Course-Section:	BIOL 101 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark>	1						Enro	llment:	118
Title:	Concepts of Biology											Q	uestion	naires:	92
Instructor:	Lake, Reagan														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General				-										
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	2	0	4	3	6	21	56	4.36	814/1520	4.36	4.48	4.31	4.14	4.36
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	3	0	4	3	8	16	58	4.36	785/1520	4.36	4.31	4.27	4.20	4.36
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	4	0	3	2	8	16	59	4.43	651/1291	4.43	4.28	4.33	4.24	4.43
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	2	49	3	6	1	7	24	4.05	990/1483	4.05	4.15	4.23	4.09	4.05
5. Did assigned readings	contribute to what you learned	2	35	3	2	9	11	30	4.15	709/1417	4.15	4.16	4.08	4.02	4.15
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	1	59	3	4	3	2	20	4.00	843/1405	4.00	4.03	4.12	3.96	4.00
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	2	1	0	3	12	17	57	4.44	529/1504	4.44	4.22	4.16	4.13	4.44
8. How many times was	class cancelled	2	0	0	2	0	69	19	4.17	1371/1519	4.17	4.86	4.70	4.71	4.17
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	20	1	2	1	10	33	25	4.10	828/1495	4.10	4.15	4.11	4.01	4.10
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	2	0	0	2	4	10	74	4.73	498/1459	4.73	4.60	4.47	4.40	4.73
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	2	0	0	1	2	7	80	4.84	701/1460	4.84	4.79	4.74	4.68	4.84
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	3	0	2	3	4	17	63	4.53	614/1455	4.53	4.32	4.32	4.26	4.53
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	2	0	1	3	6	12	68	4.59	599/1456	4.59	4.37	4.34	4.26	4.59
5. Did audiovisual techni	ques enhance your understanding	4	4	3	2	11	17	51	4.32	471/1316	4.32	4.21	4.03	3.91	4.32
	Discussion					-		-							0
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	53	0	7	3	8	8	13	3.44	1082/1243	3.44	3.87	4.17	3.98	3.44
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	54	0	6	2	5	10	15	3.68	1083/1241	3.68	4.25	4.33	4.14	3.68
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	53	0	5	1	5	7	21	3.97	968/1236	3.97	4.33	4.40	4.19	3.97
4. Were special technique	ies successful	55	23	2	2	2	1	7	3.64	****/889	****	3.98	4.02	3.89	****

Course-Section:	BIOL 101 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark>	1						Enro	llment:	118
Title:	Concepts of Biology											Q	uestion	naires:	92
Instructor:	Lake, Reagan														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase u	nderstanding of the material	85	5	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/164	****	4.63	4.15	4.13	****
2. Were you provided wi	ith adequate background information	89	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/165	****	4.58	4.19	4.31	****
3. Were necessary mate	rials available for lab activities	87	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/160	****	4.80	4.45	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor	provide assistance	87	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/158	****	4.71	4.36	4.43	****
	Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics	relevant to the announced theme	86	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/67	****	4.33	4.60	4.51	****
2. Was the instructor ava	ailable for individual attention	88	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/66	****	4.80	4.55	4.36	****
3. Did research projects	contribute to what you learned	88	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	4.60	4.54	4.01	****
	Field Work														
1. Did field experience c	ontribute to what you learned	90	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	****/32	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly unders	stand your evaluation criteria	90	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/31	****	****	4.15	3.67	****
3. Was the instructor ava	ailable for consultation	88	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/23	****	5.00	4.48	****	****
	Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system	o contribute to what you learned	88	0	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	****/24	****	4.00	4.17	4.37	****
2. Did study questions m	nake clear the expected goal	86	1	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	****/15	****	4.20	4.17	4.22	****
3. Were your contacts w	ith the instructor helpful	87	2	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/22	****	4.40	4.07	3.99	****

Course-Section:	BIOL 101 01			Term	- Fall	201	L						Enro	llment:	118
Title:	Concepts of Biology											Q	uestion	naires:	92
Instructor:	Lake, Reagan														
					Fre	quene	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														
5. Were there enough pr	roctors for all the students	88	3	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/12	****	4.40	4.16	3.92	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	Α	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	8	0.00-0.99	0	А	10	Required for Majors	45	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	6	1.00-1.99	0	В	29						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	6	С	21	General	10	Under-grad	92	Non-major	91
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	10	D	2						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	1	Electives	3	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	5				
				?	28						

Course-Section: I	BIOL 106 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark>	1						Enro	llment:	99
Title:	The Human Organism											Q	uestion	naires:	56
Instructor: I	Lake,Reagan A														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
(Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insig	hts,skills from this course	1	0	0	4	3	15	33	4.40	755/1520	4.40	4.48	4.31	4.14	4.40
2. Did the instructor make	e clear the expected goals	1	0	0	2	4	11	38	4.55	527/1520	4.55	4.31	4.27	4.20	4.55
3. Did the exam questions	s reflect the expected goals	1	0	4	2	2	10	37	4.35	747/1291	4.35	4.28	4.33	4.24	4.35
4. Did other evaluations re	eflect the expected goals	1	31	1	1	5	2	15	4.21	853/1483	4.21	4.15	4.23	4.09	4.21
5. Did assigned readings	contribute to what you learned	2	26	7	2	4	5	10	3.32	1257/1417	3.32	4.16	4.08	4.02	3.32
6. Did written assignment	s contribute to what you learned	2	40	3	0	2	3	6	3.64	1127/1405	3.64	4.03	4.12	3.96	3.64
7. Was the grading system	n clearly explained	2	0	1	0	2	7	44	4.72	216/1504	4.72	4.22	4.16	4.13	4.72
8. How many times was c	lass cancelled	2	0	1	0	0	39	14	4.20	1349/1519	4.20	4.86	4.70	4.71	4.20
9. How would you grade t	the overall teaching effectiveness	15	0	2	1	8	18	12	3.90	1022/1495	3.90	4.15	4.11	4.01	3.90
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's le	ectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	3	2	49	4.85	286/1459	4.85	4.60	4.47	4.40	4.85
2. Did the instructor seem	n interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	3	4	47	4.81	779/1460	4.81	4.79	4.74	4.68	4.81
3. Was lecture material pr	resented and explained clearly	2	0	1	0	6	7	40	4.57	558/1455	4.57	4.32	4.32	4.26	4.57
4. Did the lectures contrib	oute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	4	3	47	4.80	328/1456	4.80	4.37	4.34	4.26	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniq	ues enhance your understanding	3	3	2	3	3	7	35	4.40	401/1316	4.40	4.21	4.03	3.91	4.40
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions co	ontribute to what you learned	26	0	4	0	7	7	12	3.77	927/1243	3.77	3.87	4.17	3.98	3.77
2. Were all students active	ely encouraged to participate	26	0	1	1	5	4	19	4.30	734/1241	4.30	4.25	4.33	4.14	4.30
3. Did the instructor enco	urage fair and open discussion	26	0	1	0	7	2	20	4.33	781/1236	4.33	4.33	4.40	4.19	4.33

Course-Section:	BIOL 106 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	L						Enro	llment:	99
Title:	The Human Organism											Q	uestion	naires:	56
Instructor:	Lake,Reagan A														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special technique	es successful	26	22	1	0	3	1	3	3.63	****/889	****	3.98	4.02	3.89	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	10	0.00-0.99	1	А	19	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	0	В	16						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	3	С	9	General	38	Under-grad	56	Non-major	56
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	4	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	ıt		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	9						

Course-Section:	BIOL 109 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	1						Enro	llment:	96
Title:	Life: Intro To Mod Biol											Q	uestion	naires:	73
Instructor:	Caruso,Steven M														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	2	0	5	7	13	27	19	3.68	1337/1520	3.68	4.48	4.31	4.14	3.68
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	2	0	2	0	12	28	29	4.15	981/1520	4.15	4.31	4.27	4.20	4.15
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	2	0	1	9	10	21	30	3.99	985/1291	3.99	4.28	4.33	4.24	3.99
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	2	3	1	4	6	22	35	4.26	789/1483	4.26	4.15	4.23	4.09	4.26
5. Did assigned readings	contribute to what you learned	2	1	1	7	16	18	28	3.93	906/1417	3.93	4.16	4.08	4.02	3.93
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	2	0	5	5	17	18	26	3.77	1059/1405	3.77	4.03	4.12	3.96	3.77
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	2	0	1	1	17	23	29	4.10	924/1504	4.10	4.22	4.16	4.13	4.10
8. How many times was	class cancelled	2	0	1	0	0	20	50	4.66	956/1519	4.66	4.86	4.70	4.71	4.66
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	16	1	0	1	12	33	10	3.93	995/1495	3.93	4.15	4.11	4.01	3.93
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	2	12	56	4.77	427/1459	4.77	4.60	4.47	4.40	4.77
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	3	0	1	2	4	5	58	4.67	1036/1460	4.67	4.79	4.74	4.68	4.67
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	4	0	1	0	7	22	39	4.42	736/1455	4.42	4.32	4.32	4.26	4.42
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	3	0	1	1	6	14	48	4.53	662/1456	4.53	4.37	4.34	4.26	4.53
5. Did audiovisual techni	iques enhance your understanding	5	3	2	2	10	17	34	4.22	577/1316	4.22	4.21	4.03	3.91	4.22
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	27	0	8	0	7	10	21	3.78	915/1243	3.78	3.87	4.17	3.98	3.78
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	28	0	4	3	6	13	19	3.89	998/1241	3.89	4.25	4.33	4.14	3.89
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	28	0	0	0	8	12	25	4.38	749/1236	4.38	4.33	4.40	4.19	4.38
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	28	19	1	3	9	7	6	3.54	700/889	3.54	3.98	4.02	3.89	3.54

	fe: Intro To Mod Biol					I 201 :	•						Lino	llment:	90
Instructor: Ca												Q	uestion	naires:	73
	aruso,Steven M														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Q	uestions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
La	boratory														
1. Did the lab increase unde	erstanding of the material	13	0	0	3	6	13	38	4.43	55/164	4.43	4.63	4.15	4.13	4.43
2. Were you provided with	adequate background information	13	0	0	0	8	8	44	4.60	41/165	4.60	4.58	4.19	4.31	4.60
3. Were necessary material	s available for lab activities	13	0	0	0	5	7	48	4.72	50/160	4.72	4.80	4.45	4.49	4.72
4. Did the lab instructor pro	ovide assistance	13	0	0	3	7	12	38	4.42	89/158	4.42	4.71	4.36	4.43	4.42
5. Were requirements for la	ab reports clearly specified	13	0	0	0	8	7	45	4.62	26/150	4.62	4.49	4.05	4.26	4.62
S	Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics rele	evant to the announced theme	69	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/67	****	4.33	4.60	4.51	****
2. Was the instructor availa	ble for individual attention	69	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/66	****	4.80	4.55	4.36	****
3. Did research projects cor	ntribute to what you learned	69	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/62	****	4.60	4.54	4.01	****
4. Did presentations contrib	oute to what you learned	69	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/68	****	****	4.59	4.43	****
5. Were criteria for grading	made clear	69	0	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	****/66	****	3.40	4.20	3.90	****
Fie	eld Work														
1. Did field experience cont	ribute to what you learned	69	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/32	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly understar	nd your evaluation criteria	69	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/31	****	****	4.15	3.67	****
3. Was the instructor availa	ble for consultation	69	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/23	****	5.00	4.48	****	****
4. To what degree could yo	ou discuss your evaluations	69	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/27	****	4.60	4.23	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help you	u carry out field activities	69	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/20	****	****	4.23	****	****
Se	elf Paced														
1. Did self-paced system co	ontribute to what you learned	69	0	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	****/24	****	4.00	4.17	4.37	****
2. Did study questions make	e clear the expected goal	69	0	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	****/15	****	4.20	4.17	4.22	****
3. Were your contacts with	the instructor helpful	69	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/22	****	4.40	4.07	3.99	****

Run Date: 1/31/2012 10:57:33 AM

Course-Section: BIOL 109 01			Term	- Fal	201	L						Enro	llment:	96
Title: Life: Intro To Mod Biol											Q	uestion	naires:	73
Instructor: Caruso,Steven M														
				Fre	quene	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	69	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/15	****	3.80	4.06	4.14	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	69	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/12	****	4.40	4.16	3.92	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	8	0.00-0.99	2	А	17	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	13	1.00-1.99	0	В	31						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	7	С	9	General	49	Under-grad	73	Non-major	73
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	16	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	1	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	13						

Course-Section:	BIOL 141 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	L						Enro	llment:	289
Title:	Foundations of Biology											Q	uestion	naires:	255
Instructor:	Sokolove, Philli														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	6	0	2	7	32	72	136	4.34	838/1520	4.34	4.48	4.31	4.14	4.34
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	5	0	7	9	47	89	98	4.05	1060/1520	4.05	4.31	4.27	4.20	4.05
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	7	0	13	23	37	77	98	3.90	1033/1291	3.90	4.28	4.33	4.24	3.90
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	10	68	10	18	44	54	51	3.67	1254/1483	3.67	4.15	4.23	4.09	3.67
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	8	1	2	5	22	67	150	4.46	417/1417	4.46	4.16	4.08	4.02	4.46
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	13	89	13	12	37	41	50	3.67	1113/1405	3.67	4.03	4.12	3.96	3.67
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	14	1	15	21	35	76	93	3.88	1125/1504	3.88	4.22	4.16	4.13	3.88
8. How many times was	class cancelled	14	0	0	0	1	0	240	4.99	60/1519	4.99	4.86	4.70	4.71	4.99
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	25	5	11	12	48	114	40	3.71	1166/1495	3.83	4.15	4.11	4.01	3.83
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	6	0	2	4	13	55	175	4.59	724/1459	4.64	4.60	4.47	4.40	4.64
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	6	0	2	3	9	42	193	4.69	1012/1460	4.73	4.79	4.74	4.68	4.73
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	10	0	6	9	36	77	117	4.18	976/1455	4.26	4.32	4.32	4.26	4.26
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	6	1	11	12	40	59	126	4.12	1045/1456	4.21	4.37	4.34	4.26	4.21
5. Did audiovisual techni	iques enhance your understanding	11	20	15	15	35	69	90	3.91	819/1316	3.97	4.21	4.03	3.91	3.97
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	22	0	30	15	44	73	71	3.60	1021/1243	3.60	3.87	4.17	3.98	3.60
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	23	0	6	14	31	66	115	4.16	837/1241	4.16	4.25	4.33	4.14	4.16
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	22	0	10	10	30	72	111	4.13	898/1236	4.13	4.33	4.40	4.19	4.13
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	22	12	18	13	29	48	113	4.02	452/889	4.02	3.98	4.02	3.89	4.02

Course-Section:	BIOL 141 01			Term	- Fal	I 201 :	L						Enro	llment:	289
Title:	Foundations of Biology											Q	uestion	naires:	255
Instructor:	Sokolove, Philli														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase u	nderstanding of the material	245	5	1	0	2	2	0	3.00	****/164	****	4.63	4.15	4.13	****
2. Were you provided wi	th adequate background information	246	0	0	2	4	2	1	3.22	****/165	****	4.58	4.19	4.31	****
3. Were necessary mater	rials available for lab activities	247	3	0	0	2	3	0	3.60	****/160	****	4.80	4.45	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor	provide assistance	249	2	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	****/158	****	4.71	4.36	4.43	****
5. Were requirements for	r lab reports clearly specified	248	3	0	0	3	1	0	3.25	****/150	****	4.49	4.05	4.26	****
	Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics	relevant to the announced theme	250	2	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/67	****	4.33	4.60	4.51	****
2. Was the instructor ava	ailable for individual attention	251	2	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/66	****	4.80	4.55	4.36	****
3. Did research projects	contribute to what you learned	251	2	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/62	****	4.60	4.54	4.01	****
4. Did presentations con	tribute to what you learned	251	1	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	****/68	****	****	4.59	4.43	****
5. Were criteria for gradi	ng made clear	251	1	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/66	****	3.40	4.20	3.90	****
	Field Work														
1. Did field experience co	ontribute to what you learned	251	0	1	1	0	2	0	2.75	****/32	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly unders	stand your evaluation criteria	251	0	1	1	1	1	0	2.50	****/31	****	****	4.15	3.67	****
3. Was the instructor ava	ailable for consultation	251	1	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/23	****	5.00	4.48	****	****
4. To what degree could	you discuss your evaluations	250	1	1	1	0	2	0	2.75	****/27	****	4.60	4.23	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help	you carry out field activities	250	2	0	1	0	2	0	3.33	****/20	****	****	4.23	****	****
	Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system	contribute to what you learned	249	0	0	1	0	3	2	4.00	****/24	****	4.00	4.17	4.37	****
2. Did study questions m	ake clear the expected goal	249	0	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	****/15	****	4.20	4.17	4.22	****
3. Were your contacts wi	ith the instructor helpful	249	2	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	****/22	****	4.40	4.07	3.99	****

