

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 102 100
Title:	Human Geography
Instructor:	Biehler,Dawn

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	154
Questionnaires:	71

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	3	15	21	31	4.14	1100/1560	3.95	4.46	4.35	4.17	4.14
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	1	11	17	40	4.39	786/1559	4.21	4.26	4.31	4.25	4.39
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	4	16	8	42	4.26	887/1371	4.18	4.33	4.38	4.27	4.26
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	3	1	8	21	13	24	3.76	1288/1519	3.74	4.27	4.27	4.13	3.76
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	4	10	5	12	17	22	3.55	1274/1452	3.63	4.01	4.18	4.04	3.55
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	5	11	14	17	21	3.56	1217/1430	3.55	4.11	4.16	3.98	3.56
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	3	11	14	41	4.30	798/1539	4.31	4.22	4.23	4.18	4.30
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	3	15	50	4.69	867/1560	4.69	4.65	4.64	4.57	4.69
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	12	5	0	1	9	32	12	4.02	945/1545	3.86	4.17	4.14	4.07	4.02
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	2	12	53	4.76	472/1496	4.62	4.53	4.49	4.43	4.76
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	4	3	60	4.84	763/1498	4.76	4.83	4.75	4.67	4.84
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	5	9	53	4.72	432/1496	4.50	4.36	4.37	4.31	4.72
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	5	14	48	4.60	609/1494	4.41	4.44	4.37	4.28	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	2	4	17	43	4.53	326/1352	4.24	4.18	4.12	3.98	4.53
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	49	0	1	0	4	3	14	4.32	634/1248	3.92	4.13	4.23	3.95	4.32
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	49	0	1	1	1	5	14	4.36	733/1250	3.85	4.51	4.39	4.13	4.36
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	49	0	0	1	0	2	19	4.77	430/1239	4.36	4.59	4.45	4.18	4.77

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 102 100
Title:	Human Geography
Instructor:	Biehler,Dawn

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	154
Questionnaires:	71

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	49	5	1	3	2	3	8	3.82	****/906	****	4.22	4.13	3.98	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	6	0.00-0.99 0	A 35	Required for Majors 8	Graduate 0
28-55	9	1.00-1.99 1	B 22		Major 3
56-83	5	2.00-2.99 3	C 7	General 44	Under-grad 71
84-150	5	3.00-3.49 7	D 0		Non-major 68
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 15	F 0	Electives 6	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 7		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 102 200
Title:	Human Geography
Instructor:	Neff,Robert

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	151
Questionnaires:	78

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	6	6	15	25	26	3.76	1380/1560	3.95	4.46	4.35	4.17	3.76
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	2	4	17	21	33	4.03	1143/1559	4.21	4.26	4.31	4.25	4.03
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	3	6	8	24	37	4.10	1014/1371	4.18	4.33	4.38	4.27	4.10
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	5	7	18	19	26	3.72	1313/1519	3.74	4.27	4.27	4.13	3.72
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	4	4	12	11	20	26	3.71	1183/1452	3.63	4.01	4.18	4.04	3.71
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	10	7	15	22	23	3.53	1227/1430	3.55	4.11	4.16	3.98	3.53
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	2	3	10	14	45	4.31	785/1539	4.31	4.22	4.23	4.18	4.31
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	1	0	1	2	17	55	4.68	877/1560	4.69	4.65	4.64	4.57	4.68
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	15	4	3	2	14	31	9	3.69	1249/1545	3.86	4.17	4.14	4.07	3.69
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	3	5	16	51	4.49	898/1496	4.62	4.53	4.49	4.43	4.49
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	4	16	55	4.68	1050/1498	4.76	4.83	4.75	4.67	4.68
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	2	3	8	22	41	4.28	972/1496	4.50	4.36	4.37	4.31	4.28
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	1	4	5	7	14	46	4.22	1017/1494	4.41	4.44	4.37	4.28	4.22
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	2	7	3	12	16	36	3.96	881/1352	4.24	4.18	4.12	3.98	3.96
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	43	0	6	2	7	8	12	3.51	1075/1248	3.92	4.13	4.23	3.95	3.51
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	43	0	7	2	8	8	10	3.34	1187/1250	3.85	4.51	4.39	4.13	3.34
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	44	0	3	4	1	10	16	3.94	1015/1239	4.36	4.59	4.45	4.18	3.94
4. Were special techniques successful	44	19	6	1	2	2	4	2.80	****/906	****	4.22	4.13	3.98	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 102 200
Title:	Human Geography
Instructor:	Neff,Robert

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	151
Questionnaires:	78

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	76	0	2	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/64	****	3.98	4.44	4.50	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	76	0	2	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/58	****	3.33	4.37	4.32	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	76	0	2	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/52	****	3.85	4.41	4.33	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	76	0	2	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/66	****	4.02	4.41	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	76	0	2	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/63	****	3.90	4.09	4.17	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	76	0	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	****/31	****	4.25	4.35	4.54	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	76	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	****/22	****	4.50	4.13	4.42	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	77	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	****	4.25	4.41	4.61	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	77	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	4.38	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	76	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	****/10	****	****	3.94	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	0	A	17	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	9	1.00-1.99	1	B	35						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	8	C	10	General	45	Under-grad	78	Non-major	76
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	12	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	15						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 110 200
Title:	Physical Geography
Instructor:	Jeffrey,Scott

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	121
Questionnaires:	100

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	4	0	0	4	20	24	48	4.21	1047/1560	4.21	4.46	4.35	4.17	4.21
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	1	5	13	31	46	4.21	993/1559	4.21	4.26	4.31	4.25	4.21
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	1	2	8	18	26	42	4.02	1055/1371	4.02	4.33	4.38	4.27	4.02
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	40	2	2	12	15	25	4.05	1032/1519	4.05	4.27	4.27	4.13	4.05
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	7	1	6	17	31	35	4.03	924/1452	4.03	4.01	4.18	4.04	4.03
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	57	2	2	9	11	15	3.90	1012/1430	3.90	4.11	4.16	3.98	3.90
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	1	2	12	22	60	4.42	649/1539	4.42	4.22	4.23	4.18	4.42
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	5	0	2	1	5	81	4.85	574/1560	4.85	4.65	4.64	4.57	4.85
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	23	6	1	2	18	26	24	3.99	981/1545	3.99	4.17	4.14	4.07	3.99
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	1	2	6	16	72	4.61	744/1496	4.61	4.53	4.49	4.43	4.61
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	5	4	89	4.86	704/1498	4.86	4.83	4.75	4.67	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	1	13	23	60	4.46	752/1496	4.46	4.36	4.37	4.31	4.46
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	3	0	4	9	20	62	4.47	763/1494	4.47	4.44	4.37	4.28	4.47
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	2	3	1	15	18	54	4.31	579/1352	4.31	4.18	4.12	3.98	4.31
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	51	0	1	3	10	10	25	4.12	777/1248	4.12	4.13	4.23	3.95	4.12
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	52	0	0	2	6	14	26	4.33	757/1250	4.33	4.51	4.39	4.13	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	51	0	0	1	8	14	26	4.33	819/1239	4.33	4.59	4.45	4.18	4.33
4. Were special techniques successful	54	25	1	2	3	3	12	4.10	****/906	****	4.22	4.13	3.98	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 110 200
Title:	Physical Geography
Instructor:	Jeffrey,Scott

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	121
Questionnaires:	100

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	89	6	1	2	0	1	1	2.80	****/206	****	4.40	4.25	4.15	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	92	0	1	0	4	1	2	3.38	****/214	****	4.15	4.31	4.30	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	91	4	0	2	1	1	1	3.20	****/204	****	4.41	4.52	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	92	3	1	2	0	1	1	2.80	****/207	****	4.42	4.44	4.50	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	92	4	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	****/199	****	4.24	4.27	4.31	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	91	3	0	1	0	1	4	4.33	****/64	****	3.98	4.44	4.50	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	91	3	0	1	0	1	4	4.33	****/58	****	3.33	4.37	4.32	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	92	5	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	****/52	****	3.85	4.41	4.33	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	91	2	0	2	0	2	3	3.86	****/66	****	4.02	4.41	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	92	2	0	1	0	1	4	4.33	****/63	****	3.90	4.09	4.17	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	91	0	3	1	1	1	3	3.00	****/29	****	4.63	4.19	3.64	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	91	0	1	1	3	1	3	3.44	****/29	****	4.50	4.11	4.21	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	91	2	2	1	0	1	3	3.29	****/24	****	3.75	4.25	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	91	3	1	1	0	2	2	3.50	****/26	****	4.38	3.89	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	92	3	1	0	1	1	2	3.60	****/25	****	5.00	4.01	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	91	0	1	2	2	1	3	3.33	****/31	****	4.25	4.35	4.54	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	92	1	1	1	0	2	3	3.71	****/22	****	4.50	4.13	4.42	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	92	2	0	1	1	1	3	4.00	****/29	****	4.25	4.41	4.61	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 110 200
Title:	Physical Geography
Instructor:	Jeffrey,Scott

