Course-Section: ART 210 1

Title: Visual Concepts

Instructor: Chan, Irene

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

'	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	4	4	3	3.91	1233/1528	4.11	4.26	4.31	4.34	3.91
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	526/1527	4.41	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.55
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1003/1333	4.34	4.40	4.34	4.40	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	257/1495	4.41	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.73
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	3	3	0	2	2.55	1420/1439	3.33	3.86	4.11	4.12	2.55
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	2	6	0	1	3.00	1345/1425	2.87	3.51	4.12	4.11	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	1	6	3	3.91	1136/1508	3.99	3.86	4.18	4.19	3.91
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	724/1526	4.95	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.82
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	9	1	4.00	911/1490	4.09	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	909/1428	4.58	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.45
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	806/1436	4.71	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	463/1427	4.29	4.26	4.32	4.33	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	1	8	4.45	741/1425	4.25	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.45
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	4	3	2	3.78	923/1291	4.46	4.42	4.05	4.14	3.78
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	2	5	4.20	669/1271	4.16	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.20
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	395/1276	4.52	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.70
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	637/1273	4.61	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.50
4. Were special techniques successful	1	5	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	272/922	3.69	3.96	4.02	4.11	4.40

Course-S	Section:	ART 210 1					Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	15
	Title:	Visual Conce	epts							_				Q	uestion	naires:	11
Ins	tructor:	Chan, I rene															
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	tribu	tion							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7		Requ	ired for M	ajors	10)	Graduate	0		Major		6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	3												
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	2	С	0		Gene	eral		()	Under-grad	11		Non-ma	ajor	5
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
04-150	•	0.00 00	_	_													
64-150 Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ives		()	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
					0 0		Electi	ives		()	**** - Means to be significate		not end	ough res	ponses	
				F			Electi			(not end	ough res	ponses	

Course-Section: ART 210 2

Title: Visual Concepts

Instructor: Shiflet, Nicole

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 17

<u> </u>	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	6	6	4.29	886/1528	4.11	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	3	9	4.43	704/1527	4.41	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.43
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	294/1333	4.34	4.40	4.34	4.40	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	10	4.64	332/1495	4.41	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.64
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	744/1439	3.33	3.86	4.11	4.12	4.17
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	2	1	5	2	1	2.91	1368/1425	2.87	3.51	4.12	4.11	2.91
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	4	4	5	3.86	1171/1508	3.99	3.86	4.18	4.19	3.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1526	4.95	4.44	4.66	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	2	8	4	4.14	800/1490	4.09	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.14
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	303/1428	4.58	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	980/1436	4.71	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.71
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	6	8	4.57	541/1427	4.29	4.26	4.32	4.33	4.57
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	4	8	4.43	785/1425	4.25	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.43
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	1	1	1	10	4.54	304/1291	4.46	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.54
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	4	6	4	4.00	780/1271	4.16	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	1	1	5	7	4.29	786/1276	4.52	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.29
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	2	2	10	4.57	584/1273	4.61	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.57
4. Were special techniques successful	0	9	1	0	0	2	2	3.80	596/922	3.69	3.96	4.02	4.11	3.80

Course-Section: ART 210 2

Title: Visual Concepts

Instructor: Shiflet, Nicole

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 17

mstructor: Shinet, Nicole														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mear
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	8	4	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	****/198	****	****	4.16	4.41	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	10	0	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	183/208	3.75	3.38	4.27	4.30	3.75
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	9	2	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	****/194	***	****	4.56	4.57	***
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	9	2	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/194	***	****	4.37	4.43	***
Seminar														
Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	8	5	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/76	****	4.70	4.51	4.17	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	9	3	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/74	****	4.51	4.31	3.91	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	9	4	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	***	4.69	4.27	3.85	***
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	10	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/76	***	4.11	4.27	4.15	***
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	9	2	0	0	2	1	0	3.33	****/73	***	3.17	3.94	3.95	***
Field Work														
Did field experience contribute to what you learned	10	0	1	1	1	1	0	2.50	38/42	2.50	2.75	4.00	3.68	2.50
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	9	0	1	1	0	2	1	3.20	33/41	3.20	3.10	4.06	3.81	3.20
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	8	3	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/30	****	****	4.74	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	9	2	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/32	***	****	4.20	4.32	***
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	9	4	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	***	****	4.34	4.11	***
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	9	0	1	0	0	1	3	4.00	31/43	4.00	4.00	4.43	3.95	4.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	9	4	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	***	****	4.53	4.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	9	3	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/36	***	****	4.43	3.75	***
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	9	3	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/21	****	****	4.54	3.63	***

Course-Section:	ART 210 2			Term	- Fall	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	17
Title:	Visual Concepts											Q	uestion	naires:	14
Instructor:	Shiflet,Nicole														
					Fred	quenc	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														

Frequency Distribution

						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	8	0.00-0.99	1	Α	7	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	14	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 210 3

Title: Visual Concepts

Instructor: Shiflet, Nicole

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 10

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	3	4	4.00	1140/1528	4.11	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	4.30	853/1527	4.41	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.30
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	769/1333	4.34	4.40	4.34	4.40	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	1	6	4.20	903/1495	4.41	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.20
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	1	2	0	2	3.60	1153/1439	3.33	3.86	4.11	4.12	3.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	3	3	2	1	2.90	1368/1425	2.87	3.51	4.12	4.11	2.90
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	352/1508	3.99	3.86	4.18	4.19	4.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1526	4.95	4.44	4.66	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	5	2	4.13	822/1490	4.09	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.13
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	572/1428	4.58	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.70
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1436	4.71	4.77	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	6	4	4.40	772/1427	4.29	4.26	4.32	4.33	4.40
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	6	3	4.20	966/1425	4.25	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.20
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	205/1291	4.46	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	701/1271	4.16	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.17
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	439/1276	4.52	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	312/1273	4.61	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.83
4. Were special techniques successful	4	2	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	719/922	3.69	3.96	4.02	4.11	3.50

Course-Section: ART 210 3

Title: Visual Concepts

Instructor: Shiflet, Nicole

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/208	3.75	3.38	4.27	4.30	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	4.70	4.51	4.17	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	***	4.51	4.31	3.91	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	***	4.69	4.27	3.85	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	4.11	4.27	4.15	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	8	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/73	***	3.17	3.94	3.95	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	2.50	2.75	4.00	3.68	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/41	3.20	3.10	4.06	3.81	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	***	****	4.74	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	***	****	4.20	4.32	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	***	****	4.34	4.11	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/43	4.00	4.00	4.43	3.95	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	***	****	4.43	3.75	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/21	***	***	4.54	3.63	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:40:23 AM

Course-S	Section	: ART 210 3					Term	- Fall	2010)						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	11
	Title	: Visual Conce	epts												Q	uestion	naires:	10
Ins	tructor	: Shiflet,Nicol	le															
								Fred	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Self Paced																
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	2		Gene	ral			2		Under-grad	10		Non-ma	ajor	7
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves			2		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	,			1							
				?	1													

Course-Section: ART 210 4

Title: Visual Concepts

Instructor: Gardner,Symmes

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	636/1528	4.11	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	143/1527	4.41	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	2	1	4	4.29	810/1333	4.34	4.40	4.34	4.40	4.29
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	844/1495	4.41	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.25
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	1	0	1	3	3.00	1361/1439	3.33	3.86	4.11	4.12	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	1	3	1	1	2.75	1388/1425	2.87	3.51	4.12	4.11	2.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	448/1508	3.99	3.86	4.18	4.19	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1526	4.95	4.44	4.66	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	221/1490	4.09	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	770/1428	4.58	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.57
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	677/1436	4.71	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	1008/1427	4.29	4.26	4.32	4.33	4.14
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	589/1425	4.25	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.57
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	2	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1291	4.46	4.42	4.05	4.14	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	365/1271	4.16	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.60
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	302/1276	4.52	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1273	4.61	4.46	4.38	4.43	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	1	0	2	1	1	0	2.75	887/922	3.69	3.96	4.02	4.11	2.75

Course-Section: ART 210 4

Title: Visual Concepts

Instructor: Gardner,Symmes

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 14

Instructor: Gardner, Symmes														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/198	****	***	4.16	4.41	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/208	3.75	3.38	4.27	4.30	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	****	****	4.56	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	***	****	4.37	4.43	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/176	***	****	4.23	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	4.70	4.51	4.17	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	****	4.51	4.31	3.91	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.69	4.27	3.85	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	4.11	4.27	4.15	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.17	3.94	3.95	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	2.50	2.75	4.00	3.68	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/41	3.20	3.10	4.06	3.81	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.74	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.32	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	***	4.34	4.11	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	4.00	4.00	4.43	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	***	****	4.53	4.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.43	3.75	****

Course-Section: ART 210 4

Title: Visual Concepts

Instructor: Gardner,Symmes

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	***	4.54	3.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	****	4.45	3.77	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	5
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 210 5

Title: Visual Concepts

Instructor: Panfile,Natalia

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 14

motracion. Lamme, Natana				Ero	quen	cias		. In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	querii 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General	TAIX	14/-1	·		J	7	J 3	Wicarr	Name	Wiedii	Wicarr	IVICATI	Wicarr	Wicari
Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	5	5	3	3.85	1261/1528	4.11	4.26	4.31	4.34	3.85
Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	2	4	5	3.92	1202/1527	4.41	4.21	4.28	4.32	3.92
Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	10	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1333	4.34	4.40	4.34	4.40	***
Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	1	8	4.23	867/1495	4.41	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.23
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	11	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1439	3.33	3.86	4.11	4.12	***
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	6	0	2	1	4	2.77	1387/1425	2.87	3.51	4.12	4.11	2.77
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	4	2	0	3	4	3.08	1415/1508	3.99	3.86	4.18	4.19	3.08
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	453/1526	4.95	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	2	4	4	2	3.50	1269/1490	4.09	4.03	4.11	4.11	3.50
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	1	4	7	4.31	1045/1428	4.58	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.31
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	1	0	3	1	8	4.15	1355/1436	4.71	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.15
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	2	0	4	1	6	3.69	1249/1427	4.29	4.26	4.32	4.33	3.69
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	2	4	4	3.62	1270/1425	4.25	4.30	4.34	4.37	3.62
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	1	0	1	2	8	4.33	480/1291	4.46	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.33
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	4	3	5	3.85	907/1271	4.16	4.04	4.16	4.21	3.85
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	2	0	1	1	9	4.15	863/1276	4.52	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.15
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	1	0	3	1	8	4.15	883/1273	4.61	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.15
4. Were special techniques successful	0	8	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	467/922	3.69	3.96	4.02	4.11	4.00

Course-S	Section:	ART 210 5					Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	14
	Title:	Visual Conce	epts											Q	uestion	naires:	13
Ins	tructor:	Panfile, Nata	ilia														
								Frequer	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Disti	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	10)	Graduate	0		Major		8
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	8												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	13		Non-ma	ajor	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	3	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	3												

Course-Section: ART 211 1

Title: Visual Concepts II/Cam

Instructor: Thompson,Calla

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	2	0	4	8	4.29	886/1528	4.66	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	538/1527	4.72	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.54
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	3	0	0	2	6	2	4.00	1003/1333	4.42	4.40	4.34	4.40	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	267/1495	4.73	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	5	4	3	3.50	1216/1439	3.71	3.86	4.11	4.12	3.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	891/1425	3.77	3.51	4.12	4.11	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	4	3	6	4.15	908/1508	4.20	3.86	4.18	4.19	4.15
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	10	3	4.14	1368/1526	4.34	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.14
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	177/1490	4.56	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.73
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	3	10	4.64	669/1428	4.68	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	413/1436	4.91	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	3	10	4.64	449/1427	4.74	4.26	4.32	4.33	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	220/1425	4.72	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	1	0	1	0	11	4.54	304/1291	4.68	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.54
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	5	9	4.64	334/1271	4.58	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.64
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	246/1276	4.71	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	188/1273	4.90	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.93
4. Were special techniques successful	0	5	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	197/922	3.95	3.96	4.02	4.11	4.56

Course-Section: ART 211 1 Title: Visual Concepts II/Cam Instructor: Thompson, Calla

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 17

mstructor: mompson,cana														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	10	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/198	***	****	4.16	4.41	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	10	0	2	0	0	0	2	3.00	197/208	3.00	3.38	4.27	4.30	3.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	10	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/194	***	****	4.56	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	10	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/194	***	****	4.37	4.43	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	10	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/176	****	****	4.23	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	11	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	4.70	4.51	4.17	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	10	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/74	****	4.51	4.31	3.91	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	10	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.69	4.27	3.85	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	10	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/76	****	4.11	4.27	4.15	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	10	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/73	****	3.17	3.94	3.95	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	10	0	2	0	0	0	2	3.00	30/42	3.00	2.75	4.00	3.68	3.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	10	0	2	0	0	0	2	3.00	35/41	3.00	3.10	4.06	3.81	3.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	10	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/30	***	****	4.74	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	10	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/32	***	****	4.20	4.32	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	10	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/29	***	****	4.34	4.11	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	10	0	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	31/43	4.00	4.00	4.43	3.95	4.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	11	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	***	****	4.53	4.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	10	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/36	***	****	4.43	3.75	****

Course-Section: ART 211 1

Title: Visual Concepts II/Cam

Instructor: Thompson,Calla

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	11	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/21	****	***	4.54	3.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	10	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	***	4.45	3.77	****

Frequency Distribution

						1 3					
Credits	Earned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	14	Non-major	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 211 2

Title: Visual Concepts II/Cam

Instructor: Thompson,Calla

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 17

	•			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	9	4.69	390/1528	4.66	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.69
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	9	4.69	326/1527	4.72	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.69
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	436/1333	4.42	4.40	4.34	4.40	4.63
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	227/1495	4.73	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	4	1	6	3.85	997/1439	3.71	3.86	4.11	4.12	3.85
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	2	0	1	3	3	3.56	1189/1425	3.77	3.51	4.12	4.11	3.56
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	640/1508	4.20	3.86	4.18	4.19	4.36
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	12	0	3.92	1473/1526	4.34	4.44	4.66	4.64	3.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	6	6	4.50	344/1490	4.56	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.50
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	637/1428	4.68	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	464/1436	4.91	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1427	4.74	4.26	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	578/1425	4.72	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.58
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	143/1291	4.68	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.75
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	405/1271	4.58	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.56
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	439/1276	4.71	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	257/1273	4.90	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.89
4. Were special techniques successful	4	7	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/922	3.95	3.96	4.02	4.11	****

Course-Section: ART 211 2

Title: Visual Concepts II/Cam

Instructor: Thompson,Calla

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/198	***	****	4.16	4.41	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/208	3.00	3.38	4.27	4.30	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	***	****	4.56	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/194	***	****	4.37	4.43	***
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/76	***	4.70	4.51	4.17	***
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/76	***	4.11	4.27	4.15	***
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/73	***	3.17	3.94	3.95	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/42	3.00	2.75	4.00	3.68	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/41	3.00	3.10	4.06	3.81	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	***	****	4.20	4.32	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	***	****	4.34	4.11	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/43	4.00	4.00	4.43	3.95	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	13	Non-major	10
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:40:23 AM

Course-S	ection:	: ART 211 2					Term	- Fall	l 2010)						Enrol	Ilment:	17
	Title:	: Visual Conce	epts II/	Cam											Q	uestion	naires:	13
Inst	tructor:	: Thompson,C	Calla															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Self Paced																
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves			2		**** - Means	there are	not end	ugh resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				0							
				?	4													

Course-Section: ART 211 3

Title: Visual Concepts II/Cam

Instructor: Silberg, Steven

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	199/1528	4.66	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	93/1527	4.72	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.93
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	282/1333	4.42	4.40	4.34	4.40	4.77
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	9	4.64	332/1495	4.73	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.64
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	4	7	4.29	626/1439	3.71	3.86	4.11	4.12	4.29
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	3	8	4.36	563/1425	3.77	3.51	4.12	4.11	4.36
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	6	7	4.54	419/1508	4.20	3.86	4.18	4.19	4.54
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	769/1526	4.34	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.79
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	266/1490	4.56	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	303/1428	4.68	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1436	4.91	4.77	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	256/1427	4.74	4.26	4.32	4.33	4.79
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	130/1425	4.72	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	124/1291	4.68	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.79
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	446/1271	4.58	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	302/1276	4.71	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1273	4.90	4.46	4.38	4.43	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	1	0	1	4	4	4.00	467/922	3.95	3.96	4.02	4.11	4.00

Course-S	Section:	ART 211 3					Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	16
	Title:	Visual Conce	epts I	I/Cam						_				Q	uestion	naires:	14
Ins	tructor:	Silberg,Stev	en en														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре)		Maj	jors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	1	Α	11		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	11		Graduate	0		Major		11
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	14		Non-ma	ajor	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	3	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			1							
				?	1												

Course-Section: ART 211 4

Title: Visual Concepts II/Cam

Instructor: Silberg,Steven

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	307/1528	4.66	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	259/1527	4.72	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	458/1333	4.42	4.40	4.34	4.40	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	154/1495	4.73	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	1	4	4	3.67	1126/1439	3.71	3.86	4.11	4.12	3.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	3	2	4	3.50	1211/1425	3.77	3.51	4.12	4.11	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	4	4	3	3.75	1231/1508	4.20	3.86	4.18	4.19	3.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	9	3	4.25	1285/1526	4.34	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	305/1490	4.56	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.56
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	637/1428	4.68	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	917/1436	4.91	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	463/1427	4.74	4.26	4.32	4.33	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	475/1425	4.72	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	327/1291	4.68	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	7	5	4.42	538/1271	4.58	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.42
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	5	6	4.42	685/1276	4.71	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.42
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	408/1273	4.90	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	4	6	2	3.83	582/922	3.95	3.96	4.02	4.11	3.83

Course-S	Section:	ART 211 4					Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	12
	Title:	Visual Conce	epts I	I/Cam										Q	uestion	naires:	12
Ins	tructor:	Silberg,Stev	en en														
								Frequen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Distr	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7		Requ	ired for Majo	ors	9)	Graduate	0		Major		8
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	1		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	12		Non-ma	ajor	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			1							
				?	2												