Course-Section:	BIOL 141 01			Term	- Fall	201	L						Enro	lment:	289
Title:	Foundations of Biology											Q	uestion	naires:	255
Instructor:	Sokolove,Philli														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tute	oring by proctors helpful	249	0	0	2	1	1	2	3.50	****/15	****	3.80	4.06	4.14	****
5. Were there enough pr	roctors for all the students	249	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	****/12	****	4.40	4.16	3.92	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	Α	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	51	0.00-0.99	9	А	82	Required for Majors	205	Graduate	1	Major	122
28-55	38	1.00-1.99	0	В	99						
56-83	8	2.00-2.99	10	С	34	General	8	Under-grad	254	Non-major	133
84-150	12	3.00-3.49	22	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	47	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means th	nere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significar	nt		
				I	0	Other	9				
				?	35						

Course-Section:	BIOL 141 01	Term - Fall 2011			1						Enro	llment:	289		
Title:	Foundations of Biology											Q	uestion	naires:	255
Instructor:	Miller,Stephen														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	6	0	2	7	32	72	136	4.34	838/1520	4.34	4.48	4.31	4.14	4.34
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	5	0	7	9	47	89	98	4.05	1060/1520	4.05	4.31	4.27	4.20	4.05
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	7	0	13	23	37	77	98	3.90	1033/1291	3.90	4.28	4.33	4.24	3.90
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	10	68	10	18	44	54	51	3.67	1254/1483	3.67	4.15	4.23	4.09	3.67
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	8	1	2	5	22	67	150	4.46	417/1417	4.46	4.16	4.08	4.02	4.46
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	13	89	13	12	37	41	50	3.67	1113/1405	3.67	4.03	4.12	3.96	3.67
7. Was the grading syste	em clearly explained	14	1	15	21	35	76	93	3.88	1125/1504	3.88	4.22	4.16	4.13	3.88
8. How many times was	class cancelled	14	0	0	0	1	0	240	4.99	60/1519	4.99	4.86	4.70	4.71	4.99
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	46	5	2	3	42	114	43	3.95	969/1495	3.83	4.15	4.11	4.01	3.83
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	37	0	0	1	10	46	161	4.68	584/1459	4.64	4.60	4.47	4.40	4.64
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	37	0	1	0	6	33	178	4.78	864/1460	4.73	4.79	4.74	4.68	4.73
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	40	0	1	5	29	67	113	4.33	842/1455	4.26	4.32	4.32	4.26	4.26
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	39	0	4	10	31	43	128	4.30	900/1456	4.21	4.37	4.34	4.26	4.21
5. Did audiovisual techni	iques enhance your understanding	45	19	11	8	29	61	82	4.02	716/1316	3.97	4.21	4.03	3.91	3.97
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	22	0	30	15	44	73	71	3.60	1021/1243	3.60	3.87	4.17	3.98	3.60
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	23	0	6	14	31	66	115	4.16	837/1241	4.16	4.25	4.33	4.14	4.16
3. Did the instructor end	Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion		0	10	10	30	72	111	4.13	898/1236	4.13	4.33	4.40	4.19	4.13
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	22	12	18	13	29	48	113	4.02	452/889	4.02	3.98	4.02	3.89	4.02

Course-Section:	BIOL 141 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	L						Enro	llment:	289
Title:	Foundations of Biology											Q	uestion	naires:	255
Instructor:	Miller,Stephen														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
l l	Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase ur	nderstanding of the material	245	5	1	0	2	2	0	3.00	****/164	****	4.63	4.15	4.13	****
2. Were you provided wit	th adequate background information	246	0	0	2	4	2	1	3.22	****/165	****	4.58	4.19	4.31	****
3. Were necessary mater	ials available for lab activities	247	3	0	0	2	3	0	3.60	****/160	****	4.80	4.45	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor p	provide assistance	249	2	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	****/158	****	4.71	4.36	4.43	****
5. Were requirements for	r lab reports clearly specified	248	3	0	0	3	1	0	3.25	****/150	****	4.49	4.05	4.26	****
	Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics	relevant to the announced theme	250	2	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/67	****	4.33	4.60	4.51	****
2. Was the instructor ava	ilable for individual attention	251	2	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/66	****	4.80	4.55	4.36	****
3. Did research projects	contribute to what you learned	251	2	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/62	****	4.60	4.54	4.01	****
4. Did presentations cont	ribute to what you learned	251	1	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	****/68	****	****	4.59	4.43	****
5. Were criteria for gradi	ng made clear	251	1	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/66	****	3.40	4.20	3.90	****
	Field Work														
1. Did field experience cc	ontribute to what you learned	251	0	1	1	0	2	0	2.75	****/32	****	****	4.36	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly unders	tand your evaluation criteria	251	0	1	1	1	1	0	2.50	****/31	****	****	4.15	3.67	****
3. Was the instructor ava	ilable for consultation	251	1	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/23	****	5.00	4.48	****	****
4. To what degree could	you discuss your evaluations	250	1	1	1	0	2	0	2.75	****/27	****	4.60	4.23	5.00	****
5. Did conferences help y	ou carry out field activities	250	2	0	1	0	2	0	3.33	****/20	****	****	4.23	****	****
	Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system	contribute to what you learned	249	0	0	1	0	3	2	4.00	****/24	****	4.00	4.17	4.37	****
2. Did study questions m	ake clear the expected goal	249	0	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	****/15	****	4.20	4.17	4.22	****
3. Were your contacts wi	th the instructor helpful	249	2	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	****/22	****	4.40	4.07	3.99	****

Run Date: 1/31/2012 10:57:34 AM

Course-Section:	BIOL 141 01			Term	- Fall	l 201	L						Enro	lment:	289
Title:	Foundations of Biology							,				Q	uestion	naires:	255
Instructor:	Miller,Stephen														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tute	oring by proctors helpful	249	0	0	2	1	1	2	3.50	****/15	****	3.80	4.06	4.14	****
5. Were there enough pr	octors for all the students	249	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	****/12	****	4.40	4.16	3.92	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	Α	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	51	0.00-0.99	9	А	82	Required for Majors	205	Graduate	1	Major	122
28-55	38	1.00-1.99	0	В	99						
56-83	8	2.00-2.99	10	С	34	General	8	Under-grad	254	Non-major	133
84-150	12	3.00-3.49	22	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	47	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significar	nt		
				I	0	Other	9				
				?	35						

Course-Section:	BIOL 142 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	L						Enro	llment:	296
Title:	Foundations of Biology:											Q	uestion	naires:	162
Instructor:	Leips, Jeffery W														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	8	0	1	1	16	60	76	4.36	814/1520	4.36	4.48	4.31	4.14	4.36
2. Did the instructor ma	ke clear the expected goals	8	0	2	1	17	60	74	4.32	834/1520	4.32	4.31	4.27	4.20	4.32
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	8	0	2	0	16	61	75	4.34	747/1291	4.34	4.28	4.33	4.24	4.34
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	9	46	2	5	26	41	33	3.92	1112/1483	3.92	4.15	4.23	4.09	3.92
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	9	3	13	22	39	40	36	3.43	1217/1417	3.43	4.16	4.08	4.02	3.43
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	9	60	7	13	21	34	18	3.46	1215/1405	3.46	4.03	4.12	3.96	3.46
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	9	0	2	2	16	60	73	4.31	694/1504	4.31	4.22	4.16	4.13	4.31
8. How many times was	class cancelled	9	0	1	0	0	4	148	4.95	355/1519	4.95	4.86	4.70	4.71	4.95
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	29	0	0	2	13	84	34	4.13	801/1495	3.91	4.15	4.11	4.01	3.91
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	13	0	0	0	3	30	116	4.76	463/1459	4.65	4.60	4.47	4.40	4.65
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	15	0	0	0	1	24	122	4.82	753/1460	4.79	4.79	4.74	4.68	4.79
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	15	0	0	1	7	36	103	4.64	487/1455	4.49	4.32	4.32	4.26	4.49
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	16	1	1	2	3	33	106	4.66	503/1456	4.50	4.37	4.34	4.26	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techn	iques enhance your understanding	14	1	4	1	6	35	101	4.55	272/1316	4.42	4.21	4.03	3.91	4.42
	Discussion		-	-	-		-	-							
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	29	0	15	21	37	32	28	3.28	1135/1243	3.28	3.87	4.17	3.98	3.28
2. Were all students acti	Were all students actively encouraged to participate		0	2	11	25	40	55	4.02	918/1241	4.02	4.25	4.33	4.14	4.02
3. Did the instructor enc	Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion		0	3	3	23	41	63	4.19	865/1236	4.19	4.33	4.40	4.19	4.19
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	29	12	6	8	13	44	50	4.02	449/889	4.02	3.98	4.02	3.89	4.02

Course-Section:	BIOL 142 01		Т		- Fal	l 201	L						Enro	llment:	296
Title:	Foundations of Biology:											Q	uestion	naires:	162
Instructor:	Leips,Jeffery W														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase ur	nderstanding of the material	151	0	1	1	5	2	2	3.27	****/164	****	4.63	4.15	4.13	****
2. Were you provided wit	h adequate background information	151	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	****/165	****	4.58	4.19	4.31	****
3. Were necessary mater	ials available for lab activities	151	0	0	1	2	1	7	4.27	****/160	****	4.80	4.45	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor p	provide assistance	151	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	****/158	****	4.71	4.36	4.43	****
5. Were requirements for	lab reports clearly specified	152	3	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	****/150	****	4.49	4.05	4.26	****
	Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics	relevant to the announced theme	161	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/67	****	4.33	4.60	4.51	****
2. Was the instructor ava	ilable for individual attention	160	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.80	4.55	4.36	****
3. Did research projects of	. Did research projects contribute to what you learned		1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/62	****	4.60	4.54	4.01	****
4. Did presentations cont	4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned		1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/68	****	****	4.59	4.43	****
5. Were criteria for gradi	Were criteria for grading made clear		1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/66	****	3.40	4.20	3.90	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits I	Earned	Cum. GP	A	Expecte
00-27	6	0.00-0.99	2	А
28-55	35	1.00-1.99	1	В
56-83	20	2.00-2.99	9	С
84-150	13	3.00-3.49	17	D
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	35	F
				Р
				I

ed Grades	Reasons		T
56	Required for Majors	128	Graduate
69			
13	General	6	Under-gra
0			
0	Electives	0	**** - Mea
0			to be sign
0	Other	2	
22			

Туре		Majors										
Graduate	0	Major	87									
Under-grad	162	Non-major	75									
**** - Means th	**** - Means there are not enough responses											
to be significan	it											

?

Course-Section:	BIOL 142 01	Term - Fall 2011									Enro	llment:	296		
Title:	Foundations of Biology:											Q	uestion	naires:	162
Instructor:	Mendelson,Tamra														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	8	0	1	1	16	60	76	4.36	814/1520	4.36	4.48	4.31	4.14	4.36
2. Did the instructor ma	ke clear the expected goals	8	0	2	1	17	60	74	4.32	834/1520	4.32	4.31	4.27	4.20	4.32
3. Did the exam questio	ns reflect the expected goals	8	0	2	0	16	61	75	4.34	747/1291	4.34	4.28	4.33	4.24	4.34
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	9	46	2	5	26	41	33	3.92	1112/1483	3.92	4.15	4.23	4.09	3.92
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	9	3	13	22	39	40	36	3.43	1217/1417	3.43	4.16	4.08	4.02	3.43
6. Did written assignme	nts contribute to what you learned	9	60	7	13	21	34	18	3.46	1215/1405	3.46	4.03	4.12	3.96	3.46
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	9	0	2	2	16	60	73	4.31	694/1504	4.31	4.22	4.16	4.13	4.31
8. How many times was	class cancelled	9	0	1	0	0	4	148	4.95	355/1519	4.95	4.86	4.70	4.71	4.95
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	41	0	0	3	20	66	32	4.05	863/1495	3.91	4.15	4.11	4.01	3.91
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	31	0	0	0	7	28	96	4.68	600/1459	4.65	4.60	4.47	4.40	4.65
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	31	0	0	0	2	20	109	4.82	779/1460	4.79	4.79	4.74	4.68	4.79
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	31	0	0	0	13	28	90	4.59	547/1455	4.49	4.32	4.32	4.26	4.49
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	32	1	2	3	8	28	88	4.53	662/1456	4.50	4.37	4.34	4.26	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techn	iques enhance your understanding	31	1	2	2	11	32	83	4.48	338/1316	4.42	4.21	4.03	3.91	4.42
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	29	0	15	21	37	32	28	3.28	1135/1243	3.28	3.87	4.17	3.98	3.28
2. Were all students act	ively encouraged to participate	29	0	2	11	25	40	55	4.02	918/1241	4.02	4.25	4.33	4.14	4.02
3. Did the instructor end	courage fair and open discussion	29	0	3	3	23	41	63	4.19	865/1236	4.19	4.33	4.40	4.19	4.19
4. Were special techniqu	ues successful	29	12	6	8	13	44	50	4.02	449/889	4.02	3.98	4.02	3.89	4.02

Course-Section: BIOL 142 01		Term - Fall 2011									<mark>296</mark>			
Title: Foundations of Biology:							,				Q	uestion	naires:	162
Instructor: Mendelson,Tamra														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	151	0	1	1	5	2	2	3.27	****/164	****	4.63	4.15	4.13	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	151	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	****/165	****	4.58	4.19	4.31	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	151	0	0	1	2	1	7	4.27	****/160	****	4.80	4.45	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	151	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	****/158	****	4.71	4.36	4.43	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	152	3	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	****/150	****	4.49	4.05	4.26	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	161	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/67	****	4.33	4.60	4.51	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	160	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.80	4.55	4.36	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	160	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/62	****	4.60	4.54	4.01	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	160	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/68	****	****	4.59	4.43	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	160	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/66	****	3.40	4.20	3.90	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits I	Earned	Cum. GP	Α	Expecte
00-27	6	0.00-0.99	2	А
28-55	35	1.00-1.99	1	В
56-83	20	2.00-2.99	9	С
84-150	13	3.00-3.49	17	D
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	35	F
				Р
				Ι

ed Grades	Reasons		
56	Required for Majors	128	Gradua
69			
13	General	6	Under-
0			
0	Electives	0	**** - N
0			to be s
0	Other	2	
22			

Туре		Majors	
Graduate	0	Major	87
Under-grad	162	Non-major	75
**** - Means th to be significar		enough responses	

?

