

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 600 01
Title:	Research Methodology
Instructor:	Kirk,Adele M.

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	242/1644	4.85	4.41	4.32	4.42	4.85
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	288/1644	4.77	4.10	4.28	4.32	4.77
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	136/1419	4.92	4.55	4.35	4.45	4.92
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	245/1596	4.77	4.20	4.24	4.32	4.77
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	84/1535	4.92	4.25	4.15	4.25	4.92
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	187/1510	4.77	4.15	4.13	4.24	4.77
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	3	8	4.46	590/1620	4.46	3.94	4.20	4.29	4.46
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	505/1642	4.92	4.62	4.68	4.82	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	515/1596	4.40	4.23	4.12	4.20	4.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	362/1534	4.85	4.45	4.48	4.52	4.85
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.75	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	333/1531	4.77	4.18	4.33	4.34	4.77
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	161/1530	4.92	4.31	4.35	4.38	4.92
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	9	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1409	5.00	3.99	4.08	4.04	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	313/1366	4.73	4.24	4.18	4.26	4.73
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	5	6	4.55	617/1364	4.55	4.49	4.33	4.46	4.55
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	247/1361	4.91	4.51	4.39	4.49	4.91

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 600 01
Title:	Research Methodology
Instructor:	Kirk,Adele M.

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	1	0	3	4	2	3.60	806/1019	3.60	3.86	4.09	4.12	3.60

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	2	A	4	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	6	Major	11
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	3						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 601 01
Title:	Political/Social Context
Instructor:	Meyers,Roy T

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	650/1644	4.54	4.41	4.32	4.42	4.54
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	6	5	4.23	1008/1644	4.23	4.10	4.28	4.32	4.23
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	4	2	6	4.00	1129/1596	4.00	4.20	4.24	4.32	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	3	8	4.46	496/1535	4.46	4.25	4.15	4.25	4.46
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	321/1510	4.62	4.15	4.13	4.24	4.62
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	2	2	7	4.00	1134/1620	4.00	3.94	4.20	4.29	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1642	5.00	4.62	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	1	6	2	4.11	891/1596	4.11	4.23	4.12	4.20	4.11
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	525/1534	4.75	4.45	4.48	4.52	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.75	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	0	2	1	8	4.25	990/1531	4.25	4.18	4.33	4.34	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	666/1530	4.58	4.31	4.35	4.38	4.58
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	3	2	1	1	2	3	3.33	1243/1409	3.33	3.99	4.08	4.04	3.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	396/1366	4.64	4.24	4.18	4.26	4.64
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	1	0	3	7	4.45	702/1364	4.45	4.49	4.33	4.46	4.45
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	584/1361	4.64	4.51	4.39	4.49	4.64
4. Were special techniques successful	3	4	0	2	0	2	2	3.67	784/1019	3.67	3.86	4.09	4.12	3.67
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/72	****	4.04	4.53	4.53	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 601 01
Title:	Political/Social Context
Instructor:	Meyers,Roy T

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	16
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/71	****	3.57	4.38	4.31	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.00	4.41	4.37	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	2	A	7	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	6	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	5						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	1	Under-grad	7	Non-major	13
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 603 01
Title:	Policy Analysis
Instructor:	Miller,Nancy

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	17
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	441/1644	4.69	4.41	4.32	4.42	4.69
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	388/1644	4.69	4.10	4.28	4.32	4.69
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1419	****	4.55	4.35	4.45	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	9	4.69	315/1596	4.69	4.20	4.24	4.32	4.69
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	156/1535	4.85	4.25	4.15	4.25	4.85
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	125/1510	4.85	4.15	4.13	4.24	4.85
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	211/1620	4.77	3.94	4.20	4.29	4.77
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	777/1642	4.83	4.62	4.68	4.82	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	1	6	2	4.11	891/1596	4.11	4.23	4.12	4.20	4.11
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	627/1534	4.69	4.45	4.48	4.52	4.69
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	487/1539	4.92	4.75	4.76	4.79	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	435/1531	4.69	4.18	4.33	4.34	4.69
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	4	8	4.46	805/1530	4.46	4.31	4.35	4.38	4.46
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	6	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	711/1409	4.17	3.99	4.08	4.04	4.17
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	209/1366	4.83	4.24	4.18	4.26	4.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	303/1364	4.83	4.49	4.33	4.46	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	222/1361	4.92	4.51	4.39	4.49	4.92

