Course-Section: SPAN 101 01

Title: Elementary Spanish I

Instructor: Messick,Rosalie

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 30

mossion, nosano				Γra	au lora	oioo		l n	otruotor	Course	Ora	UMBC	Lovel	Coot
0	NID	N I A	1		quen	cies	-		structor		Org			Sect
Questions	NR	NA	I	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	iviean	iviean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	4	5	7	4.19	994/1528	4.33	4.38	4.31	4.16	4.19
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	7	8	4.44	688/1527	4.34	4.37	4.28	4.23	4.44
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	4	11	4.63	436/1333	4.59	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.63
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	1	6	8	4.47	560/1495	4.33	4.36	4.25	4.11	4.47
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	5	2	8	4.20	710/1439	4.30	4.38	4.11	3.97	4.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	7	7	4.31	603/1425	4.13	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.31
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	2	4	9	4.31	708/1508	4.07	4.16	4.18	4.11	4.31
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	13	2	4.13	1374/1526	4.41	4.63	4.66	4.57	4.13
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	1	0	0	4	3	3	3.90	1046/1490	4.05	4.13	4.11	4.02	3.90
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	5	5	6	4.06	1186/1428	4.32	4.50	4.49	4.43	4.06
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	5	11	4.69	1019/1436	4.85	4.82	4.74	4.70	4.69
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	4	5	7	4.19	975/1427	4.32	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.19
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	4	5	7	4.19	981/1425	4.37	4.50	4.34	4.31	4.19
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	6	2	1	2	3	2	3.20	1160/1291	3.59	4.11	4.05	3.97	3.20
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	1	0	1	4	4.33	598/1271	4.48	4.36	4.16	3.98	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1276	4.82	4.62	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	312/1273	4.66	4.55	4.38	4.18	4.83
4. Were special techniques successful	12	2	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/922	4.44	4.27	4.02	3.87	****

Course-S	Section:	SPAN 101 0	1				Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	30
	Title:	Elementary	Spani	sh I						_				Q	uestion	naires:	17
Ins	tructor:	Messick,Ros	alie														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	ribut	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє	:		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6		Requ	ired for Ma	ijors	2	ļ	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	6												
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	2	С	2		Gene	eral		9)	Under-grad	17		Non-ma	ajor	17
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Elect	ives		()	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Othe	r		1							

Course-Section: SPAN 101 02

Title: Elementary Spanish I

Instructor: Messick, Rosalie

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 33

Questionnaires: 12

•				Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	5	3	3.83	1265/1528	4.33	4.38	4.31	4.16	3.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	5	3	2	3.33	1434/1527	4.34	4.37	4.28	4.23	3.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	2	6	4.27	816/1333	4.59	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.27
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	2	1	7	1	3.64	1316/1495	4.33	4.36	4.25	4.11	3.64
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	1	2	4	2	3.78	1046/1439	4.30	4.38	4.11	3.97	3.78
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	4	3	2	3.25	1306/1425	4.13	4.23	4.12	3.93	3.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	3	6	0	2	2.92	1444/1508	4.07	4.16	4.18	4.11	2.92
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	10	2	4.17	1356/1526	4.41	4.63	4.66	4.57	4.17
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	1	4	4	1	3.50	1269/1490	4.05	4.13	4.11	4.02	3.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	1	4	4	0	3.33	1382/1428	4.32	4.50	4.49	4.43	3.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1436	4.85	4.82	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	2	6	2	4.00	1080/1427	4.32	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	3	3	2	3.67	1255/1425	4.37	4.50	4.34	4.31	3.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	3	2	0	1	3	1	3.14	1175/1291	3.59	4.11	4.05	3.97	3.14
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	4	1	4.00	780/1271	4.48	4.36	4.16	3.98	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	439/1276	4.82	4.62	4.33	4.14	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	776/1273	4.66	4.55	4.38	4.18	4.33
4. Were special techniques successful	6	1	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	102/922	4.44	4.27	4.02	3.87	4.80

Course-S	Section:	SPAN 101 0	2				Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	33
	Title:	Elementary	Spani	sh I										Q	uestion	naires:	12
Ins	tructor:	Messick,Ros	salie														
								Frequer	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Disti	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Туре	!		Maj	jors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	2	Α	3		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	2	2	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	7												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	1		Gene	ral		8	}	Under-grad	12		Non-ma	ajor	12
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	0												

Course-Section: SPAN 101 03

Title: Elementary Spanish I

Instructor: Qousar, Aurora

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	0	5	13	4.58	555/1528	4.33	4.38	4.31	4.16	4.58
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	8	11	4.58	489/1527	4.34	4.37	4.28	4.23	4.58
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	6	12	4.58	489/1333	4.59	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.58
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	8	10	4.47	544/1495	4.33	4.36	4.25	4.11	4.47
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	0	7	10	4.59	307/1439	4.30	4.38	4.11	3.97	4.59
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	8	10	4.56	348/1425	4.13	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.56
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	2	9	7	4.16	908/1508	4.07	4.16	4.18	4.11	4.16
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	671/1526	4.41	4.63	4.66	4.57	4.84
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	1	1	12	2	3.94	1005/1490	4.05	4.13	4.11	4.02	3.94
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	572/1428	4.32	4.50	4.49	4.43	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	580/1436	4.85	4.82	4.74	4.70	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	1	6	9	4.50	625/1427	4.32	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	185/1425	4.37	4.50	4.34	4.31	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	1	0	2	6	7	4.13	654/1291	3.59	4.11	4.05	3.97	4.13
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	413/1271	4.48	4.36	4.16	3.98	4.55
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	290/1276	4.82	4.62	4.33	4.14	4.82
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	534/1273	4.66	4.55	4.38	4.18	4.64
4. Were special techniques successful	9	4	0	1	0	1	5	4.43	261/922	4.44	4.27	4.02	3.87	4.43

Course-Section: SPAN 101 03

Title: Elementary Spanish I

Instructor: Qousar, Aurora

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/208	****	4.80	4.27	4.23	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	****	****	4.00	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/41	****	****	4.06	4.10	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	****	***	4.43	4.68	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	***	***	4.53	4.51	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	4	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	5	С	6	General	13	Under-grad	20	Non-major	20
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	4						

Course-Section: SPAN 101 04

Title: Elementary Spanish I

Instructor: Arevalo-Guerrer

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 30

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	6	12	4.50	636/1528	4.33	4.38	4.31	4.16	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	11	8	4.35	795/1527	4.34	4.37	4.28	4.23	4.35
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	2	14	4.58	489/1333	4.59	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.58
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	4	12	4.40	657/1495	4.33	4.36	4.25	4.11	4.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	5	3	12	4.35	552/1439	4.30	4.38	4.11	3.97	4.35
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	3	1	14	4.35	563/1425	4.13	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.35
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	4	3	11	4.15	908/1508	4.07	4.16	4.18	4.11	4.15
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	17	3	4.15	1362/1526	4.41	4.63	4.66	4.57	4.15
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	1	0	1	1	8	4	4.07	864/1490	4.05	4.13	4.11	4.02	4.07
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	6	12	4.58	770/1428	4.32	4.50	4.49	4.43	4.58
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	17	4.89	548/1436	4.85	4.82	4.74	4.70	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	2	0	8	9	4.26	908/1427	4.32	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.26
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	7	10	4.50	667/1425	4.37	4.50	4.34	4.31	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	2	3	0	4	2	5	3.43	1086/1291	3.59	4.11	4.05	3.97	3.43
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	263/1271	4.48	4.36	4.16	3.98	4.73
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	133/1276	4.82	4.62	4.33	4.14	4.93
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	1	0	0	2	12	4.60	562/1273	4.66	4.55	4.38	4.18	4.60
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	1	0	1	5	7	4.21	380/922	4.44	4.27	4.02	3.87	4.21

Course-Section: SPAN 101 04

Title: Elementary Spanish I

Instructor: Arevalo-Guerrer

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	18	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/198	***	4.40	4.16	3.90	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/208	***	4.80	4.27	4.23	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	***	5.00	4.56	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	***	4.60	4.37	4.30	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/176	***	****	4.23	4.19	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/43	***	****	4.43	4.68	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	17	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/31	***	****	4.53	4.51	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	17	1	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/36	***	****	4.43	4.33	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	17	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/21	***	****	4.54	4.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	18	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/20	***	****	4.45	4.39	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	1	Major	0
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	1	В	7						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	5	General	9	Under-grad	19	Non-major	20
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	4						

Course-Section: SPAN 101 05

Title: Elementary Spanish I

Instructor: Arevalo-Guerrer

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 30

	•			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	1	1	9	12	4.25	919/1528	4.33	4.38	4.31	4.16	4.25
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	8	14	4.50	575/1527	4.34	4.37	4.28	4.23	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	7	16	4.63	436/1333	4.59	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.63
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	4	10	9	4.22	891/1495	4.33	4.36	4.25	4.11	4.22
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	6	1	17	4.46	433/1439	4.30	4.38	4.11	3.97	4.46
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	1	4	6	11	4.09	839/1425	4.13	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.09
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	1	4	6	12	4.13	946/1508	4.07	4.16	4.18	4.11	4.13
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	20	4	4.17	1356/1526	4.41	4.63	4.66	4.57	4.17
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	0	3	6	9	4.33	579/1490	4.05	4.13	4.11	4.02	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	7	14	4.59	746/1428	4.32	4.50	4.49	4.43	4.59
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	1	0	2	19	4.77	886/1436	4.85	4.82	4.74	4.70	4.77
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	2	12	7	4.24	933/1427	4.32	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.24
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	0	3	5	13	4.32	886/1425	4.37	4.50	4.34	4.31	4.32
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	5	4	1	3	4	4	3.19	1165/1291	3.59	4.11	4.05	3.97	3.19
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	3	2	9	4.43	528/1271	4.48	4.36	4.16	3.98	4.43
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	2	1	10	4.62	494/1276	4.82	4.62	4.33	4.14	4.62
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	2	2	9	4.54	614/1273	4.66	4.55	4.38	4.18	4.54
4. Were special techniques successful	13	0	0	0	3	4	5	4.17	408/922	4.44	4.27	4.02	3.87	4.17

Course-Section: SPAN 101 05

Title: Elementary Spanish I

Instructor: Arevalo-Guerrer

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 25

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	24	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/208	***	4.80	4.27	4.23	****
Seminar														
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	4.71	4.27	4.21	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	24	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/73	***	3.74	3.94	3.82	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	***	****	4.00	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/41	***	****	4.06	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	24	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/30	***	****	4.74	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	24	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/32	***	****	4.20	4.09	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	24	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/29	***	****	4.34	4.87	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/43	***	****	4.43	4.68	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	****	4.53	4.51	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	****	****	4.43	4.33	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	24	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/21	***	****	4.54	4.63	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	1	Α	11	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	5	С	4	General	14	Under-grad	25	Non-major	25
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:47:26 PM

