| Course-Section: AFST 100 3 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark> 201</mark> (| C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 30 |
|---|----|----|------|---------|----------------------|------|----|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: Intro Black Experience | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 21 |
| Instructor: Mack-Shelton,Ki | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 4.67 | 434/1528 | 4.67 | 4.63 | 4.31 | 4.16 | 4.67 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 4.67 | 368/1527 | 4.67 | 4.47 | 4.28 | 4.23 | 4.67 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 4.43 | 676/1333 | 4.43 | 4.40 | 4.34 | 4.26 | 4.43 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 11 | 4.19 | 912/1495 | 4.19 | 4.51 | 4.25 | 4.11 | 4.19 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 14 | 4.48 | 406/1439 | 4.48 | 4.52 | 4.11 | 3.97 | 4.48 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 4.24 | 692/1425 | 4.24 | 4.45 | 4.12 | 3.93 | 4.24 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 4.90 | 95/1508 | 4.90 | 4.44 | 4.18 | 4.11 | 4.90 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 4.95 | 283/1526 | 4.95 | 4.70 | 4.66 | 4.57 | 4.95 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 4.71 | 184/1490 | 4.71 | 4.45 | 4.11 | 4.02 | 4.71 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 4.86 | 303/1428 | 4.86 | 4.69 | 4.49 | 4.43 | 4.86 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 5.00 | 1/1436 | 5.00 | 4.91 | 4.74 | 4.70 | 5.00 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 4.75 | 297/1427 | 4.75 | 4.61 | 4.32 | 4.27 | 4.75 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 4.76 | 335/1425 | 4.76 | 4.67 | 4.34 | 4.31 | 4.76 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 16 | 4.67 | 205/1291 | 4.67 | 4.48 | 4.05 | 3.97 | 4.67 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 4.71 | 280/1271 | 4.71 | 4.56 | 4.16 | 3.98 | 4.71 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 4.81 | 302/1276 | 4.81 | 4.70 | 4.33 | 4.14 | 4.81 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 16 | 4.71 | 458/1273 | 4.71 | 4.65 | 4.38 | 4.18 | 4.71 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 0 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3.29 | 811/922 | 3.29 | 4.03 | 4.02 | 3.87 | 3.29 |

| Course-S | Section: | AFST 100 3 | | | | | Term | - Fall 20 | 10 | | | | | | Enrol | llment: | 30 |
|------------|----------|-------------|-------|----------|-------|-----|---------|------------|-------|-----|------|-----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|------|
| | Title: | Intro Black | Exper | ience | | | | | | _ | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 21 |
| Ins | tructor: | Mack-Shelto | on,Ki | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Freque | ncies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | | Questions | | | NR | NA | 1 | 2 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Fre | equei | ncy Dist | ribut | ion | | | | | | | |
| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grade | es | | Reas | ons | | | Туре | 2 | | Мај | ors | |
| 00-27 | 2 | 0.00-0.99 | 1 | А | 5 | | Requi | red for Ma | jors | 1 | | Graduate | 0 | | Major | | 0 |
| 28-55 | 4 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 1 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 10 | | Gene | ral | | 15 | | Under-grad | 21 | | Non-ma | ajor | 21 |
| 84-150 | 0 | 3.00-3.49 | 2 | D | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 3 | F | 0 | | Electiv | ves | | 4 | | **** - Means | there are | not enc | ough resp | oonses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | | | | | to be significa | ant | | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | | Other | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: | AFST 206 1 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark> 201</mark> (| C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 22 |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|----|----|------|---------|----------------------|------|----|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | African-Amer Hist Survey | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 13 |
| Instructor: | Sutton,Karen E. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insi | ghts,skills from this course | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 4.58 | 544/1528 | 4.58 | 4.63 | 4.31 | 4.34 | 4.58 |
| 2. Did the instructor mal | ke clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 4.23 | 922/1527 | 4.23 | 4.47 | 4.28 | 4.32 | 4.23 |
| 3. Did the exam question | ns reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 4.31 | 797/1333 | 4.31 | 4.40 | 4.34 | 4.40 | 4.31 |
| 4. Did other evaluations | reflect the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 4.33 | 746/1495 | 4.33 | 4.51 | 4.25 | 4.28 | 4.33 |
| 5. Did assigned readings | s contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4.42 | 485/1439 | 4.42 | 4.52 | 4.11 | 4.12 | 4.42 |
| 6. Did written assignmer | nts contribute to what you learned | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4.09 | 832/1425 | 4.09 | 4.45 | 4.12 | 4.11 | 4.09 |
| 7. Was the grading system | em clearly explained | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 4.33 | 681/1508 | 4.33 | 4.44 | 4.18 | 4.19 | 4.33 |
| 8. How many times was | class cancelled | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 4.33 | 1216/1526 | 4.33 | 4.70 | 4.66 | 4.64 | 4.33 |
| 9. How would you grade | e the overall teaching effectiveness | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 4.13 | 822/1490 | 4.13 | 4.45 | 4.11 | 4.11 | 4.13 |
| | Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's | lectures well prepared | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 4.58 | 758/1428 | 4.58 | 4.69 | 4.49 | 4.48 | 4.58 |
| 2. Did the instructor see | m interested in the subject | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 4.92 | 464/1436 | 4.92 | 4.91 | 4.74 | 4.76 | 4.92 |
| 3. Was lecture material | presented and explained clearly | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 4.45 | 698/1427 | 4.45 | 4.61 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.45 |
| 4. Did the lectures contr | ibute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 4.25 | 930/1425 | 4.25 | 4.67 | 4.34 | 4.37 | 4.25 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techn | iques enhance your understanding | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 4.36 | 456/1291 | 4.36 | 4.48 | 4.05 | 4.14 | 4.36 |
| | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions | contribute to what you learned | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 4.20 | 669/1271 | 4.20 | 4.56 | 4.16 | 4.21 | 4.20 |
| 2. Were all students acti | vely encouraged to participate | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 4.60 | 506/1276 | 4.60 | 4.70 | 4.33 | 4.37 | 4.60 |
| 3. Did the instructor enc | ourage fair and open discussion | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4.40 | 724/1273 | 4.40 | 4.65 | 4.38 | 4.43 | 4.40 |
| 4. Were special techniqu | ies successful | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4.00 | 467/922 | 4.00 | 4.03 | 4.02 | 4.11 | 4.00 |