Course-Section:	BIOL 142 01	Term - Fall 2011									Enro	llment:	296		
Title:	Foundations of Biology:											Q	uestion	naires:	162
Instructor:	Omland,Kevin E														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	8	0	1	1	16	60	76	4.36	814/1520	4.36	4.48	4.31	4.14	4.36
2. Did the instructor ma	ke clear the expected goals	8	0	2	1	17	60	74	4.32	834/1520	4.32	4.31	4.27	4.20	4.32
3. Did the exam questio	ns reflect the expected goals	8	0	2	0	16	61	75	4.34	747/1291	4.34	4.28	4.33	4.24	4.34
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	9	46	2	5	26	41	33	3.92	1112/1483	3.92	4.15	4.23	4.09	3.92
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	9	3	13	22	39	40	36	3.43	1217/1417	3.43	4.16	4.08	4.02	3.43
6. Did written assignmen	nts contribute to what you learned	9	60	7	13	21	34	18	3.46	1215/1405	3.46	4.03	4.12	3.96	3.46
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	9	0	2	2	16	60	73	4.31	694/1504	4.31	4.22	4.16	4.13	4.31
8. How many times was	class cancelled	9	0	1	0	0	4	148	4.95	355/1519	4.95	4.86	4.70	4.71	4.95
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	41	0	9	4	33	61	14	3.55	1267/1495	3.91	4.15	4.11	4.01	3.91
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	30	0	3	2	8	29	90	4.52	808/1459	4.65	4.60	4.47	4.40	4.65
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	30	0	2	0	3	23	104	4.72	981/1460	4.79	4.79	4.74	4.68	4.79
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	30	0	4	4	16	39	69	4.25	920/1455	4.49	4.32	4.32	4.26	4.49
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	31	2	6	5	9	31	78	4.32	888/1456	4.50	4.37	4.34	4.26	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techn	iques enhance your understanding	30	3	7	3	14	34	71	4.23	557/1316	4.42	4.21	4.03	3.91	4.42
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	29	0	15	21	37	32	28	3.28	1135/1243	3.28	3.87	4.17	3.98	3.28
2. Were all students act	ively encouraged to participate	29	0	2	11	25	40	55	4.02	918/1241	4.02	4.25	4.33	4.14	4.02
3. Did the instructor end	ourage fair and open discussion	29	0	3	3	23	41	63	4.19	865/1236	4.19	4.33	4.40	4.19	4.19
4. Were special techniqu	ues successful	29	12	6	8	13	44	50	4.02	449/889	4.02	3.98	4.02	3.89	4.02

Course-Section: BIOL 142	01		Term - Fall 2011										296		
Title: Foundation	ons of Biology:											Q	uestion	naires:	162
Instructor: Omland,K	evin E														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	5	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laborator	y														
1. Did the lab increase understanding	g of the material	151	0	1	1	5	2	2	3.27	****/164	****	4.63	4.15	4.13	****
2. Were you provided with adequate	background information	151	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	****/165	****	4.58	4.19	4.31	****
3. Were necessary materials available	e for lab activities	151	0	0	1	2	1	7	4.27	****/160	****	4.80	4.45	4.49	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assis	tance	151	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	****/158	****	4.71	4.36	4.43	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports	clearly specified	152	3	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	****/150	****	4.49	4.05	4.26	****
Seminar															
1. Were assigned topics relevant to t	he announced theme	161	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/67	****	4.33	4.60	4.51	****
2. Was the instructor available for inc	lividual attention	160	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.80	4.55	4.36	****
3. Did research projects contribute to	what you learned	160	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/62	****	4.60	4.54	4.01	****
4. Did presentations contribute to wh	at you learned	160	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/68	****	****	4.59	4.43	****
5. Were criteria for grading made cle	ar	160	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/66	****	3.40	4.20	3.90	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected
00-27	6	0.00-0.99	2	А
28-55	35	1.00-1.99	1	В
56-83	20	2.00-2.99	9	С
84-150	13	3.00-3.49	17	D
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	35	F
				Р
				I

ed Grades	Reasons		
56	Required for Majors	128	Graduat
69			
13	General	6	Under-g
0			
0	Electives	0	**** - Me
0			to be sig
0	Other	2	
22			

Туре		Majors	
Graduate	0	Major	87
Under-grad	162	Non-major	75
**** - Means th to be significar		enough responses	

?

Course-Section:	BIOL 233 01	Term - Fall 2011				1						Enro	llment:	68	
Title:	Nutrition And Health											Q	uestion	naires:	47
Instructor:	Leupen,Sarah Ma														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	5	41	4.89	149/1520	4.89	4.48	4.31	4.36	4.89
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	44	4.94	80/1520	4.94	4.31	4.27	4.34	4.94
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	0	45	4.91	125/1291	4.91	4.28	4.33	4.44	4.91
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	1	5	37	4.84	151/1483	4.84	4.15	4.23	4.28	4.84
5. Did assigned readings	contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	3	8	34	4.69	211/1417	4.69	4.16	4.08	4.14	4.69
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	4	4	38	4.74	183/1405	4.74	4.03	4.12	4.13	4.74
7. Was the grading syste	em clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	3	41	4.79	165/1504	4.79	4.22	4.16	4.15	4.79
8. How many times was	class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	45	4.98	178/1519	4.98	4.86	4.70	4.64	4.98
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	6	37	4.86	106/1495	4.86	4.15	4.11	4.16	4.86
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	44	4.98	60/1459	4.98	4.60	4.47	4.52	4.98
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	45	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.79	4.74	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	3	41	4.93	114/1455	4.93	4.32	4.32	4.39	4.93
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	1	43	4.93	140/1456	4.93	4.37	4.34	4.46	4.93
5. Did audiovisual techni	ques enhance your understanding	3	6	0	1	0	5	32	4.79	126/1316	4.79	4.21	4.03	4.18	4.79
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	35	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	178/1243	4.83	3.87	4.17	4.22	4.83
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	35	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	324/1241	4.75	4.25	4.33	4.38	4.75
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	35	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	192/1236	4.92	4.33	4.40	4.45	4.92
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	35	3	0	0	2	4	3	4.11	****/889	****	3.98	4.02	3.99	****

Course-Section: BIOL 233 01	Term - Fall 2011									Enro	llment:	<mark>68</mark>		
Title: Nutrition And Health											Questionnaires:			47
Instructor: Leupen,Sarah Ma														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/165	****	4.58	4.19	4.40	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.33	4.60	4.33	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.80	4.55	4.34	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	4.60	4.54	4.48	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	****	4.59	4.59	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	3.40	4.20	4.34	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	46	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.36	4.37	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	46	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.15	4.11	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	46	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/23	****	5.00	4.48	4.65	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	46	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/27	****	4.60	4.23	4.67	****

Course-Section:	BIOL 233 01			Term	- Fall	2 01	1						Enro	llment:	<mark>68</mark>
Title:	Nutrition And Health											Q	uestion	naires:	47
Instructor:	Leupen,Sarah Ma														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Field Work														
5. Did conferences help	you carry out field activities	46	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/20	****	****	4.23	4.53	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	Α	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	А	35	Required for Majors	28	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	9	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	47	Non-major	39
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	9	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	11	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				Ι	0	Other	3				
				?	4						

Course-Section:	BIOL 251 1			Term	<mark>ı - Fal</mark>	<mark>l 201</mark>	1						Enro	llment:	123
Title:	Anatomy & Physiology I							9				Q	uestion	naires:	87
Instructor:	Fleischmann,Est														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	3	0	0	1	4	8	71	4.77	265/1520	4.77	4.48	4.31	4.36	4.77
2. Did the instructor ma	ke clear the expected goals	3	0	0	2	11	19	52	4.44	667/1520	4.44	4.31	4.27	4.34	4.44
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	3	0	1	3	9	23	48	4.36	739/1291	4.36	4.28	4.33	4.44	4.36
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	3	53	1	1	3	8	18	4.32	724/1483	4.32	4.15	4.23	4.28	4.32
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	4	4	0	7	17	20	35	4.05	773/1417	4.05	4.16	4.08	4.14	4.05
6. Did written assignmen	nts contribute to what you learned	4	71	0	0	3	0	9	4.50	****/1405	****	4.03	4.12	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	4	1	2	3	14	18	45	4.23	770/1504	4.23	4.22	4.16	4.15	4.23
8. How many times was	class cancelled	4	1	0	0	0	0	82	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.86	4.70	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	14	0	1	0	7	31	34	4.33	580/1495	4.33	4.15	4.11	4.16	4.33
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	6	0	0	1	5	24	51	4.54	784/1459	4.54	4.60	4.47	4.52	4.54
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	2	4	75	4.90	544/1460	4.90	4.79	4.74	4.80	4.90
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	5	0	1	1	5	33	42	4.39	772/1455	4.39	4.32	4.32	4.39	4.39
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	5	0	0	2	5	9	66	4.70	465/1456	4.70	4.37	4.34	4.46	4.70
5. Did audiovisual techn	iques enhance your understanding	7	27	4	5	7	8	29	4.00	729/1316	4.00	4.21	4.03	4.18	4.00
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	Did class discussions contribute to what you learned				0	2	1	7	4.18	****/1243	****	3.87	4.17	4.22	****
2. Were all students acti	Were all students actively encouraged to participate				0	4	0	6	3.91	****/1241	****	4.25	4.33	4.38	****
3. Did the instructor end	Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion				0	2	2	7	4.45	****/1236	****	4.33	4.40	4.45	****
4. Were special techniqu	ues successful	75	6	0	1	2	0	3	3.83	****/889	****	3.98	4.02	3.99	****

Course-Section: BIOL 251 1				Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	1						Enro	llment:	123
Title: Anatomy & Physiology I												Q	uestion	naires:	87
Instructor: Fleischmann,Est															
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	1	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory															
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material		84	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/164	****	4.63	4.15	4.57	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background inform	nation	84	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	****/165	****	4.58	4.19	4.40	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities		84	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	****/160	****	4.80	4.45	4.74	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance		84	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	****/158	****	4.71	4.36	4.63	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified		84	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/150	****	4.49	4.05	4.59	****
Seminar															
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced the	me	86	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/67	****	4.33	4.60	4.33	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention		86	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/66	****	4.80	4.55	4.34	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned		86	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/68	****	****	4.59	4.59	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear		86	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/66	****	3.40	4.20	4.34	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	Earned	Cum. GP	Α	Expected	Grades
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	А	36
28-55	9	1.00-1.99	0	В	27
56-83	10	2.00-2.99	6	С	7
84-150	17	3.00-3.49	13	D	2
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	26	F	0
				Р	0

۱ ?

cted	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
	36	Required for Majors	57	Graduate	0	Major	31
	27						
	7	General	1	Under-grad	87	Non-major	56
)	2						
	0	Electives	10	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
	0			to be significan	t		
	0	Other	6				
	14						

Course-Section:	BIOL 251L 02			Term	ı - Fal	l 201	1						Enro	llment:	26
Title:	Anatomy & Physiol Lab I							9				Q	uestion	naires:	24
Instructor:	Fleischmann,Est														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	2	21	4.91	126/1520	4.80	4.48	4.31	4.36	4.91
2. Did the instructor ma	ke clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	3	5	15	4.52	555/1520	4.39	4.31	4.27	4.34	4.52
3. Did the exam questio	ns reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	6	15	4.57	483/1291	4.40	4.28	4.33	4.44	4.57
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	2	7	0	0	1	7	7	4.40	636/1483	4.29	4.15	4.23	4.28	4.40
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	1	0	4	16	4.67	229/1417	4.54	4.16	4.08	4.14	4.67
6. Did written assignmen	nts contribute to what you learned	1	11	0	0	3	1	8	4.42	493/1405	4.22	4.03	4.12	4.13	4.42
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	1	1	0	0	2	4	16	4.64	301/1504	4.39	4.22	4.16	4.15	4.64
8. How many times was	class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	23	5.00	1/1519	4.95	4.86	4.70	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	15	6	4.23	695/1495	4.37	4.15	4.11	4.16	4.23
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	1	10	8	4.37	1002/1459	4.58	4.60	4.47	4.52	4.37
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	596/1460	4.96	4.79	4.74	4.80	4.89
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	1	8	9	4.44	711/1455	4.50	4.32	4.32	4.39	4.44
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	8	10	4.47	714/1456	4.71	4.37	4.34	4.46	4.47
5. Did audiovisual techn	iques enhance your understanding	6	11	1	0	0	1	5	4.29	508/1316	4.25	4.21	4.03	4.18	4.29
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	Did class discussions contribute to what you learned				0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1243	3.83	3.87	4.17	4.22	****
2. Were all students act	Were all students actively encouraged to participate				0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1241	4.00	4.25	4.33	4.38	****
3. Did the instructor end	Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion					0	0	2	5.00	****/1236	4.17	4.33	4.40	4.45	****
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	22	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/889	****	3.98	4.02	3.99	****

Course-Section:	BIOL 251L 02			Term	- Fal	201	L						Enro	llment:	26
Title:	Anatomy & Physiol Lab I											Q	uestion	naires:	24
Instructor:	Fleischmann,Est														
					Fre	quene	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase u	nderstanding of the material	9	0	0	0	1	1	13	4.80	20/164	4.73	4.63	4.15	4.57	4.80
2. Were you provided wit	th adequate background information	9	0	0	0	2	2	11	4.60	41/165	4.61	4.58	4.19	4.40	4.60
3. Were necessary mater	ials available for lab activities	9	0	0	0	0	6	9	4.60	69/160	4.73	4.80	4.45	4.74	4.60
4. Did the lab instructor	id the lab instructor provide assistance				0	0	3	12	4.80	40/158	4.75	4.71	4.36	4.63	4.80
5. Were requirements for	r lab reports clearly specified	9	9	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/150	5.00	4.49	4.05	4.59	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	5	Required for Majors	20	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	0	В	11						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	5	General	0	Under-grad	24	Non-major	18
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section:	BIOL 251L 03			Term	<mark>ı - Fal</mark>	<mark>l 201</mark>	1						Enro	llment:	25
Title:	Anatomy & Physiol Lab I											Q	uestion	naires:	21
Instructor:	Fleischmann,Est														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	1	0	1	0	0	1	18	4.75	288/1520	4.80	4.48	4.31	4.36	4.75
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	1	0	1	0	2	8	9	4.20	940/1520	4.39	4.31	4.27	4.34	4.20
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	0	0	12	7	4.20	851/1291	4.40	4.28	4.33	4.44	4.20
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	1	5	0	1	2	7	5	4.07	980/1483	4.29	4.15	4.23	4.28	4.07
5. Did assigned readings	contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	0	11	7	4.39	492/1417	4.54	4.16	4.08	4.14	4.39
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	1	12	0	0	2	4	2	4.00	843/1405	4.22	4.03	4.12	4.13	4.00
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	2	0	0	0	1	7	11	4.53	415/1504	4.39	4.22	4.16	4.15	4.53
8. How many times was	class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	3	17	4.85	693/1519	4.95	4.86	4.70	4.64	4.85
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	1	8	9	4.44	430/1495	4.37	4.15	4.11	4.16	4.44
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	5	12	4.61	696/1459	4.58	4.60	4.47	4.52	4.61
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1460	4.96	4.79	4.74	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	2	5	11	4.50	637/1455	4.50	4.32	4.32	4.39	4.50
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	1	17	4.84	269/1456	4.71	4.37	4.34	4.46	4.84
5. Did audiovisual techni	iques enhance your understanding	3	11	1	0	0	2	4	4.14	635/1316	4.25	4.21	4.03	4.18	4.14
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	Did class discussions contribute to what you learned				0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1243	3.83	3.87	4.17	4.22	****
2. Were all students acti	Were all students actively encouraged to participate				0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1241	4.00	4.25	4.33	4.38	****
3. Did the instructor enc	Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion					0	0	2	5.00	****/1236	4.17	4.33	4.40	4.45	****
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	19	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/889	****	3.98	4.02	3.99	****

