Course-Section: EHS 200 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	99
Title: Concepts Emer HIth Serv											Q	uestion	naires:	85
Instructor: Flint, Diane C														
				Fre	quene	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	6	0	14	22	41	4.11	1142/1644	4.11	4.61	4.32	4.36	4.11
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	1	1	10	18	51	4.44	734/1644	4.44	4.67	4.28	4.35	4.44
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	4	13	15	50	4.35	837/1419	4.35	4.71	4.35	4.42	4.35
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	6	2	4	15	17	39	4.13	1053/1596	4.13	4.62	4.24	4.31	4.13
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	5	4	4	3	13	10	46	4.20	791/1535	4.20	4.26	4.15	4.20	4.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	3	4	8	18	12	36	3.87	1056/1510	3.87	4.31	4.13	4.17	3.87
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	0	2	0	12	16	49	4.39	698/1620	4.39	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.39
8. How many times was class cancelled	5	1	1	0	8	30	40	4.37	1319/1642	4.37	4.68	4.68	4.67	4.37
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	21	4	2	1	11	27	19	4.00	971/1596	4.00	4.50	4.12	4.13	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	1	1	7	13	55	4.56	831/1534	4.56	4.76	4.48	4.51	4.56
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	1	2	7	10	57	4.56	1255/1539	4.56	4.79	4.76	4.80	4.56
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	2	1	7	18	49	4.44	800/1531	4.44	4.68	4.33	4.38	4.44
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	1	2	5	5	11	53	4.42	856/1530	4.42	4.62	4.35	4.41	4.42
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	10	6	1	3	14	13	38	4.22	665/1409	4.22	4.40	4.08	4.23	4.22
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	34	0	5	0	12	7	27	4.00	862/1366	4.00	4.66	4.18	4.24	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	34	0	2	7	8	10	24	3.92	1073/1364	3.92	4.69	4.33	4.39	3.92
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	34	0	1	3	9	10	28	4.20	962/1361	4.20	4.69	4.39	4.48	4.20
4. Were special techniques successful	34	15	4	2	3	4	23	4.11	531/1019	4.11	4.49	4.09	4.14	4.11

### Report Help

Course-Section: EHS 200 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark>	3						Enro	llment:	99
Title: Concepts Emer Hith Serv											Q	uestion	naires:	85
Instructor: Flint, Diane C														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	74	2	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	****/185	****	5.00	4.23	4.42	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background informatio	on 76	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	****/209	****	4.75	4.19	4.45	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	76	0	0	2	0	1	6	4.22	****/181	****	5.00	4.53	4.67	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	76	0	0	1	0	2	6	4.44	****/183	****	5.00	4.46	4.64	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	77	0	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	****/172	****	5.00	4.14	4.50	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	76	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	****/72	****	****	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	76	0	0	1	1	2	5	4.22	****/71	****	****	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	76	1	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	****/68	****	****	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	76	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	****/71	****	****	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	76	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	****/73	****	****	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	78	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	****/48	****	5.00	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	76	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	****/45	****	4.92	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	76	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	****/30	****	5.00	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	77	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	****/27	****	4.71	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	77	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	****/25	****	4.83	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	78	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	78	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	****/31	****	5.00	4.18	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	78	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	****/36	****	****	4.33	****	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 11:38:29 AM

Course-Section: EHS 200 01 Term - Fall 2013 **Enrollment: 99 Title: Concepts Emer Hith Serv Questionnaires:** 85 **Instructor:** Flint, Diane C UMBC Level Sect **Frequencies** Instructor Course Org Questions NR NA 1 2 3 5 Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean 4 Mean Self Paced 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful 78 \*\*\*\*/19 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 4.57 4.17 \*\*\*\* 0 0 0 1 1 5 \*\*\*\*/14 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students 78 5 4.43 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 4.17 \*\*\*\* 0 0 1 0 1 \*\*\*\*