| Course-Section: AFST 206 1 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark> 201</mark> (| C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 22 |
|---|----|----|------|---------|----------------------|------|---|------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: African-Amer Hist Survey | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 13 |
| Instructor: Sutton,Karen E. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/198 | * * * * | **** | 4.16 | 4.41 | **** |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/208 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.27 | 4.30 | **** |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/194 | * * * * | **** | 4.56 | 4.57 | **** |
| 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/194 | **** | **** | 4.37 | 4.43 | **** |
| 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/176 | **** | **** | 4.23 | 4.18 | **** |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/76 | * * * * | 4.50 | 4.51 | 4.17 | **** |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/66 | **** | **** | 4.27 | 3.85 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/73 | **** | 4.25 | 3.94 | 3.95 | **** |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/42 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.00 | 3.68 | **** |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/41 | **** | **** | 4.06 | 3.81 | **** |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/30 | **** | **** | 4.74 | 4.50 | **** |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/32 | * * * * | **** | 4.20 | 4.32 | **** |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/29 | * * * * | **** | 4.34 | 4.11 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/43 | * * * * | **** | 4.43 | 3.95 | **** |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/31 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.53 | 4.00 | **** |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/36 | **** | **** | 4.43 | 3.75 | **** |
| 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/21 | **** | **** | 4.54 | 3.63 | **** |
| 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/20 | **** | **** | 4.45 | 3.77 | **** |

| Course-Section: | AFST 206 1 | | | Term | ı - Fall | 2010 |) | | | | | | Enrol | Iment: | 22 |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----|----|------|----------|-------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | African-Amer Hist Survey | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 13 |
| Instructor | Sutton,Karen E. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Free | quenc | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | A | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|------------------|-------------|------------------|----|
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 8 | Required for Majors | 4 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 1 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 0 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 0 | General | 4 | Under-grad | 13 | Non-major | 13 |
| 84-150 | 2 | 3.00-3.49 | 5 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 | Electives | 1 | **** - Means the | ere are not | enough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significan | t | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 5 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: AFST 212 1 | | | Term | ı - Fal | l 2010 | C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 30 |
|--|---------------|----|------|---------|--------|------|----|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: African History | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 27 |
| Instructor: Chuku,Gloria If | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this cou | rse 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 4.30 | 875/1528 | 4.30 | 4.63 | 4.31 | 4.34 | 4.30 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected go | oals 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 4.07 | 1064/1527 | 4.07 | 4.47 | 4.28 | 4.32 | 4.07 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected | goals 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 4.43 | 662/1333 | 4.43 | 4.40 | 4.34 | 4.40 | 4.43 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected go | als 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 4.38 | 682/1495 | 4.38 | 4.51 | 4.25 | 4.28 | 4.38 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you | learned 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 4.42 | 472/1439 | 4.42 | 4.52 | 4.11 | 4.12 | 4.42 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what y | ou learned 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 12 | 4.24 | 680/1425 | 4.24 | 4.45 | 4.12 | 4.11 | 4.24 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 14 | 4.15 | 908/1508 | 4.15 | 4.44 | 4.18 | 4.19 | 4.15 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 9 | 4.36 | 1193/1526 | 4.36 | 4.70 | 4.66 | 4.64 | 4.36 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching eff | fectiveness 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 3.95 | 992/1490 | 3.95 | 4.45 | 4.11 | 4.11 | 3.95 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 18 | 4.50 | 854/1428 | 4.50 | 4.69 | 4.49 | 4.48 | 4.50 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subj | ect 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 21 | 4.69 | 1007/1436 | 4.69 | 4.91 | 4.74 | 4.76 | 4.69 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained | d clearly 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 4.08 | 1052/1427 | 4.08 | 4.61 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.08 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | ed 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 19 | 4.50 | 667/1425 | 4.50 | 4.67 | 4.34 | 4.37 | 4.50 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your und | erstanding 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 3.78 | 916/1291 | 3.78 | 4.48 | 4.05 | 4.14 | 3.78 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you I | earned 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 11 | 4.25 | 644/1271 | 4.25 | 4.56 | 4.16 | 4.21 | 4.25 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to part | icipate 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 4.42 | 685/1276 | 4.42 | 4.70 | 4.33 | 4.37 | 4.42 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open di | scussion 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 4.46 | 680/1273 | 4.46 | 4.65 | 4.38 | 4.43 | 4.46 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 3.63 | 680/922 | 3.63 | 4.03 | 4.02 | 4.11 | 3.63 |

