Course-Section: AMST 100 01

Title: Intro. to American Studi

Instructor: King, Paula Nico

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 40

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	2	7	18	4.50	632/1542	4.49	4.59	4.33	4.18	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	1	1	5	20	4.50	615/1542	4.62	4.67	4.29	4.23	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	0	3	6	18	4.43	671/1339	4.61	4.68	4.32	4.14	4.43
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	0	3	6	18	4.43	660/1498	4.59	4.59	4.26	4.08	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	4	10	14	4.36	536/1428	4.39	4.53	4.12	3.98	4.36
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	6	5	16	4.25	684/1407	4.40	4.54	4.15	3.92	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	3	3	22	4.68	317/1521	4.66	4.54	4.20	4.09	4.68
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	1	0	6	21	4.68	985/1541	4.59	4.45	4.70	4.66	4.68
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	1	1	0	0	7	12	4.45	433/1518	4.53	4.44	4.11	4.00	4.45
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	4	22	4.85	303/1472	4.83	4.76	4.46	4.38	4.85
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	1	25	4.96	215/1475	4.95	4.91	4.72	4.63	4.96
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	6	19	4.69	425/1471	4.77	4.72	4.32	4.23	4.69
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	4	21	4.77	361/1470	4.79	4.74	4.33	4.21	4.77
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	2	4	5	15	4.27	566/1310	4.46	4.58	4.06	3.93	4.27
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	235/1210	4.73	4.72	4.18	3.91	4.78
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	20	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1211	4.88	4.79	4.37	4.15	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	20	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	256/1207	4.88	4.87	4.41	4.12	4.89

Course-Section: AMST 100 01

Title: Intro. to American Studi

Instructor: King, Paula Nico

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 40
Questionnaires: 29

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	20	2	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	****/859	4.56	4.31	4.08	3.95	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	18	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	8	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	8	С	0	General	12	Under-grad	29	Non-major	27
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: AMST 100 02

Title: Intro. to American Studi

Instructor: King, Paula Nico

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 40

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	4	8	19	4.48	661/1542	4.49	4.59	4.33	4.18	4.48
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	6	24	4.74	311/1542	4.62	4.67	4.29	4.23	4.74
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	4	25	4.80	254/1339	4.61	4.68	4.32	4.14	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	6	24	4.74	263/1498	4.59	4.59	4.26	4.08	4.74
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	4	10	17	4.42	483/1428	4.39	4.53	4.12	3.98	4.42
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	10	19	4.55	365/1407	4.40	4.54	4.15	3.92	4.55
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	8	22	4.65	356/1521	4.66	4.54	4.20	4.09	4.65
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	2	2	5	21	4.50	1124/1541	4.59	4.45	4.70	4.66	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	1	8	16	4.60	295/1518	4.53	4.44	4.11	4.00	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	2	27	4.81	367/1472	4.83	4.76	4.46	4.38	4.81
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	29	4.94	376/1475	4.95	4.91	4.72	4.63	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	3	27	4.84	244/1471	4.77	4.72	4.32	4.23	4.84
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	26	4.81	311/1470	4.79	4.74	4.33	4.21	4.81
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	2	6	21	4.66	209/1310	4.46	4.58	4.06	3.93	4.66
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	5	11	4.69	306/1210	4.73	4.72	4.18	3.91	4.69
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	352/1211	4.88	4.79	4.37	4.15	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	267/1207	4.88	4.87	4.41	4.12	4.88
4. Were special techniques successful	15	0	0	0	2	3	11	4.56	195/859	4.56	4.31	4.08	3.95	4.56

Course-Section: AMST 100 02

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 40

Title: Intro. to American Studi

Instructor: King, Paula Nico

Questionnaires: 31

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	29	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/210	****	****	4.17	4.14	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	29	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/69	****	4.94	4.56	4.27	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	29	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/69	****	4.94	4.60	4.28	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	29	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.50	4.15	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/73	****	4.94	4.54	4.22	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	29	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/67	****	4.82	4.17	3.14	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	5.00	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	5.00	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.27	4.84	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	30	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	****	4.57	4.84	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	30	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	****	****	4.29	4.82	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	30	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/18	****	****	4.25	4.80	****

Run Date: 6/29/2012 8:54:02 AM

Course-Section: AMST 100 02

Title: Intro. to American Studi

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 40
Questionnaires: 31

Instructor: King, Paula Nico

Frequencies Instructor Course Org UMBC Level Sect

Questions NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean

Self Paced

5. Were there enough proctors for all the students 30 0 0 0 1 0 4.00 ****/13 **** 4.14 4.77

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	21	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	8	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	5	С	0	General	14	Under-grad	31	Non-major	29
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Course-Section: AMST 200 01