Course-Section: ART 211 5

Title: Visual Concepts II/Cam

Instructor: Peters, Christop

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 18

matractor. I otorojomistop				Ero	quen	cios		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	querio	Λ	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General	INIX	IVA	'		3	4	J	Mean	Nank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	IVICALI
Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	5	12	4.71	376/1528	4.66	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.71
Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	1	14	4.71	312/1527	4.72	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.71
	-	-	_	-		'								
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	1	0		4	5	4.09	957/1333	4.42	4.40	4.34	4.40	4.09
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	2	14	4.71	277/1495	4.73	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	5	4	1	5	3.25	1314/1439	3.71	3.86	4.11	4.12	3.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	2	1	3	0	5	3.45	1236/1425	3.77	3.51	4.12	4.11	3.45
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	5	4	8	4.18	883/1508	4.20	3.86	4.18	4.19	4.18
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	5	11	4.59	994/1526	4.34	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.59
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	7	8	4.44	449/1490	4.56	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.44
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	1	2	13	4.59	758/1428	4.68	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.59
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	310/1436	4.91	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	0	3	13	4.65	449/1427	4.74	4.26	4.32	4.33	4.65
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	3	12	4.59	578/1425	4.72	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.59
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	1	15	4.82	105/1291	4.68	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.82
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	204/1271	4.58	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	302/1276	4.71	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	164/1273	4.90	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.93
4. Were special techniques successful	3	9	0	2	0	2	1	3.40	779/922	3.95	3.96	4.02	4.11	3.40

Course-S	Section:	ART 211 5					Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	18
	Title:	Visual Conce	epts I	I/Cam										Q	uestion	naires:	17
Ins	tructor:	Peters, Chris	stop														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	10		Requ	ired for Ma	ors	12	!	Graduate	0		Major		8
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	5												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	17		Non-ma	ajor	9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		2		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0	1						
				?	2												

Course-Section: ART 212 1

Title: Visual Concepts III/3D

Instructor: Young, Shannon

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 12

'	•			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	2	0	3	7	4.25	919/1528	3.76	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.25
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	7	3	4.08	1057/1527	3.78	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.08
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	6	5	4.33	746/1495	3.99	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	2	1	2	2	1	2.88	1400/1439	3.05	3.86	4.11	4.12	2.88
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	3	2	4	1	1	2.55	1397/1425	2.78	3.51	4.12	4.11	2.55
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	3	4	4	3.92	1127/1508	3.57	3.86	4.18	4.19	3.92
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	919/1526	4.39	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	2	5	4	4.18	756/1490	3.51	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.18
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	1	4	5	4.18	1126/1428	4.04	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.18
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	5	6	4.55	1155/1436	4.49	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.55
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	5	1	5	4.00	1080/1427	3.72	4.26	4.32	4.33	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	1	3	5	4.00	1076/1425	3.80	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	1	2	0	7	4.30	504/1291	4.18	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.30
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	1	0	1	2	1	3.40	1116/1271	3.69	4.04	4.16	4.21	3.40
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1276	4.80	4.39	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1273	4.71	4.46	4.38	4.43	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	7	4	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/922	3.49	3.96	4.02	4.11	****

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:40:24 AM

Course-S	Section:	ART 212 1					Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	14
	Title:	Visual Conce	epts I	11/3D						_				Q	uestion	naires:	12
Ins	tructor:	Young,Shan	inon														
								Freque	ncies		Ir	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє	9		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11		Requ	ired for Ma	ajors	1	2	Graduate	0		Major		11
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	2	С	0		Gene	ral			0	Under-grad	12		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0		Electi	ves			0	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				0						
				?	0												

Course-Section: ART 212 2

Title: Visual Concepts III/3D

Instructor: Young, Shannon

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	7	4	3	3.71	1327/1528	3.76	4.26	4.31	4.34	3.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	7	3	3.86	1252/1527	3.78	4.21	4.28	4.32	3.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	1	0	4	1	3.83	1127/1333	4.12	4.40	4.34	4.40	3.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	7	5	4.14	962/1495	3.99	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.14
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	1	1	1	4	1	3.38	1279/1439	3.05	3.86	4.11	4.12	3.38
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	1	1	6	3	1	3.17	1325/1425	2.78	3.51	4.12	4.11	3.17
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	1	8	3	3.79	1216/1508	3.57	3.86	4.18	4.19	3.79
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	7	6	4.46	1101/1526	4.39	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.46
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	1	4	7	0	3.50	1269/1490	3.51	4.03	4.11	4.11	3.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	7	5	4.21	1107/1428	4.04	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.21
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	2	6	6	4.29	1311/1436	4.49	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.29
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	4	7	2	3.85	1188/1427	3.72	4.26	4.32	4.33	3.85
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	2	6	5	4.00	1076/1425	3.80	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	1	6	6	4.21	567/1291	4.18	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.21
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	2	4	1	3.86	900/1271	3.69	4.04	4.16	4.21	3.86
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	385/1276	4.80	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	290/1273	4.71	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.86
4. Were special techniques successful	7	2	0	2	0	2	1	3.40	779/922	3.49	3.96	4.02	4.11	3.40

					_					_							
Course-	Section:	ART 212 2					Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	16
	Title:	Visual Conce	epts I	II/3D						_				Q	uestion	naires:	14
Ins	structor:	Young,Shan	inon														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє)		Ma	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	13	1	Graduate	0		Major		12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	2		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	14		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other			0)						
				2	0												

Course-Section: ART 212 3

Title: Visual Concepts III/3D

Instructor: Faura, Joseph J.

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	2	1	4	2	2	3.09	1478/1528	3.76	4.26	4.31	4.34	3.09
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	5	2	3	3.64	1362/1527	3.78	4.21	4.28	4.32	3.64
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	2	5	3	1	3.27	1423/1495	3.99	4.25	4.25	4.28	3.27
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	2	2	4	0	0	2.25	1430/1439	3.05	3.86	4.11	4.12	2.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	3	0	5	0	0	2.25	1415/1425	2.78	3.51	4.12	4.11	2.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	3	2	2	3	3.50	1317/1508	3.57	3.86	4.18	4.19	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	4	4.36	1193/1526	4.39	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.36
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	2	6	2	0	3.00	1406/1490	3.51	4.03	4.11	4.11	3.00
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	3	5	1	3.60	1345/1428	4.04	4.34	4.49	4.48	3.60
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	2	3	5	4.30	1304/1436	4.49	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.30
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	2	5	2	1	3.20	1355/1427	3.72	4.26	4.32	4.33	3.20
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	4	1	3	3.50	1305/1425	3.80	4.30	4.34	4.37	3.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	1	0	4	4	4.22	560/1291	4.18	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.22
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	0	2	3	1	3.43	1108/1271	3.69	4.04	4.16	4.21	3.43
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	246/1276	4.80	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	706/1273	4.71	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.43
4. Were special techniques successful	4	5	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/922	3.49	3.96	4.02	4.11	***
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/208	***	3.38	4.27	4.30	****

Course-Section: ART 212 3

Title: Visual Concepts III/3D

Instructor: Faura, Joseph J.

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/194	***	****	4.56	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/194	***	****	4.37	4.43	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/176	***	****	4.23	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	4.70	4.51	4.17	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	4.11	4.27	4.15	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	***	3.17	3.94	3.95	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	***	2.75	4.00	3.68	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/41	***	3.10	4.06	3.81	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	****	4.74	4.50	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	***	4.00	4.43	3.95	***

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	8	
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	5							
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	3	
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses		
				Р	0			to be significan	t			

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:40:24 AM

Course-Section:	ART 212 3			Term	- Fall	2010)						Enrol	Ilment:	13
Title:	Visual Concepts III/3D											Q	uestion	naires:	11
Instructor:	Faura, Joseph J.														
					Fred	quen	cies		Ins ⁻	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														
	I	0		Other				0							
	?														

Course-Section: ART 212 4

Title: Visual Concepts III/3D

Instructor: Bradley,Stephen

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

mistractor. Bradiey, stephen	Frequencies						In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean		Mean		
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	4	5	4.00	1140/1528	3.76	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	1	4	4	3	3.54	1397/1527	3.78	4.21	4.28	4.32	3.54
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	704/1333	4.12	4.40	4.34	4.40	4.40
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	3	7	4.23	867/1495	3.99	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.23
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	1	2	0	3	4	3.70	1108/1439	3.05	3.86	4.11	4.12	3.70
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	3	3	3	2	3.17	1325/1425	2.78	3.51	4.12	4.11	3.17
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	3	1	3	2	3	3.08	1414/1508	3.57	3.86	4.18	4.19	3.08
8. How many times was class cancelled		0	0	0	0	12	1	4.08	1401/1526	4.39	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.08
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	1	0	2	5	0	3.38	1323/1490	3.51	4.03	4.11	4.11	3.38
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	2	4	6	4.15	1145/1428	4.04	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.15
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	0	12	4.85	709/1436	4.49	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	5	5	3	3.85	1188/1427	3.72	4.26	4.32	4.33	3.85
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	1	5	4	3.69	1246/1425	3.80	4.30	4.34	4.37	3.69
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	2	2	3	6	4.00	728/1291	4.18	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	4	2	5	4.09	753/1271	3.69	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.09
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	472/1276	4.80	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.64
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	607/1273	4.71	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.55
4. Were special techniques successful	2	4	0	2	1	2	2	3.57	699/922	3.49	3.96	4.02	4.11	3.57

Course-Section: ART 212 4

Title: Visual Concepts III/3D

Instructor: Bradley,Stephen

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

mstructor. Bradiey, stephen	Frequencies							In	structor	Course	Org	Org UMBC		Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2		4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean		Level Mean	
	INK	IVA	1		3	4	၁	ivieari	Kalik	Mean	ivieari	ivieari	ivieari	iviear
Laboratory														
Did the lab increase understanding of the material	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/198	***	****	4.16	4.41	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/208	***	3.38	4.27	4.30	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	***	****	4.56	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	***	****	4.37	4.43	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/176	****	****	4.23	4.18	***
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	4.70	4.51	4.17	***
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	***	4.51	4.31	3.91	***
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.69	4.27	3.85	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	4.11	4.27	4.15	***
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	***	3.17	3.94	3.95	***
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	***	2.75	4.00	3.68	***
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/41	***	3.10	4.06	3.81	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	***	****	4.74	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	***	****	4.20	4.32	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.34	4.11	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	***	4.00	4.43	3.95	***
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	***	****	4.53	4.00	***
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	***	****	4.43	3.75	***

Course-Section: ART 212 4

Title: Visual Concepts III/3D

Instructor: Bradley,Stephen

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.54	3.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	***	4.45	3.77	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	13	Non-major	6
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: ART 213 1

Title: Visual Concepts IV/4D

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 5

Instructor: Nohe

Nobo Timothy

Instructor: Nohe, Timothy														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	521/1528	4.34	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.60
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	206/1527	4.46	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	393/1333	4.76	4.40	4.34	4.40	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	369/1495	4.32	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1439	3.20	3.86	4.11	4.12	***
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	891/1425	3.20	3.51	4.12	4.11	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	586/1508	4.09	3.86	4.18	4.19	4.40
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	1332/1526	4.13	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.20
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	675/1490	4.10	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	385/1428	4.37	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1436	4.88	4.77	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	230/1427	4.44	4.26	4.32	4.33	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1425	4.48	4.30	4.34	4.37	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	112/1291	4.69	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	319/1271	3.88	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1276	4.27	4.39	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1273	4.48	4.46	4.38	4.43	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/922	3.44	3.96	4.02	4.11	****

Course-S	Course-Section: ART 213 1						Term	<mark>ı - Fall 201</mark>	0						Enro	Ilment:	15
	Title:	Visual Conce	epts l'	V/4D										Q	uestion	naires:	5
Ins	tructor:	Nohe,Timoth	ny														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Disti	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	dits Earned Cum. GPA Expe					es		Reasc	ns			Турє	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	rades Reasons 2 Required for Majors				4	ļ.	Graduate	0		Major		5
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	5		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	1	1											

Course-Section: ART 213 2

Title: Visual Concepts IV/4D

Instructor: Nohe,Timothy

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 16

	•		Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	307/1528	4.34	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	11	4.56	501/1527	4.46	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.56
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	13	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/1333	4.76	4.40	4.34	4.40	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	2	3	10	4.53	457/1495	4.32	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.53
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	9	2	1	0	3	1	3.00	1361/1439	3.20	3.86	4.11	4.12	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	12	0	0	2	2	0	3.50	1211/1425	3.20	3.51	4.12	4.11	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	1	2	2	9	4.36	654/1508	4.09	3.86	4.18	4.19	4.36
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	7	8	4.44	1132/1526	4.13	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.44
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	4	8	4.43	464/1490	4.10	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	286/1428	4.37	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.87
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	645/1436	4.88	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.87
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	2	0	12	4.71	350/1427	4.44	4.26	4.32	4.33	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	1	1	12	4.60	556/1425	4.48	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	1	13	4.80	112/1291	4.69	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	2	0	1	2	2	3.29	1148/1271	3.88	4.04	4.16	4.21	3.29
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	2	0	1	4	4.00	926/1276	4.27	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	1	1	0	5	4.29	810/1273	4.48	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.29
4. Were special techniques successful	9	6	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/922	3.44	3.96	4.02	4.11	****

Course-S	Section:	ART 213 2					Term	ı - Fall 2	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	16
	Title:	Visual Conce	epts I	V/4D											Q	uestion	naires:	16
Ins	tructor:	Nohe,Timot	hy															
					_			Frequ	uend	ies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fr	eque	ncy Di	stri	buti	on							
Credits E	edits Earned Cum. GPA Exped					es		Rea	ason	ıS			Турє	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8		Requ	ired for N	Majo	rs	16	i	Graduate	0		Major		13
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	8													
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral			0	ı	Under-grad	16		Non-ma	ajor	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	4	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				0	1						
				2	0													

Course-Section: ART 213 3

Title: Visual Concepts IV/4D

Instructor: Ferrera, Christi

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

	_	Frequencies					In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	5	2	3.90	1233/1528	4.34	4.26	4.31	4.34	3.90
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	4	4.30	853/1527	4.46	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.30
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1333	4.76	4.40	4.34	4.40	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	3	3	3.80	1213/1495	4.32	4.25	4.25	4.28	3.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	3	1	2	1	0	1	2.60	1418/1439	3.20	3.86	4.11	4.12	2.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	6	0	2	0	0	1	3.00	1345/1425	3.20	3.51	4.12	4.11	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	2	4	3	4.11	959/1508	4.09	3.86	4.18	4.19	4.11
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	1	2	4	1	1	2.89	1521/1526	4.13	4.44	4.66	4.64	2.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	5	4	0	3.44	1295/1490	4.10	4.03	4.11	4.11	3.44
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	0	4	1	3	3.56	1354/1428	4.37	4.34	4.49	4.48	3.56
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1436	4.88	4.77	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	3	5	1	3.78	1218/1427	4.44	4.26	4.32	4.33	3.78
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	5	2	4.00	1076/1425	4.48	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	290/1291	4.69	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.56
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	2	2	1	3.50	1077/1271	3.88	4.04	4.16	4.21	3.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	4	0	2	3.67	1102/1276	4.27	4.39	4.33	4.37	3.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	776/1273	4.48	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.33
4. Were special techniques successful	4	2	1	1	1	0	1	2.75	887/922	3.44	3.96	4.02	4.11	2.75

Course-Section: ART 213 3

Title: Visual Concepts IV/4D

Instructor: Ferrera,Christi

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 10

							Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	4.70	4.51	4.17	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	****	4.51	4.31	3.91	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.69	4.27	3.85	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	4.11	4.27	4.15	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	3.17	3.94	3.95	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	****	2.75	4.00	3.68	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/41	****	3.10	4.06	3.81	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.74	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.32	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.34	4.11	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	****	4.00	4.43	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.53	4.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	****	4.43	3.75	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.54	3.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	***	****	4.45	3.77	***

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:40:25 AM

Course-S	Section	: ART 213 3					Term	ı - Fall	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	15
	Title	: Visual Conce	epts IV	7/4D											Q	uestion	naires:	10
Ins	tructor	Ferrera,Chri	sti															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Self Paced																
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	10		Non-ma	ijor	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ugh resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	•			0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: ART 213 4

Title: Visual Concepts IV/4D

Instructor: Mayhew,James

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 13

'	Frequencies						In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	2	9	4.54	601/1528	4.34	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.54
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	2	8	4.38	760/1527	4.46	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.38
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	2	4	4	4.20	903/1495	4.32	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.20
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	10	1	0	2	0	0	2.33	****/1439	3.20	3.86	4.11	4.12	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	5	2	2	0	2	2.27	1414/1425	3.20	3.51	4.12	4.11	2.27
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	3	2	2	5	3.75	1231/1508	4.09	3.86	4.18	4.19	3.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	9	4	4.31	1239/1526	4.13	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.31
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	2	5	4	4.18	756/1490	4.10	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.18
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	4	2	7	4.23	1093/1428	4.37	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.23
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	1007/1436	4.88	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.69
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	3	3	6	4.25	916/1427	4.44	4.26	4.32	4.33	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	2	8	4.31	894/1425	4.48	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.31
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	181/1291	4.69	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.69
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	1	3	2	2	3.63	1027/1271	3.88	4.04	4.16	4.21	3.63
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	1	2	1	4	4.00	926/1276	4.27	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	1	0	2	0	5	4.00	947/1273	4.48	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	5	4	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	360/922	3.44	3.96	4.02	4.11	4.25

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:40:25 AM Page 43 of 165

					_													
Course-S	Section:	ART 213 4					Term	n - Fall 2	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	15
	Title:	Visual Conce	epts I	V/4D											Q	uestion	naires:	13
Ins	tructor	Mayhew,Jar	nes															
					-			Frequ	uenc	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
Credits Ea	· ·				Grade	es		Rea	asor	าร			Туре	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6		Reasons Required for Majors				11		Graduate	0		Major		6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	6													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	13		Non-ma	ajor	7
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	0 Electives					0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0	Other				0								
				?	1													

