Course-Section: CHIN 101 01

Title: Elementary Chinese I

Instructor: Shields, Anna M.

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 24

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|----|------|----------|--------|------|-------------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 5.00 | 1/1644 | 4.81 | 4.42 | 4.32 | 4.16 | 5.00 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 4.88 | 169/1644 | 4.80 | 4.38 | 4.28 | 4.23 | 4.88 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 4.94 | 119/1419 | 4.80 | 4.55 | 4.35 | 4.25 | 4.94 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 4.81 | 197/1596 | 4.68 | 4.40 | 4.24 | 4.09 | 4.81 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 4.81 | 182/1535 | 4.85 | 4.38 | 4.15 | 4.02 | 4.81 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 4.88 | 107/1510 | 4.70 | 4.34 | 4.13 | 3.91 | 4.88 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 4.75 | 224/1620 | 4.71 | 4.21 | 4.20 | 4.13 | 4.75 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 4.88 | 694/1642 | 4.91 | 4.74 | 4.68 | 4.68 | 4.88 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 4.71 | 206/1596 | 4.56 | 4.19 | 4.12 | 4.07 | 4.71 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 4.94 | 173/1534 | 4.91 | 4.52 | 4.48 | 4.45 | 4.94 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 5.00 | 1/1539 | 4.94 | 4.81 | 4.76 | 4.72 | 5.00 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 4.94 | 113/1531 | 4.91 | 4.38 | 4.33 | 4.30 | 4.94 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 5.00 | 1/1530 | 4.86 | 4.49 | 4.35 | 4.30 | 5.00 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 3.93 | 892/1409 | 4.23 | 4.18 | 4.08 | 3.97 | 3.93 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 4.93 | 116/1366 | 4.79 | 4.33 | 4.18 | 3.96 | 4.93 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 4.93 | 172/1364 | 4.86 | 4.56 | 4.33 | 4.10 | 4.93 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 4.31 | 900/1361 | 4.55 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.17 | 4.31 |

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: CHIN 101 01

Title: Elementary Chinese I

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 16

Instructor: Shields, Anna M.

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---------------------------------------|----|----|---|-----|------|------|----|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 4.69 | 171/1019 | 4.70 | 4.27 | 4.09 | 3.97 | 4.69 |

| Credits E | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|-----------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|----|
| 00-27 | 3 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 9 | Required for Majors | 5 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 1 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 5 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 3 | 2.00-2.99 | 1 | С | 2 | General | 4 | Under-grad | 16 | Non-major | 15 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 2 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 4 | F | 0 | Electives | 2 | **** - Means the | re are not e | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 3 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 0 | | | | | | |

Course-Section: CHIN 101 02

Title: Elementary Chinese I

Instructor: Zhou, Chengcheng

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 27

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|----|------|----------|--------|------|-------------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 4.61 | 550/1644 | 4.81 | 4.42 | 4.32 | 4.16 | 4.61 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 4.72 | 346/1644 | 4.80 | 4.38 | 4.28 | 4.23 | 4.72 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 4.67 | 462/1419 | 4.80 | 4.55 | 4.35 | 4.25 | 4.67 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 4.56 | 502/1596 | 4.68 | 4.40 | 4.24 | 4.09 | 4.56 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 4.88 | 122/1535 | 4.85 | 4.38 | 4.15 | 4.02 | 4.88 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 4.53 | 409/1510 | 4.70 | 4.34 | 4.13 | 3.91 | 4.53 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 4.67 | 331/1620 | 4.71 | 4.21 | 4.20 | 4.13 | 4.67 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 4.94 | 379/1642 | 4.91 | 4.74 | 4.68 | 4.68 | 4.94 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 4.40 | 515/1596 | 4.56 | 4.19 | 4.12 | 4.07 | 4.40 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 4.89 | 286/1534 | 4.91 | 4.52 | 4.48 | 4.45 | 4.89 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 4.89 | 666/1539 | 4.94 | 4.81 | 4.76 | 4.72 | 4.89 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 4.89 | 184/1531 | 4.91 | 4.38 | 4.33 | 4.30 | 4.89 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 4.72 | 486/1530 | 4.86 | 4.49 | 4.35 | 4.30 | 4.72 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 4.53 | 364/1409 | 4.23 | 4.18 | 4.08 | 3.97 | 4.53 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 4.64 | 387/1366 | 4.79 | 4.33 | 4.18 | 3.96 | 4.64 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 4.79 | 364/1364 | 4.86 | 4.56 | 4.33 | 4.10 | 4.79 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 4.79 | 404/1361 | 4.55 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.17 | 4.79 |

