Course-Section: ANTH 211 1			Term	ı - Fal	l 2010	C						Enro	llment:	47
Title: Cultural Anthropology											Q	uestion	naires:	31
Instructor: Grieves,Margare														
				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General		_	_	-	-									
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	4	7	3	7	9	3.33	1447/1528	4.15	4.42	4.31	4.34	3.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	6	4	9	5	6	3.03	1480/1527	4.12	4.34	4.28	4.32	3.03
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	2	5	8	7	8	3.47	1253/1333	4.20	4.44	4.34	4.40	3.47
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	3	2	8	8	9	3.60	1331/1495	4.26	4.46	4.25	4.28	3.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	6	7	5	10	3.50	1216/1439	4.29	4.46	4.11	4.12	3.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	4	3	7	10	6	3.37	1275/1425	4.15	4.41	4.12	4.11	3.37
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	5	3	9	5	8	3.27	1388/1508	4.15	4.34	4.18	4.19	3.27
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	1	0	0	4	25	4.73	839/1526	4.61	4.57	4.66	4.64	4.73
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	1	4	0	10	7	2	3.13	1389/1490	3.90	4.24	4.11	4.11	3.13
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	4	7	6	11	3.76	1313/1428	4.33	4.49	4.49	4.48	3.76
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	2	1	4	6	16	4.14	1361/1436	4.69	4.80	4.74	4.76	4.14
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	2	7	3	10	7	3.45	1317/1427	4.21	4.39	4.32	4.33	3.45
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	5	0	5	10	9	3.62	1267/1425	4.29	4.52	4.34	4.37	3.62
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	2	2	1	2	7	13	4.12	654/1291	3.76	3.92	4.05	4.14	4.12
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	1	2	2	2	7	3.86	900/1271	4.24	4.42	4.16	4.21	3.86
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	17	0	1	1	3	4	5	3.79	1049/1276	4.27	4.45	4.33	4.37	3.79
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	1	0	0	3	10	4.50	637/1273	4.54	4.72	4.38	4.43	4.50
4. Were special techniques successful	17	2	2	0	4	2	4	3.50	719/922	3.89	3.79	4.02	4.11	3.50

Course-S	Course-Section: ANTH 211 1						Term	- Fall 20	10						Enro	llment:	47
	Title:	Cultural Ant	hropo	ology						_				Q	uestion	naires:	31
Inst	tructor:	Grieves,Mar	gare														
					-			Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	equei	ncy Dist	ribut	ion							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре	!		Мај	ors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	А	11		Requi	red for Ma	ijors	8		Graduate	0		Major		1
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	14												
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	5	С	1		Gene	ral		14		Under-grad	31		Non-ma	ajor	30
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	5	D	1												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electiv	ves		3		**** - Means t	there are	not end	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other			1							
				?	3												

Course-Section:	ANTH 211 2			Term	<mark>ı - Fal</mark>	<mark> 201</mark> (C						Enro	llment:	44
Title:	Cultural Anthropology											Q	uestion	naires:	37
Instructor:	Chapin,Bambi L														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	9	10	17	4.16	1015/1528	4.15	4.42	4.31	4.34	4.16
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	5	15	15	4.16	988/1527	4.12	4.34	4.28	4.32	4.16
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	0	15	0	0	2	7	13	4.50	564/1333	4.20	4.44	4.34	4.40	4.50
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	7	7	21	4.33	746/1495	4.26	4.46	4.25	4.28	4.33
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	4	7	25	4.58	307/1439	4.29	4.46	4.11	4.12	4.58
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	7	9	20	4.36	553/1425	4.15	4.41	4.12	4.11	4.36
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	1	0	0	1	6	7	22	4.39	613/1508	4.15	4.34	4.18	4.19	4.39
8. How many times was	class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	9	26	4.74	825/1526	4.61	4.57	4.66	4.64	4.74
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	2	5	20	7	3.94	992/1490	3.90	4.24	4.11	4.11	3.94
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	0	0	0	2	7	10	18	4.19	1126/1428	4.33	4.49	4.49	4.48	4.19
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	2	3	32	4.81	806/1436	4.69	4.80	4.74	4.76	4.81
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	8	10	18	4.22	950/1427	4.21	4.39	4.32	4.33	4.22
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	8	7	19	4.11	1045/1425	4.29	4.52	4.34	4.37	4.11
5. Did audiovisual techn	iques enhance your understanding	0	2	2	2	4	11	16	4.06	701/1291	3.76	3.92	4.05	4.14	4.06
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	2	2	5	11	4.25	644/1271	4.24	4.42	4.16	4.21	4.25
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	18	0	0	0	2	4	13	4.58	531/1276	4.27	4.45	4.33	4.37	4.58
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	2	2	15	4.68	489/1273	4.54	4.72	4.38	4.43	4.68
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	18	6	0	1	4	2	6	4.00	467/922	3.89	3.79	4.02	4.11	4.00

