Course-Section: KORE 101 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	25
Title: Elementary Korean I											Q	uestion	naires:	16
Instructor: Krippes,Yeon K.														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	122/1644	4.88	4.42	4.32	4.16	4.94
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	221/1644	4.79	4.38	4.28	4.23	4.81
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	337/1419	4.82	4.55	4.35	4.25	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	254/1596	4.78	4.40	4.24	4.09	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	385/1535	4.63	4.38	4.15	4.02	4.57
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	4	10	4.44	505/1510	4.54	4.34	4.13	3.91	4.44
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	4	10	4.50	527/1620	4.60	4.21	4.20	4.13	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	819/1642	4.82	4.74	4.68	4.68	4.81
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	382/1596	4.63	4.19	4.12	4.07	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	419/1534	4.88	4.52	4.48	4.45	4.81
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.81	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	6	8	4.47	775/1531	4.65	4.38	4.33	4.30	4.47
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	248/1530	4.88	4.49	4.35	4.30	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	1	6	7	4.43	465/1409	4.51	4.18	4.08	3.97	4.43
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	1	3	5	4	3.71	1074/1366	3.71	4.33	4.18	3.96	3.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	2	2	1	9	4.21	903/1364	4.39	4.56	4.33	4.10	4.21
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	2	5	7	4.36	859/1361	4.36	4.48	4.39	4.17	4.36
4. Were special techniques successful	2	5	0	0	1	5	3	4.22	449/1019	4.22	4.27	4.09	3.97	4.22

Course-Section: KORE 101 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	3						Enro	llment:	25
Title: Elementary Korean I											Q	uestion	naires:	16
Instructor: Krippes,Yeon K.														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	14	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/185	****	****	4.23	4.19	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	14	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/209	****	4.80	4.19	4.18	****
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	14	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.89	4.38	4.21	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	14	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/71	****	4.66	4.40	4.19	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	14	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	4.39	4.09	3.85	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	14	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	****/48	****	4.93	4.16	3.97	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	15	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/45	****	4.93	4.19	3.97	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	14	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.93	4.57	4.58	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	14	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	4.90	4.35	4.63	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/51	****	4.50	4.03	4.19	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	15	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	****	4.80	4.18	4.46	****

Report Help

Course-Section:	KORE 101 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	25
Title:	Elementary Korean I											Q	uestion	naires:	16
Instructor:	Krippes,Yeon K.														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tute	oring by proctors helpful	15	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	4.20	4.17	4.29	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	А	11	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	4	Under-grad	16	Non-major	14
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: KORE 101 02			Term	- Fal	<mark>  201</mark> 3	3						Enro	lment:	25
Title: Elementary Korean I											Q	uestion	naires:	18
Instructor: Krippes,Yeon K.														
				Fre	queno	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	1	15	4.82	265/1644	4.88	4.42	4.32	4.16	4.82
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	288/1644	4.79	4.38	4.28	4.23	4.76
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	189/1419	4.82	4.55	4.35	4.25	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	197/1596	4.78	4.40	4.24	4.09	4.81
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	4	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	270/1535	4.63	4.38	4.15	4.02	4.69
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	2	13	4.65	295/1510	4.54	4.34	4.13	3.91	4.65
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	287/1620	4.60	4.21	4.20	4.13	4.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	3	14	4.82	798/1642	4.82	4.74	4.68	4.68	4.82
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	171/1596	4.63	4.19	4.12	4.07	4.77
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	149/1534	4.88	4.52	4.48	4.45	4.94
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.81	4.76	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	1	15	4.82	252/1531	4.65	4.38	4.33	4.30	4.82
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	232/1530	4.88	4.49	4.35	4.30	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	2	3	12	4.59	313/1409	4.51	4.18	4.08	3.97	4.59
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	0	6	2	5	3.71	1074/1366	3.71	4.33	4.18	3.96	3.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	2	2	10	4.57	593/1364	4.39	4.56	4.33	4.10	4.57
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	3	3	8	4.36	859/1361	4.36	4.48	4.39	4.17	4.36

Report Help

Course-Section:	KORE 101 02			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	3						Enro	llment:	25
Title:	Elementary Korean I											Q	uestion	naires:	18
Instructor:	Krippes,Yeon K.														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	es successful	4	5	0	0	3	1	5	4.22	449/1019	4.22	4.27	4.09	3.97	4.22