Course-Section: BI	OL 251L 03			Term	- Fall	l 201	L						Enro	llment:	25
Title: An	atomy & Physiol Lab I											Q	uestion	naires:	21
Instructor: Fle	ischmann,Est														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Qu	estions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lab	Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase under	rstanding of the material	6	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	27/164	4.73	4.63	4.15	4.57	4.73
2. Were you provided with a	dequate background information	6	0	0	1	1	1	12	4.60	41/165	4.61	4.58	4.19	4.40	4.60
3. Were necessary materials	available for lab activities	6	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	26/160	4.73	4.80	4.45	4.74	4.87
4. Did the lab instructor prov	id the lab instructor provide assistance				0	1	3	11	4.67	59/158	4.75	4.71	4.36	4.63	4.67
5. Were requirements for lab	reports clearly specified	6	14	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/150	5.00	4.49	4.05	4.59	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	А	1	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	13						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	2	С	4	General	1	Under-grad	21	Non-major	17
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section:	BIOL 251L 04			Term	ı - Fal	l 201	1						Enro	llment:	25
Title:	Anatomy & Physiol Lab I											Q	uestion	naires:	19
Instructor:	Fleischmann,Est														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General		-		-			-				_			
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	3	14	4.72	323/1520	4.80	4.48	4.31	4.36	4.72
2. Did the instructor ma	ke clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	8	9	4.44	667/1520	4.39	4.31	4.27	4.34	4.44
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	7	10	4.42	666/1291	4.40	4.28	4.33	4.44	4.42
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	1	5	6	4.42	621/1483	4.29	4.15	4.23	4.28	4.42
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	5	13	4.58	306/1417	4.54	4.16	4.08	4.14	4.58
6. Did written assignmen	nts contribute to what you learned	0	11	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	656/1405	4.22	4.03	4.12	4.13	4.25
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	0	0	0	2	5	3	9	4.00	999/1504	4.39	4.22	4.16	4.15	4.00
8. How many times was	class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	5.00	1/1519	4.95	4.86	4.70	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	8	9	4.44	430/1495	4.37	4.15	4.11	4.16	4.44
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	463/1459	4.58	4.60	4.47	4.52	4.75
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1460	4.96	4.79	4.74	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	5	10	4.56	569/1455	4.50	4.32	4.32	4.39	4.56
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	1	13	4.80	315/1456	4.71	4.37	4.34	4.46	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techn	iques enhance your understanding	3	7	0	1	0	3	5	4.33	462/1316	4.25	4.21	4.03	4.18	4.33
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	13	0	1	1	0	0	4	3.83	886/1243	3.83	3.87	4.17	4.22	3.83
2. Were all students acti	ively encouraged to participate	13	0	1	0	0	2	3	4.00	922/1241	4.00	4.25	4.33	4.38	4.00
3. Did the instructor end	ourage fair and open discussion	13	0	1	0	0	1	4	4.17	878/1236	4.17	4.33	4.40	4.45	4.17
4. Were special techniqu	ues successful	13	3	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	****/889	****	3.98	4.02	3.99	****

Course-Section: BIOL 251L 0	4			Term	- Fall	2011	L						Enro	llment:	25
Title: Anatomy & P	hysiol Lab I											Q	uestion	naires:	19
Instructor: Fleischmann	,Est														
					Fre	quenc	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	1	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory															
1. Did the lab increase understanding of	the material	5	0	0	1	0	2	11	4.64	34/164	4.73	4.63	4.15	4.57	4.64
2. Were you provided with adequate bac	kground information	5	0	0	0	0	5	9	4.64	34/165	4.61	4.58	4.19	4.40	4.64
3. Were necessary materials available for	lab activities	5	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	50/160	4.73	4.80	4.45	4.74	4.71
Did the lab instructor provide assistance		5	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	43/158	4.75	4.71	4.36	4.63	4.79
5. Were requirements for lab reports clea	irly specified	5	10	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/150	5.00	4.49	4.05	4.59	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	2	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	4	General	0	Under-grad	19	Non-major	10
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	5	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section:	BIOL 252 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark>	1						Enro	llment:	87
Title:	Anatomy & Physiology II											Q	uestion	naires:	72
Instructor:	Leupen,Sarah Ma														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	2	0	1	0	0	3	66	4.90	140/1520	4.90	4.48	4.31	4.36	4.90
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	2	0	0	1	0	1	68	4.94	69/1520	4.94	4.31	4.27	4.34	4.94
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	1	0	3	65	4.91	125/1291	4.91	4.28	4.33	4.44	4.91
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	2	15	0	1	0	2	52	4.91	106/1483	4.91	4.15	4.23	4.28	4.91
5. Did assigned readings	contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	0	4	65	4.89	84/1417	4.89	4.16	4.08	4.14	4.89
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	3	30	1	0	1	1	36	4.82	126/1405	4.82	4.03	4.12	4.13	4.82
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	3	0	0	0	0	4	65	4.94	46/1504	4.94	4.22	4.16	4.15	4.94
8. How many times was	class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	0	69	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.86	4.70	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	11	1	0	0	1	2	57	4.93	63/1495	4.93	4.15	4.11	4.16	4.93
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	5	0	1	0	1	1	64	4.90	216/1459	4.90	4.60	4.47	4.52	4.90
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	1	0	66	4.97	163/1460	4.97	4.79	4.74	4.80	4.97
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	1	0	66	4.97	49/1455	4.97	4.32	4.32	4.39	4.97
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	7	0	0	1	0	0	64	4.95	100/1456	4.95	4.37	4.34	4.46	4.95
5. Did audiovisual techni	iques enhance your understanding	7	4	0	2	0	2	57	4.87	93/1316	4.87	4.21	4.03	4.18	4.87
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	56	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	****/1243	****	3.87	4.17	4.22	****
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	56	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	****/1241	****	4.25	4.33	4.38	****
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	56	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	****/1236	****	4.33	4.40	4.45	****
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	56	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	****/889	****	3.98	4.02	3.99	****

Course-Section: BIOL 252 01			Term	- Fal	l 201	L						Enro	Iment:	87
Title: Anatomy & Physiology II							2				Q	uestion	naires:	72
Instructor: Leupen,Sarah Ma														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	71	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/164	****	4.63	4.15	4.57	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	71	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/165	****	4.58	4.19	4.40	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	71	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/160	****	4.80	4.45	4.74	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	71	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/158	****	4.71	4.36	4.63	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	71	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/150	****	4.49	4.05	4.59	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	71	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	4.00	4.17	4.60	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/15	****	4.20	4.17	4.87	****
. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	4.40	4.07	4.93	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	А	32	Required for Majors	44	Graduate	0	Major	38
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	25						
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	6	С	2	General	1	Under-grad	72	Non-major	34
84-150	19	3.00-3.49	14	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	9	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	12						

Course-Section:	BIOL 252L 03			Term	<mark>ı - Fal</mark>	<mark>l 201</mark> :	1						Enro	llment:	20
Title:	Anatomy & Physiol Lab II											Q	uestion	naires:	19
Instructor:	Leupen,Sarah Ma														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1520	4.95	4.48	4.31	4.36	5.00
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1520	4.98	4.31	4.27	4.34	5.00
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1291	4.95	4.28	4.33	4.44	5.00
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	2	2	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	74/1483	4.92	4.15	4.23	4.28	4.93
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	3	3	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1417	4.86	4.16	4.08	4.14	5.00
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1405	4.90	4.03	4.12	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1504	4.95	4.22	4.16	4.15	5.00
8. How many times was	class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.86	4.70	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.15	4.11	4.16	5.00
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	9	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.60	4.47	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.79	4.74	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1455	4.94	4.32	4.32	4.39	5.00
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1456	4.88	4.37	4.34	4.46	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techni	iques enhance your understanding	10	5	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	****/1316	4.86	4.21	4.03	4.18	****
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1243	****	3.87	4.17	4.22	****
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	16	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1241	****	4.25	4.33	4.38	****
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	16	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1236	****	4.33	4.40	4.45	****
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	16	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/889	****	3.98	4.02	3.99	****

Course-Section: BIOL 252L 03			Term	ı - Fal	l 201	1						Enro	llment:	20
Title: Anatomy & Physiol Lab II											Q	uestion	naires:	19
Instructor: Leupen,Sarah Ma														
				Fre	quen	cies		Inst	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	3	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/164	4.95	4.63	4.15	4.57	5.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	3	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/165	4.98	4.58	4.19	4.40	5.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	3	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/160	4.98	4.80	4.45	4.74	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	3	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/158	4.98	4.71	4.36	4.63	5.00
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	3	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/150	4.98	4.49	4.05	4.59	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	13	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	19	Non-major	10
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section:	BIOL 252L 04			Term	ı - Fal	l 201	1						Enro	llment:	24
Title:	Anatomy & Physiol Lab II											Q	uestion	naires:	22
Instructor:	Leupen,Sarah Ma														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	Mean Mail 4.31 4.3 4.27 4.3 4.33 4.2 4.33 4.2 4.23 4.2 4.08 4.1 4.12 4.3 4.16 4.1 4.17 4.5 4.34 4.2 4.35 4.3 4.47 4.5 4.34 4.2 4.34 4.2 4.34 4.2 4.33 4.3 4.17 4.2 4.33 4.3 4.17 4.2 4.33 4.3	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	20	4.91	140/1520	4.95	4.48	4.31	4.36	4.91
2. Did the instructor ma	ke clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	21	4.95	58/1520	4.98	4.31	4.27	4.34	4.95
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	20	4.91	139/1291	4.95	4.28	4.33	4.44	4.91
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	106/1483	4.92	4.15	4.23	4.28	4.90
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	17	4.73	179/1417	4.86	4.16	4.08	4.14	4.73
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	2	18	4.81	135/1405	4.90	4.03	4.12	4.13	4.81
7. Was the grading syste	em clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	20	4.91	77/1504	4.95	4.22	4.16	4.15	4.91
8. How many times was	class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.86	4.70	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.15	4.11	4.16	5.00
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	14	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.60	4.47	4.52	5.00
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	14	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.79	4.74	4.80	5.00
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	14	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	194/1455	4.94	4.32	4.32	4.39	4.88
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	384/1456	4.88	4.37	4.34	4.46	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techn	iques enhance your understanding	14	1	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	97/1316	4.86	4.21	4.03	4.18	4.86
	Discussion		-	-	-		-								
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	****/1243	****	3.87	4.17	4.22	****
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	18	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/1241	****	4.25	4.33	4.38	****
3. Did the instructor end	ourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/1236	****	4.33	4.40	4.45	****
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	18	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/889	****	3.98	4.02	3.99	****

Course-Section: BIOL 252L 04			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	L						Enro	llment:	24
Title: Anatomy & Physiol Lab II											Q	uestion	naires:	22
Instructor: Leupen,Sarah Ma														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	2	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	11/164	4.95	4.63	4.15	4.57	4.90
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	2	0	0	0	0	1	19	4.95	7/165	4.98	4.58	4.19	4.40	4.95
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	2	0	0	0	0	1	19	4.95	11/160	4.98	4.80	4.45	4.74	4.95
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	2	0	0	0	0	1	19	4.95	9/158	4.98	4.71	4.36	4.63	4.95
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	2	0	0	0	0	1	19	4.95	6/150	4.98	4.49	4.05	4.59	4.95

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	10	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	3	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	22	Non-major	15
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section:	BIOL 300L 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	1						Enro	llment:	238
Title:	Experimental Biology Lab											Q	uestion	naires:	209
Instructor:	Mackay,Andrew B														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	7	0	0	8	15	61	118	4.43	710/1520	4.43	4.48	4.31	4.33	4.43
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	23	0	0	2	6	47	131	4.65	374/1520	4.65	4.31	4.27	4.26	4.65
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	21	0	1	9	32	60	86	4.18	872/1291	4.18	4.28	4.33	4.32	4.18
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	25	8	0	6	22	58	90	4.32	735/1483	4.32	4.15	4.23	4.25	4.32
5. Did assigned readings	contribute to what you learned	31	19	1	5	18	45	90	4.37	502/1417	4.37	4.16	4.08	4.07	4.37
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	30	3	2	8	26	59	81	4.19	725/1405	4.19	4.03	4.12	4.13	4.19
7. Was the grading syste	em clearly explained	29	0	2	7	18	46	107	4.38	594/1504	4.38	4.22	4.16	4.15	4.38
8. How many times was	class cancelled	30	0	0	0	0	16	163	4.91	532/1519	4.91	4.86	4.70	4.69	4.91
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	23	3	2	2	18	91	70	4.23	695/1495	4.23	4.15	4.11	4.07	4.23
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	41	0	1	1	7	13	146	4.80	391/1459	4.80	4.60	4.47	4.47	4.80
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	41	0	0	2	5	21	140	4.78	864/1460	4.78	4.79	4.74	4.72	4.78
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	42	0	0	3	7	28	129	4.69	413/1455	4.69	4.32	4.32	4.31	4.69
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	42	1	0	6	6	37	117	4.60	589/1456	4.60	4.37	4.34	4.32	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techni	ques enhance your understanding	48	65	11	6	18	20	41	3.77	912/1316	3.77	4.21	4.03	4.08	3.77
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	177	0	2	1	4	11	14	4.06	****/1243	****	3.87	4.17	4.16	****
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	177	0	1	0	6	6	19	4.31	****/1241	****	4.25	4.33	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	178	0	0	2	7	3	19	4.26	****/1236	****	4.33	4.40	4.41	****
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	177	14	1	0	2	4	11	4.33	****/889	****	3.98	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section: BIOL 300L 01			Term	ı - Fal	l 201	1						Enro	llment:	238
Title: Experimental Biology Lab											Q	uestion	naires:	209
Instructor: Mackay,Andrew B														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	103	3	0	2	9	25	67	4.52	42/164	4.52	4.63	4.15	4.12	4.52
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	105	0	0	0	7	19	78	4.68	28/165	4.68	4.58	4.19	4.15	4.68
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	104	0	0	2	9	20	74	4.58	72/160	4.58	4.80	4.45	4.47	4.58
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	104	0	0	1	7	22	75	4.63	63/158	4.63	4.71	4.36	4.31	4.63
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	105	1	1	2	7	25	68	4.52	36/150	4.52	4.49	4.05	3.98	4.52
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	207	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/67	****	4.33	4.60	4.75	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	208	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.36	3.94	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	208	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.15	3.82	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	208	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/24	****	4.00	4.17	3.90	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	208	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/15	****	4.20	4.17	4.60	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	208	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/22	****	4.40	4.07	3.91	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	208	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/15	****	3.80	4.06	4.40	****

Course-Section:	BIOL 300L 01			Term	- Fall	201	L						Enro	llment:	238
Title:	Experimental Biology Lab											Q	uestion	naires:	209
Instructor:	Mackay,Andrew B														
					Free	quene	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														
5. Were there enough pr	octors for all the students	208	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/12	****	4.40	4.16	4.70	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	Α	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	73	Required for Majors	157	Graduate	0	Major	115
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	69						
56-83	12	2.00-2.99	14	С	13	General	0	Under-grad	209	Non-major	94
84-150	62	3.00-3.49	44	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	39	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means th	nere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significar	nt		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	47						

Course-Section:	BIOL 302 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	1						Enro	llment:	298
Title:	Molec & General Genetics											Q	uestion	naires:	240
Instructor:	Caruso,Steven M														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insig	hts,skills from this course	3	0	14	25	71	71	56	3.55	1390/1520	3.55	4.48	4.31	4.33	3.55
2. Did the instructor make	e clear the expected goals	3	0	27	49	75	54	32	3.06	1462/1520	3.06	4.31	4.27	4.26	3.06
3. Did the exam question	s reflect the expected goals	4	1	27	41	66	59	42	3.20	1249/1291	3.20	4.28	4.33	4.32	3.20
4. Did other evaluations r	eflect the expected goals	6	58	20	40	48	46	22	3.06	1443/1483	3.06	4.15	4.23	4.25	3.06
5. Did assigned readings	contribute to what you learned	8	0	14	14	45	67	92	3.90	932/1417	3.90	4.16	4.08	4.07	3.90
6. Did written assignment	ts contribute to what you learned	8	80	25	24	43	39	21	3.05	1327/1405	3.05	4.03	4.12	4.13	3.05
7. Was the grading system	m clearly explained	7	0	15	30	45	63	80	3.70	1248/1504	3.70	4.22	4.16	4.15	3.70
8. How many times was o	class cancelled	10	1	1	0	0	49	179	4.77	840/1519	4.77	4.86	4.70	4.69	4.77
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	36	0	10	17	88	76	13	3.32	1357/1495	3.07	4.15	4.11	4.07	3.07
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's le	ectures well prepared	9	0	8	29	45	88	61	3.71	1348/1459	3.44	4.60	4.47	4.47	3.44
2. Did the instructor seen	n interested in the subject	8	0	2	11	31	55	133	4.32	1313/1460	4.29	4.79	4.74	4.72	4.29
3. Was lecture material p	resented and explained clearly	9	0	24	44	67	64	32	3.16	1386/1455	2.96	4.32	4.32	4.31	2.96
4. Did the lectures contrib	oute to what you learned	8	0	62	28	68	45	29	2.79	1429/1456	2.68	4.37	4.34	4.32	2.68
5. Did audiovisual technic	ues enhance your understanding	9	10	19	25	60	59	58	3.51	1057/1316	3.47	4.21	4.03	4.08	3.47
	Discussion				-		-							-	
1. Did class discussions co	ontribute to what you learned	21	0	42	20	43	56	58	3.31	1122/1243	3.31	3.87	4.17	4.16	3.31
2. Were all students activ	ely encouraged to participate	21	0	9	19	26	59	106	4.07	898/1241	4.07	4.25	4.33	4.34	4.07
3. Did the instructor enco	urage fair and open discussion	23	0	8	15	36	41	117	4.12	904/1236	4.12	4.33	4.40	4.41	4.12
4. Were special technique	es successful	21	11	32	21	28	51	76	3.57	691/889	3.57	3.98	4.02	4.02	3.57