| Course-Section: AFST | 212 1 | | | Term | - Fal | l 2010 | C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 30 |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|----|----|------|-------|--------|------|---|------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Title: Afric | an History | | | | | | | | | | | Qı | uestion | naires: | 27 |
| Instructor: Chuk | ku,Gloria If | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Ques | stions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Labo | ratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Were you provided with ade | quate background information | 26 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | ****/208 | **** | **** | 4.27 | 4.30 | **** |
| Sem | ninar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Did presentations contribute | to what you learned | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/76 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.27 | 4.15 | * * * * |
| 5. Were criteria for grading ma | de clear | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/73 | **** | 4.25 | 3.94 | 3.95 | * * * * |
| Field | Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Was the instructor available | for consultation | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/30 | **** | * * * * | 4.74 | 4.50 | * * * * |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|------------------|-------------|------------------|----|
| 00-27 | 1 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 8 | Required for Majors | 6 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 1 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 1 | В | 11 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 6 | 2.00-2.99 | 4 | С | 3 | General | 9 | Under-grad | 27 | Non-major | 26 |
| 84-150 | 8 | 3.00-3.49 | 2 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 4 | F | 0 | Electives | 4 | **** - Means the | ere are not | enough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significan | t | | |
| | | | | Ι | 0 | Other | 2 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 4 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: | AFST 213 1 | | | Term | ı - Fal | l 2010 | C | | | | | | Enro | Ilment: | 36 |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|----|----|------|---------|--------|------|----|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | Africa: Cult/Developmnt | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 15 |
| Instructor: | Badru,Lateef Ol | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insi | ghts,skills from this course | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 4.54 | 601/1528 | 4.54 | 4.63 | 4.31 | 4.34 | 4.54 |
| 2. Did the instructor mal | ke clear the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 4.29 | 872/1527 | 4.29 | 4.47 | 4.28 | 4.32 | 4.29 |
| 3. Did the exam question | ns reflect the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 3.71 | 1183/1333 | 3.71 | 4.40 | 4.34 | 4.40 | 3.71 |
| 4. Did other evaluations | reflect the expected goals | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 4.31 | 785/1495 | 4.31 | 4.51 | 4.25 | 4.28 | 4.31 |
| 5. Did assigned readings | s contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 4.14 | 762/1439 | 4.14 | 4.52 | 4.11 | 4.12 | 4.14 |
| 6. Did written assignmer | nts contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 4.71 | 207/1425 | 4.71 | 4.45 | 4.12 | 4.11 | 4.71 |
| 7. Was the grading system | em clearly explained | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 3.93 | 1118/1508 | 3.93 | 4.44 | 4.18 | 4.19 | 3.93 |
| 8. How many times was | class cancelled | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 4.86 | 654/1526 | 4.86 | 4.70 | 4.66 | 4.64 | 4.86 |
| 9. How would you grade | e the overall teaching effectiveness | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 4.42 | 479/1490 | 4.42 | 4.45 | 4.11 | 4.11 | 4.42 |
| | Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's | lectures well prepared | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 4.54 | 818/1428 | 4.54 | 4.69 | 4.49 | 4.48 | 4.54 |
| 2. Did the instructor see | m interested in the subject | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 5.00 | 1/1436 | 5.00 | 4.91 | 4.74 | 4.76 | 5.00 |
| 3. Was lecture material | presented and explained clearly | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 4.38 | 792/1427 | 4.38 | 4.61 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 4.38 |
| 4. Did the lectures contr | ibute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 4.62 | 542/1425 | 4.62 | 4.67 | 4.34 | 4.37 | 4.62 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techn | iques enhance your understanding | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 4.00 | 728/1291 | 4.00 | 4.48 | 4.05 | 4.14 | 4.00 |
| | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions | contribute to what you learned | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 4.63 | 349/1271 | 4.63 | 4.56 | 4.16 | 4.21 | 4.63 |
| 2. Were all students acti | vely encouraged to participate | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 4.75 | 348/1276 | 4.75 | 4.70 | 4.33 | 4.37 | 4.75 |
| 3. Did the instructor enc | ourage fair and open discussion | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 4.50 | 637/1273 | 4.50 | 4.65 | 4.38 | 4.43 | 4.50 |
| 4. Were special techniqu | ies successful | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 4.14 | 419/922 | 4.14 | 4.03 | 4.02 | 4.11 | 4.14 |

| Course-Section: AFST 213 1 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark> 201</mark> (| C | | | | | | Enro | Ilment: | 36 |
|---|-----------------------|----|------|---------|----------------------|------|---|------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Title: Africa: Cult/D | evelopmnt | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 15 |
| Instructor: Badru,Lateef (| IC | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of th | ne material 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/198 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.16 | 4.41 | **** |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate backg | ground information 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/208 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.27 | 4.30 | **** |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for la | ab activities 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/194 | **** | **** | 4.56 | 4.57 | **** |
| 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance | . 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/194 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.37 | 4.43 | **** |
| 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearl | y specified 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/176 | * * * * | **** | 4.23 | 4.18 | **** |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the an | nounced theme 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/76 | * * * * | 4.50 | 4.51 | 4.17 | **** |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individua | al attention 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/74 | **** | **** | 4.31 | 3.91 | **** |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what | t you learned 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/66 | * * * * | **** | 4.27 | 3.85 | **** |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you | u learned 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/76 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.27 | 4.15 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/73 | * * * * | 4.25 | 3.94 | 3.95 | **** |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what y | you learned 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/42 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.00 | 3.68 | **** |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation | ion criteria 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/41 | * * * * | **** | 4.06 | 3.81 | **** |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consulta | ition 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/30 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.74 | 4.50 | **** |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your | evaluations 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/32 | * * * * | **** | 4.20 | 4.32 | **** |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field | activities 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/29 | * * * * | **** | 4.34 | 4.11 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what | it you learned 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/43 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.43 | 3.95 | * * * * |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expe | ected goal 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/31 | **** | **** | 4.53 | 4.00 | **** |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor h | nelpful 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/36 | * * * * | **** | 4.43 | 3.75 | **** |

| Course-Section: AFST 213 1 | | | Term | ı - Fal | 2010 | C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 36 |
|--|----|----|------|---------|------|------|---|------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: Africa: Cult/Developmnt | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 15 |
| Instructor: Badru,Lateef OI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/21 | **** | **** | 4.54 | 3.63 | **** |
| 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/20 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.45 | 3.77 | **** |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|------------------|-------------|------------------|----|
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 4 | Required for Majors | 2 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 1 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 6 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 3 | 2.00-2.99 | 2 | С | 0 | General | 5 | Under-grad | 15 | Non-major | 15 |
| 84-150 | 1 | 3.00-3.49 | 2 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 1 | F | 0 | Electives | 2 | **** - Means the | ere are not | enough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significan | t | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 5 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: | AFST 312 1 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark> 201</mark> (|) | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 18 |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|----|----|------|---------|----------------------|------|----|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | West African History | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 12 |
| Instructor: | Chuku,Gloria If | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insi | ghts,skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 4.58 | 544/1528 | 4.58 | 4.63 | 4.31 | 4.34 | 4.58 |
| 2. Did the instructor mal | ke clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 4.58 | 477/1527 | 4.58 | 4.47 | 4.28 | 4.27 | 4.58 |
| 3. Did the exam question | ns reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 4.58 | 479/1333 | 4.58 | 4.40 | 4.34 | 4.34 | 4.58 |
| 4. Did other evaluations | reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 4.58 | 394/1495 | 4.58 | 4.51 | 4.25 | 4.28 | 4.58 |
| 5. Did assigned readings | s contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 4.42 | 485/1439 | 4.42 | 4.52 | 4.11 | 4.13 | 4.42 |
| 6. Did written assignmer | nts contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 4.25 | 669/1425 | 4.25 | 4.45 | 4.12 | 4.17 | 4.25 |
| 7. Was the grading system | em clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 4.50 | 448/1508 | 4.50 | 4.44 | 4.18 | 4.17 | 4.50 |
| 8. How many times was | class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 4.75 | 811/1526 | 4.75 | 4.70 | 4.66 | 4.68 | 4.75 |
| 9. How would you grade | the overall teaching effectiveness | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3.90 | 1046/1490 | 3.90 | 4.45 | 4.11 | 4.11 | 3.90 |
| | Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's | lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 4.75 | 478/1428 | 4.75 | 4.69 | 4.49 | 4.48 | 4.75 |
| 2. Did the instructor see | m interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 4.67 | 1043/1436 | 4.67 | 4.91 | 4.74 | 4.74 | 4.67 |
| 3. Was lecture material | presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 4.58 | 529/1427 | 4.58 | 4.61 | 4.32 | 4.31 | 4.58 |
| 4. Did the lectures contr | ibute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 4.58 | 578/1425 | 4.58 | 4.67 | 4.34 | 4.34 | 4.58 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techni | iques enhance your understanding | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 4.36 | 456/1291 | 4.36 | 4.48 | 4.05 | 4.09 | 4.36 |
| | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions | contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 4.45 | 497/1271 | 4.45 | 4.56 | 4.16 | 4.19 | 4.45 |
| 2. Were all students acti | vely encouraged to participate | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4.45 | 643/1276 | 4.45 | 4.70 | 4.33 | 4.37 | 4.45 |
| 3. Did the instructor enc | ourage fair and open discussion | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 4.55 | 607/1273 | 4.55 | 4.65 | 4.38 | 4.40 | 4.55 |
| 4. Were special techniqu | ies successful | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4.13 | 430/922 | 4.13 | 4.03 | 4.02 | 4.02 | 4.13 |

