4.77	4.70	4.69	4.23
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1495	4.83	4.58	4.11	4.07	4.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1459	****	4.67	4.47	4.47	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1460	****	4.91	4.74	4.72	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1455	****	4.72	4.32	4.31	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1456	****	4.82	4.34	4.32	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	11	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/1316	****	4.73	4.03	4.08	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1243	****	4.70	4.17	4.16	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1236	****	4.74	4.40	4.41	****
4. Were special techniques successful	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Yoshioka, Airi

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 22

Questionnaires: 13

		Frequencies			Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/165	****	5.00	4.19	4.15	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	5.00	4.36	3.94	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	5.00	4.15	3.82	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/24	****	4.63	4.17	3.90	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	11	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/15	****	4.54	4.17	4.60	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	11	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/22	****	4.43	4.07	3.91	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	11	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/15	****	4.63	4.06	4.40	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	7
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 22

Questionnaires: 13

Title:	Chambers Players
Instructor:	Ladd,Gita

							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	465/1520	4.62	4.69	4.31	4.33	4.62
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	541/1520	4.54	4.59	4.27	4.26	4.54
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	11	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1291	****	4.77	4.33	4.32	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	171/1483	4.80	4.75	4.23	4.25	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	11	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1417	****	4.56	4.08	4.07	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	12	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1405	****	4.54	4.12	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	7	0	1	1	0	4	4.17	848/1504	4.17	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	2	6	5	4.23	1328/1519	4.23	4.77	4.70	4.69	4.23
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	217/1495	4.83	4.58	4.11	4.07	4.83
Lecture														
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1460	****	4.91	4.74	4.72	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1456	****	4.82	4.34	4.32	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1243	****	4.70	4.17	4.16	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1236	****	4.74	4.40	4.41	****
4. Were special techniques successful	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	4.02	****
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/165	****	5.00	4.19	4.15	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	5.00	4.36	3.94	****

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 22

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	5.00	4.15	3.82	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/24	****	4.63	4.17	3.90	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	11	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/15	****	4.54	4.17	4.60	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	11	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/22	****	4.43	4.07	3.91	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	11	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/15	****	4.63	4.06	4.40	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	7
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 22

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Tremblay, Christ

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	465/1520	4.62	4.69	4.31	4.33	4.62
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	541/1520	4.54	4.59	4.27	4.26	4.54
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	11	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1291	****	4.77	4.33	4.32	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	171/1483	4.80	4.75	4.23	4.25	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	11	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1417	****	4.56	4.08	4.07	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	12	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1405	****	4.54	4.12	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	7	0	1	1	0	4	4.17	848/1504	4.17	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	2	6	5	4.23	1328/1519	4.23	4.77	4.70	4.69	4.23
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1495	4.83	4.58	4.11	4.07	4.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1243	****	4.70	4.17	4.16	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1236	****	4.74	4.40	4.41	****
4. Were special techniques successful	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	4.02	****
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/165	****	5.00	4.19	4.15	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	5.00	4.36	3.94	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	5.00	4.15	3.82	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/24	****	4.63	4.17	3.90	****

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Tremblay, Christ

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 22

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	11	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/15	****	4.54	4.17	4.60	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	11	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/22	****	4.43	4.07	3.91	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	11	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/15	****	4.63	4.06	4.40	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	7
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 22

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Kannen, Maria La

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	465/1520	4.62	4.69	4.31	4.33	4.62
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	541/1520	4.54	4.59	4.27	4.26	4.54
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	11	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1291	****	4.77	4.33	4.32	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	171/1483	4.80	4.75	4.23	4.25	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	11	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1417	****	4.56	4.08	4.07	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	12	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1405	****	4.54	4.12	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	7	0	1	1	0	4	4.17	848/1504	4.17	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	2	6	5	4.23	1328/1519	4.23	4.77	4.70	4.69	4.23
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1495	4.83	4.58	4.11	4.07	4.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1243	****	4.70	4.17	4.16	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1236	****	4.74	4.40	4.41	****
4. Were special techniques successful	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	4.02	****
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/165	****	5.00	4.19	4.15	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	5.00	4.36	3.94	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	5.00	4.15	3.82	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/24	****	4.63	4.17	3.90	****

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Kannen, Maria La

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 22

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	11	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/15	****	4.54	4.17	4.60	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	11	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/22	****	4.43	4.07	3.91	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	11	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/15	****	4.63	4.06	4.40	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	7
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses			
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 303 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 50

Title: UMBC Camerata--Chamber C

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Questionnaires: 45

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank				Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect					
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	11	0	0	0	2	9	23	4.62	465/1520	4.62	4.69	4.31	4.33	4.62
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	11	0	0	0	2	5	27	4.74	271/1520	4.74	4.59	4.27	4.26	4.74
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	11	25	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	****/1291	****	4.77	4.33	4.32	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	12	17	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	164/1483	4.81	4.75	4.23	4.25	4.81
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	11	22	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	298/1417	4.58	4.56	4.08	4.07	4.58
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	11	33	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1405	****	4.54	4.12	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	11	0	0	0	2	5	27	4.74	207/1504	4.74	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.74
8. How many times was class cancelled	11	1	0	0	0	11	22	4.67	956/1519	4.67	4.77	4.70	4.69	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	13	0	0	0	0	14	18	4.56	297/1495	4.56	4.58	4.11	4.07	4.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	28	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	234/1459	4.88	4.67	4.47	4.47	4.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	28	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	28	0	0	0	2	2	13	4.65	475/1455	4.65	4.72	4.32	4.31	4.65
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	223/1456	4.88	4.82	4.34	4.32	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	27	11	0	1	2	1	3	3.86	****/1316	****	4.73	4.03	4.08	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	37	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	****/1243	****	4.70	4.17	4.16	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	37	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	37	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	****/1236	****	4.74	4.40	4.41	****