Course-S	Section	: SPAN 101 0	5				Term	- Fall	2010	C						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	30
	Title	: Elementary	Spanish	ı I											Q	uestion	naires:	25
Inst	tructor	: Arevalo-Gue	errer															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Self Paced																
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	1		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	•			0							
				?	4													

Course-Section: SPAN 101 06

Title: Elementary Spanish I
Instructor: Rosenthal, Greg

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 32

mondeter. Resemble, energy				Ero	quen	cios		lne	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	quen	A LIES	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
	INIX	IVA	'		J	4	J	Mean	Nauk	Wicari	IVICALI	IVICALI	Mean	ivicari
General							10		100/1500	1.00	4.00			1.10
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	8	18	4.63	492/1528	4.33	4.38	4.31	4.16	4.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	4	23	4.85	161/1527	4.34	4.37	4.28	4.23	4.85
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	4	23	4.85	192/1333	4.59	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.85
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	6	21	4.78	207/1495	4.33	4.36	4.25	4.11	4.78
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	3	0	0	3	6	13	4.45	433/1439	4.30	4.38	4.11	3.97	4.45
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	1	1	5	3	16	4.23	692/1425	4.13	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.23
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	5	21	4.74	201/1508	4.07	4.16	4.18	4.11	4.74
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	27	5.00	1/1526	4.41	4.63	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	2	6	14	4.55	312/1490	4.05	4.13	4.11	4.02	4.55
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	5	20	4.67	637/1428	4.32	4.50	4.49	4.43	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	4	23	4.85	677/1436	4.85	4.82	4.74	4.70	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	1	3	22	4.70	364/1427	4.32	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.70
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	1	4	21	4.67	475/1425	4.37	4.50	4.34	4.31	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	2	0	1	3	4	16	4.46	376/1291	3.59	4.11	4.05	3.97	4.46
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	3	18	4.86	172/1271	4.48	4.36	4.16	3.98	4.86
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	1	1	19	4.86	246/1276	4.82	4.62	4.33	4.14	4.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	21	5.00	1/1273	4.66	4.55	4.38	4.18	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	7	1	1	0	0	4	15	4.60	177/922	4.44	4.27	4.02	3.87	4.60

Course-Section: SPAN 101 06

Title: Elementary Spanish I

Instructor: Rosenthal, Greg

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 32

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	26	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/198	****	4.40	4.16	3.90	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	26	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/208	****	4.80	4.27	4.23	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	26	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/194	****	5.00	4.56	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	26	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/194	****	4.60	4.37	4.30	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	26	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/176	****	****	4.23	4.19	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	25	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/76	****	4.63	4.51	4.44	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	26	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/74	****	4.86	4.31	4.43	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/66	****	4.70	4.27	4.15	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/76	****	4.71	4.27	4.21	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	26	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/73	****	3.74	3.94	3.82	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/42	***	****	4.00	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	26	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/41	***	****	4.06	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	26	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/30	***	****	4.74	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	26	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/32	***	****	4.20	4.09	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	26	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/29	***	****	4.34	4.87	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/43	***	****	4.43	4.68	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	26	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/31	***	****	4.53	4.51	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	26	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/36	***	****	4.43	4.33	****

Course-Section: SPAN 101 06

Title: Elementary Spanish I
Instructor: Rosenthal, Greg

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 32

Questionnaires: 28

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	26	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/21	****	****	4.54	4.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	26	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/20	****	***	4.45	4.39	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	17	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	1	В	8						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	11	Under-grad	28	Non-major	28
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
					0	Other	2				
				?	3						

Course-Section: SPAN 102 01

Title: Elementary Spanish II

Instructor: Qousar, Aurora

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 30

	•			Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	5	4	8	4.06	1109/1528	4.26	4.38	4.31	4.16	4.06
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	5	4	9	4.22	932/1527	4.35	4.37	4.28	4.23	4.22
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	7	10	4.44	648/1333	4.61	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.44
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	8	7	4.22	879/1495	4.39	4.36	4.25	4.11	4.22
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	1	7	8	4.11	788/1439	4.20	4.38	4.11	3.97	4.11
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	0	6	5	5	3.76	1082/1425	4.22	4.23	4.12	3.93	3.76
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	3	8	6	4.06	1011/1508	4.08	4.16	4.18	4.11	4.06
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1526	4.80	4.63	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	1	3	5	3	3.83	1096/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.02	3.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	2	13	4.65	669/1428	4.48	4.50	4.49	4.43	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	901/1436	4.84	4.82	4.74	4.70	4.76
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	2	6	8	4.24	933/1427	4.39	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.24
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	1	3	11	4.35	854/1425	4.54	4.50	4.34	4.31	4.35
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	2	1	7	5	4.00	728/1291	4.01	4.11	4.05	3.97	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	1	0	1	2	4	4.00	780/1271	4.32	4.36	4.16	3.98	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	483/1276	4.74	4.62	4.33	4.14	4.63
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	408/1273	4.65	4.55	4.38	4.18	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	10	4	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	****/922	4.17	4.27	4.02	3.87	****

Course-S	Section:	SPAN 102 0	1				Term	ı - Fall 20	010							Enro	Ilment:	30
	Title:	Elementary	Spani	sh II											Q	uestion	naires:	18
Ins	tructor:	Qousar,Auro	ora															
					_			Frequ	encie	es		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	trib	uti	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	sons				Туре	!		Maj	jors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3		Requ	ired for M	lajors		7		Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	7													
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	4		Gene	ral			7		Under-grad	18		Non-ma	ajor	18
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				0							
				2	3													

Course-Section: SPAN 102 02

Title: Elementary Spanish II

Instructor: Cerquetti, Deann

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 28

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	4	7	5	4.06	1102/1528	4.26	4.38	4.31	4.16	4.06
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	5	8	4.18	979/1527	4.35	4.37	4.28	4.23	4.18
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	12	4.71	351/1333	4.61	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	8	8	4.41	640/1495	4.39	4.36	4.25	4.11	4.41
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	3	6	7	4.25	657/1439	4.20	4.38	4.11	3.97	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	7	7	4.24	692/1425	4.22	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.24
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	2	7	6	4.00	1050/1508	4.08	4.16	4.18	4.11	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	14	4.82	706/1526	4.80	4.63	4.66	4.57	4.82
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	4	9	2	3.75	1149/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.02	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	6	5	4	3.87	1277/1428	4.48	4.50	4.49	4.43	3.87
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	948/1436	4.84	4.82	4.74	4.70	4.73
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	4	8	3	3.93	1136/1427	4.39	4.39	4.32	4.27	3.93
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	3	5	7	4.27	922/1425	4.54	4.50	4.34	4.31	4.27
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	4	0	2	1	2	6	4.09	679/1291	4.01	4.11	4.05	3.97	4.09
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	507/1271	4.32	4.36	4.16	3.98	4.44
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	1	0	2	6	4.44	654/1276	4.74	4.62	4.33	4.14	4.44
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	689/1273	4.65	4.55	4.38	4.18	4.44
4. Were special techniques successful	8	2	0	1	2	3	1	3.57	699/922	4.17	4.27	4.02	3.87	3.57

Course-	Section:	SPAN 102 0	2				Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	Ilment:	28
	Title:	Elementary	Spani	sh II										Q	uestion	naires:	17
Ins	structor:	Cerquetti,De	eann														
					_			Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Туре)		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	3		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	3	,	Graduate	1		Major		0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	8												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	5		Gene	ral		9)	Under-grad	16		Non-ma	ajor	17
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			2							
				2	1												

Course-Section: SPAN 102 03

Title: Elementary Spanish II

Instructor: Liptak,Lara

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 34

instructor. Elptak, Eara														
				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	8	11	4.38	785/1528	4.26	4.38	4.31	4.16	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	3	15	4.65	382/1527	4.35	4.37	4.28	4.23	4.65
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	16	4.67	393/1333	4.61	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	16	4.67	313/1495	4.39	4.36	4.25	4.11	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	4	5	10	4.32	594/1439	4.20	4.38	4.11	3.97	4.32
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	4	4	13	4.43	489/1425	4.22	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	2	1	17	4.75	191/1508	4.08	4.16	4.18	4.11	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	3	11	7	4.19	1338/1526	4.80	4.63	4.66	4.57	4.19
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	1	0	0	3	3	9	4.40	494/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.02	4.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	0	3	16	4.70	572/1428	4.48	4.50	4.49	4.43	4.70
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	1	18	4.85	677/1436	4.84	4.82	4.74	4.70	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	1	18	4.85	184/1427	4.39	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.85
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	231/1425	4.54	4.50	4.34	4.31	4.84
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	2	0	0	1	5	11	4.59	267/1291	4.01	4.11	4.05	3.97	4.59
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	2	2	8	4.50	446/1271	4.32	4.36	4.16	3.98	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	1	0	2	10	4.62	494/1276	4.74	4.62	4.33	4.14	4.62
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	188/1273	4.65	4.55	4.38	4.18	4.92
4. Were special techniques successful	8	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	119/922	4.17	4.27	4.02	3.87	4.77

Course-S	Section:	SPAN 102 03	3				Term	n - Fall 20	010						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	34
	Title:	Elementary S	Spani	sh II										Q	uestion	naires:	21
Ins	tructor:	Liptak,Lara															
								Frequ	encies			nstructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	3 4	5	Mea	ın Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	tribu	tion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	Grade	es		Reas	sons			Туре	9		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	2	Α	4		Requ	ired for M	ajors		5	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	8												
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	3	С	2		Gene	eral			9	Under-grad	21		Non-ma	ajor	21
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Elect	ives			1	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be signification	ant				
				I	0		Othe	r			0						

Course-Section: SPAN 102 04

Title: Elementary Spanish II

Instructor: Gomez-Rubio,Mar

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 30

'	Frequencies							In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	0	2	8	9	4.20	973/1528	4.26	4.38	4.31	4.16	4.20
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	6	3	11	4.25	902/1527	4.35	4.37	4.28	4.23	4.25
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	6	13	4.60	458/1333	4.61	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	2	1	6	9	4.22	879/1495	4.39	4.36	4.25	4.11	4.22
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	2	5	11	4.37	541/1439	4.20	4.38	4.11	3.97	4.37
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	4	4	10	4.21	714/1425	4.22	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.21
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	2	3	6	3	5	3.32	1379/1508	4.08	4.16	4.18	4.11	3.32
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	1	0	0	0	18	4.79	769/1526	4.80	4.63	4.66	4.57	4.79
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	0	2	3	9	3	3.76	1142/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.02	3.76
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	3	7	8	4.28	1065/1428	4.48	4.50	4.49	4.43	4.28
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	3	15	4.83	742/1436	4.84	4.82	4.74	4.70	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	4	4	10	4.33	843/1427	4.39	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	3	4	11	4.44	755/1425	4.54	4.50	4.34	4.31	4.44
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	3	1	3	1	6	4	3.60	1024/1291	4.01	4.11	4.05	3.97	3.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	3	5	8	4.31	612/1271	4.32	4.36	4.16	3.98	4.31
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	1	0	15	4.88	223/1276	4.74	4.62	4.33	4.14	4.88
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	2	3	10	4.53	614/1273	4.65	4.55	4.38	4.18	4.53
4. Were special techniques successful	6	3	1	0	1	5	5	4.08	447/922	4.17	4.27	4.02	3.87	4.08