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 603 01
Title:	Policy Analysis
Instructor:	Miller,Nancy

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	17
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	1	1	6	4	4.08	543/1019	4.08	3.86	4.09	4.12	4.08

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	3	0.00-0.99 0	A 9	Required for Majors 10	Graduate 5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 4
Grad.	5	3.50-4.00 3	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 2		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 607 01
Title:	Stat Appl In Eval Resrch
Instructor:	Mandell,Marvin

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	889/1644	4.33	4.41	4.32	4.42	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	5	3	4.11	1138/1644	4.11	4.10	4.28	4.32	4.11
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/1419	****	4.55	4.35	4.45	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	879/1596	4.29	4.20	4.24	4.32	4.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	4	2	1	3.11	1458/1535	3.11	4.25	4.15	4.25	3.11
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	0	2	3	2	3.63	1204/1510	3.63	4.15	4.13	4.24	3.63
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	1	3	3	3.78	1319/1620	3.78	3.94	4.20	4.29	3.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	4.44	1252/1642	4.44	4.62	4.68	4.82	4.44
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	461/1596	4.44	4.23	4.12	4.20	4.44
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	974/1534	4.44	4.45	4.48	4.52	4.44
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	1136/1539	4.67	4.75	4.76	4.79	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	3	1	3	4.00	1163/1531	4.00	4.18	4.33	4.34	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	2	4	4.11	1106/1530	4.11	4.31	4.35	4.38	4.11
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	0	2	0	4	4.33	551/1409	4.33	3.99	4.08	4.04	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	0	2	2	1	3.33	1209/1366	3.33	4.24	4.18	4.26	3.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	649/1364	4.50	4.49	4.33	4.46	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	549/1361	4.67	4.51	4.39	4.49	4.67

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 607 01
Title:	Stat Appl In Eval Resrch
Instructor:	Mandell,Marvin

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	5	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1019	****	3.86	4.09	4.12	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 2	Required for Majors 3	Graduate 4 Major 6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 1	Under-grad 5 Non-major 3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00 3	F 0	Electives 2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 4		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 610 01
Title:	Special Topics In Publ
Instructor:	Salkever,David

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	3
Questionnaires:	3

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	889/1644	4.35	4.41	4.32	4.42	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	1589/1644	3.11	4.10	4.28	4.32	3.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1090/1419	4.00	4.55	4.35	4.45	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	1361/1596	3.63	4.20	4.24	4.32	3.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	970/1535	3.88	4.25	4.15	4.25	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	629/1510	3.60	4.15	4.13	4.24	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	3.33	1489/1620	2.98	3.94	4.20	4.29	3.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	1528/1642	4.25	4.62	4.68	4.82	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	971/1596	4.00	4.23	4.12	4.20	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	1090/1534	3.50	4.45	4.48	4.52	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1539	4.22	4.75	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1348/1531	3.17	4.18	4.33	4.34	3.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	940/1530	3.53	4.31	4.35	4.38	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1098/1366	3.79	4.24	4.18	4.26	3.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	817/1364	4.49	4.49	4.33	4.46	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	875/1361	4.25	4.51	4.39	4.49	4.33

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 610 01
Title:	Special Topics In Publ
Instructor:	Salkever,David

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	3
Questionnaires:	3

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	961/1019	3.00	3.86	4.09	4.12	3.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 0	Required for Majors 1	Graduate 2 Major 3
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 1 Non-major 0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives 1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 0	
			? 0		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 610 02
Title:	Special Topics In Publ
Instructor:	Mandell,Marvin