Course-Section: MUSC 303 01

Title: UMBC Camerata--Chamber C

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 50

Questionnaires: 45

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	37	2	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	4.02	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	31	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	1	Major	9
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	44	Non-major	36
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	20	F	0	Electives	16	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	5				
				?	12						

Term - Fall 2011

Course-Section: MUSC 304 01

Enrollment: 35

Title: UMBC Jubilee Singers

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Questionnaires: 35

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	9	0	0	0	1	2	23	4.85	194/1520	4.85	4.69	4.31	4.33	4.85
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	9	0	0	0	0	0	26	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.59	4.27	4.26	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	10	12	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.32	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	9	14	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	222/1483	4.75	4.75	4.23	4.25	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	9	12	0	1	1	0	12	4.64	246/1417	4.64	4.56	4.08	4.07	4.64
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	9	22	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/1405	****	4.54	4.12	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	9	4	0	0	3	3	16	4.59	341/1504	4.59	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.59
8. How many times was class cancelled	9	0	0	0	0	2	24	4.92	473/1519	4.92	4.77	4.70	4.69	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	15	2	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	54/1495	4.94	4.58	4.11	4.07	4.94
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	16	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	119/1459	4.95	4.67	4.47	4.47	4.95
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	16	0	0	0	0	0	19	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	17	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	98/1455	4.94	4.72	4.32	4.31	4.94
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	19	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	18	10	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	****/1316	****	4.73	4.03	4.08	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	24	0	1	0	0	0	10	4.64	318/1243	4.64	4.70	4.17	4.16	4.64
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	24	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.34	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	24	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	214/1236	4.91	4.74	4.40	4.41	4.91
4. Were special techniques successful	24	2	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.66	4.02	4.02	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 304 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 35

Title: UMBC Jubilee Singers

Questionnaires: 35

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

'	formation 34 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/165 es 34 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/160 34 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/158 d 34 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/150 etheme 34 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/67 en 34 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/66						Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/164	****	5.00	4.15	4.12	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/165	****	5.00	4.19	4.15	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/160	****	5.00	4.45	4.47	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/158	****	5.00	4.36	4.31	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/150	****	5.00	4.05	3.98	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.88	4.60	4.75	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.75	4.55	4.35	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	5.00	4.54	4.55	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.88	4.59	4.63	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.83	4.20	4.14	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	5.00	4.36	3.94	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	5.00	4.15	3.82	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	5.00	4.48	4.77	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	5.00	4.23	4.32	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	5.00	4.23	4.50	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	33	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/24	****	4.63	4.17	3.90	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	33	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/15	****	4.54	4.17	4.60	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	33	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/22	****	4.43	4.07	3.91	****

Course-Section: MUSC 304 01

Title: UMBC Jubilee Singers

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 35

Questionnaires: 35

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	33	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/15	****	4.63	4.06	4.40	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	33	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/12	****	4.36	4.16	4.70	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	20	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	35	Non-major	30
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	10	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	13						

Course-Section: MUSC 305 01

305 01 Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 62

ricie.

Title: UMBC Community Symphony

Questionnaires: 59

Instructor: Richards, Edwin

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	1	19	38	4.58	517/1520	4.58	4.69	4.31	4.33	4.58
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	11	46	4.75	260/1520	4.75	4.59	4.27	4.26	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	51	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	****/1291	****	4.77	4.33	4.32	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	44	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	74/1483	4.93	4.75	4.23	4.25	4.93
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	53	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	****/1417	****	4.56	4.08	4.07	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	55	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1405	****	4.54	4.12	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	20	0	0	2	5	32	4.77	182/1504	4.77	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.77
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	59	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.69	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	55	4.95	54/1495	4.95	4.58	4.11	4.07	4.95
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	25	0	1	0	0	1	32	4.85	286/1459	4.85	4.67	4.47	4.47	4.85
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	24	0	0	0	0	0	35	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	27	0	0	0	1	1	30	4.91	163/1455	4.91	4.72	4.32	4.31	4.91
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	0	5	29	4.85	257/1456	4.85	4.82	4.34	4.32	4.85
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	25	17	0	0	3	1	13	4.59	248/1316	4.59	4.73	4.03	4.08	4.59
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	48	0	3	0	0	3	5	3.64	****/1243	****	4.70	4.17	4.16	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	48	0	2	0	0	0	9	4.27	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	49	0	2	0	1	1	6	3.90	****/1236	****	4.74	4.40	4.41	****
4. Were special techniques successful	46	6	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section: MUSC 305 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 62

Title: UMBC Community Symphony

Instructor: Richards, Edwin

Questionnaires: 59

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/164	****	5.00	4.15	4.12	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/165	****	5.00	4.19	4.15	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/160	****	5.00	4.45	4.47	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/158	****	5.00	4.36	4.31	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/150	****	5.00	4.05	3.98	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.88	4.60	4.75	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.75	4.55	4.35	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	5.00	4.54	4.55	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.88	4.59	4.63	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.83	4.20	4.14	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	5.00	4.36	3.94	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	5.00	4.15	3.82	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	5.00	4.48	4.77	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	5.00	4.23	4.32	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	5.00	4.23	4.50	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	4.63	4.17	3.90	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/15	****	4.54	4.17	4.60	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	4.43	4.07	3.91	****

