Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Report Help

Course-Section: ENCE 621 01			Term	- Spi	ing 2	013						Enro	lment:	10
Title: Groundwater Hydrology											Q	uestion	naires:	10
Instructor: Welty,Claire														
				Fre	quene	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	808/1560	4.40	4.40	4.35	4.37	4.40
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	3	6	4.40	775/1559	4.40	4.40	4.31	4.29	4.40
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	6	3	4.10	1014/1371	4.10	4.10	4.38	4.37	4.10
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	1	0	4	3	4.13	987/1519	4.13	4.13	4.27	4.29	4.13
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	4	4	1	3.67	1214/1452	3.67	3.67	4.18	4.23	3.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	1	0	2	1	3	3.71	1124/1430	3.71	3.71	4.16	4.28	3.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	3	2	4	4.11	1007/1539	4.11	4.11	4.23	4.26	4.11
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	502/1560	4.89	4.89	4.64	4.72	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	1	0	0	3	3	4.00	952/1545	4.00	4.00	4.14	4.11	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	643/1496	4.67	4.67	4.49	4.47	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	615/1498	4.89	4.89	4.75	4.76	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	1	4	3	4.00	1175/1496	4.00	4.00	4.37	4.29	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	922/1494	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.31	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	0	2	3	3	3.78	1037/1352	3.78	3.78	4.12	3.99	3.78
Discussion		-		-		-	-			-	-			
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	822/1248	4.00	4.00	4.23	4.28	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	757/1250	4.33	4.33	4.39	4.49	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	3	1	2	3.83	1076/1239	3.83	3.83	4.45	4.57	3.83
4. Were special techniques successful	4	4	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/906	****	****	4.13	4.08	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Report Help

Course-Section:	ENCE 621 01			Term	- Spi	ing 2	013						Enro	llment:	10
Title: Groundwater Hydrology												Q	uestion	naires:	10
Instructor:															
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
	Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/214	****	****	4.31	3.86	****	
4. Did the lab instructor	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/207	****	****	4.44	3.84	****	
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme			0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/64	****	****	4.44	4.23	****
1. Did field experience c	ontribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/29	****	****	4.19	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly under	stand your evaluation criteria	8	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/29	****	****	4.11	3.89	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation			0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/24	****	****	4.25	4.06	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations			0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/26	****	****	3.89	3.54	****
5. Did conferences help	you carry out field activities	8	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	****	4.01	3.69	****
1. Did self-paced system	contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	****	4.35	3.98	****
2. Did study questions m	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/22	****	****	4.13	3.66	****	
3. Were your contacts w	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/29	****	****	4.41	3.99	****	
4. Was the feedback/tut	oring by proctors helpful	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	3.29	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Report Help

Course-Section:	ENCE 621 01			Term - Spring 2013									Enro	llment:	10
Title:	Groundwater Hydrology											Q	uestion	naires:	10
Instructor:	Welty,Claire														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														
5. Were there enough pr	roctors for all the students	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/10	****	****	3.94	3.32	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned Cum. GPA		4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	6	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	3	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	1	Under-grad	7	Non-major	5
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means ther	e are not ei	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						