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	121
Questionnaires:	100

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	91	3	1	1	1	1	2	3.33	****/14	****	****	4.03	4.38	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	91	3	1	1	0	1	3	3.67	****/10	****	****	3.94	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	6	0.00-0.99 0	A 13	Required for Majors 21	Graduate 0 Major 2
28-55	6	1.00-1.99 0	B 31		
56-83	5	2.00-2.99 4	C 28	General 37	Under-grad 100 Non-major 98
84-150	10	3.00-3.49 13	D 2		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 9	F 0	Electives 15	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 2	
			? 23		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 120 01
Title:	Env Science/Conservation
Instructor:	Parker,Eugene P

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	180
Questionnaires:	60

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	3	6	17	34	4.37	852/1560	4.37	4.46	4.35	4.17	4.37
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	3	5	8	44	4.55	561/1559	4.55	4.26	4.31	4.25	4.55
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	1	4	9	45	4.60	513/1371	4.60	4.33	4.38	4.27	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	34	1	1	3	6	15	4.27	857/1519	4.27	4.27	4.27	4.13	4.27
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	9	11	3	8	13	16	3.39	1333/1452	3.39	4.01	4.18	4.04	3.39
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	49	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	****/1430	****	4.11	4.16	3.98	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	3	9	8	39	4.41	677/1539	4.41	4.22	4.23	4.18	4.41
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	37	21	4.36	1203/1560	4.36	4.65	4.64	4.57	4.36
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	0	2	0	2	20	25	4.35	625/1545	4.35	4.17	4.14	4.07	4.35
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	3	5	50	4.81	384/1496	4.81	4.53	4.49	4.43	4.81
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	3	54	4.91	500/1498	4.91	4.83	4.75	4.67	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	0	3	7	47	4.71	448/1496	4.71	4.36	4.37	4.31	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	1	0	5	51	4.79	346/1494	4.79	4.44	4.37	4.28	4.79
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	3	0	1	9	12	31	4.38	504/1352	4.38	4.18	4.12	3.98	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	34	0	4	0	2	4	16	4.08	801/1248	4.08	4.13	4.23	3.95	4.08
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	34	0	3	0	2	4	17	4.23	829/1250	4.23	4.51	4.39	4.13	4.23
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	34	0	2	0	3	3	18	4.35	805/1239	4.35	4.59	4.45	4.18	4.35
4. Were special techniques successful	35	14	0	0	3	1	7	4.36	****/906	****	4.22	4.13	3.98	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 120 01
Title:	Env Science/Conservation
Instructor:	Parker,Eugene P

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	180
Questionnaires:	60

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	57	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/206	****	4.40	4.25	4.15	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	57	0	2	0	0	1	0	2.00	****/214	****	4.15	4.31	4.30	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	57	1	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	****/204	****	4.41	4.52	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	57	1	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/207	****	4.42	4.44	4.50	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	58	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/64	****	3.98	4.44	4.50	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	58	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/58	****	3.33	4.37	4.32	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	58	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/52	****	3.85	4.41	4.33	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	58	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/66	****	4.02	4.41	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	58	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/63	****	3.90	4.09	4.17	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	58	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/29	****	4.63	4.19	3.64	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	58	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/29	****	4.50	4.11	4.21	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	58	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/24	****	3.75	4.25	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	58	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/26	****	4.38	3.89	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	58	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	5.00	4.01	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	58	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/31	****	4.25	4.35	4.54	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	58	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/22	****	4.50	4.13	4.42	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	58	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/29	****	4.25	4.41	4.61	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	58	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/14	****	****	4.03	4.38	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 120 01
Title:	Env Science/Conservation
Instructor:	Parker,Eugene P

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	180
Questionnaires:	60

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	58	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/10	****	****	3.94	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	10	0.00-0.99	2	A	14	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	B	28						
56-83	7	2.00-2.99	3	C	8	General	27	Under-grad	60	Non-major	58
84-150	12	3.00-3.49	10	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	17	F	1	Electives	5	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	8						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 220 01
Title:	Env Sci Lab & Field Tech
Instructor:	Braunschweig,Su

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	24
Questionnaires:	17

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	2	7	7	4.31	908/1560	4.31	4.46	4.35	4.37	4.31
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	154/1559	4.88	4.26	4.31	4.33	4.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	3	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	501/1371	4.62	4.33	4.38	4.40	4.62
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	4	10	4.50	549/1519	4.50	4.27	4.27	4.29	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	5	0	0	7	1	3	3.64	1233/1452	3.64	4.01	4.18	4.22	3.64
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	4	6	5	3.88	1023/1430	3.88	4.11	4.16	4.15	3.88
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	2	2	11	4.60	435/1539	4.60	4.22	4.23	4.25	4.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	318/1560	4.94	4.65	4.64	4.61	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	1	8	5	4.29	700/1545	4.29	4.17	4.14	4.09	4.29
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	610/1496	4.69	4.53	4.49	4.52	4.69
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	389/1498	4.94	4.83	4.75	4.78	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	4	11	4.63	560/1496	4.63	4.36	4.37	4.36	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	6	8	4.38	880/1494	4.38	4.44	4.37	4.41	4.38
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	5	1	0	0	3	7	4.36	515/1352	4.36	4.18	4.12	4.14	4.36
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	3	0	0	0	1	5	8	4.50	62/206	4.50	4.40	4.25	4.58	4.50
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	3	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	21/214	4.86	4.15	4.31	4.60	4.86
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	3	0	1	0	0	0	13	4.71	66/204	4.71	4.41	4.52	4.64	4.71
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	3	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	49/207	4.79	4.42	4.44	4.67	4.79

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 220 01
Title:	Env Sci Lab & Field Tech
Instructor:	Braunschweig,Su

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	24
Questionnaires:	17

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	3	1	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	45/199	4.69	4.24	4.27	4.51	4.69

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 6	Required for Majors 14	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 10		Major 1
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 3	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 17
84-150	6	3.00-3.49 3	D 0		Non-major 16
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 286 100
Title:	Expl Env: Geo-Spat View
Instructor:	School,Joseph

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	60
Questionnaires:	25

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	1	0	4	5	14	4.29	932/1560	4.29	4.46	4.35	4.37	4.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	4	10	9	4.13	1068/1559	4.13	4.26	4.31	4.33	4.13
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	2	4	9	8	4.00	1066/1371	4.00	4.33	4.38	4.40	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	2	0	3	5	7	6	3.76	1288/1519	3.76	4.27	4.27	4.29	3.76
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	13	3	0	3	3	1	2.90	1419/1452	2.90	4.01	4.18	4.22	2.90
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	2	7	9	3	3.39	1296/1430	3.39	4.11	4.16	4.15	3.39
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	3	2	6	12	4.17	944/1539	4.17	4.22	4.23	4.25	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	5	18	4.78	727/1560	4.78	4.65	4.64	4.61	4.78
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	1	0	2	7	10	4.25	733/1545	4.25	4.17	4.14	4.09	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	1	1	3	18	4.65	660/1496	4.65	4.53	4.49	4.52	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	1	1	1	20	4.74	971/1498	4.74	4.83	4.75	4.78	4.74
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	1	2	7	12	4.22	1026/1496	4.22	4.36	4.37	4.36	4.22
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	0	0	7	14	4.35	911/1494	4.35	4.44	4.37	4.41	4.35
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	2	0	2	8	10	4.09	778/1352	4.09	4.18	4.12	4.14	4.09
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	4	0	4	4.00	822/1248	4.00	4.13	4.23	4.25	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	17	0	0	0	3	0	5	4.25	815/1250	4.25	4.51	4.39	4.40	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	292/1239	4.88	4.59	4.45	4.45	4.88
4. Were special techniques successful	17	7	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/906	****	4.22	4.13	4.19	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 286 100
Title:	Expl Env: Geo-Spat View
Instructor:	School,Joseph

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	60
Questionnaires:	25

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	3	1	0	1	0	2	18	4.76	29/206	4.76	4.40	4.25	4.58	4.76
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	3	0	1	0	2	6	13	4.36	114/214	4.36	4.15	4.31	4.60	4.36
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	3	0	0	1	1	2	18	4.68	74/204	4.68	4.41	4.52	4.64	4.68
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	3	1	0	2	1	2	16	4.52	102/207	4.52	4.42	4.44	4.67	4.52
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	3	1	2	1	1	5	12	4.14	124/199	4.14	4.24	4.27	4.51	4.14
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	21	1	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/64	****	3.98	4.44	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	21	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	3.33	4.37	4.33	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	21	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/52	****	3.85	4.41	4.33	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	21	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/66	****	4.02	4.41	4.25	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	21	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/63	****	3.90	4.09	3.65	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/29	****	4.63	4.19	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	21	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/29	****	4.50	4.11	4.25	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	21	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/24	****	3.75	4.25	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	21	1	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/26	****	4.38	3.89	4.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	21	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/25	****	5.00	4.01	4.75	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/31	****	4.25	4.35	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	22	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/22	****	4.50	4.13	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	22	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/29	****	4.25	4.41	5.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 286 100
Title:	Expl Env: Geo-Spat View
Instructor:	School,Joseph

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	60
Questionnaires:	25

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	22	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	4.75	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	22	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/10	****	****	3.94	4.75	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	6	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	1	B	13						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	4	C	3	General	6	Under-grad	25	Non-major	19
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 302 01
Title:	Selected Topics In Geog
Instructor:	Holland,Margare

