Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 101 01	Term - Fall 2011		Enrollment: 24
Title:	Basic Russian I			Questionnaires: 18
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira			

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	1	2	4	10	4.35	814/1520	4.64	4.44	4.31	4.14	4.35
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	5	4	7	4.00	1086/1520	4.39	4.42	4.27	4.20	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	2	1	4	10	4.29	788/1291	4.58	4.56	4.33	4.24	4.29
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	2	3	6	5	3.88	1141/1483	4.44	4.45	4.23	4.09	3.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	1	1	4	3	6	3.80	1010/1417	4.28	4.33	4.08	4.02	3.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	4	1	2	0	3	7	4.00	843/1405	4.38	4.38	4.12	3.96	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	1	3	5	7	4.13	893/1504	4.33	4.28	4.16	4.13	4.13
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	11	6	4.35	1247/1519	4.41	4.70	4.70	4.71	4.35
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	0	1	3	4	5	4.00	891/1495	4.41	4.21	4.11	4.01	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	2	2	12	4.63	680/1459	4.74	4.55	4.47	4.40	4.63
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	326/1460	4.89	4.83	4.74	4.68	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	1	2	4	8	4.27	911/1455	4.63	4.43	4.32	4.26	4.27
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	1	5	9	4.38	821/1456	4.69	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.38
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	4	1	2	3	1	5	3.58	1026/1316	3.93	4.16	4.03	3.91	3.58
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	2	1	3	4	4	3.50	1060/1243	3.71	4.37	4.17	3.98	3.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	502/1241	4.44	4.58	4.33	4.14	4.57
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	2	7	5	4.21	847/1236	4.23	4.51	4.40	4.19	4.21

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 101 01	Term - Fall 2011			Enrollment: 24
Title:	Basic Russian I				Questionnaires: 18
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira				

| Questions | NR | NA | Frequencies | | | | | Instructor | | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	3	1	0	5	2	3	3.55	697/889	3.84	4.20	4.02	3.89	3.55

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	7	0.00-0.99	1	A	8	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	B	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	2	General	7	Under-grad	18	Non-major	17
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	2						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means there are not enough responses			
				P	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 101 02	Term - Fall 2011		Enrollment:	23
Title:	Basic Russian I			Questionnaires:	14
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira				

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies 1	2	3	4	5	Instructor Mean	Rank	Course Mean	Org Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	112/1520	4.64	4.44	4.31	4.14	4.93
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	214/1520	4.39	4.42	4.27	4.20	4.79
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	185/1291	4.58	4.56	4.33	4.24	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1483	4.44	4.45	4.23	4.09	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	149/1417	4.28	4.33	4.08	4.02	4.77
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	169/1405	4.38	4.38	4.12	3.96	4.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	405/1504	4.33	4.28	4.16	4.13	4.54
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	7	6	4.46	1163/1519	4.41	4.70	4.70	4.71	4.46
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	126/1495	4.41	4.21	4.11	4.01	4.82
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	304/1459	4.74	4.55	4.47	4.40	4.85
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	701/1460	4.89	4.83	4.74	4.68	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1455	4.63	4.43	4.32	4.26	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1456	4.69	4.55	4.34	4.26	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	6	0	0	2	1	4	4.29	508/1316	3.93	4.16	4.03	3.91	4.29
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	4	2	6	3.92	832/1243	3.71	4.37	4.17	3.98	3.92
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	1	2	2	8	4.31	734/1241	4.44	4.58	4.33	4.14	4.31
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	2	1	1	8	4.25	829/1236	4.23	4.51	4.40	4.19	4.25

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 101 02	Term - Fall 2011		Enrollment:	23
Title:	Basic Russian I			Questionnaires:	14
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira				

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies 1	2	3	4	5	Instructor Mean	Rank	Course Mean	Org Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	6	0	1	1	1	4	4.14	398/889	3.84	4.20	4.02	3.89	4.14

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	A	10	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	C	0	General	6	Under-grad	14	Non-major	12
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses			
				P	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	4				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 201 01	Term - Fall 2011		Enrollment:	28
Title:	Basic Russian III			Questionnaires:	26
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira				