Course-Section: ANTH 211 2			Term	ı - Fal	l 2010)						Enro	llment:	44
Title: Cultural Anthropology							,				Q	uestion	naires:	37
Instructor: Chapin,Bambi L														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	35	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/42	* * * *	* * * *	4.00	3.68	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	35	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/41	****	* * * *	4.06	3.81	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	35	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/30	****	* * * *	4.74	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	35	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	****	* * * *	4.20	4.32	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	****	* * * *	4.43	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.53	4.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	36	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	* * * *	* * * *	4.43	3.75	* * * *

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	А	23	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	9						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	4	С	2	General	19	Under-grad	37	Non-major	35
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section:	ANTH 211 3			Term	ı - Fal	<mark> 201</mark>	C						Enro	llment:	46
Title:	Cultural Anthropology											Q	uestion	naires:	14
Instructor:	Messinger,Seth														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	0	0	1	0	1	5	7	4.21	962/1528	4.15	4.42	4.31	4.34	4.21
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	1	2	10	4.43	704/1527	4.12	4.34	4.28	4.32	4.43
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	0	5	1	0	2	2	4	3.89	1098/1333	4.20	4.44	4.34	4.40	3.89
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	1	0	2	10	4.36	721/1495	4.26	4.46	4.25	4.28	4.36
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	0	1	11	4.43	472/1439	4.29	4.46	4.11	4.12	4.43
6. Did written assignmer	written assignments contribute to what you learned			1	1	0	3	8	4.23	692/1425	4.15	4.41	4.12	4.11	4.23
7. Was the grading system	as the grading system clearly explained			1	1	1	1	10	4.29	746/1508	4.15	4.34	4.18	4.19	4.29
8. How many times was	class cancelled	0	0	1	0	0	7	6	4.21	1322/1526	4.61	4.57	4.66	4.64	4.21
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	2	0	0	5	5	3.92	1032/1490	3.90	4.24	4.11	4.11	3.92
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	0	0	1	1	1	1	10	4.29	1058/1428	4.33	4.49	4.49	4.48	4.29
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	0	0	1	0	1	0	12	4.57	1134/1436	4.69	4.80	4.74	4.76	4.57
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	0	1	6	6	4.14	1008/1427	4.21	4.39	4.32	4.33	4.14
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	2	2	9	4.29	908/1425	4.29	4.52	4.34	4.37	4.29
5. Did audiovisual techni	iques enhance your understanding	2	4	2	1	2	1	2	3.00	1194/1291	3.76	3.92	4.05	4.14	3.00
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	6	0	1	1	0	1	5	4.00	780/1271	4.24	4.42	4.16	4.21	4.00
2. Were all students acti	ere all students actively encouraged to participate			1	0	0	3	4	4.13	879/1276	4.27	4.45	4.33	4.37	4.13
3. Did the instructor enc	the instructor encourage fair and open discussion			1	0	0	2	5	4.25	828/1273	4.54	4.72	4.38	4.43	4.25
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	6	3	1	0	2	1	1	3.20	823/922	3.89	3.79	4.02	4.11	3.20

Course-S	Course-Section: ANTH 211 3						Term	- Fall 201	0						Enro	llment:	46
	Title:	Cultural Ant	hropo	ology						_				Q	uestion	naires:	14
Ins	tructor:	Messinger,S	eth														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	equei	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	2		Мај	ors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	9		Requi	red for Ma	ors	2		Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	3												
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	3	С	0		Gene	ral		8		Under-grad	14		Non-ma	ajor	14
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electiv	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not enc	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
	r I						Other			1							
				?	2												