0 01 Term - Spring 2012

Instructor: Muller, Christin

Title: Multicultural America

Enrollment: 40

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	6	7	6	4.00	1173/1542	4.25	4.59	4.33	4.35	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	6	10	4.37	799/1542	4.52	4.67	4.29	4.29	4.37
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	15	4.74	337/1339	4.60	4.68	4.32	4.40	4.74
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	4	7	6	4.00	1058/1498	4.26	4.59	4.26	4.31	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	4	12	4.47	421/1428	4.40	4.53	4.12	4.17	4.47
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	1	1	3	3	5	3.77	1075/1407	4.15	4.54	4.15	4.14	3.77
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	1	4	12	4.37	708/1521	4.36	4.54	4.20	4.22	4.37
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	15	4	4.21	1353/1541	4.33	4.45	4.70	4.68	4.21
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	4	9	5	4.06	881/1518	4.12	4.44	4.11	4.12	4.06
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	2	5	10	4.47	858/1472	4.56	4.76	4.46	4.53	4.47
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	1013/1475	4.81	4.91	4.72	4.79	4.69
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	5	3	8	4.19	1000/1471	4.33	4.72	4.32	4.37	4.19
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	1	4	10	4.44	776/1470	4.40	4.74	4.33	4.40	4.44
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	13	0	0	2	1	0	3.33	****/1310	3.60	4.58	4.06	4.19	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	3	2	6	4.27	621/1210	4.59	4.72	4.18	4.18	4.27
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	550/1211	4.72	4.79	4.37	4.34	4.55
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	333/1207	4.91	4.87	4.41	4.40	4.82

Course-Section: AMST 200 01

Title: Multicultural America

Instructor: Muller, Christin

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 40
Questionnaires: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	7	0	1	2	1	0	3.00	****/859	****	4.31	4.08	4.07	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	0	С	2	General	13	Under-grad	19	Non-major	18
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1	_			
				?	4						

Course-Section: AMST 200 02

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 40

Title: Multicultural America

Instructor: Muller, Christin

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	3	3	12	4.50	632/1542	4.25	4.59	4.33	4.35	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	4	13	4.67	416/1542	4.52	4.67	4.29	4.29	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	3	3	11	4.47	615/1339	4.60	4.68	4.32	4.40	4.47
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	2	4	11	4.53	524/1498	4.26	4.59	4.26	4.31	4.53
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	1	4	11	4.33	552/1428	4.40	4.53	4.12	4.17	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	1	1	3	12	4.53	385/1407	4.15	4.54	4.15	4.14	4.53
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	3	5	9	4.35	721/1521	4.36	4.54	4.20	4.22	4.35
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	10	8	4.44	1174/1541	4.33	4.45	4.70	4.68	4.44
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	2	9	5	4.19	763/1518	4.12	4.44	4.11	4.12	4.19
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	629/1472	4.56	4.76	4.46	4.53	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	323/1475	4.81	4.91	4.72	4.79	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	2	5	10	4.47	681/1471	4.33	4.72	4.32	4.37	4.47
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	4	3	10	4.35	865/1470	4.40	4.74	4.33	4.40	4.35
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	12	1	1	0	0	3	3.60	1020/1310	3.60	4.58	4.06	4.19	3.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	129/1210	4.59	4.72	4.18	4.18	4.91
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	194/1211	4.72	4.79	4.37	4.34	4.90
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1207	4.91	4.87	4.41	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	8	7	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/859	****	4.31	4.08	4.07	****

Course-Section: AMST 200 02

0 02 Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 40

Questionnaires: 19

Title: Multicultural America
Instructor: Muller, Christin

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	****	4.12	4.26	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/210	****	****	4.17	4.32	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	****	4.50	4.62	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	****	4.32	4.20	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	****	4.15	4.32	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.94	4.56	4.68	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.94	4.60	4.52	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.50	4.34	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.94	4.54	4.63	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.82	4.17	3.72	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.55	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.70	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	4.50	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.50	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.27	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.57	4.50	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.29	4.50	****

Course-Section: AMST 200 02

Title: Multicultural America

Instructor: Muller, Christin

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 40

Questionnaires: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	****	4.25	4.50	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	****	4.14	4.50	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	3	С	1	General	5	Under-grad	19	Non-major	16
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	3	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Course-Section: AMST 300 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 14

i iue:

Title: Approach In Amer Studies

Questionnaires: 11

Instructor: King, Paula Nico

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.59	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.67	4.29	4.31	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.68	4.32	4.36	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	128/1498	4.90	4.59	4.26	4.32	4.90
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	276/1428	4.64	4.53	4.12	4.15	4.64
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	136/1407	4.82	4.54	4.15	4.20	4.82
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	176/1521	4.82	4.54	4.20	4.23	4.82
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	937/1541	4.73	4.45	4.70	4.71	4.73
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.44	4.11	4.13	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	240/1472	4.89	4.76	4.46	4.46	4.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.91	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1471	5.00	4.72	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1470	5.00	4.74	4.33	4.35	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	6	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	****/1310	****	4.58	4.06	4.11	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.72	4.18	4.27	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.79	4.37	4.45	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.87	4.41	4.51	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	2	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	361/859	4.25	4.31	4.08	4.13	4.25

Course-Section: AMST 300 01

Title: Approach In Amer Studies

Instructor: King, Paula Nico

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.94	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.94	4.60	4.68	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.50	4.51	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	4.94	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/67	****	4.82	4.17	4.46	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	4	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	11	Non-major	8
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: AMST 303 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 38

Questionnaires: 29

Title: Ethnography In America

Instructor: Stefano, Michell

'	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	3	6	19	4.45	720/1542	4.45	4.59	4.33	4.37	4.45
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	4	24	4.86	186/1542	4.86	4.67	4.29	4.31	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	3	22	4.59	497/1339	4.59	4.68	4.32	4.36	4.59
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	4	21	4.64	380/1498	4.64	4.59	4.26	4.32	4.64
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	5	23	4.82	132/1428	4.82	4.53	4.12	4.15	4.82
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	2	24	4.79	156/1407	4.79	4.54	4.15	4.20	4.79
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	2	6	20	4.64	356/1521	4.64	4.54	4.20	4.23	4.64
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	7	21	4.75	906/1541	4.75	4.45	4.70	4.71	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	1	2	12	6	4.10	849/1518	4.10	4.44	4.11	4.13	4.10
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	2	25	4.86	288/1472	4.86	4.76	4.46	4.46	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	27	4.96	215/1475	4.96	4.91	4.72	4.74	4.96
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	2	2	24	4.79	306/1471	4.79	4.72	4.32	4.33	4.79
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	3	24	4.82	284/1470	4.82	4.74	4.33	4.35	4.82
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	1	0	5	2	18	4.38	445/1310	4.38	4.58	4.06	4.11	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	1	5	14	4.65	331/1210	4.65	4.72	4.18	4.27	4.65
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	0	4	16	4.80	290/1211	4.80	4.79	4.37	4.45	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	1	1	2	16	4.65	508/1207	4.65	4.87	4.41	4.51	4.65
4. Were special techniques successful	9	3	1	0	2	4	10	4.29	339/859	4.29	4.31	4.08	4.13	4.29

Course-Section: AMST 303 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 38

Title: Ethnography In America

Questionnaires: 29

Instructor: Stefano, Michell

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	28	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/210	****	****	4.17	4.21	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	28	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	****	4.32	4.44	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	28	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/69	****	4.94	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	28	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/69	****	4.94	4.60	4.68	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.50	4.51	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	4.94	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	28	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/67	****	4.82	4.17	4.46	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	27	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	28	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	27	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	27	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/23	****	****	4.41	3.84	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	27	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.17	****
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	28	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.29	2.17	****

Course-Section: AMST 303 01

Title: Ethnography In America
Instructor: Stefano, Michell

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 38

Questionnaires: 29

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	28	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/13	****	****	4.14	1.00	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	15	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	4	С	1	General	2	Under-grad	29	Non-major	23
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	7						

Course-Section: AMST 310 01

Title: Gender And Inequality

Instructor: Taylor, Dabrina

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 37

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	0	4	6	14	4.28	929/1542	4.48	4.59	4.33	4.37	4.28
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	3	6	15	4.27	917/1542	4.53	4.67	4.29	4.31	4.27
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	16	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	529/1339	4.51	4.68	4.32	4.36	4.56
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	3	3	17	4.61	428/1498	4.51	4.59	4.26	4.32	4.61
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	0	2	21	4.54	363/1428	4.61	4.53	4.12	4.15	4.54
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	4	6	15	4.44	480/1407	4.52	4.54	4.15	4.20	4.44
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	3	4	4	14	4.16	934/1521	4.23	4.54	4.20	4.23	4.16
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	1	1	0	0	24	4.73	927/1541	4.84	4.45	4.70	4.71	4.73
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	1	0	3	12	6	4.00	920/1518	4.18	4.44	4.11	4.13	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	1	2	3	18	4.44	899/1472	4.60	4.76	4.46	4.46	4.44
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	2	22	4.84	700/1475	4.90	4.91	4.72	4.74	4.84
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	2	5	17	4.52	617/1471	4.60	4.72	4.32	4.33	4.52
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	3	4	16	4.36	855/1470	4.56	4.74	4.33	4.35	4.36
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	1	4	4	16	4.40	425/1310	4.50	4.58	4.06	4.11	4.40
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	1	0	2	3	9	4.27	628/1210	4.52	4.72	4.18	4.27	4.27
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	451/1211	4.72	4.79	4.37	4.45	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	344/1207	4.83	4.87	4.41	4.51	4.80

Course-Section: AMST 310 01

Title: Gender And Inequality

Instructor: Taylor, Dabrina

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 37
Questionnaires: 26

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	11	8	0	1	2	1	3	3.86	568/859	3.93	4.31	4.08	4.13	3.86

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	15	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	10	Under-grad	26	Non-major	24
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	7	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: AMST 310 02

Title: Gender And Inequality

Instructor: Taylor, Dabrina

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 35

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	10	0	0	1	1	3	20	4.68	410/1542	4.48	4.59	4.33	4.37	4.68
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	10	0	0	0	1	3	21	4.80	229/1542	4.53	4.67	4.29	4.31	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	12	6	1	0	1	3	12	4.47	615/1339	4.51	4.68	4.32	4.36	4.47
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	11	0	2	0	1	4	17	4.42	674/1498	4.51	4.59	4.26	4.32	4.42
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	2	4	19	4.68	236/1428	4.61	4.53	4.12	4.15	4.68
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	1	2	3	19	4.60	306/1407	4.52	4.54	4.15	4.20	4.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	11	0	1	1	3	4	15	4.29	795/1521	4.23	4.54	4.20	4.23	4.29
8. How many times was class cancelled	11	0	0	0	0	1	23	4.96	345/1541	4.84	4.45	4.70	4.71	4.96
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	15	1	0	0	2	8	9	4.37	548/1518	4.18	4.44	4.11	4.13	4.37
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	11	0	0	1	1	1	21	4.75	452/1472	4.60	4.76	4.46	4.46	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	11	0	0	0	0	1	23	4.96	269/1475	4.90	4.91	4.72	4.74	4.96
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	13	0	0	0	1	5	16	4.68	438/1471	4.60	4.72	4.32	4.33	4.68
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	6	18	4.75	374/1470	4.56	4.74	4.33	4.35	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	12	1	0	1	1	4	16	4.59	254/1310	4.50	4.58	4.06	4.11	4.59
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	3	19	4.78	227/1210	4.52	4.72	4.18	4.27	4.78
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	1	3	19	4.78	315/1211	4.72	4.79	4.37	4.45	4.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	3	20	4.87	278/1207	4.83	4.87	4.41	4.51	4.87
4. Were special techniques successful	12	10	2	0	2	1	8	4.00	478/859	3.93	4.31	4.08	4.13	4.00

Course-Section: AMST 310 02

Title: Gender And Inequality

Instructor: Taylor, Dabrina

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 35
Questionnaires: 35

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.94	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	34	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.94	4.60	4.68	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	3	General	4	Under-grad	35	Non-major	35
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	10	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	14						

Course-Section: AMST 320 01

Title: Tv In American Culture

Instructor: Hummel, Michael

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 41

	0 0 0 1 3 11 21 4.44 720/ 0 0 0 2 3 8 23 4.44 698/ 0 0 0 0 0 6 30 4.83 224/ 0 0 0 0 0 11 25 4.69 321/ ed 0 0 0 2 6 13 15 4.14 758/ nned 1 0 0 1 1 10 23 4.57 335/					structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	11	21	4.44	720/1542	4.50	4.59	4.33	4.37	4.44
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	3	8	23	4.44	698/1542	4.59	4.67	4.29	4.31	4.44
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	6	30	4.83	224/1339	4.84	4.68	4.32	4.36	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	11	25	4.69	321/1498	4.66	4.59	4.26	4.32	4.69
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	6	13	15	4.14	758/1428	4.10	4.53	4.12	4.15	4.14
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	1	10	23	4.57	335/1407	4.54	4.54	4.15	4.20	4.57
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	1	0	4	4	8	17	4.15	944/1521	4.33	4.54	4.20	4.23	4.15
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	27	9	4.25	1327/1541	4.29	4.45	4.70	4.71	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	0	1	0	16	14	4.39	521/1518	4.40	4.44	4.11	4.13	4.39
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	9	23	4.67	598/1472	4.76	4.76	4.46	4.46	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	6	26	4.76	897/1475	4.79	4.91	4.72	4.74	4.76
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	7	25	4.73	386/1471	4.80	4.72	4.32	4.33	4.73
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	8	24	4.70	453/1470	4.80	4.74	4.33	4.35	4.70
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	0	3	2	26	4.74	146/1310	4.79	4.58	4.06	4.11	4.74
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	1	7	13	4.57	390/1210	4.54	4.72	4.18	4.27	4.57
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	0	0	1	5	14	4.65	461/1211	4.72	4.79	4.37	4.45	4.65
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	16	0	0	0	1	1	18	4.85	289/1207	4.82	4.87	4.41	4.51	4.85

Course-Section: AMST 320 01

Title: Tv In American Culture

Instructor: Hummel, Michael

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 41

Questionnaires: 36

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	16	1	0	0	3	6	10	4.37	297/859	4.48	4.31	4.08	4.13	4.37

Credits E	Earned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	24	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	5	С	2	General	11	Under-grad	36	Non-major	34
84-150	14	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	16	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	1			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	2						

Course-Section: AMST 320 02

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 40

- . .

Title: Tv In American Culture

Questionnaires: 34

Instructor: Hummel, Michael

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	9	22	4.56	572/1542	4.50	4.59	4.33	4.37	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	7	26	4.74	324/1542	4.59	4.67	4.29	4.31	4.74
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	30	4.85	205/1339	4.84	4.68	4.32	4.36	4.85
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	11	22	4.62	416/1498	4.66	4.59	4.26	4.32	4.62
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	7	8	16	4.06	821/1428	4.10	4.53	4.12	4.15	4.06
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	11	20	4.50	405/1407	4.54	4.54	4.15	4.20	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	3	7	23	4.50	518/1521	4.33	4.54	4.20	4.23	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	23	11	4.32	1277/1541	4.29	4.45	4.70	4.71	4.32
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	0	0	1	15	13	4.41	481/1518	4.40	4.44	4.11	4.13	4.41
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	3	28	4.84	303/1472	4.76	4.76	4.46	4.46	4.84
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	4	27	4.81	781/1475	4.79	4.91	4.72	4.74	4.81
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	2	28	4.87	198/1471	4.80	4.72	4.32	4.33	4.87
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	3	29	4.91	177/1470	4.80	4.74	4.33	4.35	4.91
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	1	0	0	1	30	4.84	95/1310	4.79	4.58	4.06	4.11	4.84
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	2	3	9	4.50	430/1210	4.54	4.72	4.18	4.27	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	20	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	315/1211	4.72	4.79	4.37	4.45	4.79
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	20	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	367/1207	4.82	4.87	4.41	4.51	4.79

Course-Section: AMST 320 02

Title: Tv In American Culture

Instructor: Hummel, Michael

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 40
Questionnaires: 34

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	20	2	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	188/859	4.48	4.31	4.08	4.13	4.58

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	21	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	10						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	4	С	1	General	13	Under-grad	34	Non-major	34
84-150	11	3.00-3.49	9	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	12	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

Course-Section: AMST 327 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 42 Questionnaires: 39

Instructor: Moffitt,Kimberl

Title: Sports and Media

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	7	11	19	4.32	882/1542	4.42	4.59	4.33	4.37	4.32
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	1	7	6	23	4.29	892/1542	4.34	4.67	4.29	4.31	4.29
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	7	8	23	4.42	671/1339	4.36	4.68	4.32	4.36	4.42
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	1	2	6	7	20	4.19	916/1498	4.23	4.59	4.26	4.32	4.19
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	2	10	2	23	4.24	639/1428	4.32	4.53	4.12	4.15	4.24
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	3	7	10	16	4.00	874/1407	4.17	4.54	4.15	4.20	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	8	7	22	4.38	696/1521	4.41	4.54	4.20	4.23	4.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	1	14	19	3	3.65	1524/1541	3.80	4.45	4.70	4.71	3.65
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	2	0	0	6	13	8	4.07	865/1518	4.17	4.44	4.11	4.13	4.07
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	1	1	2	9	23	4.44	899/1472	4.61	4.76	4.46	4.46	4.44
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	6	29	4.78	861/1475	4.82	4.91	4.72	4.74	4.78
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	1	0	4	12	19	4.33	870/1471	4.58	4.72	4.32	4.33	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	5	7	24	4.53	671/1470	4.59	4.74	4.33	4.35	4.53
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	1	1	3	12	18	4.29	546/1310	4.45	4.58	4.06	4.11	4.29
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	1	3	20	4.79	219/1210	4.63	4.72	4.18	4.27	4.79
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	0	0	2	4	18	4.67	451/1211	4.60	4.79	4.37	4.45	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	0	0	1	4	19	4.75	402/1207	4.71	4.87	4.41	4.51	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	15	3	1	2	2	7	9	4.00	478/859	3.92	4.31	4.08	4.13	4.00

Course-Section: AMST 327 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 42

Instructor: Moffitt, Kimberl

Title: Sports and Media

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	38	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/207	****	****	4.12	4.17	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	38	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/210	****	****	4.17	4.21	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	38	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/202	****	****	4.50	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	38	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/202	****	****	4.32	4.44	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	38	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/199	****	****	4.15	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	37	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/69	****	4.94	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	38	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/69	****	4.94	4.60	4.68	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	38	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.50	4.51	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	38	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	4.94	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	38	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/67	****	4.82	4.17	4.46	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	38	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	38	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	38	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	38	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	3.84	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	38	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.17	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	38	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	38	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	****	4.57	4.33	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	38	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/29	****	****	4.29	2.17	****

Course-Section: AMST 327 01

Title: Sports and Media

Instructor: Moffitt, Kimberl

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 42

Questionnaires: 39

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	38	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	****	4.25	1.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	38	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/13	****	****	4.14	1.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	9	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	19						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	3	С	4	General	8	Under-grad	39	Non-major	37
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	12	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	18	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	7						

Course-Section: AMST 327 02

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 40 Questionnaires: 27

Title: Sports and Media

Instructor: Moffitt,Kimberl

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	0	0	2	7	14	4.52	608/1542	4.42	4.59	4.33	4.37	4.52
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	0	4	6	13	4.39	765/1542	4.34	4.67	4.29	4.31	4.39
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	6	4	13	4.30	785/1339	4.36	4.68	4.32	4.36	4.30
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	0	1	0	4	5	13	4.26	843/1498	4.23	4.59	4.26	4.32	4.26
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	3	5	14	4.39	502/1428	4.32	4.53	4.12	4.15	4.39
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	3	6	13	4.35	589/1407	4.17	4.54	4.15	4.20	4.35
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	0	1	3	4	15	4.43	616/1521	4.41	4.54	4.20	4.23	4.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	2	20	1	3.96	1479/1541	3.80	4.45	4.70	4.71	3.96
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	1	1	0	0	10	8	4.26	675/1518	4.17	4.44	4.11	4.13	4.26
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	5	18	4.78	401/1472	4.61	4.76	4.46	4.46	4.78
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	3	20	4.87	646/1475	4.82	4.91	4.72	4.74	4.87
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	4	19	4.83	256/1471	4.58	4.72	4.32	4.33	4.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	6	16	4.65	513/1470	4.59	4.74	4.33	4.35	4.65
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	1	7	15	4.61	247/1310	4.45	4.58	4.06	4.11	4.61
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	2	4	9	4.47	467/1210	4.63	4.72	4.18	4.27	4.47
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	1	5	9	4.53	558/1211	4.60	4.79	4.37	4.45	4.53
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	5	10	4.67	499/1207	4.71	4.87	4.41	4.51	4.67

Run Date: 6/29/2012 8:54:03 AM

Course-Section: AMST 327 02

Title: Sports and Media

Instructor: Moffitt, Kimberl

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 40

Questionnaires: 27

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	12	3	2	0	1	4	5	3.83	576/859	3.92	4.31	4.08	4.13	3.83

Credits E	arnod	Cum. GP/		Expected	Grados	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
Cieuits E	ailleu	Cuiii. GP	•	Expected	Graues	Reasons		iype		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	9						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	3	С	4	General	3	Under-grad	27	Non-major	26
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	10						

Course-Section: AMST 375 01

Title: Studies in Asian America

Instructor: Gonzalves, Theod

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 35

		0 0 0 0 0 0 2 27 4.93 118/1 0 0 0 0 0 1 28 4.97 58/1 0 2 0 0 0 5 22 4.81 244/1 0 0 0 0 0 5 23 4.82 180/1 0 0 0 0 0 2 27 4.93 65/1 0 0 0 0 1 5 23 4.76 178/1 0 0 0 0 0 4 25 4.86 133/1 0 0 1 0 1 14 13 4.31 1286/1 0 0 0 0 0 3 17 4.67 244/1 0 0 0 0 0 2 26 4.93 130/1 0 0 0 0 0 1 27 4.96 71/1 0 0 0 0 0 2 24 4.92 61/1				structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	27	4.93	118/1542	4.97	4.59	4.33	4.37	4.93
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	28	4.97	58/1542	4.92	4.67	4.29	4.31	4.97
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	5	22	4.81	244/1339	4.85	4.68	4.32	4.36	4.81
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	5	23	4.82	180/1498	4.80	4.59	4.26	4.32	4.82
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	27	4.93	65/1428	4.97	4.53	4.12	4.15	4.93
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	5	23	4.76	178/1407	4.77	4.54	4.15	4.20	4.76
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	4	25	4.86	133/1521	4.82	4.54	4.20	4.23	4.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	1	0	1	14	13	4.31	1286/1541	4.21	4.45	4.70	4.71	4.31
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	1	1	0	0	3	17	4.67	244/1518	4.69	4.44	4.11	4.13	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	27	4.96	84/1472	4.93	4.76	4.46	4.46	4.96
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	28	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.91	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	26	4.93	130/1471	4.91	4.72	4.32	4.33	4.93
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	27	4.96	71/1470	4.92	4.74	4.33	4.35	4.96
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	0	2	24	4.92	61/1310	4.96	4.58	4.06	4.11	4.92
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	1	3	16	4.75	251/1210	4.88	4.72	4.18	4.27	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	194/1211	4.83	4.79	4.37	4.45	4.90
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	140/1207	4.97	4.87	4.41	4.51	4.95
4. Were special techniques successful	9	1	0	0	1	2	16	4.79	106/859	4.39	4.31	4.08	4.13	4.79

Course-Section: AMST 375 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 35

Title: Studies in Asian America

Instructor: Gonzalves, Theod

	mation 28 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/210 27 1 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/202 27 1 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/202 27 1 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/199 eme 27 1 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/69 27 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 ****/69 27 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 ****/69 27 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 ****/69 27 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 ****/69 27 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 ****/67 27 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 ****/67 27 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 ****/32 27 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/32 27 1 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/35 27 1 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/35 27 1 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/25 27 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/25 27 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/25 27 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/25 27 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/25					structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	27	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/207	****	****	4.12	4.17	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	28	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/210	****	****	4.17	4.21	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	27	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	****	4.50	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	27	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	****	4.32	4.44	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	27	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/199	****	****	4.15	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	27	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.94	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	27	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/69	****	4.94	4.60	4.68	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	27	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.50	4.51	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	27	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/73	****	4.94	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	27	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/67	****	4.82	4.17	4.46	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	27	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	27	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	27	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	27	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	3.84	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	27	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.17	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	27	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	27	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.57	4.33	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	27	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.29	2.17	****

Course-Section: AMST 375 01

Title: Studies in Asian America

Instructor: Gonzalves, Theod

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 35
Questionnaires: 29

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	28	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	****	4.25	1.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	27	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	****	4.14	1.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	2	Α	17	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	2	С	1	General	5	Under-grad	29	Non-major	28
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	9	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: AMST 375 02

Term - Spring 2012 **Enrollment: 14**

Instructor: Gonzalves, Theod

Title: Studies in Asian America

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1542	4.97	4.59	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	169/1542	4.92	4.67	4.29	4.31	4.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	176/1339	4.85	4.68	4.32	4.36	4.89
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	228/1498	4.80	4.59	4.26	4.32	4.78
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1428	4.97	4.53	4.12	4.15	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	163/1407	4.77	4.54	4.15	4.20	4.78
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	212/1521	4.82	4.54	4.20	4.23	4.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	8	1	4.11	1422/1541	4.21	4.45	4.70	4.71	4.11
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	205/1518	4.69	4.44	4.11	4.13	4.71
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	240/1472	4.93	4.76	4.46	4.46	4.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.91	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	186/1471	4.91	4.72	4.32	4.33	4.89
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	217/1470	4.92	4.74	4.33	4.35	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1310	4.96	4.58	4.06	4.11	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1210	4.88	4.72	4.18	4.27	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	352/1211	4.83	4.79	4.37	4.45	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1207	4.97	4.87	4.41	4.51	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	7	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	478/859	4.39	4.31	4.08	4.13	4.00

Course-Section: AMST 375 02

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 14

Title: Studies in Asian America

Questionnaires: 10

Instructor: Gonzalves, Theod

·	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean 8 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 *** 8 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 ** 8 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 ** 8 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 ** 8 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 ** 8 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ** 8 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 ** 8 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 * 8 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 * 8 0 0 0 0 0 2					structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/207	****	****	4.12	4.17	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/210	****	****	4.17	4.21	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/202	****	****	4.50	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/202	****	****	4.32	4.44	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/199	****	****	4.15	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/69	****	4.94	4.56	4.70	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/69	****	4.94	4.60	4.68	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/68	****	4.86	4.50	4.51	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/73	****	4.94	4.54	4.55	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/67	****	4.82	4.17	4.46	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	3.88	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/35	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/25	****	****	4.59	4.24	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/23	****	****	4.41	3.84	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/17	****	****	4.62	4.17	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.27	3.17	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.57	4.33	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.29	2.17	****

Course-Section: AMST 375 02

Title: Studies in Asian America

Instructor: Gonzalves,Theod

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	****	4.25	1.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	****	****	4.14	1.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	3	Under-grad	10	Non-major	9
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

Course-Section: AMST 382 01

Title: Perspectives on Family

Instructor: Bryan, Kathy S

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 35

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	5	19	4.79	272/1542	4.79	4.59	4.33	4.37	4.79
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	19	4.71	366/1542	4.71	4.67	4.29	4.31	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	15	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	414/1339	4.67	4.68	4.32	4.36	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	5	17	4.77	228/1498	4.77	4.59	4.26	4.32	4.77
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	3	17	4.61	301/1428	4.61	4.53	4.12	4.15	4.61
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	4	18	4.74	193/1407	4.74	4.54	4.15	4.20	4.74
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	2	3	3	15	4.35	734/1521	4.35	4.54	4.20	4.23	4.35
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	22	4.96	345/1541	4.96	4.45	4.70	4.71	4.96
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	0	0	5	11	4.69	227/1518	4.69	4.44	4.11	4.13	4.69
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	2	20	4.91	209/1472	4.91	4.76	4.46	4.46	4.91
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	22	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.91	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	5	17	4.77	319/1471	4.77	4.72	4.32	4.33	4.77
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	20	4.91	177/1470	4.91	4.74	4.33	4.35	4.91
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	2	6	14	4.55	293/1310	4.55	4.58	4.06	4.11	4.55
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	103/1210	4.92	4.72	4.18	4.27	4.92
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	155/1211	4.92	4.79	4.37	4.45	4.92
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	187/1207	4.92	4.87	4.41	4.51	4.92

Course-Section: AMST 382 01

Title: Perspectives on Family

Instructor: Bryan, Kathy S

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 35

Questionnaires: 24

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	11	4	1	0	2	0	6	4.11	446/859	4.11	4.31	4.08	4.13	4.11

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	3	С	0	General	8	Under-grad	24	Non-major	23
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	4						

Course-Section: AMST 410 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 14

ritie:

Title: Seminar: US SocStruct

Questionnaires: 14

Instructor: Gonzalves, Theod

			Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	135/1542	4.93	4.59	4.33	4.42	4.93
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	13	4.86	186/1542	4.86	4.67	4.29	4.33	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	301/1339	4.77	4.68	4.32	4.44	4.77
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	161/1498	4.86	4.59	4.26	4.35	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	157/1428	4.79	4.53	4.12	4.22	4.79
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	208/1407	4.71	4.54	4.15	4.30	4.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	79/1521	4.93	4.54	4.20	4.24	4.93
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	11	2	4.07	1436/1541	4.07	4.45	4.70	4.72	4.07
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	310/1518	4.58	4.44	4.11	4.18	4.58
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	319/1472	4.83	4.76	4.46	4.50	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.91	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	268/1471	4.82	4.72	4.32	4.36	4.82
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	159/1470	4.92	4.74	4.33	4.38	4.92
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	76/1310	4.91	4.58	4.06	4.09	4.91
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	129/1210	4.91	4.72	4.18	4.34	4.91
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	280/1211	4.82	4.79	4.37	4.47	4.82
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	234/1207	4.91	4.87	4.41	4.53	4.91
4. Were special techniques successful	3	3	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	120/859	4.75	4.31	4.08	4.19	4.75

Course-Section: AMST 410 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 14

Title: Seminar: US SocStruct Instructor: Gonzalves, Theod

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	5	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	23/69	4.89	4.94	4.56	4.62	4.89
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	5	1	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	29/69	4.88	4.94	4.60	4.67	4.88
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	5	2	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	34/68	4.71	4.86	4.50	4.65	4.71
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	27/73	4.89	4.94	4.54	4.72	4.89
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	5	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	10/67	4.89	4.82	4.17	4.37	4.89

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	9	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5							
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	14	Non-major	5	
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	1							

Course-Section: AMST 490 01

Title: Senior Seminar

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 8

Instructor: Bryan,Kathy S

'	_		Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	486/1542	4.63	4.59	4.33	4.42	4.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.67	4.29	4.33	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1339	5.00	4.68	4.32	4.44	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	252/1498	4.75	4.59	4.26	4.35	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	284/1428	4.63	4.53	4.12	4.22	4.63
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1407	5.00	4.54	4.15	4.30	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	124/1521	4.88	4.54	4.20	4.24	4.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1541	5.00	4.45	4.70	4.72	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1518	5.00	4.44	4.11	4.18	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	256/1472	4.88	4.76	4.46	4.50	4.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.91	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	198/1471	4.88	4.72	4.32	4.36	4.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	217/1470	4.88	4.74	4.33	4.38	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1310	5.00	4.58	4.06	4.09	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.72	4.18	4.34	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.79	4.37	4.47	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1207	5.00	4.87	4.41	4.53	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	2	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.31	4.08	4.19	5.00

Course-Section: AMST 490 01
Title: Senior Seminar
Instructor: Bryan, Kathy S

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/69	5.00	4.94	4.56	4.62	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/69	5.00	4.94	4.60	4.67	5.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/68	5.00	4.86	4.50	4.65	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/73	5.00	4.94	4.54	4.72	5.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	17/67	4.75	4.82	4.17	4.37	4.75

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	7	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4							
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	1	
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				1	0	Other	0					
				?	1							