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	11	0.00-0.99	4	А	22	Required for Majors	30	Graduate	0	Major	33
28-55	8	1.00-1.99	0	В	38						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	8	С	6	General	14	Under-grad	85	Non-major	52
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	7	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	17	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	18						

Course-Section: EHS 202 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	lment:	24
Title: Clincl Concepts/Prac I											Q	uestion	naires:	22
Instructor: Hoffman,Steven														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.61	4.32	4.36	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	20	4.91	143/1644	4.91	4.67	4.28	4.35	4.91
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	18	4.82	255/1419	4.82	4.71	4.35	4.42	4.82
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	17	4.77	235/1596	4.77	4.62	4.24	4.31	4.77
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	0	20	4.90	105/1535	4.90	4.26	4.15	4.20	4.90
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	4	16	4.71	234/1510	4.71	4.31	4.13	4.17	4.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	3	16	4.55	475/1620	4.55	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.55
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	1	1	3	17	4.64	1075/1642	4.64	4.68	4.68	4.67	4.64
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	1	0	0	0	5	11	4.69	229/1596	4.69	4.50	4.12	4.13	4.69
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.76	4.48	4.51	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.79	4.76	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	21	4.95	81/1531	4.95	4.68	4.33	4.38	4.95
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.62	4.35	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	1	0	3	17	4.71	205/1409	4.71	4.40	4.08	4.23	4.71
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	1	0	1	14	4.75	284/1366	4.75	4.66	4.18	4.24	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	398/1364	4.75	4.69	4.33	4.39	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	2	1	13	4.69	526/1361	4.69	4.69	4.39	4.48	4.69
4. Were special techniques successful	6	4	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	114/1019	4.83	4.49	4.09	4.14	4.83

#### Report Help

Course-Section: EHS 202 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	lment:	24
Title: Clincl Concepts/Prac I											Q	uestion	naires:	22
Instructor: Hoffman,Steven														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	14	1	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/185	5.00	5.00	4.23	4.42	5.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	16	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/209	5.00	4.75	4.19	4.45	5.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	15	1	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/181	5.00	5.00	4.53	4.67	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	15	1	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/183	5.00	5.00	4.46	4.64	5.00
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	15	3	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/172	****	5.00	4.14	4.50	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	16	2	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/72	****	****	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	16	2	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/71	****	****	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	16	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/68	****	****	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	16	2	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/71	****	****	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	16	2	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/73	****	****	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/48	5.00	5.00	4.16	4.81	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	16	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/45	5.00	4.92	4.19	4.58	5.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	15	2	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/30	****	5.00	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	15	2	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/27	****	4.71	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	16	2	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/25	****	4.83	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/51	5.00	5.00	4.03	5.00	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	16	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/31	5.00	5.00	4.18	5.00	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	17	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	****	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 11:38:29 AM

Term - Fall 2013 Course-Section: EHS 202 01 **Enrollment: 24** Title: Clincl Concepts/Prac I **Questionnaires: 22** Instructor: Hoffman, Steven UMBC Level Sect **Frequencies** Instructor Course Org Questions NR NA 1 2 3 5 Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean 4 Mean Self Paced 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful 17 \*\*\*\*/19 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 5.00 4.17 \*\*\*\* 1 0 0 0 0 4 \*\*\*\*/14 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students 17 4 4.60 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 4.17 \*\*\*\* 0 0 0 1 0 \*\*\*\*