Course-Section:	ANTH 211 4			Term	ı - Fal	l 2010	C						Enro	llment:	46
Title:	Cultural Anthropology											Q	uestion	naires:	35
Instructor:	Chard,Sarah E														
		_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	12	19	4.40	765/1528	4.15	4.42	4.31	4.34	4.40
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	5	13	17	4.34	806/1527	4.12	4.34	4.28	4.32	4.34
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	10	22	4.54	521/1333	4.20	4.44	4.34	4.40	4.54
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	12	19	4.43	624/1495	4.26	4.46	4.25	4.28	4.43
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	2	3	9	19	4.26	647/1439	4.29	4.46	4.11	4.12	4.26
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	0	5	12	15	4.12	816/1425	4.15	4.41	4.12	4.11	4.12
7. Was the grading system	as the grading system clearly explained		0	0	3	4	10	18	4.23	820/1508	4.15	4.34	4.18	4.19	4.23
8. How many times was	class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	33	4.94	340/1526	4.61	4.57	4.66	4.64	4.94
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	21	10	4.24	686/1490	3.90	4.24	4.11	4.11	4.24
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	2	0	0	1	1	5	26	4.70	588/1428	4.33	4.49	4.49	4.48	4.70
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	2	31	4.94	361/1436	4.69	4.80	4.74	4.76	4.94
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	1	3	11	18	4.39	782/1427	4.21	4.39	4.32	4.33	4.39
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	3	5	25	4.67	475/1425	4.29	4.52	4.34	4.37	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techni	iques enhance your understanding	4	1	2	3	7	14	4	3.50	1061/1291	3.76	3.92	4.05	4.14	3.50
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	23	0	0	0	1	5	6	4.42	538/1271	4.24	4.42	4.16	4.21	4.42
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	23	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	591/1276	4.27	4.45	4.33	4.37	4.50
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	23	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	312/1273	4.54	4.72	4.38	4.43	4.83
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	23	5	1	1	4	0	1	2.86	****/922	3.89	3.79	4.02	4.11	****

Course-Section:	ANTH 211 4			Term	- Fall	l 2010)						Enrol	llment:	46
Title:	Cultural Anthropology											Q	uestion	naires:	35
Instructor:	Chard,Sarah E														
		-			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions		NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Field Work														
1. Did field experience co	ontribute to what you learned	32	0	1	0	2	0	0	2.33	****/42	****	* * * *	4.00	3.68	****
2. Did you clearly unders	stand your evaluation criteria	32	0	0	0	2	1	0	3.33	****/41	****	* * * *	4.06	3.81	****
3. Was the instructor ava	ailable for consultation	32	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.74	4.50	****
4. To what degree could	you discuss your evaluations	32	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/32	****	* * * *	4.20	4.32	****
5. Did conferences help	d conferences help you carry out field activities		2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	* * * *	* * * *	4.34	4.11	* * * *

Frequency Distribution

					1 5				
rned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors
0	0.00-0.99	0	А	20	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major
3	1.00-1.99	0	В	13					
8	2.00-2.99	3	С	0	General	23	Under-grad	35	Non-major
4	3.00-3.49	11	D	0					
0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses
			Р	0			to be significan	t	
			Ι	0	Other	1			
			?	2					

Credits Earned

00-27

28-55

56-83

84-150

Grad.

0

35

Course-Section:	ANTH 211 5			Term	<mark>ı - Fal</mark>	<mark> 201</mark> (C						Enro	llment:	30
Title:	Cultural Anthropology											Q	uestion	naires:	24
Instructor:	Edwards-Hewitt,														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	4	18	4.63	492/1528	4.15	4.42	4.31	4.34	4.63
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	2	19	4.63	424/1527	4.12	4.34	4.28	4.32	4.63
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	5	17	4.58	479/1333	4.20	4.44	4.34	4.40	4.58
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	1	1	4	16	4.59	381/1495	4.26	4.46	4.25	4.28	4.59
5. Did assigned readings	contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	2	18	4.65	248/1439	4.29	4.46	4.11	4.12	4.65
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	5	17	4.70	223/1425	4.15	4.41	4.12	4.11	4.70
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	1	0	0	1	2	3	17	4.57	390/1508	4.15	4.34	4.18	4.19	4.57
8. How many times was	class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	14	10	4.42	1152/1526	4.61	4.57	4.66	4.64	4.42
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	2	9	7	4.28	651/1490	3.90	4.24	4.11	4.11	4.28
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	7	17	4.71	572/1428	4.33	4.49	4.49	4.48	4.71
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	5.00	1/1436	4.69	4.80	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	1	21	4.87	174/1427	4.21	4.39	4.32	4.33	4.87
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	5	19	4.79	291/1425	4.29	4.52	4.34	4.37	4.79
5. Did audiovisual techni	ques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	1	4	5	12	4.13	644/1291	3.76	3.92	4.05	4.14	4.13
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	4	18	4.67	319/1271	4.24	4.42	4.16	4.21	4.67
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	0	0	0	2	3	3	16	4.38	719/1276	4.27	4.45	4.33	4.37	4.38
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	2	2	4	16	4.42	715/1273	4.54	4.72	4.38	4.43	4.42
4. Were special techniqu	les successful	0	11	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	93/922	3.89	3.79	4.02	4.11	4.85