Course-S	Section:	SPAN 102 0	4				Term	ı - Fall 2	010							Enro	Ilment:	30
	Title	Elementary	Spani	sh II											Q	uestion	naires:	21
Ins	structor:	Gomez-Rub	io,Mai	~														
								Frequ	enci	ies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fr	eque	ncy Dis	strik	outi	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Д	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	sons	5			Туре	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6		Requ	ired for M	1ajor:	S	4		Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	1	В	7													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	3	С	1		Gene	ral			14		Under-grad	21		Non-ma	ajor	21
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	5	D	1													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				0							
				2	3													

Course-Section: SPAN 102 05

Title: Elementary Spanish II

Instructor: Gomez-Rubio,Mar

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 28

Questionnaires: 22

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	3	1	5	7	6	3.55	1395/1528	4.26	4.38	4.31	4.16	3.55
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	2	1	5	4	10	3.86	1246/1527	4.35	4.37	4.28	4.23	3.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	4	7	10	4.14	925/1333	4.61	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.14
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	1	8	6	6	3.68	1291/1495	4.39	4.36	4.25	4.11	3.68
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	1	6	3	9	3.64	1139/1439	4.20	4.38	4.11	3.97	3.64
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	4	2	4	4	8	3.45	1236/1425	4.22	4.23	4.12	3.93	3.45
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	4	1	5	5	7	3.45	1337/1508	4.08	4.16	4.18	4.11	3.45
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	2	19	4.90	566/1526	4.80	4.63	4.66	4.57	4.90
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	2	4	7	4	3.76	1142/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.02	3.76
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	2	4	8	6	3.90	1263/1428	4.48	4.50	4.49	4.43	3.90
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	3	17	4.85	677/1436	4.84	4.82	4.74	4.70	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	1	4	9	6	4.00	1080/1427	4.39	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	3	8	8	4.15	1005/1425	4.54	4.50	4.34	4.31	4.15
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	3	1	2	2	10	2	3.59	1031/1291	4.01	4.11	4.05	3.97	3.59
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	2	3	2	5	3.83	913/1271	4.32	4.36	4.16	3.98	3.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	268/1276	4.74	4.62	4.33	4.14	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	2	3	7	4.42	715/1273	4.65	4.55	4.38	4.18	4.42
4. Were special techniques successful	10	1	1	0	2	7	1	3.64	675/922	4.17	4.27	4.02	3.87	3.64

Course-Section: SPAN 102 05

Title: Elementary Spanish II

Instructor: Gomez-Rubio,Mar

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 28

	Frequencies I								structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	20	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/208	***	4.80	4.27	4.23	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	20	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/194	***	5.00	4.56	4.54	****
Seminar														
Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	20	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/76	***	4.63	4.51	4.44	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	20	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	***	4.86	4.31	4.43	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	20	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	***	4.70	4.27	4.15	***
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/76	***	4.71	4.27	4.21	***
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	20	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/73	***	3.74	3.94	3.82	****
Field Work														
Did field experience contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/42	***	****	4.00	4.08	***
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/41	***	****	4.06	4.10	***
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	20	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	***	****	4.74	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	20	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	***	****	4.20	4.09	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	20	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	***	****	4.34	4.87	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/43	***	****	4.43	4.68	***
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	20	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/31	***	****	4.53	4.51	***
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	20	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/36	***	****	4.43	4.33	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	20	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.54	4.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	20	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	***	****	4.45	4.39	****

					_													
Course-	Section:	SPAN 102 0	5				Term	n - Fall 2	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	28
	Title	Elementary	Spani	sh II											Q	uestion	naires:	22
In	structor	Gomez-Rub	io,Mai	٢														
								Frequ	iend	ies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Self Paced																
						Fr	eque	ncy Dis	stri	buti	on							
Credits E	Earned	Cum. GP/	Д	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	ison	S			Турє	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	}	Requ	ired for N	Лаjo	rs	2	!	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	7													
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	0	С	5	;	Gene	ral			15	;	Under-grad	22		Non-ma	ajor	22
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0)												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0)	Electi	ives			2		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0)							to be significa	ant				
				I	0)	Other	r			0)						
				2	1													

Course-Section: SPAN 102 06

Title: Elementary Spanish II

Instructor: Miranda-Aldaco,

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 19

	Frequencies							Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	5	14	4.74	334/1528	4.26	4.38	4.31	4.16	4.74
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	5	12	4.61	438/1527	4.35	4.37	4.28	4.23	4.61
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	4	14	4.78	271/1333	4.61	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.78
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	3	12	4.50	496/1495	4.39	4.36	4.25	4.11	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	1	4	11	4.33	573/1439	4.20	4.38	4.11	3.97	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	5	12	4.71	215/1425	4.22	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	2	1	4	11	4.33	681/1508	4.08	4.16	4.18	4.11	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1526	4.80	4.63	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	0	0	1	7	6	4.36	555/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.02	4.36
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	133/1428	4.48	4.50	4.49	4.43	4.94
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	580/1436	4.84	4.82	4.74	4.70	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	2	3	13	4.61	491/1427	4.39	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.61
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	4	14	4.78	320/1425	4.54	4.50	4.34	4.31	4.78
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	2	0	6	3	7	3.72	958/1291	4.01	4.11	4.05	3.97	3.72
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	3	3	4	4.10	750/1271	4.32	4.36	4.16	3.98	4.10
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	302/1276	4.74	4.62	4.33	4.14	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	724/1273	4.65	4.55	4.38	4.18	4.40
4. Were special techniques successful	9	3	0	1	1	2	3	4.00	467/922	4.17	4.27	4.02	3.87	4.00

					_					_							
Course-S	Section:	SPAN 102 0	6				Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	30
	Title:	Elementary	Spani	sh II						_				Q	uestion	naires:	19
Ins	tructor:	Miranda-Alc	laco,														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dis									
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6		Requ	ired for Ma	ijors	4	ļ.	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	9												
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	2	С	4		Gene	ral		13	3	Under-grad	19		Non-ma	ajor	19
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			O)						
				2	0												

Course-Section: SPAN 102 07

Title: Elementary Spanish II

Instructor: Miranda-Aldaco,

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 30

	•		Frequencies						structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	2	5	6	13	4.15	1025/1528	4.26	4.38	4.31	4.16	4.15
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	9	13	4.31	853/1527	4.35	4.37	4.28	4.23	4.31
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	5	18	4.54	532/1333	4.61	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.54
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	1	8	15	4.48	528/1495	4.39	4.36	4.25	4.11	4.48
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	1	2	3	3	15	4.21	710/1439	4.20	4.38	4.11	3.97	4.21
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	4	6	15	4.35	573/1425	4.22	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.35
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	2	6	5	11	3.92	1118/1508	4.08	4.16	4.18	4.11	3.92
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	7	17	4.71	881/1526	4.80	4.63	4.66	4.57	4.71
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	1	0	0	3	9	7	4.21	722/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.02	4.21
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	9	15	4.56	782/1428	4.48	4.50	4.49	4.43	4.56
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	1	23	4.88	580/1436	4.84	4.82	4.74	4.70	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	2	4	4	15	4.28	891/1427	4.39	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.28
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	2	5	17	4.48	696/1425	4.54	4.50	4.34	4.31	4.48
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	3	2	1	3	3	12	4.05	706/1291	4.01	4.11	4.05	3.97	4.05
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	2	0	6	4.50	446/1271	4.32	4.36	4.16	3.98	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	348/1276	4.74	4.62	4.33	4.14	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	408/1273	4.65	4.55	4.38	4.18	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	18	1	0	1	1	0	5	4.29	345/922	4.17	4.27	4.02	3.87	4.29

Course-Section: SPAN 102 07

Title: Elementary Spanish II

Instructor: Miranda-Aldaco,

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 26

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	4.63	4.51	4.44	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	****	4.86	4.31	4.43	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.70	4.27	4.15	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	4.71	4.27	4.21	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.74	3.94	3.82	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	11						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	5	С	6	General	18	Under-grad	26	Non-major	26
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Course-Section: SPAN 102 08

Title: Elementary Spanish II

Instructor: Curto, Natalia

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 30

That deter. Our to Natura														
				Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	20	4.91	160/1528	4.26	4.38	4.31	4.16	4.91
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	17	4.73	290/1527	4.35	4.37	4.28	4.23	4.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	5.00	1/1333	4.61	4.55	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	20	4.91	102/1495	4.39	4.36	4.25	4.11	4.91
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	1	0	3	3	13	4.35	552/1439	4.20	4.38	4.11	3.97	4.35
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	4	16	4.59	310/1425	4.22	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.59
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	1	19	4.77	172/1508	4.08	4.16	4.18	4.11	4.77
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	5.00	1/1526	4.80	4.63	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	0	8	13	4.62	258/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.02	4.62
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	21	4.95	111/1428	4.48	4.50	4.49	4.43	4.95
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	21	4.95	258/1436	4.84	4.82	4.74	4.70	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	19	4.86	174/1427	4.39	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	5.00	1/1425	4.54	4.50	4.34	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	9	1	0	0	3	8	4.42	415/1291	4.01	4.11	4.05	3.97	4.42
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	172/1271	4.32	4.36	4.16	3.98	4.86
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1276	4.74	4.62	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1273	4.65	4.55	4.38	4.18	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	8	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	91/922	4.17	4.27	4.02	3.87	4.86

					_					_							
Course-	Section:	SPAN 102 0	8				Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	30
	Title:	Elementary	Spani	sh II										Q	uestion	naires:	22
Ins	structor:	Curto,Natali	ia														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dis	tribu	tion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10		Requ	ired for Ma	ajors	4	1	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5												
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	1	С	3		Gene	ral		14	1	Under-grad	22		Non-ma	ajor	22
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	6	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		()	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			()						
				2	1												

Course-Section: SPAN 103 01

Title: Int Rev Elem Spanish
Instructor: Hernandez, Milvi

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 26

THE HADDEN HER HADDEN HAD HADDEN HAD HADDEN HADDEN HAD HAD HADDEN HAD				Ero	quen	cioc		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	quen	LIES	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
			ı		3	4	5	ivieari	Kalik	Mean	IVIEdIT	ivieari	ivieari	ivieari
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	6	11	4.47	674/1528	4.49	4.38	4.31	4.16	4.47
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	3	12	4.37	783/1527	4.44	4.37	4.28	4.23	4.37
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	5	11	4.42	676/1333	4.67	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.42
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	2	2	4	10	4.22	879/1495	4.47	4.36	4.25	4.11	4.22
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	4	3	9	4.31	594/1439	4.43	4.38	4.11	3.97	4.31
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	3	4	2	8	3.88	992/1425	4.14	4.23	4.12	3.93	3.88
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	4	4	8	3.84	1178/1508	4.05	4.16	4.18	4.11	3.84
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	12	4.63	948/1526	4.55	4.63	4.66	4.57	4.63
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	1	0	1	2	6	3	3.92	1032/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.02	3.92
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	6	11	4.47	887/1428	4.63	4.50	4.49	4.43	4.47
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	2	1	16	4.74	948/1436	4.89	4.82	4.74	4.70	4.74
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	2	0	6	9	4.29	882/1427	4.34	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.29
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	4	12	4.56	611/1425	4.61	4.50	4.34	4.31	4.56
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	1	0	7	8	4.38	448/1291	4.25	4.11	4.05	3.97	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	389/1271	4.57	4.36	4.16	3.98	4.57
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	246/1276	4.95	4.62	4.33	4.14	4.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	290/1273	4.68	4.55	4.38	4.18	4.86
4. Were special techniques successful	12	1	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	218/922	4.40	4.27	4.02	3.87	4.50