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	۸	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	А	11	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	9						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	5	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	22	Non-major	8
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				Ι	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: EHS 202 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	lment:	24
Title: Clincl Concepts/Prac I											Q	uestion	naires:	22
Instructor: Williams Jr.,Ga														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.61	4.32	4.36	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	20	4.91	143/1644	4.91	4.67	4.28	4.35	4.91
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	18	4.82	255/1419	4.82	4.71	4.35	4.42	4.82
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	17	4.77	235/1596	4.77	4.62	4.24	4.31	4.77
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	0	20	4.90	105/1535	4.90	4.26	4.15	4.20	4.90
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	4	16	4.71	234/1510	4.71	4.31	4.13	4.17	4.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	3	16	4.55	475/1620	4.55	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.55
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	1	1	3	17	4.64	1075/1642	4.64	4.68	4.68	4.67	4.64
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	20	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/1596	4.69	4.50	4.12	4.13	4.69
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	20	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1534	5.00	4.76	4.48	4.51	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	20	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1539	5.00	4.79	4.76	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	20	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/1531	4.95	4.68	4.33	4.38	4.95
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1530	5.00	4.62	4.35	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	20	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1409	4.71	4.40	4.08	4.23	4.71
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	1	0	1	14	4.75	284/1366	4.75	4.66	4.18	4.24	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	398/1364	4.75	4.69	4.33	4.39	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	2	1	13	4.69	526/1361	4.69	4.69	4.39	4.48	4.69
4. Were special techniques successful	6	4	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	114/1019	4.83	4.49	4.09	4.14	4.83

#### Report Help

Course-Section: EHS 202 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	24
Title: Clincl Concepts/Prac I											Q	uestion	naires:	22
Instructor: Williams Jr.,Ga														
				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	14	1	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/185	5.00	5.00	4.23	4.42	5.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	16	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/209	5.00	4.75	4.19	4.45	5.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	15	1	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/181	5.00	5.00	4.53	4.67	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	15	1	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/183	5.00	5.00	4.46	4.64	5.00
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	15	3	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/172	****	5.00	4.14	4.50	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	16	2	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/72	****	****	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	16	2	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/71	****	****	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	16	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/68	****	****	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	16	2	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/71	****	****	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	16	2	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/73	****	****	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/48	5.00	5.00	4.16	4.81	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	16	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/45	5.00	4.92	4.19	4.58	5.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	15	2	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/30	****	5.00	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	15	2	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/27	****	4.71	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	16	2	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/25	****	4.83	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/51	5.00	5.00	4.03	5.00	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	16	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/31	5.00	5.00	4.18	5.00	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	17	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	****	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 11:38:29 AM

Term - Fall 2013 Course-Section: EHS 202 01 **Enrollment: 24** Title: Clincl Concepts/Prac I **Questionnaires: 22** Instructor: Williams Jr.,Ga UMBC Level Sect **Frequencies** Instructor Course Org Questions NR NA 1 2 3 5 Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean 4 Mean Self Paced 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful 17 \*\*\*\*/19 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 5.00 4.17 \*\*\*\* 1 0 0 0 0 4 \*\*\*\*/14 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students 17 4 4.60 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 4.17 \*\*\*\* 0 0 0 1 0 \*\*\*\*

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	А	11	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	9						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	5	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	22	Non-major	8
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				Ι	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: EHS 301 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	lment:	15
Title: Planning Emer HIth Systs											Q	uestion	naires:	15
Instructor: Flint, Diane C														
				Fre	queno	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	414/1644	4.71	4.61	4.32	4.31	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	259/1644	4.79	4.67	4.28	4.25	4.79
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	9	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.71	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	1	11	4.64	383/1596	4.64	4.62	4.24	4.25	4.64
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	1	1	0	1	4	6	4.17	823/1535	4.17	4.26	4.15	4.14	4.17
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	187/1510	4.77	4.31	4.13	4.16	4.77
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	488/1620	4.54	4.45	4.20	4.18	4.54
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	3	6	3	4.00	1528/1642	4.00	4.68	4.68	4.65	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	1	0	0	4	5	4.20	768/1596	4.20	4.50	4.12	4.09	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	855/1534	4.54	4.76	4.48	4.44	4.54
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	0	12	4.85	780/1539	4.85	4.79	4.76	4.74	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	676/1531	4.54	4.68	4.33	4.30	4.54
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	631/1530	4.62	4.62	4.35	4.32	4.62
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	3	4	1	1	0	4	2.90	1348/1409	2.90	4.40	4.08	4.09	2.90
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	191/1366	4.86	4.66	4.18	4.22	4.86
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	593/1364	4.57	4.69	4.33	4.37	4.57
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	644/1361	4.57	4.69	4.39	4.39	4.57

