

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 100 01
Title:	Survey US Hlth Care Sys
Instructor:	Pinet Peralta,L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	49
Questionnaires:	31

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	2	2	2	8	17	4.16	1073/1644	4.24	4.29	4.32	4.16	4.16
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	3	3	11	13	4.03	1192/1644	4.23	4.31	4.28	4.23	4.03
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	3	6	8	12	3.81	1197/1419	3.67	4.33	4.35	4.25	3.81
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	2	2	10	6	10	3.67	1361/1596	3.53	4.20	4.24	4.09	3.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	0	4	4	18	4.41	578/1535	4.45	4.30	4.15	4.02	4.41
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	2	1	3	5	9	8	3.77	1132/1510	3.50	4.12	4.13	3.91	3.77
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	3	1	3	7	14	4.00	1134/1620	4.17	4.29	4.20	4.13	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	1	0	0	7	20	4.61	1113/1642	4.64	4.57	4.68	4.68	4.61
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	0	0	4	3	8	7	3.82	1196/1596	3.97	3.99	4.12	4.07	3.82
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	0	5	3	20	4.41	1016/1534	4.53	4.35	4.48	4.45	4.41
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	4	26	4.87	723/1539	4.82	4.75	4.76	4.72	4.87
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	2	4	8	14	4.10	1119/1531	4.29	4.31	4.33	4.30	4.10
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	1	1	3	3	6	16	4.14	1092/1530	4.27	4.40	4.35	4.30	4.14
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	2	2	0	5	7	13	4.07	783/1409	4.16	4.35	4.08	3.97	4.07
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	1	3	3	3	13	4.04	850/1366	3.94	4.24	4.18	3.96	4.04
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	2	2	4	1	14	4.00	1014/1364	4.17	4.40	4.33	4.10	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	3	3	18	4.63	595/1361	4.73	4.60	4.39	4.17	4.63
4. Were special techniques successful	8	7	0	5	3	5	3	3.38	898/1019	3.94	4.13	4.09	3.97	3.38

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 100 01
Title:	Survey US Hlth Care Sys
Instructor:	Pinet Peralta,L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	49
Questionnaires:	31

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	28	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.19	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	28	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/209	****	****	4.19	4.18	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	28	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	****	4.53	4.68	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	29	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	****	4.46	4.50	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	28	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	****	4.14	4.22	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	28	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/72	****	****	4.53	4.35	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	28	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/71	****	****	4.38	4.21	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/68	****	****	4.41	4.22	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/71	****	****	4.40	4.19	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	28	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/73	****	****	4.09	3.85	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/48	****	****	4.16	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	28	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/45	****	****	4.19	3.97	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	28	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.58	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	28	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.37	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	28	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.63	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/51	****	****	4.03	4.19	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	28	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	4.46	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	28	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	4.38	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 100 01
Title:	Survey US Hlth Care Sys
Instructor:	Pinet Peralta,L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	49
Questionnaires:	31

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	28	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.29	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	28	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	4.35	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	1 A 10	Required for Majors	20 Graduate 0 Major 22
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	1 B 13		
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	2 C 2	General	2 Under-grad 31 Non-major 9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2 D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2 F 0	Electives	1 **** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	2
			? 6		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 100 02
Title:	Survey US Hlth Care Sys
Instructor:	Damasiewicz,Mer