Course-S	Course-Section: ANTH 211 5						Term	- Fall 2	2010							Enro	llment:	30
	Title:	Cultural Ant	hropo	ology											Q	uestion	naires:	24
Ins	tructor:	Edwards-He	witt,															
					-			Frequ	lenci	ies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR I	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fr€	equer	ncy Di	stril	outio	on							
Credits Ea	dits Earned Cum. GPA Expec							Rea	ason	5			Туре	2		Мај	ors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	5		Requi	red for N	Лајог	s	7		Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	8													
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	3		Gener	ral			6		Under-grad	24		Non-ma	ajor	24
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	2	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0		Electiv	ves			4		**** - Means 1	there are	not enc	ugh resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
							Other				1							
				?	6													

Course-Section: ANTH 211Y 4	Term - Fall 2010							Enro	llment:	13				
Title: Cultural Anthropology											Q	uestion	naires:	8
Instructor: Messinger,Seth														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	636/1528	4.50	4.42	4.31	4.34	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	424/1527	4.63	4.34	4.28	4.32	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals		4	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	564/1333	4.50	4.44	4.34	4.40	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals		0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	695/1495	4.38	4.46	4.25	4.28	4.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	626/1439	4.29	4.46	4.11	4.12	4.29
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned		0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	489/1425	4.43	4.41	4.12	4.11	4.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	558/1508	4.43	4.34	4.18	4.19	4.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	1142/1526	4.43	4.57	4.66	4.64	4.43
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	156/1490	4.75	4.24	4.11	4.11	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	270/1428	4.88	4.49	4.49	4.48	4.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	612/1436	4.88	4.80	4.74	4.76	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	477/1427	4.63	4.39	4.32	4.33	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	349/1425	4.75	4.52	4.34	4.37	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	728/1291	4.00	3.92	4.05	4.14	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	280/1271	4.71	4.42	4.16	4.21	4.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	1	0	4	2	4.00	926/1276	4.00	4.45	4.33	4.37	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	458/1273	4.71	4.72	4.38	4.43	4.71
4. Were special techniques successful	1	2	2	1	1	0	1	2.40	900/922	2.40	3.79	4.02	4.11	2.40