Report Help

Course-Section:	EHS 301 01			Term	- Fal	l 2013	3						Enro	llment:	15
Title:	Planning Emer Hith Systs											Q	uestion	naires:	15
Instructor:	Flint,Diane C														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	les successful	8	1	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	559/1019	4.00	4.49	4.09	4.04	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	А	7	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	4
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	6						

Course-Section: EHS 320 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	lment:	22
Title: Disaster Management											Q	uestion	naires:	17
Instructor: Mitchell,Jeffre														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	1	0	7	8	4.18	1062/1644	4.18	4.61	4.32	4.31	4.18
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	3	4	8	4.00	1210/1644	4.00	4.67	4.28	4.25	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	5	9	4.35	837/1419	4.35	4.71	4.35	4.31	4.35
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	3	10	4.35	788/1596	4.35	4.62	4.24	4.25	4.35
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	3	1	3	5	3	3.27	1417/1535	3.27	4.26	4.15	4.14	3.27
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	4	5	6	3.82	1096/1510	3.82	4.31	4.13	4.16	3.82
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	3	1	4	9	4.12	1048/1620	4.12	4.45	4.20	4.18	4.12
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.68	4.68	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	3	7	4	4.07	924/1596	4.07	4.50	4.12	4.09	4.07
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	707/1534	4.65	4.76	4.48	4.44	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	15	4.82	837/1539	4.82	4.79	4.76	4.74	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	1	3	12	4.53	692/1531	4.53	4.68	4.33	4.30	4.53
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	0	3	12	4.41	869/1530	4.41	4.62	4.35	4.32	4.41
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	1	1	1	3	11	4.29	588/1409	4.29	4.40	4.08	4.09	4.29
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	2	1	10	4.43	581/1366	4.43	4.66	4.18	4.22	4.43
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	1	0	2	11	4.64	523/1364	4.64	4.69	4.33	4.37	4.64
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	1	0	2	11	4.64	572/1361	4.64	4.69	4.39	4.39	4.64
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	1	0	1	1	9	4.42	331/1019	4.42	4.49	4.09	4.04	4.42

#### Report Help

Course-Section: EHS 320 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	22
Title: Disaster Management											Q	uestion	naires:	17
Instructor: Mitchell,Jeffre														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	10	4	1	0	1	1	0	2.67	****/185	****	5.00	4.23	4.16	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	11	0	0	1	0	3	2	4.00	143/209	4.00	4.75	4.19	4.18	4.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	12	2	1	0	0	1	1	3.33	****/181	****	5.00	4.53	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	12	2	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	****/183	****	5.00	4.46	4.38	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	12	3	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/172	****	5.00	4.14	4.07	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	12	2	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/72	****	****	4.53	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	13	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/71	****	****	4.38	4.61	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/68	****	****	4.41	4.59	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	****/71	****	****	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	13	0	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	****/73	****	****	4.09	4.57	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/48	****	5.00	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	13	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/45	****	4.92	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	13	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/30	****	5.00	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	13	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/27	****	4.71	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	13	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/25	****	4.83	4.35	4.90	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/51	****	5.00	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	13	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/31	****	5.00	4.18	4.80	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	13	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.83	****

Run Date: 1/30/2014 11:38:29 AM

Term - Fall 2013 Course-Section: EHS 320 01 **Enrollment: 22** Title: Disaster Management **Questionnaires: 17** Instructor: Mitchell, Jeffre UMBC Level Sect **Frequencies** Instructor Course Org Questions NR NA 1 2 3 5 Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean 4 Mean Self Paced 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful 13 3.33 \*\*\*\*/19 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 4.17 4.20 1 1 0 0 1 1 \*\*\*\*/14 4.60 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students 13 4.00 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 4.17 \*\*\*\* 1 0 0 1 1 1