Course-Section: BIOL 302 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	1						Enro	llment:	298
Title: Molec & General Genetics											Q	uestion	naires:	240
Instructor: Caruso,Steven M														
				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	238	1	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/164	****	4.63	4.15	4.12	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	on 239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/165	****	4.58	4.19	4.15	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/160	****	4.80	4.45	4.47	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/67	****	4.33	4.60	4.75	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/66	****	4.80	4.55	4.35	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/62	****	4.60	4.54	4.55	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/68	****	****	4.59	4.63	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/66	****	3.40	4.20	4.14	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/32	****	****	4.36	3.94	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/31	****	****	4.15	3.82	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/23	****	5.00	4.48	4.77	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/27	****	4.60	4.23	4.32	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/20	****	****	4.23	4.50	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	237	0	0	1	2	0	0	2.67	****/24	****	4.00	4.17	3.90	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	237	0	2	0	0	0	1	2.33	****/15	****	4.20	4.17	4.60	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	237	1	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/22	****	4.40	4.07	3.91	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	237	0	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	****/15	****	3.80	4.06	4.40	****

Course-Section:	BIOL 302 01			Term	- Fall	201	L						Enro	llment:	298
Title:	Molec & General Genetics											Q	uestion	naires:	240
Instructor:	Caruso, Steven M														
					Free	quene	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														
5. Were there enough pr	roctors for all the students	237	1	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/12	****	4.40	4.16	4.70	****

Credits E	Earned	Cum. GP	Α	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	А	89	Required for Majors	202	Graduate	0	Major	142
28-55	37	1.00-1.99	0	В	90						
56-83	43	2.00-2.99	12	С	19	General	0	Under-grad	240	Non-major	98
84-150	22	3.00-3.49	28	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	64	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	nere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significar	nt		
				I	0	Other	4				
				?	39						

Course-Section:	BIOL 302 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	1						Enro	llment:	<mark>298</mark>
Title:	Molec & General Genetics											Q	uestion	naires:	240
Instructor:	Wagner,Cynthia														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	3	0	14	25	71	71	56	3.55	1390/1520	3.55	4.48	4.31	4.33	3.55
2. Did the instructor ma	ke clear the expected goals	3	0	27	49	75	54	32	3.06	1462/1520	3.06	4.31	4.27	4.26	3.06
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	4	1	27	41	66	59	42	3.20	1249/1291	3.20	4.28	4.33	4.32	3.20
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	6	58	20	40	48	46	22	3.06	1443/1483	3.06	4.15	4.23	4.25	3.06
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	8	0	14	14	45	67	92	3.90	932/1417	3.90	4.16	4.08	4.07	3.90
6. Did written assignme	nts contribute to what you learned	8	80	25	24	43	39	21	3.05	1327/1405	3.05	4.03	4.12	4.13	3.05
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	7	0	15	30	45	63	80	3.70	1248/1504	3.70	4.22	4.16	4.15	3.70
8. How many times was	class cancelled	10	1	1	0	0	49	179	4.77	840/1519	4.77	4.86	4.70	4.69	4.77
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	39	0	27	38	86	44	6	2.82	1455/1495	3.07	4.15	4.11	4.07	3.07
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	21	0	22	44	65	52	36	3.16	1426/1459	3.44	4.60	4.47	4.47	3.44
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	22	0	6	10	26	55	121	4.26	1334/1460	4.29	4.79	4.74	4.72	4.29
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	22	0	40	51	67	40	20	2.77	1431/1455	2.96	4.32	4.32	4.31	2.96
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	23	0	68	35	57	37	20	2.57	1443/1456	2.68	4.37	4.34	4.32	2.68
5. Did audiovisual techn	iques enhance your understanding	24	5	26	19	58	52	56	3.44	1086/1316	3.47	4.21	4.03	4.08	3.47
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	21	0	42	20	43	56	58	3.31	1122/1243	3.31	3.87	4.17	4.16	3.31
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	21	0	9	19	26	59	106	4.07	898/1241	4.07	4.25	4.33	4.34	4.07
3. Did the instructor end	ourage fair and open discussion	23	0	8	15	36	41	117	4.12	904/1236	4.12	4.33	4.40	4.41	4.12
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	21	11	32	21	28	51	76	3.57	691/889	3.57	3.98	4.02	4.02	3.57

Course-Section: BIO	L 302 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	1						Enro	llment:	298
Title: Mole	ec & General Genetics											Q	uestion	naires:	240
Instructor: Wag	ner,Cynthia														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Que	stions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Labo	ratory														
1. Did the lab increase underst	tanding of the material	238	1	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/164	****	4.63	4.15	4.12	****
2. Were you provided with add	equate background information	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/165	****	4.58	4.19	4.15	****
3. Were necessary materials a	vailable for lab activities	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/160	****	4.80	4.45	4.47	****
Sen	ninar														
1. Were assigned topics releva	int to the announced theme	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/67	****	4.33	4.60	4.75	****
2. Was the instructor available	for individual attention	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/66	****	4.80	4.55	4.35	****
3. Did research projects contri	bute to what you learned	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/62	****	4.60	4.54	4.55	****
4. Did presentations contribute	e to what you learned	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/68	****	****	4.59	4.63	****
5. Were criteria for grading ma	ade clear	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/66	****	3.40	4.20	4.14	****
Field	Work														
1. Did field experience contribution	ute to what you learned	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/32	****	****	4.36	3.94	****
2. Did you clearly understand	your evaluation criteria	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/31	****	****	4.15	3.82	****
3. Was the instructor available	e for consultation	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/23	****	5.00	4.48	4.77	****
4. To what degree could you c	liscuss your evaluations	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/27	****	4.60	4.23	4.32	****
5. Did conferences help you ca	arry out field activities	239	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/20	****	****	4.23	4.50	****
Self	Paced			-			-								c
1. Did self-paced system contr	ibute to what you learned	237	0	0	1	2	0	0	2.67	****/24	****	4.00	4.17	3.90	****
2. Did study questions make c	lear the expected goal	237	0	2	0	0	0	1	2.33	****/15	****	4.20	4.17	4.60	****
3. Were your contacts with the	e instructor helpful	237	1	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/22	****	4.40	4.07	3.91	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring l	by proctors helpful	237	0	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	****/15	****	3.80	4.06	4.40	****

Course-Section:	BIOL 302 01			Term	- Fall	201	L						Enro	llment:	298
Title:	Molec & General Genetics							,				Q	uestion	naires:	240
Instructor:	Wagner, Cynthia														
					Free	quene	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														
5. Were there enough pr	octors for all the students	237	1	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/12	****	4.40	4.16	4.70	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	Α	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	А	89	Required for Majors	202	Graduate	0	Major	142
28-55	37	1.00-1.99	0	В	90						
56-83	43	2.00-2.99	12	С	19	General	0	Under-grad	240	Non-major	98
84-150	22	3.00-3.49	28	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	64	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	nere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significar	nt		
				I	0	Other	4				
				?	39						

Course-Section:	BIOL 302L 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark>	1						Enro	llment:	128
Title:	Mol & Gen Genetics Lab											Q	uestion	naires:	3
Instructor:	Sandoz,James W														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.34	4.48	4.31	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor ma	ke clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1520	4.36	4.31	4.27	4.26	5.00
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	4.60	4.28	4.33	4.32	5.00
4. Did other evaluations	Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals				0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1483	4.35	4.15	4.23	4.25	5.00
5. Did assigned readings	Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned					0	0	1	5.00	1/1417	4.14	4.16	4.08	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignmen	Did written assignments contribute to what you learned				0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1405	4.21	4.03	4.12	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading syste	em clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1504	4.11	4.22	4.16	4.15	5.00
8. How many times was	class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1435/1519	4.56	4.86	4.70	4.69	4.00
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.34	4.15	4.11	4.07	5.00
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1459	4.56	4.60	4.47	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1460	4.67	4.79	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1455	4.20	4.32	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1456	4.25	4.37	4.34	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techn	iques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1316	4.21	4.21	4.03	4.08	5.00
	Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase u	inderstanding of the material	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/164	4.53	4.63	4.15	4.12	5.00
2. Were you provided w	ith adequate background information	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/165	4.34	4.58	4.19	4.15	5.00
3. Were necessary mate	rials available for lab activities	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/160	4.80	4.80	4.45	4.47	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor	provide assistance	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/158	4.59	4.71	4.36	4.31	5.00

Course-Section:	BIOL 302L 01			Term	- Fall	201	L						Enro	llment:	128
Title:	Mol & Gen Genetics Lab											Q	uestion	naires:	3
Instructor:	Sandoz,James W														
					Fre	quene	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Laboratory														
5. Were requirements fo	r lab reports clearly specified	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/150	4.11	4.49	4.05	3.98	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	А	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section:	BIOL 302L 02			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	1						Enro	llment:	25
Title:	Mol & Gen Genetics Lab											Q	uestion	naires:	24
Instructor:	Sandoz,James W														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	3	0	0	1	2	5	13	4.43	725/1520	4.34	4.48	4.31	4.33	4.43
2. Did the instructor ma	ke clear the expected goals	3	0	0	1	2	3	15	4.52	555/1520	4.36	4.31	4.27	4.26	4.52
3. Did the exam questio	ns reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	6	15	4.71	337/1291	4.60	4.28	4.33	4.32	4.71
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	3	1	0	0	4	5	11	4.35	691/1483	4.35	4.15	4.23	4.25	4.35
5. Did assigned readings	Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned					3	4	6	3.93	893/1417	4.14	4.16	4.08	4.07	3.93
6. Did written assignmen	Did written assignments contribute to what you learned					3	9	7	4.10	793/1405	4.21	4.03	4.12	4.13	4.10
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	5	0	0	1	4	5	9	4.16	859/1504	4.11	4.22	4.16	4.15	4.16
8. How many times was	class cancelled	5	0	0	0	0	5	14	4.74	875/1519	4.56	4.86	4.70	4.69	4.74
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	1	1	3	12	4.53	333/1495	4.34	4.15	4.11	4.07	4.53
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	6	14	4.70	552/1459	4.56	4.60	4.47	4.47	4.70
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	4	0	0	1	0	3	16	4.70	1001/1460	4.67	4.79	4.74	4.72	4.70
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	4	0	2	0	1	5	12	4.25	920/1455	4.20	4.32	4.32	4.31	4.25
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	4	0	2	0	1	3	14	4.35	844/1456	4.25	4.37	4.34	4.32	4.35
5. Did audiovisual techn	iques enhance your understanding	4	3	0	0	3	5	9	4.35	445/1316	4.21	4.21	4.03	4.08	4.35
	Discussion		-			-									c
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	14	0	2	0	2	2	4	3.60	1021/1243	3.86	3.87	4.17	4.16	3.60
2. Were all students act	class discussions contribute to what you learned re all students actively encouraged to participate				1	2	2	5	4.10	882/1241	4.06	4.25	4.33	4.34	4.10
3. Did the instructor end	ourage fair and open discussion	15	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	781/1236	4.10	4.33	4.40	4.41	4.33
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	15	4	1	0	1	1	2	3.60	****/889	4.33	3.98	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section: BIOL 302L 02			Term	ı - Fal	l 201	L						Enro	llment:	25
Title: Mol & Gen Genetics Lab											Q	uestion	naires:	24
Instructor: Sandoz, James W														
				Fre	quene	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	10	0	0	0	1	5	8	4.50	44/164	4.53	4.63	4.15	4.12	4.50
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	11	0	0	1	0	6	6	4.31	76/165	4.34	4.58	4.19	4.15	4.31
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	11	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	41/160	4.80	4.80	4.45	4.47	4.77
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	11	0	0	0	0	6	7	4.54	77/158	4.59	4.71	4.36	4.31	4.54
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	11	0	0	0	4	4	5	4.08	86/150	4.11	4.49	4.05	3.98	4.08

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	9	Required for Majors	19	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	9						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	24	Non-major	12
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section:	BIOL 302L 03			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	1						Enro	llment:	28
Title:	Mol & Gen Genetics Lab											Q	uestion	naires:	20
Instructor:	Sandoz,James W														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	4	6	9	4.15	1016/1520	4.34	4.48	4.31	4.33	4.15
2. Did the instructor ma	ke clear the expected goals	0	0	0	4	1	6	9	4.00	1086/1520	4.36	4.31	4.27	4.26	4.00
3. Did the exam questio	ns reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	5	12	4.40	696/1291	4.60	4.28	4.33	4.32	4.40
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	1	2	7	9	4.10	960/1483	4.35	4.15	4.23	4.25	4.10
5. Did assigned readings	Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned					3	2	7	3.80	1010/1417	4.14	4.16	4.08	4.07	3.80
6. Did written assignme	Did written assignments contribute to what you learned				0	3	8	8	4.26	646/1405	4.21	4.03	4.12	4.13	4.26
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	0	0	1	3	3	6	7	3.75	1214/1504	4.11	4.22	4.16	4.15	3.75
8. How many times was	class cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	7	11	4.53	1108/1519	4.56	4.86	4.70	4.69	4.53
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	7	1	0	0	4	5	3	3.92	1008/1495	4.34	4.15	4.11	4.07	3.92
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	4	2	13	4.35	1011/1459	4.56	4.60	4.47	4.47	4.35
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	0	0	0	1	0	4	15	4.65	1060/1460	4.67	4.79	4.74	4.72	4.65
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	2	5	4	8	3.80	1220/1455	4.20	4.32	4.32	4.31	3.80
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	4	4	9	3.90	1171/1456	4.25	4.37	4.34	4.32	3.90
5. Did audiovisual techn	iques enhance your understanding	0	4	0	1	5	4	6	3.94	799/1316	4.21	4.21	4.03	4.08	3.94
	Discussion						-								
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	2	4	4	4.20	660/1243	3.86	3.87	4.17	4.16	4.20
2. Were all students act	vely encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	2	4	4	4.20	807/1241	4.06	4.25	4.33	4.34	4.20
3. Did the instructor end	ourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	1	1	2	6	4.30	806/1236	4.10	4.33	4.40	4.41	4.30
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	10	1	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	292/889	4.33	3.98	4.02	4.02	4.33

Course-Section:	BIOL 302L 03			Term	ı - Fal	I 201 :	1						Enro	llment:	28
Title:	Mol & Gen Genetics Lab											Q	uestion	naires:	20
Instructor:	Sandoz,James W														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase u	inderstanding of the material	1	0	0	0	3	5	11	4.42	57/164	4.53	4.63	4.15	4.12	4.42
2. Were you provided wi	ith adequate background information	1	0	0	2	3	3	11	4.21	93/165	4.34	4.58	4.19	4.15	4.21
3. Were necessary mate	rials available for lab activities	1	0	0	0	1	3	15	4.74	46/160	4.80	4.80	4.45	4.47	4.74
4. Did the lab instructor	provide assistance	2	1	0	1	2	5	9	4.29	97/158	4.59	4.71	4.36	4.31	4.29
5. Were requirements fo	r lab reports clearly specified	1	0	2	2	6	4	5	3.42	125/150	4.11	4.49	4.05	3.98	3.42
	Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics	relevant to the announced theme	14	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	54/67	4.33	4.33	4.60	4.75	4.33
2. Was the instructor ava	ailable for individual attention	14	1	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	28/66	4.80	4.80	4.55	4.35	4.80
3. Did research projects	contribute to what you learned	14	1	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	38/62	4.60	4.60	4.54	4.55	4.60
4. Did presentations con	tribute to what you learned	14	2	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/68	****	****	4.59	4.63	****
5. Were criteria for grad	ing made clear	14	1	0	2	1	0	2	3.40	58/66	3.40	3.40	4.20	4.14	3.40
	Field Work														
1. Did field experience c	ontribute to what you learned	16	0	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.36	3.94	****
2. Did you clearly unders	stand your evaluation criteria	16	0	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	****/31	****	****	4.15	3.82	****
3. Was the instructor ava	ailable for consultation	15	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/23	5.00	5.00	4.48	4.77	5.00
4. To what degree could	l you discuss your evaluations	15	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	9/27	4.60	4.60	4.23	4.32	4.60
5. Did conferences help	you carry out field activities	15	1	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	****/20	****	****	4.23	4.50	****
	Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system	o contribute to what you learned	15	0	1	0	0	1	3	4.00	12/24	4.00	4.00	4.17	3.90	4.00
2. Did study questions m	nake clear the expected goal	15	0	0	1	0	1	3	4.20	8/15	4.20	4.20	4.17	4.60	4.20
3. Were your contacts w	ith the instructor helpful	15	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	9/22	4.40	4.40	4.07	3.91	4.40