Course-Section: SPAN 103 01						Term - Fall 2010											Enrollment:			
Title: Int Rev Elem Spanish															Q	uestion	naires:	19		
Ins	tructor:	Hernandez,	Milvi																	
					_			Frequ	uenc	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean		
		Discussion																		
Frequency Distribution																				
Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected C					Grades Reasons								Турє	:	Majors					
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	10		Requ	ired for I	Majo	rs	2		Graduate	0		Major		0		
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	4															
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	2		Gene	ral			12 Under-grad		Under-grad	19		Non-major		19		
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	4	D	0															
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives							**** - Means there are not en				ough responses			
				Р	0								to be significa	ant						
				1	0		Other	•			0									
				2	3															

Course-Section: SPAN 103 02

Title: Int Rev Elem Spanish

Instructor: Hernandez, Milvi

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 26

Questionnaires: 18

'	Frequencies							Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	8	10	4.56	578/1528	4.49	4.38	4.31	4.16	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	9	9	4.50	575/1527	4.44	4.37	4.28	4.23	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	14	4.72	328/1333	4.67	4.55	4.34	4.26	4.72
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	6	11	4.56	432/1495	4.47	4.36	4.25	4.11	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	4	11	4.44	446/1439	4.43	4.38	4.11	3.97	4.44
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	8	8	4.33	583/1425	4.14	4.23	4.12	3.93	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	3	5	9	4.17	895/1508	4.05	4.16	4.18	4.11	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	8	10	4.56	1019/1526	4.55	4.63	4.66	4.57	4.56
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	0	2	1	8	3	3.86	1082/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.02	3.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	6	11	4.56	794/1428	4.63	4.50	4.49	4.43	4.56
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	310/1436	4.89	4.82	4.74	4.70	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	1	2	5	9	4.11	1032/1427	4.34	4.39	4.32	4.27	4.11
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	5	11	4.50	667/1425	4.61	4.50	4.34	4.31	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	3	1	3	10	4.18	604/1291	4.25	4.11	4.05	3.97	4.18
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	349/1271	4.57	4.36	4.16	3.98	4.63
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1276	4.95	4.62	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	408/1273	4.68	4.55	4.38	4.18	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	10	0	0	1	1	2	4	4.13	430/922	4.40	4.27	4.02	3.87	4.13

Course-Section: SPAN 103 02							Term	ı - Fall 201	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	26	
Title: Int Rev Elem Spanish										_				Q	uestion	naires:	18	
Ins	tructor:	Hernandez,N	Mil∨i															
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
		Discussion																
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion								
Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected					Grades Reasons							Турє	;	Majors				
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	5		Graduate		0 Majo			0	
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	7													
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	2		Gene	ral		10		Under-grad	18		Non-ma	ajor	18	
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	0 Electives						**** - Means there are not e				enough responses		
				Р	0							to be significa	ant					
				1	0		Other	•		0								
				?	0													

Course-Section: SPAN 103 03

Title: Int Rev Elem Spanish

Instructor: Burgos,Felix A

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 26

Questionnaires: 22

Frequencies Instructor Course Org UMBC Level Sect Mean Mean Mean Mean Questions 5 NR NA 3 Mean Rank Mean General 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course 0 0 0 1 3 3 15 700/1528 4.49 4.38 4.31 4.16 4.45 4.45 0 2 5 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals 0 0 1 14 4.45 656/1527 4.44 4.37 4.28 4.23 4.45 3. Did the exam guestions reflect the expected goals 0 0 0 3 19 183/1333 4.55 4.34 4.26 4.86 0 0 4.86 4.67 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals 0 0 0 1 0 5 16 341/1495 4.47 4.36 4.25 4.11 4.64 4.64 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned 0 1 0 0 2 15 4.52 352/1439 4.43 4.38 4.11 3.97 4.52 4 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned 1 2 3 5 736/1425 4.23 4.12 3.93 4.19 0 0 11 4.19 4.14 7. Was the grading system clearly explained 0 0 0 1 6 4 11 4.14 933/1508 4.05 4.16 4.18 4.11 4.14 8. How many times was class cancelled 0 0 0 0 0 12 10 4.45 1112/1526 4.55 4.63 4.66 4.57 4.45 0 8 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness 2 0 0 1 4.50 344/1490 4.09 4.13 4.02 4.50 11 4.11 Lecture 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared 1 0 0 0 1 1 19 4.86 303/1428 4.63 4.50 4.49 4.43 4.86 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject 2 0 0 0 0 0 20 5.00 1/1436 4.89 4.82 4.74 4.70 5.00 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly 0 0 2 16 4.39 4.27 4.62 1 0 3 4.62 491/1427 4.34 4.32 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned 1 0 0 0 0 5 16 4.76 335/1425 4.61 4.50 4.34 4.31 4.76 4.05 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding 1 0 1 2 2 3 13 4.19 584/1291 4.25 4.11 3.97 4.19 Discussion 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned 8 0 0 0 2 3 9 4.50 446/1271 4.57 4.36 4.16 3.98 4.50 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate 8 0 0 0 0 0 14 5.00 1/1276 4.95 4.62 4.33 4.14 5.00 2 9 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion 8 0 0 0 3 706/1273 4.55 4.38 4.43 4.43 4.68 4.18 4. Were special techniques successful 5 8 2 0 0 0 7 185/922 4.27 4.02 3.87 4.58 4.40 4.58

Course-Section: SPAN 103 03	Term - Fall 2010	Enrollment: 2
Title: Int Rev Elem Spanish		Questionnaires: 2
Instructor: Rurgos Foliv A		

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/208	****	4.80	4.27	4.23	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	12	Under-grad	22	Non-major	22
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: SPAN 201 01

Title: Intermediate Spanish I

Instructor: Morales, Daniel

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 25

Morales, Barner				Fro	quen	ries		Inc	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	6	12	4.58	555/1528	4.28	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.58
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	17	4.89	125/1527	4.39	4.37	4.28	4.32	4.89
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	2	16	4.79	259/1333	4.47	4.55	4.34	4.40	4.79
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	1	5	12	4.61	359/1495	4.28	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.61
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	4	0	1	1	3	10	4.47	419/1439	4.16	4.38	4.11	4.12	4.47
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	2	14	4.58	329/1425	4.16	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.58
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	2	3	14	4.63	318/1508	4.14	4.16	4.18	4.19	4.63
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	340/1526	4.67	4.63	4.66	4.64	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	2	4	10	4.50	344/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	253/1428	4.42	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	1	16	4.83	742/1436	4.78	4.82	4.74	4.76	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	5	12	4.61	491/1427	4.28	4.39	4.32	4.33	4.61
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	185/1425	4.48	4.50	4.34	4.37	4.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	1	0	2	6	8	4.18	604/1291	3.95	4.11	4.05	4.14	4.18
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	1	0	1	2	5	4.11	741/1271	4.33	4.36	4.16	4.21	4.11
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	3	2	4	4.11	884/1276	4.66	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.11
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	689/1273	4.42	4.55	4.38	4.43	4.44
4. Were special techniques successful	11	0	2	0	1	0	6	3.89	560/922	4.40	4.27	4.02	4.11	3.89

Course-Section: SPAN 201 01

Title: Intermediate Spanish I

Instructor: Morales, Daniel

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 25

Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/208	****	4.80	4.27	4.30	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	****	****	4.00	3.68	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/41	****	****	4.06	3.81	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.74	4.50	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	****	****	4.43	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.53	4.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	3	С	0	General	16	Under-grad	20	Non-major	20
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	1	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: SPAN 201 02

Title: Intermediate Spanish I

Instructor: Morales, Daniel

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 27

Wordies, Burner									<u> </u>	0	0	111.45.0		0 .
					quen	cies			structor	Course	Org	UMBC		Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	6	10	4.39	785/1528	4.28	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.39
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	7	11	4.61	438/1527	4.39	4.37	4.28	4.32	4.61
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	13	4.67	393/1333	4.47	4.55	4.34	4.40	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	227/1495	4.28	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	2	2	2	9	4.20	710/1439	4.16	4.38	4.11	4.12	4.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	3	2	11	4.50	396/1425	4.16	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	3	3	12	4.50	448/1508	4.14	4.16	4.18	4.19	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	2	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	396/1526	4.67	4.63	4.66	4.64	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	7	9	4.47	389/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.47
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	572/1428	4.42	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	2	3	12	4.59	1127/1436	4.78	4.82	4.74	4.76	4.59
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	449/1427	4.28	4.39	4.32	4.33	4.65
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	5	11	4.69	448/1425	4.48	4.50	4.34	4.37	4.69
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	1	5	2	8	3.88	849/1291	3.95	4.11	4.05	4.14	3.88
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/1271	4.33	4.36	4.16	4.21	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	506/1276	4.66	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	1	0	1	1	2	3.60	1146/1273	4.42	4.55	4.38	4.43	3.60
4. Were special techniques successful	13	0	1	1	0	0	3	3.60	691/922	4.40	4.27	4.02	4.11	3.60

Course-S	Section:	SPAN 201 0	2				Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	27
	Title:	Intermediat	e Spa	nish I										Q	uestion	naires:	18
Ins	tructor:	Morales,Dar	niel														
								Freque	ncies		T I	nstructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mear	n Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	tribu	tion							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12		Requ	ired for M	ajors		0	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	5												
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral			16	Under-grad	18		Non-ma	ajor	18
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	3	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0		Electi	ves			1	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				0						
				?	0												