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	40
Questionnaires:	30

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	26	4.87	207/1560	4.87	4.46	4.35	4.42	4.87
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	27	4.87	166/1559	4.75	4.26	4.31	4.35	4.87
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	13	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1371	4.83	4.33	4.38	4.41	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	27	4.90	116/1519	4.77	4.27	4.27	4.33	4.90
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	3	24	4.76	202/1452	4.83	4.01	4.18	4.21	4.76
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	4	23	4.72	211/1430	4.71	4.11	4.16	4.20	4.72
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	2	4	23	4.72	273/1539	4.63	4.22	4.23	4.27	4.72
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	8	20	4.66	909/1560	4.74	4.65	4.64	4.66	4.66
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	0	9	18	4.67	255/1545	4.54	4.17	4.14	4.19	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	28	4.97	91/1496	4.93	4.53	4.49	4.54	4.97
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	28	4.97	223/1498	4.98	4.83	4.75	4.79	4.97
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	3	26	4.90	173/1496	4.83	4.36	4.37	4.43	4.90
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	27	4.93	134/1494	4.93	4.44	4.37	4.43	4.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	3	25	4.83	107/1352	4.75	4.18	4.12	4.23	4.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	1	0	15	4.88	176/1248	4.77	4.13	4.23	4.33	4.88
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	255/1250	4.94	4.51	4.39	4.47	4.88
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	176/1239	4.91	4.59	4.45	4.53	4.94
4. Were special techniques successful	14	1	0	1	0	1	13	4.73	133/906	4.73	4.22	4.13	4.14	4.73

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 302 01
Title:	Selected Topics In Geog
Instructor:	Holland,Margare

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	40
Questionnaires:	30

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.63	4.19	3.97	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	A	20	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	6						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	7	C	2	General	6	Under-grad	30	Non-major	23
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	9	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 302 02
Title:	Selected Topics In Geog
Instructor:	Bennett,Sari J

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	35
Questionnaires:	31

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	2	27	4.87	207/1560	4.87	4.46	4.35	4.42	4.87
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	1	6	22	4.63	453/1559	4.75	4.26	4.31	4.35	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	2	3	24	4.67	442/1371	4.83	4.33	4.38	4.41	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	3	2	24	4.63	395/1519	4.77	4.27	4.27	4.33	4.63
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	27	4.90	98/1452	4.83	4.01	4.18	4.21	4.90
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	7	22	4.70	228/1430	4.71	4.11	4.16	4.20	4.70
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	3	5	21	4.53	508/1539	4.63	4.22	4.23	4.27	4.53
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	5	25	4.83	622/1560	4.74	4.65	4.64	4.66	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	2	0	0	1	14	12	4.41	546/1545	4.54	4.17	4.14	4.19	4.41
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	3	27	4.90	228/1496	4.93	4.53	4.49	4.54	4.90
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	30	5.00	1/1498	4.98	4.83	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	5	24	4.77	355/1496	4.83	4.36	4.37	4.43	4.77
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	28	4.93	134/1494	4.93	4.44	4.37	4.43	4.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	1	1	5	23	4.67	215/1352	4.75	4.18	4.12	4.23	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	348/1248	4.77	4.13	4.23	4.33	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	22	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1250	4.94	4.51	4.39	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	22	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	279/1239	4.91	4.59	4.45	4.53	4.89

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 302 02
Title:	Selected Topics In Geog
Instructor:	Bennett,Sari J

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	35
Questionnaires:	31

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	22	5	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/906	4.73	4.22	4.13	4.14	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	10	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	12						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	3	C	2	General	4	Under-grad	31	Non-major	28
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	4						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 307 01
Title:	Conservation Biology
Instructor:	Morales,Miguel

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	35
Questionnaires:	23

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	20	4.87	207/1560	4.87	4.46	4.35	4.42	4.87
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	19	4.83	212/1559	4.83	4.26	4.31	4.35	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	20	4.83	242/1371	4.83	4.33	4.38	4.41	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	1	4	14	4.68	330/1519	4.68	4.27	4.27	4.33	4.68
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	5	2	16	4.48	469/1452	4.48	4.01	4.18	4.21	4.48
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	4	0	0	2	2	14	4.67	266/1430	4.67	4.11	4.16	4.20	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	4	17	4.65	364/1539	4.65	4.22	4.23	4.27	4.65
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	17	4.74	808/1560	4.74	4.65	4.64	4.66	4.74
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	4	17	4.73	202/1545	4.73	4.17	4.14	4.19	4.73
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	21	4.95	114/1496	4.95	4.53	4.49	4.54	4.95
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	21	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	3	19	4.86	213/1496	4.86	4.36	4.37	4.43	4.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	19	4.86	247/1494	4.86	4.44	4.37	4.43	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	2	1	17	4.75	148/1352	4.75	4.18	4.12	4.23	4.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	470/1248	4.50	4.13	4.23	4.33	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	17	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.51	4.39	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.59	4.45	4.53	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 307 01
Title:	Conservation Biology
Instructor:	Morales,Miguel

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	35
Questionnaires:	23

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	17	1	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	****/906	****	4.22	4.13	4.14	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	18	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	5	C	0	General	2	Under-grad	23	Non-major	22
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	7	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 310 01
Title:	Geomorphology
Instructor:	Miller,Andrew J

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	37
Questionnaires:	25

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	6	18	4.68	430/1560	4.68	4.46	4.35	4.42	4.68
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	2	7	14	4.28	912/1559	4.28	4.26	4.31	4.35	4.28
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	5	19	4.68	418/1371	4.68	4.33	4.38	4.41	4.68
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	2	2	7	12	4.13	987/1519	4.13	4.27	4.27	4.33	4.13
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	9	6	8	3.80	1121/1452	3.80	4.01	4.18	4.21	3.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	3	3	10	8	3.84	1039/1430	3.84	4.11	4.16	4.20	3.84
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	5	9	10	4.08	1029/1539	4.08	4.22	4.23	4.27	4.08
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	23	4.92	363/1560	4.92	4.65	4.64	4.66	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	1	1	9	10	4.33	639/1545	4.33	4.17	4.14	4.19	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	3	21	4.80	402/1496	4.80	4.53	4.49	4.54	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	23	4.92	445/1498	4.92	4.83	4.75	4.79	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	4	6	15	4.44	779/1496	4.44	4.36	4.37	4.43	4.44
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	0	1	5	17	4.54	679/1494	4.54	4.44	4.37	4.43	4.54
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	3	5	16	4.44	425/1352	4.44	4.18	4.12	4.23	4.44
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	1	2	1	3	3.86	934/1248	3.86	4.13	4.23	4.33	3.86
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	426/1250	4.71	4.51	4.39	4.47	4.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	634/1239	4.57	4.59	4.45	4.53	4.57
4. Were special techniques successful	18	4	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/906	****	4.22	4.13	4.14	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 310 01
Title:	Geomorphology
Instructor:	Miller,Andrew J

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	37
Questionnaires:	25

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	24	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/214	****	4.15	4.31	4.33	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	23	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	3.98	4.44	4.60	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	23	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/58	****	3.33	4.37	4.51	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	23	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/52	****	3.85	4.41	4.27	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	23	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.02	4.41	4.54	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	23	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	3.90	4.09	4.19	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	24	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/29	****	4.63	4.19	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	24	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/29	****	4.50	4.11	3.90	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 310 01
Title:	Geomorphology
Instructor:	Miller, Andrew J

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	37
Questionnaires:	25

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	24	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/31	****	4.25	4.35	4.13	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	9	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	B	8						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	C	5	General	0	Under-grad	25	Non-major	20
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	4	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	11	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 311 01
Title:	Weather And Climate
Instructor:	Halverson,Jeffr

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	40
Questionnaires:	27

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	2	0	0	0	1	12	12	4.44	750/1560	4.44	4.46	4.35	4.42	4.44
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	1	5	11	8	4.04	1129/1559	4.04	4.26	4.31	4.35	4.04
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	1	0	9	11	4	3.68	1244/1371	3.68	4.33	4.38	4.41	3.68
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	2	7	8	7	3.83	1237/1519	3.83	4.27	4.27	4.33	3.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	3	8	6	8	3.76	1148/1452	3.76	4.01	4.18	4.21	3.76
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	10	1	2	3	5	3	3.50	1244/1430	3.50	4.11	4.16	4.20	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	1	6	11	7	3.96	1118/1539	3.96	4.22	4.23	4.27	3.96
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	25	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.65	4.64	4.66	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	1	6	12	4	3.83	1164/1545	3.83	4.17	4.14	4.19	3.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	1	6	15	4.64	693/1496	4.64	4.53	4.49	4.54	4.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	1	21	4.95	278/1498	4.95	4.83	4.75	4.79	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	1	0	4	8	9	4.09	1128/1496	4.09	4.36	4.37	4.43	4.09
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	2	0	8	12	4.36	891/1494	4.36	4.44	4.37	4.43	4.36
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	1	1	0	2	6	12	4.33	547/1352	4.33	4.18	4.12	4.23	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	2	2	0	3	3.57	1052/1248	3.57	4.13	4.23	4.33	3.57
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	20	0	0	0	4	1	2	3.71	1103/1250	3.71	4.51	4.39	4.47	3.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	20	0	0	0	3	0	4	4.14	922/1239	4.14	4.59	4.45	4.53	4.14