Course-Section: ANTH 211Y 4			Term	- Fall	2010	C						Enrol	Iment:	13
Title: Cultural Anthropology							,				Q	uestion	naires:	8
Instructor: Messinger,Seth														
				Free	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/208	****	* * * *	4.27	4.30	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	5	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	3	Under-grad	8	Non-major	8
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section:	ANTH 302 1	Term - Fall 2010								Enro	Ilment:	30			
Title:	Evolution/Phys Anth/Arch											Q	uestion	naires:	23
Instructor:	Donato,Paul E														
		_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	1	0	0	1	2	6	13	4.41	765/1528	4.41	4.42	4.31	4.34	4.41
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	6	8	8	4.09	1050/1527	4.09	4.34	4.28	4.27	4.09
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	9	10	4.26	823/1333	4.26	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.26
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	4	7	11	4.32	772/1495	4.32	4.46	4.25	4.28	4.32
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	3	7	11	4.23	689/1439	4.23	4.46	4.11	4.13	4.23
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	2	4	1	1	3	5	7	3.94	942/1425	3.94	4.41	4.12	4.17	3.94
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	5	16	4.68	261/1508	4.68	4.34	4.18	4.17	4.68
8. How many times was class cancelled		2	0	0	0	0	0	21	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.57	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	0	0	8	8	4.50	344/1490	4.50	4.24	4.11	4.11	4.50
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	6	15	4.64	686/1428	4.64	4.49	4.49	4.48	4.64
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	3	18	4.77	886/1436	4.77	4.80	4.74	4.74	4.77
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	2	6	14	4.55	577/1427	4.55	4.39	4.32	4.31	4.55
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	4	17	4.73	393/1425	4.73	4.52	4.34	4.34	4.73
5. Did audiovisual techn	iques enhance your understanding	0	4	0	0	3	6	10	4.37	456/1291	4.37	3.92	4.05	4.09	4.37
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	238/1271	4.77	4.42	4.16	4.19	4.77
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	320/1276	4.79	4.45	4.33	4.37	4.79
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.72	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	10	9	1	0	1	0	2	3.50	****/922	* * * *	3.79	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section: ANTH 302 1			Term	n - Fal	<mark> 201</mark>	0						Enro	llment:	30
Title: Evolution/Phys Anth/Arch											Q	uestion	naires:	23
Instructor: Donato,Paul E														
				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/198	* * * *	* * * *	4.16	4.26	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	22	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/208	* * * *	****	4.27	4.31	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	22	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/194	* * * *	****	4.56	4.59	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/194	* * * *	****	4.37	4.37	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/176	* * * *	****	4.23	4.33	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	* * * *	* * * *	4.51	4.02	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	* * * *	****	4.31	3.86	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/66	* * * *	* * * *	4.27	4.00	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/76	* * * *	* * * *	4.27	3.68	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	22	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	* * * *	****	3.94	4.27	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/42	* * * *	* * * *	4.00	3.20	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/41	* * * *	****	4.06	3.86	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	22	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/30	* * * *	* * * *	4.74	4.80	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	22	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/32	* * * *	****	4.20	3.38	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	* * * *	****	4.34	4.79	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	* * * *	* * * *	4.43	3.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	22	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	* * * *	****	4.53	4.75	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	22	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/36	* * * *	* * * *	4.43	5.00	****

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:37:12 AM

Course-Section: ANTH 302 1			Term	<mark>ı - Fal</mark> l	l 2010	C						Enrol	llment:	30
Title: Evolution/Phys Anth/Arch											Q	uestion	naires:	23
Instructor: Donato,Paul E														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful		0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/21	****	* * * *	4.54	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	22	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/20	****	* * * *	4.45	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	А	4	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	12						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	0	С	2	General	8	Under-grad	23	Non-major	18
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	8	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section:	ANTH 311 1	Term - Fall 2010							Enro	llment:	38				
Title:	Urban Anthropology											Q	uestion	naires:	28
Instructor:	Chard,Sarah E														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insig	ghts,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	7	19	4.67	434/1528	4.67	4.42	4.31	4.34	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals		1	0	0	0	5	10	12	4.26	902/1527	4.26	4.34	4.28	4.27	4.26
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals		1	0	0	0	2	7	18	4.59	468/1333	4.59	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.59
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	1	6	19	4.59	381/1495	4.59	4.46	4.25	4.28	4.59
5. Did assigned readings	contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	2	3	21	4.63	274/1439	4.63	4.46	4.11	4.13	4.63
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned			0	0	0	0	6	21	4.78	159/1425	4.78	4.41	4.12	4.17	4.78
7. Was the grading syste	em clearly explained	3	1	0	0	3	9	12	4.38	626/1508	4.38	4.34	4.18	4.17	4.38
8. How many times was class cancelled		1	0	0	0	0	2	25	4.93	453/1526	4.93	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.93
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	10	14	4.58	281/1490	4.58	4.24	4.11	4.11	4.58
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	2	24	4.92	177/1428	4.92	4.49	4.49	4.48	4.92
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	2	24	4.92	413/1436	4.92	4.80	4.74	4.74	4.92
3. Was lecture material p	presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	2	4	20	4.69	378/1427	4.69	4.39	4.32	4.31	4.69
4. Did the lectures contri	ibute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	1	24	4.88	185/1425	4.88	4.52	4.34	4.34	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techni	ques enhance your understanding	3	12	0	0	2	6	5	4.23	553/1291	4.23	3.92	4.05	4.09	4.23
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions of	contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	1	3	16	4.75	246/1271	4.75	4.42	4.16	4.19	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate		8	0	0	0	0	3	17	4.85	246/1276	4.85	4.45	4.33	4.37	4.85
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	1	19	4.95	118/1273	4.95	4.72	4.38	4.40	4.95
4. Were special techniques successful		8	15	1	0	2	1	1	3.20	****/922	* * * *	3.79	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section: ANTH 311 1			Term	<mark>ı - Fal</mark>	<mark> 201</mark>	C						Enro	llment:	38
Title: Urban Anthropology							2				Q	uestion	naires:	28
Instructor: Chard,Sarah E														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	26	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	* * * *	* * * *	4.51	4.02	* * * *
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/42	****	****	4.00	3.20	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	25	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/41	****	****	4.06	3.86	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	25	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.74	4.80	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	27	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	****	* * * *	4.43	3.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	27	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.53	4.75	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	26	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/36	****	****	4.43	5.00	****