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	А	14	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	1	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	17	Non-major	7
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: EHS 360 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	10
Title: Instruct Issues In EHS											Q	uestion	naires:	7
Instructor: Mitchell,Jeffre														
				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General		_			_	_	_			_	_	_		_
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	3	1	3.57	1495/1644	3.57	4.61	4.32	4.31	3.57
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	768/1644	4.43	4.67	4.28	4.25	4.43
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	462/1419	4.67	4.71	4.35	4.31	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	879/1596	4.29	4.62	4.24	4.25	4.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	2	2	1	1	3.17	1445/1535	3.17	4.26	4.15	4.14	3.17
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	1	2	1	1	3.40	1341/1510	3.40	4.31	4.13	4.16	3.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	3	1	2	3.83	1286/1620	3.83	4.45	4.20	4.18	3.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	973/1642	4.71	4.68	4.68	4.65	4.71
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	2	0	1	0	3	0	3.50	1388/1596	4.15	4.50	4.12	4.09	4.15
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	1132/1534	4.39	4.76	4.48	4.44	4.39
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	1	0	2	4	4.29	1430/1539	4.27	4.79	4.76	4.74	4.27
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	4.00	1163/1531	4.13	4.68	4.33	4.30	4.13
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	1	3	3.86	1257/1530	3.93	4.62	4.35	4.32	3.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	3	2	1	3.67	1089/1409	3.83	4.40	4.08	4.09	3.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	721/1366	4.25	4.66	4.18	4.22	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	877/1364	4.25	4.69	4.33	4.37	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	703/1361	4.50	4.69	4.39	4.39	4.50

Report Help

Course-Section:	EHS 360 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark>	3						Enro	llment:	10
Title:	Instruct Issues In EHS											Q	uestion	naires:	7
Instructor:	Mitchell,Jeffre														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	es successful	3	0	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	559/1019	4.00	4.49	4.09	4.04	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	А	5	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	re are not ei	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: EHS 360 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	10
Title: Instruct Issues In EHS											Q	uestion	naires:	7
Instructor: Lenk,Crista D														
				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	3	1	3.57	1495/1644	3.57	4.61	4.32	4.31	3.57
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	768/1644	4.43	4.67	4.28	4.25	4.43
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	462/1419	4.67	4.71	4.35	4.31	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	879/1596	4.29	4.62	4.24	4.25	4.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	2	2	1	1	3.17	1445/1535	3.17	4.26	4.15	4.14	3.17
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	1	2	1	1	3.40	1341/1510	3.40	4.31	4.13	4.16	3.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	3	1	2	3.83	1286/1620	3.83	4.45	4.20	4.18	3.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	973/1642	4.71	4.68	4.68	4.65	4.71
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	144/1596	4.15	4.50	4.12	4.09	4.15
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	891/1534	4.39	4.76	4.48	4.44	4.39
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	1439/1539	4.27	4.79	4.76	4.74	4.27
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	990/1531	4.13	4.68	4.33	4.30	4.13
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	1163/1530	3.93	4.62	4.35	4.32	3.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	825/1409	3.83	4.40	4.08	4.09	3.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	721/1366	4.25	4.66	4.18	4.22	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	877/1364	4.25	4.69	4.33	4.37	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	703/1361	4.50	4.69	4.39	4.39	4.50

