**Course-Section: MUSC 101 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 22** 

**Title: Fundamentals Music Thry** 

**Questionnaires: 22** 

**Instructor:** Richards, Kazuko

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1542	3.95	4.77	4.33	4.18	****
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1542	4.37	4.74	4.29	4.23	****
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1339	4.60	4.83	4.32	4.14	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1498	4.14	4.81	4.26	4.08	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1428	3.92	4.58	4.12	3.98	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1521	4.28	4.44	4.20	4.09	****
8. How many times was class cancelled	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1541	4.57	4.66	4.70	4.66	****
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1518	3.69	4.60	4.11	4.00	****
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1472	4.59	4.74	4.46	4.38	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1475	4.86	4.89	4.72	4.63	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1471	4.29	4.75	4.32	4.23	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1470	4.40	4.76	4.33	4.21	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	21	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1310	4.18	4.50	4.06	3.93	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	3.91	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.15	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.12	****

Course-Section: MUSC 101 01

Title: Fundamentals Music Thry

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 22

Questionnaires: 22

Instructor: Richards, Kazuko

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	21	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	3.95	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	22	Non-major	22
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	21						

Course-Section: MUSC 101 02

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 91** 

\_

**Title: Fundamentals Music Thry** 

**Instructor: Hawley JR, Thoma** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	30	0	3	1	9	19	20	4.00	1173/1542	3.95	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	31	0	0	1	2	12	36	4.63	466/1542	4.37	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	31	0	0	1	3	6	41	4.71	373/1339	4.60	4.83	4.32	4.14	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	32	33	0	0	5	1	11	4.35	****/1498	4.14	4.81	4.26	4.08	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	30	20	4	0	7	7	14	3.84	1030/1428	3.92	4.58	4.12	3.98	3.84
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	31	37	0	0	3	2	9	4.43	****/1407	4.29	4.58	4.15	3.92	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	32	1	0	0	5	12	32	4.55	463/1521	4.28	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.55
8. How many times was class cancelled	30	0	0	0	0	3	49	4.94	413/1541	4.57	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	42	1	0	0	6	21	12	4.15	793/1518	3.69	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.15
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	31	0	0	1	1	14	35	4.63	659/1472	4.59	4.74	4.46	4.38	4.63
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	31	0	0	0	1	7	43	4.82	754/1475	4.86	4.89	4.72	4.63	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	33	0	0	0	4	18	27	4.47	696/1471	4.29	4.75	4.32	4.23	4.47
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	32	0	0	0	3	10	37	4.68	468/1470	4.40	4.76	4.33	4.21	4.68
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	32	22	1	1	5	8	13	4.11	706/1310	4.18	4.50	4.06	3.93	4.11
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	64	0	2	0	5	3	8	3.83	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	3.91	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	63	0	1	0	5	7	6	3.89	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.15	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	63	0	1	0	4	4	10	4.16	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.12	****
4. Were special techniques successful	63	12	1	0	3	0	3	3.57	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	3.95	****

Course-Section: MUSC 101 02

Title: Fundamentals Music Thry

Instructor: Hawley JR, Thoma

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 91

·				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	81	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/207	****	1.00	4.12	3.92	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	81	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/210	****	1.00	4.17	4.14	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	81	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	****	4.50	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	81	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/202	****	****	4.32	4.22	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	81	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	5.00	4.15	4.14	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	81	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/69	****	****	4.56	4.27	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	81	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/69	****	****	4.60	4.28	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	81	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	****	4.50	4.15	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	81	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	****	4.54	4.22	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	81	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	****	4.17	3.14	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	81	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	****	1.00	4.20	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	81	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/35	****	3.00	4.36	5.00	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	81	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	81	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	81	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	81	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/30	****	4.56	4.27	4.84	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	81	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/19	****	5.00	4.57	4.84	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	81	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	****	4.50	4.29	4.82	****

Course-Section: MUSC 101 02

Title: Fundamentals Music Thry

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 91

Questionnaires: 82

**Instructor: Hawley JR, Thoma** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	81	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/18	****	3.67	4.25	4.80	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	81	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/13	****	1.00	4.14	4.77	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	1	Α	43	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	6	1.00-1.99	2	В	7						
56-83	9	2.00-2.99	4	С	0	General	36	Under-grad	82	Non-major	82
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	9	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	17	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	32						

**Course-Section: MUSC 101 3** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 25** 

**Title: Fundamentals Music Thry** 

**Questionnaires: 25** 

**Instructor:** Richards, Kazuko

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	15	0	0	2	1	3	4	3.90	1265/1542	3.95	4.77	4.33	4.18	3.90
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	16	0	0	1	1	3	4	4.11	1069/1542	4.37	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.11
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	15	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	582/1339	4.60	4.83	4.32	4.14	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	16	2	0	1	1	1	4	4.14	966/1498	4.14	4.81	4.26	4.08	4.14
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	16	1	0	1	1	3	3	4.00	851/1428	3.92	4.58	4.12	3.98	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	15	3	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	651/1407	4.29	4.58	4.15	3.92	4.29
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	15	0	0	0	4	2	4	4.00	1046/1521	4.28	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	15	0	0	0	1	6	3	4.20	1360/1541	4.57	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.20
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	16	0	1	1	2	5	0	3.22	1383/1518	3.69	4.60	4.11	4.00	3.22
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	16	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	753/1472	4.59	4.74	4.46	4.38	4.56
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	16	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	592/1475	4.86	4.89	4.72	4.63	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	16	0	0	0	2	4	3	4.11	1054/1471	4.29	4.75	4.32	4.23	4.11
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	1	1	3	4	4.11	1065/1470	4.40	4.76	4.33	4.21	4.11
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	16	1	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	576/1310	4.18	4.50	4.06	3.93	4.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	3.91	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	21	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.15	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	21	0	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.12	****

**Course-Section: MUSC 101 3 Title: Fundamentals Music Thry Instructor:** Richards, Kazuko

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 25** 

**Questionnaires: 25** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	21	1	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	3.95	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	3	Under-grad	25	Non-major	24
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	17						

**Course-Section: MUSC 111 01** 

Title: Musicianship Lab II

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	187/1542	4.63	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	86/1542	4.91	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.94
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	1	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1339	4.94	4.83	4.32	4.14	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	3	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1498	4.71	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	14	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1428	5.00	4.58	4.12	3.98	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	15	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	3.92	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	2	0	0	2	2	11	4.60	408/1521	4.55	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	12	5	4.29	1301/1541	4.65	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.29
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	110/1518	4.62	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.91
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	1	0	1	0	8	4.40	954/1472	4.40	4.74	4.46	4.38	4.40
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	10	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	177/1470	4.90	4.76	4.33	4.21	4.90
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	11	5	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/1310	****	4.50	4.06	3.93	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	3.91	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.15	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	16	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.12	****
4. Were special techniques successful	16	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	3.95	****

Course-Section: MUSC 111 01

Title: Musicianship Lab II

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 20
Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	18	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/207	****	1.00	4.12	3.92	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/210	****	1.00	4.17	4.14	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	****	4.50	4.49	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	15
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	1	В	5						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	20	Non-major	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

**Course-Section: MUSC 111 02** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 12** 

Title: Musicianship Lab II

**Questionnaires:** 9

**Instructor:** Pollauf, Jacquel

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	5	3	4.38	818/1542	4.63	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	169/1542	4.91	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	186/1339	4.94	4.83	4.32	4.14	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	660/1498	4.71	4.81	4.26	4.08	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.58	4.12	3.98	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	3.92	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	518/1521	4.55	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1541	4.65	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	588/1518	4.62	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1472	4.40	4.74	4.46	4.38	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.23	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1470	4.90	4.76	4.33	4.21	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1310	****	4.50	4.06	3.93	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	3.91	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.15	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.12	****

Course-Section: MUSC 111 02

Title: Musicianship Lab II

Instructor: Pollauf, Jacquel

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	3.95	***

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 112 02

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 3

Instructor: Yoshioka, Airi

Title: Music Repertoire

·				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.14	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.58	4.12	3.98	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	3.92	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1521	5.00	4.44	4.20	4.09	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 112 02

Title: Music Repertoire

Instructor: Yoshioka,Airi

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	3.93	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	3	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 126 01** 

Title: Theory II:Form&Analysis

**Instructor:** Macaulay, Janice

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 17** 

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	2	3	1	9	4.13	1077/1542	4.30	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.13
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	2	2	1	10	4.27	917/1542	4.33	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.27
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	110/1339	4.81	4.83	4.32	4.14	4.93
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	3	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	252/1498	4.78	4.81	4.26	4.08	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	6	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	181/1428	4.33	4.58	4.12	3.98	4.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	2	0	1	1	4	6	4.25	684/1407	4.38	4.58	4.15	3.92	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	0	1	0	2	10	4.62	395/1521	4.35	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.62
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	1	0	0	0	9	5	4.36	1251/1541	4.29	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.36
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	6	3	3	3.75	1160/1518	3.93	4.60	4.11	4.00	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	1	0	1	4	6	4.17	1148/1472	4.30	4.74	4.46	4.38	4.17
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	2	0	10	4.67	1039/1475	4.83	4.89	4.72	4.63	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	1	2	2	2	5	3.67	1283/1471	4.05	4.75	4.32	4.23	3.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	3	1	2	6	3.92	1174/1470	4.39	4.76	4.33	4.21	3.92
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	5	0	1	0	0	5	4.50	324/1310	4.50	4.50	4.06	3.93	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1210	4.50	4.48	4.18	3.91	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.15	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.12	****

Course-Section: MUSC 126 01

Title: Theory II:Form&Analysis

Instructor: Macaulay,Janice

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	14	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	3.95	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP		Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
Ci Cuito L	uiiicu	Cuiiii Gi 7	•	Expected	Giuucs	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	1	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	3	General	0	Under-grad	17	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

**Course-Section: MUSC 126 02** 

Title: Theory II:Form&Analysis

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	5	7	4.46	691/1542	4.30	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.46
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	7	4.38	776/1542	4.33	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.38
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	9	4.69	383/1339	4.81	4.83	4.32	4.14	4.69
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	187/1498	4.78	4.81	4.26	4.08	4.82
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	4	2	5	3.92	971/1428	4.33	4.58	4.12	3.98	3.92
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	1	0	0	1	8	4.50	405/1407	4.38	4.58	4.15	3.92	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	2	2	7	4.08	1011/1521	4.35	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.08
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	10	3	4.23	1340/1541	4.29	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.23
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	1	7	2	4.10	842/1518	3.93	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.10
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	926/1472	4.30	4.74	4.46	4.38	4.43
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1475	4.83	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	755/1471	4.05	4.75	4.32	4.23	4.43
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	244/1470	4.39	4.76	4.33	4.21	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	1	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	324/1310	4.50	4.50	4.06	3.93	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	430/1210	4.50	4.48	4.18	3.91	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.15	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.12	****

Course-Section: MUSC 126 02

Title: Theory II:Form&Analysis

Instructor: Rubin,Anna I

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	10	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	3.95	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 163 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

Title: Diction: German
Instructor: Markovic,Lorria

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	632/1542	4.50	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	615/1542	4.50	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.14	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	549/1498	4.50	4.81	4.26	4.08	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	851/1428	4.00	4.58	4.12	3.98	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1349/1407	3.00	4.58	4.15	3.92	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1046/1521	4.00	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	920/1518	4.00	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1222/1472	4.00	4.74	4.46	4.38	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1397/1475	4.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	4.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1104/1471	4.00	4.75	4.32	4.23	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.48	4.18	3.91	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.67	4.37	4.15	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 163 01

Title: Diction: German

Instructor: Markovic, Lorria

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.57	4.41	4.12	5.00

Cuadita E		Cum CD		Evmontod	Cuadas	Donasa		Time		Maiara	
Credits E	arneu	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 170 01

Term - Spring 2012 **Title: Beginning Voice Class** 

**Instructor:** Jackson, Janice

**Enrollment: 23 Questionnaires: 22** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	9	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	397/1542	4.69	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.69
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	9	0	0	1	0	3	9	4.54	578/1542	4.54	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.54
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	9	1	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	313/1339	4.75	4.83	4.32	4.14	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	9	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	240/1498	4.77	4.81	4.26	4.08	4.77
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	10	1	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	204/1428	4.73	4.58	4.12	3.98	4.73
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	9	2	0	0	3	1	7	4.36	569/1407	4.36	4.58	4.15	3.92	4.36
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	9	0	1	1	2	1	8	4.08	1011/1521	4.08	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.08
8. How many times was class cancelled	10	0	0	0	0	6	6	4.50	1124/1541	4.50	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	1	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	341/1518	4.55	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.55
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	11	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	503/1472	4.73	4.74	4.46	4.38	4.73
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	11	0	1	0	0	0	10	4.64	1079/1475	4.64	4.89	4.72	4.63	4.64
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	11	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	386/1471	4.73	4.75	4.32	4.23	4.73
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	12	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1310	****	4.50	4.06	3.93	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	3.91	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	19	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.15	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	19	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.12	****
4. Were special techniques successful	19	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	3.95	****

Course-Section: MUSC 170 01

Questions

Laboratory

2. Were you provided with adequate background information

**Seminar** 

Field Work

1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme

**Title: Beginning Voice Class** 

Term - Spring 2012

NR

21

21

21 21

21

21 21

21

21

21

20

20

0

0

Enrollment: 23

Questionnaires: 22

**Instructor: Jackson, Janice** 

1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material

3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities

5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified

2. Was the instructor available for individual attention3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned

4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned

1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned

2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria

3. Was the instructor available for consultation

5. Were criteria for grading made clear

4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance

			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Ī	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	1.00	4.12	3.92	****
Ì	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/210	****	1.00	4.17	4.14	****
1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	****	4.50	4.49	****
Ī	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	****	4.32	4.22	****
Ī	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	5.00	4.15	4.14	****
Ī													
I	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	****	4.56	4.27	****
Ì	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	****	4.60	4.28	****
Ì	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	****	4.50	4.15	****
Ì	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	****	4.54	4.22	****
Ì	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	****	4.17	3.14	****
T	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/32	****	1.00	4.20	5.00	****

\*\*\*\*/35

\*\*\*\*/25

									,					
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	20	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	20	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.56	4.27	4.84	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	5.00	4.57	4.84	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.50	4.29	4.82	****

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

2

2

5.00

5.00

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*

4.36

4.59

3.00

\*\*\*\*

5.00

5.00

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*

Course-Section: MUSC 170 01

Title: Beginning Voice Class

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 23

Questionnaires: 22

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	3.67	4.25	4.80	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	1.00	4.14	4.77	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	7	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	22	Non-major	22
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	4				
				?	10						

Course-Section: MUSC 171 01

Title: Intermediate Voice Class

**Instructor:** Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 19

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	5	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	214/1542	4.86	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	5	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	256/1542	4.79	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.79
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	6	7	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	224/1339	4.83	4.83	4.32	4.14	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	2	0	0	2	2	7	4.45	618/1498	4.45	4.81	4.26	4.08	4.45
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	6	5	2	0	3	0	3	3.25	1315/1428	3.25	4.58	4.12	3.98	3.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	7	1	1	0	1	3	3.67	1126/1407	3.67	4.58	4.15	3.92	3.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	5	0	0	1	1	6	6	4.21	881/1521	4.21	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.21
8. How many times was class cancelled	5	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	0	0	5	6	4.55	341/1518	4.55	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.55
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	367/1472	4.80	4.74	4.46	4.38	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	163/1471	4.90	4.75	4.32	4.23	4.90
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	1	0	0	0	9	4.60	588/1470	4.60	4.76	4.33	4.21	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	6	2	0	0	0	3	3.40	1113/1310	3.40	4.50	4.06	3.93	3.40
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	3.91	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.15	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.12	****
4. Were special techniques successful	15	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	3.95	****

Course-Section: MUSC 171 01

Title: Intermediate Voice Class
Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 19
Questionnaires: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	1.00	4.20	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	****	3.00	4.36	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.56	4.27	4.84	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	5.00	4.57	4.84	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.50	4.29	4.82	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	3.67	4.25	4.80	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	1.00	4.14	4.77	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	3	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	19	Non-major	19
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	5				
				?	8						

**Course-Section: MUSC 175 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 5

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

**Title: Intermediate Vocal Meth** 

'	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	435/1542	4.67	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	416/1542	4.67	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.14	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.58	4.12	3.98	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	3.92	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	330/1521	4.67	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	994/1541	4.67	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	244/1518	4.67	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	598/1472	4.67	4.74	4.46	4.38	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	498/1470	4.67	4.76	4.33	4.21	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.48	4.18	3.91	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	580/1211	4.50	4.67	4.37	4.15	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.57	4.41	4.12	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 175 01

Title: Intermediate Vocal Meth

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 5
Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.84	4.08	3.95	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 177 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 8** 

Title: Keyboard Skills II

**Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

	NR         NA         1         2         3         4         5         Mean           0         0         0         0         0         4         4         4.50         63           0         0         0         0         0         3         5         4.63         46           0         3         0         0         0         0         5         5.00         1           0         1         0         0         0         1         6         4.86         16           0         7         0         0         0         0         1         5.00         **           0         7         0         0         0         0         1         5.00         **           0         0         0         0         0         1         5.00         **           0         0         0         0         0         1         5.00         **           0         0         0         0         0         4         4         4.50         11           0         0         0         0         0         0         0         4.25 <th>structor</th> <th>Course</th> <th>Org</th> <th>UMBC</th> <th>Level</th> <th>Sect</th>					structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	632/1542	4.50	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	466/1542	4.69	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1339	4.93	4.83	4.32	4.14	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	161/1498	4.87	4.81	4.26	4.08	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1428	****	4.58	4.12	3.98	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1407	4.25	4.58	4.15	3.92	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	696/1521	4.46	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	1124/1541	4.50	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	4.25	686/1518	4.07	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.38	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.23	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.21	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1310	****	4.50	4.06	3.93	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.56	4.27	4.84	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	5.00	4.57	4.84	****

Course-Section: MUSC 177 01

Title: Keyboard Skills II

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 8

**Questionnaires:** 8

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/29	****	4.50	4.29	4.82	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: MUSC 177 02** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 14** 

Title: Keyboard Skills II

**Questionnaires: 14** 

**Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

		R         NA         1         2         3         4         5         Mean         R           2         0         0         0         1         4         7         4.50         632           2         0         0         0         1         1         10         4.75         297           2         5         0         0         0         1         6         4.86         205					structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	632/1542	4.50	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	297/1542	4.69	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	5	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	205/1339	4.93	4.83	4.32	4.14	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	4	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	147/1498	4.87	4.81	4.26	4.08	4.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	9	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1428	****	4.58	4.12	3.98	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	8	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	684/1407	4.25	4.58	4.15	3.92	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	1	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	474/1521	4.46	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.55
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	6	6	4.50	1124/1541	4.50	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	3	4	2	3.89	1071/1518	4.07	4.60	4.11	4.00	3.89
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	10	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	10	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	11	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1310	****	4.50	4.06	3.93	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	3.91	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.15	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.12	****
4. Were special techniques successful	12	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	3.95	****

Course-Section: MUSC 177 02

Title: Keyboard Skills II

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/30	****	4.56	4.27	4.84	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	5.00	4.57	4.84	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	13	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/29	****	4.50	4.29	4.82	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	13	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/18	****	3.67	4.25	4.80	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/13	****	1.00	4.14	4.77	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	14	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 179 01

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 10** 

Title: Keyboard Skills IV

**Questionnaires: 10** 

**Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	1051/1542	4.03	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.17
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	203/1542	4.92	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.14	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	3	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	552/1428	4.33	4.58	4.12	3.98	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1407	****	4.58	4.15	3.92	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	159/1521	4.53	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	1	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	1208/1541	4.53	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.40
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	1	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	588/1518	4.17	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1472	****	4.74	4.46	4.38	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1475	****	4.89	4.72	4.63	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1471	****	4.75	4.32	4.23	****

Course-Section: MUSC 179 01
Title: Keyboard Skills IV
Instructor: Beith,Nancy S

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1470	****	4.76	4.33	4.21	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

**Course-Section: MUSC 179 02** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 15** 

Title: Keyboard Skills IV

**Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

	_	Frequencies				In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	0	1	3	1	4	3.89	1275/1542	4.03	4.77	4.33	4.18	3.89
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	5	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.14	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	2	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	6	7	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1428	4.33	4.58	4.12	3.98	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	0	0	0	3	1	5	4.22	870/1521	4.53	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.22
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	994/1541	4.53	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	3	3	3	4.00	920/1518	4.17	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	13	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1472	****	4.74	4.46	4.38	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	13	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1475	****	4.89	4.72	4.63	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	13	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1471	****	4.75	4.32	4.23	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1470	****	4.76	4.33	4.21	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	13	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1310	****	4.50	4.06	3.93	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	3.91	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.15	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.12	****

Course-Section: MUSC 179 02

Title: Keyboard Skills IV

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	13	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	3.95	****

Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected G			Grades	Reasons		Туре	Majors					
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	8	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1							
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	7	
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses				
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	6							

Course-Section: MUSC 180 01

Title: Beginning Piano Class

**Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 24

			Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	5	10	4.39	805/1542	4.39	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.39
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	6	10	4.44	698/1542	4.44	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.44
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	13	4.67	414/1339	4.67	4.83	4.32	4.14	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	2	1	4	9	4.25	854/1498	4.25	4.81	4.26	4.08	4.25
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	1	3	2	7	4.15	736/1428	4.15	4.58	4.12	3.98	4.15
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	12	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	530/1407	4.40	4.58	4.15	3.92	4.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	1	2	4	3	7	3.76	1215/1521	3.76	4.44	4.20	4.09	3.76
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	906/1541	4.75	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	2	0	0	5	5	3	3.85	1100/1518	3.85	4.60	4.11	4.00	3.85
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	5	11	4.59	715/1472	4.59	4.74	4.46	4.38	4.59
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	1066/1475	4.65	4.89	4.72	4.63	4.65
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	2	5	9	4.44	740/1471	4.44	4.75	4.32	4.23	4.44
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	7	8	4.44	776/1470	4.44	4.76	4.33	4.21	4.44
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	5	1	0	1	4	4	4.00	761/1310	4.00	4.50	4.06	3.93	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	1	0	2	2	2	3.57	994/1210	3.57	4.48	4.18	3.91	3.57
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	1	0	1	3	2	3.71	1053/1211	3.71	4.67	4.37	4.15	3.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	2	0	0	3	2	3.43	1122/1207	3.43	4.57	4.41	4.12	3.43
4. Were special techniques successful	11	3	1	1	0	1	1	3.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	3.95	****

Course-Section: MUSC 180 01

Title: Beginning Piano Class

**Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/30	****	4.56	4.27	4.84	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	16	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	5.00	4.57	4.84	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	16	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/29	****	4.50	4.29	4.82	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	16	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	3.67	4.25	4.80	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	16	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	1.00	4.14	4.77	****

Credits Earned Cum. GPA			4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	2	Α	14	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0	
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	3							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	1	Under-grad	18	Non-major	18	
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	4	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	12	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	5					
				?	0							

**Course-Section: MUSC 181 01** 

**Title: Intermediate Piano Class** 

**Instructor: Beith, Nancy S** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 20** 

**Questionnaires: 20** 

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rai						structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	1	2	1	2	11	4.18	1043/1542	4.18	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.18
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	1	2	1	2	11	4.18	1017/1542	4.18	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.18
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	12	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	865/1339	4.20	4.83	4.32	4.14	4.20
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	4	0	1	2	2	8	4.31	802/1498	4.31	4.81	4.26	4.08	4.31
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	14	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1428	****	4.58	4.12	3.98	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	10	0	1	2	2	2	3.71	1102/1407	3.71	4.58	4.15	3.92	3.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	2	1	2	2	2	7	3.86	1170/1521	3.86	4.44	4.20	4.09	3.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	1	0	0	1	15	4.71	959/1541	4.71	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.71
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	1	0	0	1	7	5	4.31	629/1518	4.31	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.31
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	14	0	0	1	1	0	4	4.17	1148/1472	4.17	4.74	4.46	4.38	4.17
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	14	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	1197/1475	4.50	4.89	4.72	4.63	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	14	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	1015/1471	4.17	4.75	4.32	4.23	4.17
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	14	0	1	2	0	0	3	3.33	1361/1470	3.33	4.76	4.33	4.21	3.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	13	5	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1310	****	4.50	4.06	3.93	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	3.91	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.15	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.12	****
4. Were special techniques successful	16	2	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	3.95	****

Course-Section: MUSC 181 01

Title: Intermediate Piano Class

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 20
Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.56	4.27	4.84	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.50	4.29	4.82	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	1	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	19	Non-major	16
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	7	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	5				
				?	6						

Course-Section: MUSC 184 01

Title: Woodwind Mthds: Clrnt &

**Instructor:** Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 2

**Questionnaires: 2** 

		NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.14	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.58	4.12	3.98	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	874/1407	4.00	4.58	4.15	3.92	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1046/1521	4.00	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1124/1541	4.50	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	3.93	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	774/1210	4.00	4.48	4.18	3.91	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.67	4.37	4.15	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.57	4.41	4.12	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 184 01

Title: Woodwind Mthds: Clrnt &

**Instructor: Belzer, Matthew** 

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 2

**Questionnaires: 2** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.84	4.08	3.95	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 185 01

Title: Woodwind Mthds: Flute an

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 5

**Instructor: Belzer, Matthew** 

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	313/1339	4.75	4.83	4.32	4.14	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	357/1498	4.67	4.81	4.26	4.08	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	181/1428	4.75	4.58	4.12	3.98	4.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	3.92	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1521	5.00	4.44	4.20	4.09	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	1327/1541	4.25	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	373/1518	4.50	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	3.93	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	323/1210	4.67	4.48	4.18	3.91	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	451/1211	4.67	4.67	4.37	4.15	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	499/1207	4.67	4.57	4.41	4.12	4.67

Course-Section: MUSC 185 01

Title: Woodwind Mthds: Flute an

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

**Instructor:** Belzer, Matthew

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.84	4.08	3.95	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 189 01

Title: Guitar Methods

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 10

**Instructor:** Forshee, Zane F.

	3 0 0 1 0 1 5 4.43 750/154 3 0 0 0 1 1 5 4.57 528/154 3 0 0 0 1 0 6 4.71 361/133 3 0 0 0 1 0 6 4.71 298/144 3 3 0 0 0 1 3 4.75 181/143 3 5 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 ****/14 3 0 0 1 0 3 3 4.14 955/153 3 0 0 0 1 0 2 2 4.00 920/153 4 0 0 1 1 1 1 3 4.00 1222/144 4 0 0 0 1 1 1 4 4.50 1197/144 4 0 0 1 1 0 1 4 4.33 870/143					structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	1	0	1	5	4.43	750/1542	4.43	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.43
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	528/1542	4.57	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	361/1339	4.71	4.83	4.32	4.14	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	298/1498	4.71	4.81	4.26	4.08	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	3	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	181/1428	4.75	4.58	4.12	3.98	4.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	5	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1407	****	4.58	4.15	3.92	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	1	0	3	3	4.14	955/1521	4.14	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.14
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	771/1541	4.86	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.86
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	1	0	2	2	4.00	920/1518	4.00	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	1	1	1	3	4.00	1222/1472	4.00	4.74	4.46	4.38	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	1197/1475	4.50	4.89	4.72	4.63	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	1	0	1	4	4.33	870/1471	4.33	4.75	4.32	4.23	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	0	0	1	4	4.17	1030/1470	4.17	4.76	4.33	4.21	4.17
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	4	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1310	****	4.50	4.06	3.93	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	578/1210	4.33	4.48	4.18	3.91	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	739/1211	4.33	4.67	4.37	4.15	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	918/1207	4.00	4.57	4.41	4.12	4.00

Course-Section: MUSC 189 01

Title: Guitar Methods

Instructor: Forshee, Zane F.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	7	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	646/859	3.67	4.84	4.08	3.95	3.67

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	6	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 01

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor:** Andrist, Audrey

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 2** 

**Questionnaires: 1** 

			Frequencies				Ins	tructor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	4.44	4.44	4.20	4.09	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	4.94	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/30	5.00	4.56	4.27	4.84	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/19	5.00	5.00	4.57	4.84	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/29	5.00	4.50	4.29	4.82	5.00
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/18	5.00	3.67	4.25	4.80	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	<b>A</b>	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 02** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 11** 

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Instructor: Hawley JR, Thoma** 

**Questionnaires:** 6

			Frequencies					In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	962/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.25
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	492/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	3	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1046/1521	4.44	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	853/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	151/1518	4.94	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.80
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1222/1472	4.89	4.74	4.46	4.38	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	1410/1471	4.82	4.75	4.32	4.23	3.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1318/1470	4.87	4.76	4.33	4.21	3.50

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	6
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 03

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor:** Appelman, Harold

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 3

**Questionnaires: 2** 

			Frequencies				In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.74	4.58	4.12	3.98	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1046/1521	4.44	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1455/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	4.94	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	4.89	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	4.82	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	4.87	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:53:13 AM

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 05** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor:** Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 9

**Questionnaires: 2** 

			Frequencies					In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.74	4.58	4.12	3.98	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1046/1521	4.44	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1518	4.94	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	4.89	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	4.87	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	3.93	5.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:53:13 AM

Course-Section: MUSC 190 06

**Term - Spring 2012** 

**Enrollment: 8** 

\_

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Questionnaires: 2** 

**Instructor:** King, Thomas D.

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.14	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.74	4.58	4.12	3.98	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1521	4.44	4.44	4.20	4.09	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1518	4.94	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1472	4.89	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1471	4.82	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1470	4.87	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 190 06

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 8

**Instructor:** King, Thomas D.

Questionnaires:	2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding 0 0				0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	3.93	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 07

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor:** Markovic,Lorria

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 15

**Questionnaires:** 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.14	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1428	4.74	4.58	4.12	3.98	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	3.92	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1521	4.44	4.44	4.20	4.09	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	1124/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1518	4.94	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1472	4.89	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1471	4.82	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1470	4.87	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	3.93	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	323/1210	4.92	4.48	4.18	3.91	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.67	4.37	4.15	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.57	4.41	4.12	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.84	4.08	3.95	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 190 07

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 15
Questionnaires: 4

Instructor: Markovic,Lorria

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/30	5.00	4.56	4.27	4.84	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/19	5.00	5.00	4.57	4.84	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	4	Non-major	4
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 08

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 2** 

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Instructor:** Yoshioka, Airi

**Questionnaires: 2** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1046/1521	4.44	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1518	4.94	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1472	4.89	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1471	4.82	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1470	4.87	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 09** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor:** Tremblay, Christ

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 7

**Questionnaires: 2** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	4.44	4.44	4.20	4.09	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1518	4.94	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	4.89	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	4.82	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	4.87	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not er	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 10** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor:** Kannen, Maria La

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 2** 

**Questionnaires: 2** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	4.94	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	4.89	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	4.82	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	4.87	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	3.93	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 11

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 4

**Questionnaires:** 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.14	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	390/1428	4.74	4.58	4.12	3.98	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	3.92	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1521	4.44	4.44	4.20	4.09	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	1124/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1518	4.94	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1472	4.89	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1471	4.82	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1470	4.87	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	3.93	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1210	4.92	4.48	4.18	3.91	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.67	4.37	4.15	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.57	4.41	4.12	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 190 11

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Ladd,Gita

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.84	4.08	3.95	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	4
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 13** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor:** Forshee, Zane F.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 7

**Questionnaires: 3** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1360/1428	4.74	4.58	4.12	3.98	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	1257/1521	4.44	4.44	4.20	4.09	3.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	1268/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1518	4.94	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	4.89	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	4.82	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	4.87	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	0						

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:53:14 AM

Course-Section: MUSC 190 17

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 2** 

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Instructor:** Richards, Edwin

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1455/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	4.89	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	4.82	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	4.87	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 18** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 7** 

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Instructor:** Spece, Richard D

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1173/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/30	5.00	4.56	4.27	4.84	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/29	5.00	4.50	4.29	4.82	5.00

# **Frequency Distribution**

Cred	lits Earned	Cum. GP	Δ	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
				· .	1		0		0	_	0
00-2	27 0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-5	55 0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-8	3 0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-1	50 0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad	d. 0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:53:14 AM

Course-Section: MUSC 190 22

90 22 Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 1
Questionnaires: 1

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.14	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.74	4.58	4.12	3.98	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	3.92	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	4.44	4.44	4.20	4.09	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 25** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 3** 

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Instructor: Belzer, Matthew** 

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	4.94	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 28** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor:** Dove, Barry B

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment:** 6

**Questionnaires:** 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	929/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.25
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	552/1428	4.74	4.58	4.12	3.98	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	906/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	244/1518	4.94	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1472	4.89	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1471	4.82	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1470	4.87	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	3.93	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1210	4.92	4.48	4.18	3.91	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.67	4.37	4.15	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.57	4.41	4.12	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.84	4.08	3.95	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/30	5.00	4.56	4.27	4.84	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/29	5.00	4.50	4.29	4.82	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 190 28

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Dove,Barry B

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/18	5.00	3.67	4.25	4.80	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 37

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 1** 

i ide.

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Instructor:** Richards, Kazuko

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.74	4.58	4.12	3.98	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	4.44	4.44	4.20	4.09	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1455/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.00

# **Frequency Distribution**

Credits I	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0					•	
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:53:14 AM

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 38** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 4** 

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Instructor:** Forshee, Zane F.

**Questionnaires: 2** 

							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.14	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.74	4.58	4.12	3.98	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	3.92	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1046/1521	4.44	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1124/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	373/1518	4.94	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	817/1472	4.89	4.74	4.46	4.38	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	637/1471	4.82	4.75	4.32	4.23	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	692/1470	4.87	4.76	4.33	4.21	4.50

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:53:14 AM

Course-Section: MUSC 190 38

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 2

Instructor: Forshee,Zane F.

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	3.93	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 39** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment:** 5 **Questionnaires: 1** 

**Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art** 

**Instructor:** Spece, Richard D

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean			Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean					
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1504/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	4.44	4.44	4.20	4.09	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	4.94	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 190 40** 

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor:** Humphreys, Miche

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 1

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.74	4.58	4.12	3.98	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1455/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	4.94	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00
Lecture														
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	4.82	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	4.87	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 43

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor: Young, Alice E** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment:** 6

**Questionnaires: 3** 

								structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.74	4.58	4.12	3.98	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1434/1521	4.44	4.44	4.20	4.09	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	1455/1541	4.64	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1518	4.94	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1472	4.89	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1471	4.82	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1470	4.87	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	3.93	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1210	4.92	4.48	4.18	3.91	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.67	4.37	4.15	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.57	4.41	4.12	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 190 43

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

**Instructor: Young, Alice E** 

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.84	4.08	3.95	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors			
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0		
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0								
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3		
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0								
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses					
				Р	0			to be significant					
				I	0	Other	1						
				?	0								

**Course-Section: MUSC 191 02** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 14** 

**Instructor: Bailey, Connie C** 

**Title: Recital Preparation** 

**Questionnaires: 14** 

			Frequencies		Instructor		Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	1	1	1	1	4	3.75	1343/1542	4.09	4.77	4.33	4.18	3.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	0	1	1	1	5	4.25	929/1542	4.34	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.25
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals		6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1339	****	4.83	4.32	4.14	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	5	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	****/1498	****	4.81	4.26	4.08	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	6	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1428	****	4.58	4.12	3.98	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1407	****	4.58	4.15	3.92	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	0	0	1	0	2	5	4.38	696/1521	4.49	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	906/1541	4.63	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	2	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	****/1518	4.80	4.60	4.11	4.00	****
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	11	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1472	****	4.74	4.46	4.38	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject		0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1475	****	4.89	4.72	4.63	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly		0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1471	****	4.75	4.32	4.23	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned		0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1470	****	4.76	4.33	4.21	****

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:53:14 AM

Course-Section: MUSC 191 02

Title: Recital Preparation

Instructor: Bailey, Connie C

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	12	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1310	****	4.50	4.06	3.93	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	14	Non-major	7
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	8						

Course-Section: MUSC 191 03

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 19** 

**Title: Recital Preparation** Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	12	0	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	750/1542	4.09	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.43
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	12	0	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	726/1542	4.34	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.43
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	12	5	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1339	****	4.83	4.32	4.14	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	12	6	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/1498	****	4.81	4.26	4.08	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	12	6	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/1428	****	4.58	4.12	3.98	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	12	6	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/1407	****	4.58	4.15	3.92	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	12	2	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	408/1521	4.49	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	13	0	0	1	0	0	5	4.50	1124/1541	4.63	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	14	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	151/1518	4.80	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.80
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	18	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1472	****	4.74	4.46	4.38	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	18	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1475	****	4.89	4.72	4.63	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	18	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1471	****	4.75	4.32	4.23	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/1470	****	4.76	4.33	4.21	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	18	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/1310	****	4.50	4.06	3.93	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	3.91	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.15	****

Course-Section: MUSC 191 03

Title: Recital Preparation

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 19
Questionnaires: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.12	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	19	Non-major	13
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	1	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	13						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 04

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 2** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Caracciolo, Step

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.86	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1122/1542	4.74	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1455/1498	4.73	4.81	4.26	4.08	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1407/1407	3.63	4.58	4.15	3.92	1.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1046/1521	4.42	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1455/1541	4.55	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1439/1472	4.63	4.74	4.46	4.38	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	4.88	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1104/1471	4.75	4.75	4.32	4.23	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	4.88	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1209/1210	3.75	4.48	4.18	3.91	1.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1211	4.75	4.67	4.37	4.15	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 193 04

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 2
Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1172/1207	4.25	4.57	4.41	4.12	3.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 05** 

1000 100 00

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	4.86	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	4.74	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1339	4.75	4.83	4.32	4.14	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1498	4.73	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1428	4.75	4.58	4.12	3.98	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1407	3.63	4.58	4.15	3.92	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	518/1521	4.42	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1124/1541	4.55	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1425/1518	4.43	4.60	4.11	4.00	3.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1472	4.63	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1475	4.88	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1471	4.75	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1470	4.88	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1310	4.30	4.50	4.06	3.93	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1210	3.75	4.48	4.18	3.91	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1211	4.75	4.67	4.37	4.15	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1207	4.25	4.57	4.41	4.12	****

Course-Section: MUSC 193 05

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/859	4.50	4.84	4.08	3.95	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 06

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 3** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

Questionnaires: 1

**Instructor:** King, Thomas D.

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.86	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.74	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	4.73	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	4.42	4.44	4.20	4.09	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1455/1541	4.55	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	920/1518	4.43	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	4.63	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	4.88	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	4.75	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	4.88	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	761/1310	4.30	4.50	4.06	3.93	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1210	3.75	4.48	4.18	3.91	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1211	4.75	4.67	4.37	4.15	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1207	4.25	4.57	4.41	4.12	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 193 06

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: King, Thomas D.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/859	4.50	4.84	4.08	3.95	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 07

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 3** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Markovic, Lorria

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.86	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.74	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	4.42	4.44	4.20	4.09	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1455/1541	4.55	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	4.43	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	4.63	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	4.88	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	4.75	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	4.88	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 08** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

Instructor: Yoshioka, Airi

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment:** 6

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1542	4.86	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	203/1542	4.74	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1339	4.75	4.83	4.32	4.14	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1498	4.73	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1428	4.75	4.58	4.12	3.98	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	405/1407	3.63	4.58	4.15	3.92	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1521	4.42	4.44	4.20	4.09	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1541	4.55	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	4.33	588/1518	4.43	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1472	4.63	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1475	4.88	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1471	4.75	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1470	4.88	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1310	4.30	4.50	4.06	3.93	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1210	3.75	4.48	4.18	3.91	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1211	4.75	4.67	4.37	4.15	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1207	4.25	4.57	4.41	4.12	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 193 08

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Yoshioka, Airi

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

A:..:

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/859	4.50	4.84	4.08	3.95	****

Credits Ea	rned	Cum. GPA	١	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 10** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 3** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Kannen, Maria La

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.86	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.74	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	4.73	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1046/1521	4.42	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	4.55	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	920/1518	4.43	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 11

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 2** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Questionnaires: 1** 

**Instructor:** Ladd, Gita

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.86	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.74	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	4.55	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	4.43	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 12** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 2** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1173/1542	4.86	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1122/1542	4.74	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	982/1339	4.75	4.83	4.32	4.14	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1346/1498	4.73	4.81	4.26	4.08	3.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	851/1428	4.75	4.58	4.12	3.98	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	874/1407	3.63	4.58	4.15	3.92	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1046/1521	4.42	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1527/1541	4.55	4.66	4.70	4.66	3.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	373/1518	4.43	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1222/1472	4.63	4.74	4.46	4.38	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1397/1475	4.88	4.89	4.72	4.63	4.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1104/1471	4.75	4.75	4.32	4.23	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1108/1470	4.88	4.76	4.33	4.21	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	1283/1310	4.30	4.50	4.06	3.93	2.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	774/1210	3.75	4.48	4.18	3.91	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	918/1211	4.75	4.67	4.37	4.15	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	918/1207	4.25	4.57	4.41	4.12	4.00

Course-Section: MUSC 193 12

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Lagana,Thomas V

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	478/859	4.50	4.84	4.08	3.95	4.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 13** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Forshee, Zane F.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 2

**Questionnaires: 2** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	4.86	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	4.74	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	4.73	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1428	4.75	4.58	4.12	3.98	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	4.42	4.44	4.20	4.09	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1124/1541	4.55	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1518	4.43	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 17

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 1

Instructor: Richards, Edwin

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.86	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.74	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	4.55	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	920/1518	4.43	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 27

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 2** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Goldstein, Thoma

**Questionnaires: 2** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	632/1542	4.86	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	615/1542	4.74	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	4.73	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1124/1541	4.55	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	373/1518	4.43	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.50

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	1	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 28

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 2** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Dove, Barry B

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	632/1542	4.86	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	615/1542	4.74	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1046/1521	4.42	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1541	4.55	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1283/1518	4.43	4.60	4.11	4.00	3.50

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 31

193 31 Term - Spring 2012

Questionnaires: 1

**Enrollment: 1** 

**Instructor: Baldwin, Thomas** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.86	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1122/1542	4.74	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	4.73	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	4.42	4.44	4.20	4.09	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	4.55	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	920/1518	4.43	4.60	4.11	4.00	4.00
Lecture	5									-				
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1310	4.30	4.50	4.06	3.93	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	١.	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 33

Term - Spring 2012

**Instructor:** Ruas, Laura Mari

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

Enrollment:	1
Questionnaires:	1

			Frequencies			In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.86	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.74	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	4.73	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1046/1521	4.42	4.44	4.20	4.09	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1455/1541	4.55	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	4.43	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 193 40** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 3** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Humphreys, Miche

**Questionnaires: 3** 

			Frequencies			In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	435/1542	4.86	4.77	4.33	4.18	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	416/1542	4.74	4.74	4.29	4.23	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1339	4.75	4.83	4.32	4.14	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1498	4.73	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1434/1521	4.42	4.44	4.20	4.09	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	1268/1541	4.55	4.66	4.70	4.66	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1518	4.43	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	4.63	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	4.88	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	4.75	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	4.88	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 41

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 2** 

**Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors** 

**Instructor:** Goldstein, Alon

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.86	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.74	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	4.73	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	4.55	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	4.43	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 45

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

Instructor:

			Frequencies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	4.86	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	4.74	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1339	4.75	4.83	4.32	4.14	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	4.73	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.75	4.58	4.12	3.98	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1407	3.63	4.58	4.15	3.92	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	4.42	4.44	4.20	4.09	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1541	4.55	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	4.43	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	4.63	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	4.88	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	4.75	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	4.88	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 193 45

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor:

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 2

**Questionnaires: 2** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1310	4.30	4.50	4.06	3.93	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 194 12** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 1** 

Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj

**Questionnaires: 1** 

**Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V** 

						Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.14	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.08	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.58	4.12	3.98	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	3.92	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	5.00	4.44	4.20	4.09	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.23	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.21	5.00

**Course-Section: MUSC 194 12** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 1** 

**Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj** 

**Questionnaires: 1** 

**Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	3.93	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 194 13** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 1** 

-----

**Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj** 

**Instructor:** Forshee, Zane F.

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.00	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 211 01

**Title: Musicianship Lab IV** 

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 23

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	1	5	15	4.67	435/1542	4.67	4.77	4.33	4.35	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	4	16	4.71	352/1542	4.71	4.74	4.29	4.29	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	2	18	4.81	254/1339	4.81	4.83	4.32	4.40	4.81
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	6	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	154/1498	4.87	4.81	4.26	4.31	4.87
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	8	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	228/1428	4.69	4.58	4.12	4.17	4.69
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	15	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	252/1407	4.67	4.58	4.15	4.14	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	1	2	4	14	4.48	560/1521	4.48	4.44	4.20	4.22	4.48
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	6	15	4.71	948/1541	4.71	4.66	4.70	4.68	4.71
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	5	13	4.72	199/1518	4.72	4.60	4.11	4.12	4.72
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	12	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	644/1472	4.64	4.74	4.46	4.53	4.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	12	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	538/1475	4.91	4.89	4.72	4.79	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	12	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	268/1471	4.82	4.75	4.32	4.37	4.82
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	177/1470	4.91	4.76	4.33	4.40	4.91
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	13	4	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	201/1310	4.67	4.50	4.06	4.19	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	4.18	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	20	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	20	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.40	****

Course-Section: MUSC 211 01

Title: Musicianship Lab IV

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 23

Questionnaires: 23

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	20	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	4.07	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	20	Graduate	0	Major	18
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	23	Non-major	5
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: MUSC 214 01

Title: Hist Jazz: Origins-Pres

**Instructor:** Goldstein, Thoma

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 84

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	44	0	1	1	9	14	13	3.97	1200/1542	3.97	4.77	4.33	4.35	3.97
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	45	0	1	1	9	14	12	3.95	1173/1542	3.95	4.74	4.29	4.29	3.95
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	44	0	1	1	9	11	16	4.05	962/1339	4.05	4.83	4.32	4.40	4.05
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	44	21	1	2	5	5	4	3.53	****/1498	****	4.81	4.26	4.31	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	46	1	5	6	8	9	7	3.20	1325/1428	3.20	4.58	4.12	4.17	3.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	45	29	1	0	3	2	2	3.50	****/1407	****	4.58	4.15	4.14	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	45	0	0	2	7	11	17	4.16	934/1521	4.16	4.44	4.20	4.22	4.16
8. How many times was class cancelled	44	0	0	0	0	20	18	4.47	1149/1541	4.47	4.66	4.70	4.68	4.47
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	47	0	0	1	15	16	3	3.60	1248/1518	3.60	4.60	4.11	4.12	3.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	44	0	0	0	8	16	14	4.16	1155/1472	4.16	4.74	4.46	4.53	4.16
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	44	0	0	0	2	2	34	4.84	700/1475	4.84	4.89	4.72	4.79	4.84
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	44	0	0	1	8	19	10	4.00	1104/1471	4.00	4.75	4.32	4.37	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	45	0	2	0	4	13	18	4.22	993/1470	4.22	4.76	4.33	4.40	4.22
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	45	4	0	2	6	9	16	4.18	642/1310	4.18	4.50	4.06	4.19	4.18
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	67	0	3	1	3	5	3	3.27	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	4.18	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	67	0	0	0	2	5	8	4.40	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	67	0	0	1	1	4	9	4.40	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.40	****

Course-Section: MUSC 214 01

Title: Hist Jazz: Origins-Pres

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 84

Questionnaires: 82

				Free	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	67	11	0	2	0	1	1	3.25	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	4.07	****

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	1	Α	15	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	6	1.00-1.99	0	В	14						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	4	С	3	General	21	Under-grad	82	Non-major	82
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	4	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	1			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	48						

**Course-Section: MUSC 217 01** 

Title: Rock & Related Music

**Instructor:** Spece, Richard D

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 190

'				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	84	0	4	5	14	25	42	4.07	1131/1542	4.07	4.77	4.33	4.35	4.07
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	84	0	1	7	13	25	44	4.16	1035/1542	4.16	4.74	4.29	4.29	4.16
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	84	0	1	4	10	21	54	4.37	730/1339	4.37	4.83	4.32	4.40	4.37
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	84	59	0	4	1	4	22	4.42	****/1498	****	4.81	4.26	4.31	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	85	7	8	8	18	18	30	3.66	1162/1428	3.66	4.58	4.12	4.17	3.66
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	85	69	2	0	2	4	12	4.20	****/1407	****	4.58	4.15	4.14	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	86	0	2	0	7	12	67	4.61	395/1521	4.61	4.44	4.20	4.22	4.61
8. How many times was class cancelled	85	2	0	0	14	67	6	3.91	1503/1541	3.91	4.66	4.70	4.68	3.91
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	95	3	1	4	16	37	18	3.88	1071/1518	3.88	4.60	4.11	4.12	3.88
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	87	0	1	3	7	26	50	4.39	963/1472	4.39	4.74	4.46	4.53	4.39
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	87	0	0	0	3	15	69	4.76	897/1475	4.76	4.89	4.72	4.79	4.76
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	87	0	1	7	5	18	56	4.39	797/1471	4.39	4.75	4.32	4.37	4.39
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	87	2	2	2	8	10	63	4.53	671/1470	4.53	4.76	4.33	4.40	4.53
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	91	1	2	2	6	13	59	4.52	308/1310	4.52	4.50	4.06	4.19	4.52
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	140	0	5	2	7	10	10	3.53	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	4.18	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	140	0	3	2	6	8	15	3.88	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	141	0	1	2	5	8	17	4.15	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.40	****
4. Were special techniques successful	141	22	0	1	1	3	6	4.27	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	4.07	****

**Course-Section: MUSC 217 01** 

Title: Rock & Related Music

**Instructor:** Spece, Richard D

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 190

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	170	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/207	****	1.00	4.12	4.26	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	172	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/210	****	1.00	4.17	4.32	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	172	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/202	****	****	4.50	4.62	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	172	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/202	****	****	4.32	4.20	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	172	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/199	****	5.00	4.15	4.32	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	171	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	****	4.56	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	172	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	****	4.60	4.52	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	172	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	****	4.50	4.34	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	172	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/73	****	****	4.54	4.63	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	172	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/67	****	****	4.17	3.72	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	172	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/32	****	1.00	4.20	4.55	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	172	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/35	****	3.00	4.36	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	172	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.70	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	172	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	4.50	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	172	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.50	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	172	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/30	****	4.56	4.27	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	172	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	5.00	4.57	4.50	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	172	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.50	4.29	4.50	****

Course-Section: MUSC 217 01

Title: Rock & Related Music

Instructor: Spece, Richard D

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 190

Questionnaires: 174

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	172	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	3.67	4.25	4.50	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	172	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	1.00	4.14	4.50	****

#### **Frequency Distribution**

<b>Credits Earned</b>		Cum. GPA		<b>Expected Grades</b>		Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	7	0.00-0.99	1	Α	58	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	14	1.00-1.99	0	В	16						
56-83	11	2.00-2.99	9	С	0	General	44	Under-grad	174	Non-major	174
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	17	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	13	F	0	Electives	23	**** - Means there are not enough responses			
				Р	0			to be significant	t		
				I	0	Other	6				
				?	98						

**Course-Section: MUSC 219 01** 

Title: Intro Digital Audio Wkst

Instructor: Revill, David An

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 13

**Questionnaires: 11** 

	1 0 0 0 0 0 10 5.00 1/1498  1 1 3 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/1428  1 1 0 0 1 0 8 4.78 163/1407  2 1 0 0 0 1 7 4.88 124/1521  2 0 0 0 0 0 9 5.00 1/1541						structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.35	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.29	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	3	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	361/1339	4.71	4.83	4.32	4.40	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.31	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.58	4.12	4.17	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	163/1407	4.78	4.58	4.15	4.14	4.78
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	1	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	124/1521	4.88	4.44	4.20	4.22	4.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	1	0	0	0	5	4.33	588/1518	4.33	4.60	4.11	4.12	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.53	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.37	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.40	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	4.19	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.48	4.18	4.18	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.67	4.37	4.34	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.57	4.41	4.40	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 219 01

Title: Intro Digital Audio Wkst

Instructor: Revill, David An

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.84	4.08	4.07	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

**Course-Section: MUSC 222 1** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 10 Questionnaires: 10** 

**Title: Jazz Arranging** 

**Instructor: Belzer, Matthew** 

	2 0 0 0 0 0 8 5.00 1/15 2 0 0 0 0 0 1 7 4.88 169/1 2 5 0 0 0 0 3 5.00 1/13 2 0 0 0 0 0 8 5.00 1/14 2 0 0 0 1 3 4 4.38 519/1 ed 2 3 0 0 0 0 5 5.00 1/14 2 0 0 0 1 3 4 4.38 696/1 2 0 0 0 1 7 4.88 738/1					structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.35	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	169/1542	4.88	4.74	4.29	4.29	4.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	5	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.40	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.31	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	519/1428	4.38	4.58	4.12	4.17	4.38
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	3	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.14	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	696/1521	4.38	4.44	4.20	4.22	4.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	738/1541	4.88	4.66	4.70	4.68	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.12	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.53	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	221/1471	4.86	4.75	4.32	4.37	4.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.40	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	658/1310	4.17	4.50	4.06	4.19	4.17
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.48	4.18	4.18	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.67	4.37	4.34	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.57	4.41	4.40	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 222 1

Title: Jazz Arranging

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	7	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	4.07	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	2
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 224 1

Title: Instrumentation

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 13

Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

	3 0 0 0 0 0 10 5.00 1/15 3 0 0 0 0 1 9 4.90 144/1					structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.35	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	144/1542	4.90	4.74	4.29	4.29	4.90
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.40	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	1	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.31	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	0	1	8	4.60	301/1428	4.60	4.58	4.12	4.17	4.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	95/1407	4.90	4.58	4.15	4.14	4.90
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	658/1521	4.40	4.44	4.20	4.22	4.40
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	689/1541	4.90	4.66	4.70	4.68	4.90
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	1	1	0	0	1	4	4.17	783/1518	4.17	4.60	4.11	4.12	4.17
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.53	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.37	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.40	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	1	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	99/1310	4.83	4.50	4.06	4.19	4.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.48	4.18	4.18	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.67	4.37	4.34	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	630/1207	4.50	4.57	4.41	4.40	4.50

Course-Section: MUSC 224 1

Title: Instrumentation

Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 13

				Free	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	9	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	4.07	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	5
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	6						

**Course-Section: MUSC 226 01** 

**Term - Spring 2012** 

**Enrollment: 18** 

Title:

**Title: Theory IV: Music Writing** 

**Questionnaires: 13** 

**Instructor:** Macaulay, Janice

	NR NA						Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	310/1542	4.77	4.77	4.33	4.35	4.77
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	195/1542	4.85	4.74	4.29	4.29	4.85
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	125/1339	4.92	4.83	4.32	4.40	4.92
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	5	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.31	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	2	0	8	4.60	301/1428	4.60	4.58	4.12	4.17	4.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	104/1407	4.89	4.58	4.15	4.14	4.89
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	150/1521	4.85	4.44	4.20	4.22	4.85
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	4.00	1455/1541	4.00	4.66	4.70	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	0	1	5	5	4.08	857/1518	4.08	4.60	4.11	4.12	4.08
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.53	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.37	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.40	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	1	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	4.19	5.00
Discussion										-				
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	4.18	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.34	****

Course-Section: MUSC 226 01

Title: Theory IV:Music Writing

Instructor: Macaulay, Janice

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.40	****

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	0
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 230 01

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 35** 

**Title: Musics Of The World Instructor:** Beck, Gina C

**Questionnaires: 23** 

·				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	1	0	1	19	4.81	260/1542	4.81	4.77	4.33	4.35	4.81
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	1	0	2	18	4.76	283/1542	4.76	4.74	4.29	4.29	4.76
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	1	0	1	0	19	4.71	361/1339	4.71	4.83	4.32	4.40	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	2	0	0	1	2	16	4.79	217/1498	4.79	4.81	4.26	4.31	4.79
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	1	2	2	15	4.55	345/1428	4.55	4.58	4.12	4.17	4.55
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	2	0	1	1	1	16	4.68	234/1407	4.68	4.58	4.15	4.14	4.68
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	3	2	16	4.62	395/1521	4.62	4.44	4.20	4.22	4.62
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	10	11	4.52	1108/1541	4.52	4.66	4.70	4.68	4.52
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	0	0	0	9	9	4.50	373/1518	4.50	4.60	4.11	4.12	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.53	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	186/1471	4.88	4.75	4.32	4.37	4.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	106/1470	4.94	4.76	4.33	4.40	4.94
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	4.19	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	1	0	0	4	4.40	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	4.18	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	0	0	2	0	3	4.20	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.40	****

Course-Section: MUSC 230 01

Title: Musics Of The World

Instructor: Beck,Gina C

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 35

Questionnaires: 23

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	18	2	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	4.07	****

Credits Ea	rned	Cum. GP/	١	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	5	Under-grad	23	Non-major	14
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	6						

**Course-Section: MUSC 301 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 22 Questionnaires: 14** 

**Title: Chambers Players** Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

'				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	285/1542	4.79	4.77	4.33	4.37	4.79
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	256/1542	4.79	4.74	4.29	4.31	4.79
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	174/1498	4.83	4.81	4.26	4.32	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	10	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1428	****	4.58	4.12	4.15	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	9	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	4	0	0	2	0	7	4.56	463/1521	4.56	4.44	4.20	4.23	4.56
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	5	8	4.62	1038/1541	4.62	4.66	4.70	4.71	4.62
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	138/1518	4.83	4.60	4.11	4.13	4.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	10	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	10	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.35	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	11	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1310	****	4.50	4.06	4.11	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	4.27	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.45	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.51	****

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 22
Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	4.13	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	14	Non-major	6
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: MUSC 301 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 22** 

**Instructor:** Ladd, Gita

**Title: Chambers Players** 

**Questionnaires: 14** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	285/1542	4.79	4.77	4.33	4.37	4.79
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	256/1542	4.79	4.74	4.29	4.31	4.79
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	174/1498	4.83	4.81	4.26	4.32	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	10	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1428	****	4.58	4.12	4.15	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	9	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	4	0	0	2	0	7	4.56	463/1521	4.56	4.44	4.20	4.23	4.56
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	5	8	4.62	1038/1541	4.62	4.66	4.70	4.71	4.62
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1518	4.83	4.60	4.11	4.13	4.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.35	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	4.27	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.45	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.51	****