Course-Section: ART 213 5

Title: Visual Concepts IV/4D

Instructor: Sturgeon, John

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

			Frequencies					In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	1	2	2	6	3.92	1223/1528	4.34	4.26	4.31	4.34	3.92
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	3	6	4.25	902/1527	4.46	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.25
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	458/1333	4.76	4.40	4.34	4.40	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	2	2	7	4.45	576/1495	4.32	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.45
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	851/1439	3.20	3.86	4.11	4.12	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	1	1	2	0	3.25	1306/1425	3.20	3.51	4.12	4.11	3.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	2	2	3	4	3.82	1199/1508	4.09	3.86	4.18	4.19	3.82
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	689/1526	4.13	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	6	3	4.20	734/1490	4.10	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.20
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	5	6	4.42	953/1428	4.37	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.42
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	742/1436	4.88	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	420/1427	4.44	4.26	4.32	4.33	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	667/1425	4.48	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	0	2	9	4.58	267/1291	4.69	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.58
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	3	5	4.30	620/1271	3.88	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.30
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	395/1276	4.27	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.70
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	345/1273	4.48	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.80
4. Were special techniques successful	2	7	0	1	1	0	1	3.33	799/922	3.44	3.96	4.02	4.11	3.33

Course-Section: ART 213 5

Title: Visual Concepts IV/4D

Instructor: Sturgeon, John

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/198	***	****	4.16	4.41	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/208	***	3.38	4.27	4.30	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/194	***	****	4.56	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/194	***	****	4.37	4.43	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/176	***	****	4.23	4.18	***
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/76	***	4.70	4.51	4.17	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/74	***	4.51	4.31	3.91	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	***	4.69	4.27	3.85	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/76	***	4.11	4.27	4.15	***
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	***	3.17	3.94	3.95	***
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/42	***	2.75	4.00	3.68	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:40:25 AM

Course-Section:	ART 213 5			Term	- Fall	2010)						Enrol	Ilment:	15
Title:	Visual Concepts IV/4D											Q	uestion	naires:	12
Instructor:	Sturgeon, John														
					Fred	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Field Work														
	rieid Work							0							
	?	4													

Course-Section: ART 214 1

Title: Drawing I

Instructor: Kissack,Lyle

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

	•			Frequencies					structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	348/1528	4.64	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.73
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	410/1527	4.65	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.64
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1333	4.71	4.40	4.34	4.40	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	1	0	3	5	4.33	746/1495	4.51	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1439	4.35	3.86	4.11	4.12	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1425	4.60	3.51	4.12	4.11	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	4	0	1	2	2	2	3.71	1251/1508	3.93	3.86	4.18	4.19	3.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	10	1	4.09	1395/1526	4.21	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.09
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	5	6	4.55	312/1490	4.43	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.55
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	637/1428	4.53	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	420/1427	4.60	4.26	4.32	4.33	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	475/1425	4.74	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	3	1	0	1	2	2	3.67	993/1291	4.24	4.42	4.05	4.14	3.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	1	0	2	3	3.71	982/1271	4.33	4.04	4.16	4.21	3.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	246/1276	4.54	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	1	0	0	0	6	4.43	706/1273	4.69	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.43
4. Were special techniques successful	4	6	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/922	4.40	3.96	4.02	4.11	****

					_													
Course-S	Section:	ART 214 1					Term	n - Fall 20	10							Enro	Ilment:	15
	Title:	Drawing I													Q	uestion	naires:	11
Ins	tructor:	Kissack,Lyle																
								Freque	ncies			Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	ĺ	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fr	eque	ncy Dis	tribu	tion)							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons				Туре	<u> </u>		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6		Requ	ired for M	ajors		9	(Graduate	0		Major		3
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	3													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	eral			0	l	Under-grad	11		Non-ma	ajor	8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ives			0	,	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							1	to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	r			1							
				?	2													

Course-Section: ART 214 2

Title: Drawing I

Instructor: Shellow,Leslie

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 18

	•		Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	238/1528	4.64	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	152/1527	4.65	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.87
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	7	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	339/1333	4.71	4.40	4.34	4.40	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	177/1495	4.51	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	1	0	2	6	4.44	446/1439	4.35	3.86	4.11	4.12	4.44
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	10	4.60	301/1425	4.60	3.51	4.12	4.11	4.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	1	3	10	4.64	306/1508	3.93	3.86	4.18	4.19	4.64
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	12	3	4.20	1332/1526	4.21	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.20
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	5	9	4.64	236/1490	4.43	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.64
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	553/1428	4.53	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	110/1427	4.60	4.26	4.32	4.33	4.93
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	220/1425	4.74	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	1	0	1	2	9	4.38	440/1291	4.24	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	184/1271	4.33	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	171/1276	4.54	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.92
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	211/1273	4.69	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.92
4. Were special techniques successful	3	3	0	0	2	0	7	4.56	197/922	4.40	3.96	4.02	4.11	4.56

Course-S	Section:	ART 214 2					Term	า - Fall 2	010							Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	18
	Title:	Drawing I													Q	uestion	naires:	15
Ins	tructor:	Shellow,Les	lie															
								Frequ	encies			Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3 4	ĺ	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	tribu	tion)							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	sons				Турє)		Ма	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11		Requ	ired for M	ajors		11	(Graduate	0		Major		8
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	3													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0		Gene	eral			0	ι	Jnder-grad	15		Non-ma	ajor	7
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Elect	ives			1	*	*** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							t	o be significa	ant				
				1	0		Othe	r			2							

Course-Section: ART 214 3

Title: Drawing I

Instructor: Shafie, Hadieh M

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 17

Tristi uctor. Sharie, nadiem w	Frequencies I						Įn:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	2	8	4.38	785/1528	4.64	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	1	9	4.46	639/1527	4.65	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.46
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	339/1333	4.71	4.40	4.34	4.40	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	1	9	4.38	682/1495	4.51	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	8	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	657/1439	4.35	3.86	4.11	4.12	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	10	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1425	4.60	3.51	4.12	4.11	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	2	0	1	5	1	2	3.44	1341/1508	3.93	3.86	4.18	4.19	3.44
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	8	4	4.33	1216/1526	4.21	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	2	6	3	4.09	851/1490	4.43	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.09
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	1	2	1	6	4.20	1114/1428	4.53	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.20
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	1	2	1	6	4.20	959/1427	4.60	4.26	4.32	4.33	4.20
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	422/1425	4.74	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.70
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	4	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	205/1291	4.24	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	1	0	1	5	4.43	528/1271	4.33	4.04	4.16	4.21	4.43
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	1	1	0	1	4	3.86	1017/1276	4.54	4.39	4.33	4.37	3.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	458/1273	4.69	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.71
4. Were special techniques successful	6	3	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	360/922	4.40	3.96	4.02	4.11	4.25

Course-Section: ART 214 3

Title: Drawing I

Instructor: Shafie, Hadieh M

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 13

						Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/208	***	3.38	4.27	4.30	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	11	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	4.70	4.51	4.17	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/42	***	2.75	4.00	3.68	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/41	***	3.10	4.06	3.81	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/43	***	4.00	4.43	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/31	***	****	4.53	4.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/36	***	****	4.43	3.75	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/21	***	****	4.54	3.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/20	***	***	4.45	3.77	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	2	Under-grad	13	Non-major	9
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	1				

Course-Section:	ART 214 3				Term	- Fall	2010)						Enrol	lment:	17
Title:	Drawing I												Q	uestion	naires:	13
Instructor:	Shafie,Hadieh M															
			,			Fre	quend	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced															
		2	0													

Course-Section: ART 216 1

Title: Studies in Vis. Culture

Instructor: Jacob, Preminda

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 50

	Frequencies						In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	2	6	13	13	4.00	1140/1528	4.00	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	3	4	13	15	4.14	1007/1527	4.14	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.14
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	12	0	2	1	9	10	4.23	849/1333	4.23	4.40	4.34	4.40	4.23
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	0	4	13	17	4.29	808/1495	4.29	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	0	1	11	21	4.40	499/1439	4.40	3.86	4.11	4.12	4.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	5	12	17	4.26	669/1425	4.26	3.51	4.12	4.11	4.26
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	2	2	4	8	19	4.14	921/1508	4.14	3.86	4.18	4.19	4.14
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	1	0	0	0	2	31	4.94	396/1526	4.94	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	1	5	18	9	4.06	871/1490	4.06	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.06
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	12	21	4.54	806/1428	4.54	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.54
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	1	0	2	32	4.86	677/1436	4.86	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	3	13	18	4.37	802/1427	4.37	4.26	4.32	4.33	4.37
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	0	14	20	4.49	696/1425	4.49	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.49
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	1	1	7	26	4.66	213/1291	4.66	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.66
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	3	2	6	6	7	3.50	1077/1271	3.50	4.04	4.16	4.21	3.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	4	4	4	3	9	3.38	1188/1276	3.38	4.39	4.33	4.37	3.38
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	1	2	2	6	13	4.17	877/1273	4.17	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.17
4. Were special techniques successful	12	18	1	0	1	1	3	3.83	****/922	****	3.96	4.02	4.11	****

Course-Section: ART 216 1

Title: Studies in Vis. Culture

Instructor: Jacob, Preminda

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 50

Questionnaires: 36

				Fred	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	34	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/208	****	3.38	4.27	4.30	***
Seminar														
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	34	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/76	****	4.11	4.27	4.15	***
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	34	0	1	0	1	0	0	2.00	****/42	****	2.75	4.00	3.68	***
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	35	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/41	****	3.10	4.06	3.81	***
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	34	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.32	***
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	34	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/43	***	4.00	4.43	3.95	***

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	7	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	29	Graduate	0	Major	25
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	14						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	4	С	2	General	1	Under-grad	36	Non-major	11
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	6						

Course-Section: ART 220 1

Title: Art History I

Instructor: Feldman, Joan S

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 102

That dotor. I diditially out o				Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	2	2	7	15	28	4.20	973/1528	4.20	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.20
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	2	1	5	12	34	4.39	760/1527	4.39	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.39
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	0	3	11	38	4.60	458/1333	4.60	4.40	4.34	4.40	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	2	0	6	18	23	4.22	879/1495	4.22	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.22
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	2	2	3	10	13	20	3.96	907/1439	3.96	3.86	4.11	4.12	3.96
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	2	3	2	13	13	17	3.81	1048/1425	3.81	3.51	4.12	4.11	3.81
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	3	1	9	12	26	4.12	959/1508	4.12	3.86	4.18	4.19	4.12
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	3	0	0	0	10	37	4.79	769/1526	4.79	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.79
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	16	2	1	0	5	25	5	3.92	1032/1490	3.92	4.03	4.11	4.11	3.92
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	1	0	0	10	40	4.73	534/1428	4.73	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.73
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	3	47	4.94	310/1436	4.94	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	1	3	13	33	4.56	553/1427	4.56	4.26	4.32	4.33	4.56
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	0	2	11	36	4.62	529/1425	4.62	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.62
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	3	1	0	6	8	32	4.49	346/1291	4.49	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.49
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	32	0	3	2	1	7	9	3.77	950/1271	3.77	4.04	4.16	4.21	3.77
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	32	0	1	2	3	5	11	4.05	911/1276	4.05	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.05
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	34	0	1	0	2	4	13	4.40	724/1273	4.40	4.46	4.38	4.43	4.40
4. Were special techniques successful	34	12	1	0	2	2	3	3.75	****/922	****	3.96	4.02	4.11	****

Course-Section: ART 220 1

Title: Art History I

Instructor: Feldman, Joan S

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 102

Questionnaires: 54

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	52	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/208	***	3.38	4.27	4.30	****
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	52	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/74	***	4.51	4.31	3.91	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	52	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/42	***	2.75	4.00	3.68	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	53	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/41	***	3.10	4.06	3.81	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	53	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	***	****	4.74	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	53	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	***	****	4.20	4.32	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	52	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	****/43	***	4.00	4.43	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	53	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	***	***	4.53	4.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	53	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	***	****	4.43	3.75	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	53	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/21	***	****	4.54	3.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	53	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/20	***	****	4.45	3.77	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	2	Α	27	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	0	В	14						
56-83	9	2.00-2.99	0	С	4	General	24	Under-grad	54	Non-major	41
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	14	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:40:26 AM

Course-Section:	ART 220 1				Term	- Fall	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	102
Title:	Art History I												Q	uestion	naires:	54
Instructor:	Feldman,Joan S															
						Fred	quenc	ies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced															
		Р	0							t	o be significa	ant				
		I	0		Other				1							
		?	8													

Course-Section: ART 221 1

Title: Art History II

Instructor: Ottesen,Bodil B

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 78

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	2	13	12	17	3.93	1205/1528	3.93	4.26	4.31	4.34	3.93
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	11	18	14	3.98	1146/1527	3.98	4.21	4.28	4.32	3.98
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	2	10	20	13	3.98	1028/1333	3.98	4.40	4.34	4.40	3.98
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	6	1	2	5	16	15	4.08	1015/1495	4.08	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.08
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	1	3	8	12	18	4.02	840/1439	4.02	3.86	4.11	4.12	4.02
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	3	1	5	9	16	10	3.71	1121/1425	3.71	3.51	4.12	4.11	3.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	2	1	8	18	14	3.95	1093/1508	3.95	3.86	4.18	4.19	3.95
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	29	15	4.34	1208/1526	4.34	4.44	4.66	4.64	4.34
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	2	0	1	8	23	1	3.73	1167/1490	3.73	4.03	4.11	4.11	3.73
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	4	18	22	4.36	1005/1428	4.36	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.36
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	3	8	34	4.69	1019/1436	4.69	4.77	4.74	4.76	4.69
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	3	7	18	17	4.09	1048/1427	4.09	4.26	4.32	4.33	4.09
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	5	15	22	4.30	901/1425	4.30	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.30
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	6	2	3	4	9	21	4.13	654/1291	4.13	4.42	4.05	4.14	4.13
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	21	0	6	2	8	4	4	2.92	1217/1271	2.92	4.04	4.16	4.21	2.92
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	22	0	4	4	9	1	5	2.96	1238/1276	2.96	4.39	4.33	4.37	2.96
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	21	0	2	1	12	3	6	3.42	1186/1273	3.42	4.46	4.38	4.43	3.42
4. Were special techniques successful	21	21	1	1	0	0	1	2.67	****/922	***	3.96	4.02	4.11	****

Course-Section: ART 221 1

Title: Art History II

Instructor: Ottesen,Bodil B

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 78

	l			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	41	3	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/198	***	****	4.16	4.41	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	42	0	2	0	1	0	0	1.67	****/208	***	3.38	4.27	4.30	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	42	2	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/194	***	****	4.56	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	42	2	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/194	***	****	4.37	4.43	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	42	2	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/176	***	****	4.23	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	39	0	0	1	1	2	2	3.83	****/76	***	4.70	4.51	4.17	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	39	0	0	1	2	2	1	3.50	****/74	***	4.51	4.31	3.91	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	39	0	0	1	1	3	1	3.67	****/66	***	4.69	4.27	3.85	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	39	2	1	1	1	1	0	2.50	****/76	***	4.11	4.27	4.15	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	39	1	1	0	2	2	0	3.00	****/73	***	3.17	3.94	3.95	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	41	0	1	1	0	1	1	3.00	****/42	***	2.75	4.00	3.68	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	41	0	0	1	0	2	1	3.75	****/41	***	3.10	4.06	3.81	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	41	0	1	0	0	2	1	3.50	****/30	***	****	4.74	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	41	2	0	2	0	0	0	2.00	****/32	***	****	4.20	4.32	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	41	2	0	2	0	0	0	2.00	****/29	***	****	4.34	4.11	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	40	0	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	****/43	***	4.00	4.43	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	40	1	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/31	***	****	4.53	4.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	40	1	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/36	***	***	4.43	3.75	****

Course-Section: ART 221 1

Title: Art History II

Instructor: Ottesen,Bodil B

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 78

Questionnaires: 45

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	40	3	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/21	****	****	4.54	3.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	40	3	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/20	****	***	4.45	3.77	****

Frequency Distribution

arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	21	Graduate	0	Major	17
4	1.00-1.99	0	В	22						
5	2.00-2.99	0	С	8	General	14	Under-grad	45	Non-major	28
3	3.00-3.49	8	D	1						
0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
			Р	0			to be significan	t		
			I	0	Other	1				
			?	4						
	4 5	4 0.00-0.99 4 1.00-1.99 5 2.00-2.99 3 3.00-3.49	4 0.00-0.99 0 4 1.00-1.99 0 5 2.00-2.99 0 3 3.00-3.49 8	4 0.00-0.99 0 A 4 1.00-1.99 0 B 5 2.00-2.99 0 C 3 3.00-3.49 8 D 0 3.50-4.00 6 F P	4 0.00-0.99 0 A 9 4 1.00-1.99 0 B 22 5 2.00-2.99 0 C 8 3 3.00-3.49 8 D 1 0 3.50-4.00 6 F 0 P 0 I 0	4 0.00-0.99 0 A 9 Required for Majors 4 1.00-1.99 0 B 22 5 2.00-2.99 0 C 8 General 3 3.00-3.49 8 D 1 0 3.50-4.00 6 F 0 Electives P 0 I 0 Other	4 0.00-0.99 0 A 9 Required for Majors 21 4 1.00-1.99 0 B 22 5 2.00-2.99 0 C 8 General 14 3 3.00-3.49 8 D 1 0 3.50-4.00 6 F 0 Electives 5 P 0 I 0 Other 1	4 0.00-0.99 0 A 9 Required for Majors 21 Graduate 4 1.00-1.99 0 B 22 5 2.00-2.99 0 C 8 General 14 Under-grad 3 3.00-3.49 8 D 1 0 3.50-4.00 6 F 0 Electives 5 ***** - Means the to be significant I 0 Other 1	4 0.00-0.99 0 A 9 Required for Majors 21 Graduate 0 4 1.00-1.99 0 B 22 5 2.00-2.99 0 C 8 General 14 Under-grad 45 3 3.00-3.49 8 D 1 0 3.50-4.00 6 F 0 Electives 5 **** - Means there are not to be significant I 0 Other 1	4 0.00-0.99 0 A 9 Required for Majors 21 Graduate 0 Major 4 1.00-1.99 0 B 22 5 2.00-2.99 0 C 8 General 14 Under-grad 45 Non-major 3 3.00-3.49 8 D 1 0 3.50-4.00 6 F 0 Electives 5 **** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant I 0 Other 1