Course-Section: MUSC 305 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 62

THE C

Title: UMBC Community Symphony

Questionnaires: 59

Instructor: Richards, Edwin

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/15	****	4.63	4.06	4.40	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	58	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/12	****	4.36	4.16	4.70	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits I	Earned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	7	0.00-0.99	0	Α	51	Required for Majors	28	Graduate	0	Major	19
28-55	11	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	7	2.00-2.99	5	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	59	Non-major	40
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	16	F	0	Electives	19	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	7				
				?	4						

Course-Section: MUSC 307 06

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 12

Title: Small Ensemble

Questionnaires: 12

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	555/1520	4.76	4.69	4.31	4.33	4.55
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	401/1520	4.72	4.59	4.27	4.26	4.64
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	8	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1291	4.88	4.77	4.33	4.32	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	6	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1483	4.92	4.75	4.23	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	10	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1417	4.89	4.56	4.08	4.07	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	10	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1405	4.50	4.54	4.12	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	3	0	1	0	2	5	4.38	606/1504	3.97	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1519	4.67	4.77	4.70	4.69	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	417/1495	4.53	4.58	4.11	4.07	4.45
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	833/1459	4.39	4.67	4.47	4.47	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1460	4.89	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	637/1455	4.61	4.72	4.32	4.31	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1456	4.89	4.82	4.34	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1316	3.50	4.73	4.03	4.08	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	624/1243	4.25	4.70	4.17	4.16	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	324/1241	4.42	4.76	4.33	4.34	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	404/1236	4.25	4.74	4.40	4.41	4.75

Course-Section: MUSC 307 06

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	2	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/889	3.50	4.66	4.02	4.02	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 307 07

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

			Frequencies				In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1520	4.76	4.69	4.31	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1520	4.72	4.59	4.27	4.26	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1291	4.88	4.77	4.33	4.32	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	4.92	4.75	4.23	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	4.89	4.56	4.08	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1405	4.50	4.54	4.12	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1318/1504	3.97	4.26	4.16	4.15	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	956/1519	4.67	4.77	4.70	4.69	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	217/1495	4.53	4.58	4.11	4.07	4.67

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0	_			
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 307 08

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 6

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Questionnaires: 4

·	_				structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect				
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1520	4.76	4.69	4.31	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1520	4.72	4.59	4.27	4.26	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1291	4.88	4.77	4.33	4.32	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1483	4.92	4.75	4.23	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1417	4.89	4.56	4.08	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1405	4.50	4.54	4.12	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1504	3.97	4.26	4.16	4.15	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	1129/1519	4.67	4.77	4.70	4.69	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.53	4.58	4.11	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	4.39	4.67	4.47	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	4.89	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	4.61	4.72	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	4.89	4.82	4.34	4.32	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1243	4.25	4.70	4.17	4.16	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1241	4.42	4.76	4.33	4.34	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	4.25	4.74	4.40	4.41	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 307 08	Enrollmer
Title: Small Ensemble	Questionnaire
Instructor: Belzer.Matthew	

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	822/889	3.50	4.66	4.02	4.02	3.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 307 10

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 6

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: King, Thomas D.

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	607/1520	4.76	4.69	4.31	4.33	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	893/1520	4.72	4.59	4.27	4.26	4.25
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	546/1291	4.88	4.77	4.33	4.32	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	324/1483	4.92	4.75	4.23	4.25	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	229/1417	4.89	4.56	4.08	4.07	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	3.50	1198/1405	4.50	4.54	4.12	4.13	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	3.00	1432/1504	3.97	4.26	4.16	4.15	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	1129/1519	4.67	4.77	4.70	4.69	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	891/1495	4.53	4.58	4.11	4.07	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	1361/1459	4.39	4.67	4.47	4.47	3.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	1048/1460	4.89	4.91	4.74	4.72	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	842/1455	4.61	4.72	4.32	4.31	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	503/1456	4.89	4.82	4.34	4.32	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1057/1316	3.50	4.73	4.03	4.08	3.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1060/1243	4.25	4.70	4.17	4.16	3.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1135/1241	4.42	4.76	4.33	4.34	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1206/1236	4.25	4.74	4.40	4.41	3.00

Course-Section: MUSC 307 10

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: King,Thomas D.

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	456/889	3.50	4.66	4.02	4.02	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Run Date: 1/31/2012 1:36:49 PM Page 123 of 183

Course-Section: MUSC 308 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 47

Title: UMBC Wind Ensemble

Questionnaires: 41

Instructor: Spece, Richard D

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	20	0	2	0	4	6	9	3.95	1168/1520	3.95	4.69	4.31	4.33	3.95
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	20	0	1	1	1	5	13	4.33	809/1520	4.33	4.59	4.27	4.26	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	20	18	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	****/1291	****	4.77	4.33	4.32	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	20	15	1	0	0	3	2	3.83	****/1483	****	4.75	4.23	4.25	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	20	18	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1417	****	4.56	4.08	4.07	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	20	18	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1405	****	4.54	4.12	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	20	2	0	0	1	3	15	4.74	207/1504	4.74	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.74
8. How many times was class cancelled	20	0	0	1	0	0	20	4.86	693/1519	4.86	4.77	4.70	4.69	4.86
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	25	0	0	0	2	8	6	4.25	661/1495	4.25	4.58	4.11	4.07	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	31	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	****/1459	****	4.67	4.47	4.47	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	30	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	962/1460	4.73	4.91	4.74	4.72	4.73
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	31	0	0	1	1	3	5	4.20	****/1455	****	4.72	4.32	4.31	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	****/1456	****	4.82	4.34	4.32	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	32	6	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1316	****	4.73	4.03	4.08	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	37	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	****/1243	****	4.70	4.17	4.16	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	36	0	0	1	1	1	2	3.80	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	36	0	0	1	3	0	1	3.20	****/1236	****	4.74	4.40	4.41	****
4. Were special techniques successful	36	2	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section: MUSC 308 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 47