Course-Section:	BIOL 302L 03			Term	- Fall	201 1	L						Enro	llment:	28
Title:	Mol & Gen Genetics Lab											Q	uestion	naires:	20
Instructor:	Sandoz,James W														
					Fre	quene	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions				2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tute	oring by proctors helpful	15	0	1	0	1	0	3	3.80	10/15	3.80	3.80	4.06	4.40	3.80
5. Were there enough pr	roctors for all the students	15	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	5/12	4.40	4.40	4.16	4.70	4.40

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	7	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	1	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	20	Non-major	6
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	ıt		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section:	BIOL 302L 04			Term	ı - Fal	l 201	1						Enro	llment:	25
Title:	Mol & Gen Genetics Lab											Q	uestion	naires:	22
Instructor:	Sandoz,James W														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General		-					-	-			_			
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	0	0	0	1	4	8	9	4.14	1033/1520	4.34	4.48	4.31	4.33	4.14
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	0	0	2	2	3	3	12	3.95	1137/1520	4.36	4.31	4.27	4.26	3.95
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	0	3	5	13	4.32	773/1291	4.60	4.28	4.33	4.32	4.32
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	1	3	7	10	4.09	965/1483	4.35	4.15	4.23	4.25	4.09
5. Did assigned readings	d assigned readings contribute to what you learned				0	4	6	7	4.00	803/1417	4.14	4.16	4.08	4.07	4.00
6. Did written assignmer	d written assignments contribute to what you learned				2	0	9	9	4.10	798/1405	4.21	4.03	4.12	4.13	4.10
7. Was the grading syste	em clearly explained	0	1	2	1	6	6	6	3.62	1287/1504	4.11	4.22	4.16	4.15	3.62
8. How many times was	class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	7	14	4.67	956/1519	4.56	4.86	4.70	4.69	4.67
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	4	8	6	4.11	811/1495	4.34	4.15	4.11	4.07	4.11
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	0	0	1	0	1	6	14	4.45	900/1459	4.56	4.60	4.47	4.47	4.45
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	0	0	1	0	0	5	16	4.59	1127/1460	4.67	4.79	4.74	4.72	4.59
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	0	0	1	2	3	3	13	4.14	1008/1455	4.20	4.32	4.32	4.31	4.14
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	2	6	12	4.23	972/1456	4.25	4.37	4.34	4.32	4.23
5. Did audiovisual techni	iques enhance your understanding	0	4	2	0	5	3	8	3.83	871/1316	4.21	4.21	4.03	4.08	3.83
	Discussion		-		-		-								c
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	14	0	1	1	1	2	3	3.63	1009/1243	3.86	3.87	4.17	4.16	3.63
2. Were all students acti	ively encouraged to participate	14	0	1	0	3	1	3	3.63	1103/1241	4.06	4.25	4.33	4.34	3.63
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	14	0	2	0	3	0	3	3.25	1185/1236	4.10	4.33	4.40	4.41	3.25
4. Were special techniqu	ues successful	14	5	0	0	2	1	0	3.33	****/889	4.33	3.98	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section:	BIOL 302L 04			Term	ı - Fal	I 201 :	1						Enro	llment:	25
Title:	Mol & Gen Genetics Lab											Q	uestion	naires:	22
Instructor:	Sandoz,James W														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mear
	Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase u	nderstanding of the material	4	0	0	2	1	5	10	4.28	73/164	4.53	4.63	4.15	4.12	4.28
2. Were you provided with	th adequate background information	4	0	0	2	4	2	10	4.11	104/165	4.34	4.58	4.19	4.15	4.11
3. Were necessary mater	ials available for lab activities	4	0	1	0	0	3	14	4.61	67/160	4.80	4.80	4.45	4.47	4.61
4. Did the lab instructor	provide assistance	4	0	0	1	2	4	11	4.39	91/158	4.59	4.71	4.36	4.31	4.39
5. Were requirements for	r lab reports clearly specified	5	0	1	1	2	5	8	4.06	87/150	4.11	4.49	4.05	3.98	4.06
	Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics	relevant to the announced theme	19	0	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	****/67	4.33	4.33	4.60	4.75	****
2. Was the instructor ava	ailable for individual attention	19	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/66	4.80	4.80	4.55	4.35	****
3. Did research projects	contribute to what you learned	19	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/62	4.60	4.60	4.54	4.55	****
4. Did presentations cont	tribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	****/68	****	****	4.59	4.63	****
5. Were criteria for gradi	ng made clear	19	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/66	3.40	3.40	4.20	4.14	****
	Field Work														
1. Did field experience co	ontribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	****/32	****	****	4.36	3.94	****
2. Did you clearly unders	tand your evaluation criteria	19	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/31	****	****	4.15	3.82	****
3. Was the instructor ava	ailable for consultation	19	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/23	5.00	5.00	4.48	4.77	****
4. To what degree could	you discuss your evaluations	19	1	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/27	4.60	4.60	4.23	4.32	****
5. Did conferences help	you carry out field activities	19	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/20	****	****	4.23	4.50	****
	Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system	contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/24	4.00	4.00	4.17	3.90	****
2. Did study questions m	ake clear the expected goal	19	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/15	4.20	4.20	4.17	4.60	****
3. Were your contacts wi	ith the instructor helpful	19	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/22	4.40	4.40	4.07	3.91	****

Course-Section:	BIOL 302L 04			Term	- Fall	201 1	L						Enro	lment:	25
Title:	Mol & Gen Genetics Lab											Q	uestion	naires:	22
Instructor:	Sandoz,James W														
		Frequencies				Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tute	oring by proctors helpful	19	1	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/15	3.80	3.80	4.06	4.40	****
5. Were there enough pr	roctors for all the students	19	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/12	4.40	4.40	4.16	4.70	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	8	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	22	Non-major	12
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	ıt		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section:	BIOL 302L 05			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark>	1						Enro	llment:	26
Title:	Mol & Gen Genetics Lab											Q	uestion	naires:	23
Instructor:	Sandoz,James W														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	4	0	1	0	1	5	12	4.42	725/1520	4.34	4.48	4.31	4.33	4.42
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	4	0	0	2	0	4	13	4.47	625/1520	4.36	4.31	4.27	4.26	4.47
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	2	4	13	4.58	473/1291	4.60	4.28	4.33	4.32	4.58
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	4	1	0	1	1	5	11	4.44	578/1483	4.35	4.15	4.23	4.25	4.44
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	4	2	1	1	3	1	11	4.18	684/1417	4.14	4.16	4.08	4.07	4.18
6. Did written assignmer	d written assignments contribute to what you learned				0	5	2	11	4.16	750/1405	4.21	4.03	4.12	4.13	4.16
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	4	0	1	0	3	3	12	4.32	681/1504	4.11	4.22	4.16	4.15	4.32
8. How many times was	class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	5	14	4.74	875/1519	4.56	4.86	4.70	4.69	4.74
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	8	1	0	0	1	5	8	4.50	351/1495	4.34	4.15	4.11	4.07	4.50
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	2	4	11	4.53	808/1459	4.56	4.60	4.47	4.47	4.53
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	6	0	0	1	1	1	14	4.65	1072/1460	4.67	4.79	4.74	4.72	4.65
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	6	0	2	1	3	2	9	3.88	1173/1455	4.20	4.32	4.32	4.31	3.88
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	6	0	2	3	1	0	11	3.88	1180/1456	4.25	4.37	4.34	4.32	3.88
5. Did audiovisual techni	iques enhance your understanding	8	2	1	0	2	1	9	4.31	489/1316	4.21	4.21	4.03	4.08	4.31
	Discussion		-		-	-	-								c
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	17	0	1	0	1	0	4	4.00	766/1243	3.86	3.87	4.17	4.16	4.00
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	17	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	713/1241	4.06	4.25	4.33	4.34	4.33
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	17	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	649/1236	4.10	4.33	4.40	4.41	4.50
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	17	2	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/889	4.33	3.98	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section:	BIOL 302L 05			Term	- Fall	201	L						Enro	llment:	26
Title:	Mol & Gen Genetics Lab							9				Q	uestion	naires:	23
Instructor:	Sandoz,James W														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase u	nderstanding of the material	12	1	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	37/164	4.53	4.63	4.15	4.12	4.60
2. Were you provided wi	th adequate background information	12	0	0	0	2	2	7	4.45	59/165	4.34	4.58	4.19	4.15	4.45
3. Were necessary mater	rials available for lab activities	12	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	22/160	4.80	4.80	4.45	4.47	4.91
4. Did the lab instructor	provide assistance	12	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	52/158	4.59	4.71	4.36	4.31	4.73
5. Were requirements fo	r lab reports clearly specified	12	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	56/150	4.11	4.49	4.05	3.98	4.36
	Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics	Vere assigned topics relevant to the announced theme					0	1	1	4.50	****/67	4.33	4.33	4.60	4.75	****
2. Was the instructor ava	ailable for individual attention	21	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/66	4.80	4.80	4.55	4.35	****
3. Did research projects	contribute to what you learned	21	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	4.60	4.60	4.54	4.55	****
4. Did presentations con	tribute to what you learned	21	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/68	****	****	4.59	4.63	****
5. Were criteria for gradi	ing made clear	21	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/66	3.40	3.40	4.20	4.14	****
	Field Work														
1. Did field experience co	ontribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.36	3.94	****
2. Did you clearly unders	stand your evaluation criteria	21	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/31	****	****	4.15	3.82	****
3. Was the instructor ava	ailable for consultation	21	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/23	5.00	5.00	4.48	4.77	****
4. To what degree could	you discuss your evaluations	21	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/27	4.60	4.60	4.23	4.32	****
5. Did conferences help	you carry out field activities	21	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/20	****	****	4.23	4.50	****
	Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system	contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/24	4.00	4.00	4.17	3.90	****
2. Did study questions m	nake clear the expected goal	21	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/15	4.20	4.20	4.17	4.60	****
3. Were your contacts w	ith the instructor helpful	22	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/22	4.40	4.40	4.07	3.91	****

Run Date: 1/31/2012 10:57:36 AM

Course-Section:	BIOL 302L 05			Term	- Fall	201 1	L						Enro	lment:	26
Title:	Mol & Gen Genetics Lab											Q	uestion	naires:	23
Instructor:	Sandoz,James W														
		Frequencies				Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tute	oring by proctors helpful	21	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/15	3.80	3.80	4.06	4.40	****
5. Were there enough pr	roctors for all the students	21	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/12	4.40	4.40	4.16	4.70	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	9	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	23	Non-major	12
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	7						

Course-Section:	BIOL 302L 06			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark>	1						Enro	llment:	24
Title:	Mol & Gen Genetics Lab											Q	uestion	naires:	20
Instructor:	Sandoz,James W														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	1	0	1	2	1	9	6	3.89	1223/1520	4.34	4.48	4.31	4.33	3.89
2. Did the instructor ma	ke clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	4	7	8	4.21	930/1520	4.36	4.31	4.27	4.26	4.21
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	4	13	4.58	473/1291	4.60	4.28	4.33	4.32	4.58
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	1	1	11	5	4.11	949/1483	4.35	4.15	4.23	4.25	4.11
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	1	3	1	1	3	4	7	3.94	893/1417	4.14	4.16	4.08	4.07	3.94
6. Did written assignme	nts contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	4	5	2	7	3.67	1117/1405	4.21	4.03	4.12	4.13	3.67
7. Was the grading syste	em clearly explained	2	0	1	1	4	6	6	3.83	1159/1504	4.11	4.22	4.16	4.15	3.83
8. How many times was	class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	6	12	4.67	956/1519	4.56	4.86	4.70	4.69	4.67
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	5	1	0	0	3	8	3	4.00	891/1495	4.34	4.15	4.11	4.07	4.00
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	3	6	9	4.33	1028/1459	4.56	4.60	4.47	4.47	4.33
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	1	0	1	0	1	5	12	4.42	1253/1460	4.67	4.79	4.74	4.72	4.42
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	5	4	9	4.11	1028/1455	4.20	4.32	4.32	4.31	4.11
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	5	3	9	4.11	1045/1456	4.25	4.37	4.34	4.32	4.11
5. Did audiovisual techn	iques enhance your understanding	3	2	1	0	4	6	4	3.80	889/1316	4.21	4.21	4.03	4.08	3.80
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	3	0	1	3.50	****/1243	3.86	3.87	4.17	4.16	****
2. Were all students acti	ively encouraged to participate	16	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/1241	4.06	4.25	4.33	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor end	courage fair and open discussion	16	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/1236	4.10	4.33	4.40	4.41	****
4. Were special techniqu	ues successful	16	1	1	1	0	0	1	2.67	****/889	4.33	3.98	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section:	BIOL 302L 06			Term	ı - Fal	l 201	1						Enro	llment:	24
Title:	Mol & Gen Genetics Lab											Q	uestion	naires:	20
Instructor:	Sandoz,James W														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase u	inderstanding of the material	5	1	0	0	1	7	6	4.36	65/164	4.53	4.63	4.15	4.12	4.36
2. Were you provided w	ith adequate background information	6	0	0	2	2	5	5	3.93	115/165	4.34	4.58	4.19	4.15	3.93
3. Were necessary mate	rials available for lab activities	5	0	0	0	1	1	13	4.80	34/160	4.80	4.80	4.45	4.47	4.80
4. Did the lab instructor	provide assistance	5	0	0	0	1	4	10	4.60	66/158	4.59	4.71	4.36	4.31	4.60
5. Were requirements for	re requirements for lab reports clearly specified				0	1	9	3	3.73	103/150	4.11	4.49	4.05	3.98	3.73
	Seminar														
2. Was the instructor av	ailable for individual attention	19	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/66	4.80	4.80	4.55	4.35	****
3. Did research projects	contribute to what you learned	19	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/62	4.60	4.60	4.54	4.55	****
4. Did presentations con	tribute to what you learned	19	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/68	****	****	4.59	4.63	****
5. Were criteria for grad	ing made clear	19	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/66	3.40	3.40	4.20	4.14	****
	Field Work														
1. Did field experience c	ontribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.36	3.94	****
2. Did you clearly under	stand your evaluation criteria	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.15	3.82	****
3. Was the instructor av	ailable for consultation	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	5.00	5.00	4.48	4.77	****
4. To what degree could	l you discuss your evaluations	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/27	4.60	4.60	4.23	4.32	****
5. Did conferences help	you carry out field activities	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	****	4.23	4.50	****
	Self Paced		-	-	-						-				
1. Did self-paced system	contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	4.00	4.00	4.17	3.90	****