Course-Section: ART 305 1

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 10

Title: Film I: Moving Images

Questionnaires: 8

Instructor: Worden, Frederic

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	636/1528	4.50	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	424/1527	4.63	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	898/1333	4.17	4.40	4.34	4.34	4.17
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	227/1495	4.75	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	2	0	3	0	2	3.00	1361/1439	3.00	3.86	4.11	4.13	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	1399/1425	2.50	3.51	4.12	4.17	2.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	626/1508	4.38	3.86	4.18	4.17	4.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	618/1526	4.88	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	344/1490	4.50	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	1021/1428	4.33	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	420/1427	4.67	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	667/1425	4.50	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	205/1291	4.67	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	319/1271	4.67	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.39	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.46	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	0	1	0	0	4	4.40	272/922	4.40	3.96	4.02	4.02	4.40

Course-S	Section:	ART 305 1					Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	10
	Title:	Film I: Movir	ng Im	ages										Q	uestion	naires:	8
Ins	structor:	Worden,Fred	deric														
					_			Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Турє	<u>)</u>		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	6	5	Graduate	0		Major		6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	8		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ugh resp	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	2												

Course-Section: ART 315 1

Title: Video I

Instructor: Grabill, Vincent

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 14

'	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	4	5	4.18	994/1528	4.18	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.18
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	3	5	4.18	970/1527	4.18	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.18
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	2	3	0	6	3.91	1087/1333	3.91	4.40	4.34	4.34	3.91
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	5	5	4.18	922/1495	4.18	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.18
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	5	2	2	3.27	1308/1439	3.27	3.86	4.11	4.13	3.27
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	5	1	1	1	1	1	3.00	1345/1425	3.00	3.51	4.12	4.17	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	4	1	5	3.82	1199/1508	3.82	3.86	4.18	4.17	3.82
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.44	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	1	1	4	3	3.70	1180/1490	3.70	4.03	4.11	4.11	3.70
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	1	0	3	4	4.25	1079/1428	4.25	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.25
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	612/1436	4.88	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	1	0	1	2	4	4.00	1080/1427	4.00	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	1	2	4	4.13	1029/1425	4.13	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.13
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	237/1291	4.63	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.63
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	629/1271	4.29	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.29
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	531/1276	4.57	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.57
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	2	1	4	4.29	810/1273	4.29	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.29
4. Were special techniques successful	5	4	1	0	1	0	0	2.00	****/922	****	3.96	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section: ART 315 1

Title: Video I

Instructor: Grabill, Vincent

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/198	****	****	4.16	4.26	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/208	****	3.38	4.27	4.31	****

Frequency Distribution

	Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Туре		Majors		
	00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	6	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	7
	28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
	56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	11	Non-major	4
	84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
	Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
					Р	0			to be significan	t		
					I	0	Other	0				
					?	1						

Course-Section: ART 320 1

Title: Intro To Printmaking

Instructor: Custen, Calvin R

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 16

	_		Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	112/1528	4.93	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.93
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	1	2	11	4.53	538/1527	4.53	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.53
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	10	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	237/1333	4.80	4.40	4.34	4.34	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	3	3	8	4.36	721/1495	4.36	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.36
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	13	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1439	***	3.86	4.11	4.13	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	15	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1425	***	3.51	4.12	4.17	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	5	3	2	2	0	4	3.00	1422/1508	3.00	3.86	4.18	4.17	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	10	6	4.38	1185/1526	4.38	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.38
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	3	10	2	3.93	1005/1490	3.93	4.03	4.11	4.11	3.93
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	637/1428	4.67	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	2	3	7	4.42	757/1427	4.42	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.42
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	349/1425	4.75	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	7	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	112/1291	4.80	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	1	2	2	4	4.00	780/1271	4.00	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	654/1276	4.44	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.44
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	599/1273	4.56	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.56
4. Were special techniques successful	7	6	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/922	***	3.96	4.02	4.02	***

Course-Section: ART 320 1							Term	ı - Fall 2	010							Enro	Ilment:	18
	Title:	Intro To Pri	ntmak	king							,				Q	uestion	naires:	16
Ins	structor:	Custen,Calv	in R															
								Frequ	<mark>enci</mark>	es		ln:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 :	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
			Fr	eque	ncy Dis	strik	outi	on										
Credits E	Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected					Grades Reasons							Туре	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11		Requ	ired for M	1ajors	S	8		Graduate	0		Major		10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3													
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral			2		Under-grad	16		Non-ma	ajor	6
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives					2		**** - Means	there are not enough respo		ponses		
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	r			1							
				2	2													

Course-Section: ART 323 1

Title: 20Th Century Art

Instructor: Jacob,Preminda

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 36

'	_	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	0	2	6	11	9	3.96	1177/1528	3.96	4.26	4.31	4.34	3.96
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	1	1	9	7	10	3.86	1252/1527	3.86	4.21	4.28	4.27	3.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	1	1	2	5	6	13	4.04	987/1333	4.04	4.40	4.34	4.34	4.04
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	3	0	1	4	8	12	4.24	855/1495	4.24	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.24
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	0	5	1	2	8	12	3.75	1064/1439	3.75	3.86	4.11	4.13	3.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	1	4	8	14	4.18	756/1425	4.18	3.51	4.12	4.17	4.18
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	1	4	6	7	10	3.75	1231/1508	3.75	3.86	4.18	4.17	3.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	7	21	4.75	811/1526	4.75	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	1	1	2	2	13	4	3.77	1136/1490	3.77	4.03	4.11	4.11	3.77
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	1	1	3	7	15	4.26	1079/1428	4.26	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.26
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	4	23	4.85	677/1436	4.85	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	1	2	5	8	11	3.96	1112/1427	3.96	4.26	4.32	4.31	3.96
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	5	8	14	4.33	870/1425	4.33	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	1	0	0	2	8	15	4.52	312/1291	4.52	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.52
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	2	4	0	8	5	3.53	1069/1271	3.53	4.04	4.16	4.19	3.53
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	0	2	1	6	10	4.26	799/1276	4.26	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.26
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	1	1	6	11	4.42	706/1273	4.42	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.42
4. Were special techniques successful	13	10	0	2	2	1	4	3.78	608/922	3.78	3.96	4.02	4.02	3.78

					_					_							
Course-				Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	36			
	Title:	20Th Centur	ry Art							_				Q	uestion	naires:	32
Ins	structor:	Jacob,Prem	inda														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion																
							eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected					es		Reaso	ons			Туре	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	23	}	Graduate	0		Major		24
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	10												
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	2	С	4		Gene	ral		1		Under-grad	32		Non-ma	ajor	8
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	4	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	0 El		ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				2	6												

Course-Section: ART 324 1

Title: History Of Film To 1965

Instructor: Sturgeon, John

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 68

matractor. Stargeon, Som	Frequencies						Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	3	6	8	20	4.22	962/1528	4.22	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.22
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	2	5	4	11	14	3.83	1262/1527	3.83	4.21	4.28	4.27	3.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	3	9	6	18	4.00	1003/1333	4.00	4.40	4.34	4.34	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	21	1	2	3	3	7	3.81	1207/1495	3.81	4.25	4.25	4.28	3.81
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	2	0	3	5	5	21	4.29	615/1439	4.29	3.86	4.11	4.13	4.29
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	21	3	1	4	2	5	3.33	1285/1425	3.33	3.51	4.12	4.17	3.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	2	4	4	26	4.50	448/1508	4.50	3.86	4.18	4.17	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	6	30	4.83	689/1526	4.83	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	2	1	0	7	15	8	3.94	1005/1490	3.94	4.03	4.11	4.11	3.94
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	1	0	2	6	25	4.59	758/1428	4.59	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.59
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	2	2	30	4.82	774/1436	4.82	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	1	1	2	5	24	4.52	613/1427	4.52	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.52
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	3	4	7	18	4.15	1005/1425	4.15	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.15
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	1	0	0	3	4	26	4.70	181/1291	4.70	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.70
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	5	1	5	0	9	3.35	1130/1271	3.35	4.04	4.16	4.19	3.35
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	17	0	3	3	6	3	6	3.29	1200/1276	3.29	4.39	4.33	4.37	3.29
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	4	2	4	4	7	3.38	1191/1273	3.38	4.46	4.38	4.40	3.38
4. Were special techniques successful	17	17	1	0	1	0	2	3.50	****/922	***	3.96	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section: ART 324 1

Title: History Of Film To 1965

Instructor: Sturgeon, John

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 68

Questionnaires: 38

	Frequencies						Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	36	0	1	1	0	0	0	1.50	****/208	***	3.38	4.27	4.31	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	36	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	4.70	4.51	4.02	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	36	1	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/74	***	4.51	4.31	3.86	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	37	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/76	***	4.11	4.27	3.68	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	36	0	1	0	1	0	0	2.00	****/42	***	2.75	4.00	3.20	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	37	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/41	***	3.10	4.06	3.86	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	37	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/30	***	****	4.74	4.80	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	37	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/43	***	4.00	4.43	3.75	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	10	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	11	
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	15							
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	4	С	3	General	6	Under-grad	38	Non-major	27	
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	2	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	7	**** - Means the	enough responses			
				Р	0			to be significan	t			
				I	0	Other	2					
				?	7							

Course-Section: ART 331 1

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 18

Title: Graph Des I: Image, Sign

Questionnaires: 15

Instructor: Abraham, Guenet

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	1	1	0	7	4	3.92	1214/1528	3.75	4.26	4.31	4.34	3.92
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	1	5	4	3	3.69	1341/1527	3.66	4.21	4.28	4.27	3.69
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	11	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	****/1333	****	4.40	4.34	4.34	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	0	2	4	6	4.33	746/1495	3.98	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	8	2	0	2	0	1	2.60	1418/1439	2.93	3.86	4.11	4.13	2.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	11	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/1425	2.80	3.51	4.12	4.17	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	1	2	1	5	4	3.69	1259/1508	3.38	3.86	4.18	4.17	3.69
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	3	9	1	3.85	1491/1526	3.79	4.44	4.66	4.68	3.85
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	3	5	2	3.90	1046/1490	3.62	4.03	4.11	4.11	3.90
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	1	4	5	3	3.77	1310/1428	3.76	4.34	4.49	4.48	3.77
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	709/1436	4.72	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	2	5	3	3	3.54	1294/1427	3.51	4.26	4.32	4.31	3.54
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	1	3	4	4	3.69	1246/1425	3.66	4.30	4.34	4.34	3.69
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	1	2	3	5	1	3.25	1143/1291	3.67	4.42	4.05	4.09	3.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	1	4	2	2	3.56	1057/1271	3.62	4.04	4.16	4.19	3.56
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	548/1276	4.19	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.56
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	4	1	4	4.00	947/1273	4.04	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	6	5	1	0	2	0	1	3.00	857/922	2.88	3.96	4.02	4.02	3.00

Course-	Section:	ART 331 1					Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	18
	Title:	Graph Des I	: Ima	ge, Sign						_				Q	uestion	naires:	15
Ins	structor:	Abraham,Gu	ienet														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	13	3	Graduate	0		Major		12
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	1	В	5												
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	15		Non-ma	ajor	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	•		0)						
				?	2												

Course-Section: ART 331 2

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

.....

Title: Graph Des I: Image, Sign

Questionnaires: 15

Instructor: Abraham, Guenet

'				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	1	0	0	4	8	4.38	785/1528	3.75	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	4	5	4	4.00	1113/1527	3.66	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	10	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	****/1333	***	4.40	4.34	4.34	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	1	2	5	4	4.00	1047/1495	3.98	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	10	0	1	0	2	0	3.33	****/1439	2.93	3.86	4.11	4.13	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	11	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1425	2.80	3.51	4.12	4.17	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	1	0	2	7	2	1	3.17	1403/1508	3.38	3.86	4.18	4.17	3.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	2	6	5	4.23	1304/1526	3.79	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.23
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	2	1	4	3	3.80	1118/1490	3.62	4.03	4.11	4.11	3.80
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	1	6	4	4.27	1065/1428	3.76	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.27
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	806/1436	4.72	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	1	2	5	3	3.91	1160/1427	3.51	4.26	4.32	4.31	3.91
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	1	3	6	4.27	915/1425	3.66	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.27
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	1	0	1	2	3	4	4.00	728/1291	3.67	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	1	0	4	3	4.13	733/1271	3.62	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.13
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	805/1276	4.19	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	746/1273	4.04	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.38
4. Were special techniques successful	7	5	0	0	2	1	0	3.33	****/922	2.88	3.96	4.02	4.02	****

Course-S	Section:	ART 331 2					Term	<mark>ı - Fall 201</mark>	0						Enro	Ilment:	15
	Title:	Graph Des I	: Ima	ge, Sign										Q	uestion	naires:	15
Ins	tructor:	Abraham,Gu	uenet														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Disti	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected								Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	9)	Graduate	0		Major		12
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	3												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	15		Non-ma	ajor	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	6												

Course-Section: ART 331 3

Title: Graph Des I: Image, Sign
Instructor: Cordova, Viviana

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	4	6	1	2	2.93	1499/1528	3.75	4.26	4.31	4.34	2.93
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	4	5	2	3	3.29	1443/1527	3.66	4.21	4.28	4.27	3.29
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	12	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1333	***	4.40	4.34	4.34	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	2	2	4	4	3.62	1326/1495	3.98	4.25	4.25	4.28	3.62
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	10	1	0	1	1	1	3.25	1314/1439	2.93	3.86	4.11	4.13	3.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	9	1	1	2	0	1	2.80	1384/1425	2.80	3.51	4.12	4.17	2.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	3	6	3	2	3.29	1385/1508	3.38	3.86	4.18	4.17	3.29
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	4	2	8	0	3.29	1516/1526	3.79	4.44	4.66	4.68	3.29
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	2	6	4	0	3.17	1383/1490	3.62	4.03	4.11	4.11	3.17
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	3	5	2	2	3.25	1389/1428	3.76	4.34	4.49	4.48	3.25
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	6	6	4.50	1183/1436	4.72	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	2	3	1	4	2	3.08	1371/1427	3.51	4.26	4.32	4.31	3.08
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	2	4	2	2	3.00	1372/1425	3.66	4.30	4.34	4.34	3.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	2	2	5	3	3.75	937/1291	3.67	4.42	4.05	4.09	3.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	3	6	1	2	3.17	1176/1271	3.62	4.04	4.16	4.19	3.17
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	2	3	3	4	3.75	1062/1276	4.19	4.39	4.33	4.37	3.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	2	3	3	4	3.75	1083/1273	4.04	4.46	4.38	4.40	3.75
4. Were special techniques successful	2	8	1	1	1	0	1	2.75	887/922	2.88	3.96	4.02	4.02	2.75

Course-Section: ART 331 3

Title: Graph Des I: Image, Sign

Instructor: Cordova, Viviana

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	****	4.00	4.43	3.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	***	****	4.53	4.75	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	***	***	4.43	5.00	***

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	12	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	14	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 332 1

Title: Design & Tech I: Print

Instructor: Cordova, Viviana

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 18

This it decier.				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	4	4	5	2	3.19	1467/1528	3.40	4.26	4.31	4.34	3.19
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	6	4	4	3.63	1366/1527	3.59	4.21	4.28	4.27	3.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	13	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/1333	4.33	4.40	4.34	4.34	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	7	4	5	3.88	1175/1495	3.55	4.25	4.25	4.28	3.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	10	0	1	3	1	1	3.33	1291/1439	3.83	3.86	4.11	4.13	3.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	10	3	1	1	1	0	2.00	1418/1425	2.78	3.51	4.12	4.17	2.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	3	7	3	2	3.27	1388/1508	3.20	3.86	4.18	4.17	3.27
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	1	1	11	3	4.00	1421/1526	4.03	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	2	5	6	1	3.43	1304/1490	3.48	4.03	4.11	4.11	3.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	2	6	6	2	3.50	1364/1428	3.55	4.34	4.49	4.48	3.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	5	11	4.69	1019/1436	4.44	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.69
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	2	8	3	1	3.07	1373/1427	3.27	4.26	4.32	4.31	3.07
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	3	6	6	0	3.06	1368/1425	3.37	4.30	4.34	4.34	3.06
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	1	1	2	3	8	4.07	695/1291	4.21	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.07
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	5	3	6	0	3.07	1190/1271	3.12	4.04	4.16	4.19	3.07
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	1	7	3	3	3.57	1135/1276	3.66	4.39	4.33	4.37	3.57
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	4	6	4	4.00	947/1273	3.97	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	8	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	408/922	3.83	3.96	4.02	4.02	4.17

Course-S	Section:	ART 332 1					Term	ı - Fall 201	0						Enro	Ilment:	18
	Title:	Design & Te	ch I: I	Print						-				Q	uestion	naires:	16
Ins	tructor:	Cordova,Viv	iana														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Disti	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	12	!	Graduate	0		Major		13
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	3												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	16		Non-ma	ajor	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	1												