Title: UMBC Wind Ensemble

Questionnaires: 41

Instructor: Spece, Richard D

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	40	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/164	****	5.00	4.15	4.12	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	40	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/165	****	5.00	4.19	4.15	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	40	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/160	****	5.00	4.45	4.47	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	40	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/158	****	5.00	4.36	4.31	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	40	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/150	****	5.00	4.05	3.98	****
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	40	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/66	****	4.75	4.55	4.35	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	40	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/62	****	5.00	4.54	4.55	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	40	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/68	****	4.88	4.59	4.63	****
Field Work														
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	40	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/31	****	5.00	4.15	3.82	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	40	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/20	****	5.00	4.23	4.50	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	6	0.00-0.99	0	Α	18	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	41	Non-major	36
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	22						

Course-Section: MUSC 311 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 8

Title: Free Composition

Questionnaires: 7

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean Mean Mean 4.69 4.31 4.33 4.59 4.27 4.26 4.77 4.33 4.32 4.75 4.23 4.25 4.56 4.08 4.07 4.54 4.12 4.13 4.26 4.16 4.15 4.77 4.70 4.69 4.58 4.11 4.07 4.67 4.47 4.72 4.72 4.32 4.31 4.82 4.34 4.32 4.73 4.03 4.08		Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.69	4.31	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	153/1520	4.86	4.59	4.27	4.26	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.32	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.75	4.23	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	229/1417	4.67	4.56	4.08	4.07	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	1	0	4	3.71	1093/1405	3.71	4.54	4.12	4.13	3.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	1	1	0	4	4.17	848/1504	4.17	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	1129/1519	4.50	4.77	4.70	4.69	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	1	3	0	3.75	1136/1495	3.75	4.58	4.11	4.07	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	712/1459	4.60	4.67	4.47	4.47	4.60
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	525/1455	4.60	4.72	4.32	4.31	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	315/1456	4.80	4.82	4.34	4.32	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	4.08	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.70	4.17	4.16	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.34	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.74	4.40	4.41	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.66	4.02	4.02	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 311 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 8

Title: Free Composition

Questionnaires: 7

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/164	****	5.00	4.15	4.12	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/165	****	5.00	4.19	4.15	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/160	****	5.00	4.45	4.47	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/158	****	5.00	4.36	4.31	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/150	****	5.00	4.05	3.98	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.88	4.60	4.75	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.75	4.55	4.35	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	5.00	4.54	4.55	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.88	4.59	4.63	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.83	4.20	4.14	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	5.00	4.36	3.94	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	5.00	4.15	3.82	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	5.00	4.48	4.77	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	5.00	4.23	4.32	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	5.00	4.23	4.50	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	4.63	4.17	3.90	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/15	****	4.54	4.17	4.60	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	4.43	4.07	3.91	****

Course-Section: MUSC 311 01

Title: Free Composition

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 7

Page 128 of 183

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/15	****	4.63	4.06	4.40	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/12	****	4.36	4.16	4.70	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 312 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 1

Title: Composition

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

Questionnaires: 1

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.69	4.31	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.59	4.27	4.26	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.32	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.75	4.23	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.54	4.12	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1435/1519	4.00	4.77	4.70	4.69	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	4.08	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.70	4.17	4.16	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.34	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.74	4.40	4.41	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 312 01

Title: Composition

Instructor: Rubin,Anna I

Term - Fall 2011

Description

Term - Fall 2011

Description

Term - Fall 2011

Term - Fall 2011

Description

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.66	4.02	4.02	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 318 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 8

Title: Digital Audio Processing

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Questionnaires: 7

·				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.69	4.31	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.59	4.27	4.26	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	204/1291	4.83	4.77	4.33	4.32	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	151/1483	4.83	4.75	4.23	4.25	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.54	4.12	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	437/1504	4.50	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.69	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.58	4.11	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	616/1459	4.67	4.67	4.47	4.47	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	4.08	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.70	4.17	4.16	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.74	4.40	4.41	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 318 01

Title: Digital Audio Processing

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	4.02	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 320 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 18

Title: UMBC Jazz Ensemble

Questionnaires: 18

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	4	13	4.76	276/1520	4.76	4.69	4.31	4.33	4.76
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.59	4.27	4.26	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	9	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.32	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	6	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	171/1483	4.80	4.75	4.23	4.25	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	12	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	13	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1405	****	4.54	4.12	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	2	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	105/1504	4.87	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.87
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	652/1519	4.88	4.77	4.70	4.69	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	1	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	118/1495	4.83	4.58	4.11	4.07	4.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	10	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	2	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	312/1316	4.50	4.73	4.03	4.08	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	692/1243	4.17	4.70	4.17	4.16	4.17
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.34	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	302/1236	4.83	4.74	4.40	4.41	4.83

Course-Section: MUSC 320 01

Title: UMBC Jazz Ensemble

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	12	2	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	4.02	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	16	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	18	Non-major	6
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	4				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 321 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 30