	Frequency Distribution	
ed Grades	Reasons	

?

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	١	Expected
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	1	В
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	3	С
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	2	D
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F
				Р
				I

Reasons	
Required for Majors	10
General	3
Electives	10
Other	0

Туре		Majors	
Graduate	0	Major	10
Under-grad	28	Non-major	18
**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	

to be significant

Course-Section:	ANTH 312 1			Term	ı - Fal	<mark> 201</mark> (C						Enro	llment:	43
Title:	Medical Anthropology											Q	uestion	naires:	25
Instructor:	Messinger,Seth														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	5	19	4.72	348/1528	4.72	4.42	4.31	4.34	4.72
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	19	4.72	290/1527	4.72	4.34	4.28	4.27	4.72
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	0	15	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	147/1333	4.90	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.90
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	3	20	4.79	187/1495	4.79	4.46	4.25	4.28	4.79
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	6	18	4.68	222/1439	4.68	4.46	4.11	4.13	4.68
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	2	22	4.80	136/1425	4.80	4.41	4.12	4.17	4.80
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	3	20	4.72	220/1508	4.72	4.34	4.18	4.17	4.72
8. How many times was	class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	12	13	4.52	1044/1526	4.52	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.52
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	1	7	12	4.55	305/1490	4.55	4.24	4.11	4.11	4.55
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	8	15	4.52	830/1428	4.52	4.49	4.49	4.48	4.52
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	23	4.92	413/1436	4.92	4.80	4.74	4.74	4.92
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	3	9	12	4.28	891/1427	4.28	4.39	4.32	4.31	4.28
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	4	19	4.64	502/1425	4.64	4.52	4.34	4.34	4.64
5. Did audiovisual techni	iques enhance your understanding	0	17	1	1	3	0	3	3.38	1102/1291	3.38	3.92	4.05	4.09	3.38
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	1	1	2	10	4.50	446/1271	4.50	4.42	4.16	4.19	4.50
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	2	1	11	4.64	461/1276	4.64	4.45	4.33	4.37	4.64
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.72	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	12	11	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/922	* * * *	3.79	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section:	ANTH 312 1			Term	ı - Fal	l 2010	C						Enro	Ilment:	43
Title:	Medical Anthropology											Q	uestion	naires:	25
Instructor:	Messinger,Seth														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Laboratory														
2. Were you provided wi	th adequate background information	24	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/208	****	****	4.27	4.31	****
	Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics	relevant to the announced theme	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/76	* * * *	****	4.51	4.02	* * * *
2. Was the instructor ava	ailable for individual attention	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	****	****	4.31	3.86	****
3. Did research projects	contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	****	****	4.27	4.00	****
4. Did presentations con	tribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	****	4.27	3.68	****
5. Were criteria for grad	ng made clear	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	****	3.94	4.27	****
	Field Work														
1. Did field experience co	ontribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/42	****	****	4.00	3.20	* * * *
2. Did you clearly unders	stand your evaluation criteria	22	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/41	****	****	4.06	3.86	* * * *
3. Was the instructor ava	ailable for consultation	22	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/30	****	* * * *	4.74	4.80	****
4. To what degree could	you discuss your evaluations	22	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	* * * *	****	4.20	3.38	****
5. Did conferences help	you carry out field activities	22	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	* * * *	****	4.34	4.79	****
	Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system	contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/43	* * * *	* * * *	4.43	3.75	* * * *
3. Were your contacts w	ith the instructor helpful	24	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	* * * *	****	4.43	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	А	20	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	5	Under-grad	25	Non-major	20