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 311 01
Title:	Weather And Climate
Instructor:	Halverson,Jeffr

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	40
Questionnaires:	27

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	20	4	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	****/906	****	4.22	4.13	4.14	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	10	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	10						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	2	C	5	General	2	Under-grad	27	Non-major	21
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	7	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 318 01
Title:	Natl Envrn Chespeke Bay
Instructor:	Terlizzi,Daniel

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	43
Questionnaires:	30

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	1	0	3	9	16	4.34	875/1560	4.34	4.46	4.35	4.42	4.34
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	0	8	9	11	4.00	1158/1559	4.00	4.26	4.31	4.35	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	2	5	3	9	10	3.69	1244/1371	3.69	4.33	4.38	4.41	3.69
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	18	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	847/1519	4.27	4.27	4.27	4.33	4.27
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	3	7	1	5	6	7	3.19	1377/1452	3.19	4.01	4.18	4.21	3.19
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	23	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	****/1430	****	4.11	4.16	4.20	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	1	5	8	14	4.14	986/1539	4.14	4.22	4.23	4.27	4.14
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	20	9	4.31	1245/1560	4.31	4.65	4.64	4.66	4.31
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	1	6	11	10	4.07	905/1545	4.07	4.17	4.14	4.19	4.07
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	1	1	4	22	4.68	627/1496	4.68	4.53	4.49	4.54	4.68
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	2	26	4.93	445/1498	4.93	4.83	4.75	4.79	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	0	2	9	16	4.39	843/1496	4.39	4.36	4.37	4.43	4.39
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	4	3	20	4.46	775/1494	4.46	4.44	4.37	4.43	4.46
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	1	0	1	8	16	4.46	401/1352	4.46	4.18	4.12	4.23	4.46
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	588/1248	4.38	4.13	4.23	4.33	4.38
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	22	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	255/1250	4.88	4.51	4.39	4.47	4.88
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	22	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	458/1239	4.75	4.59	4.45	4.53	4.75

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 318 01
Title:	Natl Envrn Chespe Bay
Instructor:	Terlizzi, Daniel

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	43
Questionnaires:	30

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	22	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/906	****	4.22	4.13	4.14	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 4	Required for Majors 15	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 20		Major 3
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 3	C 3	General 2	Under-grad 30
84-150	10	3.00-3.49 5	D 0		Non-major 27
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 7	F 0	Electives 4	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 4	
			? 2		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 327 1
Title:	Cultural Ecology
Instructor:	Steele,Christop

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	276/1560	4.80	4.46	4.35	4.42	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	236/1559	4.80	4.26	4.31	4.35	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	3	1	4.00	1066/1371	4.00	4.33	4.38	4.41	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	435/1519	4.60	4.27	4.27	4.33	4.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.01	4.18	4.21	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	143/1430	4.80	4.11	4.16	4.20	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	677/1539	4.40	4.22	4.23	4.27	4.40
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	695/1560	4.80	4.65	4.64	4.66	4.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	1212/1545	3.75	4.17	4.14	4.19	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	1281/1496	4.00	4.53	4.49	4.54	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	504/1496	4.67	4.36	4.37	4.43	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	726/1494	4.50	4.44	4.37	4.43	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	215/1352	4.67	4.18	4.12	4.23	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	2	1	0	3.33	1138/1248	3.33	4.13	4.23	4.33	3.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.51	4.39	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.59	4.45	4.53	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	852/906	3.00	4.22	4.13	4.14	3.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 327 1
Title:	Cultural Ecology
Instructor:	Steele,Christop

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	7
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/64	5.00	3.98	4.44	4.60	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	4	1	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	51/58	3.50	3.33	4.37	4.51	3.50
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	4	1	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	45/52	3.50	3.85	4.41	4.27	3.50
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	47/66	4.00	4.02	4.41	4.54	4.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	4	1	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	47/63	3.50	3.90	4.09	4.19	3.50
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.25	4.41	4.78	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/10	****	****	3.94	****	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	2	Under-grad	7	Non-major	7
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 328 01
Title:	Environmental Policy
Instructor:	Lansing,David

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	37
Questionnaires:	23

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	2	0	0	0	2	9	10	4.38	830/1560	4.38	4.46	4.35	4.42	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	4	6	11	4.23	972/1559	4.23	4.26	4.31	4.35	4.23
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	14	0	1	2	1	4	4.00	1066/1371	4.00	4.33	4.38	4.41	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	5	7	9	4.09	1010/1519	4.09	4.27	4.27	4.33	4.09
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	1	2	18	4.64	301/1452	4.64	4.01	4.18	4.21	4.64
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	2	9	10	4.27	682/1430	4.27	4.11	4.16	4.20	4.27
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	5	6	10	4.14	986/1539	4.14	4.22	4.23	4.27	4.14
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	7	15	4.68	877/1560	4.68	4.65	4.64	4.66	4.68
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	1	2	7	9	4.26	722/1545	4.26	4.17	4.14	4.19	4.26
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	8	12	4.52	845/1496	4.52	4.53	4.49	4.54	4.52
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	2	19	4.90	556/1498	4.90	4.83	4.75	4.79	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	1	1	9	10	4.33	911/1496	4.33	4.36	4.37	4.43	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	2	4	14	4.48	763/1494	4.48	4.44	4.37	4.43	4.48
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	1	2	4	3	11	4.00	823/1352	4.00	4.18	4.12	4.23	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	470/1248	4.50	4.13	4.23	4.33	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	325/1250	4.80	4.51	4.39	4.47	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	388/1239	4.80	4.59	4.45	4.53	4.80

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 328 01
Title:	Environmental Policy
Instructor:	Lansing,David

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	37
Questionnaires:	23

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	13	0	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	311/906	4.40	4.22	4.13	4.14	4.40

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 3	Required for Majors 13	Graduate 0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 15		Major 18
56-83	4	2.00-2.99 1	C 2	General 2	Under-grad 23
84-150	4	3.00-3.49 6	D 0		Non-major 5
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 4	F 0	Electives 4	
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 2		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 383 01
Title:	Stat/Thematic Cartography
Instructor:	Rabenhorst,Thom

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	21
Questionnaires:	18

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	184/1560	4.89	4.46	4.35	4.42	4.89
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	143/1559	4.89	4.26	4.31	4.35	4.89
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	14	4.78	301/1371	4.78	4.33	4.38	4.41	4.78
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	134/1519	4.89	4.27	4.27	4.33	4.89
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	1	1	3	3	5	3.77	1148/1452	3.77	4.01	4.18	4.21	3.77
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	2	2	10	4.57	354/1430	4.57	4.11	4.16	4.20	4.57
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	3	14	4.72	273/1539	4.72	4.22	4.23	4.27	4.72
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	2	14	2	4.00	1445/1560	4.00	4.65	4.64	4.66	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	1	0	13	4.86	123/1545	4.86	4.17	4.14	4.19	4.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	137/1496	4.94	4.53	4.49	4.54	4.94
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	96/1496	4.94	4.36	4.37	4.43	4.94
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	115/1494	4.94	4.44	4.37	4.43	4.94
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	4	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	127/1352	4.79	4.18	4.12	4.23	4.79
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	348/1248	4.67	4.13	4.23	4.33	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	202/1250	4.92	4.51	4.39	4.47	4.92
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	226/1239	4.92	4.59	4.45	4.53	4.92
4. Were special techniques successful	6	5	1	0	0	2	4	4.14	460/906	4.14	4.22	4.13	4.14	4.14

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 383 01
Title:	Stat/Thematic Cartogrphy
Instructor:	Rabenhorst,Thom

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	21
Questionnaires:	18

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	14	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/206	****	4.40	4.25	4.22	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	14	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	****/214	****	4.15	4.31	4.33	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	14	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	****/204	****	4.41	4.52	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	14	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/207	****	4.42	4.44	4.42	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	14	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/199	****	4.24	4.27	4.17	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	4	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	10						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	C	4	General	0	Under-grad	18	Non-major	12
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1				
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 386 01
Title:	Intro Geog Info Systems
Instructor:	School,Joseph

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	32
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	4	3	14	4.36	852/1560	4.36	4.46	4.35	4.42	4.36
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	3	8	4	7	3.68	1386/1559	3.68	4.26	4.31	4.35	3.68
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	2	8	4	6	3.45	1306/1371	3.45	4.33	4.38	4.41	3.45
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	3	3	3	7	3.88	1202/1519	3.88	4.27	4.27	4.33	3.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	5	5	5	3	2	1	2.31	1447/1452	2.31	4.01	4.18	4.21	2.31
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	11	2	2	2	1	3	3.10	1366/1430	3.10	4.11	4.16	4.20	3.10
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	2	5	7	7	3.90	1181/1539	3.90	4.22	4.23	4.27	3.90
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	4	17	4.81	695/1560	4.81	4.65	4.64	4.66	4.81
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	4	5	5	7	3.71	1237/1545	3.71	4.17	4.14	4.19	3.71
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	2	10	8	4.30	1104/1496	4.30	4.53	4.49	4.54	4.30
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	4	5	11	4.35	1344/1498	4.35	4.83	4.75	4.79	4.35
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	1	6	7	5	3.84	1277/1496	3.84	4.36	4.37	4.43	3.84
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	1	7	5	5	3.50	1384/1494	3.50	4.44	4.37	4.43	3.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	3	0	1	3	8	5	4.00	823/1352	4.00	4.18	4.12	4.23	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	1	1	2	1	0	2.60	****/1248	****	4.13	4.23	4.33	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	17	0	2	0	2	0	1	2.60	****/1250	****	4.51	4.39	4.47	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	2	0	2	0	1	2.60	****/1239	****	4.59	4.45	4.53	****
4. Were special techniques successful	17	2	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/906	****	4.22	4.13	4.14	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 386 01
Title:	Intro Geog Info Systems
Instructor:	School,Joseph