Title: Music History I

Questionnaires: 29

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	10	0	0	0	3	2	14	4.58	517/1520	4.58	4.69	4.31	4.33	4.58
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	10	0	0	1	0	4	14	4.63	401/1520	4.63	4.59	4.27	4.26	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	10	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	194/1291	4.84	4.77	4.33	4.32	4.84
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	10	0	0	0	3	6	10	4.37	680/1483	4.37	4.75	4.23	4.25	4.37
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	10	1	0	0	1	5	12	4.61	273/1417	4.61	4.56	4.08	4.07	4.61
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	2	5	4	8	3.95	913/1405	3.95	4.54	4.12	4.13	3.95
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	10	0	0	0	1	5	13	4.63	301/1504	4.63	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.63
8. How many times was class cancelled	10	0	0	0	0	0	19	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.69	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	0	0	0	1	11	6	4.28	638/1495	4.28	4.58	4.11	4.07	4.28
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	11	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	11	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	12	0	0	0	1	5	11	4.59	547/1455	4.59	4.72	4.32	4.31	4.59
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	11	0	1	2	2	0	13	4.22	972/1456	4.22	4.82	4.34	4.32	4.22
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	12	0	0	1	1	4	11	4.47	338/1316	4.47	4.73	4.03	4.08	4.47
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	23	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	****/1243	****	4.70	4.17	4.16	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	24	0	1	0	3	0	1	3.00	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	24	0	1	1	0	0	3	3.60	****/1236	****	4.74	4.40	4.41	****

Course-Section: MUSC 321 01

Title: Music History I

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 29

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	24	2	2	0	0	1	0	2.00	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	4.02	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	17
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	0	С	5	General	0	Under-grad	29	Non-major	12
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	ıt		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	11						

Course-Section: MUSC 324 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 5

Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.69	4.31	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	192/1520	4.80	4.59	4.27	4.26	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.32	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.75	4.23	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	803/1417	4.50	4.56	4.08	4.07	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1405	5.00	4.54	4.12	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	148/1504	4.90	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.69	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1495	4.88	4.58	4.11	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.47	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.31	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.32	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	4.08	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1243	****	4.70	4.17	4.16	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1236	****	4.74	4.40	4.41	****

Course-Section: MUSC 324 01

Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	4.02	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	- A	5	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0					•	
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 324 02

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 5

Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.69	4.31	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	192/1520	4.80	4.59	4.27	4.26	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.32	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.75	4.23	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1417	4.50	4.56	4.08	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.54	4.12	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1504	4.90	4.26	4.16	4.15	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.69	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	158/1495	4.88	4.58	4.11	4.07	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	4.08	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1243	****	4.70	4.17	4.16	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.34	****

Course-Section: MUSC 324 02

Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1236	****	4.74	4.40	4.41	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	5	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 358 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 32

Title: Music In Performance

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Questionnaires: 32

<u>'</u>	•	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	8	0	1	2	5	12	4	3.67	1341/1520	3.67	4.69	4.31	4.33	3.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	8	0	1	0	2	14	7	4.08	1034/1520	4.08	4.59	4.27	4.26	4.08
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	8	18	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	****/1291	****	4.77	4.33	4.32	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	8	4	0	0	2	7	11	4.45	564/1483	4.45	4.75	4.23	4.25	4.45
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	8	1	1	1	0	10	11	4.26	605/1417	4.26	4.56	4.08	4.07	4.26
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	8	0	1	0	0	8	15	4.50	385/1405	4.50	4.54	4.12	4.13	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	8	1	0	0	6	5	12	4.26	737/1504	4.26	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.26
8. How many times was class cancelled	8	0	0	0	0	2	22	4.92	532/1519	4.92	4.77	4.70	4.69	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	12	0	0	1	4	9	6	4.00	891/1495	4.00	4.58	4.11	4.07	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	12	0	0	1	2	5	12	4.40	967/1459	4.40	4.67	4.47	4.47	4.40
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	13	0	0	0	1	3	15	4.74	942/1460	4.74	4.91	4.74	4.72	4.74
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	13	0	0	0	5	3	11	4.32	865/1455	4.32	4.72	4.32	4.31	4.32
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	2	2	5	10	4.21	981/1456	4.21	4.82	4.34	4.32	4.21
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	14	12	0	2	1	1	2	3.50	****/1316	****	4.73	4.03	4.08	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	2	2	5	14	4.35	559/1243	4.35	4.70	4.17	4.16	4.35
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	1	3	5	14	4.39	672/1241	4.39	4.76	4.33	4.34	4.39
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	1	1	21	4.87	264/1236	4.87	4.74	4.40	4.41	4.87
4. Were special techniques successful	10	15	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section: MUSC 358 01

Title: Music In Performance

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 32

Questionnaires: 32

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	4.63	4.17	3.90	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	7	0.00-0.99	0	Α	18	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	22
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	32	Non-major	10
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	13						

Course-Section: MUSC 360 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 2

iide.

Title: Sem:K-12 Choral Methods

Questionnaires: 2

Instructor: Adkins, Sandy

			Frequencies					In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	607/1520	4.50	4.69	4.31	4.33	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	584/1520	4.50	4.59	4.27	4.26	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	974/1291	4.00	4.77	4.33	4.32	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.75	4.23	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	362/1417	4.50	4.56	4.08	4.07	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	385/1405	4.50	4.54	4.12	4.13	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1318/1504	3.50	4.26	4.16	4.15	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1435/1519	4.00	4.77	4.70	4.69	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	351/1495	4.50	4.58	4.11	4.07	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.70	4.17	4.16	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.34	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	947/1236	4.00	4.74	4.40	4.41	4.00
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	49/67	4.50	4.88	4.60	4.75	4.50
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	55/66	4.00	4.75	4.55	4.35	4.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/62	5.00	5.00	4.54	4.55	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	47/68	4.50	4.88	4.59	4.63	4.50