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:37:12 AM

Course-S	Section	: <mark>ANTH 312 1</mark>					Term	- Fall	2010)						Enro	llment:	43
	Title	: Medical Ant	hropolo	ogy											Q	uestion	naires:	25
Ins	tructor	: Messinger,S	Seth															
								Fre	quenc	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Self Paced																
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	7	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0		Electi	ves			6	•	**** - Means	there are	not enc	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0							1	to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other				1							
				?	1													

Course-Section:	ANTH 314 1			Term	ı - Fal	l 2010	C						Enro	llment:	42
Title:	Psych Anthropology											Q	uestion	naires:	27
Instructor:	Chapin,Bambi L														
		_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	3	6	17	4.54	601/1528	4.54	4.42	4.31	4.34	4.54
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	7	18	4.65	382/1527	4.65	4.34	4.28	4.27	4.65
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	2	14	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	228/1333	4.82	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.82
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	0	2	2	21	4.62	359/1495	4.62	4.46	4.25	4.28	4.62
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	3	21	4.73	183/1439	4.73	4.46	4.11	4.13	4.73
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	1	22	4.73	191/1425	4.73	4.41	4.12	4.17	4.73
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	1	1	0	1	0	7	17	4.60	352/1508	4.60	4.34	4.18	4.17	4.60
8. How many times was	class cancelled	2	0	0	0	1	7	17	4.64	939/1526	4.64	4.57	4.66	4.68	4.64
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	6	1	0	1	3	8	8	4.15	789/1490	4.15	4.24	4.11	4.11	4.15
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	3	9	13	4.40	965/1428	4.40	4.49	4.49	4.48	4.40
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	3	22	4.88	580/1436	4.88	4.80	4.74	4.74	4.88
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	3	8	13	4.42	757/1427	4.42	4.39	4.32	4.31	4.42
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	5	19	4.72	393/1425	4.72	4.52	4.34	4.34	4.72
5. Did audiovisual techni	iques enhance your understanding	2	1	1	1	4	5	13	4.17	614/1291	4.17	3.92	4.05	4.09	4.17
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	11	0	1	0	4	1	10	4.19	685/1271	4.19	4.42	4.16	4.19	4.19
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	3	3	10	4.44	664/1276	4.44	4.45	4.33	4.37	4.44
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	408/1273	4.75	4.72	4.38	4.40	4.75
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	11	0	0	1	2	5	8	4.25	360/922	4.25	3.79	4.02	4.02	4.25

Course-Section: ANTH 314 1			Term	<mark>ı - Fal</mark>	<mark> 201</mark>	C						Enro	llment:	42
Title: Psych Anthropology											Q	uestion	naires:	27
Instructor: Chapin,Bambi L														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mear
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/198	* * * *	* * * *	4.16	4.26	* * * *
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	า 26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/208	* * * *	****	4.27	4.31	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	* * * *	****	4.56	4.59	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	* * * *	****	4.37	4.37	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/176	* * * *	****	4.23	4.33	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	* * * *	* * * *	4.51	4.02	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	* * * *	****	4.31	3.86	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	* * * *	* * * *	4.27	4.00	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	* * * *	* * * *	4.27	3.68	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	* * * *	* * * *	3.94	4.27	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	* * * *	* * * *	4.00	3.20	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/41	* * * *	****	4.06	3.86	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	* * * *	* * * *	4.74	4.80	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	* * * *	****	4.20	3.38	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	* * * *	****	4.34	4.79	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	* * * *	* * * *	4.43	3.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.53	4.75	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.43	5.00	****