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: CHIN 101 02

Title: Elementary Chinese I

Instructor: Zhou, Chengcheng

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 27

Questionnaires: 18

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---------------------------------------|----|----|---|-----|------|------|----|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 4.71 | 161/1019 | 4.70 | 4.27 | 4.09 | 3.97 | 4.71 |

| Credits E | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|-----------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|----|
| 00-27 | 3 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 15 | Required for Majors | 4 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 6 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 2 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 1 | 2.00-2.99 | 3 | С | 0 | General | 6 | Under-grad | 18 | Non-major | 18 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 0 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 7 | F | 0 | Electives | 2 | **** - Means the | re are not e | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | 1 | 0 | Other | 5 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 1 | | | | | | |

Course-Section: CHIN 201 01

Title: Intermediate Chinese I

Instructor: Zhou, Chengcheng

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 26

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|---|-----|------|------|----|------|----------|--------|------|-------------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 4.53 | 663/1644 | 4.62 | 4.42 | 4.32 | 4.36 | 4.53 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 4.59 | 533/1644 | 4.56 | 4.38 | 4.28 | 4.35 | 4.59 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 4.47 | 674/1419 | 4.47 | 4.55 | 4.35 | 4.42 | 4.47 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 4.25 | 911/1596 | 4.31 | 4.40 | 4.24 | 4.31 | 4.25 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 4.82 | 173/1535 | 4.62 | 4.38 | 4.15 | 4.20 | 4.82 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 4.53 | 399/1510 | 4.55 | 4.34 | 4.13 | 4.17 | 4.53 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 4.53 | 501/1620 | 4.42 | 4.21 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.53 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 4.71 | 988/1642 | 4.76 | 4.74 | 4.68 | 4.67 | 4.71 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 4.24 | 730/1596 | 4.12 | 4.19 | 4.12 | 4.13 | 4.24 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 4.56 | 819/1534 | 4.58 | 4.52 | 4.48 | 4.51 | 4.56 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 4.88 | 694/1539 | 4.70 | 4.81 | 4.76 | 4.80 | 4.88 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 4.50 | 724/1531 | 4.46 | 4.38 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 4.50 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 4.38 | 906/1530 | 4.56 | 4.49 | 4.35 | 4.41 | 4.38 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 4.56 | 330/1409 | 4.50 | 4.18 | 4.08 | 4.23 | 4.56 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4.22 | 742/1366 | 4.31 | 4.33 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.22 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4.33 | 817/1364 | 4.47 | 4.56 | 4.33 | 4.39 | 4.33 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 4.22 | 946/1361 | 4.41 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.48 | 4.22 |

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: CHIN 201 01

Title: Intermediate Chinese I

Instructor: Zhou,Chengcheng

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 26
Questionnaires: 25

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---------------------------------------|----|----|---|-----|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3.63 | 798/1019 | 3.81 | 4.27 | 4.09 | 4.14 | 3.63 |

| Credits E | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|-----------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|----|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|----|
| 00-27 | 1 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 9 | Required for Majors | 3 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 2 |
| 28-55 | 1 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 6 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 1 | 2.00-2.99 | 2 | С | 1 | General | 11 | Under-grad | 25 | Non-major | 23 |
| 84-150 | 3 | 3.00-3.49 | 5 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 5 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 | **** - Means the | re are not e | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 9 | | | | | | |

