## **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: ENCE 610 01** 

**Title: Environmental Chemistry** 

**Instructor:** Blaney,Lee

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment:** 9

**Questionnaires: 7** 

			Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	414/1644	4.71	4.71	4.32	4.42	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	360/1644	4.71	4.71	4.28	4.32	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	394/1419	4.71	4.71	4.35	4.45	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	687/1596	4.43	4.43	4.24	4.32	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	845/1535	4.14	4.14	4.15	4.25	4.14
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	822/1510	4.17	4.17	4.13	4.24	4.17
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	274/1620	4.71	4.71	4.20	4.29	4.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1642	5.00	5.00	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	382/1596	4.50	4.50	4.12	4.20	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1534	5.00	5.00	4.48	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1539	5.00	5.00	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	612/1531	4.57	4.57	4.33	4.34	4.57
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	569/1530	4.67	4.67	4.35	4.38	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	245/1409	4.67	4.67	4.08	4.04	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	721/1366	4.25	4.25	4.18	4.26	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1364	5.00	5.00	4.33	4.46	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	441/1361	4.75	4.75	4.39	4.49	4.75

## **Report Help**

## **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: ENCE 610 01

**Title: Environmental Chemistry** 

**Instructor:** Blaney,Lee

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 9

**Questionnaires: 7** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	559/1019	4.00	4.00	4.09	4.12	4.00

## **Frequency Distribution**

<b>Credits Earned</b>		Cum. GPA		<b>Expected Grades</b>		Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	4	Major	4	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	0							