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	8
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	0	4	3.88	1334/1644	4.35	4.41	4.32	4.42	3.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	2	2	3	0	1	2.50	1627/1644	3.11	4.10	4.28	4.32	2.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1419	4.00	4.55	4.35	4.45	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	3	1	0	1	2	2.71	1568/1596	3.63	4.20	4.24	4.32	2.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	5	2	0	3.13	1455/1535	3.88	4.25	4.15	4.25	3.13
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	1	3	2	0	2.63	1481/1510	3.60	4.15	4.13	4.24	2.63
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	2	2	2	1	0	2.29	1602/1620	2.98	3.94	4.20	4.29	2.29
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	1	0	7	0	3.75	1613/1642	4.25	4.62	4.68	4.82	3.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	1	0	2	0	5	4.00	971/1596	4.00	4.23	4.12	4.20	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	2	0	0	1	0	2.00	1532/1534	3.50	4.45	4.48	4.52	2.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	1	2	0	0	2.67	1537/1539	4.22	4.75	4.76	4.79	2.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	2	0	1	0	0	1.67	1529/1531	3.17	4.18	4.33	4.34	1.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	2	0	1	0	0	1.67	1528/1530	3.53	4.31	4.35	4.38	1.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	2	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1409	5.00	3.99	4.08	4.04	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	3	1	1	1	2.71	1323/1366	3.79	4.24	4.18	4.26	2.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	1	0	0	2	4	4.14	941/1364	4.49	4.49	4.33	4.46	4.14
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	1	1	1	2	2	3.43	1264/1361	4.25	4.51	4.39	4.49	3.43
4. Were special techniques successful	1	6	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1019	3.00	3.86	4.09	4.12	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 610 02
Title:	Special Topics In Publ
Instructor:	Mandell,Marvin

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	8
Questionnaires:	8

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	0	1	0	2	1	2	2	3.57	67/72	3.57	4.04	4.53	4.53	3.57
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	1	0	2	3	1	1	0	2.14	70/71	2.14	3.57	4.38	4.31	2.14
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	2	2	0	2	3.00	66/68	3.00	4.00	4.41	4.37	3.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	2	1	1	1	2.57	70/71	2.57	3.54	4.40	4.53	2.57
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	1	0	6	0	0	1	0	1.43	73/73	1.43	3.21	4.09	4.09	1.43

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	A	3	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	5	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	5	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 610 04
Title:	Special Topics In Publ
Instructor:	Kirk,Adele M.

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	6
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	254/1644	4.35	4.41	4.32	4.42	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	1	3.83	1343/1644	3.11	4.10	4.28	4.32	3.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1419	4.00	4.55	4.35	4.45	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	567/1596	3.63	4.20	4.24	4.32	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	442/1535	3.88	4.25	4.15	4.25	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	4	1	3.83	1088/1510	3.60	4.15	4.13	4.24	3.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	3.33	1489/1620	2.98	3.94	4.20	4.29	3.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1642	4.25	4.62	4.68	4.82	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	971/1596	4.00	4.23	4.12	4.20	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	1220/1534	3.50	4.45	4.48	4.52	4.17
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1539	4.22	4.75	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	1069/1531	3.17	4.18	4.33	4.34	4.17
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	644/1530	3.53	4.31	4.35	4.38	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1409	5.00	3.99	4.08	4.04	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1366	3.79	4.24	4.18	4.26	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1364	4.49	4.49	4.33	4.46	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1361	4.25	4.51	4.39	4.49	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 610 04
Title:	Special Topics In Publ
Instructor:	Kirk,Adele M.