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	32
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	2	0	1	1	2	8	8	4.05	138/206	4.05	4.40	4.25	4.22	4.05
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	2	0	1	2	7	4	6	3.60	201/214	3.60	4.15	4.31	4.33	3.60
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	2	2	0	1	2	2	13	4.50	119/204	4.50	4.41	4.52	4.57	4.50
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	2	0	0	2	6	4	8	3.90	185/207	3.90	4.42	4.44	4.42	3.90
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	2	4	0	1	10	0	5	3.56	183/199	3.56	4.24	4.27	4.17	3.56

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99 0	A 4	Required for Majors 12	Graduate 1 Major 6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 9		
56-83	2	2.00-2.99 2	C 7	General 0	Under-grad 21 Non-major 16
84-150	7	3.00-3.49 5	D 0		
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00 5	F 1	Electives 6	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 400 01
Title:	Selected Topics In Geog
Instructor:	Holland,Margare

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	22
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	4	14	4.68	430/1560	4.84	4.46	4.35	4.45	4.68
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	3	13	4.53	600/1559	4.64	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.53
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	13	4.58	549/1371	4.79	4.33	4.38	4.46	4.58
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	304/1519	4.79	4.27	4.27	4.33	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	3	13	4.53	412/1452	4.76	4.01	4.18	4.25	4.53
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	4	12	4.42	532/1430	4.64	4.11	4.16	4.25	4.42
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	3	3	12	4.37	725/1539	4.18	4.22	4.23	4.21	4.37
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	12	4.63	929/1560	4.75	4.65	4.64	4.68	4.63
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	341/1545	4.71	4.17	4.14	4.21	4.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	524/1496	4.62	4.53	4.49	4.50	4.73
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	389/1498	4.97	4.83	4.75	4.77	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	401/1496	4.74	4.36	4.37	4.40	4.73
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	436/1494	4.87	4.44	4.37	4.41	4.73
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	2	2	11	4.60	266/1352	4.63	4.18	4.12	4.16	4.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	1	0	1	3	4.20	716/1248	4.60	4.13	4.23	4.39	4.20
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	850/1250	4.60	4.51	4.39	4.55	4.20
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	388/1239	4.90	4.59	4.45	4.61	4.80

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 400 01
Title:	Selected Topics In Geog
Instructor:	Holland,Margare

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	22
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	14	0	0	1	0	1	3	4.20	426/906	4.40	4.22	4.13	4.28	4.20

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	8	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	9						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	3	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	19	Non-major	16
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 400 02
Title:	Selected Topics In Geog
Instructor:	Baker,Matthew E

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	9
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1560	4.84	4.46	4.35	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	296/1559	4.64	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1371	4.79	4.33	4.38	4.46	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	143/1519	4.79	4.27	4.27	4.33	4.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1452	4.76	4.01	4.18	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	118/1430	4.64	4.11	4.16	4.25	4.86
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	1	0	1	1	4	4.00	1077/1539	4.18	4.22	4.23	4.21	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	526/1560	4.75	4.65	4.64	4.68	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	123/1545	4.71	4.17	4.14	4.21	4.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	871/1496	4.62	4.53	4.49	4.50	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1498	4.97	4.83	4.75	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	371/1496	4.74	4.36	4.37	4.40	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1494	4.87	4.44	4.37	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	215/1352	4.63	4.18	4.12	4.16	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1248	4.60	4.13	4.23	4.39	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1250	4.60	4.51	4.39	4.55	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1239	4.90	4.59	4.45	4.61	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 400 02
Title:	Selected Topics In Geog
Instructor:	Baker,Matthew E

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	9
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	188/906	4.40	4.22	4.13	4.28	4.60

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 5	Required for Majors 5	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		Major 2
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 1	General 0	Under-grad 8
84-150	4	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		Non-major 6
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 3	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 405 01
Title:	App Landscape Ecology
Instructor:	Ellis,Erle C

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	6
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	886/1560	4.33	4.46	4.35	4.45	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	2	1	1	3.17	1513/1559	3.17	4.26	4.31	4.34	3.17
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	1	2	2	3.67	1344/1519	3.67	4.27	4.27	4.33	3.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	1	2	1	3.60	1252/1452	3.60	4.01	4.18	4.25	3.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	2	2	4.00	889/1430	4.00	4.11	4.16	4.25	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	1	2	0	1	2.80	1503/1539	2.80	4.22	4.23	4.21	2.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.65	4.64	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	3	2	0	3.40	1392/1545	3.40	4.17	4.14	4.21	3.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	3	1	2	3.83	1365/1496	3.83	4.53	4.49	4.50	3.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	763/1498	4.83	4.83	4.75	4.77	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	1175/1496	4.00	4.36	4.37	4.40	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	3	2	3.83	1266/1494	3.83	4.44	4.37	4.41	3.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	1	0	0	3	1	3.60	1121/1352	3.60	4.18	4.12	4.16	3.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	822/1248	4.00	4.13	4.23	4.39	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.51	4.39	4.55	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	677/1239	4.50	4.59	4.45	4.61	4.50
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.63	4.19	4.50	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.50	4.11	4.35	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 405 01
Title:	App Landscape Ecology
Instructor:	Ellis,Erle C

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	6
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	3.75	4.25	4.40	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/26	****	4.38	3.89	4.14	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	5.00	4.01	4.34	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	A	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	1	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	4
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2				
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 406 01
Title:	Aquatic Ecology
Instructor:	Patrick,Christo

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	24
Questionnaires:	16

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	4	11	4.63	514/1560	4.63	4.46	4.35	4.45	4.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	11	5	4.31	880/1559	4.31	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.31
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	8	7	4.38	774/1371	4.38	4.33	4.38	4.46	4.38
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	8	5	4.38	717/1519	4.38	4.27	4.27	4.33	4.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	5	9	4.44	518/1452	4.44	4.01	4.18	4.25	4.44
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	1	7	5	4.31	655/1430	4.31	4.11	4.16	4.25	4.31
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	5	11	4.69	321/1539	4.69	4.22	4.23	4.21	4.69
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	550/1560	4.87	4.65	4.64	4.68	4.87
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	3	9	2	3.93	1069/1545	3.93	4.17	4.14	4.21	3.93
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	610/1496	4.69	4.53	4.49	4.50	4.69
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	644/1498	4.88	4.83	4.75	4.77	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	10	6	4.38	866/1496	4.38	4.36	4.37	4.40	4.38
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	506/1494	4.69	4.44	4.37	4.41	4.69
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	9	6	4.31	568/1352	4.31	4.18	4.12	4.16	4.31
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1248	****	4.13	4.23	4.39	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1250	****	4.51	4.39	4.55	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1239	****	4.59	4.45	4.61	****
4. Were special techniques successful	15	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/906	****	4.22	4.13	4.28	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 406 01
Title:	Aquatic Ecology
Instructor:	Patrick,Christo

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	24
Questionnaires:	16

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	3	0	0	0	2	5	6	4.31	94/206	4.31	4.40	4.25	4.48	4.31
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	3	0	0	1	3	5	4	3.92	180/214	3.92	4.15	4.31	4.37	3.92
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	3	0	0	0	1	6	6	4.38	147/204	4.38	4.41	4.52	4.39	4.38
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	3	0	0	0	0	6	7	4.54	101/207	4.54	4.42	4.44	4.49	4.54
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	3	0	0	0	0	7	6	4.46	74/199	4.46	4.24	4.27	4.42	4.46
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	12/29	4.75	4.63	4.19	4.50	4.75
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	12	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	9/29	4.50	4.50	4.11	4.35	4.50
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	12	1	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/24	****	3.75	4.25	4.40	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	12	0	0	1	0	2	1	3.75	17/26	3.75	4.38	3.89	4.14	3.75
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	12	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/25	****	5.00	4.01	4.34	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	3	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	9						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	2	General	1	Under-grad	16	Non-major	15
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 415 01
Title:	Climate Change
Instructor:	Halverson,Jeffr