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	1	0	3	5	16	4.40	755/1520	4.40	4.44	4.31	4.36	4.40
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	2	5	17	4.38	747/1520	4.38	4.42	4.27	4.34	4.38
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	1	0	4	20	4.58	473/1291	4.58	4.56	4.33	4.44	4.58
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	8	1	0	1	5	11	4.39	658/1483	4.39	4.45	4.23	4.28	4.39
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	2	1	4	2	14	4.09	755/1417	4.09	4.33	4.08	4.14	4.09
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	9	1	0	2	3	11	4.35	555/1405	4.35	4.38	4.12	4.13	4.35
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	0	4	8	12	4.08	940/1504	4.08	4.28	4.16	4.15	4.08
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	18	7	4.28	1293/1519	4.28	4.70	4.70	4.64	4.28
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	1	0	4	7	10	4.14	790/1495	4.14	4.21	4.11	4.16	4.14
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	0	1	7	16	4.48	859/1459	4.48	4.55	4.47	4.52	4.48
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	1	0	0	4	21	4.69	1012/1460	4.69	4.83	4.74	4.80	4.69
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	3	1	5	15	4.20	964/1455	4.20	4.43	4.32	4.39	4.20
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	2	4	17	4.31	900/1456	4.31	4.55	4.34	4.46	4.31
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	10	3	1	3	2	7	3.56	1034/1316	3.56	4.16	4.03	4.18	3.56
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	0	5	8	9	4.04	756/1243	4.04	4.37	4.17	4.22	4.04
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	1	0	2	4	16	4.48	594/1241	4.48	4.58	4.33	4.38	4.48
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	2	0	6	1	14	4.09	923/1236	4.09	4.51	4.40	4.45	4.09
4. Were special techniques successful	4	8	1	0	1	6	6	4.14	398/889	4.14	4.20	4.02	3.99	4.14

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 201 01	Term - Fall 2011			Enrollment: 28
Title:	Basic Russian III				Questionnaires: 26
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira				

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	25	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/32	****	****	4.36	4.37	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	A	5	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	13						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	C	8	General	14	Under-grad	26	Non-major	23
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses			
				P	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 301 01	Term - Fall 2011	Enrollment: 14
Title:	Continuing Russian II		Questionnaires: 12
Instructor:	Young,Steven R		

| Questions | NR | NA | Frequencies | | | | | Instructor | | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	1	0	0	2	8	4.45	681/1520	4.45	4.44	4.31	4.33	4.45
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	283/1520	4.73	4.42	4.27	4.26	4.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	222/1291	4.82	4.56	4.33	4.32	4.82
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	171/1483	4.80	4.45	4.23	4.25	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	179/1417	4.73	4.33	4.08	4.07	4.73
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	169/1405	4.75	4.38	4.12	4.13	4.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	126/1504	4.83	4.28	4.16	4.15	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	9	3	4.25	1314/1519	4.25	4.70	4.70	4.69	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	2	4	3	4.11	811/1495	4.11	4.21	4.11	4.07	4.11
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	356/1459	4.82	4.55	4.47	4.47	4.82
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	487/1455	4.64	4.43	4.32	4.31	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	1	0	9	4.55	641/1456	4.55	4.55	4.34	4.32	4.55
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	4	2	0	0	1	4	3.71	958/1316	3.71	4.16	4.03	4.08	3.71
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	3	0	6	4.33	567/1243	4.33	4.37	4.17	4.16	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	1	0	1	7	4.56	520/1241	4.56	4.58	4.33	4.34	4.56
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	252/1236	4.88	4.51	4.40	4.41	4.88