| Course-Section: AFST 312 1 | | | Term | <mark>ı - Fal</mark> | <mark> 201</mark> | C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 18 |
|--|-------|----|------|----------------------|--------------------|------|---|------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Title: West African History | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 12 |
| Instructor: Chuku,Gloria If | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | ****/198 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.16 | 4.26 | * * * * |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background informati | on 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/208 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.27 | 4.31 | * * * * |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/194 | **** | **** | 4.56 | 4.59 | * * * * |
| 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/194 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.37 | 4.37 | **** |
| 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/176 | **** | **** | 4.23 | 4.33 | **** |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/76 | * * * * | 4.50 | 4.51 | 4.02 | **** |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/74 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.31 | 3.86 | **** |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/66 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.27 | 4.00 | * * * * |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/76 | * * * * | **** | 4.27 | 3.68 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/73 | **** | 4.25 | 3.94 | 4.27 | **** |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/42 | **** | **** | 4.00 | 3.20 | * * * * |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/41 | * * * * | **** | 4.06 | 3.86 | **** |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/30 | * * * * | **** | 4.74 | 4.80 | **** |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/32 | **** | **** | 4.20 | 3.38 | **** |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/29 | * * * * | **** | 4.34 | 4.79 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/43 | * * * * | **** | 4.43 | 3.75 | **** |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/31 | * * * * | **** | 4.53 | 4.75 | **** |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/36 | * * * * | **** | 4.43 | 5.00 | **** |

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:34:34 AM

| Course-Section: AFST 312 1 | | | Term | - Fal | l 2010 | C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 18 |
|--|----|----|------|-------|--------|------|---|------|----------|--------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: West African History | | | | | | | - | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 12 |
| Instructor: Chuku,Gloria If | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/21 | **** | **** | 4.54 | 5.00 | **** |
| 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/20 | **** | * * * * | 4.45 | 5.00 | **** |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|------------------|-------------|------------------|----|
| 00-27 | 2 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 3 | Required for Majors | 3 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 2 |
| 28-55 | 1 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 8 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 2 | 2.00-2.99 | 2 | С | 0 | General | 2 | Under-grad | 12 | Non-major | 10 |
| 84-150 | 2 | 3.00-3.49 | 4 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 | Electives | 2 | **** - Means the | ere are not | enough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significan | t | | |
| | | | | Ι | 0 | Other | 2 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 1 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: AFST 320 1 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark> 201</mark> (| C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 25 |
|---|----|----|------|---------|----------------------|------|----|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: Contemp African Politics | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 14 |
| Instructor: Badru,Lateef Ol | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 4.92 | 144/1528 | 4.92 | 4.63 | 4.31 | 4.34 | 4.92 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 4.46 | 639/1527 | 4.46 | 4.47 | 4.28 | 4.27 | 4.46 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 4.91 | 147/1333 | 4.91 | 4.40 | 4.34 | 4.34 | 4.91 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 4.75 | 227/1495 | 4.75 | 4.51 | 4.25 | 4.28 | 4.75 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4.77 | 161/1439 | 4.77 | 4.52 | 4.11 | 4.13 | 4.77 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4.77 | 167/1425 | 4.77 | 4.45 | 4.12 | 4.17 | 4.77 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4.77 | 182/1508 | 4.77 | 4.44 | 4.18 | 4.17 | 4.77 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 4.92 | 453/1526 | 4.92 | 4.70 | 4.66 | 4.68 | 4.92 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4.75 | 156/1490 | 4.75 | 4.45 | 4.11 | 4.11 | 4.75 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 4.77 | 459/1428 | 4.77 | 4.69 | 4.49 | 4.48 | 4.77 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 4.85 | 709/1436 | 4.85 | 4.91 | 4.74 | 4.74 | 4.85 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 4.69 | 378/1427 | 4.69 | 4.61 | 4.32 | 4.31 | 4.69 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4.77 | 335/1425 | 4.77 | 4.67 | 4.34 | 4.34 | 4.77 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 5.00 | 1/1291 | 5.00 | 4.48 | 4.05 | 4.09 | 5.00 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 4.71 | 280/1271 | 4.71 | 4.56 | 4.16 | 4.19 | 4.71 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4.57 | 531/1276 | 4.57 | 4.70 | 4.33 | 4.37 | 4.57 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4.57 | 584/1273 | 4.57 | 4.65 | 4.38 | 4.40 | 4.57 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 7 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4.40 | 272/922 | 4.40 | 4.03 | 4.02 | 4.02 | 4.40 |