Course-Section: ART 332 2

Title: Design & Tech I: Print

Instructor: Cordova, Viviana

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	2	3	2	1	3.00	1485/1528	3.40	4.26	4.31	4.34	3.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	4	2	2	0	2.56	1512/1527	3.59	4.21	4.28	4.27	2.56
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	3	1	3	1	3.00	1453/1495	3.55	4.25	4.25	4.28	3.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1439	3.83	3.86	4.11	4.13	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	1	0	2	0	0	2.33	1412/1425	2.78	3.51	4.12	4.17	2.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	4	1	1	1	2.44	1484/1508	3.20	3.86	4.18	4.17	2.44
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.03	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	1	2	2	1	0	2.50	1459/1490	3.48	4.03	4.11	4.11	2.50
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	2	1	3	1	1	2.75	1414/1428	3.55	4.34	4.49	4.48	2.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	2	2	1	3	3.63	1416/1436	4.44	4.77	4.74	4.74	3.63
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	3	1	3	1	0	2.25	1414/1427	3.27	4.26	4.32	4.31	2.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	3	1	2	0	2.38	1408/1425	3.37	4.30	4.34	4.34	2.38
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	3	2	2	3.86	869/1291	4.21	4.42	4.05	4.09	3.86
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	2	0	2	0	1	2.60	1240/1271	3.12	4.04	4.16	4.19	2.60
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	1	1	2	1	3.60	1128/1276	3.66	4.39	4.33	4.37	3.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	2	3	0	3.60	1146/1273	3.97	4.46	4.38	4.40	3.60
4. Were special techniques successful	4	3	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	****/922	3.83	3.96	4.02	4.02	****
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	8	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/198	***	****	4.16	4.26	****

Course-Section: ART 332 2	Term - Fall 2010	Enrollment: 1
Title: Design & Tech I: Print		Questionnaires: 9
Instructor: Cordova Viviana		

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/208	****	3.38	4.27	4.31	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 332 3

Title: Design & Tech I: Print

Instructor: Rosenberg,Ari

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 12

	-			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	1	4	4	4.00	1140/1528	3.40	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	453/1527	3.59	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	769/1333	4.33	4.40	4.34	4.34	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	0	1	5	2	3.78	1233/1495	3.55	4.25	4.25	4.28	3.78
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	573/1439	3.83	3.86	4.11	4.13	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	891/1425	2.78	3.51	4.12	4.17	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	4	3	3.90	1136/1508	3.20	3.86	4.18	4.17	3.90
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	9	1	4.10	1393/1526	4.03	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.10
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	344/1490	3.48	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	965/1428	3.55	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.40
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1436	4.44	4.77	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	625/1427	3.27	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	475/1425	3.37	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	174/1291	4.21	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.70
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	2	2	4	3.70	988/1271	3.12	4.04	4.16	4.19	3.70
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	1	1	2	1	5	3.80	1040/1276	3.66	4.39	4.33	4.37	3.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	4.30	799/1273	3.97	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.30
4. Were special techniques successful	0	6	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	719/922	3.83	3.96	4.02	4.02	3.50

Course-Section: ART 332 3

Title: Design & Tech I: Print

Instructor: Rosenberg,Ari

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
Did the lab increase understanding of the material	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/198	****	****	4.16	4.26	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/208	****	3.38	4.27	4.31	****

Frequency Distribution

					. 3					
arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	2	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	9
1	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	1
0	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
			Р	0			to be significan	t		
			I	0	Other	0				
			?	2						
	0 1 0 0	0 0.00-0.99 1 1.00-1.99 0 2.00-2.99 0 3.00-3.49	0 0.00-0.99 1 1 1.00-1.99 0 0 2.00-2.99 0 0 3.00-3.49 3	0 0.00-0.99 1 A 1 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 3.00-3.49 3 D 0 3.50-4.00 1 F P	0 0.00-0.99 1 A 2 1 1.00-1.99 0 B 6 0 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 0 3.00-3.49 3 D 0 0 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 P 0 I 0	0 0.00-0.99 1 A 2 Required for Majors 1 1.00-1.99 0 B 6 0 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 General 0 3.00-3.49 3 D 0 0 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 Electives P 0 I 0 Other	0 0.00-0.99 1 A 2 Required for Majors 8 1 1.00-1.99 0 B 6 0 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 General 0 0 3.00-3.49 3 D 0 Electives 0 0 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 Electives 0 P 0 0 Other 0	0 0.00-0.99 1 A 2 Required for Majors 8 Graduate 1 1.00-1.99 0 B 6 0 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 General 0 Under-grad 0 3.00-3.49 3 D 0 ***** - Means th P 0 to be significant 0 0 0 0 0 ***** - Means th 0 0 ***** - Means th 0 0 ***** - Means th 0	0 0.00-0.99 1 A 2 Required for Majors 8 Graduate 0 1 1.00-1.99 0 B 6 0 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 General 0 Under-grad 10 0 3.00-3.49 3 D 0 0 ***** - Means there are not to be significant 0 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 Clectives 0 ***** - Means there are not to be significant 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0.00-0.99 1 A 2 Required for Majors 8 Graduate 0 Major 1 1.00-1.99 0 B 6 0 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 General 0 Under-grad 10 Non-major 0 3.00-3.49 3 D 0 ***** - Means there are not enough responses 0 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 Electives 0 ***** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant 1 0 Other 0

Course-Section: ART 333 1

Title: Typography I

Instructor: Campbell,Susan

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 14

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	5	9	4.64	463/1528	4.57	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	301/1527	4.64	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	328/1333	4.65	4.40	4.34	4.34	4.73
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	286/1495	4.67	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.69
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	2	10	4.50	367/1439	4.29	3.86	4.11	4.13	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	10	0	1	1	0	2	3.75	1088/1425	3.95	3.51	4.12	4.17	3.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	1	10	4.43	558/1508	4.32	3.86	4.18	4.17	4.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	867/1526	4.82	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.71
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	7	5	4.31	616/1490	4.38	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.31
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	3	9	4.50	854/1428	4.61	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	413/1436	4.89	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	1	11	4.64	449/1427	4.68	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	407/1425	4.68	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	2	4	8	4.43	405/1291	4.46	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.43
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	280/1271	4.46	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	152/1276	4.76	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.93
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	188/1273	4.76	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.93
4. Were special techniques successful	0	5	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	158/922	4.33	3.96	4.02	4.02	4.67

										_							
Course-	Section:	ART 333 1					Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	15
	Title:	Typography	' I							_				Q	uestion	naires:	14
Ins	structor:	Campbell,Su	usan														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist									
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє)		Ma	jors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	12	2	Graduate	0		Major		14
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	7												
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	14		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	4	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				2	1												

Course-Section: ART 333 2

Title: Typography I

Instructor: Campbell, Susan

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

matractor. Campson, Sasan				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	5	8	4.50	636/1528	4.57	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	6	8	4.57	489/1527	4.64	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	489/1333	4.65	4.40	4.34	4.34	4.57
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	9	4.64	332/1495	4.67	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.64
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	2	3	7	4.07	813/1439	4.29	3.86	4.11	4.13	4.07
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	0	1	4	2	4.14	786/1425	3.95	3.51	4.12	4.17	4.14
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	5	1	8	4.21	832/1508	4.32	3.86	4.18	4.17	4.21
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	453/1526	4.82	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	0	0	0	5	4	4.44	434/1490	4.38	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.44
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	553/1428	4.61	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	677/1436	4.89	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	350/1427	4.68	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	5	9	4.64	502/1425	4.68	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.64
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	6	6	4.50	327/1291	4.46	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	669/1271	4.46	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.20
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	506/1276	4.76	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	562/1273	4.76	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.60
4. Were special techniques successful	9	1	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	467/922	4.33	3.96	4.02	4.02	4.00

Course-S	Section:	ART 333 2					Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	15
	Title:	Typography	T							-				Q	uestion	naires:	14
Ins	tructor:	Campbell,Su	ısan														
					_			Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Турє)		Maj	ors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7		Requ	ired for Ma	ors	11		Graduate	0		Major		13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5												
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0		Under-grad	14		Non-ma	ijor	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0							
				?	2												

Course-Section: ART 334 1

Title: Graphic Design IV

Instructor: Abraham, Guenet

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	2	1	4	2	3.67	1350/1528	3.83	4.26	4.31	4.34	3.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	4	3	1	3.44	1418/1527	3.51	4.21	4.28	4.27	3.44
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	3	2	3.67	1301/1495	3.79	4.25	4.25	4.28	3.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	1	6	1	0	3.00	1422/1508	3.36	3.86	4.18	4.17	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	5	3	4.22	1313/1526	4.24	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.22
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	3	3	0	3.50	1269/1490	3.81	4.03	4.11	4.11	3.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	2	3	0	2	3.29	1387/1428	3.43	4.34	4.49	4.48	3.29
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	1183/1436	4.61	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	3	3	1	3.71	1242/1427	3.86	4.26	4.32	4.31	3.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	1	4	1	3.38	1331/1425	3.69	4.30	4.34	4.34	3.38
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	3	0	0	3	1	1	3.60	1024/1291	3.93	4.42	4.05	4.09	3.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	4	1	2	3.71	982/1271	4.23	4.04	4.16	4.19	3.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	675/1276	4.46	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.43
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	810/1273	4.64	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.29
4. Were special techniques successful	2	4	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	467/922	4.00	3.96	4.02	4.02	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned Cum. GPA **Expected Grades** Reasons Majors Type 00-27 0.00-0.99 Required for Majors 8 Graduate 0 Major 0 Α 5 7 28-55 0 1.00-1.99 0 В 4

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:40:27 AM

Course-S	ection	n: ART 334 1					Term	- Fall	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	16
	Title	e: Graphic Des	ign IV												Q	uestion	naires:	9
Inst	tructor	Abraham, Gu	uenet															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	9		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves			1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ugh resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: ART 334 2

Title: Graphic Design IV

Instructor: Abraham, Guenet

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 13

	•			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	0	3	2	6	4.00	1140/1528	3.83	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	0	6	1	4	3.58	1380/1527	3.51	4.21	4.28	4.27	3.58
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	11	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1333	***	4.40	4.34	4.34	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	2	2	3	5	3.92	1147/1495	3.79	4.25	4.25	4.28	3.92
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	9	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/1439	***	3.86	4.11	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	5	0	1	1	4	1	3.71	1251/1508	3.36	3.86	4.18	4.17	3.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	7	4	4.25	1285/1526	4.24	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	1	1	3	4	4.11	833/1490	3.81	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.11
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	1	0	2	2	2	3.57	1350/1428	3.43	4.34	4.49	4.48	3.57
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	980/1436	4.61	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.71
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	1	0	0	3	3	4.00	1080/1427	3.86	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	1	0	0	3	3	4.00	1076/1425	3.69	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	3	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	539/1291	3.93	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	246/1271	4.23	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	591/1276	4.46	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1273	4.64	4.46	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	9	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/922	4.00	3.96	4.02	4.02	****

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:40:27 AM

Course-	Section	: ART 334 2				Term	r - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	17	
	Title	: Graphic Des	ign IV	/						_				Q	uestion	naires:	13
Ins	structor	: Abraham,Gu	uenet														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8		Requ	ired for Ma	ijors	11		Graduate	0		Major		13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3												
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		C)	Under-grad	13		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	4	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		C)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			C)						
				?	1												

Course-Section: ART 335 1

Title: Origins and Issues in De

Instructor: Smalls,James

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 49

'	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	2	0	6	5	18	4.19	983/1528	4.00	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.19
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	5	8	17	4.29	862/1527	3.75	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.29
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	0	4	3	22	4.50	564/1333	4.04	4.40	4.34	4.34	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	8	2	5	7	2	7	3.30	1417/1495	3.03	4.25	4.25	4.28	3.30
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	3	3	5	18	4.10	802/1439	4.00	3.86	4.11	4.13	4.10
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	5	2	8	3	11	3.45	1241/1425	2.88	3.51	4.12	4.17	3.45
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	5	2	7	16	4.03	1026/1508	3.43	3.86	4.18	4.17	4.03
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	19	12	4.39	1178/1526	4.32	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.39
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	3	1	5	12	6	3.63	1227/1490	3.06	4.03	4.11	4.11	3.63
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	3	0	26	4.79	403/1428	4.55	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.79
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	1	1	0	3	24	4.66	1055/1436	4.55	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.66
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	1	4	5	18	4.31	863/1427	3.93	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.31
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	3	3	5	18	4.31	886/1425	3.99	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.31
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	2	2	0	4	1	17	4.29	511/1291	4.15	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.29
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	4	2	5	3	3	2.94	1210/1271	2.35	4.04	4.16	4.19	2.94
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	5	1	4	2	5	3.06	1226/1276	2.82	4.39	4.33	4.37	3.06
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	7	1	4	3	2	2.53	1259/1273	2.22	4.46	4.38	4.40	2.53
4. Were special techniques successful	14	15	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/922	****	3.96	4.02	4.02	****

Course-S	Section:	ART 335 1					Term	ı - Fall 201	0						Enro	Ilment:	49
	Title:	Origins and	Issue	s in De										Q	uestion	naires:	31
Ins	tructor:	Smalls,Jame	es														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	30		Graduate	0		Major		29
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	14												
56-83	7	2.00-2.99	2	С	6		Gene	ral		0		Under-grad	31		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	6	D	1												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0		Electi	ves		0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0							
				?	1												

Course-Section: ART 335 2

Title: Origins and Issues in De

Instructor: Smalls, James

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 27

	•			Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	1	7	3	8	3.80	1280/1528	4.00	4.26	4.31	4.34	3.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	3	4	4	4	5	3.20	1465/1527	3.75	4.21	4.28	4.27	3.20
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	3	3	8	4	3.58	1223/1333	4.04	4.40	4.34	4.34	3.58
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	6	0	4	4	2	2.75	1479/1495	3.03	4.25	4.25	4.28	2.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	4	7	7	3.90	963/1439	4.00	3.86	4.11	4.13	3.90
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	11	0	2	3	3	2.32	1412/1425	2.88	3.51	4.12	4.17	2.32
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	4	3	6	2	3	2.83	1457/1508	3.43	3.86	4.18	4.17	2.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	13	6	4.25	1285/1526	4.32	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	2	3	3	3	3	0	2.50	1459/1490	3.06	4.03	4.11	4.11	2.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	5	3	11	4.32	1037/1428	4.55	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.32
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	8	9	4.44	1229/1436	4.55	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.44
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	3	4	5	5	3.56	1290/1427	3.93	4.26	4.32	4.31	3.56
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	3	1	4	1	9	3.67	1255/1425	3.99	4.30	4.34	4.34	3.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	2	2	7	6	4.00	728/1291	4.15	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	7	2	2	1	0	1.75	1265/1271	2.35	4.04	4.16	4.19	1.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	4	2	2	3	1	2.58	1256/1276	2.82	4.39	4.33	4.37	2.58
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	6	3	2	0	1	1.92	1271/1273	2.22	4.46	4.38	4.40	1.92
4. Were special techniques successful	8	11	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/922	***	3.96	4.02	4.02	****

Course-S	Section:	ART 335 2					Term	ı - Fall 201	0						Enro	Ilment:	27
	Title:	Origins and	Issue	s in De						•				Q	uestion	naires:	20
Ins	tructor:	Smalls,Jame	es														
								Frequer	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Disti	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	1		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	18	3	Graduate	0		Major		17
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	6												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	10		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	20		Non-ma	ajor	3
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	1												

Course-Section: ART 336.1

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 13

Course Section.	7111 330 1
Title:	Design & Tech II: Screen
Instructor:	Bell,Kathryn L

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	434/1528	4.21	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	0	0	11	4.75	259/1527	4.25	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	3	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	648/1333	4.29	4.40	4.34	4.34	4.44
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	1	0	3	7	4.45	576/1495	4.41	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.45
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	8	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	367/1439	4.35	3.86	4.11	4.13	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	4	0	0	3	1	4	4.13	806/1425	3.69	3.51	4.12	4.17	4.13
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	1	0	1	2	7	4.27	758/1508	4.18	3.86	4.18	4.17	4.27
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	7	5	4.42	1152/1526	4.33	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.42
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	2	5	3	4.10	845/1490	4.16	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.10
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	221/1428	4.75	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.91
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1436	4.92	4.77	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	220/1427	4.66	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.82
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	741/1425	4.39	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.45
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	1	0	1	3	5	4.10	674/1291	4.34	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.10
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	570/1271	4.29	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.38
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	719/1276	4.39	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.38
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1273	4.85	4.46	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	5	5	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	****/922	4.80	3.96	4.02	4.02	****

Course-S	Section:	ART 336 1					Term	า - Fall 2	<mark>010</mark>)						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	13
	Title:	Design & Te	ch II:	Screen											Q	uestion	naires:	13
Ins	tructor:	Bell,Kathryn	ı L															
								Frequ	enci	ies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	stril	buti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	sons	S			Турє	;		Ма	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7		Requ	ired for N	1ajor	s	10)	Graduate	0		Major		10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3													
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	eral			0)	Under-grad	13		Non-ma	ajor	3
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0		Elect	ives			1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Othe	r			0)						
				2	3													

Course-Section: ART 336 2

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 17

Title: Design & Tech II: Screen

Questionnaires: 12

Instructor: Bell,Kathryn L

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	4	4	3	3.75	1306/1528	4.21	4.26	4.31	4.34	3.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	4	4	3	3.75	1308/1527	4.25	4.21	4.28	4.27	3.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	4	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	916/1333	4.29	4.40	4.34	4.34	4.14
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	708/1495	4.41	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.36
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	710/1439	4.35	3.86	4.11	4.13	4.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	1	1	3	1	2	3.25	1306/1425	3.69	3.51	4.12	4.17	3.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	1	2	3	5	4.09	979/1508	4.18	3.86	4.18	4.17	4.09
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	9	3	4.25	1285/1526	4.33	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	2	3	4	4.22	710/1490	4.16	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.22
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	758/1428	4.75	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.58
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	742/1436	4.92	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	6	6	4.50	625/1427	4.66	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	3	7	4.33	870/1425	4.39	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	267/1291	4.34	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.58
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	1	2	6	4.20	669/1271	4.29	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.20
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	696/1276	4.39	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.40
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	471/1273	4.85	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.70
4. Were special techniques successful	2	5	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	102/922	4.80	3.96	4.02	4.02	4.80