Course-Section: CHIN 201 02

Title: Intermediate Chinese I

Instructor: Hao, Yu

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 19

| | | | A 1 2 3 4 5 Mean 0 0 0 1 3 13 4.71 42 0 0 0 1 6 10 4.53 60 0 0 0 2 5 10 4.47 67 0 0 0 2 6 8 4.38 75 0 0 0 3 4 10 4.41 56 0 0 0 3 1 12 4.56 36 0 0 0 3 5 8 4.31 80 0 0 0 0 3 13 4.81 81 | | | | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect | | |
|---|----|----|--|---|---|---|----------|--------|-----------|-------------|-------|------|------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 13 | 4.71 | 428/1644 | 4.62 | 4.42 | 4.32 | 4.36 | 4.71 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 4.53 | 608/1644 | 4.56 | 4.38 | 4.28 | 4.35 | 4.53 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 4.47 | 674/1419 | 4.47 | 4.55 | 4.35 | 4.42 | 4.47 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 4.38 | 759/1596 | 4.31 | 4.40 | 4.24 | 4.31 | 4.38 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 4.41 | 564/1535 | 4.62 | 4.38 | 4.15 | 4.20 | 4.41 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 4.56 | 369/1510 | 4.55 | 4.34 | 4.13 | 4.17 | 4.56 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 4.31 | 806/1620 | 4.42 | 4.21 | 4.20 | 4.25 | 4.31 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 4.81 | 819/1642 | 4.76 | 4.74 | 4.68 | 4.67 | 4.81 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 4.00 | 971/1596 | 4.12 | 4.19 | 4.12 | 4.13 | 4.00 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 4.59 | 795/1534 | 4.58 | 4.52 | 4.48 | 4.51 | 4.59 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 4.53 | 1281/1539 | 4.70 | 4.81 | 4.76 | 4.80 | 4.53 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 4.41 | 839/1531 | 4.46 | 4.38 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 4.41 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 4.75 | 437/1530 | 4.56 | 4.49 | 4.35 | 4.41 | 4.75 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 4.44 | 454/1409 | 4.50 | 4.18 | 4.08 | 4.23 | 4.44 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4.40 | 604/1366 | 4.31 | 4.33 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.40 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4.60 | 570/1364 | 4.47 | 4.56 | 4.33 | 4.39 | 4.60 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4.60 | 619/1361 | 4.41 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.48 | 4.60 |

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: CHIN 201 02

Title: Intermediate Chinese I

Instructor: Hao,Yu

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 19
Questionnaires: 17

| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---------------------------------------|----|----|---|-----|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4.00 | 559/1019 | 3.81 | 4.27 | 4.09 | 4.14 | 4.00 |

| Credits E | arned | Cum. GP | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|-----------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|----|
| 00-27 | 1 | 0.00-0.99 | 1 | Α | 5 | Required for Majors | 4 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 6 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 4 | С | 3 | General | 7 | Under-grad | 17 | Non-major | 17 |
| 84-150 | 2 | 3.00-3.49 | 0 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 1 | F | 0 | Electives | 3 | **** - Means the | re are not e | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 1 | | | | | | |

Course-Section: CHIN 301 01

Title: Advanced Chinese I

Instructor: Brown, William I

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 27

| | | | Frequencies | | | | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|-------------|---|---|----|----|------|-----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 4.26 | 964/1644 | 4.26 | 4.42 | 4.32 | 4.31 | 4.26 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 4.58 | 545/1644 | 4.58 | 4.38 | 4.28 | 4.25 | 4.58 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 4.58 | 569/1419 | 4.58 | 4.55 | 4.35 | 4.31 | 4.58 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 4.41 | 702/1596 | 4.41 | 4.40 | 4.24 | 4.25 | 4.41 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 4.47 | 482/1535 | 4.47 | 4.38 | 4.15 | 4.14 | 4.47 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 4.58 | 359/1510 | 4.58 | 4.34 | 4.13 | 4.16 | 4.58 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 4.72 | 261/1620 | 4.72 | 4.21 | 4.20 | 4.18 | 4.72 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 6 | 4.26 | 1394/1642 | 4.26 | 4.74 | 4.68 | 4.65 | 4.26 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 3.69 | 1284/1596 | 3.69 | 4.19 | 4.12 | 4.09 | 3.69 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 4.72 | 576/1534 | 4.72 | 4.52 | 4.48 | 4.44 | 4.72 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 4.89 | 666/1539 | 4.89 | 4.81 | 4.76 | 4.74 | 4.89 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 4.50 | 724/1531 | 4.50 | 4.38 | 4.33 | 4.30 | 4.50 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 4.44 | 831/1530 | 4.44 | 4.49 | 4.35 | 4.32 | 4.44 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 4.41 | 475/1409 | 4.41 | 4.18 | 4.08 | 4.09 | 4.41 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 4.63 | 405/1366 | 4.63 | 4.33 | 4.18 | 4.22 | 4.63 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4.88 | 253/1364 | 4.88 | 4.56 | 4.33 | 4.37 | 4.88 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4.88 | 286/1361 | 4.88 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.39 | 4.88 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3.57 | 816/1019 | 3.57 | 4.27 | 4.09 | 4.04 | 3.57 |

