

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ASIA 300 01
Title:	Topics in Asian Studies
Instructor:	Kreizenbeck,Ala

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	18
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	4	1	7	4.08	1153/1560	4.49	4.26	4.35	4.42	4.08
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	6	1	5	3.77	1355/1559	4.34	4.34	4.31	4.35	3.77
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	2	0	4	4.33	810/1371	4.61	4.25	4.38	4.41	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	3	4	5	4.17	952/1519	4.26	4.21	4.27	4.33	4.17
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	5	6	4.42	542/1452	4.61	4.18	4.18	4.21	4.42
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	1	3	3	3	3.80	1061/1430	4.30	4.12	4.16	4.20	3.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	1	3	3	5	4.00	1077/1539	4.19	4.27	4.23	4.27	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	6	4.46	1098/1560	4.65	4.65	4.64	4.66	4.46
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	2	1	8	1	3.67	1264/1545	4.08	4.18	4.14	4.19	3.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	3	3	6	4.08	1259/1496	4.42	4.45	4.49	4.54	4.08
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	2	0	2	9	4.38	1328/1498	4.79	4.62	4.75	4.79	4.38
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	1	2	3	6	3.92	1238/1496	4.46	4.42	4.37	4.43	3.92
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	2	2	7	4.00	1147/1494	4.50	4.31	4.37	4.43	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	72/1352	4.53	4.10	4.12	4.23	4.92
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	822/1248	4.40	4.36	4.23	4.33	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	945/1250	4.33	4.43	4.39	4.47	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	2	0	3	4.20	889/1239	4.55	4.52	4.45	4.53	4.20
4. Were special techniques successful	8	0	0	1	1	0	3	4.00	519/906	4.25	4.06	4.13	4.14	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ASIA 300 01
Title:	Topics in Asian Studies
Instructor:	Kreizenbeck,Ala

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	18
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/64	****	3.37	4.44	4.60	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/58	****	3.82	4.37	4.51	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/52	****	3.64	4.41	4.27	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	****	3.76	4.41	4.54	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/63	****	3.80	4.09	4.19	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	7	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	13	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ASIA 300 03
Title:	Topics in Asian Studies
Instructor:	Winston,Leslie

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	0	0	0	8	4.56	603/1560	4.49	4.26	4.35	4.42	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	561/1559	4.34	4.34	4.31	4.35	4.56
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	489/1371	4.61	4.25	4.38	4.41	4.63
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	1	0	1	2	3	3.86	1220/1519	4.26	4.21	4.27	4.33	3.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	189/1452	4.61	4.18	4.18	4.21	4.78
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	506/1430	4.30	4.12	4.16	4.20	4.44
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	4	0	4	3.67	1328/1539	4.19	4.27	4.23	4.27	3.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	776/1560	4.65	4.65	4.64	4.66	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	6	1	4.14	846/1545	4.08	4.18	4.14	4.19	4.14
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	807/1496	4.42	4.45	4.49	4.54	4.56
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1498	4.79	4.62	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	340/1496	4.46	4.42	4.37	4.43	4.78
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	376/1494	4.50	4.31	4.37	4.43	4.78
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	547/1352	4.53	4.10	4.12	4.23	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	434/1248	4.40	4.36	4.23	4.33	4.56
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	757/1250	4.33	4.43	4.39	4.47	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	563/1239	4.55	4.52	4.45	4.53	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	3	4	2	3.89	637/906	4.25	4.06	4.13	4.14	3.89

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ASIA 300 03
Title:	Topics in Asian Studies
Instructor:	Winston,Leslie

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/214	****	3.75	4.31	4.33	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/204	****	3.89	4.52	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	3.97	4.44	4.42	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	3.91	4.27	4.17	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	3.37	4.44	4.60	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	3.82	4.37	4.51	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/52	****	3.64	4.41	4.27	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	3.76	4.41	4.54	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	3.80	4.09	4.19	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	3.82	4.19	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	3.83	4.11	3.90	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	3.77	4.25	3.98	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/26	****	3.32	3.89	3.58	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	3.61	4.01	3.42	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	3.67	4.35	4.13	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	3.54	4.13	4.87	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	3.83	4.41	4.78	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	3.69	4.03	5.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ASIA 300 03
Title:	Topics in Asian Studies
Instructor:	Winston,Leslie

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/10	****	3.78	3.94	****	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	A	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	C	1	General	2	Under-grad	9	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	3				
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ASIA 300 04
Title:	Topics in Asian Studies
Instructor:	Walker,David A

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	42
Questionnaires:	25

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	4	20	4.83	241/1560	4.49	4.26	4.35	4.42	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	5	18	4.71	357/1559	4.34	4.34	4.31	4.35	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	3	21	4.88	197/1371	4.61	4.25	4.38	4.41	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	4	19	4.75	255/1519	4.26	4.21	4.27	4.33	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	6	17	4.63	310/1452	4.61	4.18	4.18	4.21	4.63
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	6	17	4.67	266/1430	4.30	4.12	4.16	4.20	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	2	22	4.92	102/1539	4.19	4.27	4.23	4.27	4.92
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	6	18	4.75	776/1560	4.65	4.65	4.64	4.66	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	1	0	0	1	7	8	4.44	504/1545	4.08	4.18	4.14	4.19	4.44
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	3	3	18	4.63	710/1496	4.42	4.45	4.49	4.54	4.63
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	24	5.00	1/1498	4.79	4.62	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	2	4	18	4.67	504/1496	4.46	4.42	4.37	4.43	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	0	3	20	4.71	481/1494	4.50	4.31	4.37	4.43	4.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	1	1	7	14	4.33	547/1352	4.53	4.10	4.12	4.23	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	2	3	14	4.63	373/1248	4.40	4.36	4.23	4.33	4.63
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	3	0	15	4.67	479/1250	4.33	4.43	4.39	4.47	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	1	2	15	4.78	430/1239	4.55	4.52	4.45	4.53	4.78
4. Were special techniques successful	8	10	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	99/906	4.25	4.06	4.13	4.14	4.86

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	ASIA 300 04
Title:	Topics in Asian Studies
Instructor:	Walker,David A

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	42
Questionnaires:	25

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/64	****	3.37	4.44	4.60	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/58	****	3.82	4.37	4.51	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/52	****	3.64	4.41	4.27	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	****	3.76	4.41	4.54	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/63	****	3.80	4.09	4.19	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	15	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	B	6						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	3	C	1	General	2	Under-grad	25	Non-major	0
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	4				
				?	3						