**Course-Section: LING 290 01** 

Title: Intro To Applied Ling

**Instructor:** Ka,Omar

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 23

		0     0     0     0     1       0     0     0     0     2						Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	231/1644	4.86	4.42	4.32	4.36	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	360/1644	4.71	4.38	4.28	4.35	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	1026/1419	4.14	4.55	4.35	4.42	4.14
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	687/1596	4.43	4.40	4.24	4.31	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	148/1535	4.86	4.38	4.15	4.20	4.86
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	149/1510	4.80	4.34	4.13	4.17	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	653/1620	4.43	4.21	4.20	4.25	4.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	4.14	1469/1642	4.14	4.74	4.68	4.67	4.14
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	382/1596	4.50	4.19	4.12	4.13	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	343/1534	4.86	4.52	4.48	4.51	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.81	4.76	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1531	5.00	4.38	4.33	4.38	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	569/1530	4.67	4.49	4.35	4.41	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	245/1409	4.67	4.18	4.08	4.23	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.33	4.18	4.24	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.56	4.33	4.39	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.48	4.39	4.48	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: LING 290 01

Title: Intro To Applied Ling

**Instructor:** Ka,Omar

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 23

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1019	****	4.27	4.09	4.14	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: LING 310 01** 

Title: Phonology & Morphology

**Instructor: Young, Steven R** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	428/1644	4.70	4.42	4.32	4.31	4.70
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	508/1644	4.60	4.38	4.28	4.25	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	409/1419	4.70	4.55	4.35	4.31	4.70
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	502/1596	4.56	4.40	4.24	4.25	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	1	8	4.60	361/1535	4.60	4.38	4.15	4.14	4.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	516/1510	4.43	4.34	4.13	4.16	4.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	1	7	4.40	685/1620	4.40	4.21	4.20	4.18	4.40
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	9	1	4.10	1494/1642	4.10	4.74	4.68	4.65	4.10
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.19	4.12	4.09	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.52	4.48	4.44	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.81	4.76	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	275/1531	4.80	4.38	4.33	4.30	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	202/1530	4.90	4.49	4.35	4.32	4.90
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	8	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1409	****	4.18	4.08	4.09	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	209/1366	4.83	4.33	4.18	4.22	4.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.56	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.48	4.39	4.39	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: LING 310 01

Title: Phonology & Morphology

**Instructor: Young, Steven R** 

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	4	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1019	****	4.27	4.09	4.04	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	2
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: LING 320 01** 

Title: Syntax

**Instructor:** Westphal, German

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 21

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	4	3	5	2	1	2.53	1636/1644	2.53	4.42	4.32	4.31	2.53
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	4	4	4	3	0	2.40	1630/1644	2.40	4.38	4.28	4.25	2.40
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	3	1	2	6	2	3.21	1516/1596	3.21	4.40	4.24	4.25	3.21
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	4	5	2	2	1	2.36	1526/1535	2.36	4.38	4.15	4.14	2.36
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	2	2	1	6	3	3.43	1325/1510	3.43	4.34	4.13	4.16	3.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	2	1	3	2	6	3.64	1373/1620	3.64	4.21	4.20	4.18	3.64
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	1	3	7	4	3.93	1574/1642	3.93	4.74	4.68	4.65	3.93
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	2	3	4	2	1	2.75	1564/1596	2.75	4.19	4.12	4.09	2.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	3	5	3	2	2	2.67	1521/1534	2.67	4.52	4.48	4.44	2.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	1	4	0	6	4	3.53	1524/1539	3.53	4.81	4.76	4.74	3.53
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	4	4	3	3	0	2.36	1518/1531	2.36	4.38	4.33	4.30	2.36
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	3	4	2	2	3	2.86	1490/1530	2.86	4.49	4.35	4.32	2.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	11	1	0	2	1	0	2.75	1364/1409	2.75	4.18	4.08	4.09	2.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	1	1	1	2	2	3.43	1176/1366	3.43	4.33	4.18	4.22	3.43
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	2	3	1	1	3.14	1287/1364	3.14	4.56	4.33	4.37	3.14
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	2	0	2	0	2	3.00	1308/1361	3.00	4.48	4.39	4.39	3.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: LING 320 01

Title: Syntax

Instructor: Westphal,German

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 21
Questionnaires: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	9	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1019	****	4.27	4.09	4.04	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	5
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**Course-Section: LING 450 01** 

Title: Applied Linguistics

**Instructor:** Young, Steven R

**Term - Fall 2013** 

**Enrollment: 7** 

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.42	4.32	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.38	4.28	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.55	4.35	4.48	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.40	4.24	4.34	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	442/1535	4.50	4.38	4.15	4.26	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1510	5.00	4.34	4.13	4.29	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	139/1620	4.83	4.21	4.20	4.25	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	1344/1642	4.33	4.74	4.68	4.67	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	246/1596	4.67	4.19	4.12	4.20	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.52	4.48	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.81	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	275/1531	4.80	4.38	4.33	4.38	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.49	4.35	4.41	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.33	4.18	4.37	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.56	4.33	4.52	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	380/1361	4.80	4.48	4.39	4.59	4.80

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

Course-Section: LING 450 01

Title: Applied Linguistics

Instructor: Young, Steven R

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 7
Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quend	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.27	4.09	4.32	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

**Course-Section: LING 490 01** 

Title: Sem In Applied Ling

**Instructor:** McCray, Stanley

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 10

			Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course			0	0	0	1	6	4.86	231/1644	4.86	4.42	4.32	4.47	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	360/1644	4.71	4.38	4.28	4.35	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.55	4.35	4.48	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	1	5	4.43	687/1596	4.43	4.40	4.24	4.34	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	148/1535	4.86	4.38	4.15	4.26	4.86
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	1	5	4.29	691/1510	4.29	4.34	4.13	4.29	4.29
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	274/1620	4.71	4.21	4.20	4.25	4.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	736/1642	4.86	4.74	4.68	4.67	4.86
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	129/1596	4.83	4.19	4.12	4.20	4.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	772/1534	4.60	4.52	4.48	4.54	4.60
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject		0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.81	4.76	4.81	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly		0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	565/1531	4.60	4.38	4.33	4.38	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	356/1530	4.80	4.49	4.35	4.41	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	1	1	0	3	4.00	825/1409	4.00	4.18	4.08	4.15	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1366	5.00	4.33	4.18	4.37	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1364	5.00	4.56	4.33	4.52	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.48	4.39	4.59	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1019	5.00	4.27	4.09	4.32	5.00

# **Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires**

**Course-Section: LING 490 01** 

**Title: Sem In Applied Ling** 

**Instructor:** McCray, Stanley

**Term - Fall 2013** 

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/72	5.00	4.83	4.53	4.71	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/71	5.00	4.89	4.38	4.66	5.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/68	5.00	4.84	4.41	4.74	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	27/71	4.67	4.66	4.40	4.50	4.67
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	20/73	4.67	4.39	4.09	4.32	4.67

<b>Credits Earned</b>		Cum. GPA		<b>Expected Grades</b>		Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	5	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	7	
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	0	
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				1	0	Other	0					
				?	0							