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	25
Questionnaires:	18

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	4	13	4.67	458/1560	4.67	4.46	4.35	4.45	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	2	4	10	4.22	972/1559	4.22	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.22
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	2	3	2	11	4.22	917/1371	4.22	4.33	4.38	4.46	4.22
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	1	5	3	7	4.00	1060/1519	4.00	4.27	4.27	4.33	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	2	6	7	3.89	1069/1452	3.89	4.01	4.18	4.25	3.89
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	1	1	6	1	6	3.67	1154/1430	3.67	4.11	4.16	4.25	3.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	2	4	10	4.22	890/1539	4.22	4.22	4.23	4.21	4.22
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	272/1560	4.94	4.65	4.64	4.68	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	3	6	6	4.20	788/1545	4.20	4.17	4.14	4.21	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	4	12	4.56	807/1496	4.56	4.53	4.49	4.50	4.56
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	2	15	4.78	903/1498	4.78	4.83	4.75	4.77	4.78
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	3	4	11	4.44	779/1496	4.44	4.36	4.37	4.40	4.44
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	1	14	4.56	667/1494	4.56	4.44	4.37	4.41	4.56
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	1	3	4	3	7	3.67	1098/1352	3.67	4.18	4.12	4.16	3.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	1	0	0	2	2	3.80	952/1248	3.80	4.13	4.23	4.39	3.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	701/1250	4.40	4.51	4.39	4.55	4.40
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	1	0	0	4	4.40	765/1239	4.40	4.59	4.45	4.61	4.40
4. Were special techniques successful	13	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/906	****	4.22	4.13	4.28	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 415 01
Title:	Climate Change
Instructor:	Halverson,Jeffr

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	25
Questionnaires:	18

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	16	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/206	****	4.40	4.25	4.48	****
Self Paced														
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	4.50	4.13	3.97	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	4.25	4.41	4.52	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	4.47	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/10	****	****	3.94	4.17	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	8	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	8						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	3	C	2	General	2	Under-grad	18	Non-major	6
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 416 01
Title:	Hydrology
Instructor:	Miller,Andrew J

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	161/1560	4.91	4.46	4.35	4.45	4.91
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	6	5	4.45	701/1559	4.45	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.45
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	477/1371	4.64	4.33	4.38	4.46	4.64
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	549/1519	4.50	4.27	4.27	4.33	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	3	4	3	3.73	1176/1452	3.73	4.01	4.18	4.25	3.73
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	532/1430	4.43	4.11	4.16	4.25	4.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	5	4	4.18	934/1539	4.18	4.22	4.23	4.21	4.18
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	670/1560	4.82	4.65	4.64	4.68	4.82
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	5	5	4.36	599/1545	4.36	4.17	4.14	4.21	4.36
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	693/1496	4.64	4.53	4.49	4.50	4.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	0	4	6	4.36	877/1496	4.36	4.36	4.37	4.40	4.36
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	451/1494	4.73	4.44	4.37	4.41	4.73
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	1	3	6	4.27	609/1352	4.27	4.18	4.12	4.16	4.27
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	348/1248	4.67	4.13	4.23	4.39	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.51	4.39	4.55	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.59	4.45	4.61	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 416 01
Title:	Hydrology
Instructor:	Miller,Andrew J

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/906	****	4.22	4.13	4.28	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 3	Required for Majors 7	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 5		Major 1
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 3	General 0	Under-grad 11
84-150	6	3.00-3.49 3	D 0		Non-major 10
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 4	F 0	Electives 2	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 424 01
Title:	Environmental Justice
Instructor:	Biehler,Dawn

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	20
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	514/1560	4.63	4.46	4.35	4.45	4.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	296/1559	4.75	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1371	5.00	4.33	4.38	4.46	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	161/1519	4.86	4.27	4.27	4.33	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	119/1452	4.88	4.01	4.18	4.25	4.88
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	304/1430	4.63	4.11	4.16	4.25	4.63
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	406/1539	4.63	4.22	4.23	4.21	4.63
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.65	4.64	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	406/1545	4.50	4.17	4.14	4.21	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	871/1496	4.50	4.53	4.49	4.50	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	227/1496	4.86	4.36	4.37	4.40	4.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	261/1494	4.86	4.44	4.37	4.41	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	0	1	3	2	3.71	1077/1352	3.71	4.18	4.12	4.16	3.71
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	271/1248	4.75	4.13	4.23	4.39	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.51	4.39	4.55	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.59	4.45	4.61	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/906	5.00	4.22	4.13	4.28	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 424 01
Title:	Environmental Justice
Instructor:	Biehler,Dawn

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	20
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	14/29	4.50	4.63	4.19	4.50	4.50
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	6	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	9/29	4.50	4.50	4.11	4.35	4.50
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	6	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	3.75	4.25	4.40	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/26	5.00	4.38	3.89	4.14	5.00
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/25	5.00	5.00	4.01	4.34	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	4	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	7
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 436 01
Title:	Global Env. Change
Instructor:	Lansing,David

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	542/1560	4.60	4.46	4.35	4.45	4.60
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	775/1559	4.40	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.40
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	261/1371	4.80	4.33	4.38	4.46	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	6	3	4.33	779/1519	4.33	4.27	4.27	4.33	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	381/1452	4.56	4.01	4.18	4.25	4.56
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	1	5	4.22	727/1430	4.22	4.11	4.16	4.25	4.22
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	3	2	4	4.11	1007/1539	4.11	4.22	4.23	4.21	4.11
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	898/1560	4.67	4.65	4.64	4.68	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	518/1545	4.43	4.17	4.14	4.21	4.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	953/1496	4.44	4.53	4.49	4.50	4.44
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	615/1498	4.89	4.83	4.75	4.77	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	911/1496	4.33	4.36	4.37	4.40	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	667/1494	4.56	4.44	4.37	4.41	4.56
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	425/1352	4.44	4.18	4.12	4.16	4.44
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	618/1248	4.33	4.13	4.23	4.39	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	479/1250	4.67	4.51	4.39	4.55	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	563/1239	4.67	4.59	4.45	4.61	4.67

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 436 01
Title:	Global Env. Change
Instructor:	Lansing,David

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	11
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	360/906	4.33	4.22	4.13	4.28	4.33

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 2	Required for Majors 6	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 5		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 1	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 10
84-150	4	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 6
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 2	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 3		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 462 01
Title:	Human-Environment Gis
Instructor:	Neff,Robert

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	184/1560	4.89	4.46	4.35	4.45	4.89
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	561/1559	4.56	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.56
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	328/1371	4.75	4.33	4.38	4.46	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	635/1519	4.44	4.27	4.27	4.33	4.44
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	0	0	1	5	3.56	1271/1452	3.56	4.01	4.18	4.25	3.56
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	427/1430	4.50	4.11	4.16	4.25	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	1	7	4.56	487/1539	4.56	4.22	4.23	4.21	4.56
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	898/1560	4.67	4.65	4.64	4.68	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	209/1545	4.71	4.17	4.14	4.21	4.71
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	454/1496	4.78	4.53	4.49	4.50	4.78
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	903/1498	4.78	4.83	4.75	4.77	4.78
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	504/1496	4.67	4.36	4.37	4.40	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	1	1	6	4.22	1017/1494	4.22	4.44	4.37	4.41	4.22
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	353/1352	4.50	4.18	4.12	4.16	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1248	5.00	4.13	4.23	4.39	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.51	4.39	4.55	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.59	4.45	4.61	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/906	5.00	4.22	4.13	4.28	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 462 01
Title:	Human-Environment Gis
Instructor:	Neff,Robert

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	14
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/206	5.00	4.40	4.25	4.48	5.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	5	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	39/214	4.75	4.15	4.31	4.37	4.75
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/204	5.00	4.41	4.52	4.39	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/207	5.00	4.42	4.44	4.49	5.00
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/199	5.00	4.24	4.27	4.42	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/31	****	4.25	4.35	4.64	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/22	****	4.50	4.13	3.97	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/29	****	4.25	4.41	4.52	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	4.47	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	7	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/10	****	****	3.94	4.17	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	3	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	9	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 481 01
Title:	Digital Image Processing
Instructor:	Tang,Junmei

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	6
Questionnaires:	5

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	276/1560	4.80	4.46	4.35	4.45	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	1158/1559	4.00	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	747/1371	4.40	4.33	4.38	4.46	4.40
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	867/1519	4.25	4.27	4.27	4.33	4.25
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1452	5.00	4.01	4.18	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1430	5.00	4.11	4.16	4.25	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	4.20	913/1539	4.20	4.22	4.23	4.21	4.20
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	961/1560	4.60	4.65	4.64	4.68	4.60
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	788/1545	4.20	4.17	4.14	4.21	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1496	5.00	4.53	4.49	4.50	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	588/1496	4.60	4.36	4.37	4.40	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	609/1494	4.60	4.44	4.37	4.41	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	1051/1352	3.75	4.18	4.12	4.16	3.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	618/1248	4.33	4.13	4.23	4.39	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	757/1250	4.33	4.51	4.39	4.55	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	812/1239	4.33	4.59	4.45	4.61	4.33

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 481 01
Title:	Digital Image Processing
Instructor:	Tang,Junmei

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	6
Questionnaires:	5

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	519/906	4.00	4.22	4.13	4.28	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 3	Required for Majors 5	Graduate 0 Major 3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 1	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 5 Non-major 2
84-150	2	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 0	F 0	Electives 0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 486 01
Title:	Adv Appl Geog Info Sys
Instructor:	Tang,Junmei