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	1	А	14	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	3	С	0	General	9	Under-grad	18	Non-major	18
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: KORE 201 01			Term	- Fal	l 2013	3						Enro	llment:	27
Title: Intermediate Korean I											Q	uestion	naires:	20
Instructor: Krippes,Yeon K.														
				Fre	quene	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	5	14	4.65	496/1644	4.72	4.42	4.32	4.36	4.65
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	14	4.65	441/1644	4.80	4.38	4.28	4.35	4.65
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	16	4.80	265/1419	4.90	4.55	4.35	4.42	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	131/1596	4.83	4.40	4.24	4.31	4.89
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	4	14	4.78	211/1535	4.72	4.38	4.15	4.20	4.78
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	3	15	4.65	287/1510	4.63	4.34	4.13	4.17	4.65
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	3	3	14	4.55	462/1620	4.69	4.21	4.20	4.25	4.55
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	14	4.70	988/1642	4.77	4.74	4.68	4.67	4.70
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	1	6	8	4.47	435/1596	4.61	4.19	4.12	4.13	4.47
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	17	4.89	267/1534	4.89	4.52	4.48	4.51	4.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	19	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.81	4.76	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	229/1531	4.89	4.38	4.33	4.38	4.84
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	294/1530	4.87	4.49	4.35	4.41	4.84
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	2	3	14	4.63	270/1409	4.43	4.18	4.08	4.23	4.63
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	2	1	2	3	5	3.62	1120/1366	3.81	4.33	4.18	4.24	3.62
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	1	0	1	2	9	4.38	773/1364	4.37	4.56	4.33	4.39	4.38
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	1	0	1	4	6	4.17	976/1361	4.41	4.48	4.39	4.48	4.17

Report Help

Course-Section:	KORE 201 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	27
Title:	Intermediate Korean I											Q	uestion	naires:	20
Instructor:	Krippes, Yeon K.														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	les successful	7	1	1	1	1	3	6	4.00	559/1019	4.27	4.27	4.09	4.14	4.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	10	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	7	Under-grad	20	Non-major	20
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Course-Section: KORE 201 03			Term	- Fal	l 2013	3						Enro	lment:	20
Title: Intermediate Korean I											Q	uestion	naires:	19
Instructor: Krippes,Yeon K.														
				Fre	quene	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	16	4.79	316/1644	4.72	4.42	4.32	4.36	4.79
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	86/1644	4.80	4.38	4.28	4.35	4.95
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	5.00	1/1419	4.90	4.55	4.35	4.42	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	2	15	4.78	235/1596	4.83	4.40	4.24	4.31	4.78
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	2	1	12	4.67	300/1535	4.72	4.38	4.15	4.20	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	2	3	13	4.61	321/1510	4.63	4.34	4.13	4.17	4.61
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	3	15	4.83	139/1620	4.69	4.21	4.20	4.25	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	756/1642	4.77	4.74	4.68	4.67	4.84
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	1	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	178/1596	4.61	4.19	4.12	4.13	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	286/1534	4.89	4.52	4.48	4.51	4.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.81	4.76	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	97/1531	4.89	4.38	4.33	4.38	4.94
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	232/1530	4.87	4.49	4.35	4.41	4.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	1	4	3	10	4.22	655/1409	4.43	4.18	4.08	4.23	4.22
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	1	3	4	8	4.00	862/1366	3.81	4.33	4.18	4.24	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	3	5	9	4.35	800/1364	4.37	4.56	4.33	4.39	4.35
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	2	2	13	4.65	572/1361	4.41	4.48	4.39	4.48	4.65

Report Help

Course-Section:	KORE 201 03			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	20
Title:	Intermediate Korean I											Q	uestion	naires:	19
Instructor:	Krippes, Yeon K.														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	les successful	2	2	0	0	2	3	10	4.53	248/1019	4.27	4.27	4.09	4.14	4.53

Credits Earned		Cum. GP/	Cum. GPA		Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	7	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	4	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6							
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	4	Under-grad	19	Non-major	15	
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	6							