| Course-S | Section: | AFST 320 1 | | | | | Term | - Fall 20 | 0 | | | | | | Enrol | llment: | 25 |
|------------|----------|-------------|-------|----------|-------|-----|---------|------------|--------|-----|------|-----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|------|
| | Title: | Contemp Af | rican | Politics | | | | | | _ | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 14 |
| Inst | tructor: | Badru,Latee | ef Ol | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | - | | | Freque | ncies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | | Questions | | | NR | NA | 1 | 2 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Fre | equei | ncy Dist | ributi | ion | | | | | | | |
| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grade | es | | Reaso | ons | | | Туре | 2 | | Мај | ors | |
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 8 | | Requi | red for Ma | jors | 6 | ; | Graduate | 0 | | Major | | 0 |
| 28-55 | 1 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 1 | С | 0 | | Gene | ral | | 2 | | Under-grad | 14 | | Non-ma | ajor | 14 |
| 84-150 | 4 | 3.00-3.49 | 3 | D | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 3 | F | 0 | | Electiv | ves | | 1 | | **** - Means | there are | not enc | ough resp | oonses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | | | | | to be significa | ant | | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | | Other | | | 0 |) | | | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: | AFST 353 1 | | | Term | ı - Fal | l 2010 | C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 27 |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|----|----|------|---------|--------|------|----|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | Afr Amer Hist Since 1865 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 14 |
| Instructor: | Mack-Shelton,Ki | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insi | ghts,skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 4.86 | 199/1528 | 4.86 | 4.63 | 4.31 | 4.34 | 4.86 |
| 2. Did the instructor mal | ke clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 4.71 | 301/1527 | 4.71 | 4.47 | 4.28 | 4.27 | 4.71 |
| 3. Did the exam question | ns reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 4.29 | 810/1333 | 4.29 | 4.40 | 4.34 | 4.34 | 4.29 |
| 4. Did other evaluations | reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 4.64 | 332/1495 | 4.64 | 4.51 | 4.25 | 4.28 | 4.64 |
| 5. Did assigned readings | s contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 4.79 | 146/1439 | 4.79 | 4.52 | 4.11 | 4.13 | 4.79 |
| 6. Did written assignmer | nts contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 4.64 | 266/1425 | 4.64 | 4.45 | 4.12 | 4.17 | 4.64 |
| 7. Was the grading system | em clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 4.71 | 229/1508 | 4.71 | 4.44 | 4.18 | 4.17 | 4.71 |
| 8. How many times was | class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 5.00 | 1/1526 | 5.00 | 4.70 | 4.66 | 4.68 | 5.00 |
| 9. How would you grade | the overall teaching effectiveness | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 4.86 | 102/1490 | 4.86 | 4.45 | 4.11 | 4.11 | 4.86 |
| | Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's | lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 4.86 | 303/1428 | 4.86 | 4.69 | 4.49 | 4.48 | 4.86 |
| 2. Did the instructor see | m interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 5.00 | 1/1436 | 5.00 | 4.91 | 4.74 | 4.74 | 5.00 |
| 3. Was lecture material | presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 4.86 | 184/1427 | 4.86 | 4.61 | 4.32 | 4.31 | 4.86 |
| 4. Did the lectures contr | ibute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 5.00 | 1/1425 | 5.00 | 4.67 | 4.34 | 4.34 | 5.00 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techni | iques enhance your understanding | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 4.79 | 124/1291 | 4.79 | 4.48 | 4.05 | 4.09 | 4.79 |
| | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions | contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 4.50 | 446/1271 | 4.50 | 4.56 | 4.16 | 4.19 | 4.50 |
| 2. Were all students acti | vely encouraged to participate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 4.64 | 461/1276 | 4.64 | 4.70 | 4.33 | 4.37 | 4.64 |
| 3. Did the instructor enc | ourage fair and open discussion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 4.57 | 584/1273 | 4.57 | 4.65 | 4.38 | 4.40 | 4.57 |
| 4. Were special techniqu | ies successful | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3.22 | 820/922 | 3.22 | 4.03 | 4.02 | 4.02 | 3.22 |

| Course-Section: AFST 353 1 | | | Term | - Fal | l 2010 | C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 27 |
|---|----|----|------|-------|--------|------|---|------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: Afr Amer Hist Since 1865 | | | | | | | 2 | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 14 |
| Instructor: Mack-Shelton,Ki | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/208 | * * * * | **** | 4.27 | 4.31 | **** |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/194 | * * * * | **** | 4.56 | 4.59 | **** |
| 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/194 | **** | **** | 4.37 | 4.37 | **** |
| 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/176 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.23 | 4.33 | **** |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/76 | **** | 4.50 | 4.51 | 4.02 | **** |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/74 | **** | * * * * | 4.31 | 3.86 | **** |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/66 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.27 | 4.00 | **** |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/76 | **** | **** | 4.27 | 3.68 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/73 | **** | 4.25 | 3.94 | 4.27 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/43 | **** | * * * * | 4.43 | 3.75 | **** |

| ed Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|-----------|---------------------|---|------------------|-------------|------------------|----|
| 2 | Required for Majors | 2 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 7 | | | | | | |
| 4 | General | 4 | Under-grad | 14 | Non-major | 14 |
| 1 | | | | | | |
| 0 | Electives | 7 | **** - Means the | ere are not | enough responses | |
| 0 | | | to be significan | t | | |
| 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |

| Credits E | arned | Cum. GPA | ۹. | Expected Gr |
|-----------|-------|-----------|----|-------------|
| 00-27 | 1 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | A |
| 28-55 | 1 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В |
| 56-83 | 2 | 2.00-2.99 | 2 | С |
| 84-150 | 1 | 3.00-3.49 | 2 | D |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 2 | F |
| | | | | Р |
| | | | | I |