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	18
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	2	1	2	2	7	3.79	1361/1560	3.79	4.46	4.35	4.45	3.79
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	2	2	3	6	3.79	1348/1559	3.79	4.26	4.31	4.34	3.79
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	5	1	0	1	3	4	4.00	1066/1371	4.00	4.33	4.38	4.46	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	3	0	0	4	7	3.86	1220/1519	3.86	4.27	4.27	4.33	3.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	4	1	2	0	5	3.08	1395/1452	3.08	4.01	4.18	4.25	3.08
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	1	0	2	3	7	4.15	787/1430	4.15	4.11	4.16	4.25	4.15
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	0	1	4	8	4.29	821/1539	4.29	4.22	4.23	4.21	4.29
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	1	0	3	11	4.60	961/1560	4.60	4.65	4.64	4.68	4.60
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	1	2	6	3	3.92	1084/1545	3.92	4.17	4.14	4.21	3.92
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	2	1	10	4.43	981/1496	4.43	4.53	4.49	4.50	4.43
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	1	0	3	10	4.57	1183/1498	4.57	4.83	4.75	4.77	4.57
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	2	1	3	7	4.15	1079/1496	4.15	4.36	4.37	4.40	4.15
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	3	0	3	2	5	3.46	1393/1494	3.46	4.44	4.37	4.41	3.46
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	2	1	4	6	4.08	788/1352	4.08	4.18	4.12	4.16	4.08
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	1	1	0	1	2	3.40	1120/1248	3.40	4.13	4.23	4.39	3.40
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	1	1	0	0	3	3.60	1132/1250	3.60	4.51	4.39	4.55	3.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	1	0	0	1	3	4.00	971/1239	4.00	4.59	4.45	4.61	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	10	1	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	239/906	4.50	4.22	4.13	4.28	4.50

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 486 01
Title:	Adv Appl Geog Info Sys
Instructor:	Tang,Junmei

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	18
Questionnaires:	15

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	13	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/206	****	4.40	4.25	4.48	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	****/214	****	4.15	4.31	4.37	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	12	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	****/204	****	4.41	4.52	4.39	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	13	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/207	****	4.42	4.44	4.49	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	4.24	4.27	4.42	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	14	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/64	****	3.98	4.44	4.65	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	14	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/29	****	4.63	4.19	4.50	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	14	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/31	****	4.25	4.35	4.64	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1 A 8	Required for Majors	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0 B 5		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	3 C 0	General	0
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	3 D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2 F 0	Electives	0
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	1
			? 2		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 602 01
Title:	Research Methods/Ges
Instructor:	Baker,Matthew E

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	4	3	3.82	1341/1560	3.82	4.46	4.35	4.37	3.82
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	4	6	0	3.60	1423/1559	3.60	4.26	4.31	4.29	3.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	5	3	4.00	1060/1519	4.00	4.27	4.27	4.29	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	9	2	4.18	782/1452	4.18	4.01	4.18	4.23	4.18
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	2	4	3	3.73	1117/1430	3.73	4.11	4.16	4.28	3.73
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	1	3	2	2	1	2.89	1495/1539	2.89	4.22	4.23	4.26	2.89
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	5	3	3	3.82	1522/1560	3.82	4.65	4.64	4.72	3.82
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	2	7	1	3.90	1099/1545	3.90	4.17	4.14	4.11	3.90
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	2	2	1	3.80	1375/1496	3.80	4.53	4.49	4.47	3.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	1318/1498	4.40	4.83	4.75	4.76	4.40
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	1	2	2	0	3.20	1438/1496	3.20	4.36	4.37	4.29	3.20
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	2	2	1	3.80	1281/1494	3.80	4.44	4.37	4.31	3.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	2	0	2	0	1	0	2.67	1318/1352	2.67	4.18	4.12	3.99	2.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	297/1248	4.73	4.13	4.23	4.28	4.73
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	2	1	2	6	4.09	921/1250	4.09	4.51	4.39	4.49	4.09
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	1	1	4	5	4.18	900/1239	4.18	4.59	4.45	4.57	4.18
4. Were special techniques successful	0	4	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	390/906	4.29	4.22	4.13	4.08	4.29
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/64	****	3.98	4.44	4.23	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 602 01
Title:	Research Methods/Ges
Instructor:	Baker,Matthew E

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/58	****	3.33	4.37	4.34	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/52	****	3.85	4.41	4.37	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	****	4.02	4.41	4.28	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/63	****	3.90	4.09	4.07	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99 0	A 6	Required for Majors 11	Graduate 5 Major 8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 6 Non-major 3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	5	3.50-4.00 4	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 673 01
Title:	Geoprocessing & Spat Ana
Instructor:	Heimann,Richard

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	2	0	1	0	4	6	0	3.36	1506/1560	3.36	4.46	4.35	4.37	3.36
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	2	4	5	0	3.27	1495/1559	3.27	4.26	4.31	4.29	3.27
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	1	2	6	2	3.82	1197/1371	3.82	4.33	4.38	4.37	3.82
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	2	7	1	3.90	1177/1519	3.90	4.27	4.27	4.29	3.90
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	1	4	4	1	3.27	1362/1452	3.27	4.01	4.18	4.23	3.27
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	1	5	3	1	3.18	1351/1430	3.18	4.11	4.16	4.28	3.18
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	1	2	2	6	0	3.18	1445/1539	3.18	4.22	4.23	4.26	3.18
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	5	6	4.55	1015/1560	4.55	4.65	4.64	4.72	4.55
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	2	3	4	1	3.40	1392/1545	3.40	4.17	4.14	4.11	3.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	2	1	3	2	3	3.27	1460/1496	3.27	4.53	4.49	4.47	3.27
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	1	1	2	7	4.36	1338/1498	4.36	4.83	4.75	4.76	4.36
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	2	0	4	4	1	3.18	1440/1496	3.18	4.36	4.37	4.29	3.18
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	2	3	4	2	3.55	1374/1494	3.55	4.44	4.37	4.31	3.55
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	1	0	2	4	3	3.80	1018/1352	3.80	4.18	4.12	3.99	3.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	3	0	3	3	0	2.67	1225/1248	2.67	4.13	4.23	4.28	2.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	1	4	0	4	3.78	1083/1250	3.78	4.51	4.39	4.49	3.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	5	2	2	3.67	1127/1239	3.67	4.59	4.45	4.57	3.67
4. Were special techniques successful	4	4	0	1	2	1	1	3.40	798/906	3.40	4.22	4.13	4.08	3.40

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 673 01
Title:	Geoprocessing & Spat Ana
Instructor:	Heimann,Richard

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	5	1	0	1	1	3	2	3.86	174/206	3.86	4.40	4.25	4.17	3.86
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	5	0	2	1	1	3	1	3.00	208/214	3.00	4.15	4.31	3.86	3.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	5	1	1	0	3	2	1	3.29	200/204	3.29	4.41	4.52	4.15	3.29
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	5	4	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	199/207	3.50	4.42	4.44	3.84	3.50
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	5	2	0	1	3	1	1	3.33	190/199	3.33	4.24	4.27	4.11	3.33
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	7	1	0	0	2	3	0	3.60	55/64	3.60	3.98	4.44	4.23	3.60
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	8	0	0	1	2	1	1	3.40	52/58	3.40	3.33	4.37	4.34	3.40
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	2	3	1	3.83	41/52	3.83	3.85	4.41	4.37	3.83
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	3	2	1	3.67	58/66	3.67	4.02	4.41	4.28	3.67
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	7	0	0	2	1	2	1	3.33	50/63	3.33	3.90	4.09	4.07	3.33
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	10	0	1	0	1	1	0	2.67	****/29	****	4.63	4.19	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	10	0	0	0	2	1	0	3.33	****/29	****	4.50	4.11	3.89	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	11	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/24	****	3.75	4.25	4.06	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	11	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/26	****	4.38	3.89	3.54	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	11	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/25	****	5.00	4.01	3.69	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	10	0	1	0	1	1	0	2.67	****/31	****	4.25	4.35	3.98	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	10	1	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/22	****	4.50	4.13	3.66	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	10	0	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	****/29	****	4.25	4.41	3.99	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 673 01
Title:	Geoprocessing & Spat Ana
Instructor:	Heimann,Richard

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	10	0	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	3.29	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	10	1	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/10	****	****	3.94	3.32	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	2	A	5	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	6	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	5						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	7
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 679 02
Title:	Professional Seminar
Instructor:	Heimann,Richard