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	48
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	5	3	11	4.32	911/1644	4.24	4.29	4.32	4.16	4.32
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	5	11	4.42	768/1644	4.23	4.31	4.28	4.23	4.42
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	1	7	3	6	3.53	1296/1419	3.67	4.33	4.35	4.25	3.53
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	3	0	7	3	5	3.39	1475/1596	3.53	4.20	4.24	4.09	3.39
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	3	12	4.50	442/1535	4.45	4.30	4.15	4.02	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	3	4	2	4	5	3.22	1409/1510	3.50	4.12	4.13	3.91	3.22
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	1	1	3	12	4.33	779/1620	4.17	4.29	4.20	4.13	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	6	12	4.67	1038/1642	4.64	4.57	4.68	4.68	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	4	6	6	4.13	877/1596	3.97	3.99	4.12	4.07	4.13
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	707/1534	4.53	4.35	4.48	4.45	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	970/1539	4.82	4.75	4.76	4.72	4.76
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	3	3	11	4.47	762/1531	4.29	4.31	4.33	4.30	4.47
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	0	6	10	4.41	869/1530	4.27	4.40	4.35	4.30	4.41
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	1	0	1	6	8	4.25	627/1409	4.16	4.35	4.08	3.97	4.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	1	1	2	3	5	3.83	992/1366	3.94	4.24	4.18	3.96	3.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	2	4	6	4.33	817/1364	4.17	4.40	4.33	4.10	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	340/1361	4.73	4.60	4.39	4.17	4.83

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 100 02
Title:	Survey US Hlth Care Sys
Instructor:	Damasiewicz,Mer

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	48
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	7	6	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	262/1019	3.94	4.13	4.09	3.97	4.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	A	11	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	B	5						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	3	C	1	General	2	Under-grad	19	Non-major	7
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 200 01
Title:	Hmn Dev Impl Hlth/Diseas
Instructor:	Canham,Rhonda L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	33
Questionnaires:	26

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	1	1	2	10	11	4.16	1073/1644	4.16	4.29	4.32	4.36	4.16
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	4	4	17	4.52	608/1644	4.52	4.31	4.28	4.35	4.52
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	8	14	4.44	717/1419	4.44	4.33	4.35	4.42	4.44
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	4	0	2	2	7	10	4.19	974/1596	4.19	4.20	4.24	4.31	4.19
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	7	4	14	4.19	791/1535	4.19	4.30	4.15	4.20	4.19
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	10	1	1	3	1	10	4.13	857/1510	4.13	4.12	4.13	4.17	4.13
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	3	3	18	4.42	653/1620	4.42	4.29	4.20	4.25	4.42
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	1	0	2	23	4.81	840/1642	4.81	4.57	4.68	4.67	4.81
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	1	0	0	2	10	4	4.13	877/1596	4.13	3.99	4.12	4.13	4.13
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	2	0	22	4.83	381/1534	4.83	4.35	4.48	4.51	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	0	23	4.92	548/1539	4.92	4.75	4.76	4.80	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	3	2	19	4.67	478/1531	4.67	4.31	4.33	4.38	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	1	1	1	20	4.74	470/1530	4.74	4.40	4.35	4.41	4.74
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	2	2	1	16	4.48	412/1409	4.48	4.35	4.08	4.23	4.48
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	1	1	3	4	11	4.15	795/1366	4.15	4.24	4.18	4.24	4.15
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	1	1	7	1	10	3.90	1088/1364	3.90	4.40	4.33	4.39	3.90
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	2	0	5	4	9	3.90	1094/1361	3.90	4.60	4.39	4.48	3.90
4. Were special techniques successful	6	12	0	1	2	1	4	4.00	559/1019	4.00	4.13	4.09	4.14	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 200 01
Title:	Hmn Dev Impl Hlth/Diseas
Instructor:	Canham,Rhonda L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	33
Questionnaires:	26

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	25	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.42	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/209	****	****	4.19	4.45	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/181	****	****	4.53	4.67	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/183	****	****	4.46	4.64	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	25	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/172	****	****	4.14	4.50	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/72	****	****	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/71	****	****	4.38	4.63	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/68	****	****	4.41	4.25	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/71	****	****	4.40	4.47	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	25	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/73	****	****	4.09	3.99	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.81	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.58	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	25	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	25	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	25	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	25	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	****	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 200 01
Title:	Hmn Dev Impl Hlth/Diseas
Instructor:	Canham,Rhonda L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	33
Questionnaires:	26

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	25	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	****	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	25	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	****	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	12	Required for Majors	21	Graduate	0	Major	20
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	1	B	8						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	C	2	General	0	Under-grad	26	Non-major	6
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	3						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 354 01
Title:	Soc Bases:Publ/Comm Hlth
Instructor:	Kalfoglou,Andre