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 301 01	Term - Fall 2011		Enrollment:	14
Title:	Continuing Russian II			Questionnaires:	12
Instructor:	Young,Steven R				

| Questions | NR | NA | Frequencies | | | | | Instructor | | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	3	0	1	0	3	2	4.00	456/889	4.00	4.20	4.02	4.02	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	6	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	2	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	9
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses			
				P	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 303 01	Term - Fall 2011		Enrollment: 6
Title:	Continuing Russ Conv I			Questionnaires: 5
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira			

| Questions | NR | NA | Frequencies | | | | | Instructor | | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	230/1520	4.80	4.44	4.31	4.33	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	192/1520	4.80	4.42	4.27	4.26	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.56	4.33	4.32	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	171/1483	4.80	4.45	4.23	4.25	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	156/1417	4.75	4.33	4.08	4.07	4.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	135/1405	4.80	4.38	4.12	4.13	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1504	5.00	4.28	4.16	4.15	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	4.00	1435/1519	4.00	4.70	4.70	4.69	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	130/1495	4.80	4.21	4.11	4.07	4.80
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.55	4.47	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	268/1455	4.80	4.43	4.32	4.31	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.55	4.34	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1316	5.00	4.16	4.03	4.08	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.37	4.17	4.16	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.58	4.33	4.34	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.51	4.40	4.41	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 303 01	Term - Fall 2011	Enrollment:	6
Title:	Continuing Russ Conv I		Questionnaires:	5
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira			

			Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.20	4.02	4.02	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses			
				P	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: RUSS 315 01	Term - Fall 2011	Enrollment: 15
Title: Studies In Russian Film		**Questionnaires: 11**
Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira		

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	1	9	4.64	439/1520	4.64	4.44	4.31	4.33	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	1	9	4.55	527/1520	4.55	4.42	4.27	4.26	4.55
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	222/1291	4.82	4.56	4.33	4.32	4.82
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	0	0	1	8	4.50	493/1483	4.50	4.45	4.23	4.25	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	255/1417	4.64	4.33	4.08	4.07	4.64
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	1	9	4.64	259/1405	4.64	4.38	4.12	4.13	4.64
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	1	1	1	6	4.00	999/1504	4.00	4.28	4.16	4.15	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	1024/1519	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.69	4.60
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	1	0	1	7	4.56	306/1495	4.56	4.21	4.11	4.07	4.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	0	1	9	4.64	664/1459	4.64	4.55	4.47	4.47	4.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	779/1460	4.82	4.83	4.74	4.72	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	0	0	0	10	4.64	487/1455	4.64	4.43	4.32	4.31	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	0	10	4.64	541/1456	4.64	4.55	4.34	4.32	4.64
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	1	1	8	4.45	356/1316	4.45	4.16	4.03	4.08	4.45
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	164/1243	4.86	4.37	4.17	4.16	4.86
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.58	4.33	4.34	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	454/1236	4.71	4.51	4.40	4.41	4.71

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 315 01	Term - Fall 2011		Enrollment:	15
Title:	Studies In Russian Film			Questionnaires:	11
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira				

Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Instructor Mean	Instructor Rank	Course Mean	Org Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	3	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/889	5.00	4.20	4.02	4.02	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	5	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	2	General	1	Under-grad	11	Non-major	8
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses			
				P	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: RUSS 401 01	Term - Fall 2011	Enrollment: 7
Title: Advanced Russian I		Questionnaires: 5
Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira		

Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Instructor Mean	Instructor Rank	Course Mean	Org Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	479/1520	4.60	4.44	4.31	4.44	4.60
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.42	4.27	4.32	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.56	4.33	4.38	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1483	5.00	4.45	4.23	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	282/1417	4.60	4.33	4.08	4.12	4.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1405	5.00	4.38	4.12	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	3.80	1184/1504	3.80	4.28	4.16	4.21	3.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	794/1519	4.80	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	158/1495	4.75	4.21	4.11	4.21	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	712/1459	4.60	4.55	4.47	4.54	4.60
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1455	5.00	4.43	4.32	4.37	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	315/1456	4.80	4.55	4.34	4.41	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	401/1316	4.40	4.16	4.03	4.12	4.40
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1243	5.00	4.37	4.17	4.42	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	564/1241	4.50	4.58	4.33	4.56	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	404/1236	4.75	4.51	4.40	4.64	4.75

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	RUSS 401 01	Term - Fall 2011		Enrollment:	7
Title:	Advanced Russian I			Questionnaires:	5
Instructor:	Zhdanovych,Vira				

Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Instructor Mean	Instructor Rank	Course Mean	Org Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/889	****	4.20	4.02	4.26	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	1	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	2	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses			
				P	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						