Course-Section: CHIN 301 01

Title: Advanced Chinese I

Instructor: Brown, William I

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 27

| <u>'</u> | | Frequencies | | | | | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|------|----------|--------|------|-------------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4.75 | ****/185 | **** | **** | 4.23 | 4.16 | **** |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4.80 | 34/209 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.19 | 4.18 | 4.80 |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4.80 | 41/181 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.53 | 4.49 | 4.80 |
| 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4.80 | 45/183 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.46 | 4.38 | 4.80 |
| 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5.00 | ****/172 | **** | **** | 4.14 | 4.07 | **** |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4.80 | 29/72 | 4.80 | 4.83 | 4.53 | 4.68 | 4.80 |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4.80 | 20/71 | 4.80 | 4.89 | 4.38 | 4.61 | 4.80 |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4.80 | 18/68 | 4.80 | 4.84 | 4.41 | 4.59 | 4.80 |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4.50 | 35/71 | 4.50 | 4.66 | 4.40 | 4.51 | 4.50 |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4.80 | 15/73 | 4.80 | 4.39 | 4.09 | 4.57 | 4.80 |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4.80 | 21/48 | 4.80 | 4.93 | 4.16 | 4.95 | 4.80 |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4.80 | 16/45 | 4.80 | 4.93 | 4.19 | 4.95 | 4.80 |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4.80 | 16/30 | 4.80 | 4.93 | 4.57 | 4.93 | 4.80 |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4.60 | 13/27 | 4.60 | 4.87 | 4.25 | 4.90 | 4.60 |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4.80 | 12/25 | 4.80 | 4.90 | 4.35 | 4.90 | 4.80 |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4.50 | 21/51 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.03 | 4.75 | 4.50 |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4.80 | 10/31 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.18 | 4.80 | 4.80 |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4.67 | 15/36 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.83 | 4.67 |

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: CHIN 301 01

Title: Advanced Chinese I

Instructor: Brown, William I

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 27
Questionnaires: 20

| | | | Frequencies | | | | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|--|----|----|-------------|---|---|---|---|------|----------|--------|------|-------------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4.20 | 13/19 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.17 | 4.20 | 4.20 |
| 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4.60 | 5/14 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.17 | 4.60 | 4.60 |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GP | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | | |
|------------|-------|-----------|----------|--------|---------|---------------------|------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|----|
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 1 | Α | 13 | Required for Majors | 2 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 1 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 1 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 2 | 2.00-2.99 | 1 | С | 1 | General | 3 | Under-grad | 20 | Non-major | 19 |
| 84-150 | 2 | 3.00-3.49 | 3 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 2 | F | 0 | Electives | 6 | **** - Means the | nough responses | | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | 1 | 0 | Other | 2 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 5 | | | | | | |