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	45
Questionnaires:	27

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	2	12	12	4.38	835/1644	4.42	4.29	4.32	4.31	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	14	11	4.38	829/1644	4.44	4.31	4.28	4.25	4.38
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	3	0	0	0	14	9	4.39	787/1419	4.42	4.33	4.35	4.31	4.39
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	11	12	4.30	869/1596	4.46	4.20	4.24	4.25	4.30
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	4	8	14	4.38	601/1535	4.41	4.30	4.15	4.14	4.38
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	4	8	13	4.23	751/1510	4.27	4.12	4.13	4.16	4.23
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	2	4	10	9	3.92	1219/1620	4.13	4.29	4.20	4.18	3.92
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	4	10	12	4.31	1369/1642	4.27	4.57	4.68	4.65	4.31
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	5	10	8	4.13	863/1596	4.19	3.99	4.12	4.09	4.13
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	2	10	12	4.42	1016/1534	4.59	4.35	4.48	4.44	4.42
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	1	4	18	4.74	1028/1539	4.85	4.75	4.76	4.74	4.74
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	4	8	12	4.33	916/1531	4.50	4.31	4.33	4.30	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	1	0	0	5	7	11	4.26	996/1530	4.46	4.40	4.35	4.32	4.26
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	0	0	0	4	4	13	4.43	465/1409	4.61	4.35	4.08	4.09	4.43
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	19	0	1	1	0	2	4	3.88	963/1366	4.31	4.24	4.18	4.22	3.88
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	19	0	1	1	0	3	3	3.75	1142/1364	4.22	4.40	4.33	4.37	3.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	19	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	929/1361	4.50	4.60	4.39	4.39	4.25
4. Were special techniques successful	19	3	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	****/1019	4.42	4.13	4.09	4.04	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 354 01
Title:	Soc Bases:Publ/Comm Hlth
Instructor:	Kalfoglou,Andre

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	45
Questionnaires:	27

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.90	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 18	Required for Majors 18	Graduate 0 Major 23
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 7		
56-83	4	2.00-2.99 3	C 0	General 1	Under-grad 27 Non-major 4
84-150	6	3.00-3.49 7	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 3	F 0	Electives 2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 2		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 354 02
Title:	Soc Bases:Publ/Comm Hlth
Instructor:	Stanley,Andre G

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	40
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	0	0	8	13	4.45	751/1644	4.42	4.29	4.32	4.31	4.45
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	5	14	4.50	633/1644	4.44	4.31	4.28	4.25	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	6	13	4.45	703/1419	4.42	4.33	4.35	4.31	4.45
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	6	14	4.62	423/1596	4.46	4.20	4.24	4.25	4.62
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	9	11	4.43	550/1535	4.41	4.30	4.15	4.14	4.43
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	8	11	4.32	655/1510	4.27	4.12	4.13	4.16	4.32
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	5	4	12	4.33	779/1620	4.13	4.29	4.20	4.18	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	16	5	4.24	1413/1642	4.27	4.57	4.68	4.65	4.24
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	1	10	5	4.25	705/1596	4.19	3.99	4.12	4.09	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	5	16	4.76	507/1534	4.59	4.35	4.48	4.44	4.76
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	20	4.95	305/1539	4.85	4.75	4.76	4.74	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	5	15	4.67	478/1531	4.50	4.31	4.33	4.30	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	4	16	4.67	569/1530	4.46	4.40	4.35	4.32	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	4	16	4.80	151/1409	4.61	4.35	4.08	4.09	4.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	284/1366	4.31	4.24	4.18	4.22	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	477/1364	4.22	4.40	4.33	4.37	4.69
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	441/1361	4.50	4.60	4.39	4.39	4.75

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 354 02
Title:	Soc Bases:Publ/Comm Hlth
Instructor:	Stanley,Andre G

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	40
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	4	0	0	2	3	7	4.42	331/1019	4.42	4.13	4.09	4.04	4.42

