**Course-Section: ARBC 102 01** 

**Title: Elementary Arabic II** 

**Instructor:** El Omari, Samir

Term - Spring 2013

**Enrollment: 15** 

**Questionnaires:** 9

			Frequencies				Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	458/1560	4.52	4.47	4.35	4.17	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	856/1559	4.23	4.42	4.31	4.25	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	301/1371	4.51	4.56	4.38	4.27	4.78
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	635/1519	4.35	4.46	4.27	4.13	4.44
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	381/1452	4.47	4.40	4.18	4.04	4.56
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	266/1430	4.40	4.33	4.16	3.98	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	487/1539	4.34	4.38	4.23	4.18	4.56
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	1006/1560	4.42	4.69	4.64	4.57	4.56
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	2	3	2	4.00	952/1545	4.08	4.21	4.14	4.07	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	953/1496	4.41	4.54	4.49	4.43	4.44
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	615/1498	4.76	4.83	4.75	4.67	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	644/1496	4.53	4.45	4.37	4.31	4.56
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	726/1494	4.50	4.53	4.37	4.28	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	3	1	5	4.22	659/1352	4.36	4.24	4.12	3.98	4.22
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	398/1248	4.55	4.39	4.23	3.95	4.60
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	479/1250	4.58	4.64	4.39	4.13	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	347/1239	4.67	4.56	4.45	4.18	4.83

#### **Report Help**

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: ARBC 102 01** 

**Title: Elementary Arabic II** 

**Instructor: El Omari,Samir** 

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 15

**Questionnaires:** 9

		Frequencies				In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	239/906	4.50	4.35	4.13	3.98	4.50

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	4	Under-grad	9	Non-major	9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: ARBC 102 02** 

Title: Elementary Arabic II

**Instructor:** El Omari, Samir

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 9

						Instructor		Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	841/1560	4.52	4.47	4.35	4.17	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	1068/1559	4.23	4.42	4.31	4.25	4.13
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	887/1371	4.51	4.56	4.38	4.27	4.25
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	867/1519	4.35	4.46	4.27	4.13	4.25
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	582/1452	4.47	4.40	4.18	4.04	4.38
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	811/1430	4.40	4.33	4.16	3.98	4.13
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	997/1539	4.34	4.38	4.23	4.18	4.13
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	1270/1560	4.42	4.69	4.64	4.57	4.29
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	5	1	4.17	827/1545	4.08	4.21	4.14	4.07	4.17
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	1037/1496	4.41	4.54	4.49	4.43	4.38
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	1132/1498	4.76	4.83	4.75	4.67	4.63
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	700/1496	4.53	4.45	4.37	4.31	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	726/1494	4.50	4.53	4.37	4.28	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	353/1352	4.36	4.24	4.12	3.98	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	470/1248	4.55	4.39	4.23	3.95	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	616/1250	4.58	4.64	4.39	4.13	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	677/1239	4.67	4.56	4.45	4.18	4.50
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	239/906	4.50	4.35	4.13	3.98	4.50

**Course-Section: ARBC 102 02** 

Title: Elementary Arabic II

**Instructor:** El Omari, Samir

Term - Spring 2013

**Enrollment: 18** 

**Questionnaires:** 9

						Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/206	****	****	4.25	4.15	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	4.30	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/204	****	****	4.52	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	4.50	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/199	****	****	4.27	4.31	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/64	****	5.00	4.44	4.50	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/58	****	4.88	4.37	4.32	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/52	****	5.00	4.41	4.33	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/66	****	5.00	4.41	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/63	****	4.50	4.09	4.17	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.19	3.64	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.11	4.21	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/24	****	5.00	4.25	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/26	****	5.00	3.89	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/25	****	5.00	4.01	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/31	****	5.00	4.35	4.54	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/22	****	5.00	4.13	4.42	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.41	4.61	****

#### **Report Help**

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: ARBC 102 02** 

**Title: Elementary Arabic II** 

**Instructor: El Omari,Samir** 

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful		0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/14	****	5.00	4.03	4.38	****
. Were there enough proctors for all the students 8			0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/10	****	****	3.94	5.00	****

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

**Course-Section: ARBC 202 01** 

**Title: Intermediate Arabic II** 

**Instructor:** El Omari, Samir

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 15

**Questionnaires:** 9

						Instructor		Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	458/1560	4.67	4.47	4.35	4.37	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	412/1559	4.67	4.42	4.31	4.33	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	442/1371	4.67	4.56	4.38	4.40	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	356/1519	4.67	4.46	4.27	4.29	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	381/1452	4.56	4.40	4.18	4.22	4.56
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	626/1430	4.33	4.33	4.16	4.15	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	622/1539	4.44	4.38	4.23	4.25	4.44
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	1006/1560	4.56	4.69	4.64	4.61	4.56
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	406/1545	4.50	4.21	4.14	4.09	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	807/1496	4.56	4.54	4.49	4.52	4.56
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	1077/1498	4.67	4.83	4.75	4.78	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	371/1496	4.75	4.45	4.37	4.36	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	219/1494	4.89	4.53	4.37	4.41	4.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	249/1352	4.63	4.24	4.12	4.14	4.63
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	618/1248	4.33	4.39	4.23	4.25	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	479/1250	4.67	4.64	4.39	4.40	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	677/1239	4.50	4.56	4.45	4.45	4.50

#### **Report Help**

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: ARBC 202 01** 

**Title: Intermediate Arabic II** 

**Instructor: El Omari,Samir** 

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment: 15
Questionnaires: 9

		Frequencies			Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/906	5.00	4.35	4.13	4.19	5.00

#### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	9	Non-major	9
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	2						