Report Help

Course-Section:	EHS 360 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark>	3						Enro	llment:	10
Title:	Instruct Issues In EHS											Q	uestion	naires:	7
Instructor:	Lenk,Crista D														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	les successful	3	0	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	559/1019	4.00	4.49	4.09	4.04	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	А	5	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: EHS 430 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>  201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	13
Title: Research Topics In EHS											Q	uestion	naires:	11
Instructor: Bissell,Richard														
				Fre	quene	ies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General											_	_		-
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	523/1644	4.64	4.61	4.32	4.47	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	468/1644	4.64	4.67	4.28	4.35	4.64
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	3	7	4.45	703/1419	4.45	4.71	4.35	4.48	4.45
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	5	4	4.44	657/1596	4.44	4.62	4.24	4.34	4.44
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	3	5	4.30	693/1535	4.30	4.26	4.15	4.26	4.30
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	429/1510	4.50	4.31	4.13	4.29	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	397/1620	4.60	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	1038/1642	4.67	4.68	4.68	4.67	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	280/1596	4.63	4.50	4.12	4.20	4.63
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	723/1534	4.64	4.76	4.48	4.54	4.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	865/1539	4.82	4.79	4.76	4.81	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	391/1531	4.73	4.68	4.33	4.38	4.73
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	2	1	7	4.18	1057/1530	4.18	4.62	4.35	4.41	4.18
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	1	0	1	3	4	4.00	825/1409	4.00	4.40	4.08	4.15	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	368/1366	4.67	4.66	4.18	4.37	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	303/1364	4.83	4.69	4.33	4.52	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	549/1361	4.67	4.69	4.39	4.59	4.67

Report Help

Course-Section:	EHS 430 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark>	3						Enro	llment:	13
Title:	Research Topics In EHS											Q	uestion	naires:	11
Instructor:	Bissell,Richard														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	les successful	5	1	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	339/1019	4.40	4.49	4.09	4.32	4.40

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	6	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	2	General	1	Under-grad	11	Non-major	1
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: EHS 470 01			Term	- Fal	l 2013	3						Enro	lment:	7
Title: Emerg Response To Crisis											Q	uestion	naires:	7
Instructor: Mitchell,Jeffre														
				Fre	quene	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	601/1644	4.57	4.61	4.32	4.47	4.57
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	1105/1644	4.14	4.67	4.28	4.35	4.14
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	569/1419	4.57	4.71	4.35	4.48	4.57
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	1	4	4.29	879/1596	4.29	4.62	4.24	4.34	4.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	710/1535	4.29	4.26	4.15	4.26	4.29
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	4.00	921/1510	4.00	4.31	4.13	4.29	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	1021/1620	4.14	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.14
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.68	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	382/1596	4.50	4.50	4.12	4.20	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	593/1534	4.71	4.76	4.48	4.54	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	1066/1539	4.71	4.79	4.76	4.81	4.71
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	826/1531	4.43	4.68	4.33	4.38	4.43
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	677/1530	4.57	4.62	4.35	4.41	4.57
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	465/1409	4.43	4.40	4.08	4.15	4.43
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	721/1366	4.25	4.66	4.18	4.37	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	398/1364	4.75	4.69	4.33	4.52	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	929/1361	4.25	4.69	4.39	4.59	4.25

Report Help

Course-Section:	EHS 470 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	7
Title:	Emerg Response To Crisis											Q	uestion	naires:	7
Instructor:	Mitchell,Jeffre														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	es successful	3	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	262/1019	4.50	4.49	4.09	4.32	4.50

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	4	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: EHS 471 01			Term	- Fal	l 2013	3						Enro	llment:	8
Title: Ems Systems & Assessment											Q	uestion	naires:	8
Instructor: Polk,Dwight A														
				Fre	quene	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	208/1644	4.88	4.61	4.32	4.47	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.67	4.28	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	337/1419	4.75	4.71	4.35	4.48	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.62	4.24	4.34	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	148/1535	4.86	4.26	4.15	4.26	4.86
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	278/1510	4.67	4.31	4.13	4.29	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.45	4.20	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.68	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.50	4.12	4.20	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.76	4.48	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.79	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.68	4.33	4.38	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.62	4.35	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.40	4.08	4.15	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.66	4.18	4.37	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.69	4.33	4.52	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.69	4.39	4.59	5.00

Report Help

Course-Section:	EHS 471 01			Term	ı - Fal	l 2013	3						Enro	llment:	8
Title:	Ems Systems & Assessment											Q	uestion	naires:	8
Instructor:	Polk,Dwight A														
					Fre	quene	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special technique	les successful	5	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	186/1019	4.67	4.49	4.09	4.32	4.67