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 13	Required for Majors 16	Graduate 0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99 0	B 8		Major 15
56-83	3	2.00-2.99 3	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 22
84-150	3	3.00-3.49 4	D 0		Non-major 7
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives 5	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 380 01
Title:	Global Issues In Health
Instructor:	Jeffrey, Jeanett

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	36
Questionnaires:	26

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	1	5	9	10	4.00	1218/1644	4.00	4.29	4.32	4.31	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	2	1	5	7	10	3.88	1316/1644	3.88	4.31	4.28	4.25	3.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	2	3	4	6	10	3.76	1209/1419	3.76	4.33	4.35	4.31	3.76
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	1	3	1	6	12	4.09	1086/1596	4.09	4.20	4.24	4.25	4.09
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	1	2	2	5	14	4.21	781/1535	4.21	4.30	4.15	4.14	4.21
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	3	1	4	7	8	3.70	1166/1510	3.70	4.12	4.13	4.16	3.70
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	1	1	6	8	8	3.88	1260/1620	3.88	4.29	4.20	4.18	3.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	4	20	4.83	777/1642	4.83	4.57	4.68	4.65	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	1	1	0	5	10	3	3.74	1255/1596	3.74	3.99	4.12	4.09	3.74
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	3	3	5	6	6	3.39	1480/1534	3.39	4.35	4.48	4.44	3.39
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	2	0	0	1	22	4.64	1162/1539	4.64	4.75	4.76	4.74	4.64
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	3	3	7	10	3.92	1238/1531	3.92	4.31	4.33	4.30	3.92
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	1	1	2	6	5	10	3.88	1248/1530	3.88	4.40	4.35	4.32	3.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	2	1	1	5	5	10	4.00	825/1409	4.00	4.35	4.08	4.09	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	1	0	4	0	10	4.20	757/1366	4.20	4.24	4.18	4.22	4.20
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	1	0	1	2	11	4.47	691/1364	4.47	4.40	4.33	4.37	4.47
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	1	0	2	0	12	4.47	749/1361	4.47	4.60	4.39	4.39	4.47

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 380 01
Title:	Global Issues In Health
Instructor:	Jeffrey, Jeanett

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	36
Questionnaires:	26

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	11	4	1	1	3	0	6	3.82	719/1019	3.82	4.13	4.09	4.04	3.82

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 14	Required for Majors 17	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 5		Major 14
56-83	3	2.00-2.99 2	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 26
84-150	6	3.00-3.49 9	D 0		Non-major 12
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 6		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 398 01
Title:	Topics in HAPP
Instructor:	Kalfoglou,Andre

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	19
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	1	0	1	3	3	3.88	1334/1644	3.88	4.29	4.32	4.31	3.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	1	1	3	2	3.50	1501/1644	3.50	4.31	4.28	4.25	3.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	5	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	462/1419	4.67	4.33	4.35	4.31	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	2	3	4.00	1129/1596	4.00	4.20	4.24	4.25	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	1	1	4	4.00	970/1535	4.00	4.30	4.15	4.14	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	516/1510	4.43	4.12	4.13	4.16	4.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	849/1620	4.29	4.29	4.20	4.18	4.29
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	1038/1642	4.67	4.57	4.68	4.65	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	3	3	0	3.50	1388/1596	3.50	3.99	4.12	4.09	3.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	1	2	2	2	3.38	1482/1534	3.38	4.35	4.48	4.44	3.38
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	990/1539	4.75	4.75	4.76	4.74	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	0	2	3	2	3.63	1360/1531	3.63	4.31	4.33	4.30	3.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	1	4	4.13	1099/1530	4.13	4.40	4.35	4.32	4.13
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	381/1409	4.50	4.35	4.08	4.09	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	0	0	2	3	4.00	862/1366	4.00	4.24	4.18	4.22	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	500/1364	4.67	4.40	4.33	4.37	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.60	4.39	4.39	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 398 01
Title:	Topics in HAPP
Instructor:	Kalfoglou,Andre