Course-Section: MUSC 360 01

Title: Sem:K-12 Choral Methods

Instructor: Adkins,Sandy

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

			Frequencies			Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	25/66	4.50	4.83	4.20	4.14	4.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 361 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 11

nue:

Title: Sem:K-12 Instru Methods

Questionnaires: 10

Instructor: White, Robert

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	479/1520	4.60	4.69	4.31	4.33	4.60
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	443/1520	4.60	4.59	4.27	4.26	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.32	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	201/1483	4.78	4.75	4.23	4.25	4.78
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	194/1417	4.70	4.56	4.08	4.07	4.70
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	204/1405	4.70	4.54	4.12	4.13	4.70
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	77/1504	4.90	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.90
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.69	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	6	3	4.33	568/1495	4.33	4.58	4.11	4.07	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	198/1316	4.67	4.73	4.03	4.08	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1243	****	4.70	4.17	4.16	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1236	****	4.74	4.40	4.41	****

Course-Section: MUSC 361 01

Title: Sem:K-12 Instru Methods

Instructor: White,Robert

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	4.02	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	10	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	1
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0	_			
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 362 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 9

Title: Arts In Education

Questionnaires: 7

Instructor: Yoshioka, Airi

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	185/1520	4.86	4.69	4.31	4.33	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	153/1520	4.86	4.59	4.27	4.26	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	0	0	5	4.50	546/1291	4.50	4.77	4.33	4.32	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	263/1483	4.71	4.75	4.23	4.25	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	106/1417	4.83	4.56	4.08	4.07	4.83
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	112/1405	4.86	4.54	4.12	4.13	4.86
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	224/1504	4.71	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.69	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	457/1495	4.43	4.58	4.11	4.07	4.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	463/1459	4.75	4.67	4.47	4.47	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	4.08	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.70	4.17	4.16	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.34	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.74	4.40	4.41	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 362 01

Title: Arts In Education

Instructor: Yoshioka,Airi

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	4.02	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 380 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 21

1100

Title: Intro To Conducting

Instructor: Love, Jason L

Questionnaires: 21

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	9	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	126/1520	4.92	4.69	4.31	4.33	4.92
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	9	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	471/1520	4.58	4.59	4.27	4.26	4.58
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	9	1	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.32	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	9	1	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	106/1483	4.91	4.75	4.23	4.25	4.91
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	9	6	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	362/1417	4.50	4.56	4.08	4.07	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	9	4	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	169/1405	4.75	4.54	4.12	4.13	4.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	9	1	1	0	3	2	5	3.91	1101/1504	3.91	4.26	4.16	4.15	3.91
8. How many times was class cancelled	9	1	0	0	2	7	2	4.00	1435/1519	4.00	4.77	4.70	4.69	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	1	0	0	1	8	2	4.09	828/1495	4.09	4.58	4.11	4.07	4.09
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	15	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	616/1459	4.67	4.67	4.47	4.47	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	15	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	15	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	236/1455	4.83	4.72	4.32	4.31	4.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	280/1456	4.83	4.82	4.34	4.32	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	16	1	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/1316	****	4.73	4.03	4.08	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1243	****	4.70	4.17	4.16	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1236	****	4.74	4.40	4.41	****

Course-Section: MUSC 380 01

Title: Intro To Conducting

Instructor: Love, Jason L

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 21

Questionnaires: 21

				Fre	quend	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	4.02	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	21	Non-major	9
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	9						

Term - Fall 2011

Course-Section: MUSC 390 01

JSC 390 01

Enrollment: 2

i icic.

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Instructor: Andrist, Audrey

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.67	4.69	4.31	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.67	4.59	4.27	4.26	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.69	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.58	4.11	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	4.67	4.67	4.47	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.32	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 390 14

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Instructor: Cella, Lisa M

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1118/1520	4.67	4.69	4.31	4.33	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1086/1520	4.67	4.59	4.27	4.26	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.69	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.58	4.11	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1230/1459	4.67	4.67	4.47	4.47	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.32	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 390 27

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 1

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Questionnaires: 1

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.67	4.69	4.31	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.67	4.59	4.27	4.26	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.32	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.75	4.23	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.54	4.12	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1504	5.00	4.26	4.16	4.15	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.69	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.58	4.11	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	4.67	4.67	4.47	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	4.08	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.70	4.17	4.16	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.34	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.74	4.40	4.41	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 390 27

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Instructor: Goldstein,Thoma

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.66	4.02	4.02	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 391 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 2

Title: Adv Perf Studies - West

Instructor: Forshee, Zane F.

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.69	4.31	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	584/1520	4.83	4.59	4.27	4.26	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.32	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	493/1483	4.83	4.75	4.23	4.25	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.54	4.12	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1504	5.00	4.26	4.16	4.15	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1129/1519	4.50	4.77	4.70	4.69	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	351/1495	4.75	4.58	4.11	4.07	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.32	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.70	4.17	4.16	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.34	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.74	4.40	4.41	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 391 01

Title: Adv Perf Studies - West

Instructor: Forshee,Zane F.

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.66	4.02	4.02	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant	t		
				I	1	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 391 02

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 1

iide.