Course-Section: KORE 301 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> 3	3						Enro	lment:	19
Title: Advanced Korean I											Q	uestion	naires:	16
Instructor: Yoon,Kyung-Eun														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	3	11	4.50	688/1644	4.50	4.42	4.32	4.31	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	302/1644	4.75	4.38	4.28	4.25	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	1	13	4.69	435/1419	4.69	4.55	4.35	4.31	4.69
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	11	4.69	329/1596	4.69	4.40	4.24	4.25	4.69
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	239/1535	4.73	4.38	4.15	4.14	4.73
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	196/1510	4.75	4.34	4.13	4.16	4.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	4	11	4.56	449/1620	4.56	4.21	4.20	4.18	4.56
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	943/1642	4.73	4.74	4.68	4.65	4.73
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	0	1	0	3	7	4.45	448/1596	4.45	4.19	4.12	4.09	4.45
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	439/1534	4.80	4.52	4.48	4.44	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	723/1539	4.87	4.81	4.76	4.74	4.87
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	377/1531	4.73	4.38	4.33	4.30	4.73
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	470/1530	4.73	4.49	4.35	4.32	4.73
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	3	2	9	4.43	465/1409	4.43	4.18	4.08	4.09	4.43
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.33	4.18	4.22	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	375/1364	4.78	4.56	4.33	4.37	4.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	416/1361	4.78	4.48	4.39	4.39	4.78
4. Were special techniques successful	7	2	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	108/1019	4.86	4.27	4.09	4.04	4.86

Course-Section:	Irse-Section: KORE 301 01				- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	3						Enro	llment:	19
Title:	Advanced Korean I											Q	uestion	naires:	16
Instructor:	Yoon,Kyung-Eun														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
4. Did presentations con	tribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/71	****	4.66	4.40	4.51	****
5. Were criteria for gradi	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.39	4.09	4.57	****	
	Field Work														
1. Did field experience co	ontribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/48	****	4.93	4.16	4.95	****
2. Did you clearly unders	tand your evaluation criteria	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/45	****	4.93	4.19	4.95	****
3. Was the instructor ava	ailable for consultation	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	4.93	4.57	4.93	****
4. To what degree could	you discuss your evaluations	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	****	4.87	4.25	4.90	****
5. Did conferences help	you carry out field activities	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	4.90	4.35	4.90	****
	Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system	contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/51	****	4.50	4.03	4.75	****
2. Did study questions m	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.80	4.18	4.80	****	
3. Were your contacts w	ith the instructor helpful	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	4.67	4.33	4.83	****
4. Was the feedback/tute	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	4.20	4.17	4.20	****	

Report Help

Course-Section:	KORE 301 01			Term - Fall 2013									Enro	lment:	19
Title:	Advanced Korean I											Q	uestion	naires:	16
Instructor:	Yoon,Kyung-Eun														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mear
	Self Paced														
5. Were there enough pr	octors for all the students	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/14	****	4.60	4.17	4.60	****

<b>Credits Earned</b>		Cum. GPA		Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	А	6	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	1	
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	7							
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	3	Under-grad	16	Non-major	15	
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	2					
				?	3							

Course-Section: KORE 309 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>  201</mark> 3	3						Enro	llment:	24
Title: Business Korean											Q	uestion	naires:	14
Instructor: Yoon,Kyung-Eun														
	Frequencies				Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	276/1644	4.82	4.42	4.32	4.31	4.82
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	221/1644	4.82	4.38	4.28	4.25	4.82
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	170/1419	4.91	4.55	4.35	4.31	4.91
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	113/1596	4.91	4.40	4.24	4.25	4.91
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	105/1535	4.91	4.38	4.15	4.14	4.91
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	89/1510	4.91	4.34	4.13	4.16	4.91
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	88/1620	4.91	4.21	4.20	4.18	4.91
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	958/1642	4.73	4.74	4.68	4.65	4.73
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	322/1596	4.57	4.19	4.12	4.09	4.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	223/1534	4.92	4.52	4.48	4.44	4.92
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	548/1539	4.92	4.81	4.76	4.74	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	145/1531	4.92	4.38	4.33	4.30	4.92
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	181/1530	4.92	4.49	4.35	4.32	4.92
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	145/1409	4.82	4.18	4.08	4.09	4.82
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1366	****	4.33	4.18	4.22	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1364	****	4.56	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1361	****	4.48	4.39	4.39	****

Report Help

Course-Section:	KORE 309 01			Term	ı - Fal	l 2013	3						Enro	llment:	24
Title:	Business Korean											Q	uestion	naires:	14
Instructor:	Yoon,Kyung-Eun														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	es successful	11	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1019	****	4.27	4.09	4.04	****

Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades		Reasons		Туре		Majors					
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	4	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	2	Under-grad	14	Non-major	13
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	7						