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	19
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	3	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	381/1019	4.33	4.13	4.09	4.04	4.33

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	4	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	7
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 402 01
Title:	Envrnmntl Hlth Pol & Prac
Instructor:	Keenan Jr,Paul

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	40
Questionnaires:	27

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	1	0	0	3	22	4.73	386/1644	4.73	4.29	4.32	4.47	4.73
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	2	24	4.78	273/1644	4.78	4.31	4.28	4.35	4.78
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	1	24	4.78	308/1419	4.78	4.33	4.35	4.48	4.78
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	2	1	3	19	4.56	489/1596	4.56	4.20	4.24	4.34	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	0	1	4	18	4.74	239/1535	4.74	4.30	4.15	4.26	4.74
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	4	0	0	0	3	19	4.86	113/1510	4.86	4.12	4.13	4.29	4.86
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	1	1	23	4.88	102/1620	4.88	4.29	4.20	4.25	4.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	3	22	4.88	673/1642	4.88	4.57	4.68	4.67	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	2	2	0	0	1	15	4.50	382/1596	4.50	3.99	4.12	4.20	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	4	22	4.85	362/1534	4.85	4.35	4.48	4.54	4.85
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	3	23	4.88	666/1539	4.88	4.75	4.76	4.81	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	2	23	4.85	229/1531	4.85	4.31	4.33	4.38	4.85
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	3	22	4.88	232/1530	4.88	4.40	4.35	4.41	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	7	0	0	1	1	15	4.82	140/1409	4.82	4.35	4.08	4.15	4.82
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	3	14	4.82	218/1366	4.82	4.24	4.18	4.37	4.82
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	1	2	15	4.78	375/1364	4.78	4.40	4.33	4.52	4.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	429/1361	4.76	4.60	4.39	4.59	4.76

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 402 01
Title:	Envrnmntl Hlth Pol & Prac
Instructor:	Keenan Jr,Paul

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	40
Questionnaires:	27

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	9	7	1	0	1	2	7	4.27	418/1019	4.27	4.13	4.09	4.32	4.27

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	15	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	21
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	3	C	1	General	0	Under-grad	27	Non-major	6
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	8						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 411 01
Title:	Health Regul & Qual Impr
Instructor:	Woodward,Jenine

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	23
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	1	8	4.45	751/1644	4.10	4.29	4.32	4.47	4.45
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	856/1644	3.94	4.31	4.28	4.35	4.36
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	3	5	4.09	1057/1419	3.99	4.33	4.35	4.48	4.09
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	3	5	4.18	985/1596	3.92	4.20	4.24	4.34	4.18
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	658/1535	3.84	4.30	4.15	4.26	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	4	3	4	4.00	921/1510	3.76	4.12	4.13	4.29	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	4	4	4.00	1134/1620	3.97	4.29	4.20	4.25	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	1075/1642	3.91	4.57	4.68	4.67	4.64
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	3	4	0	3.57	1353/1596	3.33	3.99	4.12	4.20	3.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	1	3	6	4.27	1140/1534	3.89	4.35	4.48	4.54	4.27
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	1264/1539	4.37	4.75	4.76	4.81	4.55
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	1	7	2	3.91	1247/1531	3.61	4.31	4.33	4.38	3.91
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	3	5	4.18	1057/1530	4.02	4.40	4.35	4.41	4.18
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	4	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	321/1409	4.22	4.35	4.08	4.15	4.57
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	1	1	3	0	3.40	1183/1366	3.60	4.24	4.18	4.37	3.40
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	910/1364	4.00	4.40	4.33	4.52	4.20
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	619/1361	4.30	4.60	4.39	4.59	4.60

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 411 01
Title:	Health Regul & Qual Impr
Instructor:	Woodward,Jenine

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	23
Questionnaires:	11

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	2	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	961/1019	3.30	4.13	4.09	4.32	3.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	1	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	2	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	7
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 411 02
Title:	Health Regul & Qual Impr
Instructor:	Herrera,Angelic