Course-S	Section:	ART 336 2					Term	n - Fall 20	10							Enro	Ilment:	17
	Title:	Design & Te	ch II:	Screen											Q	uestion	naires:	12
Ins	tructor:	Bell,Kathryn	ı L															
								Freque	ncies			Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4		5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	tribu	tior	n							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons				Туре	!		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5		Requ	ired for M	ajors		11		Graduate	0		Major		11
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	7													
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	eral			0		Under-grad	12		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	4	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ives			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	r			0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: ART 337 1

Title: Typography II

Instructor: Re,Margaret A

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 13

moti dotor: Ito, vidi garot 7	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	636/1528	4.57	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	575/1527	4.50	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.40	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	432/1495	4.49	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	3.14	1342/1439	3.14	3.86	4.11	4.13	3.14
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	1	3	2	1	1	2.75	1388/1425	2.75	3.51	4.12	4.17	2.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	1	0	2	3	3	3.78	1221/1508	3.96	3.86	4.18	4.17	3.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1526	4.68	4.44	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	5	3	4.38	530/1490	4.48	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.38
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	735/1428	4.73	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.60
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1436	4.96	4.77	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	506/1427	4.62	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	815/1425	4.56	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.40
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	1	0	3	1	3	3.63	1013/1291	4.20	4.42	4.05	4.09	3.63
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	2	1	4	4.29	629/1271	4.37	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.29
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	246/1276	4.81	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	1	0	1	1	4	4.00	947/1273	4.38	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	3	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	360/922	4.38	3.96	4.02	4.02	4.25

Course-Section: ART 337 1

Title: Typography II

Instructor: Re,Margaret A

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/208	***	3.38	4.27	4.31	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/194	***	****	4.56	4.59	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/194	***	****	4.37	4.37	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	1
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 337 2

Title: Typography II

Instructor: Bell,Kathryn L

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 14

'	•			Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	5	9	4.64	463/1528	4.57	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	9	4.50	575/1527	4.50	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	8	4.43	624/1495	4.49	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	12	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1439	3.14	3.86	4.11	4.13	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	12	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/1425	2.75	3.51	4.12	4.17	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	2	2	8	4.14	921/1508	3.96	3.86	4.18	4.17	4.14
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	9	5	4.36	1201/1526	4.68	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.36
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	281/1490	4.48	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.58
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	303/1428	4.73	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	413/1436	4.96	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	5	9	4.64	449/1427	4.62	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	407/1425	4.56	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	136/1291	4.20	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.77
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	5	7	4.46	487/1271	4.37	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.46
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	339/1276	4.81	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.77
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	395/1273	4.38	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.77
4. Were special techniques successful	1	5	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	218/922	4.38	3.96	4.02	4.02	4.50

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:40:28 AM Page 103 of 165

Course-S	Section:	ART 337 2					Term	ı - Fall 2	2010)						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	16
	Title:	Typography	П								,				Q	uestion	naires:	14
Ins	tructor:	Bell,Kathryr	ı L															
								Frequ	uenc	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	ason	ıs			Туре	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5		Requ	ired for N	Иајо	rs	13		Graduate	0		Major		14
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	8													
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	14		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ives			1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	r			0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: ART 341 1

Title: Intro To Animation

Instructor: Dyer,Eric

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

	•			Frequencies			In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	160/1528	4.91	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.91
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	197/1527	4.82	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.82
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	6	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	830/1333	4.25	4.40	4.34	4.34	4.25
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	369/1495	4.60	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	0	4	5	4.30	605/1439	4.30	3.86	4.11	4.13	4.30
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1425	****	3.51	4.12	4.17	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	640/1508	4.36	3.86	4.18	4.17	4.36
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.00	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	5	3	4.38	530/1490	4.38	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.38
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	368/1428	4.82	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.82
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	806/1436	4.82	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	220/1427	4.82	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.82
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	163/1425	4.91	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.91
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	80/1291	4.91	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.91
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	405/1271	4.56	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.56
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	439/1276	4.67	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	507/1273	4.67	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	2	5	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	124/922	4.75	3.96	4.02	4.02	4.75

Course-S	Section:	ART 341 1					Term	ı - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	15
	Title:	Intro To Ani	matio	n										Q	uestion	naires:	11
Ins	tructor:	Dyer,Eric															
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Турє	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5		Requ	ired for Ma	ors	9)	Graduate	0		Major		9
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	5												
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	11		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	3	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	1												

Course-Section: ART 342 1

Title: Film/Video Theory & Crit

Instructor: Worden,Frederic

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

mistractor. Worden, redence		Frequencies				Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean				
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	2	7	4.25	919/1528	4.25	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.25
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	575/1527	4.50	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	210/1333	4.83	4.40	4.34	4.34	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	2	1	3	6	4.08	1011/1495	4.08	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.08
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	239/1439	4.67	3.86	4.11	4.13	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	4	5	4.17	766/1425	4.17	3.51	4.12	4.17	4.17
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	6	5	4.33	681/1508	4.33	3.86	4.18	4.17	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	8	3	4.17	1356/1526	4.17	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.17
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	243/1490	4.64	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.64
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	794/1428	4.56	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.56
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	713/1427	4.44	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.44
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	185/1425	4.89	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	290/1291	4.56	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.56
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	172/1271	4.86	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.86
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	246/1276	4.86	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	290/1273	4.86	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.86
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	345/922	4.29	3.96	4.02	4.02	4.29

Course-Section: ART 342 1

Title: Film/Video Theory & Crit

Instructor: Worden,Frederic

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

	Frequencies						In	structor	Course	Org	Org UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	11	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/198	****	****	4.16	4.26	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/208	***	3.38	4.27	4.31	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/194	***	****	4.56	4.59	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/194	***	****	4.37	4.37	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/176	***	****	4.23	4.33	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/76	****	4.70	4.51	4.02	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/74	****	4.51	4.31	3.86	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	****	4.69	4.27	4.00	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/76	****	4.11	4.27	3.68	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	3.17	3.94	4.27	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/42	****	2.75	4.00	3.20	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/41	***	3.10	4.06	3.86	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	***	****	4.74	4.80	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	***	****	4.20	3.38	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	11	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/29	***	****	4.34	4.79	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/43	****	4.00	4.43	3.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	***	****	4.53	4.75	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	11	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/36	***	****	4.43	5.00	****

Course-Section: ART 342 1

Title: Film/Video Theory & Crit

Instructor: Worden,Frederic

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/21	****	****	4.54	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	11	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/20	***	***	4.45	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

						1 3					
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	2
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: ART 347 1

Title: Writing For Media Arts

Instructor: Pawloski,Robert

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 14

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	128/1528	4.93	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.93
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	161/1527	4.86	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.40	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	147/1495	4.85	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.85
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	7	6	4.36	552/1439	4.36	3.86	4.11	4.13	4.36
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	207/1425	4.71	3.51	4.12	4.17	4.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	7	7	4.50	448/1508	4.50	3.86	4.18	4.17	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.44	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	149/1490	4.77	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.77
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	303/1428	4.86	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	110/1427	4.93	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.93
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	130/1425	4.93	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	96/1291	4.86	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.86
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	319/1271	4.67	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	348/1276	4.75	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	235/1273	4.91	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.91
4. Were special techniques successful	2	6	1	0	1	1	3	3.83	582/922	3.83	3.96	4.02	4.02	3.83

Course-Section: ART 347 1

Title: Writing For Media Arts

Instructor: Pawloski,Robert

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 18

Pawioski, Robert														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	12	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/198	****	****	4.16	4.26	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	12	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/208	***	3.38	4.27	4.31	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	12	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/194	***	****	4.56	4.59	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/194	***	****	4.37	4.37	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	12	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/176	***	****	4.23	4.33	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	12	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/76	***	4.70	4.51	4.02	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	12	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/74	***	4.51	4.31	3.86	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	12	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	***	4.69	4.27	4.00	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	12	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/76	***	4.11	4.27	3.68	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	12	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	***	3.17	3.94	4.27	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/42	****	2.75	4.00	3.20	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	12	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/41	****	3.10	4.06	3.86	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	12	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/30	****	****	4.74	4.80	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	12	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	***	****	4.20	3.38	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	12	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	***	****	4.34	4.79	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/43	***	4.00	4.43	3.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	12	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/31	****	***	4.53	4.75	***
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	12	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/36	***	***	4.43	5.00	****

Course-Section: ART 347 1

Title: Writing For Media Arts

Instructor: Pawloski,Robert

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR NA			2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	12	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/21	****	****	4.54	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	12	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/20	****	***	4.45	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	14	Non-major	2
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 360 1

Title: Mixed Media Book Arts

Instructor: Chan, Irene

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 9

								Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	1	6	4.33	835/1528	4.33	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	1	6	4.33	818/1527	4.33	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.40	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	0	6	4.33	746/1495	4.33	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	1	3	3	3.67	1126/1439	3.67	3.86	4.11	4.13	3.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	2	1	3	3.63	1157/1425	3.63	3.51	4.12	4.17	3.63
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	2	1	5	4.00	1050/1508	4.00	3.86	4.18	4.17	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	3	4.33	1216/1526	4.33	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	1	1	0	1	3	3.67	1203/1490	3.67	4.03	4.11	4.11	3.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	1	1	5	4.25	1079/1428	4.25	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.25
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	612/1436	4.88	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	350/1427	4.71	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	667/1425	4.50	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	7	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1291	***	4.42	4.05	4.09	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	528/1271	4.43	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.43
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	531/1276	4.57	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.57
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	1	0	0	1	5	4.29	810/1273	4.29	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.29
4. Were special techniques successful	2	4	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/922	5.00	3.96	4.02	4.02	5.00

Course-S	Section:	ART 360 1					Term	ı - Fall 2	010							Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	10
	Title:	Mixed Media	Boo	k Arts											Q	uestion	naires:	9
Ins	tructor:	Chan, I rene																
								Frequ	encie	es		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	strib	uti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	١	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	sons				Турє	9		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2		Requ	ired for M	1ajors	6	3		Graduate	0		Major		7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	5													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	eral			2		Under-grad	9		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	3	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Elect	ives			2		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Othe	r			0							

Course-Section: ART 361 1

Title: Digital Darkroom

Instructor: Thompson,Calla

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 17

								Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	555/1528	4.57	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.57
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	489/1527	4.57	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.40	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	81/1495	4.93	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.93
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	9	4	4.31	605/1439	4.31	3.86	4.11	4.13	4.31
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	3	4	5	3.92	959/1425	3.92	3.51	4.12	4.17	3.92
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	5	7	4.46	503/1508	4.46	3.86	4.18	4.17	4.46
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	5	8	4.62	968/1526	4.62	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.62
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	0	0	5	7	4.31	616/1490	4.31	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.31
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	5	9	4.64	669/1428	4.64	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	677/1436	4.86	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	5	9	4.64	449/1427	4.64	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	306/1425	4.79	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.79
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	3	10	4.64	221/1291	4.64	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.64
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	381/1271	4.58	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.58
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.39	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.46	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	8	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	124/922	4.75	3.96	4.02	4.02	4.75

Course-S	Section:	ART 361 1					Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	17
	Title:	Digital Dark	room											Q	uestion	naires:	14
Ins	tructor:	Thompson,C	Calla														
								Frequer	ncies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11		Requ	ired for Ma	ors	10)	Graduate	0		Major		12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	3	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	14		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other			0	1						
				?	1												

Course-Section: ART 364 1

Title: Studio Photography

Instructor: Peregoy, Christo

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	601/1528	4.54	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.54
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	5	2	5	3.85	1257/1527	3.85	4.21	4.28	4.27	3.85
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	2	3	4	4.22	849/1333	4.22	4.40	4.34	4.34	4.22
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	8	4.54	457/1495	4.54	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.54
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	1	2	2	6	3.92	951/1439	3.92	3.86	4.11	4.13	3.92
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	11	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1425	****	3.51	4.12	4.17	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	5	4	3	3.69	1259/1508	3.69	3.86	4.18	4.17	3.69
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	8	5	4.38	1178/1526	4.38	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.38
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	6	4	4.27	651/1490	4.27	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.27
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	3	5	4	3.92	1250/1428	3.92	4.34	4.49	4.48	3.92
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	901/1436	4.77	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.77
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	2	4	6	4.15	1000/1427	4.15	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.15
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	3	8	4.46	726/1425	4.46	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.46
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	143/1291	4.75	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	381/1271	4.58	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.58
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	348/1276	4.75	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	408/1273	4.75	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	1	9	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/922	***	3.96	4.02	4.02	***

					_													
Course-S	Section:	ART 364 1					Term	n - Fall 20	010							Enro	Ilment:	16
	Title:	Studio Phot	ograp	hy											Q	uestion	naires:	13
Ins	tructor:	Peregoy,Chr	risto															
					-			Frequ	<mark>enci</mark> e	es		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fr	eque	ncy Dis	strib	uti	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Д	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	sons				Турє	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9		Requ	ired for M	lajors		12		Graduate	0		Major		12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	13		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	2	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0		Electi	ves			1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other				0							
				2	1													

Course-Section: ART 367 1

Title: Alternative Processes

Instructor: Peregoy, Christo

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 12

·				Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	160/1528	4.91	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.91
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	526/1527	4.55	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.55
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	393/1333	4.67	4.40	4.34	4.34	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	445/1495	4.55	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.55
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	190/1439	4.73	3.86	4.11	4.13	4.73
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	1	2	2	3	3.88	1000/1425	3.88	3.51	4.12	4.17	3.88
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	3	5	4.09	979/1508	4.09	3.86	4.18	4.17	4.09
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	8	3	4.27	1266/1526	4.27	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.27
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	221/1490	4.67	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	6	3	4.20	1114/1428	4.20	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.20
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	516/1436	4.90	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	506/1427	4.60	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	9	4.80	277/1425	4.80	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	290/1291	4.56	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.56
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	0	4	2	4.00	780/1271	4.00	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	246/1276	4.86	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	290/1273	4.86	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.86
4. Were special techniques successful	4	6	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/922	***	3.96	4.02	4.02	***

Course-S	Section:	ART 367 1					Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	12
	Title:	Alternative F	Proce	sses						_				Q	uestion	naires:	11
Ins	tructor:	Peregoy,Chr	isto														
								Freque	ncies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє	9		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	10)	Graduate	0		Major		10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	11		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	0												

Course-Section: ART 369 1

Title: Topics In Photography

Instructor: Cazabon, Lynn

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 16

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	7	7	4.40	765/1528	4.40	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.40
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	1	7	6	4.20	952/1527	4.20	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.20
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	0	5	9	4.47	560/1495	4.47	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.47
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	1	2	3	8	4.29	626/1439	4.29	3.86	4.11	4.13	4.29
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	6	1	0	3	4	1	3.44	1241/1425	3.44	3.51	4.12	4.17	3.44
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	3	7	5	4.13	933/1508	4.13	3.86	4.18	4.17	4.13
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	839/1526	4.73	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.73
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	2	6	2	4.00	911/1490	4.00	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	2	3	9	4.50	854/1428	4.50	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	870/1436	4.79	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.79
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	2	5	7	4.36	823/1427	4.36	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.36
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	5	8	4.50	667/1425	4.50	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	99/1291	4.85	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.85
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	507/1271	4.44	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.44
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	212/1276	4.89	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.89
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	2	3	4	4.22	845/1273	4.22	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.22
4. Were special techniques successful	7	4	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	386/922	4.20	3.96	4.02	4.02	4.20

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:40:29 AM

Course-S	urse-Section: ART 369 1 Title: Topics In Photography						Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	16
	Title:	Topics In Ph	notogr	raphy										Q	uestion	naires:	16
Ins	tructor:	Cazabon,Lyr	าท														
					-			Freque	ncies		Ir	nstructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected								Турє	9		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7		Requ	ired for Ma	ajors	1	2	Graduate	0		Major		13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	7												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral			0	Under-grad	16		Non-ma	ajor	3
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	3	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0		Electi	ves			2	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				1						
				?	2												

Course-Section: ART 370 1

Title: Silkscreen Printing

Instructor: Chan, Irene

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	1	0	1	7	4.20	973/1528	4.20	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.20
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	0	3	5	4.33	818/1527	4.33	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	1	0	2	3	1	3.43	1263/1333	3.43	4.40	4.34	4.34	3.43
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	5	1	4	3.90	1159/1495	3.90	4.25	4.25	4.28	3.90
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	4	2	1	3.57	1171/1439	3.57	3.86	4.11	4.13	3.57
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	3	3	1	1	2.60	1394/1425	2.60	3.51	4.12	4.17	2.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	1	4	2	1	2.90	1447/1508	2.90	3.86	4.18	4.17	2.90
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	881/1526	4.70	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.70
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	2	1	3	4	0	2.90	1431/1490	2.90	4.03	4.11	4.11	2.90
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	2	1	3	2	3.63	1341/1428	3.63	4.34	4.49	4.48	3.63
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	1	1	0	6	4.38	1273/1436	4.38	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.38
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	4	1	3	3.88	1174/1427	3.88	4.26	4.32	4.31	3.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	4	0	3	3.63	1267/1425	3.63	4.30	4.34	4.34	3.63
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	3	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	574/1291	4.20	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	1	1	1	2	3.33	1135/1271	3.33	4.04	4.16	4.19	3.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	3	0	3	4.00	926/1276	4.00	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	1	2	0	3	3.83	1046/1273	3.83	4.46	4.38	4.40	3.83
4. Were special techniques successful	4	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/922	****	3.96	4.02	4.02	****

Course-S	Section:	ART 370 1					Term	ı - Fall 20	010							Enro	Ilment:	13
	Title:	Silkscreen F	rintin	g											Q	uestion	naires:	10
Ins	structor:	Chan, I rene																
					_			Frequ	enci	es		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fr	eque	ncy Dis	trik	outi	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	sons	5			Турє	<u>)</u>		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5		Requ	ired for M	ajors	3	5		Graduate	0		Major		8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2													
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	0		Gene	ral			1		Under-grad	10		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves			4		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other				0							
				2	0	ı												