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	6
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1019	3.00	3.86	4.09	4.12	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 5	Required for Majors 2	Graduate 2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 0		Major 5
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0		Non-major 1
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives 2	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 613 01
Title:	Managing Public Org
Instructor:	Zeemering, Eric

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	2	1	9	4.31	922/1644	4.31	4.41	4.32	4.42	4.31
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	3	8	4.38	829/1644	4.38	4.10	4.28	4.32	4.38
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	7	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	775/1419	4.40	4.55	4.35	4.45	4.40
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	4.62	423/1596	4.62	4.20	4.24	4.32	4.62
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	417/1535	4.54	4.25	4.15	4.25	4.54
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	7	5	4.31	668/1510	4.31	4.15	4.13	4.24	4.31
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	70/1620	4.92	3.94	4.20	4.29	4.92
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	899/1642	4.77	4.62	4.68	4.82	4.77
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	1	0	0	2	5	4.25	705/1596	4.25	4.23	4.12	4.20	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	223/1534	4.92	4.45	4.48	4.52	4.92
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.75	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	241/1531	4.83	4.18	4.33	4.34	4.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	2	8	4.50	755/1530	4.50	4.31	4.35	4.38	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	4	4	3	3.91	922/1409	3.91	3.99	4.08	4.04	3.91
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	5	6	4.55	464/1366	4.55	4.24	4.18	4.26	4.55
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	535/1364	4.64	4.49	4.33	4.46	4.64
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	366/1361	4.82	4.51	4.39	4.49	4.82

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 613 01
Title:	Managing Public Org
Instructor:	Zeemering, Eric

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	13
Questionnaires:	13

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	1	0	2	3	4	3.90	661/1019	3.90	3.86	4.09	4.12	3.90

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	3	0.00-0.99 0	A 8	Required for Majors 7	Graduate 8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		Major 10
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 2	D 0		Non-major 3
Grad.	8	3.50-4.00 6	F 0	Electives 2	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 2		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 627 01
Title:	Policy Networks and IGR
Instructor:	Zeemering, Eric

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	6
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	254/1644	4.83	4.41	4.32	4.42	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1644	5.00	4.10	4.28	4.32	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1419	5.00	4.55	4.35	4.45	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	567/1596	4.50	4.20	4.24	4.32	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	300/1535	4.67	4.25	4.15	4.25	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	787/1510	4.20	4.15	4.13	4.24	4.20
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	139/1620	4.83	3.94	4.20	4.29	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	777/1642	4.83	4.62	4.68	4.82	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1596	5.00	4.23	4.12	4.20	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1534	5.00	4.45	4.48	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.75	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	241/1531	4.83	4.18	4.33	4.34	4.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.31	4.35	4.38	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	825/1409	4.00	3.99	4.08	4.04	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	209/1366	4.83	4.24	4.18	4.26	4.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	303/1364	4.83	4.49	4.33	4.46	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1361	5.00	4.51	4.39	4.49	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	2	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	381/1019	4.33	3.86	4.09	4.12	4.33

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 627 01
Title:	Policy Networks and IGR
Instructor:	Zeemering, Eric

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	6
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	45/72	4.50	4.04	4.53	4.53	4.50
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/71	5.00	3.57	4.38	4.31	5.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/68	5.00	4.00	4.41	4.37	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	35/71	4.50	3.54	4.40	4.53	4.50
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/73	5.00	3.21	4.09	4.09	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	6	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	2	Major	6
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	B	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 645 01
Title:	The US City
Instructor:	Short,John

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	4
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	3.25	1576/1644	3.25	4.41	4.32	4.42	3.25
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	3.75	1385/1644	3.75	4.10	4.28	4.32	3.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	632/1419	4.50	4.55	4.35	4.45	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	1305/1596	3.75	4.20	4.24	4.32	3.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	1176/1535	3.75	4.25	4.15	4.25	3.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	3.50	1261/1510	3.50	4.15	4.13	4.24	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	3.75	1328/1620	3.75	3.94	4.20	4.29	3.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	914/1642	4.75	4.62	4.68	4.82	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	971/1596	4.00	4.23	4.12	4.20	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	891/1534	4.50	4.45	4.48	4.52	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1539	5.00	4.75	4.76	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	724/1531	4.50	4.18	4.33	4.34	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	1004/1530	4.25	4.31	4.35	4.38	4.25
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1316/1409	3.00	3.99	4.08	4.04	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	1151/1366	3.50	4.24	4.18	4.26	3.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	3.50	1221/1364	3.50	4.49	4.33	4.46	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	1158/1361	3.75	4.51	4.39	4.49	3.75