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	27
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	2	1	3	7	6	3.74	1423/1644	4.10	4.29	4.32	4.47	3.74
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	2	3	3	5	6	3.53	1493/1644	3.94	4.31	4.28	4.35	3.53
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	2	1	4	1	10	3.89	1169/1419	3.99	4.33	4.35	4.48	3.89
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	3	1	4	1	9	3.67	1361/1596	3.92	4.20	4.24	4.34	3.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	4	0	6	0	7	3.35	1390/1535	3.84	4.30	4.15	4.26	3.35
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	2	3	5	5	3.53	1251/1510	3.76	4.12	4.13	4.29	3.53
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	1	3	3	10	3.95	1198/1620	3.97	4.29	4.20	4.25	3.95
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	5	2	2	1	7	3.18	1635/1642	3.91	4.57	4.68	4.67	3.18
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	1	1	7	2	1	3.08	1516/1596	3.33	3.99	4.12	4.20	3.08
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	2	1	5	3	5	3.50	1463/1534	3.89	4.35	4.48	4.54	3.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	1	1	2	2	10	4.19	1457/1539	4.37	4.75	4.76	4.81	4.19
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	3	1	4	4	4	3.31	1427/1531	3.61	4.31	4.33	4.38	3.31
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	1	1	2	2	2	7	3.86	1257/1530	4.02	4.40	4.35	4.41	3.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	2	1	2	2	8	3.87	950/1409	4.22	4.35	4.08	4.15	3.87
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	1	0	1	0	3	3.80	1014/1366	3.60	4.24	4.18	4.37	3.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	1	0	1	0	3	3.80	1123/1364	4.00	4.40	4.33	4.52	3.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	1	0	0	1	3	4.00	1034/1361	4.30	4.60	4.39	4.59	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 411 02
Title:	Health Regul & Qual Impr
Instructor:	Herrera,Angelic

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	27
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	14	0	1	0	1	1	2	3.60	806/1019	3.30	4.13	4.09	4.32	3.60

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	9	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	15
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	6						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	19	Non-major	4
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 412 01
Title:	Res Methods In Health
Instructor:	Trevitt, Jamie L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	42
Questionnaires:	30

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	5	7	17	4.33	889/1644	4.33	4.29	4.32	4.47	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	4	24	4.70	375/1644	4.70	4.31	4.28	4.35	4.70
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	4	22	4.66	476/1419	4.66	4.33	4.35	4.48	4.66
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	5	22	4.60	437/1596	4.60	4.20	4.24	4.34	4.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	2	6	19	4.41	564/1535	4.41	4.30	4.15	4.26	4.41
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	5	7	18	4.43	505/1510	4.43	4.12	4.13	4.29	4.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	4	4	20	4.57	436/1620	4.57	4.29	4.20	4.25	4.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	1	19	8	4.25	1400/1642	4.25	4.57	4.68	4.67	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	0	0	2	2	10	5	3.95	1071/1596	3.95	3.99	4.12	4.20	3.95
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	1	3	4	20	4.54	855/1534	4.54	4.35	4.48	4.54	4.54
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	4	4	20	4.57	1238/1539	4.57	4.75	4.76	4.81	4.57
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	2	6	20	4.55	644/1531	4.55	4.31	4.33	4.38	4.55
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	4	5	16	4.38	898/1530	4.38	4.40	4.35	4.41	4.38
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	4	0	1	3	0	18	4.59	304/1409	4.59	4.35	4.08	4.15	4.59
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	2	1	1	12	4.44	570/1366	4.44	4.24	4.18	4.37	4.44
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	1	0	1	3	11	4.44	723/1364	4.44	4.40	4.33	4.52	4.44
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	1	0	1	1	13	4.56	652/1361	4.56	4.60	4.39	4.59	4.56
4. Were special techniques successful	14	4	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	186/1019	4.67	4.13	4.09	4.32	4.67

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 412 01
Title:	Res Methods In Health
Instructor:	Trevitt, Jamie L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	42
Questionnaires:	30