Course-Section: SPAN 201 03

Title: Intermediate Spanish I

Instructor: Qousar, Aurora

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 25

	•	Frequencies Instruct				structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	3	5	10	2	3.43	1428/1528	4.28	4.38	4.31	4.34	3.43
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	3	9	8	1	3.33	1434/1527	4.39	4.37	4.28	4.32	3.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	3	4	12	1	3.43	1263/1333	4.47	4.55	4.34	4.40	3.43
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	3	9	5	2	3.20	1437/1495	4.28	4.36	4.25	4.28	3.20
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	2	1	6	5	5	3.53	1203/1439	4.16	4.38	4.11	4.12	3.53
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	5	1	6	5	4	3.10	1337/1425	4.16	4.23	4.12	4.11	3.10
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	3	6	5	2	4	2.90	1447/1508	4.14	4.16	4.18	4.19	2.90
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	19	4.90	566/1526	4.67	4.63	4.66	4.64	4.90
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	0	1	2	8	1	0	2.75	1450/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.11	2.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	1	5	6	5	3.88	1270/1428	4.42	4.50	4.49	4.48	3.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	996/1436	4.78	4.82	4.74	4.76	4.71
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	1	3	4	8	1	3.29	1345/1427	4.28	4.39	4.32	4.33	3.29
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	2	5	6	3	3.47	1311/1425	4.48	4.50	4.34	4.37	3.47
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	3	5	0	8	0	2.81	1235/1291	3.95	4.11	4.05	4.14	2.81
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	1	3	0	2	2	3.13	1184/1271	4.33	4.36	4.16	4.21	3.13
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	1	0	1	1	5	4.13	879/1276	4.66	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.13
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	1	1	2	4	4.13	898/1273	4.42	4.55	4.38	4.43	4.13
4. Were special techniques successful	13	4	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	****/922	4.40	4.27	4.02	4.11	****

Course-Section: SPAN 201 03

Title: Intermediate Spanish I

Instructor: Qousar, Aurora

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 25

Questionnaires: 21

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/208	***	4.80	4.27	4.30	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	***	5.00	4.56	4.57	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/76	***	4.63	4.51	4.17	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/76	***	4.71	4.27	4.15	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.74	3.94	3.95	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	20	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/42	***	****	4.00	3.68	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/41	***	****	4.06	3.81	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	***	****	4.74	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.32	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/43	***	****	4.43	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	***	****	4.53	4.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	10						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	4	С	6	General	14	Under-grad	21	Non-major	21
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	5	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:47:27 PM

Course-Section:	SPAN 201 03				Term	- Fall	2010)						Enrol	Ilment:	25
Title:	Intermediate Spanish I												Q	uestion	naires:	21
Instructor:	Qousar,Aurora															
						Fred	quenc	ies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced															
		Р	0							1	to be significa	ant				
		I	0		Other				0							
		?	2													

Course-Section: SPAN 201 04

Title: Intermediate Spanish I
Instructor: Burgos,Felix A

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 23

	•			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	6	11	4.65	463/1528	4.28	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.65
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	12	4.71	312/1527	4.39	4.37	4.28	4.32	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	165/1333	4.47	4.55	4.34	4.40	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	9	7	4.44	608/1495	4.28	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.44
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	2	2	11	4.60	292/1439	4.16	4.38	4.11	4.12	4.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	2	6	8	4.38	543/1425	4.16	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	7	10	4.59	371/1508	4.14	4.16	4.18	4.19	4.59
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	15	2	4.12	1386/1526	4.67	4.63	4.66	4.64	4.12
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	1	5	8	4.50	344/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	6	10	4.63	702/1428	4.42	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.63
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	361/1436	4.78	4.82	4.74	4.76	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	6	9	4.50	625/1427	4.28	4.39	4.32	4.33	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	5	11	4.69	448/1425	4.48	4.50	4.34	4.37	4.69
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	1	4	2	8	4.13	644/1291	3.95	4.11	4.05	4.14	4.13
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	319/1271	4.33	4.36	4.16	4.21	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	268/1276	4.66	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	507/1273	4.42	4.55	4.38	4.43	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	11	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	95/922	4.40	4.27	4.02	4.11	4.83

Course-Section:	SPAN 201 04
Title:	Intermediate Spanish I
Instructor	Rurgos Foliv A

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/198	***	4.40	4.16	4.41	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	4	С	2	General	12	Under-grad	17	Non-major	17
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: SPAN 201 05

Title: Intermediate Spanish I

Instructor: Oscoz,Ana R

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 20

'				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	7	6	4.13	1057/1528	4.28	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.13
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	3	10	4.44	688/1527	4.39	4.37	4.28	4.32	4.44
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	2	11	4.50	564/1333	4.47	4.55	4.34	4.40	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	6	8	4.38	695/1495	4.28	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	1	0	2	4	6	4.08	813/1439	4.16	4.38	4.11	4.12	4.08
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	7	7	4.25	669/1425	4.16	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	3	3	10	4.44	544/1508	4.14	4.16	4.18	4.19	4.44
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1526	4.67	4.63	4.66	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	4	8	3	3.93	1005/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.11	3.93
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	5	8	4.50	854/1428	4.42	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	980/1436	4.78	4.82	4.74	4.76	4.71
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	0	3	5	5	3.93	1144/1427	4.28	4.39	4.32	4.33	3.93
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	2	5	6	4.14	1013/1425	4.48	4.50	4.34	4.37	4.14
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	4	1	1	3	3	2	3.40	1093/1291	3.95	4.11	4.05	4.14	3.40
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	701/1271	4.33	4.36	4.16	4.21	4.17
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	439/1276	4.66	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	877/1273	4.42	4.55	4.38	4.43	4.17
4. Were special techniques successful	10	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	158/922	4.40	4.27	4.02	4.11	4.67

Course-S	Section:	SPAN 201 05	5				Term	ı - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	20
	Title:	Intermediate	e Spa	nish I										Q	uestion	naires:	16
Ins	tructor:	Oscoz,Ana R															
								Frequen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Distr	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	4		Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	8												
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	3		Gene	ral		9)	Under-grad	16		Non-ma	ajor	16
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	7	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves		0	1	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	•		1							
				?	2												

Course-Section: SPAN 201 06

Title: Intermediate Spanish I

Instructor: Hernandez, Milvi

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 26

morrador.				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	2	0	3	9	11	4.08	1090/1528	4.28	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.08
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	4	5	15	4.32	829/1527	4.39	4.37	4.28	4.32	4.32
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	6	6	12	4.16	898/1333	4.47	4.55	4.34	4.40	4.16
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	3	2	9	11	4.12	982/1495	4.28	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.12
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	1	1	2	8	11	4.17	736/1439	4.16	4.38	4.11	4.12	4.17
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	1	3	8	10	3.84	1024/1425	4.16	4.23	4.12	4.11	3.84
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	3	5	7	10	3.96	1084/1508	4.14	4.16	4.18	4.19	3.96
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	23	4.92	453/1526	4.67	4.63	4.66	4.64	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	3	5	12	3	3.65	1209/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.11	3.65
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	1	4	5	12	4.27	1065/1428	4.42	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.27
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	5	17	4.77	886/1436	4.78	4.82	4.74	4.76	4.77
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	2	2	2	3	12	4.00	1080/1427	4.28	4.39	4.32	4.33	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	0	4	4	13	4.27	915/1425	4.48	4.50	4.34	4.37	4.27
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	2	5	5	10	4.05	706/1291	3.95	4.11	4.05	4.14	4.05
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	1	1	2	4	5	3.85	907/1271	4.33	4.36	4.16	4.21	3.85
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	257/1276	4.66	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.85
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	2	3	8	4.46	671/1273	4.42	4.55	4.38	4.43	4.46
4. Were special techniques successful	12	2	1	1	0	2	7	4.18	397/922	4.40	4.27	4.02	4.11	4.18

Course-Section: SPAN 201 06

Title: Intermediate Spanish I
Instructor: Hernandez, Milvi

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 26

Questionnaires: 25

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	24	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/208	****	4.80	4.27	4.30	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	24	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/76	****	4.63	4.51	4.17	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	24	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/74	****	4.86	4.31	3.91	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	24	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/42	****	****	4.00	3.68	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	24	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/41	****	****	4.06	3.81	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	***	4.74	4.50	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	10						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	6	General	14	Under-grad	25	Non-major	24
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Course-Section: SPAN 201 07

Title: Intermediate Spanish I

Instructor: Gomez-Rubio,Mar

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 23

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	2	11	4.44	726/1528	4.28	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.44
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	2	2	10	4.25	902/1527	4.39	4.37	4.28	4.32	4.25
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	10	4.50	564/1333	4.47	4.55	4.34	4.40	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	457/1495	4.28	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.54
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	3	0	4	7	4.07	813/1439	4.16	4.38	4.11	4.12	4.07
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	4	2	8	4.13	796/1425	4.16	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.13
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	2	4	8	4.27	771/1508	4.14	4.16	4.18	4.19	4.27
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	1	13	4.80	742/1526	4.67	4.63	4.66	4.64	4.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	1	1	3	3	4.00	911/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	2	1	5	6	4.07	1183/1428	4.42	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.07
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	413/1436	4.78	4.82	4.74	4.76	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	3	3	7	4.31	874/1427	4.28	4.39	4.32	4.33	4.31
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	4	8	4.43	785/1425	4.48	4.50	4.34	4.37	4.43
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	2	4	7	4.38	440/1291	3.95	4.11	4.05	4.14	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	446/1271	4.33	4.36	4.16	4.21	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	348/1276	4.66	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	828/1273	4.42	4.55	4.38	4.43	4.25
4. Were special techniques successful	12	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	360/922	4.40	4.27	4.02	4.11	4.25

										_							
Course-S	Section:	SPAN 201 0	7				Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	23
	Title:	Intermediat	te Spa	nish I										Q	uestion	naires:	16
Ins	tructor:	Gomez-Rub	io,Mai	٢													
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Д	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє)		Ma	jors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	1	Α	2		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	2	<u> </u>	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	6												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	4		Gene	ral		8	3	Under-grad	16		Non-ma	ajor	16
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	3	D	2												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ives		C)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	r		O)						
				2	2												

Course-Section: SPAN 201 08

Title: Intermediate Spanish I
Instructor: Burgos,Felix A

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 25

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	0	1	6	9	4.29	875/1528	4.28	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	0	0	4	12	4.53	550/1527	4.39	4.37	4.28	4.32	4.53
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	0	0	4	12	4.53	542/1333	4.47	4.55	4.34	4.40	4.53
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	1	6	10	4.33	746/1495	4.28	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	1	1	2	5	7	4.00	851/1439	4.16	4.38	4.11	4.12	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	3	2	5	7	3.94	942/1425	4.16	4.23	4.12	4.11	3.94
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	1	1	3	2	9	4.06	1003/1508	4.14	4.16	4.18	4.19	4.06
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	12	5	4.29	1248/1526	4.67	4.63	4.66	4.64	4.29
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	1	10	4	4.20	734/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	6	11	4.56	794/1428	4.42	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.56
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	3	15	4.83	742/1436	4.78	4.82	4.74	4.76	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	8	8	4.33	843/1427	4.28	4.39	4.32	4.33	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	7	10	4.59	578/1425	4.48	4.50	4.34	4.37	4.59
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	1	0	4	3	8	4.06	695/1291	3.95	4.11	4.05	4.14	4.06
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	1	0	4	7	4.42	538/1271	4.33	4.36	4.16	4.21	4.42
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	439/1276	4.66	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	637/1273	4.42	4.55	4.38	4.43	4.50
4. Were special techniques successful	6	2	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	272/922	4.40	4.27	4.02	4.11	4.40

					_					_							
Course-	Section:	SPAN 201 0	8				Term	- Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	25
	Title:	Intermediat	e Spa	nish I						_				Q	uestion	naires:	18
Ins	tructor:	Burgos,Felix	κA														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Турє)		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	4	ļ	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	6												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	5		Gene	ral		9)	Under-grad	18		Non-ma	ajor	18
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other			0)						
				2	3												

Course-Section: SPAN 201 09

Title: Intermediate Spanish I

Instructor: Strickling,Laur

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 27

		Frequencies							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	5	14	4.74	334/1528	4.28	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.74
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	170/1527	4.39	4.37	4.28	4.32	4.84
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	201/1333	4.47	4.55	4.34	4.40	4.84
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	14	4.74	247/1495	4.28	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.74
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	5	12	4.47	406/1439	4.16	4.38	4.11	4.12	4.47
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	5	12	4.53	377/1425	4.16	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.53
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	2	15	4.68	261/1508	4.14	4.16	4.18	4.19	4.68
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	671/1526	4.67	4.63	4.66	4.64	4.84
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	184/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.71
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	6	13	4.68	604/1428	4.42	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.68
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	709/1436	4.78	4.82	4.74	4.76	4.84
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	4	15	4.79	256/1427	4.28	4.39	4.32	4.33	4.79
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	16	4.79	306/1425	4.48	4.50	4.34	4.37	4.79
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	1	0	1	4	10	4.38	448/1291	3.95	4.11	4.05	4.14	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	184/1271	4.33	4.36	4.16	4.21	4.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1276	4.66	4.62	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	211/1273	4.42	4.55	4.38	4.43	4.92
4. Were special techniques successful	7	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	95/922	4.40	4.27	4.02	4.11	4.83

Course-S	Section:	SPAN 201 0	9				Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	27
	Title:	Intermediat	e Spa	nish I						_				Q	uestion	naires:	19
Ins	tructor:	Strickling,La	aur														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	3		Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	1	В	7												
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	2	С	2		Gene	ral		14		Under-grad	19		Non-ma	ajor	19
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	4	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0		Electi	ves		0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0							
				?	1												

Course-Section: SPAN 201 10

Title: Intermediate Spanish I

Instructor: Colombo, Laura M

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 25

								In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	6	15	4.71	362/1528	4.28	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	6	14	4.62	438/1527	4.39	4.37	4.28	4.32	4.62
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	6	14	4.50	564/1333	4.47	4.55	4.34	4.40	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	1	0	6	13	4.55	432/1495	4.28	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.55
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	3	6	11	4.40	499/1439	4.16	4.38	4.11	4.12	4.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	6	13	4.60	301/1425	4.16	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	1	3	3	12	4.37	640/1508	4.14	4.16	4.18	4.19	4.37
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	19	2	4.10	1395/1526	4.67	4.63	4.66	4.64	4.10
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	1	0	2	3	11	4.35	555/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.35
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	5	16	4.76	459/1428	4.42	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.76
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	21	5.00	1/1436	4.78	4.82	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	5	16	4.76	283/1427	4.28	4.39	4.32	4.33	4.76
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	19	4.86	220/1425	4.48	4.50	4.34	4.37	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	1	1	4	1	13	4.20	574/1291	3.95	4.11	4.05	4.14	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	319/1271	4.33	4.36	4.16	4.21	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	171/1276	4.66	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.92
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	312/1273	4.42	4.55	4.38	4.43	4.83
4. Were special techniques successful	10	1	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	167/922	4.40	4.27	4.02	4.11	4.64

Course-S	Section:	SPAN 201 10)				Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	25
	Title:	Intermediate	e Spa	nish I										Q	uestion	naires:	22
Ins	tructor:	Colombo,Lau	ıra M														
								Frequer	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Disti	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Турє	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	5	5	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	6												
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	4		Gene	ral		12	2	Under-grad	22		Non-ma	ajor	22
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	4	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	3												

Course-Section: SPAN 201 11

Title: Intermediate Spanish I

Instructor: Strickling, Laur

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 25

This it detoil. Strickling, Ladi	Frequencies Ins							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean				
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	4	12	4.35	815/1528	4.28	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.35
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	16	4.75	259/1527	4.39	4.37	4.28	4.32	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	2	16	4.65	404/1333	4.47	4.55	4.34	4.40	4.65
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	2	5	11	4.37	708/1495	4.28	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.37
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	5	5	9	4.21	699/1439	4.16	4.38	4.11	4.12	4.21
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	2	8	8	4.33	583/1425	4.16	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	0	1	7	10	4.32	708/1508	4.14	4.16	4.18	4.19	4.32
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	10	8	4.44	1122/1526	4.67	4.63	4.66	4.64	4.44
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	3	9	4	4.06	871/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.06
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	1	0	2	5	12	4.35	1005/1428	4.42	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.35
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	3	17	4.85	677/1436	4.78	4.82	4.74	4.76	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	1	5	13	4.50	625/1427	4.28	4.39	4.32	4.33	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	4	14	4.60	556/1425	4.48	4.50	4.34	4.37	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	8	0	1	2	6	2	3.82	895/1291	3.95	4.11	4.05	4.14	3.82
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	204/1271	4.33	4.36	4.16	4.21	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	190/1276	4.66	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.90
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	562/1273	4.42	4.55	4.38	4.43	4.60
4. Were special techniques successful	10	1	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	85/922	4.40	4.27	4.02	4.11	4.89

Course-Section: SPAN 201 11

Title: Intermediate Spanish I

Instructor: Strickling,Laur

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 25

Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	****	****	4.43	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.53	4.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	***	****	4.43	3.75	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	5	С	3	General	11	Under-grad	20	Non-major	20
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: SPAN 201 12

Title: Intermediate Spanish I

Instructor: Burgos,Felix A

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 26

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	2	0	1	7	6	3.94	1205/1528	4.28	4.38	4.31	4.34	3.94
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	2	1	3	3	7	3.75	1308/1527	4.39	4.37	4.28	4.32	3.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	1	1	2	11	4.31	787/1333	4.47	4.55	4.34	4.40	4.31
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	2	1	1	2	9	4.00	1047/1495	4.28	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	2	4	2	7	3.93	929/1439	4.16	4.38	4.11	4.12	3.93
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	6	8	4.31	603/1425	4.16	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.31
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	4	1	4	6	3.63	1285/1508	4.14	4.16	4.18	4.19	3.63
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	10	6	4.38	1185/1526	4.67	4.63	4.66	4.64	4.38
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	1	1	2	8	2	3.64	1215/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.11	3.64
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	2	5	7	4.20	1114/1428	4.42	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.20
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	1	0	5	9	4.47	1213/1436	4.78	4.82	4.74	4.76	4.47
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	3	1	5	5	3.86	1183/1427	4.28	4.39	4.32	4.33	3.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	3	0	2	10	4.27	922/1425	4.48	4.50	4.34	4.37	4.27
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	5	0	3	6	3.53	1049/1291	3.95	4.11	4.05	4.14	3.53
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	629/1271	4.33	4.36	4.16	4.21	4.29
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	531/1276	4.66	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.57
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	458/1273	4.42	4.55	4.38	4.43	4.71
4. Were special techniques successful	9	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	91/922	4.40	4.27	4.02	4.11	4.86

Course-S	Section:	SPAN 201 1	2				Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	26
	Title:	Intermediat	e Spa	nish I										Q	uestion	naires:	16
Ins	tructor:	Burgos,Felix	¢ Α														
								Freque	encies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	tribu	tion							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4		Requ	ired for M	ajors		2	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	6												
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	3	С	5		Gene	ral		1	3	Under-grad	16		Non-ma	ajor	16
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electi	ves			1	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				0						
				?	0												

Course-Section: SPAN 201 13

Title: Intermediate Spanish I
Instructor: Gomez-Rubio,Mar

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 23

·	Frequencies							In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	1	4	5	8	3.95	1195/1528	4.28	4.38	4.31	4.34	3.95
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	4	6	7	3.89	1230/1527	4.39	4.37	4.28	4.32	3.89
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	4	4	10	4.21	856/1333	4.47	4.55	4.34	4.40	4.21
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	3	6	4	5	3.61	1326/1495	4.28	4.36	4.25	4.28	3.61
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	5	7	5	3.79	1037/1439	4.16	4.38	4.11	4.12	3.79
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	6	4	6	3.63	1153/1425	4.16	4.23	4.12	4.11	3.63
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	3	2	4	4	6	3.42	1349/1508	4.14	4.16	4.18	4.19	3.42
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	340/1526	4.67	4.63	4.66	4.64	4.95
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	1	3	6	5	4.00	911/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	4	6	9	4.26	1072/1428	4.42	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.26
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	5	13	4.63	1078/1436	4.78	4.82	4.74	4.76	4.63
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	2	2	9	5	3.94	1128/1427	4.28	4.39	4.32	4.33	3.94
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	8	8	4.26	922/1425	4.48	4.50	4.34	4.37	4.26
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	4	6	8	4.11	674/1291	3.95	4.11	4.05	4.14	4.11
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	5	6	4.42	538/1271	4.33	4.36	4.16	4.21	4.42
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	2	1	9	4.58	523/1276	4.66	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.58
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	4	1	7	4.25	828/1273	4.42	4.55	4.38	4.43	4.25
4. Were special techniques successful	7	1	0	1	2	3	5	4.09	444/922	4.40	4.27	4.02	4.11	4.09

Course-S	Section:	SPAN 201 13	}				Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	23
	Title:	Intermediate	e Spa	nish I										Q	uestion	naires:	19
Ins	tructor:	Gomez-Rubi	o,Mar	-													
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Турє	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	5	5	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5												
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	4	С	6		Gene	ral		12	2	Under-grad	19		Non-ma	ajor	19
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	3	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	1												

Course-Section: SPAN 201 14

Title: Intermediate Spanish I

Instructor: Gomez-Rubio,Mar

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 25

'	Frequencies						In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	2	7	7	4.31	855/1528	4.28	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.31
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	2	4	10	4.50	575/1527	4.39	4.37	4.28	4.32	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	4	11	4.63	436/1333	4.47	4.55	4.34	4.40	4.63
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	9	6	4.31	772/1495	4.28	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.31
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	1	5	9	4.31	594/1439	4.16	4.38	4.11	4.12	4.31
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	4	3	7	4.07	852/1425	4.16	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.07
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	1	2	5	8	4.25	783/1508	4.14	4.16	4.18	4.19	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	811/1526	4.67	4.63	4.66	4.64	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	344/1490	4.09	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	3	7	6	4.19	1126/1428	4.42	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.19
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	580/1436	4.78	4.82	4.74	4.76	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	1	5	10	4.41	757/1427	4.28	4.39	4.32	4.33	4.41
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	335/1425	4.48	4.50	4.34	4.37	4.76
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	1	1	5	9	4.38	448/1291	3.95	4.11	4.05	4.14	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	507/1271	4.33	4.36	4.16	4.21	4.44
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	439/1276	4.66	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	724/1273	4.42	4.55	4.38	4.43	4.40
4. Were special techniques successful	9	1	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	430/922	4.40	4.27	4.02	4.11	4.13

Course-Section: SPAN 201 14

Title: Intermediate Spanish I

Instructor: Gomez-Rubio,Mar

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 25

Questionnaires: 18

	Frequencies						Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	17	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/208	****	4.80	4.27	4.30	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	17	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/42	****	****	4.00	3.68	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	17	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/41	***	****	4.06	3.81	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	17	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/30	***	****	4.74	4.50	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/43	***	****	4.43	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	17	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/31	***	****	4.53	4.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	17	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/36	***	****	4.43	3.75	****
. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful		0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/21	***	****	4.54	3.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	17	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/20	***	****	4.45	3.77	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	1	В	5						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	3	General	7	Under-grad	18	Non-major	18
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: SPAN 202 02

Title: Intermediate Spanish II

Instructor: Val,Adriana

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 22

			Frequencies					In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	3	2	2	9	4.06	1102/1528	4.06	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.06
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	6	8	4.31	841/1527	4.31	4.37	4.28	4.32	4.31
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	3	2	1	3.67	1198/1333	3.67	4.55	4.34	4.40	3.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	5	9	4.38	695/1495	4.38	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	6	2	6	3.75	1064/1439	3.75	4.38	4.11	4.12	3.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	2	11	4.44	477/1425	4.44	4.23	4.12	4.11	4.44
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	6	1	7	3.75	1231/1508	3.75	4.16	4.18	4.19	3.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.63	4.66	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	0	3	10	1	3.67	1203/1490	3.67	4.13	4.11	4.11	3.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	2	5	8	4.25	1079/1428	4.25	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.25
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	1019/1436	4.69	4.82	4.74	4.76	4.69
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	1	4	4	5	3.73	1234/1427	3.73	4.39	4.32	4.33	3.73
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	4	4	7	4.00	1076/1425	4.00	4.50	4.34	4.37	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	1	0	5	8	4.20	574/1291	4.20	4.11	4.05	4.14	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	1	1	5	6	4.00	780/1271	4.00	4.36	4.16	4.21	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	1	1	0	3	9	4.29	786/1276	4.29	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.29
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	1	1	3	9	4.43	706/1273	4.43	4.55	4.38	4.43	4.43
. Were special techniques successful		1	0	1	2	2	8	4.31	335/922	4.31	4.27	4.02	4.11	4.31

Course-Section: SPAN 202 02

Title: Intermediate Spanish II

Instructor: Val,Adriana

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 22

Tristi detoi: Vai, nariaria														
	Frequencies							In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mea
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/198	***	4.40	4.16	4.41	***
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/208	***	4.80	4.27	4.30	***
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	***	5.00	4.56	4.57	***
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	***	4.60	4.37	4.43	***
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	***	4.86	4.31	3.91	***
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	***	4.70	4.27	3.85	***
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	4.71	4.27	4.15	***
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	***	3.74	3.94	3.95	***
Field Work														
Did field experience contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	***	****	4.00	3.68	***
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/41	***	****	4.06	3.81	***
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	***	****	4.74	4.50	***
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	***	****	4.20	4.32	***
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	***	****	4.34	4.11	***
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/43	***	****	4.43	3.95	***
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	14	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/31	***	****	4.53	4.00	***
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	14	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/36	****	****	4.43	3.75	***
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	14	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/21	****	****	4.54	3.63	***
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	14	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/20	****	****	4.45	3.77	***

Course-Section:	SPAN 202 02			Term	- Fall	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	22
Title:	Intermediate Spanish II											Q	uestion	naires:	16
Instructor:	Val,Adriana														
		-		Frequencies				In	structor	Course	Ora	UMBC	Lovol	Soct	
						100110	,,,,,			oti detei	000130	Org	OIVIDC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean				Mean		

Frequency Distribution

						1 3					
Credits I	Earned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	2	General	1	Under-grad	16	Non-major	14
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	4						

Course-Section: SPAN 301 01

Title: Advanced Spanish I

Instructor: Stolle-McAllist

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 19

·	Frequencies					In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	7	8	4.53	601/1528	4.43	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.53
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	10	4.60	453/1527	4.47	4.37	4.28	4.27	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	103/1333	4.78	4.55	4.34	4.34	4.93
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	247/1495	4.62	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.73
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	239/1439	4.54	4.38	4.11	4.13	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	249/1425	4.50	4.23	4.12	4.17	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	210/1508	4.24	4.16	4.18	4.17	4.73
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	742/1526	4.49	4.63	4.66	4.68	4.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	1	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	221/1490	4.40	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.67
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	515/1428	4.55	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.73
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	0	13	4.86	677/1436	4.74	4.82	4.74	4.74	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	6	9	4.60	506/1427	4.43	4.39	4.32	4.31	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	378/1425	4.62	4.50	4.34	4.34	4.73
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	5	0	0	4	3	3	3.90	836/1291	3.83	4.11	4.05	4.09	3.90
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	319/1271	4.40	4.36	4.16	4.19	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	439/1276	4.65	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.67
Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion		0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1273	4.81	4.55	4.38	4.40	5.00
Were special techniques successful		0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	197/922	4.35	4.27	4.02	4.02	4.56

					_					_							
Course-	Section:	SPAN 301 0	1				Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	19
	Title:	Advanced S	panisł	n l						_				Q	uestion	naires:	15
Ins	structor:	Stolle-McAll	ist														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ons			Турє)		Ma	jors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	8	}	Graduate	0		Major		4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	7												
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	15		Non-ma	ajor	11
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0		Electi	ives		5	;	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	r		2	2						
				2	0												

Course-Section: SPAN 301 02

Title: Advanced Spanish I

Instructor: Arevalo-Guerrer

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

	_		Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	5	6	4.33	835/1528	4.43	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	6	4.33	818/1527	4.47	4.37	4.28	4.27	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	425/1333	4.78	4.55	4.34	4.34	4.64
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	496/1495	4.62	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	3	7	4.42	485/1439	4.54	4.38	4.11	4.13	4.42
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	5	6	4.33	583/1425	4.50	4.23	4.12	4.17	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	2	5	3	3.75	1231/1508	4.24	4.16	4.18	4.17	3.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	9	2	4.18	1344/1526	4.49	4.63	4.66	4.68	4.18
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	822/1490	4.40	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.13
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	1	0	2	5	4.38	989/1428	4.55	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.38
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	1090/1436	4.74	4.82	4.74	4.74	4.63
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	916/1427	4.43	4.39	4.32	4.31	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	667/1425	4.62	4.50	4.34	4.34	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	1	1	0	3	3	3.75	937/1291	3.83	4.11	4.05	4.09	3.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	5	2	4.13	733/1271	4.40	4.36	4.16	4.19	4.13
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	483/1276	4.65	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.63
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	543/1273	4.81	4.55	4.38	4.40	4.63
4. Were special techniques successful	4	1	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	419/922	4.35	4.27	4.02	4.02	4.14

Course-S	Section:	SPAN 301 0	2				Term	1 - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	15
	Title:	Advanced S _l	panish	n l										Q	uestion	naires:	12
Ins	structor:	Arevalo-Gue	errer														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Д	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре)		Ma	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	6		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	6	i	Graduate	0		Major		2
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	4												
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	12		Non-ma	ajor	10
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electi	ves		2		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			2							
				2	2												

Course-Section: SPAN 302 01

Title: Advanced Spanish II

Instructor: Schneider, Judit

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 17

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	307/1528	4.75	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	368/1527	4.67	4.37	4.28	4.27	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.55	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	154/1495	4.83	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	89/1439	4.92	4.38	4.11	4.13	4.92
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	78/1425	4.92	4.23	4.12	4.17	4.92
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	191/1508	4.75	4.16	4.18	4.17	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	689/1526	4.83	4.63	4.66	4.68	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	221/1490	4.67	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	637/1428	4.67	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.82	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	297/1427	4.75	4.39	4.32	4.31	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	146/1425	4.92	4.50	4.34	4.34	4.92
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	1	3	7	4.33	480/1291	4.33	4.11	4.05	4.09	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.36	4.16	4.19	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.55	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.27	4.02	4.02	5.00

Course-S	Section:	SPAN 302 0	1				Term	n - Fall 201	10						Enro	Ilment:	17
	Title:	Advanced S	panisł	n II										Q	uestion	naires:	12
Ins	structor:	Schneider,J	udit														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	4		Requ	ired for Ma	ors	7	•	Graduate	0		Major		3
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	4												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	3		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	12		Non-ma	ajor	9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		3	3	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	•		0)						
				2	1												

Course-Section: SPAN 305 01

Title: Span For Heritage Span
Instructor: Schwartz,Ana M

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 8

'				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	434/1528	4.67	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	4.50	575/1527	4.50	4.37	4.28	4.27	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.55	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	177/1495	4.80	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	239/1439	4.67	4.38	4.11	4.13	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	121/1425	4.83	4.23	4.12	4.17	4.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	129/1508	4.83	4.16	4.18	4.17	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.63	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	110/1490	4.83	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.50	4.49	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.82	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.39	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.50	4.34	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	1	3	0	3.75	937/1291	3.75	4.11	4.05	4.09	3.75
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.36	4.16	4.19	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.62	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	408/1273	4.75	4.55	4.38	4.40	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	360/922	4.25	4.27	4.02	4.02	4.25

Course-	Section:	SPAN 305 0	1				Term	- Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	8
	Title:	Span For He	ritage	e Span										Q	uestion	naires:	6
Ins	tructor:	Schwartz,Ar	na M														
					_			Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	2		Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	6		Non-ma	ajor	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			2							
				2	1												

Course-Section: SPAN 307 01

Title: Espana Y Sus Culturas

Instructor: Arevalo-Guerrer

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 22

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	5	10	4.47	674/1528	4.47	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.47
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	8	7	4.29	862/1527	4.29	4.37	4.28	4.27	4.29
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	2	2	4	9	4.18	889/1333	4.18	4.55	4.34	4.34	4.18
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	7	8	4.29	796/1495	4.29	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	257/1439	4.65	4.38	4.11	4.13	4.65
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	8	8	4.41	501/1425	4.41	4.23	4.12	4.17	4.41
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	6	4	6	3.88	1150/1508	3.88	4.16	4.18	4.17	3.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	10	7	4.41	1152/1526	4.41	4.63	4.66	4.68	4.41
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	2	9	2	4.00	911/1490	4.00	4.13	4.11	4.11	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	4	11	4.53	830/1428	4.53	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.53
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	580/1436	4.88	4.82	4.74	4.74	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	8	9	4.53	601/1427	4.53	4.39	4.32	4.31	4.53
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	5	11	4.59	578/1425	4.59	4.50	4.34	4.34	4.59
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	2	3	12	4.59	267/1291	4.59	4.11	4.05	4.09	4.59
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	497/1271	4.45	4.36	4.16	4.19	4.45
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	3	2	6	4.27	792/1276	4.27	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.27
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	2	2	7	4.45	680/1273	4.45	4.55	4.38	4.40	4.45
4. Were special techniques successful	6	0	0	2	0	2	7	4.27	350/922	4.27	4.27	4.02	4.02	4.27