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 645 01
Title:	The US City
Instructor:	Short,John

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	4
Questionnaires:	4

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	559/1019	4.00	3.86	4.09	4.12	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	1	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 646 01
Title:	The Global City
Instructor:	Short,John

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	5
Questionnaires:	5

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	814/1644	4.40	4.41	4.32	4.42	4.40
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	1038/1644	4.20	4.10	4.28	4.32	4.20
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	632/1419	4.50	4.55	4.35	4.45	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	717/1596	4.40	4.20	4.24	4.32	4.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	578/1535	4.40	4.25	4.15	4.25	4.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	538/1510	4.40	4.15	4.13	4.24	4.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	0	3	1	3.60	1389/1620	3.60	3.94	4.20	4.29	3.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	1400/1642	4.25	4.62	4.68	4.82	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	971/1596	4.00	4.23	4.12	4.20	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	439/1534	4.80	4.45	4.48	4.52	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	894/1539	4.80	4.75	4.76	4.79	4.80
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	4.20	1037/1531	4.20	4.18	4.33	4.34	4.20
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	882/1530	4.40	4.31	4.35	4.38	4.40
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	1316/1409	3.00	3.99	4.08	4.04	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	604/1366	4.40	4.24	4.18	4.26	4.40
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	4.20	910/1364	4.20	4.49	4.33	4.46	4.20
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	4.00	1034/1361	4.00	4.51	4.39	4.49	4.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 646 01
Title:	The Global City
Instructor:	Short,John

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	5
Questionnaires:	5

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	4	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1019	****	3.86	4.09	4.12	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 3	Required for Majors 3	Graduate 4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General 0	Under-grad 1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 1
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00 1	F 0	Electives 1	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 1	
			? 0		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 652 01
Title:	Politics of Health
Instructor:	Miller,Nancy

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	428/1644	4.70	4.41	4.32	4.42	4.70
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	375/1644	4.70	4.10	4.28	4.32	4.70
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1419	****	4.55	4.35	4.45	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	502/1596	4.56	4.20	4.24	4.32	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	300/1535	4.67	4.25	4.15	4.25	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	244/1510	4.70	4.15	4.13	4.24	4.70
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	0	0	3	5	4.22	938/1620	4.22	3.94	4.20	4.29	4.22
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	673/1642	4.89	4.62	4.68	4.82	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	382/1596	4.50	4.23	4.12	4.20	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	248/1534	4.90	4.45	4.48	4.52	4.90
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	609/1539	4.90	4.75	4.76	4.79	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	565/1531	4.60	4.18	4.33	4.34	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	202/1530	4.90	4.31	4.35	4.38	4.90
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	1	0	0	4	4	4.11	756/1409	4.11	3.99	4.08	4.04	4.11
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	0	9	4.70	332/1366	4.70	4.24	4.18	4.26	4.70
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	215/1364	4.90	4.49	4.33	4.46	4.90
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	1	0	0	9	4.70	503/1361	4.70	4.51	4.39	4.49	4.70
4. Were special techniques successful	1	3	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	381/1019	4.33	3.86	4.09	4.12	4.33

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

[Report Help](#)

Course-Section:	PUBL 652 01
Title:	Politics of Health
Instructor:	Miller,Nancy

Term - Fall 2013

Enrollment:	12
Questionnaires:	10

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/72	****	4.04	4.53	4.53	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	8	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/71	****	3.57	4.38	4.31	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/68	****	4.00	4.41	4.37	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/71	****	3.54	4.40	4.53	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	8	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/73	****	3.21	4.09	4.09	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27 0	0.00-0.99 0	A 9	Required for Majors	Graduate 5	Major 9
28-55 1	1.00-1.99 0	B 1			
56-83 0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0	General	Under-grad 5	Non-major 1
84-150 0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0			
Grad. 5	3.50-4.00 5	F 0	Electives		
		P 0			
		I 0	Other		
		? 0			

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant