**Course-Section: RUSS 102 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 12** 

Title: Basic Russian II

**Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira** 

	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 5.00 1/154 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 6 4.75 297/15 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 5 4.63 455/13 0 4 0 0 1 0 3 4.50 549/14 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 6 5.00 1/142 0 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 3 5.00 1/140 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 6 4.75 231/15 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 7 4.88 738/15 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 6 4.86 130/15 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 5.00 1/147 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 5.00 1/147 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 5.00 1/147 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 5.00 1/147 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 5.00 1/147						structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1542	4.86	4.46	4.33	4.18	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	297/1542	4.88	4.49	4.29	4.23	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	455/1339	4.74	4.59	4.32	4.14	4.63
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	549/1498	4.65	4.48	4.26	4.08	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1428	4.75	4.39	4.12	3.98	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1407	4.94	4.41	4.15	3.92	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	231/1521	4.77	4.35	4.20	4.09	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	738/1541	4.87	4.68	4.70	4.66	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	130/1518	4.71	4.31	4.11	4.00	4.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1472	4.96	4.61	4.46	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1475	4.96	4.85	4.72	4.63	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	346/1471	4.84	4.49	4.32	4.23	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1470	4.96	4.59	4.33	4.21	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	4	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	761/1310	4.14	4.22	4.06	3.93	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	0	0	4	2	3.50	1007/1210	3.52	4.42	4.18	3.91	3.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	222/1211	4.76	4.63	4.37	4.15	4.88
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	815/1207	4.17	4.59	4.41	4.12	4.25

Course-Section: RUSS 102 01

Title: Basic Russian II

Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	0	3	2	2	3.86	568/859	3.76	4.28	4.08	3.95	3.86

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	8	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: RUSS 102 02

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 14

Title: Basic Russian II
Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira

	0     0     0     0     1     2     11     4.71     372/15       1     0     0     0     0     0     13     5.00     1/154					structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	372/1542	4.86	4.46	4.33	4.18	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1542	4.88	4.49	4.29	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	215/1339	4.74	4.59	4.32	4.14	4.85
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	3	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	194/1498	4.65	4.48	4.26	4.08	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	390/1428	4.75	4.39	4.12	3.98	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	4	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	104/1407	4.94	4.41	4.15	3.92	4.89
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	203/1521	4.77	4.35	4.20	4.09	4.79
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	771/1541	4.87	4.68	4.70	4.66	4.86
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	1	0	0	2	11	4.57	318/1518	4.71	4.31	4.11	4.00	4.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	167/1472	4.96	4.61	4.46	4.38	4.92
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	484/1475	4.96	4.85	4.72	4.63	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	130/1471	4.84	4.49	4.32	4.23	4.92
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	141/1470	4.96	4.59	4.33	4.21	4.92
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	6	0	0	2	1	4	4.29	546/1310	4.14	4.22	4.06	3.93	4.29
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	2	1	1	3	4	3.55	999/1210	3.52	4.42	4.18	3.91	3.55
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	479/1211	4.76	4.63	4.37	4.15	4.64
Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	1	2	0	0	8	4.09	894/1207	4.17	4.59	4.41	4.12	4.09

Course-Section: RUSS 102 02

Title: Basic Russian II

Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 16
Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	1	0	3	2	3	3.67	646/859	3.76	4.28	4.08	3.95	3.67

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	10						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	4	Under-grad	14	Non-major	10
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: RUSS 202 01** 