| Course-Section: | AFST 353 1 | | | Term | <mark>ı - Fall</mark> | 201 | C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 27 |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----|----|------|-----------------------|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | Afr Amer Hist Since 1865 | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 14 |
| Instructor: | Mack-Shelton,Ki | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Free | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ? | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: | AFST 354 1 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark> 201</mark> | C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 45 |
|---------------------------|--|----|----|------|---------|--------------------|------|----|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | Afro-Amer Womens History | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 35 |
| Instructor: | Scott,Michelle | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insi | ghts,skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 29 | 4.80 | 238/1528 | 4.80 | 4.63 | 4.31 | 4.34 | 4.80 |
| 2. Did the instructor mal | ke clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 29 | 4.83 | 188/1527 | 4.83 | 4.47 | 4.28 | 4.27 | 4.83 |
| 3. Did the exam question | 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 27 | 4.77 | 271/1333 | 4.77 | 4.40 | 4.34 | 4.34 | 4.77 |
| 4. Did other evaluations | reflect the expected goals | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 25 | 4.76 | 227/1495 | 4.76 | 4.51 | 4.25 | 4.28 | 4.76 |
| 5. Did assigned readings | s contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 29 | 4.83 | 125/1439 | 4.83 | 4.52 | 4.11 | 4.13 | 4.83 |
| 6. Did written assignmer | nts contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 28 | 4.80 | 136/1425 | 4.80 | 4.45 | 4.12 | 4.17 | 4.80 |
| 7. Was the grading system | em clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 24 | 4.63 | 329/1508 | 4.63 | 4.44 | 4.18 | 4.17 | 4.63 |
| 8. How many times was | class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 20 | 4.57 | 1002/1526 | 4.57 | 4.70 | 4.66 | 4.68 | 4.57 |
| 9. How would you grade | the overall teaching effectiveness | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 20 | 4.77 | 149/1490 | 4.77 | 4.45 | 4.11 | 4.11 | 4.77 |
| | Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's | lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 32 | 4.91 | 199/1428 | 4.91 | 4.69 | 4.49 | 4.48 | 4.91 |
| 2. Did the instructor see | m interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 5.00 | 1/1436 | 5.00 | 4.91 | 4.74 | 4.74 | 5.00 |
| 3. Was lecture material | presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 32 | 4.91 | 124/1427 | 4.91 | 4.61 | 4.32 | 4.31 | 4.91 |
| 4. Did the lectures contr | ibute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 34 | 4.97 | 49/1425 | 4.97 | 4.67 | 4.34 | 4.34 | 4.97 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techni | iques enhance your understanding | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 28 | 4.79 | 118/1291 | 4.79 | 4.48 | 4.05 | 4.09 | 4.79 |
| | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions | contribute to what you learned | 9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 21 | 4.54 | 421/1271 | 4.54 | 4.56 | 4.16 | 4.19 | 4.54 |
| 2. Were all students acti | vely encouraged to participate | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 20 | 4.62 | 494/1276 | 4.62 | 4.70 | 4.33 | 4.37 | 4.62 |
| 3. Did the instructor enc | ourage fair and open discussion | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 22 | 4.77 | 395/1273 | 4.77 | 4.65 | 4.38 | 4.40 | 4.77 |
| 4. Were special techniqu | ies successful | 9 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 3.84 | 578/922 | 3.84 | 4.03 | 4.02 | 4.02 | 3.84 |

| Course-Section: AFST 354 1 | | | Term | <mark>ı - Fal</mark> | <mark> 201</mark> | 0 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 45 |
|--|----------|----|------|----------------------|--------------------|------|---|------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: Afro-Amer Womens Hist | ory | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 35 |
| Instructor: Scott,Michelle | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material | 33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/198 | **** | * * * * | 4.16 | 4.26 | **** |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background inform | ation 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.00 | ****/208 | * * * * | **** | 4.27 | 4.31 | **** |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/194 | **** | **** | 4.56 | 4.59 | **** |
| 1. Did the lab instructor provide assistance | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/194 | * * * * | **** | 4.37 | 4.37 | **** |
| . Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/176 | **** | **** | 4.23 | 4.33 | **** |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced then | ne 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.00 | ****/76 | **** | 4.50 | 4.51 | 4.02 | **** |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.00 | ****/74 | **** | **** | 4.31 | 3.86 | **** |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.00 | ****/66 | **** | * * * * | 4.27 | 4.00 | **** |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.00 | ****/76 | **** | * * * * | 4.27 | 3.68 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4.50 | ****/73 | **** | 4.25 | 3.94 | 4.27 | **** |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.00 | ****/42 | **** | * * * * | 4.00 | 3.20 | **** |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.00 | ****/41 | **** | * * * * | 4.06 | 3.86 | **** |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.00 | ****/30 | **** | * * * * | 4.74 | 4.80 | **** |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations | 33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/32 | **** | **** | 4.20 | 3.38 | **** |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities | 33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/29 | * * * * | **** | 4.34 | 4.79 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 1 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4.50 | ****/43 | **** | **** | 4.43 | 3.75 | **** |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.00 | ****/31 | * * * * | **** | 4.53 | 4.75 | **** |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | 33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/36 | **** | **** | 4.43 | 5.00 | **** |

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:34:35 AM

| Course-Section: AFST 354 1 | | | Term | ı - Fal | l 2010 | C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 45 |
|--|----|----|------|---------|--------|------|---|------|----------|--------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: Afro-Amer Womens History | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 35 |
| Instructor: Scott,Michelle | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful | 33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/21 | **** | **** | 4.54 | 5.00 | **** |
| 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students | 33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/20 | **** | * * * * | 4.45 | 5.00 | **** |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|----|------------------|-------------|------------------|----|
| 00-27 | 2 | 0.00-0.99 | 1 | А | 13 | Required for Majors | 11 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 1 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 16 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 5 | 2.00-2.99 | 7 | С | 2 | General | 8 | Under-grad | 35 | Non-major | 34 |
| 84-150 | 9 | 3.00-3.49 | 5 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 6 | F | 0 | Electives | 11 | **** - Means the | ere are not | enough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significan | t | | |
| | | | | Ι | 0 | Other | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 4 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: AFST 369 1 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark> 201</mark> (| C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 24 |
|---|----|----|------|---------|----------------------|------|----|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: Black Families In U.S. | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 14 |
| Instructor: King,Sharon M. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 4.64 | 463/1528 | 4.64 | 4.63 | 4.31 | 4.34 | 4.64 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 4.38 | 760/1527 | 4.38 | 4.47 | 4.28 | 4.27 | 4.38 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 4.00 | 1003/1333 | 4.00 | 4.40 | 4.34 | 4.34 | 4.00 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 4.27 | 820/1495 | 4.27 | 4.51 | 4.25 | 4.28 | 4.27 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 4.00 | 851/1439 | 4.00 | 4.52 | 4.11 | 4.13 | 4.00 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 4.31 | 613/1425 | 4.31 | 4.45 | 4.12 | 4.17 | 4.31 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 3.92 | 1118/1508 | 3.92 | 4.44 | 4.18 | 4.17 | 3.92 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 4.92 | 453/1526 | 4.92 | 4.70 | 4.66 | 4.68 | 4.92 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4.56 | 305/1490 | 4.56 | 4.45 | 4.11 | 4.11 | 4.56 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 4.71 | 553/1428 | 4.71 | 4.69 | 4.49 | 4.48 | 4.71 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 4.86 | 677/1436 | 4.86 | 4.91 | 4.74 | 4.74 | 4.86 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 4.57 | 541/1427 | 4.57 | 4.61 | 4.32 | 4.31 | 4.57 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 4.50 | 667/1425 | 4.50 | 4.67 | 4.34 | 4.34 | 4.50 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 4.67 | 205/1291 | 4.67 | 4.48 | 4.05 | 4.09 | 4.67 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 4.54 | 421/1271 | 4.54 | 4.56 | 4.16 | 4.19 | 4.54 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 4.92 | 171/1276 | 4.92 | 4.70 | 4.33 | 4.37 | 4.92 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 4.85 | 301/1273 | 4.85 | 4.65 | 4.38 | 4.40 | 4.85 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 4.50 | 218/922 | 4.50 | 4.03 | 4.02 | 4.02 | 4.50 |

| Course-Section: AFST 369 1 | | | Term | <mark>ı - Fal</mark> | <mark>l 201</mark> | 0 | | | | | | Enro | Ilment: | 24 |
|---|----|----|------|----------------------|--------------------|------|---|------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: Black Families In U.S. | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 14 |
| Instructor: King,Sharon M. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | ****/198 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.16 | 4.26 | **** |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4.33 | ****/208 | * * * * | **** | 4.27 | 4.31 | **** |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | ****/194 | **** | **** | 4.56 | 4.59 | **** |
| 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | ****/194 | **** | **** | 4.37 | 4.37 | **** |
| 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | ****/176 | **** | **** | 4.23 | 4.33 | **** |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4.50 | 40/76 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.51 | 4.02 | 4.50 |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4.33 | ****/74 | **** | **** | 4.31 | 3.86 | **** |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4.00 | ****/66 | **** | **** | 4.27 | 4.00 | **** |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4.33 | ****/76 | * * * * | **** | 4.27 | 3.68 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4.25 | 34/73 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 3.94 | 4.27 | 4.25 |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | ****/42 | * * * * | **** | 4.00 | 3.20 | **** |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | ****/41 | * * * * | **** | 4.06 | 3.86 | **** |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | ****/30 | * * * * | **** | 4.74 | 4.80 | **** |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/32 | * * * * | **** | 4.20 | 3.38 | **** |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3.50 | ****/29 | **** | **** | 4.34 | 4.79 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4.00 | ****/43 | **** | * * * * | 4.43 | 3.75 | **** |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4.00 | ****/31 | **** | **** | 4.53 | 4.75 | **** |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3.50 | ****/36 | * * * * | **** | 4.43 | 5.00 | **** |

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:34:35 AM

| Course-Section: AFST 369 1 | | | Term | ı - Fal | l 2010 | C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 24 |
|--|----|----|------|---------|--------|------|---|------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: Black Families In U.S. | | | | | | | 2 | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 14 |
| Instructor: King,Sharon M. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quenc | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3.50 | ****/21 | **** | **** | 4.54 | 5.00 | **** |
| 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/20 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.45 | 5.00 | **** |

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|------------------|-------------|------------------|----|
| 00-27 | 1 | 0.00-0.99 | 1 | А | 4 | Required for Majors | 2 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 8 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 3 | С | 0 | General | 3 | Under-grad | 14 | Non-major | 14 |
| 84-150 | 4 | 3.00-3.49 | 1 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 | Electives | 4 | **** - Means the | ere are not | enough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significan | t | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 2 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 2 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: | AFST 385 1 | | | Term | ı - Fal | l 2010 | C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 25 |
|-----------------------------|--|----|----|------|---------|--------|------|----|------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | Prob Solv Urban Blk Comm | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 20 |
| Instructor: | Hickey,Terrence | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insig | ghts,skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 4.45 | 700/1528 | 4.45 | 4.63 | 4.31 | 4.34 | 4.45 |
| 2. Did the instructor mak | ke clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 4.85 | 161/1527 | 4.85 | 4.47 | 4.28 | 4.27 | 4.85 |
| 3. Did the exam question | 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 4.77 | 282/1333 | 4.77 | 4.40 | 4.34 | 4.34 | 4.77 |
| 4. Did other evaluations | reflect the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 5.00 | 1/1495 | 5.00 | 4.51 | 4.25 | 4.28 | 5.00 |
| 5. Did assigned readings | contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 4.70 | 205/1439 | 4.70 | 4.52 | 4.11 | 4.13 | 4.70 |
| 6. Did written assignmer | nts contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 16 | 4.75 | 175/1425 | 4.75 | 4.45 | 4.12 | 4.17 | 4.75 |
| 7. Was the grading syste | em clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 4.80 | 144/1508 | 4.80 | 4.44 | 4.18 | 4.17 | 4.80 |
| 8. How many times was | class cancelled | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 4.84 | 671/1526 | 4.84 | 4.70 | 4.66 | 4.68 | 4.84 |
| 9. How would you grade | the overall teaching effectiveness | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 4.42 | 479/1490 | 4.42 | 4.45 | 4.11 | 4.11 | 4.42 |
| | Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's | lectures well prepared | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 4.79 | 422/1428 | 4.79 | 4.69 | 4.49 | 4.48 | 4.79 |
| 2. Did the instructor see | m interested in the subject | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 5.00 | 1/1436 | 5.00 | 4.91 | 4.74 | 4.74 | 5.00 |
| 3. Was lecture material p | presented and explained clearly | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 4.78 | 270/1427 | 4.78 | 4.61 | 4.32 | 4.31 | 4.78 |
| 4. Did the lectures contri | ibute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 4.84 | 231/1425 | 4.84 | 4.67 | 4.34 | 4.34 | 4.84 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techni | ques enhance your understanding | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 4.33 | 480/1291 | 4.33 | 4.48 | 4.05 | 4.09 | 4.33 |
| | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions of | contribute to what you learned | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 4.94 | 98/1271 | 4.94 | 4.56 | 4.16 | 4.19 | 4.94 |
| 2. Were all students activ | vely encouraged to participate | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 5.00 | 1/1276 | 5.00 | 4.70 | 4.33 | 4.37 | 5.00 |
| 3. Did the instructor enc | ourage fair and open discussion | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 4.88 | 268/1273 | 4.88 | 4.65 | 4.38 | 4.40 | 4.88 |
| 4. Were special techniqu | es successful | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 4.67 | 158/922 | 4.67 | 4.03 | 4.02 | 4.02 | 4.67 |