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 22
Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	4.13	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	14	Non-major	6
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

**Title: Chambers Players** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 22 Questionnaires: 14** 

**Instructor: Kannen, Maria La** 

**Frequencies Instructor** Course Ora UMBC Level Sect **Ouestions** NA 5 Mean NR 1 3 Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean Mean **General** 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course 0 0 0 0 0 3 11 4.79 285/1542 4.79 4.77 4.33 4.37 4.79 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals 0 0 0 0 0 3 4.29 11 4.79 256/1542 4.79 4.74 4.31 4.79 3. Did the exam guestions reflect the expected goals 0 9 5 4.32 0 0 0 0 5.00 1/1339 5.00 4.83 4.36 5.00 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals 174/1498 0 8 0 0 0 1 5 4.83 4.83 4.81 4.26 4.32 4.83 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned 1 10 0 0 0 0 3 5.00 \*\*\*\*/1428 4.58 4.12 4.15 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned 1 9 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 1/1407 5.00 4.58 4.15 4.20 5.00 7. Was the grading system clearly explained 4 1 0 0 2 0 7 4.56 463/1521 4.56 4.44 4.20 4.23 4.56 8. How many times was class cancelled 1 0 0 0 0 5 8 4.62 1038/1541 4.62 4.66 4.70 4.71 4.62 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness 12 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 \*\*\*\*/1518 4.83 4.60 4.11 4.13 4.83 Lecture 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared 13 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 \*\*\*\*/1472 5.00 4.74 4.46 4.46 5.00 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject \*\*\*\*/1475 12 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 5.00 4.89 4.72 4.74 5.00 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly 0 \*\*\*\*/1471 5.00 13 0 0 0 0 5.00 4.75 4.32 4.33 5.00 1 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned 0 \*\*\*\*/1470 5.00 13 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 4.76 4.33 4.35 5.00 \*\*\*\*/1310 \*\*\*\* 4.11 \*\*\*\* 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding 12 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 4.50 4.06 Discussion 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned \*\*\*\*/1210 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*

13

13

13

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

1

1

1

5.00

5.00

5.00

\*\*\*\*/1211

\*\*\*\*/1207

2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate

3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*

4.48

4.67

4.57

4.18

4.37

4.41

4.27

4.45

4.51

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Kannen, Maria La

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 22
Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	4.13	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	14	Non-major	6
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				l	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

**Title: Chambers Players** 

**Instructor:** Tremblay, Christ

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 22

**Questionnaires: 14** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	285/1542	4.79	4.77	4.33	4.37	4.79
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	256/1542	4.79	4.74	4.29	4.31	4.79
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	174/1498	4.83	4.81	4.26	4.32	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	10	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1428	****	4.58	4.12	4.15	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	9	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	4	0	0	2	0	7	4.56	463/1521	4.56	4.44	4.20	4.23	4.56
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	5	8	4.62	1038/1541	4.62	4.66	4.70	4.71	4.62
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1518	4.83	4.60	4.11	4.13	4.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	4.27	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.45	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.51	****

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Tremblay,Christ

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 22

Questionnaires: 14

Questionnaire

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	4.13	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	14	Non-major	6
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 303 01

Term - Spring 2012 Title: UMBC Camerata--Chamber C

**Enrollment: 39** 

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

**Questionnaires: 37** 

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	11	0	2	0	3	6	15	4.23	984/1542	4.23	4.77	4.33	4.37	4.23
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	11	0	1	0	2	9	14	4.35	821/1542	4.35	4.74	4.29	4.31	4.35
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	12	16	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	****/1339	****	4.83	4.32	4.36	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	11	10	0	0	2	2	12	4.63	404/1498	4.63	4.81	4.26	4.32	4.63
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	11	17	1	0	0	0	8	4.56	****/1428	****	4.58	4.12	4.15	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	11	20	1	0	0	0	5	4.33	****/1407	****	4.58	4.15	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	11	1	0	1	2	2	20	4.64	356/1521	4.64	4.44	4.20	4.23	4.64
8. How many times was class cancelled	11	1	0	0	0	0	25	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	13	0	0	0	2	11	11	4.38	534/1518	4.38	4.60	4.11	4.13	4.38
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	19	0	0	0	0	3	15	4.83	319/1472	4.83	4.74	4.46	4.46	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	19	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	19	0	0	0	2	1	15	4.72	386/1471	4.72	4.75	4.32	4.33	4.72
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	1	1	16	4.83	270/1470	4.83	4.76	4.33	4.35	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	21	11	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	****/1310	****	4.50	4.06	4.11	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	1	1	1	6	4.33	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	4.27	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	28	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.45	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	28	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.51	****

Course-Section: MUSC 303 01

Title: UMBC Camerata--Chamber C

Instructor: Caracciolo,Step

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 39

Questionnaires: 37

**Frequencies Instructor** Course UMBC Level Sect Org Questions NA 5 Mean Mean Mean NR Mean Rank Mean **Discussion** 4. Were special techniques successful \*\*\*\*/859 28 4.75 \*\*\*\* 4.84 4.08 4.13 \*\*\*\* 1 0 0 0 2 6

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	24	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	1	В	1						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	37	Non-major	26
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	15	F	0	Electives	9	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	1			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	4				
				?	11						

Course-Section: MUSC 304 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 50

i itie.

**Title: UMBC Jubilee Singers** 

**Questionnaires:** 43

**Instructor:** Jackson, Janice

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	12	0	0	0	1	6	24	4.74	335/1542	4.74	4.77	4.33	4.37	4.74
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	12	0	0	0	3	2	26	4.74	311/1542	4.74	4.74	4.29	4.31	4.74
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	12	21	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	****/1339	****	4.83	4.32	4.36	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	12	18	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	102/1498	4.92	4.81	4.26	4.32	4.92
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	13	22	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	****/1428	****	4.58	4.12	4.15	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	13	23	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	****/1407	****	4.58	4.15	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	13	5	0	0	4	6	15	4.44	602/1521	4.44	4.44	4.20	4.23	4.44
8. How many times was class cancelled	13	0	0	0	0	9	21	4.70	959/1541	4.70	4.66	4.70	4.71	4.70
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	18	1	0	0	0	4	20	4.83	138/1518	4.83	4.60	4.11	4.13	4.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	24	0	0	0	0	0	19	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	24	0	0	0	0	0	19	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	24	0	0	0	0	0	19	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.35	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	25	9	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	****/1310	****	4.50	4.06	4.11	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	32	0	1	0	0	0	10	4.64	348/1210	4.64	4.48	4.18	4.27	4.64
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	32	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.67	4.37	4.45	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	32	0	1	0	0	1	9	4.55	600/1207	4.55	4.57	4.41	4.51	4.55

Course-Section: MUSC 304 01

Title: UMBC Jubilee Singers

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 50

Questionnaires: 43

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	33	2	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	4.13	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	25	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	43	Non-major	42
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	11	F	0	Electives	12	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	7				
				?	16						

Course-Section: MUSC 305 01

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment:** 57

\_

**Title: UMBC Community Symphony** 

**Instructor:** Richards, Edwin

**Questionnaires:** 53

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	1	7	44	4.75	322/1542	4.75	4.77	4.33	4.37	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	9	44	4.83	203/1542	4.83	4.74	4.29	4.31	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	42	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	****/1339	****	4.83	4.32	4.36	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	29	0	0	0	3	21	4.88	147/1498	4.88	4.81	4.26	4.32	4.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	5	34	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	157/1428	4.79	4.58	4.12	4.15	4.79
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	5	37	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	****/1407	****	4.58	4.15	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	6	0	0	2	7	37	4.76	222/1521	4.76	4.44	4.20	4.23	4.76
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	3	0	0	0	0	49	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	49	4.94	66/1518	4.94	4.60	4.11	4.13	4.94
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	40	0	0	0	1	0	12	4.85	****/1472	****	4.74	4.46	4.46	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	40	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	****/1475	****	4.89	4.72	4.74	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	41	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	****/1471	****	4.75	4.32	4.33	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	40	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	****/1470	****	4.76	4.33	4.35	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	42	6	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	****/1310	****	4.50	4.06	4.11	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	51	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	4.27	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	51	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.45	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	51	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.51	****

Course-Section: MUSC 305 01

Title: UMBC Community Symphony
Instructor: Richards, Edwin

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 57

Questionnaires: 53

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	51	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	4.13	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	42	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	15
28-55	7	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	5	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	53	Non-major	38
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	18	F	0	Electives	17	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	8				
				?	10						

Course-Section: MUSC 307 06

**Title: Small Ensemble** 

**Instructor:** Goldstein, Thoma

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 9

**Questionnaires:** 9

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	632/1542	4.79	4.77	4.33	4.37	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	466/1542	4.86	4.74	4.29	4.31	4.63
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1498	4.75	4.81	4.26	4.32	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	2	1	0	0	2	3	4.00	1046/1521	4.20	4.44	4.20	4.23	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1541	4.51	4.66	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	278/1518	4.61	4.60	4.11	4.13	4.63
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	1.00	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	****	3.00	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	3.84	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.17	****

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 307 07

Title: Small Ensemble

**Instructor:** Morin, Joseph C

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	4.79	4.77	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	4.86	4.74	4.29	4.31	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	4.75	4.81	4.26	4.32	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.60	4.58	4.12	4.15	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1407	4.10	4.58	4.15	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	4.20	4.44	4.20	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1541	4.51	4.66	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	920/1518	4.61	4.60	4.11	4.13	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	4.83	4.74	4.46	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	4.83	4.75	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	4.83	4.76	4.33	4.35	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 307 07

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	4.11	5.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits Ea	rned	Cum. GPA	١	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 307 08

Title: Small Ensemble

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

Instructor: Belzer,Matthew

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	260/1542	4.79	4.77	4.33	4.37	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1542	4.86	4.74	4.29	4.31	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.36	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1498	4.75	4.81	4.26	4.32	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1428	4.60	4.58	4.12	4.15	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1407	4.10	4.58	4.15	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	185/1521	4.20	4.44	4.20	4.23	4.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	1208/1541	4.51	4.66	4.70	4.71	4.40
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1518	4.61	4.60	4.11	4.13	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1472	4.83	4.74	4.46	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1471	4.83	4.75	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1470	4.83	4.76	4.33	4.35	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	4.11	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0 0		0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1210	4.50	4.48	4.18	4.27	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1211	5.00	4.67	4.37	4.45	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1207	5.00	4.57	4.41	4.51	****

Course-Section: MUSC 307 08

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/859	4.00	4.84	4.08	4.13	****

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 307 09

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Forshee,Zane F.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1542	4.79	4.77	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1542	4.86	4.74	4.29	4.31	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	4.75	4.81	4.26	4.32	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	994/1541	4.51	4.66	4.70	4.71	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1518	4.61	4.60	4.11	4.13	5.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 307 10 Title: Small Ensemble**  Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment:** 6

**Instructor:** Regan, Joseph Co

**Questionnaires:** 6

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	435/1542	4.79	4.77	4.33	4.37	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	416/1542	4.86	4.74	4.29	4.31	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.36	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1058/1498	4.75	4.81	4.26	4.32	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	681/1428	4.60	4.58	4.12	4.15	4.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	0	2	1	1	3.20	1309/1407	4.10	4.58	4.15	4.20	3.20
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	4	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1434/1521	4.20	4.44	4.20	4.23	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	3.50	1527/1541	4.51	4.66	4.70	4.71	3.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	494/1518	4.61	4.60	4.11	4.13	4.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	817/1472	4.83	4.74	4.46	4.46	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	637/1471	4.83	4.75	4.32	4.33	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	692/1470	4.83	4.76	4.33	4.35	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	4.11	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0 0		0	0	1	1	4.50	430/1210	4.50	4.48	4.18	4.27	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0 0		0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.67	4.37	4.45	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.57	4.41	4.51	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 307 10