Course-S	Section:	SPAN 307 0	l				Term	n - Fall 201	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	22
	Title:	Espana Y Su	s Cult	uras										Q	uestion	naires:	17
Inst	tructor:	Arevalo-Gue	rrer														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4		Requ	ired for Ma	ors	16	i	Graduate	0		Major		10
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	9												
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	2	С	3		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	17		Non-ma	ajor	7
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	7	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electi	ves		0	1	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0	1						
				?	1												

Course-Section: SPAN 308 01

Title: Latinoamerica Y Sus Cult

Instructor: Poggio,Sara Z

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	1	1	7	1	3.55	1395/1528	3.55	4.38	4.31	4.34	3.55
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	3	3	2	2	3.09	1473/1527	3.09	4.37	4.28	4.27	3.09
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	3	5	2	3.64	1206/1333	3.64	4.55	4.34	4.34	3.64
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	1	4	3	2	3.36	1403/1495	3.36	4.36	4.25	4.28	3.36
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	0	5	4	3.91	963/1439	3.91	4.38	4.11	4.13	3.91
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	2	5	1	3.27	1302/1425	3.27	4.23	4.12	4.17	3.27
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	1	1	5	2	3.36	1367/1508	3.36	4.16	4.18	4.17	3.36
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	1	9	1	0	3.00	1520/1526	3.00	4.63	4.66	4.68	3.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	2	1	4	3	0	2.80	1445/1490	2.80	4.13	4.11	4.11	2.80
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	3	1	4	1	2	2.82	1412/1428	2.82	4.50	4.49	4.48	2.82
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	1	0	0	3	7	4.36	1277/1436	4.36	4.82	4.74	4.74	4.36
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	2	4	3	1	3.09	1370/1427	3.09	4.39	4.32	4.31	3.09
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	2	1	2	4	3.60	1273/1425	3.60	4.50	4.34	4.34	3.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	3	1	5	4.22	560/1291	4.22	4.11	4.05	4.09	4.22
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	246/1271	4.75	4.36	4.16	4.19	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	348/1276	4.75	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.55	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	7	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/922	***	4.27	4.02	4.02	****

					_													
Course-	Section:	SPAN 308 0	1				Term	ı - Fall 2	010)						Enro	Ilment:	16
	Title:	Latinoameri	ica Y S	Sus Cult											Q	uestion	naires:	11
Ins	structor:	Poggio,Sara	Z															
								Frequ	ienc	ies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	stri	buti	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	son	S			Турє	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4		Requ	ired for N	/lajo	rs	6		Graduate	0		Major		1
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	4													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	11		Non-ma	ajor	10
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	3	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electi	ves			1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	•			1							
				2	1													

Course-Section: SPAN 312 01

Title: Intro To Latin Amer Lit

Instructor: Bell,Alan S

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	4	9	4.38	795/1528	4.38	4.38	4.31	4.34	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	3	1	5	7	4.00	1113/1527	4.00	4.37	4.28	4.27	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	2	0	6	5	4.08	967/1333	4.08	4.55	4.34	4.34	4.08
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	1	1	7	5	4.14	962/1495	4.14	4.36	4.25	4.28	4.14
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	14	4.81	128/1439	4.81	4.38	4.11	4.13	4.81
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	3	4	8	4.19	746/1425	4.19	4.23	4.12	4.17	4.19
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	3	1	4	6	2	3.19	1400/1508	3.19	4.16	4.18	4.17	3.19
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	2	11	3	4.06	1404/1526	4.06	4.63	4.66	4.68	4.06
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	1	4	6	1	3.58	1245/1490	3.58	4.13	4.11	4.11	3.58
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	1	0	1	9	4.64	686/1428	4.64	4.50	4.49	4.48	4.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	1	0	0	10	4.73	964/1436	4.73	4.82	4.74	4.74	4.73
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	1	0	0	5	5	4.18	975/1427	4.18	4.39	4.32	4.31	4.18
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	0	0	3	7	4.36	846/1425	4.36	4.50	4.34	4.34	4.36
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	1	1	0	2	4	2	3.67	993/1291	3.67	4.11	4.05	4.09	3.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.36	4.16	4.19	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	439/1276	4.67	4.62	4.33	4.37	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	2	4	3	4.11	903/1273	4.11	4.55	4.38	4.40	4.11
4. Were special techniques successful	7	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	197/922	4.56	4.27	4.02	4.02	4.56

Course-S	Section:	SPAN 312 01					Term	ı - Fall 2	<mark>2010</mark>)						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	20
	Title:	Intro To Lati	n Am	er Lit											Q	uestion	naires:	16
Ins	tructor:	Bell,Alan S																
								Frequ	uend	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fre	eque	ncy Di	stri	buti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	Grade	es		Rea	ason	ıs			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5		Requ	ired for N	Majo	rs	11		Graduate	0		Major		7
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	5													
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	2	С	2		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	16		Non-ma	ajor	9
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0		Electi	ves			1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	-			0							
				?	3													

Course-Section: SPAN 401 01

Title: Studies In Spanish Lang
Instructor: Oscoz,Ana R

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 9

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	175/1528	4.89	4.38	4.31	4.39	4.89
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	368/1527	4.67	4.37	4.28	4.30	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	1	0	0	2	3	4.00	1003/1333	4.00	4.55	4.34	4.37	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	313/1495	4.67	4.36	4.25	4.33	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	472/1439	4.43	4.38	4.11	4.20	4.43
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	159/1425	4.78	4.23	4.12	4.26	4.78
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	0	1	3	3	3.56	1305/1508	3.56	4.16	4.18	4.24	3.56
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	601/1526	4.89	4.63	4.66	4.71	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	2	4	2	4.00	911/1490	4.00	4.13	4.11	4.19	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	441/1428	4.78	4.50	4.49	4.54	4.78
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	580/1436	4.89	4.82	4.74	4.75	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	565/1427	4.56	4.39	4.32	4.37	4.56
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	4.44	755/1425	4.44	4.50	4.34	4.37	4.44
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	539/1291	4.25	4.11	4.05	4.10	4.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	405/1271	4.56	4.36	4.16	4.33	4.56
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	1	0	2	6	4.44	654/1276	4.44	4.62	4.33	4.49	4.44
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	1	0	2	6	4.44	689/1273	4.44	4.55	4.38	4.55	4.44
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	197/922	4.56	4.27	4.02	4.23	4.56

					_												
Course-	Section:	SPAN 401 0	1				Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	Ilment:	14
	Title:	Studies In S	panis	h Lang										Q	uestion	naires:	9
Ins	tructor:	Oscoz,Ana F	?														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits E	Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expect							Reaso	ns			Туре)		Ma	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2		Requ	ired for Ma	ors	6	;	Graduate	1		Major		3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	8		Non-ma	ajor	6
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electi	ves		2	2	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				2	1												

Course-Section: SPAN 421 01

Title: Studies In Hispanic Lit

Instructor: Bell,Alan S

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 7

'	Frequencies						In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	765/1528	4.40	4.38	4.31	4.39	4.40
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	952/1527	4.20	4.37	4.28	4.30	4.20
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	704/1333	4.40	4.55	4.34	4.37	4.40
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	657/1495	4.40	4.36	4.25	4.33	4.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	292/1439	4.60	4.38	4.11	4.20	4.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	3.80	1056/1425	3.80	4.23	4.12	4.26	3.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	3.40	1358/1508	3.40	4.16	4.18	4.24	3.40
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	1163/1526	4.40	4.63	4.66	4.71	4.40
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	4.00	911/1490	4.00	4.13	4.11	4.19	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.50	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.82	4.74	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	772/1427	4.40	4.39	4.32	4.37	4.40
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	556/1425	4.60	4.50	4.34	4.37	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	112/1291	4.80	4.11	4.05	4.10	4.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	246/1271	4.75	4.36	4.16	4.33	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	348/1276	4.75	4.62	4.33	4.49	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	828/1273	4.25	4.55	4.38	4.55	4.25
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	857/922	3.00	4.27	4.02	4.23	3.00

Course-Section: SPAN 421 01

Title: Studies In Hispanic Lit
Instructor: Bell,Alan S

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 5

	Frequenc					cies	Instructor			Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	4.63	4.51	4.83	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	***	4.86	4.31	4.42	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	***	4.70	4.27	4.26	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	4.71	4.27	4.42	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	***	3.74	3.94	4.23	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	2	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	1			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: SPAN 472 01

Title: Topics In Latn Amer Civ

Instructor: Stolle-McAllist

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 20

	Frequencies						Įn:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	307/1528	4.75	4.38	4.31	4.39	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	368/1527	4.67	4.37	4.28	4.30	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	328/1333	4.73	4.55	4.34	4.37	4.73
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	169/1495	4.82	4.36	4.25	4.33	4.82
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	0	10	4.67	239/1439	4.67	4.38	4.11	4.20	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	275/1425	4.64	4.23	4.12	4.26	4.64
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	1	2	2	7	4.25	783/1508	4.25	4.16	4.18	4.24	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.63	4.66	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	434/1490	4.44	4.13	4.11	4.19	4.44
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	478/1428	4.75	4.50	4.49	4.54	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.82	4.74	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	529/1427	4.58	4.39	4.32	4.37	4.58
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	578/1425	4.58	4.50	4.34	4.37	4.58
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	205/1291	4.67	4.11	4.05	4.10	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	381/1271	4.58	4.36	4.16	4.33	4.58
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	1	0	1	11	4.69	406/1276	4.69	4.62	4.33	4.49	4.69
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.55	4.38	4.55	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	218/922	4.50	4.27	4.02	4.23	4.50

Course-Section: SPAN 472 01

Title: Topics In Latn Amer Civ

Instructor: Stolle-McAllist

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 20

Questionnaires: 14

	Frequencies						Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/208	***	4.80	4.27	4.21	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	***	5.00	4.56	4.52	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	***	4.60	4.37	4.45	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/176	***	****	4.23	3.87	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	12	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	4.63	4.51	4.83	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/74	***	4.86	4.31	4.42	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/66	***	4.70	4.27	4.26	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/76	***	4.71	4.27	4.42	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/73	***	3.74	3.94	4.23	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/42	***	****	4.00	4.73	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/41	***	****	4.06	4.33	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	13	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/43	***	***	4.43	4.63	***

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors		
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	1	Major	6	
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	8	
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0							

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:47:29 PM

Course-S	Course-Section: SPAN 472 01						Term	- Fall	2010)						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	20
	Title	: Topics In La	itn Ame	er Civ							,				Q	uestion	naires:	14
Ins	tructor	: Stolle-McAll	list															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions						1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Self Paced																
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	5	F	0		Electi	ves			3		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	•			1							
				?	4													