| Course-Section: AFST 385 1 | | | Term | ı - Fal | l 2010 | C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 25 |
|---|----|----|------|---------|--------|------|---|------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: Prob Solv Urban Blk Comm | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 20 |
| Instructor: Hickey,Terrence | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2 | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3.00 | ****/208 | **** | **** | 4.27 | 4.31 | **** |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/194 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.56 | 4.59 | **** |
| 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/194 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.37 | 4.37 | **** |
| 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/176 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.23 | 4.33 | **** |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/42 | **** | * * * * | 4.00 | 3.20 | **** |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/41 | **** | **** | 4.06 | 3.86 | **** |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/30 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.74 | 4.80 | **** |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/32 | * * * * | **** | 4.20 | 3.38 | **** |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/29 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.34 | 4.79 | **** |

Frequency Distribution

5

3

3

1

| Credits E | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grades |
|-----------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|
| 00-27 | 1 | 0.00-0.99 | 1 | А | 10 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 4 |
| 56-83 | 2 | 2.00-2.99 | 1 | С | 0 |
| 84-150 | 5 | 3.00-3.49 | 4 | D | 0 |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 4 | F | 0 |
| | | | | Р | 0 |

Т

?

0

6

| S | Reasons |
|---|---------------------|
| | Required for Majors |
| | |
| | General |
| | |
| | Electives |
| | Other |
| | |
| | |

| Туре | | Majors | | | | | | |
|--|----|-----------|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 | | | | | |
| Under-grad | 20 | Non-major | 20 | | | | | |
| **** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant | | | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: | AFST 460 01 | | | Term | <mark>ı - Fal</mark> | <mark> 201</mark> | C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 27 |
|--|---|----|---|------|----------------------|--------------------|----|------|-----------|-----------|------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | Seminar in Black Hair an | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 22 |
| Instructor: | Moffitt,Kimberl | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect | |
| | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insi | ghts,skills from this course | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 14 | 4.57 | 555/1528 | 4.57 | 4.63 | 4.31 | 4.39 | 4.57 |
| 2. Did the instructor mal | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 11 | 4.14 | 1007/1527 | 4.14 | 4.47 | 4.28 | 4.30 | 4.14 | |
| 3. Did the exam question | Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | | | | 0 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 4.18 | 880/1333 | 4.18 | 4.40 | 4.34 | 4.37 | 4.18 |
| 4. Did other evaluations | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 4.40 | 657/1495 | 4.40 | 4.51 | 4.25 | 4.33 | 4.40 | |
| 5. Did assigned readings | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 4.71 | 197/1439 | 4.71 | 4.52 | 4.11 | 4.20 | 4.71 | |
| 6. Did written assignmer | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 4.14 | 796/1425 | 4.14 | 4.45 | 4.12 | 4.26 | 4.14 | |
| 7. Was the grading system | 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | | | | 2 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 4.14 | 933/1508 | 4.14 | 4.44 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.14 |
| 8. How many times was | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 5 | 4.23 | 1313/1526 | 4.23 | 4.70 | 4.66 | 4.71 | 4.23 | |
| 9. How would you grade | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 4.47 | 389/1490 | 4.47 | 4.45 | 4.11 | 4.19 | 4.47 | |
| | Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's | lectures well prepared | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 4.28 | 1065/1428 | 4.28 | 4.69 | 4.49 | 4.54 | 4.28 |
| 2. Did the instructor see | m interested in the subject | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 5.00 | 1/1436 | 5.00 | 4.91 | 4.74 | 4.75 | 5.00 |
| 3. Was lecture material | presented and explained clearly | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 4.68 | 392/1427 | 4.68 | 4.61 | 4.32 | 4.37 | 4.68 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | | | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 14 | 4.53 | 644/1425 | 4.53 | 4.67 | 4.34 | 4.37 | 4.53 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 13 | 4.56 | 290/1291 | 4.56 | 4.48 | 4.05 | 4.10 | 4.56 |
| | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 4.68 | 304/1271 | 4.68 | 4.56 | 4.16 | 4.33 | 4.68 |
| 2. Were all students acti | vely encouraged to participate | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 4.95 | 114/1276 | 4.95 | 4.70 | 4.33 | 4.49 | 4.95 |
| 3. Did the instructor enc | ourage fair and open discussion | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 4.89 | 246/1273 | 4.89 | 4.65 | 4.38 | 4.55 | 4.89 |
| 4. Were special techniqu | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 4.53 | 205/922 | 4.53 | 4.03 | 4.02 | 4.23 | 4.53 | |

| Course-Section: AFST 460 01 | | | Term | ı - Fal | l 2010 |) | | | | | | Enrol | llment: | 27 |
|--|----|----|------|---------|--------|------|---|------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Title: Seminar in Black Hair an | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 22 |
| Instructor: Moffitt,Kimberl | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.00 | ****/76 | **** | 4.50 | 4.51 | 4.83 | **** |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.00 | ****/74 | **** | * * * * | 4.31 | 4.42 | **** |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.00 | ****/66 | **** | **** | 4.27 | 4.26 | **** |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.00 | ****/76 | **** | * * * * | 4.27 | 4.42 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.00 | ****/73 | * * * * | 4.25 | 3.94 | 4.23 | * * * * |

Frequency Distribution

| | | | | | 1 5 | | | | | |
|--------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|----|------------------|-------------|------------------|--|
| Earned | Cum. GP/ | Ą | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | Majors | | |
| 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 5 | Required for Majors | 5 | Graduate | 0 | Major | |
| 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 13 | | | | | | |
| 3 | 2.00-2.99 | 2 | С | 2 | General | 1 | Under-grad | 22 | Non-major | |
| 3 | 3.00-3.49 | 7 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 1 | F | 0 | Electives | 11 | **** - Means the | ere are not | enough responses | |
| | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significan | t | | |
| | | | I | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | ? | 2 | | | | | | |

Credits Earned

00-27

28-55

56-83

84-150

Grad.

0

22