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Regan, Joseph Co

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 6

**Questionnaires:** 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	478/859	4.00	4.84	4.08	4.13	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 308 01

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 49** 

**Title: UMBC Wind Ensemble** 

**Questionnaires: 30** 

**Instructor:** Spece, Richard D

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	15	0	1	0	1	5	8	4.27	951/1542	4.27	4.77	4.33	4.37	4.27
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	15	0	0	1	1	2	11	4.53	578/1542	4.53	4.74	4.29	4.31	4.53
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	15	12	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1339	****	4.83	4.32	4.36	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	15	14	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1498	****	4.81	4.26	4.32	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	15	14	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1428	****	4.58	4.12	4.15	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	15	14	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1407	****	4.58	4.15	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	15	1	0	0	1	0	13	4.86	142/1521	4.86	4.44	4.20	4.23	4.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	15	0	0	0	0	7	8	4.53	1100/1541	4.53	4.66	4.70	4.71	4.53
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	17	0	0	0	1	6	6	4.38	521/1518	4.38	4.60	4.11	4.13	4.38
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	22	0	1	0	0	3	4	4.13	1176/1472	4.13	4.74	4.46	4.46	4.13
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	21	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	861/1475	4.78	4.89	4.72	4.74	4.78
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	21	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	587/1471	4.56	4.75	4.32	4.33	4.56
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	558/1470	4.63	4.76	4.33	4.35	4.63

Course-Section: MUSC 308 01

Title: UMBC Wind Ensemble

Instructor: Spece,Richard D

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 49

Questionnaires: 30

	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions		NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	22	5	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1310	****	4.50	4.06	4.11	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	16	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	30	Non-major	25
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the			
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	4				
				?	14						

**Course-Section: MUSC 310 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 19** 

**Title: Beginning Gamelan** 

**Instructor:** Beck, Gina C

**Questionnaires: 19** 

			Frequencies				In	Instructor		Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	12	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	12	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	186/1542	4.86	4.74	4.29	4.31	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	12	6	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1339	****	4.83	4.32	4.36	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	12	5	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1498	****	4.81	4.26	4.32	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	12	6	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1521	****	4.44	4.20	4.23	****
8. How many times was class cancelled	12	0	0	0	0	6	1	4.14	1401/1541	4.14	4.66	4.70	4.71	4.14
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	13	1	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	494/1518	4.40	4.60	4.11	4.13	4.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	16	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1472	****	4.74	4.46	4.46	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	16	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1475	****	4.89	4.72	4.74	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	16	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1471	****	4.75	4.32	4.33	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1470	****	4.76	4.33	4.35	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	16	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1310	****	4.50	4.06	4.11	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	4.27	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	17	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.45	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.51	****

Course-Section: MUSC 310 01

Title: Beginning Gamelan

Instructor: Beck,Gina C

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 19
Questionnaires: 19

				Frequencies				In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	4.13	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	5	Under-grad	19	Non-major	16
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives 0 **** - Means there are not end		nough responses			
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	12						

**Course-Section: MUSC 311 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 1** 

**Title: Free Composition** 

**Instructor:** Dusman, Linda J

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1173/1542	4.00	4.77	4.33	4.37	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.32	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	851/1428	4.00	4.58	4.12	4.15	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	5.00	4.44	4.20	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	920/1518	4.00	4.60	4.11	4.13	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.35	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	4.11	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.48	4.18	4.27	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.67	4.37	4.45	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	918/1207	4.00	4.57	4.41	4.51	4.00

Course-Section: MUSC 311 01

Title: Free Composition

Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 1
Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.84	4.08	4.13	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 312 01

Title: Composition

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

Title: Composition
Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

'	0 0 0 0 0 1 4 4.80 229/154  1 3 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/133  1 0 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 1/1498  0 0 0 0 0 0 1 4 4.80 142/142  ed 1 0 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 1/1407  0 0 0 0 0 1 4 4.80 185/152  0 0 0 0 0 0 5 5.00 1/1541					structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	229/1542	4.80	4.74	4.29	4.31	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1339	****	4.83	4.32	4.36	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.32	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	142/1428	4.80	4.58	4.12	4.15	4.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	185/1521	4.80	4.44	4.20	4.23	4.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.13	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.35	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	4.11	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.48	4.18	4.27	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.67	4.37	4.45	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.57	4.41	4.51	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 312 01
Title: Composition
Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

				Free	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.84	4.08	4.13	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 319 01** 

01 Term - Spring 2012

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

**Title: Adv Topics In Music Tech** 

Enrollment: 8

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	260/1542	4.80	4.77	4.33	4.37	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.31	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	194/1498	4.80	4.81	4.26	4.32	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	142/1428	4.80	4.58	4.12	4.15	4.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	252/1407	4.67	4.58	4.15	4.20	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	1	0	1	3	4.20	892/1521	4.20	4.44	4.20	4.23	4.20
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.13	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.35	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1310	****	4.50	4.06	4.11	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	4.27	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.45	****

Course-Section: MUSC 319 01

Title: Adv Topics In Music Tech

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.51	****

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: MUSC 320 01

**Title: UMBC Jazz Ensemble** 

**Instructor:** Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 17** 

				Fre	quend	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	205/1542	4.87	4.77	4.33	4.37	4.87
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	101/1542	4.93	4.74	4.29	4.31	4.93
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	8	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	6	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	141/1498	4.89	4.81	4.26	4.32	4.89
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	9	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.58	4.12	4.15	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	9	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	1	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	142/1521	4.86	4.44	4.20	4.23	4.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	1	0	13	4.86	771/1541	4.86	4.66	4.70	4.71	4.86
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	1	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	151/1518	4.80	4.60	4.11	4.13	4.80
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	11	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	11	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	12	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.35	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	12	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1310	****	4.50	4.06	4.11	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	4.27	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.45	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.51	****

Course-Section: MUSC 320 01 **Title: UMBC Jazz Ensemble Instructor: Belzer, Matthew** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 17 Questionnaires: 17** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	13	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	4.13	****

Credits Ea	rned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	17	Non-major	6
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	3				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 322 01

Title: Music History II

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 28

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	1	0	0	5	18	4.63	486/1542	4.63	4.77	4.33	4.37	4.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	5	0	1	0	0	5	17	4.61	492/1542	4.61	4.74	4.29	4.31	4.61
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	5	0	0	0	0	2	21	4.91	141/1339	4.91	4.83	4.32	4.36	4.91
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	0	1	0	2	3	18	4.54	500/1498	4.54	4.81	4.26	4.32	4.54
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	2	1	2	0	5	14	4.32	569/1428	4.32	4.58	4.12	4.15	4.32
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	3	1	1	1	5	13	4.33	599/1407	4.33	4.58	4.15	4.20	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	1	1	0	3	2	17	4.48	560/1521	4.48	4.44	4.20	4.23	4.48
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	1	0	0	15	8	4.21	1360/1541	4.21	4.66	4.70	4.71	4.21
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	0	0	0	1	8	9	4.44	445/1518	4.44	4.60	4.11	4.13	4.44
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	1	0	0	2	20	4.74	486/1472	4.74	4.74	4.46	4.46	4.74
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	1	0	1	1	19	4.68	1013/1475	4.68	4.89	4.72	4.74	4.68
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	1	0	0	4	17	4.64	500/1471	4.64	4.75	4.32	4.33	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	1	0	0	0	2	19	4.90	177/1470	4.90	4.76	4.33	4.35	4.90
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	1	0	0	2	5	14	4.57	270/1310	4.57	4.50	4.06	4.11	4.57
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	19	0	1	0	2	1	5	4.00	774/1210	4.00	4.48	4.18	4.27	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	19	0	2	0	2	0	5	3.67	1066/1211	3.67	4.67	4.37	4.45	3.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	19	0	2	1	0	0	6	3.78	1031/1207	3.78	4.57	4.41	4.51	3.78
4. Were special techniques successful	19	6	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	4.13	****

Course-Section: MUSC 322 01

Title: Music History II

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 28

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	26	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/210	****	1.00	4.17	4.21	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	26	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	****	4.50	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	26	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	****	4.32	4.44	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	26	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	5.00	4.15	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	26	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	****	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	26	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	****	4.60	4.68	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	26	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	****	4.50	4.51	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	26	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	****	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	26	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	****	4.17	4.46	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	26	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/32	****	1.00	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	26	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/35	****	3.00	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	26	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	26	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	3.84	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	26	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.17	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	26	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/30	****	4.56	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	26	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	5.00	4.57	4.33	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	26	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.50	4.29	2.17	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	26	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	3.67	4.25	1.00	****

Course-Section: MUSC 322 01

Title: Music History II

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 28

Questionnaires: 28

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	26	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	1.00	4.14	1.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	20	Graduate	0	Major	19
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	28	Non-major	9
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	12	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	8						

**Course-Section: MUSC 323 1** 

Title: Careeer Dev For Musician

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 13

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	492/1542	4.60	4.74	4.29	4.31	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	8	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1339	****	4.83	4.32	4.36	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	194/1498	4.80	4.81	4.26	4.32	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	220/1428	4.70	4.58	4.12	4.15	4.70
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	1	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	104/1407	4.89	4.58	4.15	4.20	4.89
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	3	3	4	4.10	997/1521	4.10	4.44	4.20	4.23	4.10
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	169/1518	4.78	4.60	4.11	4.13	4.78
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	753/1472	4.56	4.74	4.46	4.46	4.56
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	592/1475	4.89	4.89	4.72	4.74	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	463/1471	4.67	4.75	4.32	4.33	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	349/1470	4.78	4.76	4.33	4.35	4.78
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	3	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	495/1310	4.33	4.50	4.06	4.11	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	4.27	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.45	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.51	****

Course-Section: MUSC 323 1

Title: Careeer Dev For Musician

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	10	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	4.13	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	4
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	5						

Course-Section: MUSC 324 01

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment:** 5

**Questionnaires:** 5

Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou

**Instructor:** Belzer, Matthew

Zilber decorr Beizer/i lactilett														
				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1542	4.83	4.74	4.29	4.31	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1498	4.75	4.81	4.26	4.32	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.58	4.12	4.15	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1521	4.33	4.44	4.20	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1541	4.75	4.66	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1518	4.63	4.60	4.11	4.13	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1472	****	4.74	4.46	4.46	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1475	****	4.89	4.72	4.74	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1471	****	4.75	4.32	4.33	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1470	****	4.76	4.33	4.35	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	4.11	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.48	4.18	4.27	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.67	4.37	4.45	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.57	4.41	4.51	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 324 01

Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 5
Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.84	4.08	4.13	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 324 02** 

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 6

**Instructor:** Lagana, Thomas V

**Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou** 

Questionnaires:	6

		Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	232/1542	4.92	4.77	4.33	4.37	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	416/1542	4.83	4.74	4.29	4.31	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	549/1498	4.75	4.81	4.26	4.32	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.58	4.12	4.15	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	3	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1257/1521	4.33	4.44	4.20	4.23	3.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	1124/1541	4.75	4.66	4.70	4.71	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	686/1518	4.63	4.60	4.11	4.13	4.25

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	2
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 385 01

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 7** 

**Title: Intermediate Conducting** 

**Instructor:** Caracciolo, Step

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	322/1542	4.75	4.77	4.33	4.37	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	615/1542	4.50	4.74	4.29	4.31	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	582/1339	4.50	4.83	4.32	4.36	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	767/1498	4.33	4.81	4.26	4.32	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	1	1	0	1	3.33	1292/1428	3.33	4.58	4.12	4.15	3.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	746/1521	4.33	4.44	4.20	4.23	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	920/1518	4.00	4.60	4.11	4.13	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1472	****	4.74	4.46	4.46	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1475	****	4.89	4.72	4.74	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1471	****	4.75	4.32	4.33	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1470	****	4.76	4.33	4.35	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1310	****	4.50	4.06	4.11	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1210	****	4.48	4.18	4.27	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1211	****	4.67	4.37	4.45	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1207	****	4.57	4.41	4.51	****

