Course-Section: PUB 150 01 University of Maryland Title Public Affairs Schol S Baltimore County JUN 28, 2010

Instructor: Meyers, Roy T

Enrollment: 17 Questionnaires: 16

Spring 2010 Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire Page 1260

Job IRBR3029

Questions				NR	NA	Fr 1	_	ncies 3	4	5	Inst Mean	tructor Rank	Course Mean	Dept Mean		Level Mean	Sect Mean	
General 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course							1	1	5	2	5	3 64	1296/1447	3.64	3.81	4.31	4.18	3.64
		ctor make clear			2 1	0	1	3	3	3	5		1311/1447		3.04	4.27	4.30	3.53
		uestions reflec			1	7	1	0	2	0	5		923/1241		4.00	4.33	4.25	4.00
		uations reflect			1	0	0	1	3	5	6		936/1402		3.36	4.24	4.15	4.07
				what you learned	1	0	2	2	7	2	2	3.00	1291/1358	3.00	3.93	4.11	4.03	3.00
6. Did wr	itten ass	signments conti	ribute t	o what you learned	2	0	2	1	3	6	2	3.36	1192/1316	3.36	3.79	4.14	3.99	3.36
7. Was the	e grading	g system clear:	ly expla	ined	2	0	2	1	5	2	4	3.36	1306/1427	3.36	2.62	4.19	4.24	3.36
8. How man	ny times	was class cand	celled		1	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	592/1447	4.87	4.82	4.69	4.68	4.87
9. How wor	uld you g	grade the over	all teac	hing effectiveness	4	1	0	0	5	5	1	3.64	1169/1434	3.64	4.04	4.10	4.10	3.64
		Lectu		_		_				_								
		uctor's lecture			2	0	0	0	1	5	8					4.46	4.46	4.50
		ctor seem inter			2	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71			4.86	4.73	4.71	4.71
				xplained clearly	2	0	0	0	4	3	7		911/1386		3.93	4.32	4.32	4.21
		es contribute (2	0	1	1	3	1	8		1030/1380		4.04	4.32	4.31	4.00
5. Did aud	diovisua.	l techniques ei	nhance y	our understanding	2	9	0	0	3	0	2	3.80	813/1193	3.80	3.57	4.02	3.99	3.80
		Discus	zaion															
1 Did cla	ass disco			what you learned	6	0	1	0	2	1	6	4 10	672/1172	4.10	4 26	4.15	3.95	4.10
				d to participate	6	0	1	0	0	0			490/1182		4.20	4.35	4.18	4.60
				d open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80			4.49	4.38	4.17	4.80
		echniques succe		a open alboablen	6	2	0	2	2	1	3	3.63			3.48	4.06	3.95	
	-	-																
				Frequ	lency	Dis	trib	ution	1									
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected Grades				Rea	asons				Ту	pe			Majors	3
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	A 12		Red	auir	ed fo	or Ma		 s 1	.3	 Graduat	 e	0	Majo	 or	0
28-55	9	1.00-1.99	0	B 1						,	-	-		-	-) ~		-
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C 0		Gei	nera	1				0	Under-g	rad 1	6	Non-	major	16
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D 0									3				-	
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	12	F 0		Ele	ecti	ves				0	#### - Means there a			re not	enoug	jh
				P 0									respons				_	
				I O		Otl	her					0	-	-				
				0 0														

Course-Section: PUB 304 1 University of Maryland Title Community Research

Baltimore County Spring 2010

Hanlon, Bernadet (Instr. A) Instructor:

Enrollment: 14 Questionnaires: 10

Page 1261 JUN 28, 2010 Job IRBR3029

Student Cou	rse Evaluation	Questionnaire
-------------	----------------	---------------

		Frequencies NR NA 1 2 3 4					Inst	tructor	Course	Dept	UMBC Level		Sect	
Questions			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	2	4	3.90	1159/1447	3.90	3.81	4.31	4.32	3.90
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	2	5	2	0	2.80	1417/1447	2.80	3.04	4.27	4.23	2.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1241	****	4.00	4.33	4.33	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	6	1	0	2	0	1	3.00	1359/1402	3.00	3.36	4.24	4.24	3.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	2	7	4.40	452/1358	4.40	3.93	4.11	4.10	4.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	2	2	4	4.00	812/1316	4.00	3.79	4.14	4.13	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	6	1	1	2	0	0	2.25	1412/1427	2.25	2.62	4.19	4.15	2.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	754/1447	4.80	4.82	4.69	4.65	4.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	6	3	4.20	701/1434	4.24	4.04	4.10	4.09	4.24
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	Λ	2	2	1	2	3 43	1314/1387	3.71	3.98	4.46	4.44	3.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	656/1387	4.93	4.86	4.73	4.71	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	1	3	1	2		1243/1386	3.79	3.93	4.32	4.30	3.79
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	2	1	2		1128/1380	4.05	4.04	4.32	4.32	4.05
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	4	0	1	1	0	1		1022/1193	3.33	3.57	4.02	4.05	3.33
o. Dia addio. Ibaai coominques emanee your anderseanding	J	-	ŭ	_	_	ŭ	_	3.33	1022, 1133	3.33	3.37	1.02	1.00	3.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	2	0	4	4.33	521/1172	4.33	4.26	4.15	4.24	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	3	0	3	4.00	856/1182	4.00	4.20	4.35	4.42	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	2	0	4	4.33	710/1170	4.33	4.49	4.38	4.49	4.33
4. Were special techniques successful	4	1	1	0	2	0	2	3.40	683/ 800	3.40	3.48	4.06	4.12	3.40
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/ 38	****	****	4.49	4.73	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/ 36	****	****	4.25	3.81	****
	on a	Dict	. m i b .	.+	_									
Frequ	епсу	DIST	.r.tbl	ıcıor	1									