Course-Section: ART 380 1

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 49

Title. Til

Title: Hist & Theory Of Games

Questionnaires: 42

Instructor: McDonald, David

				Fre	<mark>quen</mark>	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	2	7	13	19	4.12	1067/1528	4.12	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.12
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	3	10	15	14	3.95	1169/1527	3.95	4.21	4.28	4.27	3.95
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	8	16	18	4.24	843/1333	4.24	4.40	4.34	4.34	4.24
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	1	7	18	15	4.07	1015/1495	4.07	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.07
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	3	9	15	14	3.90	963/1439	3.90	3.86	4.11	4.13	3.90
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	3	6	12	13	8	3.40	1262/1425	3.40	3.51	4.12	4.17	3.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	4	6	8	12	11	3.49	1325/1508	3.49	3.86	4.18	4.17	3.49
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	1	0	0	0	8	30	4.79	769/1526	4.79	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.79
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	3	7	16	12	3.97	951/1490	3.97	4.03	4.11	4.11	3.97
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	2	2	7	19	11	3.85	1280/1428	3.85	4.34	4.49	4.48	3.85
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	4	3	34	4.73	948/1436	4.73	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.73
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	3	0	6	16	16	4.02	1072/1427	4.02	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.02
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	3	1	4	13	20	4.12	1029/1425	4.12	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.12
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	1	2	4	14	19	4.20	574/1291	4.20	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	29	0	1	1	2	6	3	3.69	992/1271	3.69	4.04	4.16	4.19	3.69
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	29	0	0	1	1	5	6	4.23	818/1276	4.23	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.23
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	29	0	0	0	1	7	5	4.31	799/1273	4.31	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.31
4. Were special techniques successful	29	1	0	2	3	4	3	3.67	659/922	3.67	3.96	4.02	4.02	3.67

Course-	Section:	ART 380 1					Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	49
	Title:	Hist & Theor	ry Of (Games										Q	uestion	naires:	42
Ins	structor:	McDonald,D	avid														
								Frequer	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Disti	ibuti	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	21		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	32	!	Graduate	0		Major		15
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	17												
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	4	С	1		Gene	ral		1		Under-grad	42		Non-ma	ajor	27
84-150	10	3.00-3.49	6	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0		Electi	ves		6	;	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			1							
				?	1												

Course-Section: ART 382 1

Title: Intro Interactive Media

Instructor: Smith,Ryan

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	4	5	4.08	1090/1528	4.08	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.08
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	2	0	0	5	4	3.82	1273/1527	3.82	4.21	4.28	4.27	3.82
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	934/1333	4.13	4.40	4.34	4.34	4.13
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	2	2	1	3	4	3.42	1392/1495	3.42	4.25	4.25	4.28	3.42
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	4	2	4	0	2.67	1413/1439	2.67	3.86	4.11	4.13	2.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	3	2	3	1	1	2.50	1399/1425	2.50	3.51	4.12	4.17	2.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	3	1	3	3	1	2.82	1460/1508	2.82	3.86	4.18	4.17	2.82
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.00	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	2	0	3	3	0	2.88	1435/1490	2.88	4.03	4.11	4.11	2.88
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	794/1428	4.56	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.56
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	2	1	1	5	4.00	1382/1436	4.00	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	2	0	1	6	1	3.40	1329/1427	3.40	4.26	4.32	4.31	3.40
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	0	2	4	2	3.67	1255/1425	3.67	4.30	4.34	4.34	3.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	327/1291	4.50	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	3	0	1	2	0	2.33	1251/1271	2.33	4.04	4.16	4.19	2.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	1	0	2	4	4.29	786/1276	4.29	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.29
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	810/1273	4.29	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.29
4. Were special techniques successful	5	4	2	0	1	0	0	1.67	918/922	1.67	3.96	4.02	4.02	1.67

Course-	Section:	ART 382 1					Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	Ilment:	13
	Title:	Intro Intera	ctive	Media										Q	uestion	naires:	12
Ins	structor:	Smith,Ryan															
								Frequer	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Disti	ibuti	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Турє	:		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	7	•	Graduate	0		Major		12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6												
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	12		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	3	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		3	,	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other			0)						
				2	1												

Course-Section: ART 383 1

Title: Sound Design

Instructor: Nohe,Timothy

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	348/1528	4.73	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.73
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	290/1527	4.73	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1333	****	4.40	4.34	4.34	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	820/1495	4.27	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.27
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	851/1439	4.00	3.86	4.11	4.13	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	10	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1425	****	3.51	4.12	4.17	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	2	0	5	3	3.90	1136/1508	3.90	3.86	4.18	4.17	3.90
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	8	3	4.27	1266/1526	4.27	4.44	4.66	4.68	4.27
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	156/1490	4.75	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.34	4.49	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	806/1436	4.82	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.26	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	422/1425	4.70	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.70
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	2	0	9	4.64	229/1291	4.64	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.64
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	629/1271	4.29	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.29
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	786/1276	4.29	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.29
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	458/1273	4.71	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.71
4. Were special techniques successful	4	3	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	360/922	4.25	3.96	4.02	4.02	4.25

Course-Section: ART 383 1

Title: Sound Design

Instructor: Nohe, Timothy

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	****	4.51	4.31	3.86	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	****	4.69	4.27	4.00	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	4.11	4.27	3.68	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	3.17	3.94	4.27	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	1	Major	8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: ART 387 1

Title: Expression Time & Motion

Instructor: Dyer,Eric

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 10

<u> </u>	Frequencies						In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	307/1528	4.75	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	424/1527	4.63	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	0	0	5	1	3.71	1183/1333	3.71	4.40	4.34	4.34	3.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	407/1495	4.57	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.57
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	3	4	4.25	657/1439	4.25	3.86	4.11	4.13	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1425	5.00	3.51	4.12	4.17	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	946/1508	4.13	3.86	4.18	4.17	4.13
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	7	0	3.88	1489/1526	3.88	4.44	4.66	4.68	3.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	184/1490	4.71	4.03	4.11	4.11	4.71
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	854/1428	4.50	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	1043/1436	4.67	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	625/1427	4.50	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	667/1425	4.50	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	327/1291	4.50	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	446/1271	4.50	4.04	4.16	4.19	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	348/1276	4.75	4.39	4.33	4.37	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.46	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	4	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	158/922	4.67	3.96	4.02	4.02	4.67

					_					_							
Course-	Section:	ART 387 1					Term	1 - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	10
	Title:	Expression ⁷	Time a	& Motion						_				Q	uestion	naires:	8
Ins	structor:	Dyer,Eric															
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	6	;	Graduate	0		Major		8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		C)	Under-grad	8		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other			O)						
				2	2												

Course-Section: ART 390 1

Title: IRC Fellows Topics

Instructor: Moren,Lisa

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	0	8	4.67	434/1528	4.67	4.26	4.31	4.34	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	3	4	4.11	1034/1527	4.11	4.21	4.28	4.27	4.11
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	942/1495	4.17	4.25	4.25	4.28	4.17
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	7	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/1508	***	3.86	4.18	4.17	****
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.44	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	3	1	2	3.83	1096/1490	3.83	4.03	4.11	4.11	3.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	385/1428	4.80	4.34	4.49	4.48	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	839/1436	4.80	4.77	4.74	4.74	4.80
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	772/1427	4.40	4.26	4.32	4.31	4.40
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	556/1425	4.60	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	112/1291	4.80	4.42	4.05	4.09	4.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.04	4.16	4.19	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.39	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	345/1273	4.80	4.46	4.38	4.40	4.80
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	102/922	4.80	3.96	4.02	4.02	4.80

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned Cum. GPA **Expected Grades** Reasons Type Majors 00-27 0.00-0.99 Required for Majors 2 Graduate 0 Major 0 Α 9 5 28-55 0 1.00-1.99 0 В 0

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:40:29 AM

Course-S	Section	n: ART 390 1					Term	- Fall	2010)						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	10
	Title	e: IRC Fellows	Topics												Q	uestion	naires:	9
Ins	tructor	: Moren,Lisa																
					_			Free	quend	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	9		Non-ma	ajor	4
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0		Electi	ves			5		**** - Means	there are	not end	ugh resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				2							
				?	0													

Course-Section: ART 424 1

Title: Contemp Art,Theory, Crit

Instructor: Smalls,James

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.26	4.31	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	737/1527	4.40	4.21	4.28	4.30	4.40
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	227/1495	4.75	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1439	5.00	3.86	4.11	4.20	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	726/1425	4.20	3.51	4.12	4.26	4.20
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	586/1508	4.40	3.86	4.18	4.24	4.40
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.44	4.66	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	911/1490	4.00	4.03	4.11	4.19	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	478/1428	4.75	4.34	4.49	4.54	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	625/1427	4.50	4.26	4.32	4.37	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	349/1425	4.75	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.42	4.05	4.10	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	204/1271	4.80	4.04	4.16	4.33	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	506/1276	4.60	4.39	4.33	4.49	4.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	345/1273	4.80	4.46	4.38	4.55	4.80
4. Were special techniques successful	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/922	***	3.96	4.02	4.23	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/76	5.00	4.70	4.51	4.83	5.00

Course-Section: ART 424 1

Title: Contemp Art, Theory, Crit

Instructor: Smalls, James

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 5

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	****	4.51	4.31	4.42	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	****	4.69	4.27	4.26	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	70/76	3.00	4.11	4.27	4.42	3.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	3.17	3.94	4.23	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	1
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 425 1

Title: Writ By & About Artists

Instructor: Spitz,Ellen H

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

Page 137 of 165

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	214/1528	4.83	4.26	4.31	4.39	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	4.17	988/1527	4.17	4.21	4.28	4.30	4.17
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	313/1495	4.67	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	239/1439	4.67	3.86	4.11	4.20	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	121/1425	4.83	3.51	4.12	4.26	4.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	681/1508	4.33	3.86	4.18	4.24	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.44	4.66	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	344/1490	4.50	4.03	4.11	4.19	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	385/1428	4.80	4.34	4.49	4.54	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	506/1427	4.60	4.26	4.32	4.37	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.30	4.34	4.37	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	253/1291	4.60	4.42	4.05	4.10	4.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	204/1271	4.80	4.04	4.16	4.33	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	302/1276	4.80	4.39	4.33	4.49	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	724/1273	4.40	4.46	4.38	4.55	4.40
4. Were special techniques successful	1	2	1	1	0	1	0	2.33	906/922	2.33	3.96	4.02	4.23	2.33

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:40:29 AM

Course-S	Section	ART 425 1					Term	ı - Fall 20	010							Enro	Ilment:	6
	Title	Writ By & Al	oout A	Artists											Q	uestion	naires:	6
Ins	tructor	Spitz,Ellen F	1															
								Frequ	encie	S		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	sons				Туре	1		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4		Requ	ired for M	ajors		4		Graduate	0		Major		3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral			1		Under-grad	6		Non-ma	ajor	3
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	-			0							
				?	1													

Course-Section: ART 428 1

Title: Theory/Prac Art Museum

Instructor: Jacob,Preminda

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 7

Tristi uctor. Jacob, Fremilia				Fre	quen	cies		Į n	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean				
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.26	4.31	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	368/1527	4.67	4.21	4.28	4.30	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1333	***	4.40	4.34	4.37	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	154/1495	4.83	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	122/1439	4.83	3.86	4.11	4.20	4.83
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	249/1425	4.67	3.51	4.12	4.26	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	895/1508	4.17	3.86	4.18	4.24	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.44	4.66	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.03	4.11	4.19	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	637/1428	4.67	4.34	4.49	4.54	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	420/1427	4.67	4.26	4.32	4.37	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.30	4.34	4.37	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	102/1291	4.83	4.42	4.05	4.10	4.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	184/1271	4.83	4.04	4.16	4.33	4.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.39	4.33	4.49	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.46	4.38	4.55	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	272/922	4.40	3.96	4.02	4.23	4.40

Course-Section: ART 428 1

Title: Theory/Prac Art Museum

Instructor: Jacob,Preminda

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 6

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/76	5.00	4.70	4.51	4.83	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	24/74	4.75	4.51	4.31	4.42	4.75
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/66	5.00	4.69	4.27	4.26	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	34/76	4.50	4.11	4.27	4.42	4.50
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	2	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	42/73	4.00	3.17	3.94	4.23	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	1	Major	4	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	2	
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses				
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	1					
				?	0							

Course-Section: ART 429 01

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 12

COURSE SCOTTORY.	74(1 12) 01
Title:	Seminar:Art Hist & Theor
Instructor:	Durant,Mark R

				Fre	quen	cies		Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	477/1528	4.64	4.26	4.31	4.39	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	656/1527	4.45	4.21	4.28	4.30	4.45
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	7	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	564/1333	4.50	4.40	4.34	4.37	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	4	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	624/1495	4.43	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	1	2	0	7	4.30	605/1439	4.30	3.86	4.11	4.20	4.30
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	4	0	1	1	1	4	4.14	786/1425	4.14	3.51	4.12	4.26	4.14
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	3	0	1	1	3	3	4.00	1050/1508	4.00	3.86	4.18	4.24	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.44	4.66	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	2	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	221/1490	4.67	4.03	4.11	4.19	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	794/1428	4.56	4.34	4.49	4.54	4.56
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	713/1427	4.44	4.26	4.32	4.37	4.44
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	611/1425	4.56	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.56
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	86/1291	4.89	4.42	4.05	4.10	4.89
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	570/1271	4.38	4.04	4.16	4.33	4.38
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	1	1	0	6	4.38	719/1276	4.38	4.39	4.33	4.49	4.38
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	408/1273	4.75	4.46	4.38	4.55	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	124/922	4.75	3.96	4.02	4.23	4.75

Course-Section: ART 429 01

Title: Seminar:Art Hist & Theor

Instructor: Durant,Mark R

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 12

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	4	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	22/76	4.88	4.70	4.51	4.83	4.88
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	4	4	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	24/74	4.75	4.51	4.31	4.42	4.75
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	4	5	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	34/66	4.33	4.69	4.27	4.26	4.33
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/76	5.00	4.11	4.27	4.42	5.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	4	0	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	40/73	4.13	3.17	3.94	4.23	4.13

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	9	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	4	Major	1	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	8	Non-major	11	
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0							
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	enough responses			
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	2							

Course-Section: ART 430 1

Title: Graphic Design VI

Instructor: Re,Margaret A

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	362/1528	4.71	4.26	4.31	4.39	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	0	12	4.71	301/1527	4.71	4.21	4.28	4.30	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	12	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1333	***	4.40	4.34	4.37	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	1	12	4.71	267/1495	4.71	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	1	0	3	7	4.45	433/1439	4.45	3.86	4.11	4.20	4.45
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	2	3	8	4.46	442/1425	4.46	3.51	4.12	4.26	4.46
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	1	0	1	1	10	4.46	503/1508	4.46	3.86	4.18	4.24	4.46
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	769/1526	4.79	4.44	4.66	4.71	4.79
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	221/1490	4.67	4.03	4.11	4.19	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	319/1428	4.85	4.34	4.49	4.54	4.85
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	124/1427	4.92	4.26	4.32	4.37	4.92
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	435/1425	4.69	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.69
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	108/1291	4.82	4.42	4.05	4.10	4.82
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	0	1	2	7	4.00	780/1271	4.00	4.04	4.16	4.33	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	1	0	0	1	10	4.58	523/1276	4.58	4.39	4.33	4.49	4.58
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	1	0	1	10	4.67	507/1273	4.67	4.46	4.38	4.55	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	2	4	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	170/922	4.63	3.96	4.02	4.23	4.63

					_														
Course-Section: ART 430 1							Term	ı - Fall 2	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	17	
	Title:	Graphic Des	ign V								,				Q	uestion	naires:	14	
Ins	tructor:	Re,Margare	t A																
								Frequ	uenc	ies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
Discussion																			
						Fr	eque	ncy Di	stri	buti	on								
Credits E	Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected				Grades Reasons								Турє	;		Ma	jors		
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	10		Requ	ired for N	Majo	rs	13		Graduate			Major		14	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4														
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad			Non-ma	ajor	0	
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	3	D	0														
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0		Electi	ives			0		**** - Means	Means there are not			ot enough responses		
				Р	0								to be significa	ant					
				1	0		Other	r			0								
				2	0														

Course-Section: ART 431 1

Title: Graphic Design VII

Instructor: Neylan, Catherin

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	1	0	0	7	7	4.27	908/1528	4.27	4.26	4.31	4.39	4.27
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	2	3	2	8	4.07	1071/1527	4.07	4.21	4.28	4.30	4.07
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	8	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	192/1333	4.86	4.40	4.34	4.37	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	1	0	1	2	2	9	4.36	721/1495	4.36	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.36
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	1	0	1	4	1	8	4.14	762/1439	4.14	3.86	4.11	4.20	4.14
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	5	9	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1425	5.00	3.51	4.12	4.26	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	1	2	2	0	3	7	3.79	1216/1508	3.79	3.86	4.18	4.24	3.79
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	1	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	867/1526	4.71	4.44	4.66	4.71	4.71
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	2	0	1	1	6	5	4.15	789/1490	4.15	4.03	4.11	4.19	4.15
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	2	3	9	4.50	854/1428	4.50	4.34	4.49	4.54	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	1	0	2	11	4.64	449/1427	4.64	4.26	4.32	4.37	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	1	0	3	10	4.57	589/1425	4.57	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.57
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	99/1291	4.85	4.42	4.05	4.10	4.85
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	2	2	7	4.45	497/1271	4.45	4.04	4.16	4.33	4.45
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	472/1276	4.64	4.39	4.33	4.49	4.64
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	334/1273	4.82	4.46	4.38	4.55	4.82
4. Were special techniques successful	8	1	0	1	2	1	6	4.20	386/922	4.20	3.96	4.02	4.23	4.20