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	3	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: EHS 474 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	8
Title: Intro To Med Emergencies											Q	uestion	naires:	8
Instructor: Williams Jr.,Ga														
				Fre	quene	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.61	4.32	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.67	4.28	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	198/1419	4.88	4.71	4.35	4.48	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	141/1596	4.88	4.62	4.24	4.34	4.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	130/1535	4.88	4.26	4.15	4.26	4.88
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	0	6	4.57	359/1510	4.57	4.31	4.13	4.29	4.57
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	109/1620	4.88	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	736/1642	4.86	4.68	4.68	4.67	4.86
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	280/1596	4.63	4.50	4.12	4.20	4.63
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.76	4.48	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.79	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.68	4.33	4.38	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.62	4.35	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.40	4.08	4.15	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.66	4.18	4.37	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.69	4.33	4.52	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.69	4.39	4.59	5.00

Report Help

Course-Section:	EHS 474 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	3						Enro	llment:	8
Title:	Intro To Med Emergencies							-				Q	uestion	naires:	8
Instructor:	Williams Jr.,Ga														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	les successful	3	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	216/1019	4.60	4.49	4.09	4.32	4.60

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	А	1	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: EHS 476 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	8
Title: Intro Trauma Emergencies											Q	uestion	naires:	8
Instructor: Williams Jr.,Ga														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.61	4.32	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.67	4.28	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	217/1419	4.86	4.71	4.35	4.48	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	160/1596	4.86	4.62	4.24	4.34	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	1	5	4.43	550/1535	4.43	4.26	4.15	4.26	4.43
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	0	0	6	4.43	516/1510	4.43	4.31	4.13	4.29	4.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.45	4.20	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	736/1642	4.86	4.68	4.68	4.67	4.86
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	206/1596	4.71	4.50	4.12	4.20	4.71
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.76	4.48	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.79	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.68	4.33	4.38	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.62	4.35	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.40	4.08	4.15	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.66	4.18	4.37	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.69	4.33	4.52	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.69	4.39	4.59	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	262/1019	4.50	4.49	4.09	4.32	4.50

#### Course-Section: EHS 476 01 Term - Fall 2013 **Enrollment: 8 Title: Intro Trauma Emergencies Questionnaires:** 8 **Instructor:** Williams Jr.,Ga **Frequencies** Ora UMBC Level Sect Instructor Course Questions NR NA 2 3 Mean Mean Mean 1 4 5 Mean Rank Mean Laboratory 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material \*\*\*\*/185 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 5.00 5.00 4.23 4.60 7 0 0 0 0 0 1 \*\*\*\*/209 5.00 \*\*\*\* 4.75 4.19 \*\*\*\* 2. Were you provided with adequate background information 7 0 0 0 0 0 1 4.27 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities 7 1 \*\*\*\*/181 \*\*\*\* 4.31 \*\*\*\* 0 0 0 0 0 5.00 5.00 4.53 7 5.00 \*\*\*\*/183 \*\*\*\* 5.00 \*\*\*\* 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance 0 0 4.46 0 0 0 1 4.63 \*\*\*\*/172 \*\*\*\* 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified 7 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 \*\*\*\* 5.00 4.14 4.02

### **Frequency Distribution**

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	2	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				Ι	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: EHS 481 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	lment:	8
Title: Als Field & Clin Exp I											Q	uestion	naires:	8
Instructor: Williams Jr.,Ga														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.61	4.32	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.67	4.28	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.71	4.35	4.48	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.62	4.24	4.34	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	130/1535	4.88	4.26	4.15	4.26	4.88
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	119/1510	4.86	4.31	4.13	4.29	4.86
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1620	5.00	4.45	4.20	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	914/1642	4.75	4.68	4.68	4.67	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.50	4.12	4.20	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.76	4.48	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.79	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.68	4.33	4.38	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.62	4.35	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.40	4.08	4.15	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.66	4.18	4.37	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.69	4.33	4.52	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.69	4.39	4.59	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.49	4.09	4.32	5.00