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Type	Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	 А	 7	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	10	Non-major	10
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	7	#### - Means	there	are not enough	h
				P	0			responses to	be sig	gnificant	
				I	0	Other	1	-		_	
				2	0						

Course-Section: PUB 304 1 University of Maryland

Baltimore County Spring 2010

Title Community Research
Instructor: Meyers,Roy T (Instr. B)

Enrollment: 14
Questionnaires: 10

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Page 1262 JUN 28, 2010 Job IRBR3029

Questions					NR	NA	Fre 1	_	ncies 3	4	5	Inst Mean	ructor Rank		Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean	
General																			
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course						0	0	1	3	2	4	3 90	1159/1447	3.90	3.81	4.31	4.32	3.90	
		ctor make clear			0	0	1	2	5	2	0		1417/1447	2.80	3.04	4.27	4.23	2.80	
		uestions reflec			0	9	0	0	1	0	0		****/1241	****	4.00	4.33	4.33	****	
	_	uations reflect		1 3	0	6	1	0	2	0	1		1359/1402	3.00	3.36	4.24	4.24	3.00	
				what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	2	7	4.40	452/1358	4.40	3.93	4.11	4.10	4.40	
				o what you learned	0	1	0	1	2	2	4	4.00	812/1316	4.00	3.79	4.14	4.13	4.00	
7. Was th	ne gradin	g system clearl	y expla	ined	0	6	1	1	2	0	0	2.25	1412/1427	2.25	2.62	4.19	4.15	2.25	
8. How ma	any times	was class canc	elled		0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	754/1447	4.80	4.82	4.69	4.65	4.80	
9. How wo	ould you	grade the overa	ll tead	ching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	5	2	4.29	600/1434	4.24	4.04	4.10	4.09	4.24	
		Lectur	e																
		uctor's lecture			5	0	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	1176/1387	3.71	3.98	4.46	4.44	3.71	
		ctor seem inter			5	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1387	4.93	4.86	4.73	4.71	4.93	
		-		explained clearly	5	0	0	0	2	1	2		1047/1386	3.79	3.93	4.32	4.30	3.79	
		es contribute t			6	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	887/1380	4.05	4.04	4.32	4.32	4.05	
5. Did au	udiovisua	l techniques en	hance y	our understanding	6	2	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1193	3.33	3.57	4.02	4.05	3.33	
		Discus	sion																
1. Did cl	lass disc	ussions contrib	ute to	what you learned	4	0	0	0	2	0	4	4.33	521/1172	4.33	4.26	4.15	4.24	4.33	
2. Were a	all stude:	nts actively en	courage	ed to participate	4	0	0	0	3	0	3	4.00	856/1182	4.00	4.20	4.35	4.42	4.00	
3. Did th	ne instru	ctor encourage	fair ar	nd open discussion	4	0	0	0	2	0	4	4.33	710/1170	4.33	4.49	4.38	4.49	4.33	
4. Were s	special t	echniques succe	ssful		4	1	1	0	2	0	2	3.40	683/ 800	3.40	3.48	4.06	4.12	3.40	
		Field	Work																
				hat you learned	8	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/ 38	****	****	4.49	4.73	****	
2. Did yo	ou clearl	y understand yo	ur eval	uation criteria	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/ 36	****	****	4.25	3.81	****	
				Frequ	iency	7 Dist	crib	ution	n										
Credits E	Earned	Cum. GPA		Expected Grades				Rea	asons	;			Туј	pe		Majors		3	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A 7		Red	quir	ed fo	or Ma	jors	 3	1	Graduat	 e	0	Majo	r	0	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	в 3			_			-									
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C 0		Ger	nera:	1				1	Under-g	rad 1	rad 10		major	10	
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	1	D 0															
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F 0		Ele	ectiv	ves				7	#### - 1	Means t	here a	re not	enoug	jh	
				P 0									respons	es to b	e sign	ifican	ıt		
				I 0		Other						1							

? 0