Course-Section:	BIOL 302L 06			Term	- Fall	201	1						Enro	llment:	24
Title:	Mol & Gen Genetics Lab											Q	uestion	naires:	20
Instructor:	Sandoz,James W														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														
2. Did study questions m	nake clear the expected goal	19	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/15	4.20	4.20	4.17	4.60	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	11	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	20	Non-major	12
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: BIO	L 303 01			Term	- Fall	201 1	L						Enro	llment:	166
Title: Cell	Biology											Q	uestion	naires:	77
Instructor: Crai	g,Nessly C														
					Fre	quene	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Que	stions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Ge	neral														
1. Did you gain new insights,s	skills from this course	3	0	3	4	11	20	36	4.11	1058/1520	4.11	4.48	4.31	4.33	4.11
2. Did the instructor make clea	ar the expected goals	4	0	4	5	15	27	22	3.79	1252/1520	3.79	4.31	4.27	4.26	3.79
3. Did the exam questions refl	lect the expected goals	4	0	2	9	14	25	23	3.79	1082/1291	3.79	4.28	4.33	4.32	3.79
4. Did other evaluations reflect	t the expected goals	5	18	13	8	12	11	10	2.94	1452/1483	2.94	4.15	4.23	4.25	2.94
5. Did assigned readings contr	ribute to what you learned	5	0	1	5	15	17	34	4.08	755/1417	4.08	4.16	4.08	4.07	4.08
6. Did written assignments co	ntribute to what you learned	6	21	15	10	13	6	6	2.56	1381/1405	2.56	4.03	4.12	4.13	2.56
7. Was the grading system cle	early explained	6	0	3	10	16	21	21	3.66	1263/1504	3.66	4.22	4.16	4.15	3.66
8. How many times was class	cancelled	6	0	0	0	0	1	70	4.99	119/1519	4.99	4.86	4.70	4.69	4.99
9. How would you grade the c	overall teaching effectiveness	12	0	1	2	21	32	9	3.71	1174/1495	3.51	4.15	4.11	4.07	3.51
Leo	cture														
1. Were the instructor's lecture	es well prepared	5	0	0	0	4	13	55	4.71	552/1459	4.56	4.60	4.47	4.47	4.56
2. Did the instructor seem inte	erested in the subject	5	0	0	1	3	14	54	4.68	1024/1460	4.61	4.79	4.74	4.72	4.61
3. Was lecture material preser	nted and explained clearly	4	0	1	3	13	29	27	4.07	1046/1455	3.86	4.32	4.32	4.31	3.86
4. Did the lectures contribute	to what you learned	4	0	4	6	16	15	32	3.89	1175/1456	3.71	4.37	4.34	4.32	3.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques	enhance your understanding	6	3	5	3	11	18	31	3.99	749/1316	4.10	4.21	4.03	4.08	4.10
Disc	ussion														
1. Did class discussions contril	bute to what you learned	14	0	22	8	20	10	3	2.43	1232/1243	2.43	3.87	4.17	4.16	2.43
2. Were all students actively e	encouraged to participate	14	0	5	6	7	18	27	3.89	998/1241	3.89	4.25	4.33	4.34	3.89
3. Did the instructor encourag	e fair and open discussion	14	0	4	12	11	14	22	3.60	1114/1236	3.60	4.33	4.40	4.41	3.60

Course-Section:	BIOL 303 01			Term	- Fall	2011							Enro	llment:	166
Title:	Cell Biology											Q	uestion	naires:	77
Instructor:	Craig,Nessly C														
					Fre	quenc	ies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special technique	es successful	14	3	14	3	15	18	10	3.12	814/889	3.12	3.98	4.02	4.02	3.12

Credits E	Earned	Cum. GP	Α	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	2	А	14	Required for Majors	60	Graduate	0	Major	46
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	1	В	30						
56-83	20	2.00-2.99	6	С	19	General	0	Under-grad	77	Non-major	31
84-150	17	3.00-3.49	22	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	12	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	10						

Course-Section: E	BIOL 303 01			Term	- Fall	l 201	L						Enro	lment:	166
Title: C	Cell Biology											Q	uestion	naires:	77
Instructor: S	Starz-Gaiano, Mi														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Ç	Juestions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insigh	nts,skills from this course	3	0	3	4	11	20	36	4.11	1058/1520	4.11	4.48	4.31	4.33	4.11
2. Did the instructor make	clear the expected goals	4	0	4	5	15	27	22	3.79	1252/1520	3.79	4.31	4.27	4.26	3.79
3. Did the exam questions	reflect the expected goals	4	0	2	9	14	25	23	3.79	1082/1291	3.79	4.28	4.33	4.32	3.79
4. Did other evaluations re	eflect the expected goals	5	18	13	8	12	11	10	2.94	1452/1483	2.94	4.15	4.23	4.25	2.94
5. Did assigned readings of	Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned					15	17	34	4.08	755/1417	4.08	4.16	4.08	4.07	4.08
6. Did written assignments	s contribute to what you learned	6	21	15	10	13	6	6	2.56	1381/1405	2.56	4.03	4.12	4.13	2.56
7. Was the grading system	n clearly explained	6	0	3	10	16	21	21	3.66	1263/1504	3.66	4.22	4.16	4.15	3.66
8. How many times was cl	lass cancelled	6	0	0	0	0	1	70	4.99	119/1519	4.99	4.86	4.70	4.69	4.99
9. How would you grade t	he overall teaching effectiveness	13	0	1	12	23	22	6	3.31	1357/1495	3.51	4.15	4.11	4.07	3.51
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's le	ctures well prepared	11	0	1	2	7	15	41	4.41	967/1459	4.56	4.60	4.47	4.47	4.56
2. Did the instructor seem	interested in the subject	11	0	0	3	4	13	46	4.55	1165/1460	4.61	4.79	4.74	4.72	4.61
3. Was lecture material pr	esented and explained clearly	11	0	5	7	13	22	19	3.65	1277/1455	3.86	4.32	4.32	4.31	3.86
4. Did the lectures contrib	ute to what you learned	10	0	11	5	12	16	23	3.52	1306/1456	3.71	4.37	4.34	4.32	3.71
5. Did audiovisual technique	ues enhance your understanding	12	2	3	2	7	18	33	4.21	587/1316	4.10	4.21	4.03	4.08	4.10
D	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions co	ntribute to what you learned	14	0	22	8	20	10	3	2.43	1232/1243	2.43	3.87	4.17	4.16	2.43
2. Were all students active	ely encouraged to participate	14	0	5	6	7	18	27	3.89	998/1241	3.89	4.25	4.33	4.34	3.89
3. Did the instructor encou	urage fair and open discussion	14	0	4	12	11	14	22	3.60	1114/1236	3.60	4.33	4.40	4.41	3.60

Course-Section:	BIOL 303 01			Term	- Fal	l 201	L						Enro	llment:	166
Title:	Cell Biology											Q	uestion	naires:	77
Instructor:	Starz-Gaiano,Mi														
					Fre	quene	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	es successful	14	3	14	3	15	18	10	3.12	814/889	3.12	3.98	4.02	4.02	3.12

Credits E	Earned	Cum. GP	Α	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	2	А	14	Required for Majors	60	Graduate	0	Major	46
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	1	В	30						
56-83	20	2.00-2.99	6	С	19	General	0	Under-grad	77	Non-major	31
84-150	17	3.00-3.49	22	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	12	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	10						

Course-Section:	BIOL 304 1			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark>	1						Enro	llment:	127
Title:	Plant Biology											Q	uestion	naires:	47
Instructor:	Lu,Hua														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	0	0	1	1	9	10	26	4.26	924/1520	4.26	4.48	4.31	4.33	4.26
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	0	0	1	2	9	16	19	4.06	1047/1520	4.06	4.31	4.27	4.26	4.06
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	4	11	13	19	4.00	974/1291	4.00	4.28	4.33	4.32	4.00
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	0	28	0	0	9	7	3	3.68	1245/1483	3.68	4.15	4.23	4.25	3.68
5. Did assigned readings	contribute to what you learned	3	9	4	5	8	9	9	3.40	1225/1417	3.40	4.16	4.08	4.07	3.40
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	1	40	0	1	2	1	2	3.67	****/1405	****	4.03	4.12	4.13	****
7. Was the grading syste	em clearly explained	1	1	1	5	9	9	21	3.98	1029/1504	3.98	4.22	4.16	4.15	3.98
8. How many times was	class cancelled	1	0	1	0	0	0	45	4.91	532/1519	4.91	4.86	4.70	4.69	4.91
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	10	1	1	2	9	18	6	3.72	1159/1495	3.94	4.15	4.11	4.07	3.94
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	7	0	1	0	3	6	30	4.60	712/1459	4.61	4.60	4.47	4.47	4.61
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	8	0	0	1	1	4	33	4.77	884/1460	4.76	4.79	4.74	4.72	4.76
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	10	0	0	2	7	14	14	4.08	1037/1455	4.32	4.32	4.32	4.31	4.32
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	10	0	1	2	2	9	23	4.38	821/1456	4.43	4.37	4.34	4.32	4.43
5. Did audiovisual techni	iques enhance your understanding	10	1	1	1	5	9	20	4.28	518/1316	4.28	4.21	4.03	4.08	4.28
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	39	0	2	0	0	4	2	3.50	****/1243	****	3.87	4.17	4.16	****
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	39	0	1	0	0	2	5	4.25	****/1241	****	4.25	4.33	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	39	0	1	0	2	2	3	3.75	****/1236	****	4.33	4.40	4.41	****

Course-Section:	BIOL 304 1			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	1						Enro	llment:	127
Title:	Plant Biology											Q	uestion	naires:	47
Instructor:	Lu,Hua														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special technique	es successful	39	6	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/889	****	3.98	4.02	4.02	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	А	16	Required for Majors	34	Graduate	0	Major	29
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	13						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	6	General	1	Under-grad	47	Non-major	18
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	9						

Course-Section: BIOL 304 1			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	1						Enro	llment:	127
Title: Plant Biology											Q	uestion	naires:	47
Instructor: Behrens, Paul W														
				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	1	9	10	26	4.26	924/1520	4.26	4.48	4.31	4.33	4.26
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	2	9	16	19	4.06	1047/1520	4.06	4.31	4.27	4.26	4.06
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	4	11	13	19	4.00	974/1291	4.00	4.28	4.33	4.32	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	28	0	0	9	7	3	3.68	1245/1483	3.68	4.15	4.23	4.25	3.68
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	9	4	5	8	9	9	3.40	1225/1417	3.40	4.16	4.08	4.07	3.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	40	0	1	2	1	2	3.67	****/1405	****	4.03	4.12	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	1	5	9	9	21	3.98	1029/1504	3.98	4.22	4.16	4.15	3.98
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	1	0	0	0	45	4.91	532/1519	4.91	4.86	4.70	4.69	4.91
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	1	1	1	3	21	14	4.15	770/1495	3.94	4.15	4.11	4.07	3.94
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	0	3	7	34	4.62	680/1459	4.61	4.60	4.47	4.47	4.61
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	2	1	3	38	4.75	903/1460	4.76	4.79	4.74	4.72	4.76
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	1	4	8	30	4.56	581/1455	4.32	4.32	4.32	4.31	4.32
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	2	3	7	31	4.48	714/1456	4.43	4.37	4.34	4.32	4.43
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	5	2	1	3	10	22	4.29	508/1316	4.28	4.21	4.03	4.08	4.28
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	39	0	2	0	0	4	2	3.50	****/1243	****	3.87	4.17	4.16	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	39	0	1	0	0	2	5	4.25	****/1241	****	4.25	4.33	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	39	0	1	0	2	2	3	3.75	****/1236	****	4.33	4.40	4.41	****

Course-Section:	BIOL 304 1			Term	- Fall	<mark>l 201</mark> :	1						Enro	llment:	127
Title:	Plant Biology											Q	uestion	naires:	47
Instructor:	Behrens,Paul W														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	les successful	39	6	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/889	****	3.98	4.02	4.02	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	А	16	Required for Majors	34	Graduate	0	Major	29
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	13						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	6	General	1	Under-grad	47	Non-major	18
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	9						

Course-Section: BIOL 306L 01				Term	ı - Fal	l 201	1						Enro	llment:	8
Title: Projects in Molecular Bi												Q	uestion	naires:	8
Instructor:	Claassen,Lark A														
				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	607/1520	4.50	4.48	4.31	4.33	4.50
2. Did the instructor ma	0	0	0	0	1	5	2	4.13	1005/1520	4.13	4.31	4.27	4.26	4.13	
3. Did the exam question	0	0	0	0	2	4	2	4.00	974/1291	4.00	4.28	4.33	4.32	4.00	
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	2	4.13	938/1483	4.13	4.15	4.23	4.25	4.13
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned			0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	362/1417	4.50	4.16	4.08	4.07	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned			0	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	776/1405	4.13	4.03	4.12	4.13	4.13
7. Was the grading system clearly explained			0	0	2	2	4	0	3.25	1399/1504	3.25	4.22	4.16	4.15	3.25
8. How many times was class cancelled			0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.86	4.70	4.69	5.00
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	2	3	3	4.13	801/1495	4.13	4.15	4.11	4.07	4.13
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	321/1459	4.83	4.60	4.47	4.47	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject			0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.79	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	450/1455	4.67	4.32	4.32	4.31	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned			0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	280/1456	4.83	4.37	4.34	4.32	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding			1	0	0	2	2	1	3.80	889/1316	3.80	4.21	4.03	4.08	3.80
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned			0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1243	****	3.87	4.17	4.16	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate			0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1241	****	4.25	4.33	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion			0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1236	****	4.33	4.40	4.41	****
4. Were special techniques successful			0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/889	****	3.98	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section: BIOL 306L 01				Term	- Fall	201	L						Enro	llment:	8
Title: Projects in Molecular Bi												Q	uestion	naires:	8
Instructor:	Claassen,Lark A														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material			0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	33/164	4.67	4.63	4.15	4.12	4.67
2. Were you provided with adequate background information			0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	54/165	4.50	4.58	4.19	4.15	4.50
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities			0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	58/160	4.67	4.80	4.45	4.47	4.67
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance			0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	59/158	4.67	4.71	4.36	4.31	4.67
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified			1	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	53/150	4.40	4.49	4.05	3.98	4.40

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	2	Required for Majors	5	Graduate 0		Major	7	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	1	Under-grad	8	Non-major	1	
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	2	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				Ι	0	Other	0					
				?	1							

Course-Section: BIOL	395 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	1						Enro	llment:	9
Title: MARC	C U*STAR Writ in Scie											Q	uestion	naires:	9
Instructor: Farab	augh,Robin														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Ques	tions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Gen	eral														
1. Did you gain new insights, ski	ills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	185/1520	4.86	4.48	4.31	4.33	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear	the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	153/1520	4.86	4.31	4.27	4.26	4.86
3. Did the exam questions refle	ct the expected goals	2	4	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	974/1291	4.00	4.28	4.33	4.32	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect	the expected goals	2	0	0	1	0	0	6	4.57	427/1483	4.57	4.15	4.23	4.25	4.57
5. Did assigned readings contrib	Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned					1	4	2	4.14	709/1417	4.14	4.16	4.08	4.07	4.14
6. Did written assignments cont	ribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	197/1405	4.71	4.03	4.12	4.13	4.71
7. Was the grading system clea	rly explained	2	1	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	437/1504	4.50	4.22	4.16	4.15	4.50
8. How many times was class ca	ancelled	2	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	899/1519	4.71	4.86	4.70	4.69	4.71
9. How would you grade the ov	erall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	457/1495	4.43	4.15	4.11	4.07	4.43
Lect	ure														
1. Were the instructor's lectures	s well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.60	4.47	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem inter-	ested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.79	4.74	4.72	5.00
3. Was lecture material present	ed and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	215/1455	4.86	4.32	4.32	4.31	4.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to	what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1456	5.00	4.37	4.34	4.32	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques er	nhance your understanding	2	3	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	312/1316	4.50	4.21	4.03	4.08	4.50
Discu	ssion						-	-							0
1. Did class discussions contribution	ute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1243	****	3.87	4.17	4.16	****
2. Were all students actively en	couraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1241	****	4.25	4.33	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage	fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1236	****	4.33	4.40	4.41	****

Course-Section:	BIOL 395 01			Term	- Fal	l 201	1						Enro	llment:	9
Title:	MARC U*STAR Writ in Scie											Q	uestion	naires:	9
Instructor:	Farabaugh,Robin														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	es successful	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/889	****	3.98	4.02	4.02	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	0	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	9
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	6			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	3						