Title: Adv Perf Studies - West

Instructor: Andrist, Audrey

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.69	4.31	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.83	4.59	4.27	4.26	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.32	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	4.83	4.75	4.23	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.54	4.12	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1504	5.00	4.26	4.16	4.15	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1435/1519	4.50	4.77	4.70	4.69	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	4.08	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.70	4.17	4.16	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.34	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.74	4.40	4.41	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.66	4.02	4.02	5.00
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/164	5.00	5.00	4.15	4.12	5.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/165	5.00	5.00	4.19	4.15	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 391 02

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 1

Title: Adv Perf Studies - West

Questionnaires: 1

Instructor: Andrist, Audrey

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/160	5.00	5.00	4.45	4.47	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/158	5.00	5.00	4.36	4.31	5.00
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/150	5.00	5.00	4.05	3.98	5.00
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/67	5.00	4.88	4.60	4.75	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/66	5.00	4.75	4.55	4.35	5.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/62	5.00	5.00	4.54	4.55	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/68	5.00	4.88	4.59	4.63	5.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/32	5.00	5.00	4.36	3.94	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/31	5.00	5.00	4.15	3.82	5.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/23	5.00	5.00	4.48	4.77	5.00
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/27	5.00	5.00	4.23	4.32	5.00
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/20	5.00	5.00	4.23	4.50	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/24	5.00	4.63	4.17	3.90	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/15	5.00	4.54	4.17	4.60	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/22	5.00	4.43	4.07	3.91	5.00
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/15	5.00	4.63	4.06	4.40	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 391 02

Title: Adv Perf Studies - West

Instructor: Andrist, Audrey

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/12	5.00	4.36	4.16	4.70	5.00

Frequency Distribution

	_			_						_	
Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 391 03

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 1

Title: Adv Perf Studies - West

Instructor: Yoshioka, Airi

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.69	4.31	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.83	4.59	4.27	4.26	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.32	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	4.83	4.75	4.23	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.54	4.12	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1504	5.00	4.26	4.16	4.15	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	4.50	4.77	4.70	4.69	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.75	4.58	4.11	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.32	5.00

Run Date: 1/31/2012 1:36:50 PM Page 160 of 183

Course-Section: MUSC 391 03

Title: Adv Perf Studies - West

Instructor: Yoshioka, Airi

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	4.08	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 392 02

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 2

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

Questionnaires: 2

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.69	4.31	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.59	4.27	4.26	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.32	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.75	4.23	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.54	4.12	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	437/1504	2.75	4.26	4.16	4.15	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.69	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.58	4.11	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	4.08	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.70	4.17	4.16	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.34	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.74	4.40	4.41	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 392 02

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Instructor: Goldstein,Thoma

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.66	4.02	4.02	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 392 03

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 1

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Instructor: Andrist, Audrey

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.69	4.31	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.59	4.27	4.26	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.32	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.75	4.23	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.54	4.12	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1501/1504	2.75	4.26	4.16	4.15	1.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.69	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.58	4.11	4.07	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.32	5.00

Run Date: 1/31/2012 1:36:50 PM Page 164 of 183

Course-Section: MUSC 392 03

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Instructor: Andrist,Audrey

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	4.08	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arnod	Cum. GP/		Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
Ci cuits L	arricu	Cuiii. GF	•	Lxpecteu	Graues	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 400 02

Title: Special Projects

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.69	4.31	4.44	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1472/1520	4.00	4.59	4.27	4.32	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1432/1504	4.00	4.26	4.16	4.21	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.70	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.58	4.11	4.21	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 400 03

Title: Special Projects

Instructor: Goldstein,Thoma

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.69	4.31	4.44	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1086/1520	4.00	4.59	4.27	4.32	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.12	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.54	4.12	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	999/1504	4.00	4.26	4.16	4.21	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.70	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.58	4.11	4.21	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 400 06

Title: Special Projects

Instructor: Spece,Richard D

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.69	4.31	4.44	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.00	4.59	4.27	4.32	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.38	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.75	4.23	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.12	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.54	4.12	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1504	4.00	4.26	4.16	4.21	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.70	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 401 03

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 1

Title: Special Projects: Comp

Instructor: Dusman, Linda J

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.69	4.31	4.44	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.59	4.27	4.32	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.38	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.75	4.23	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	5.00	4.56	4.08	4.12	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.54	4.12	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1504	5.00	4.26	4.16	4.21	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.70	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.58	4.11	4.21	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 417 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 7

Title: Spec Tpcs In Musc Tech

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Questionnaires: 7

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	1	4	4.14	1024/1520	4.14	4.69	4.31	4.44	4.14
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	0	2	3	3.86	1218/1520	3.86	4.59	4.27	4.32	3.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	1	4	4.14	894/1291	4.14	4.77	4.33	4.38	4.14
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	2	0	1	4	4.00	1010/1483	4.00	4.75	4.23	4.33	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	450/1417	4.43	4.56	4.08	4.12	4.43
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	1331/1405	3.00	4.54	4.12	4.25	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	1	1	1	3	4.00	999/1504	4.00	4.26	4.16	4.21	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	1197/1519	4.43	4.77	4.70	4.70	4.43
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.58	4.11	4.21	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	1093/1459	4.25	4.67	4.47	4.54	4.25
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	1195/1460	4.50	4.91	4.74	4.78	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	920/1455	4.25	4.72	4.32	4.37	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	683/1456	4.50	4.82	4.34	4.41	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	312/1316	4.50	4.73	4.03	4.12	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1243	****	4.70	4.17	4.42	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1241	****	4.76	4.33	4.56	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1236	****	4.74	4.40	4.64	****