Title: Continuing Russian I

**Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 14** 

	0       0       0       0       9       4.70       366/154         0       0       0       1       0       0       9       4.70       373/133         0       0       1       0       0       9       4.60       428/149         0       1       0       1       0       1       7       4.56       345/142         0       0       1       0       0       0       7       4.50       405/140         0       0       1       0       0       0       9       4.60       408/152         0       0       0       0       0       0       10       5.00       1/1541         s       2       1       0       0       2       0       5       4.43       469/151         0       0       0       0       0       1       2       7       4.60       690/147         0       0       0       0       0       1       9       4.90       538/147						structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	9	4.80	260/1542	4.80	4.46	4.33	4.35	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	0	9	4.70	366/1542	4.70	4.49	4.29	4.29	4.70
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	0	9	4.70	373/1339	4.70	4.59	4.32	4.40	4.70
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	0	9	4.60	428/1498	4.60	4.48	4.26	4.31	4.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	0	1	7	4.56	345/1428	4.56	4.39	4.12	4.17	4.56
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	1	0	0	0	7	4.50	405/1407	4.50	4.41	4.15	4.14	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	0	0	9	4.60	408/1521	4.60	4.35	4.20	4.22	4.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.68	4.70	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	469/1518	4.43	4.31	4.11	4.12	4.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	690/1472	4.60	4.61	4.46	4.53	4.60
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	538/1475	4.90	4.85	4.72	4.79	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	186/1471	4.89	4.49	4.32	4.37	4.89
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	0	8	4.50	692/1470	4.50	4.59	4.33	4.40	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	4	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.22	4.06	4.19	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	1	1	6	4.22	654/1210	4.22	4.42	4.18	4.18	4.22
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	327/1211	4.78	4.63	4.37	4.34	4.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	499/1207	4.67	4.59	4.41	4.40	4.67

Course-Section: RUSS 202 01

Title: Continuing Russian I

Instructor: Zhdanovych, Vira

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	216/859	4.50	4.28	4.08	4.07	4.50

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	2	Under-grad	10	Non-major	8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	0						

Course-Section: RUSS 302 01

Title: Continuing Russian III

Instructor: Young, Steven R

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 12

	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/15 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/12 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/12 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/12 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/15 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/15 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/15 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/12 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/12 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/12 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/12 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/12 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/12 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 5.00 1/12					structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.46	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.49	4.29	4.31	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.59	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.48	4.26	4.32	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.39	4.12	4.15	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.41	4.15	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1521	5.00	4.35	4.20	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	4.29	1308/1541	4.29	4.68	4.70	4.71	4.29
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	373/1518	4.50	4.31	4.11	4.13	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.61	4.46	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.85	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.49	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.59	4.33	4.35	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	1	0	0	0	5	4.33	495/1310	4.33	4.22	4.06	4.11	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.42	4.18	4.27	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	580/1211	4.50	4.63	4.37	4.45	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.59	4.41	4.51	5.00

Course-Section: RUSS 302 01

Title: Continuing Russian III

Instructor: Young, Steven R

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 12
Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.28	4.08	4.13	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	5
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: RUSS 304 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 8** 

**Title: Intermed Russian Conv II** 

**Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira** 

	0     0     0     0     1     0     5     4.67     330/1521       0     0     0     0     0     4     2     4.33     1268/1541							Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	232/1542	4.83	4.46	4.33	4.37	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	416/1542	4.67	4.49	4.29	4.31	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	254/1339	4.80	4.59	4.32	4.36	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	174/1498	4.83	4.48	4.26	4.32	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	390/1428	4.50	4.39	4.12	4.15	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	127/1407	4.83	4.41	4.15	4.20	4.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	330/1521	4.67	4.35	4.20	4.23	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	1268/1541	4.33	4.68	4.70	4.71	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	181/1518	4.75	4.31	4.11	4.13	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.61	4.46	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.85	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	244/1471	4.83	4.49	4.32	4.33	4.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.59	4.33	4.35	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.22	4.06	4.11	5.00
Discussion										-				
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.42	4.18	4.27	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.63	4.37	4.45	5.00

Course-Section: RUSS 304 01

Title: Intermed Russian Conv II

Instructor: Zhdanovych, Vira

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.59	4.41	4.51	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	1	Under-grad	6	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: RUSS 332 01** 

Title: Structure Of Russian

**Instructor:** Young, Steven R

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 13

	0     0     0     0     1     1     10     4.75     322/1       0     0     0     0     0     4     8     4.67     416/1       1     0     0     0     0     1     10     4.91     157/1							structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	322/1542	4.75	4.46	4.33	4.37	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	416/1542	4.67	4.49	4.29	4.31	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	157/1339	4.91	4.59	4.32	4.36	4.91
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	1	0	1	1	6	4.22	885/1498	4.22	4.48	4.26	4.32	4.22
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	3	7	4.42	483/1428	4.42	4.39	4.12	4.15	4.42
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	365/1407	4.55	4.41	4.15	4.20	4.55
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	330/1521	4.67	4.35	4.20	4.23	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	9	3	4.25	1327/1541	4.25	4.68	4.70	4.71	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	1	5	3	4.22	721/1518	4.22	4.31	4.11	4.13	4.22
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	503/1472	4.73	4.61	4.46	4.46	4.73
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	538/1475	4.91	4.85	4.72	4.74	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	386/1471	4.73	4.49	4.32	4.33	4.73
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	297/1470	4.82	4.59	4.33	4.35	4.82
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	7	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	761/1310	4.00	4.22	4.06	4.11	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	667/1210	4.20	4.42	4.18	4.27	4.20
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	507/1211	4.60	4.63	4.37	4.45	4.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	556/1207	4.60	4.59	4.41	4.51	4.60

Course-Section: RUSS 332 01

Title: Structure Of Russian

Instructor: Young, Steven R

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	5	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/859	****	4.28	4.08	4.13	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	<b>A</b>	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	4	General	1	Under-grad	12	Non-major	6
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: RUSS 350 01** 

055 350 01

**Title: Russian Complem Reading** 

**Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 8** 

	1     0     0     0     0     4     5.00     1/1428       d     1     0     0     0     0     4     5.00     1/1407       1     0     0     0     1     1     2     4.25     838/1521       1     0     0     0     1     3     4.75     906/1541							structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.46	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	229/1542	4.80	4.49	4.29	4.31	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	254/1339	4.80	4.59	4.32	4.36	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	1058/1498	4.00	4.48	4.26	4.32	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.39	4.12	4.15	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.41	4.15	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	838/1521	4.25	4.35	4.20	4.23	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	906/1541	4.75	4.68	4.70	4.71	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.31	4.11	4.13	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1472	5.00	4.61	4.46	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.85	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	346/1471	4.75	4.49	4.32	4.33	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.59	4.33	4.35	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1218/1310	3.00	4.22	4.06	4.11	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.42	4.18	4.27	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.63	4.37	4.45	5.00

Course-Section: RUSS 350 01

Title: Russian Complem Reading

Instructor: Zhdanovych, Vira

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.59	4.41	4.51	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: RUSS 402 01** 

Title: Advanced Russian II

Instructor: Zhdanovych,Vira

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 6

		NR         NA         1         2         3         4         5         Mean           0         0         0         0         1         4         4.80         20           0         0         0         0         1         1         3         4.40         75           0         0         0         0         0         5         5.00         3						structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	260/1542	4.80	4.46	4.33	4.42	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	754/1542	4.40	4.49	4.29	4.33	4.40
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.59	4.32	4.44	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	688/1498	4.40	4.48	4.26	4.35	4.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.39	4.12	4.22	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.41	4.15	4.30	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	3.20	1400/1521	3.20	4.35	4.20	4.24	3.20
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.68	4.70	4.72	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	373/1518	4.50	4.31	4.11	4.18	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	367/1472	4.80	4.61	4.46	4.50	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.85	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	538/1471	4.60	4.49	4.32	4.36	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	311/1470	4.80	4.59	4.33	4.38	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	626/1310	4.20	4.22	4.06	4.09	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	212/1210	4.80	4.42	4.18	4.34	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.63	4.37	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.59	4.41	4.53	5.00

Course-Section: RUSS 402 01

Title: Advanced Russian II

Instructor: Zhdanovych, Vira

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	478/859	4.00	4.28	4.08	4.19	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						