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	28	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.60	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	28	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/209	****	****	4.19	4.27	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	29	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/181	****	****	4.53	4.31	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	28	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/183	****	****	4.46	4.63	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	28	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/172	****	****	4.14	4.02	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	28	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/72	****	****	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	28	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/71	****	****	4.38	4.66	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/68	****	****	4.41	4.74	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/71	****	****	4.40	4.50	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	28	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/73	****	****	4.09	4.32	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.39	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	28	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.23	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	28	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.82	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	28	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.42	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	28	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.36	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	3.67	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	29	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	3.94	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	29	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	3.80	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 412 01
Title:	Res Methods In Health
Instructor:	Trevitt, Jamie L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	42
Questionnaires:	30

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	29	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.75	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	29	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	19	Required for Majors	22	Graduate	0	Major	25
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	4						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	30	Non-major	5
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	10	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	7						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 452 01
Title:	Health Care Org/Del
Instructor:	Stuart,Mary E

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	43
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	5	3	13	4.38	835/1644	4.38	4.29	4.32	4.47	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	5	13	4.48	683/1644	4.48	4.31	4.28	4.35	4.48
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	5	14	4.57	569/1419	4.57	4.33	4.35	4.48	4.57
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	1	6	3	10	4.10	1076/1596	4.10	4.20	4.24	4.34	4.10
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	3	3	13	4.24	754/1535	4.24	4.30	4.15	4.26	4.24
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	2	5	3	10	3.90	1032/1510	3.90	4.12	4.13	4.29	3.90
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	0	4	4	12	4.24	923/1620	4.24	4.29	4.20	4.25	4.24
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	19	4.95	316/1642	4.95	4.57	4.68	4.67	4.95
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	0	0	0	2	4	6	4.33	603/1596	4.33	3.99	4.12	4.20	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	611/1534	4.71	4.35	4.48	4.54	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.75	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	421/1531	4.71	4.31	4.33	4.38	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	569/1530	4.67	4.40	4.35	4.41	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	1	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	163/1409	4.79	4.35	4.08	4.15	4.79
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	284/1366	4.75	4.24	4.18	4.37	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	253/1364	4.88	4.40	4.33	4.52	4.88
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.60	4.39	4.59	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 452 01
Title:	Health Care Org/Del
Instructor:	Stuart,Mary E

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	43
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	14	1	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	161/1019	4.71	4.13	4.09	4.32	4.71

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	11	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	3						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	22	Non-major	8
84-150	10	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	7						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 496 01
Title:	Internship Seminar
Instructor:	Pinet Peralta,L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	47
Questionnaires:	37

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	3	2	3	9	20	4.11	1142/1644	4.11	4.29	4.32	4.47	4.11
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	1	7	6	21	4.25	988/1644	4.25	4.31	4.28	4.35	4.25
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	1	0	0	7	21	4.62	516/1419	4.62	4.33	4.35	4.48	4.62
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	1	1	1	6	23	4.53	528/1596	4.53	4.20	4.24	4.34	4.53
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	2	0	3	8	21	4.35	635/1535	4.35	4.30	4.15	4.26	4.35
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	1	1	3	8	21	4.38	564/1510	4.38	4.12	4.13	4.29	4.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	1	1	4	8	22	4.36	739/1620	4.36	4.29	4.20	4.25	4.36
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	2	0	0	0	0	35	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.57	4.68	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	16	0	0	1	5	10	5	3.90	1139/1596	3.90	3.99	4.12	4.20	3.90
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	2	7	1	24	4.29	1132/1534	4.29	4.35	4.48	4.54	4.29
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	1	0	2	2	30	4.71	1066/1539	4.71	4.75	4.76	4.81	4.71
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	1	6	5	22	4.31	934/1531	4.31	4.31	4.33	4.38	4.31
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	1	1	1	4	2	26	4.50	755/1530	4.50	4.40	4.35	4.41	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	3	2	2	6	1	18	4.07	789/1409	4.07	4.35	4.08	4.15	4.07
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	2	0	3	2	20	4.41	604/1366	4.41	4.24	4.18	4.37	4.41
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	3	2	22	4.70	454/1364	4.70	4.40	4.33	4.52	4.70
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	1	0	2	2	22	4.63	595/1361	4.63	4.60	4.39	4.59	4.63
4. Were special techniques successful	10	7	1	0	2	4	13	4.40	339/1019	4.40	4.13	4.09	4.32	4.40