Course-Section: MUSC 385 01 **Title: Intermediate Conducting Instructor:** Caracciolo, Step

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 7 Questionnaires:** 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	4.13	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 390 01

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Instructor: Andrist, Audrey

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1542/1542	4.20	4.74	4.29	4.31	1.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1520/1521	3.75	4.44	4.20	4.23	1.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1455/1541	4.80	4.66	4.70	4.71	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1222/1472	4.75	4.74	4.46	4.46	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1397/1475	4.75	4.89	4.72	4.74	4.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1405/1470	4.25	4.76	4.33	4.35	3.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1308/1310	1.00	4.50	4.06	4.11	1.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1209/1210	3.00	4.48	4.18	4.27	1.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1211/1211	3.00	4.67	4.37	4.45	1.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1207/1207	3.50	4.57	4.41	4.51	2.00
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	207/207	1.00	1.00	4.12	4.17	1.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	209/210	1.00	1.00	4.17	4.21	1.00
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/199	5.00	5.00	4.15	4.18	5.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	32/32	1.00	1.00	4.20	3.88	1.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	33/35	3.00	3.00	4.36	4.08	3.00

Course-Section: MUSC 390 01

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 2** 

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

**Instructor:** Andrist, Audrey

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	30/30	1.00	4.56	4.27	3.17	1.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	29/29	1.00	4.50	4.29	2.17	1.00
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	18/18	1.00	3.67	4.25	1.00	1.00
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	13/13	1.00	1.00	4.14	1.00	1.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 390 03

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 1** 

**Instructor:** Appelman, Harold

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quend	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.20	4.74	4.29	4.31	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	3.75	4.44	4.20	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	4.80	4.66	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.13	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	4.75	4.74	4.46	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	4.75	4.89	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	4.25	4.76	4.33	4.35	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1210	3.00	4.48	4.18	4.27	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1211	3.00	4.67	4.37	4.45	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 390 03

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

**Instructor:** Appelman, Harold

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1207	3.50	4.57	4.41	4.51	5.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 390 08

JSC 390 08

**Instructor:** Yoshioka, Airi

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 1

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.20	4.74	4.29	4.31	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.32	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.58	4.12	4.15	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	3.75	4.44	4.20	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	4.80	4.66	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.13	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	4.75	4.74	4.46	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	4.75	4.89	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.33	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 390 08

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

**Term - Spring 2012** 

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

Instructor: Yoshioka, Airi

r: Voshioka Airi

·														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sec
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mear
Lecture														
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	4.25	4.76	4.33	4.35	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 390 12

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

**Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V** 

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 1

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.20	4.74	4.29	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.32	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.58	4.12	4.15	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1046/1521	3.75	4.44	4.20	4.23	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	4.80	4.66	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.13	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	4.75	4.74	4.46	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	4.75	4.89	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1108/1470	4.25	4.76	4.33	4.35	4.00

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 390 27

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 1** 

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art **Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma** 

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	4.20	4.74	4.29	4.31	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	4.80	4.66	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.13	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 391 01

**Title: Adv Perf Studies - West** 

**Instructor:** Ladd, Gita

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 1

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.31	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.58	4.12	4.15	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	5.00	4.44	4.20	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	4.67	4.66	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.13	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.35	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 391 03

Title: Adv Perf Studies - West

**Instructor:** Forshee, Zane F.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 1

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.31	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1455/1541	4.67	4.66	4.70	4.71	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.13	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.35	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	4.11	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 391 04

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 1** 

**Title: Adv Perf Studies - West** 

**Instructor: Cella, Lisa M** 

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.32	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	4.67	4.66	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.13	5.00

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 392 01

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 1** 

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

**Questionnaires: 1** 

**Instructor:** Ruas, Laura Mari

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.31	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1434/1521	4.00	4.44	4.20	4.23	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1455/1541	4.50	4.66	4.70	4.71	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.13	5.00

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 392 04

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

**Instructor:** Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 1

**Questionnaires: 1** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.31	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.32	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.58	4.12	4.15	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	4.00	4.44	4.20	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	4.50	4.66	4.70	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.13	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 400 02

Title: Special Projects

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 1
Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.33	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	5.00	4.44	4.20	4.24	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.72	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.18	5.00

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 400 05

Title: Special Projects

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.33	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	5.00	4.44	4.20	4.24	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.72	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.18	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.50	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.38	5.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 401 02

SC 401 02 Term - Spring 2012

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

**Title: Special Projects: Comp** 

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quend	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.33	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.44	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.35	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.58	4.12	4.22	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.30	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	5.00	4.44	4.20	4.24	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.72	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.18	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.50	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.38	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.50	4.06	4.09	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.48	4.18	4.34	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.67	4.37	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.57	4.41	4.53	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 401 02

Title: Special Projects: Comp

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 1
Questionnaires: 1

Instructor: Rubin,Anna I

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.84	4.08	4.19	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 418 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 7** 

**Title: Music Tech. Internship Instructor:** Wonneberger, Ala

**Questionnaires: 7** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.33	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1498	****	4.81	4.26	4.35	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1428	****	4.58	4.12	4.22	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1407	****	4.58	4.15	4.30	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1521	5.00	4.44	4.20	4.24	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.72	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.18	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	5
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: MUSC 427 01

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 8** 

**Title: Electronic Music** 

**Questionnaires: 7** 

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	2	1	1	3	3.71	1365/1542	3.71	4.77	4.33	4.42	3.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	3	0	0	3	3.14	1487/1542	3.14	4.74	4.29	4.33	3.14
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	3	1	0	3	3.43	1233/1339	3.43	4.83	4.32	4.44	3.43
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	3	0	0	3	3.14	1442/1498	3.14	4.81	4.26	4.35	3.14
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	2	0	0	3	3.00	1360/1428	3.00	4.58	4.12	4.22	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	3	0	1	3	3.57	1170/1407	3.57	4.58	4.15	4.30	3.57
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	4	0	1	2	3.14	1412/1521	3.14	4.44	4.20	4.24	3.14
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	5	1	4.00	1455/1541	4.00	4.66	4.70	4.72	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	2	0	1	2	3.17	1397/1518	3.17	4.60	4.11	4.18	3.17
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	2	0	0	0	2	3.00	1439/1472	3.00	4.74	4.46	4.50	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	1	1	0	2	3.75	1433/1475	3.75	4.89	4.72	4.74	3.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	2	0	0	0	2	3.00	1410/1471	3.00	4.75	4.32	4.36	3.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	2	0	0	0	2	3.00	1405/1470	3.00	4.76	4.33	4.38	3.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	1	1	0	2	3.75	948/1310	3.75	4.50	4.06	4.09	3.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	2	0	0	0	2	3.00	1123/1210	3.00	4.48	4.18	4.34	3.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	918/1211	4.00	4.67	4.37	4.47	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	1	1	0	0	2	3.25	1155/1207	3.25	4.57	4.41	4.53	3.25
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.84	4.08	4.19	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 427 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 8

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

- -

**Title: Electronic Music** 

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	1.00	4.12	4.41	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/210	****	1.00	4.17	4.02	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/202	****	****	4.50	4.42	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	****	4.32	4.23	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	****	4.56	4.62	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	****	4.60	4.67	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	****	4.54	4.72	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	****	4.17	4.37	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/32	****	1.00	4.20	4.39	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	****	3.00	4.36	4.25	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.56	****

Course-Section: MUSC 427 01

Title: Electronic Music

Instructor: Rubin,Anna I

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	4.33	****

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	2
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: MUSC 480 01** 

Title: Topic in Music, Art & S

**Instructor:** Morin, Joseph C

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 19

**Questionnaires: 19** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	5	14	4.74	347/1542	4.74	4.77	4.33	4.42	4.74
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	6	11	4.47	656/1542	4.47	4.74	4.29	4.33	4.47
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	17	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1339	****	4.83	4.32	4.44	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	7	9	4.56	476/1498	4.56	4.81	4.26	4.35	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	56/1428	4.95	4.58	4.12	4.22	4.95
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	15	4.74	193/1407	4.74	4.58	4.15	4.30	4.74
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	5	1	1	6	3	3	3.43	1356/1521	3.43	4.44	4.20	4.24	3.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	12	6	4.26	1321/1541	4.26	4.66	4.70	4.72	4.26
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	5	10	4.67	244/1518	4.67	4.60	4.11	4.18	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	5	9	4.64	629/1472	4.64	4.74	4.46	4.50	4.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	430/1475	4.93	4.89	4.72	4.74	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	2	4	7	4.38	809/1471	4.38	4.75	4.32	4.36	4.38
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	498/1470	4.67	4.76	4.33	4.38	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	7	1	1	0	1	2	3.40	1113/1310	3.40	4.50	4.06	4.09	3.40
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	187/1210	4.83	4.48	4.18	4.34	4.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	451/1211	4.67	4.67	4.37	4.47	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	210/1207	4.92	4.57	4.41	4.53	4.92

Course-Section: MUSC 480 01

Title: Topic in Music, Art & S

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 19
Questionnaires: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	7	11	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/859	****	4.84	4.08	4.19	****

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	17
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	19	Non-major	2
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 492 02

Title: Senior Project

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.42	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.33	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.83	4.32	4.44	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.81	4.26	4.35	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.58	4.12	4.22	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.58	4.15	4.30	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	5.00	4.44	4.20	4.24	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.72	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.18	5.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 694 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 5

ritie:

**Title: Solo Performance Study** 

**Instructor:** Dusman, Linda J

**Questionnaires: 3** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.31	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	5.00	4.44	4.20	4.24	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.75	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.15	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.74	4.46	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.34	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.48	4.18	4.28	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.67	4.37	4.51	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.57	4.41	4.53	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.84	4.08	4.08	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/30	5.00	4.56	4.27	4.36	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 694 01

Title: Solo Performance Study

Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/29	5.00	4.50	4.29	4.42	5.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	2	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 694 01

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment:** 5

**Title: Solo Performance Study** 

**Instructor:** Forshee, Zane F.

**Questionnaires: 3** 

			Frequencies					In	Instructor		Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.31	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	5.00	4.44	4.20	4.24	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.75	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.60	4.11	4.15	5.00
Lecture														
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.89	4.72	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.75	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.76	4.33	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1218/1310	3.00	4.50	4.06	3.99	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.48	4.18	4.28	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.67	4.37	4.51	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.57	4.41	4.53	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.84	4.08	4.08	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/30	5.00	4.56	4.27	4.36	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 694 01

Title: Solo Performance Study

Instructor: Forshee, Zane F.

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 5
Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/29	5.00	4.50	4.29	4.42	5.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	ed Cum. GPA		Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	2	Major	1	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	2	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	1							

Course-Section: MUSC 694 01

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment:** 5

**Title: Solo Performance Study** 

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

**Questionnaires: 3** 

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.31	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	5.00	4.44	4.20	4.24	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.75	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.48	4.18	4.28	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.67	4.37	4.51	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.57	4.41	4.53	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.84	4.08	4.08	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/30	5.00	4.56	4.27	4.36	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/29	5.00	4.50	4.29	4.42	5.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	2	Major	1	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	2	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	1							

Course-Section: MUSC 694 01

Term - Spring 2012

Title: Solo Performance Study
Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 3

			Frequencies			Instructor		Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.77	4.33	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.74	4.29	4.31	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1521	5.00	4.44	4.20	4.24	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.66	4.70	4.75	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.48	4.18	4.28	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.67	4.37	4.51	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.57	4.41	4.53	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.84	4.08	4.08	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/30	5.00	4.56	4.27	4.36	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/29	5.00	4.50	4.29	4.42	5.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades				Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	2	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not ei	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						