#### Report Help

Course-Section:	EHS 481 01			Term	- Fal	2013	3						Enro	llment:	8
Title:	Als Field & Clin Exp I											Q	uestion	naires:	8
Instructor:	Williams Jr.,Ga														
					Fre	queno	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
1. Did the lab increase u	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/185	5.00	5.00	4.23	4.60	5.00	
2. Were you provided wi	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/209	5.00	4.75	4.19	4.27	5.00	
3. Were necessary mater	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/181	5.00	5.00	4.53	4.31	5.00	
4. Did the lab instructor	provide assistance	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/183	5.00	5.00	4.46	4.63	5.00
5. Were requirements fo	r lab reports clearly specified	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/172	5.00	5.00	4.14	4.02	5.00
	Field Work														
1. Did field experience co	ontribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/48	5.00	5.00	4.16	4.39	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria			0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/45	5.00	4.92	4.19	4.23	5.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation			0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/30	5.00	5.00	4.57	4.82	5.00
4. To what degree could	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	11/27	4.75	4.71	4.25	4.42	4.75	
5. Did conferences help	0	1	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/25	5.00	4.83	4.35	4.36	5.00	

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	1	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	7	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4							
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	1	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not ei	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	1							

Course-Section:	EHS 483 01		Term - Fall 2013								3				
Title:	Als Field & Clin Exp III											Q	uestion	naires:	3
Instructor:	Williams Jr.,Ga														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insig	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.61	4.32	4.47	5.00	
2. Did the instructor mal	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	428/1644	4.67	4.67	4.28	4.35	4.67	
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	356/1596	4.67	4.62	4.24	4.34	4.67
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1510	5.00	4.31	4.13	4.29	5.00
7. Was the grading syste	em clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1134/1620	4.00	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.00
8. How many times was	class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.68	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	246/1596	4.67	4.50	4.12	4.20	4.67
	Field Work														
1. Did field experience co	1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned			0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/48	5.00	5.00	4.16	4.39	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria			0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	19/45	4.67	4.92	4.19	4.23	4.67
3. Was the instructor ava	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/30	5.00	5.00	4.57	4.82	5.00	
4. To what degree could	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	12/27	4.67	4.71	4.25	4.42	4.67	

Report Help

Course-Section:	EHS 483 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	3						Enro	llment:	3
Title:	Als Field & Clin Exp III							-				Q	uestion	naires:	3
Instructor:	Williams Jr.,Ga														
					Fre	quen	cies		Inst	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Field Work														
5. Did conferences help	you carry out field activities	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	14/25	4.67	4.83	4.35	4.36	4.67

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	0	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	1							

Course-Section: EHS 491 01			Term	- Fal	l 2013	3						Enro	llment:	3
Title: Sr Paramedic Seminar I											Q	uestion	naires:	3
Instructor: Williams Jr.,Ga														
				Fre	quene	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.61	4.32	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	428/1644	4.67	4.67	4.28	4.35	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.62	4.24	4.34	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	921/1510	4.00	4.31	4.13	4.29	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	779/1620	4.33	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1528/1642	4.00	4.68	4.68	4.67	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	246/1596	4.67	4.50	4.12	4.20	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.76	4.48	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.79	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.68	4.33	4.38	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.62	4.35	4.41	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1409	5.00	4.40	4.08	4.15	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.66	4.18	4.37	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.69	4.33	4.52	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.69	4.39	4.59	5.00

Report Help

Course-Section:	EHS 491 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	3
Title:	Sr Paramedic Seminar I											Q	uestion	naires:	3
Instructor:	Williams Jr.,Ga														
					Fre	quene	cies		Inst	ructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special technique	es successful	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.49	4.09	4.32	5.00

Credits E	Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades		Reasons		Туре		Majors				
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	0	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	1			to be significant			
				Ι	0	Other	0				
				?	1						