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 496 01
Title:	Internship Seminar
Instructor:	Pinet Peralta,L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	47
Questionnaires:	37

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	35	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.60	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/209	****	****	4.19	4.27	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	****	4.53	4.31	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/183	****	****	4.46	4.63	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/172	****	****	4.14	4.02	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	28	1	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	****/72	****	****	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	28	3	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	****/71	****	****	4.38	4.66	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	29	4	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/68	****	****	4.41	4.74	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	28	1	0	1	1	0	6	4.38	****/71	****	****	4.40	4.50	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	28	1	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	****/73	****	****	4.09	4.32	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	34	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.39	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	34	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.23	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	34	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.82	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	34	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.42	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	34	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.36	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	35	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	3.67	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	35	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	3.94	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	3.80	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 496 01
Title:	Internship Seminar
Instructor:	Pinet Peralta,L

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	47
Questionnaires:	37

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.75	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	24	Required for Majors	27	Graduate	0	Major	33
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	7						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	37	Non-major	4
84-150	13	3.00-3.49	11	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	6						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 497 01
Title:	Hlth Plng & Admin
Instructor:	Coakley,Paul E

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	34
Questionnaires:	30

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	27	4.90	175/1644	4.90	4.29	4.32	4.47	4.90
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	29	4.97	57/1644	4.97	4.31	4.28	4.35	4.97
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	29	4.97	68/1419	4.97	4.33	4.35	4.48	4.97
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	28	4.90	113/1596	4.90	4.20	4.24	4.34	4.90
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	2	2	25	4.67	300/1535	4.67	4.30	4.15	4.26	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	1	1	27	4.77	187/1510	4.77	4.12	4.13	4.29	4.77
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	28	4.93	61/1620	4.93	4.29	4.20	4.25	4.93
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	29	4.97	253/1642	4.97	4.57	4.68	4.67	4.97
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	1	0	0	1	22	4.79	150/1596	4.79	3.99	4.12	4.20	4.79
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	1	28	4.90	248/1534	4.90	4.35	4.48	4.54	4.90
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	29	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.75	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	27	4.90	162/1531	4.90	4.31	4.33	4.38	4.90
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	28	4.97	81/1530	4.97	4.40	4.35	4.41	4.97
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	18	3	0	0	2	6	3.73	1050/1409	3.73	4.35	4.08	4.15	3.73
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	1	21	4.87	181/1366	4.87	4.24	4.18	4.37	4.87
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	23	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.40	4.33	4.52	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	1	22	4.96	124/1361	4.96	4.60	4.39	4.59	4.96
4. Were special techniques successful	7	6	0	1	1	3	12	4.53	252/1019	4.53	4.13	4.09	4.32	4.53

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 497 01
Title:	Hlth Plng & Admin
Instructor:	Coakley,Paul E

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	34
Questionnaires:	30

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	26	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.60	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	28	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/209	****	****	4.19	4.27	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	26	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/72	****	****	4.53	4.71	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	28	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/71	****	****	4.38	4.66	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	****	4.41	4.74	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	****	4.40	4.50	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	****	4.09	4.32	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/48	****	****	4.16	4.39	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	****	4.19	4.23	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	28	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.57	4.82	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	****	4.25	4.42	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.35	4.36	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	****	4.03	3.67	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.18	3.94	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.33	3.80	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	28	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.17	4.75	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	HAPP 497 01
Title:	Hlth Plng & Admin
Instructor:	Coakley,Paul E

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	34
Questionnaires:	30

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	29	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	****	4.17	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	23	Required for Majors	28	Graduate	0	Major	27
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	6						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	5	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	30	Non-major	3
84-150	17	3.00-3.49	9	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						