Course-S	Section:	ART 431 1					Term	ı - Fall 2	010							Enro	Ilment:	19
	Title:	Graphic Des	sign V	П											Q	uestion	naires:	19
Ins	tructor:	Neylan,Cath	nerin															
								Frequ	encie	es		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 ;	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fr	eque	ncy Dis	strib	uti	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Д	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	sons				Турє	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8		Requ	ired for M	lajors		15		Graduate	0		Major		10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral			0	1	Under-grad	19		Non-ma	ajor	9
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	1	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other				0	1						
				2	5													

Course-Section: ART 435 1

Title: Topics In Film/Video

Instructor: Cook,Cathy

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 9

	l			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	2	3	2	3.75	1306/1528	3.75	4.26	4.31	4.39	3.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	2	3	2	3.63	1366/1527	3.63	4.21	4.28	4.30	3.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	564/1333	4.50	4.40	4.34	4.37	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	4	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	746/1495	4.33	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1439	5.00	3.86	4.11	4.20	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	1	0	1	0	2	3.50	1211/1425	3.50	3.51	4.12	4.26	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	2	0	4	3.63	1285/1508	3.63	3.86	4.18	4.24	3.63
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	3.50	1512/1526	3.50	4.44	4.66	4.71	3.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	1	1	3	1	3.67	1203/1490	3.67	4.03	4.11	4.19	3.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	1114/1428	4.20	4.34	4.49	4.54	4.20
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	959/1427	4.20	4.26	4.32	4.37	4.20
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	0	0	2	2	3.80	1209/1425	3.80	4.30	4.34	4.37	3.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	1	0	1	3	4.20	574/1291	4.20	4.42	4.05	4.10	4.20
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/198	****	****	4.16	4.37	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/208	***	3.38	4.27	4.21	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/194	***	****	4.56	4.52	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/194	****	****	4.37	4.45	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/176	***	****	4.23	3.87	****

Course-Section: ART 435 1

Title: Topics In Film/Video

Instructor: Cook,Cathy

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 8

	Frequencies Ins									Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/76	***	4.70	4.51	4.83	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/74	***	4.51	4.31	4.42	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	****	4.69	4.27	4.26	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/76	****	4.11	4.27	4.42	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/73	****	3.17	3.94	4.23	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/42	****	2.75	4.00	4.73	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/41	****	3.10	4.06	4.33	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	****	4.74	4.57	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.20	4.24	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/29	***	****	4.34	4.11	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/43	***	4.00	4.43	4.63	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/31	***	****	4.53	4.17	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	****	****	4.43	4.38	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/21	***	****	4.54	4.33	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/20	***	****	4.45	4.00	***

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	Ο	1 00-1 99	0	R	1						

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:40:30 AM

Course-S	Section	: ART 435 1					Term	- Fall	2010)						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	9
	Title	: Topics In Fil	m/Vide	90											Q	uestion	naires:	8
Ins	tructor	: Cook,Cathy																
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Self Paced																
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	8		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ugh resp	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other				0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: ART 447 1

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 13

Title: Special Fx & Motion Gfx

Questionnaires: 11

Instructor: Smallwood, Eric

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	348/1528	4.18	4.26	4.31	4.39	4.73
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	5	4.36	783/1527	4.05	4.21	4.28	4.30	4.36
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	369/1495	4.10	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	499/1439	3.87	3.86	4.11	4.20	4.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	4	5	4.18	870/1508	3.91	3.86	4.18	4.24	4.18
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	6	4.55	1027/1526	3.44	4.44	4.66	4.71	4.55
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	156/1490	3.88	4.03	4.11	4.19	4.75
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	385/1428	4.20	4.34	4.49	4.54	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	806/1436	4.51	4.77	4.74	4.75	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	270/1427	4.24	4.26	4.32	4.37	4.78
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1425	4.10	4.30	4.34	4.37	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1291	4.39	4.42	4.05	4.10	5.00
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	0	1	1	4	4.00	780/1271	4.10	4.04	4.16	4.33	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	1	0	0	0	6	4.43	675/1276	4.31	4.39	4.33	4.49	4.43
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	290/1273	4.63	4.46	4.38	4.55	4.86
4. Were special techniques successful	4	5	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/922	4.33	3.96	4.02	4.23	***

Frequency Distribution

Expected Grades Reasons Credits Earned Cum. GPA Type Majors 00-27 0.00-0.99 7 Required for Majors 10 Graduate Major 11 0 Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:40:30 AM

Page 150 of 165

Course-S	Section	n: ART 447 1					Term	ı - Fall	l 2010	0						Enro	Ilment:	13
	Title	e: Special Fx &	Motio	n Gfx							,				Q	uestion	naires:	11
Ins	tructo	r: Smallwood,	Eric															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	11		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	ponses	
				Р	0								to be signification	ant				
				1	0		Other	•			0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: ART 447 2

Title: Special Fx & Motion Gfx

Instructor: Valiente, Christ

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 12

									structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	3	1	4	3	3.64	1363/1528	4.18	4.26	4.31	4.39	3.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	4	3	3	3.73	1326/1527	4.05	4.21	4.28	4.30	3.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1333	***	4.40	4.34	4.37	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	1	4	3	2	3.60	1331/1495	4.10	4.25	4.25	4.33	3.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	1	1	1	1	2	3.33	1291/1439	3.87	3.86	4.11	4.20	3.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	10	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1425	****	3.51	4.12	4.26	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	2	4	3	3.64	1281/1508	3.91	3.86	4.18	4.24	3.64
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	2	1	5	2	1	0	2.33	1524/1526	3.44	4.44	4.66	4.71	2.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	3	2	3	1	3.00	1406/1490	3.88	4.03	4.11	4.19	3.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	1	1	2	3	3	3.60	1345/1428	4.20	4.34	4.49	4.54	3.60
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	1	1	3	5	4.20	1340/1436	4.51	4.77	4.74	4.75	4.20
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	5	3	2	3.70	1246/1427	4.24	4.26	4.32	4.37	3.70
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	1	2	3	2	3.20	1357/1425	4.10	4.30	4.34	4.37	3.20
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	3	5	1	3.78	923/1291	4.39	4.42	4.05	4.10	3.78
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	2	0	3	4.20	669/1271	4.10	4.04	4.16	4.33	4.20
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	837/1276	4.31	4.39	4.33	4.49	4.20
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	724/1273	4.63	4.46	4.38	4.55	4.40
4. Were special techniques successful	6	2	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	316/922	4.33	3.96	4.02	4.23	4.33

Course-S	Section:	ART 447 2					Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	12
	Title:	Special Fx &	Moti	on Gfx						_				Q	uestion	naires:	11
Ins	tructor:	Valiente,Chr	ist														
								Freque	ncies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ons			Туре	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	10)	Graduate	0		Major		9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	11		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			O)						
				?	2												

Course-Section: ART 484 1

Title: Advncd 3D Cmputr Animatn

Instructor: McDonald, David

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 9

Page 154 of 165

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	6	3	4.33	835/1528	4.33	4.26	4.31	4.39	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	4	3	4.00	1113/1527	4.00	4.21	4.28	4.30	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	6	1	3.78	1233/1495	3.78	4.25	4.25	4.33	3.78
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	****/1439	***	3.86	4.11	4.20	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	1	2	1	1	0	2.40	1410/1425	2.40	3.51	4.12	4.26	2.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	1	1	4	2	3.56	1305/1508	3.56	3.86	4.18	4.24	3.56
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	919/1526	4.67	4.44	4.66	4.71	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	1	0	5	2	1	3.22	1369/1490	3.22	4.03	4.11	4.19	3.22
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	4	4	0	3.33	1382/1428	3.33	4.34	4.49	4.54	3.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	5	3	4.22	1332/1436	4.22	4.77	4.74	4.75	4.22
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	4	3	2	3.78	1218/1427	3.78	4.26	4.32	4.37	3.78
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	5	3	4.22	951/1425	4.22	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.22
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	3	4	2	3.89	849/1291	3.89	4.42	4.05	4.10	3.89
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	4	0	3.80	934/1271	3.80	4.04	4.16	4.33	3.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	696/1276	4.40	4.39	4.33	4.49	4.40
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	1	3	1	4.00	947/1273	4.00	4.46	4.38	4.55	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	4	3	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	****/922	***	3.96	4.02	4.23	****

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:40:30 AM

Course-	Section	: ART 484 1					Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	10
	Title	: Advncd 3D (Cmput	tr Animatn						_				Q	uestion	naires:	9
Ins	structor	: McDonald,D	avid														
					-			Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє	÷		Ма	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2		Requ	ired for Ma	ajors		9	Graduate	0		Major		8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1		Gene	ral			0	Under-grad	9		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ives			0	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	r			0						
				?	1												

Course-Section: ART 486 1

Title: Adv Interactive Media

Instructor: McDonald, David

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 12

	Frequencies						In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	3	4	2	1	2	2.58	1515/1528	2.58	4.26	4.31	4.39	2.58
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	4	2	4	1	1	2.42	1516/1527	2.42	4.21	4.28	4.30	2.42
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	11	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1333	***	4.40	4.34	4.37	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	4	1	2	2	2	2.73	1480/1495	2.73	4.25	4.25	4.33	2.73
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	10	2	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1425	***	3.51	4.12	4.26	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	3	3	1	2	3	2.92	1444/1508	2.92	3.86	4.18	4.24	2.92
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	11	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.00	4.44	4.66	4.71	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	1	6	1	1	1	2.50	1459/1490	2.50	4.03	4.11	4.19	2.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	3	4	3	1	1	2.42	1421/1428	2.42	4.34	4.49	4.54	2.42
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	7	3	2	3.58	1417/1436	3.58	4.77	4.74	4.75	3.58
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	5	3	3	0	1	2.08	1419/1427	2.08	4.26	4.32	4.37	2.08
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	5	2	1	3	1	2.42	1407/1425	2.42	4.30	4.34	4.37	2.42
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	2	3	3	2	1	2.73	1243/1291	2.73	4.42	4.05	4.10	2.73
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	2	2	1	1	1	2.57	1242/1271	2.57	4.04	4.16	4.33	2.57
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	1	4	0	2	3.43	1177/1276	3.43	4.39	4.33	4.49	3.43
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	1	0	4	0	2	3.29	1209/1273	3.29	4.46	4.38	4.55	3.29
4. Were special techniques successful	5	6	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/922	****	3.96	4.02	4.23	***

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:40:30 AM Page 156 of 165

Course-S	Section:	ART 486 1					Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	13
	Title:	Adv Interac	tive M	ledia						_				Q	uestion	naires:	12
Ins	tructor:	McDonald,D	avid														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре	>		Ма	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	11		Graduate	0		Major		12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	1		Gene	ral		0	ı	Under-grad	12		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		0	1	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0							
				?	2												

Course-Section: ART 488 1

Title: Adv Topics:Aim

Instructor: Bailey,Dan

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 19

Questionnaires: 15

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	191/1528	4.87	4.26	4.31	4.39	4.87
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	82/1527	4.93	4.21	4.28	4.30	4.93
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	294/1333	4.75	4.40	4.34	4.37	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	139/1495	4.86	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	10	0	1	1	1	2	3.80	1020/1439	3.80	3.86	4.11	4.20	3.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	14	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1425	***	3.51	4.12	4.26	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	380/1508	4.57	3.86	4.18	4.24	4.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	839/1526	4.73	4.44	4.66	4.71	4.73
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	149/1490	4.77	4.03	4.11	4.19	4.77
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	286/1428	4.87	4.34	4.49	4.54	4.87
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	230/1427	4.80	4.26	4.32	4.37	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	114/1425	4.93	4.30	4.34	4.37	4.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	2	0	13	4.73	155/1291	4.73	4.42	4.05	4.10	4.73
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	1	0	2	4	4.29	629/1271	4.29	4.04	4.16	4.33	4.29
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	2	1	4	4.29	786/1276	4.29	4.39	4.33	4.49	4.29
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	290/1273	4.86	4.46	4.38	4.55	4.86
4. Were special techniques successful	8	6	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/922	***	3.96	4.02	4.23	***

Course-S	Section:	ART 488 1					Term	ı - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	19
	Title:	Adv Topics:	۹im											Q	uestion	naires:	15
Ins	tructor:	Bailey,Dan															
								Frequen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Distr	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Frequency Distrib Grades Reasons				ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	8		Graduate	0		Major		13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0		Under-grad	15		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		4		**** - Means	there are	not enc	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	1		Other			1							
				?	2												

Course-Section: ART 489 1

Title: Senior Projects

Instructor: Worden,Frederic

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 18

	•		Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	3	1	3	5	4	3.38	1439/1528	3.38	4.26	4.31	4.39	3.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	2	1	2	7	4	3.63	1366/1527	3.63	4.21	4.28	4.30	3.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	3	0	2	2	6	3.62	1212/1333	3.62	4.40	4.34	4.37	3.62
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	1	3	3	7	3.93	1125/1495	3.93	4.25	4.25	4.33	3.93
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	3	2	4	5	3.60	1153/1439	3.60	3.86	4.11	4.20	3.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	1	2	1	3	4	3.64	1153/1425	3.64	3.51	4.12	4.26	3.64
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	3	0	1	2	3	7	4.23	808/1508	4.23	3.86	4.18	4.24	4.23
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	2	0	0	0	9	5	4.36	1201/1526	4.36	4.44	4.66	4.71	4.36
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	1	4	3	4	3.83	1096/1490	3.83	4.03	4.11	4.19	3.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	1	5	2	5	3.85	1284/1428	3.85	4.34	4.49	4.54	3.85
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	2	2	9	4.54	1162/1436	4.54	4.77	4.74	4.75	4.54
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	4	3	6	4.15	1000/1427	4.15	4.26	4.32	4.37	4.15
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	1	1	2	1	0	6	3.80	1209/1425	3.80	4.30	4.34	4.37	3.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	1	1	2	1	7	4.00	728/1291	4.00	4.42	4.05	4.10	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	1	2	1	5	4.11	741/1271	4.11	4.04	4.16	4.33	4.11
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	1	1	0	2	6	4.10	890/1276	4.10	4.39	4.33	4.49	4.10
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	471/1273	4.70	4.46	4.38	4.55	4.70
4. Were special techniques successful	6	3	0	1	2	1	3	3.86	573/922	3.86	3.96	4.02	4.23	3.86

					_													
Course-	Section:	ART 489 1					Term	ı - Fall 2	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	18
	Title:	Senior Proje	ects												Q	uestion	naires:	16
Ins	structor:	Worden,Fre	deric															
								Frequ	ienc	ies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fr	eque	ncy Di	stri	buti	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	son	S			Турє	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8		Requ	ired for N	/lajoi	rs	11		Graduate	0		Major		11
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	16		Non-ma	ajor	5
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ives			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	r			0							
				2	5													

Course-Section: ART 610 1

Title: Imag. Digital Seminar

Instructor: Bradley, Stephen

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 5

'	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	3.60	1377/1528	3.60	4.26	4.31	4.45	3.60
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	3.00	1484/1527	3.00	4.21	4.28	4.36	3.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	1301/1495	3.67	4.25	4.25	4.33	3.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	3	0	3.75	1064/1439	3.75	3.86	4.11	4.24	3.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	1.75	1504/1508	1.75	3.86	4.18	4.25	1.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.44	4.66	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	3	0	3.75	1149/1490	3.75	4.03	4.11	4.16	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	3.40	1375/1428	3.40	4.34	4.49	4.56	3.40
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.77	4.74	4.83	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	3.60	1280/1427	3.60	4.26	4.32	4.36	3.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	3.60	1273/1425	3.60	4.30	4.34	4.34	3.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	574/1291	4.20	4.42	4.05	3.99	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	4.00	780/1271	4.00	4.04	4.16	4.27	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	4.20	837/1276	4.20	4.39	4.33	4.43	4.20
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	4.00	947/1273	4.00	4.46	4.38	4.52	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	719/922	3.50	3.96	4.02	4.00	3.50
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	66/76	4.00	4.70	4.51	4.51	4.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	66/74	3.67	4.51	4.31	4.32	3.67

Course-Section: ART 610 1

Title: Imag. Digital Seminar

Instructor: Bradley, Stephen

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/66	***	4.69	4.27	4.44	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	1	0	3.25	67/76	3.25	4.11	4.27	4.33	3.25
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	1.00	72/73	1.00	3.17	3.94	3.81	1.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	2	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	3	Non-major	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: ART 640 1

Title: Imaging & Digital Studio

Instructor: Moren,Lisa

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	307/1528	4.75	4.26	4.31	4.45	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	259/1527	4.75	4.21	4.28	4.36	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	8	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1333	***	4.40	4.34	4.39	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	117/1495	4.89	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.89
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	329/1439	4.56	3.86	4.11	4.24	4.56
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	348/1425	4.56	3.51	4.12	4.28	4.56
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	2	0	0	3	1	3	4.00	1050/1508	4.00	3.86	4.18	4.25	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	601/1526	4.89	4.44	4.66	4.81	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	221/1490	4.67	4.03	4.11	4.16	4.67
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.34	4.49	4.56	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	580/1436	4.89	4.77	4.74	4.83	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	565/1427	4.56	4.26	4.32	4.36	4.56
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	611/1425	4.56	4.30	4.34	4.34	4.56
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	290/1291	4.56	4.42	4.05	3.99	4.56
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	152/1271	4.89	4.04	4.16	4.27	4.89
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	329/1276	4.78	4.39	4.33	4.43	4.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	257/1273	4.89	4.46	4.38	4.52	4.89
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	1	0	1	2	4	4.00	467/922	4.00	3.96	4.02	4.00	4.00

Course-Section: ART 640 1

Title: Imaging & Digital Studio

Instructor: Moren,Lisa

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	1	1	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	37/76	4.63	4.70	4.51	4.51	4.63
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	14/74	4.89	4.51	4.31	4.32	4.89
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	17/66	4.75	4.69	4.27	4.44	4.75
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	23/76	4.78	4.11	4.27	4.33	4.78
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	1	0	0	1	5	0	3	3.56	54/73	3.56	3.17	3.94	3.81	3.56

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	6	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	4	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	10
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant	:		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						