Course-Section: MUSC 417 01

Title: Spec Tpcs In Musc Tech

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/889	****	4.66	4.02	4.26	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	1
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 418 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 6

riue: r

Title: Music Tech. Internship

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	399/1520	4.67	4.69	4.31	4.44	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	0	4	4.33	809/1520	4.33	4.59	4.27	4.32	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	974/1291	4.00	4.77	4.33	4.38	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.75	4.23	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	1348/1417	3.00	4.56	4.08	4.12	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	843/1405	4.00	4.54	4.12	4.25	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	3	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	999/1504	4.00	4.26	4.16	4.21	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.70	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	130/1495	4.80	4.58	4.11	4.21	4.80

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	2
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0	_			
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 492 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Title: Senior Project

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1118/1520	4.50	4.69	4.31	4.44	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	1320/1520	4.25	4.59	4.27	4.32	3.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	1285/1291	3.75	4.77	4.33	4.38	2.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	1010/1483	4.42	4.75	4.23	4.33	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	1348/1417	4.00	4.56	4.08	4.12	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	1331/1405	4.00	4.54	4.12	4.25	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	1432/1504	4.00	4.26	4.16	4.21	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	1435/1519	4.50	4.77	4.70	4.70	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1415/1495	4.22	4.58	4.11	4.21	3.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.37	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.41	5.00

Page 173 of 183 Run Date: 1/31/2012 1:36:51 PM

Course-Section: MUSC 492 01

Title: Senior Project

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	4.12	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 492 01

Title: Senior Project

Instructor: Revill, David

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1118/1520	4.50	4.69	4.31	4.44	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	1320/1520	4.25	4.59	4.27	4.32	3.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	1285/1291	3.75	4.77	4.33	4.38	2.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	1010/1483	4.42	4.75	4.23	4.33	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	1348/1417	4.00	4.56	4.08	4.12	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	1331/1405	4.00	4.54	4.12	4.25	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	1432/1504	4.00	4.26	4.16	4.21	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	1435/1519	4.50	4.77	4.70	4.70	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 492 02

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Title: Senior Project

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Questionnaires: 3

						structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1520	4.50	4.69	4.31	4.44	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	360/1520	4.25	4.59	4.27	4.32	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	3.75	4.77	4.33	4.38	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	324/1483	4.42	4.75	4.23	4.33	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1417	4.00	4.56	4.08	4.12	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1405	4.00	4.54	4.12	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1504	4.00	4.26	4.16	4.21	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1519	4.50	4.77	4.70	4.70	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	217/1495	4.22	4.58	4.11	4.21	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.37	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	4.12	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/24	5.00	4.63	4.17	3.99	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	9/15	4.00	4.54	4.17	3.43	4.00

Course-Section: MUSC 492 02

Title: Senior Project

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/22	5.00	4.43	4.07	3.67	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	1	Other	0				
				?	0						

Page 177 of 183

Course-Section: MUSC 492 03

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 2

Title: Senior Project

Questionnaires: 2

Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

								structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	4.50	4.69	4.31	4.44	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	4.25	4.59	4.27	4.32	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1291	3.75	4.77	4.33	4.38	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1483	4.42	4.75	4.23	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1417	4.00	4.56	4.08	4.12	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1405	4.00	4.54	4.12	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1504	4.00	4.26	4.16	4.21	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1519	4.50	4.77	4.70	4.70	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	4.22	4.58	4.11	4.21	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.67	4.47	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.37	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	4.12	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.70	4.17	4.42	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.56	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.74	4.40	4.64	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 492 03	Term - Fall 2011	Enrollment: 2
Title: Senior Project		Questionnaires: 2
Instructor: Dusman,Linda J		

				Fre	quenc	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.66	4.02	4.26	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 690 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Title: Sem: Amer Chamber Music

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.69	4.31	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	584/1520	4.50	4.59	4.27	4.28	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.75	4.23	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	803/1417	4.00	4.56	4.08	4.13	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.54	4.12	4.24	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.77	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.58	4.11	4.20	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	833/1459	4.50	4.67	4.47	4.48	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	3.86	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.70	4.17	4.23	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.39	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.74	4.40	4.47	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	186/889	4.50	4.66	4.02	4.06	4.50
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/67	5.00	4.88	4.60	4.62	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/66	5.00	4.75	4.55	4.62	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 690 01

Title: Sem: Amer Chamber Music

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 2

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/62	5.00	5.00	4.54	4.59	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/68	5.00	4.88	4.59	4.62	5.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/66	5.00	4.83	4.20	4.26	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credit	s Earned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	0	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	2	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	0	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 690 01

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Title: 3

Title: Sem: Amer Chamber Music

Questionnaires: 2

Instructor: Yoshioka, Airi

			Frequencies				Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.69	4.31	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	584/1520	4.50	4.59	4.27	4.28	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.75	4.23	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	803/1417	4.00	4.56	4.08	4.13	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.54	4.12	4.24	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.77	4.70	4.77	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.58	4.11	4.20	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	833/1459	4.50	4.67	4.47	4.48	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.91	4.74	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.82	4.34	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.73	4.03	3.86	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.70	4.17	4.23	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.39	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.74	4.40	4.47	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	186/889	4.50	4.66	4.02	4.06	4.50
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/67	5.00	4.88	4.60	4.62	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/66	5.00	4.75	4.55	4.62	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 690 01

Title: Sem: Amer Chamber Music

Term - Fall 2011

Enrollment: 3

Instructor: Yoshioka, Airi

Questionnaires:	2

	Frequencies				Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/62	5.00	5.00	4.54	4.59	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/68	5.00	4.88	4.59	4.62	5.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/66	5.00	4.83	4.20	4.26	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	0	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	2	Major	1	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	0	Non-major	1	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				1	0	Other	0					
				?	1							