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:37:12 AM

Course-Section: ANTH 314 1			Term	ı - Fall	l 2010	C						Enro	llment:	42
Title: Psych Anthropology											Q	uestion	naires:	27
Instructor: Chapin,Bambi L														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	* * * *	* * * *	4.54	5.00	* * * *
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	****	4.45	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	19	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	5	Under-grad	27	Non-major	24
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: ANTH 320 1			Term	ı - Fal	<mark> 201</mark> (C						Enro	llment:	38
Title: Witchcraft And Magic											Q	uestion	naires:	34
Instructor: Rubinstein,Robe														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General			_	_	-									
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	10	21	4.53	613/1528	4.53	4.42	4.31	4.34	4.53
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	9	22	4.53	550/1527	4.53	4.34	4.28	4.27	4.53
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	1	2	4	21	4.61	458/1333	4.61	4.44	4.34	4.34	4.61
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	3	5	25	4.67	313/1495	4.67	4.46	4.25	4.28	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	6	28	4.82	125/1439	4.82	4.46	4.11	4.13	4.82
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	6	25	4.65	266/1425	4.65	4.41	4.12	4.17	4.65
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	9	9	15	4.12	959/1508	4.12	4.34	4.18	4.17	4.12
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	2	2	15	15	0	3.26	1516/1526	3.26	4.57	4.66	4.68	3.26
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	0	1	5	14	10	4.10	845/1490	4.10	4.24	4.11	4.11	4.10
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	2	5	11	16	4.21	1114/1428	4.21	4.49	4.49	4.48	4.21
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	2	31	4.88	580/1436	4.88	4.80	4.74	4.74	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	2	3	10	19	4.35	823/1427	4.35	4.39	4.32	4.31	4.35
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	3	3	7	19	4.21	958/1425	4.21	4.52	4.34	4.34	4.21
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	4	0	3	5	6	15	4.14	644/1291	4.14	3.92	4.05	4.09	4.14
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	2	1	8	18	4.45	507/1271	4.45	4.42	4.16	4.19	4.45
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	1	0	1	5	21	4.61	506/1276	4.61	4.45	4.33	4.37	4.61
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	1	0	1	3	23	4.68	498/1273	4.68	4.72	4.38	4.40	4.68
4. Were special techniques successful	6	18	0	1	1	2	6	4.30	335/922	4.30	3.79	4.02	4.02	4.30

Course-	Section:	ANTH 320 1					Term	<mark>ı - Fall 20</mark>	10						Enro	llment:	38
	Title:	Witchcraft /	And M	agic										Q	uestion	naires:	34
Ins	structor:	Rubinstein,	Robe														
					-			Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ribut	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре	è.		Мај	ors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	А	20		Requ	ired for Ma	ajors	9)	Graduate	1		Major		8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	10												
56-83	8	2.00-2.99	5	С	1		Gene	ral		8	5	Under-grad	33		Non-ma	ajor	26
84-150	10	3.00-3.49	11	D	0												
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electi	ves		10)	**** - Means	there are	not enc	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other			3	5						
				?	2												

Course-Section:	ANTH 400 1			Term	ı - Fal	<mark> 201</mark>	C						Enro	Ilment:	20
Title:	Anthropological Theory											Q	uestion	naires:	16
Instructor:	Donato,Paul E														
		_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.42	4.31	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	5	10	4.67	368/1527	4.67	4.34	4.28	4.30	4.67
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	4	10	4.60	458/1333	4.60	4.44	4.34	4.37	4.60
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	177/1495	4.80	4.46	4.25	4.33	4.80
5. Did assigned readings	contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	183/1439	4.73	4.46	4.11	4.20	4.73
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	136/1425	4.80	4.41	4.12	4.26	4.80
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	2	0	0	0	2	4	8	4.43	558/1508	4.43	4.34	4.18	4.24	4.43
8. How many times was	class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.57	4.66	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	135/1490	4.79	4.24	4.11	4.19	4.79
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	5	10	4.67	637/1428	4.67	4.49	4.49	4.54	4.67
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	361/1436	4.93	4.80	4.74	4.75	4.93
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	5	10	4.67	420/1427	4.67	4.39	4.32	4.37	4.67
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	277/1425	4.80	4.52	4.34	4.37	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techni	ques enhance your understanding	1	13	2	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1291	* * * *	3.92	4.05	4.10	****
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	421/1271	4.54	4.42	4.16	4.33	4.54
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	406/1276	4.69	4.45	4.33	4.49	4.69
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	301/1273	4.85	4.72	4.38	4.55	4.85
4. Were special techniqu	es successful	3	10	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/922	* * * *	3.79	4.02	4.23	****

Course-Section: ANTH 400 1					Term - Fall 2010							Enrollment: 20					
Title: Anthropological Theory														Q	uestion	naires:	16
Instructor: Donato,Paul E																	
							Frequencies				Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions					NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion																	
Frequency Distribution																	
Credits Ea	Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected				Grades Reasons					Туре			Majors				
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	3		Required for Majors			11	Graduate		0		Major		7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	7												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	1		General			0		Under-grad			Non-major		9
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
Grad.	Grad. 0 3.50-4.00 2 F			0	0 Electives				0		**** - Means	e not enough responses					
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				Ι	0		Other			0							
				?	5												