Course-Section: CHIN 309 01

Title: Business Chinese

Instructor: Brown, William I

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 19

| | | Frequencies | | | | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect | |
|---|----|-------------|---|---|---|---|----|----------|-----------|------|-------------|-------|------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 4.27 | 953/1644 | 4.27 | 4.42 | 4.32 | 4.31 | 4.27 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 4.18 | 1060/1644 | 4.18 | 4.38 | 4.28 | 4.25 | 4.18 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 4.45 | 703/1419 | 4.45 | 4.55 | 4.35 | 4.31 | 4.45 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 4.36 | 773/1596 | 4.36 | 4.40 | 4.24 | 4.25 | 4.36 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 4.56 | 401/1535 | 4.56 | 4.38 | 4.15 | 4.14 | 4.56 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 4.10 | 875/1510 | 4.10 | 4.34 | 4.13 | 4.16 | 4.10 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 4.40 | 685/1620 | 4.40 | 4.21 | 4.20 | 4.18 | 4.40 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 4.30 | 1369/1642 | 4.30 | 4.74 | 4.68 | 4.65 | 4.30 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4.00 | 971/1596 | 4.00 | 4.19 | 4.12 | 4.09 | 4.00 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 4.64 | 723/1534 | 4.64 | 4.52 | 4.48 | 4.44 | 4.64 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 4.64 | 1174/1539 | 4.64 | 4.81 | 4.76 | 4.74 | 4.64 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 4.45 | 788/1531 | 4.45 | 4.38 | 4.33 | 4.30 | 4.45 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 4.55 | 710/1530 | 4.55 | 4.49 | 4.35 | 4.32 | 4.55 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 4.50 | 381/1409 | 4.50 | 4.18 | 4.08 | 4.09 | 4.50 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4.75 | 284/1366 | 4.75 | 4.33 | 4.18 | 4.22 | 4.75 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4.75 | 398/1364 | 4.75 | 4.56 | 4.33 | 4.37 | 4.75 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4.75 | 441/1361 | 4.75 | 4.48 | 4.39 | 4.39 | 4.75 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4.67 | 186/1019 | 4.67 | 4.27 | 4.09 | 4.04 | 4.67 |

Course-Section: CHIN 309 01

Title: Business Chinese

Instructor: Brown, William I

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 19

| · | Frequencies | | | | | | | Ins | Instructor Co | | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|-------------|----|---|---|---|---|---|------|---------------|------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/185 | **** | **** | 4.23 | 4.16 | **** |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/209 | **** | 4.80 | 4.19 | 4.18 | **** |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/181 | **** | 4.80 | 4.53 | 4.49 | **** |
| 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/183 | **** | 4.80 | 4.46 | 4.38 | **** |
| 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/172 | **** | **** | 4.14 | 4.07 | **** |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/72 | **** | 4.83 | 4.53 | 4.68 | **** |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/71 | **** | 4.89 | 4.38 | 4.61 | **** |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/68 | **** | 4.84 | 4.41 | 4.59 | **** |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/71 | **** | 4.66 | 4.40 | 4.51 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/73 | **** | 4.39 | 4.09 | 4.57 | **** |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/48 | **** | 4.93 | 4.16 | 4.95 | **** |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/45 | **** | 4.93 | 4.19 | 4.95 | **** |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/30 | **** | 4.93 | 4.57 | 4.93 | **** |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/27 | **** | 4.87 | 4.25 | 4.90 | **** |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/25 | **** | 4.90 | 4.35 | 4.90 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/51 | **** | 4.50 | 4.03 | 4.75 | **** |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/31 | **** | 4.80 | 4.18 | 4.80 | **** |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/36 | **** | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.83 | **** |

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: CHIN 309 01

Title: Business Chinese

Instructor: Brown, William I

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment: 19

Questionnaires: 12

| | | | Frequencies | | | | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|--|----|----|-------------|---|---|---|---|------|----------|--------|------|-------------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/19 | **** | 4.20 | 4.17 | 4.20 | **** |
| 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/14 | **** | 4.60 | 4.17 | 4.60 | **** |

| Credits E | Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades | | | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|---|--------|---------|--|------|-------------------|--------|-----------|----|
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 5 | Required for Majors | 5 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 2 |
| 28-55 | 2 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 2 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 1 | 2.00-2.99 | 2 | С | 1 | General | 0 | Under-grad | 12 | Non-major | 10 |
| 84-150 | 2 | 3.00-3.49 | 2 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 2 | F | 0 | Electives 3 **** - Means there are not enough resp | | | | | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 3 | | | | | | |