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	8
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	4	1	2	3.71	1404/1560	3.71	4.46	4.35	4.37	3.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	3	1	1	3.33	1486/1559	3.33	4.26	4.31	4.29	3.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	4	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	1350/1371	3.00	4.33	4.38	4.37	3.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	4	1	4.00	1060/1519	4.00	4.27	4.27	4.29	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	2	1	1	3.40	1331/1452	3.40	4.01	4.18	4.23	3.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	1	2	1	3.60	1190/1430	3.60	4.11	4.16	4.28	3.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	1077/1539	4.00	4.22	4.23	4.26	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	1	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	776/1560	4.75	4.65	4.64	4.72	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	3	2	0	3.40	1392/1545	3.40	4.17	4.14	4.11	3.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	2	1	3	3.86	1358/1496	3.86	4.53	4.49	4.47	3.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	1077/1498	4.67	4.83	4.75	4.76	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	4	1	1	3.50	1378/1496	3.50	4.36	4.37	4.29	3.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	1147/1494	4.00	4.44	4.37	4.31	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	1	1	2	0	2	3.17	1261/1352	3.17	4.18	4.12	3.99	3.17
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	4	1	2	3.71	1002/1248	3.71	4.13	4.23	4.28	3.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	564/1250	4.57	4.51	4.39	4.49	4.57
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	747/1239	4.43	4.59	4.45	4.57	4.43
4. Were special techniques successful	0	3	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	519/906	4.00	4.22	4.13	4.08	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 679 02
Title:	Professional Seminar
Instructor:	Heimann,Richard

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	8
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/206	****	4.40	4.25	4.17	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/214	****	4.15	4.31	3.86	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/204	****	4.41	4.52	4.15	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/207	****	4.42	4.44	3.84	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/199	****	4.24	4.27	4.11	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	4	0	0	0	2	1	0	3.33	57/64	3.33	3.98	4.44	4.23	3.33
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	4	0	0	1	2	0	0	2.67	56/58	2.67	3.33	4.37	4.34	2.67
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	2	1	0	3.33	48/52	3.33	3.85	4.41	4.37	3.33
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	58/66	3.67	4.02	4.41	4.28	3.67
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	35/63	4.00	3.90	4.09	4.07	4.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/29	****	4.63	4.19	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/29	****	4.50	4.11	3.89	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/24	****	3.75	4.25	4.06	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/26	****	4.38	3.89	3.54	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/25	****	5.00	4.01	3.69	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/31	****	4.25	4.35	3.98	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/22	****	4.50	4.13	3.66	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/29	****	4.25	4.41	3.99	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 679 02
Title:	Professional Seminar
Instructor:	Heimann,Richard

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	8
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/14	****	****	4.03	3.29	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/10	****	****	3.94	3.32	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 1	Required for Majors 3	Graduate 3 Major 7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 4 Non-major 0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00 0	F 0	Electives 1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 2		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 708 1
Title:	Advanced Ecology
Instructor:	Swan,Christophe

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	4
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1560	5.00	4.46	4.35	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	627/1559	4.50	4.26	4.31	4.29	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	328/1371	4.75	4.33	4.38	4.37	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	255/1519	4.75	4.27	4.27	4.29	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	433/1452	4.50	4.01	4.18	4.23	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1244/1430	3.50	4.11	4.16	4.28	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	243/1539	4.75	4.22	4.23	4.26	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	3.75	1530/1560	3.75	4.65	4.64	4.72	3.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	639/1545	4.33	4.17	4.14	4.11	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	489/1496	4.75	4.53	4.49	4.47	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1498	5.00	4.83	4.75	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	700/1496	4.50	4.36	4.37	4.29	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1494	5.00	4.44	4.37	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	823/1352	4.00	4.18	4.12	3.99	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	822/1248	4.00	4.13	4.23	4.28	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1250	5.00	4.51	4.39	4.49	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1239	5.00	4.59	4.45	4.57	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 708 1
Title:	Advanced Ecology
Instructor:	Swan,Christophe

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	4
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	519/906	4.00	4.22	4.13	4.08	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 2	Required for Majors 1	Graduate 3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 1		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 1	Under-grad 1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		Non-major 1
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00 0	F 0	Electives 2	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 770 1
Title:	Spec Topics in Enterpris
Instructor:	Yang,Xiuzhu

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	3	0	0	0	2	0	4	4.33	886/1560	4.33	4.46	4.35	4.37	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	2	3	1	3.83	1317/1559	3.83	4.26	4.31	4.29	3.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	2	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	1066/1371	4.00	4.33	4.38	4.37	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	952/1519	4.17	4.27	4.27	4.29	4.17
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	2	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	433/1452	4.50	4.01	4.18	4.23	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	778/1430	4.17	4.11	4.16	4.28	4.17
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	349/1539	4.67	4.22	4.23	4.26	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	1228/1560	4.33	4.65	4.64	4.72	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	1264/1545	3.67	4.17	4.14	4.11	3.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	1075/1496	4.33	4.53	4.49	4.47	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	1239/1498	4.50	4.83	4.75	4.76	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	1	0	1	1	2	3.60	1356/1496	3.60	4.36	4.37	4.29	3.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	0	0	1	3	4.00	1147/1494	4.00	4.44	4.37	4.31	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	1	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	1051/1352	3.75	4.18	4.12	3.99	3.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	2	0	0	2	1	3.00	1188/1248	3.00	4.13	4.23	4.28	3.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	1	0	1	0	3	3.80	1074/1250	3.80	4.51	4.39	4.49	3.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	1	0	0	1	3	4.00	971/1239	4.00	4.59	4.45	4.57	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	4	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/906	****	4.22	4.13	4.08	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 770 1
Title:	Spec Topics in Enterpris
Instructor:	Yang,Xiuzhu

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	6	0	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	146/206	4.00	4.40	4.25	4.17	4.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	7	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/214	****	4.15	4.31	3.86	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	7	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/204	****	4.41	4.52	4.15	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	7	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/207	****	4.42	4.44	3.84	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	7	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/199	****	4.24	4.27	4.11	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	7	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/64	****	3.98	4.44	4.23	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	7	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/58	****	3.33	4.37	4.34	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	7	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/52	****	3.85	4.41	4.37	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/66	****	4.02	4.41	4.28	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	7	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/63	****	3.90	4.09	4.07	****
Field Work														
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/26	****	4.38	3.89	3.54	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	4.25	4.35	3.98	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 770 1
Title:	Spec Topics in Enterpris
Instructor:	Yang,Xiuzhu

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	****	4.25	4.41	3.99	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 3	Required for Majors 4	Graduate 2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 7
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		Non-major 9
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 4		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 771 01
Title:	Advanced Spatial DBM
Instructor:	Evans,Owen J

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	3	9	4.50	664/1560	4.50	4.46	4.35	4.37	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	10	4.64	439/1559	4.64	4.26	4.31	4.29	4.64
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	1	3	8	4.38	765/1371	4.38	4.33	4.38	4.37	4.38
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	4	7	4.21	907/1519	4.21	4.27	4.27	4.29	4.21
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	5	7	4.29	670/1452	4.29	4.01	4.18	4.23	4.29
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	427/1430	4.50	4.11	4.16	4.28	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	4	8	4.43	649/1539	4.43	4.22	4.23	4.26	4.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	979/1560	4.58	4.65	4.64	4.72	4.58
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	217/1545	4.70	4.17	4.14	4.11	4.70
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	472/1496	4.77	4.53	4.49	4.47	4.77
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	920/1498	4.77	4.83	4.75	4.76	4.77
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	1	3	8	4.38	854/1496	4.38	4.36	4.37	4.29	4.38
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	4	8	4.46	775/1494	4.46	4.44	4.37	4.31	4.46
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	1	0	5	7	4.38	494/1352	4.38	4.18	4.12	3.99	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	1	1	4	5	3.92	906/1248	3.92	4.13	4.23	4.28	3.92
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	2	1	9	4.58	556/1250	4.58	4.51	4.39	4.49	4.58
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	1	1	1	9	4.50	677/1239	4.50	4.59	4.45	4.57	4.50
4. Were special techniques successful	2	2	0	1	2	4	3	3.90	628/906	3.90	4.22	4.13	4.08	3.90

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 771 01
Title:	Advanced Spatial DBM
Instructor:	Evans,Owen J

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	7	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	40/206	4.71	4.40	4.25	4.17	4.71
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	7	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	67/214	4.57	4.15	4.31	3.86	4.57
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	7	0	0	0	2	1	4	4.29	163/204	4.29	4.41	4.52	4.15	4.29
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	7	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	65/207	4.71	4.42	4.44	3.84	4.71
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	8	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	69/199	4.50	4.24	4.27	4.11	4.50
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	11	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/64	****	3.98	4.44	4.23	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	10	0	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	49/58	3.75	3.33	4.37	4.34	3.75
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	28/52	4.75	3.85	4.41	4.37	4.75
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	30/66	4.75	4.02	4.41	4.28	4.75
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	10	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	21/63	4.75	3.90	4.09	4.07	4.75
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	12	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/29	****	4.63	4.19	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	12	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/29	****	4.50	4.11	3.89	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	10	0	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	19/24	3.75	3.75	4.25	4.06	3.75
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	10	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/26	****	4.38	3.89	3.54	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	10	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/25	****	5.00	4.01	3.69	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	21/31	4.25	4.25	4.35	3.98	4.25
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	10	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	11/22	4.50	4.50	4.13	3.66	4.50
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	10	0	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	22/29	4.25	4.25	4.41	3.99	4.25

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	GES 771 01
Title:	Advanced Spatial DBM
Instructor:	Evans,Owen J

Term - Spring 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	10	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/14	****	****	4.03	3.29	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	10	1	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/10	****	****	3.94	3.32	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	5	0.00-0.99 1	A 6	Required for Majors 4	Graduate 2 Major 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 4		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 12 Non-major 14
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00 4	F 0	Electives 2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 4		