Course-Section:	BIOL 420 02			Term	ı - Fal	l 201	1						Enro	lment:	33
Title:	Adv Topics:Cell Biology											Q	uestion	naires:	19
Instructor:	Wagner, Cynthia														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	3	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	373/1520	4.69	4.48	4.31	4.44	4.69
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	2	3	11	4.56	499/1520	4.56	4.31	4.27	4.32	4.56
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	4	1	0	0	0	5	9	4.64	404/1291	4.64	4.28	4.33	4.38	4.64
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	299/1483	4.69	4.15	4.23	4.33	4.69
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	114/1417	4.81	4.16	4.08	4.12	4.81
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	5	10	4.56	323/1405	4.56	4.03	4.12	4.25	4.56
7. Was the grading syste	em clearly explained	3	0	0	0	4	3	9	4.31	681/1504	4.31	4.22	4.16	4.21	4.31
8. How many times was	class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.86	4.70	4.70	5.00
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	5	9	4.64	232/1495	4.64	4.15	4.11	4.21	4.64
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	2	6	8	4.38	993/1459	4.38	4.60	4.47	4.54	4.38
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	381/1460	4.94	4.79	4.74	4.78	4.94
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	7	8	4.44	723/1455	4.44	4.32	4.32	4.37	4.44
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	2	3	11	4.56	620/1456	4.56	4.37	4.34	4.41	4.56
5. Did audiovisual techni	iques enhance your understanding	3	4	2	1	4	3	2	3.17	1184/1316	3.17	4.21	4.03	4.12	3.17
	Discussion						-	-							
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	128/1243	4.91	3.87	4.17	4.42	4.91
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	445/1241	4.64	4.25	4.33	4.56	4.64
3. Did the instructor end	ourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.33	4.40	4.64	5.00

Course-Section:	BIOL 420 02			Term	- Fal	l 201:	L						Enro	llment:	33
Title:	Adv Topics:Cell Biology							2				Q	uestion	naires:	19
Instructor:	Wagner, Cynthia														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special technique	es successful	8	1	0	0	3	3	4	4.10	424/889	4.10	3.98	4.02	4.26	4.10

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	11	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	3	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	16	Non-major	17
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	3	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	6						

Course-Section:	BIOL 426 1			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	L						Enro	llment:	34
Title:	Appr To Molecular Biol											Q	uestion	naires:	30
Instructor:	Craig,Nessly C														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	1	0	0	2	7	8	12	4.03	1100/1520	4.03	4.48	4.31	4.44	4.03
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	1	0	4	4	10	10	1	3.00	1472/1520	3.00	4.31	4.27	4.32	3.00
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	2	0	5	3	11	4	5	3.04	1263/1291	3.04	4.28	4.33	4.38	3.04
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	1	7	5	4	7	4	2	2.73	1466/1483	2.73	4.15	4.23	4.33	2.73
5. Did assigned readings	contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	4	11	12	4.14	717/1417	4.14	4.16	4.08	4.12	4.14
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	1	11	5	3	4	4	2	2.72	1375/1405	2.72	4.03	4.12	4.25	2.72
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	1	1	11	5	8	3	1	2.21	1491/1504	2.21	4.22	4.16	4.21	2.21
8. How many times was	class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	2	27	4.93	414/1519	4.93	4.86	4.70	4.70	4.93
9. How would you grade	the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	5	3	11	7	1	2.85	1451/1495	2.85	4.15	4.11	4.21	2.85
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	2	0	2	1	9	8	8	3.68	1358/1459	3.68	4.60	4.47	4.54	3.68
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	1	0	0	1	1	5	22	4.66	1060/1460	4.66	4.79	4.74	4.78	4.66
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	2	0	4	6	11	6	1	2.79	1429/1455	2.79	4.32	4.32	4.37	2.79
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	1	0	3	5	11	3	7	3.21	1382/1456	3.21	4.37	4.34	4.41	3.21
5. Did audiovisual techni	iques enhance your understanding	2	24	2	0	1	0	1	2.50	****/1316	****	4.21	4.03	4.12	****
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	10	0	3	0	4	6	7	3.70	965/1243	3.70	3.87	4.17	4.42	3.70
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	10	0	1	1	0	4	14	4.45	615/1241	4.45	4.25	4.33	4.56	4.45
3. Did the instructor end	ourage fair and open discussion	11	0	2	2	1	5	9	3.89	1020/1236	3.89	4.33	4.40	4.64	3.89

Course-Section:	BIOL 426 1			Term	- Fall	l 201	L						Enro	llment:	34
Title:	Appr To Molecular Biol											Q	uestion	naires:	30
Instructor:	Craig,Nessly C														
					Fre	quene	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special technique	es successful	11	15	3	0	0	1	0	1.75	****/889	****	3.98	4.02	4.26	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	А	5	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	11	Major	13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	5	General	0	Under-grad	19	Non-major	17
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	11	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	10	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				Ι	0	Other	1				
				?	5						

Course-Section: BIOL 430 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	1						Enro	lment:	40
Title: Biological Chemistry											Q	uestion	naires:	17
Instructor: Bustos,Mauricio														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	1	5	10	4.35	814/1520	4.35	4.48	4.31	4.44	4.35
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	5	9	4.29	856/1520	4.29	4.31	4.27	4.32	4.29
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	5	9	4.35	739/1291	4.35	4.28	4.33	4.38	4.35
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	10	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	768/1483	4.29	4.15	4.23	4.33	4.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	4	5	7	4.00	803/1417	4.00	4.16	4.08	4.12	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	11	0	1	0	1	3	4.20	708/1405	4.20	4.03	4.12	4.25	4.20
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	2	13	4.75	190/1504	4.75	4.22	4.16	4.21	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.86	4.70	4.70	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	1	0	2	4	7	4.14	780/1495	4.14	4.15	4.11	4.21	4.14
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	1	3	11	4.50	833/1459	4.50	4.60	4.47	4.54	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	3	14	4.82	753/1460	4.82	4.79	4.74	4.78	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	2	1	4	9	4.25	920/1455	4.25	4.32	4.32	4.37	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	1	1	13	4.41	777/1456	4.41	4.37	4.34	4.41	4.41
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	3	1	3	8	4.07	692/1316	4.07	4.21	4.03	4.12	4.07
Discussion					-									-
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	1	0	3	4	4.25	624/1243	4.25	3.87	4.17	4.42	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	686/1241	4.38	4.25	4.33	4.56	4.38
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.33	4.40	4.64	5.00

Course-Section:	BIOL 430 01			Term	- Fall	l 201:	L						Enro	llment:	40
Title:	Biological Chemistry											Q	uestion	naires:	17
Instructor:	Bustos,Mauricio														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special technique	es successful	9	2	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	456/889	4.00	3.98	4.02	4.26	4.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	10	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	1	Major	13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	16	Non-major	4
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section:	BIOL 442 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	1						Enro	llment:	194
Title:	Developmental Biology											Q	uestion	naires:	90
Instructor:	Blumberg,Daphne														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	5	0	1	2	7	24	51	4.44	710/1520	4.44	4.48	4.31	4.44	4.44
2. Did the instructor ma	ke clear the expected goals	5	0	2	3	14	32	34	4.09	1028/1520	4.09	4.31	4.27	4.32	4.09
3. Did the exam questio	ns reflect the expected goals	5	0	1	10	22	28	24	3.75	1099/1291	3.75	4.28	4.33	4.38	3.75
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	6	66	1	3	3	3	8	3.78	****/1483	****	4.15	4.23	4.33	****
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	8	9	12	10	27	15	9	2.99	1354/1417	2.99	4.16	4.08	4.12	2.99
6. Did written assignme	nts contribute to what you learned	9	74	0	0	5	1	1	3.43	****/1405	****	4.03	4.12	4.25	****
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	8	0	1	2	20	25	34	4.09	932/1504	4.09	4.22	4.16	4.21	4.09
8. How many times was	class cancelled	8	0	0	0	0	1	81	4.99	119/1519	4.99	4.86	4.70	4.70	4.99
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	18	1	6	4	40	17	4	3.13	1403/1495	3.74	4.15	4.11	4.21	3.74
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	9	0	2	4	14	22	39	4.14	1174/1459	4.43	4.60	4.47	4.54	4.43
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	8	0	1	1	9	18	53	4.48	1216/1460	4.69	4.79	4.74	4.78	4.69
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	8	0	5	11	21	26	19	3.52	1313/1455	4.08	4.32	4.32	4.37	4.08
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	8	0	5	8	13	21	35	3.89	1175/1456	4.25	4.37	4.34	4.41	4.25
5. Did audiovisual techn	iques enhance your understanding	11	12	6	6	16	8	31	3.78	912/1316	4.01	4.21	4.03	4.12	4.01
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	65	0	5	3	6	3	8	3.24	1145/1243	3.24	3.87	4.17	4.42	3.24
2. Were all students act	ively encouraged to participate	65	0	2	0	8	9	6	3.68	1083/1241	3.68	4.25	4.33	4.56	3.68
3. Did the instructor end	courage fair and open discussion	66	0	0	2	7	7	8	3.88	1027/1236	3.88	4.33	4.40	4.64	3.88
4. Were special techniqu	ues successful	67	16	1	1	2	0	3	3.43	****/889	****	3.98	4.02	4.26	****

Course-Section:	BIOL 442 01			Term	ı - Fal	201	L						Enro	llment:	194
Title:	Developmental Biology											Q	uestion	naires:	90
Instructor:	Blumberg,Daphne														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions					3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics	relevant to the announced theme	89	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.33	4.60	4.59	****
2. Was the instructor ava	ailable for individual attention	89	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.80	4.55	4.60	****
3. Did research projects	contribute to what you learned	89	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	4.60	4.54	4.60	****
4. Did presentations con	tribute to what you learned	89	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	****	4.59	4.56	****
5. Were criteria for grad	ing made clear	89	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/66	****	3.40	4.20	4.19	****

Credits E	Earned	Cum. GP	Α	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	А	21	Required for Majors	62	Graduate	6	Major	72
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	34						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	7	С	19	General	2	Under-grad	84	Non-major	18
84-150	24	3.00-3.49	18	D	0						
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00	22	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	13						

Course-Section:	BIOL 442 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	1						Enro	llment:	194
Title:	Developmental Biology											Q	uestion	naires:	90
Instructor:	Brewster,Rachel														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	5	0	1	2	7	24	51	4.44	710/1520	4.44	4.48	4.31	4.44	4.44
2. Did the instructor ma	ke clear the expected goals	5	0	2	3	14	32	34	4.09	1028/1520	4.09	4.31	4.27	4.32	4.09
3. Did the exam questio	ns reflect the expected goals	5	0	1	10	22	28	24	3.75	1099/1291	3.75	4.28	4.33	4.38	3.75
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	6	66	1	3	3	3	8	3.78	****/1483	****	4.15	4.23	4.33	****
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	8	9	12	10	27	15	9	2.99	1354/1417	2.99	4.16	4.08	4.12	2.99
6. Did written assignmen	nts contribute to what you learned	9	74	0	0	5	1	1	3.43	****/1405	****	4.03	4.12	4.25	****
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	8	0	1	2	20	25	34	4.09	932/1504	4.09	4.22	4.16	4.21	4.09
8. How many times was	class cancelled	8	0	0	0	0	1	81	4.99	119/1519	4.99	4.86	4.70	4.70	4.99
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	21	2	0	0	3	37	27	4.36	544/1495	3.74	4.15	4.11	4.21	3.74
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	15	0	0	0	2	16	57	4.73	498/1459	4.43	4.60	4.47	4.54	4.43
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	15	0	0	0	0	7	68	4.91	544/1460	4.69	4.79	4.74	4.78	4.69
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	14	0	0	1	6	13	56	4.63	487/1455	4.08	4.32	4.32	4.37	4.08
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	14	0	0	1	4	18	53	4.62	566/1456	4.25	4.37	4.34	4.41	4.25
5. Did audiovisual techn	iques enhance your understanding	16	12	3	0	11	13	35	4.24	547/1316	4.01	4.21	4.03	4.12	4.01
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	65	0	5	3	6	3	8	3.24	1145/1243	3.24	3.87	4.17	4.42	3.24
2. Were all students act	ively encouraged to participate	65	0	2	0	8	9	6	3.68	1083/1241	3.68	4.25	4.33	4.56	3.68
3. Did the instructor end	ourage fair and open discussion	66	0	0	2	7	7	8	3.88	1027/1236	3.88	4.33	4.40	4.64	3.88
4. Were special techniqu	ues successful	67	16	1	1	2	0	3	3.43	****/889	****	3.98	4.02	4.26	****

Course-Section:	BIOL 442 01			Term	ı - Fal	l 201	L						Enro	llment:	194
Title:	Developmental Biology							2				Q	uestion	naires:	90
Instructor:	Brewster,Rachel														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions					3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics	relevant to the announced theme	89	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.33	4.60	4.59	****
2. Was the instructor ava	ailable for individual attention	89	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	4.80	4.55	4.60	****
3. Did research projects	contribute to what you learned	89	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	4.60	4.54	4.60	****
4. Did presentations con	tribute to what you learned	89	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	****	4.59	4.56	****
5. Were criteria for gradi	ing made clear	89	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/66	****	3.40	4.20	4.19	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	Α	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	А	21	Required for Majors	62	Graduate	6	Major	72
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	34						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	7	С	19	General	2	Under-grad	84	Non-major	18
84-150	24	3.00-3.49	18	D	0						
Grad.	6	3.50-4.00	22	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	13						

Course-Section: BIOL 445 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	1						Enro	llment:	28
Title: Signal Transduction											Q	uestion	naires:	25
Instructor: Eisenmann,David														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	1	2	20	4.71	347/1520	4.71	4.48	4.31	4.44	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	9	15	4.63	415/1520	4.63	4.31	4.27	4.32	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	2	7	14	4.42	681/1291	4.42	4.28	4.33	4.38	4.42
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	1	1	7	14	4.48	535/1483	4.48	4.15	4.23	4.33	4.48
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	6	17	4.74	171/1417	4.74	4.16	4.08	4.12	4.74
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	3	0	10	10	4.04	823/1405	4.04	4.03	4.12	4.25	4.04
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	6	9	9	4.13	893/1504	4.13	4.22	4.16	4.21	4.13
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	24	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.86	4.70	4.70	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	1	5	15	4.67	217/1495	4.24	4.15	4.11	4.21	4.24
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	22	4.92	179/1459	4.65	4.60	4.47	4.54	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	23	4.96	272/1460	4.91	4.79	4.74	4.78	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	22	4.92	146/1455	4.55	4.32	4.32	4.37	4.55
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	4	19	4.75	384/1456	4.53	4.37	4.34	4.41	4.53
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	1	0	2	6	13	4.36	436/1316	4.23	4.21	4.03	4.12	4.23
Discussion		-												
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	1	0	0	4	5	4.20	660/1243	4.20	3.87	4.17	4.42	4.20
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	273/1241	4.80	4.25	4.33	4.56	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	564/1236	4.60	4.33	4.40	4.64	4.60

Course-Section:	BIOL 445 01			Term	- Fall	201 1	L						Enro	llment:	28
Title:	Signal Transduction											Q	uestion	naires:	25
Instructor:	Eisenmann,David														
					Fre	quene	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	les successful	15	0	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	255/889	4.40	3.98	4.02	4.26	4.40

Credits E	Earned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	10	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	5	Major	19
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	3	С	4	General	1	Under-grad	20	Non-major	6
84-150	10	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	5	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: BIOL 445 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark>	1						Enro	llment:	28
Title: Signal Transduction											Q	uestion	naires:	25
Instructor: Robinson,Phylli														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	1	2	20	4.71	347/1520	4.71	4.48	4.31	4.44	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	9	15	4.63	415/1520	4.63	4.31	4.27	4.32	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	2	7	14	4.42	681/1291	4.42	4.28	4.33	4.38	4.42
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	1	1	7	14	4.48	535/1483	4.48	4.15	4.23	4.33	4.48
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	6	17	4.74	171/1417	4.74	4.16	4.08	4.12	4.74
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	3	0	10	10	4.04	823/1405	4.04	4.03	4.12	4.25	4.04
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	6	9	9	4.13	893/1504	4.13	4.22	4.16	4.21	4.13
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	24	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.86	4.70	4.70	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	7	11	3	3.81	1099/1495	4.24	4.15	4.11	4.21	4.24
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	2	10	11	4.39	975/1459	4.65	4.60	4.47	4.54	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	3	20	4.87	648/1460	4.91	4.79	4.74	4.78	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	1	5	6	11	4.17	983/1455	4.55	4.32	4.32	4.37	4.55
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	2	2	6	13	4.30	900/1456	4.53	4.37	4.34	4.41	4.53
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	1	0	5	6	10	4.09	674/1316	4.23	4.21	4.03	4.12	4.23
Discussion						-								
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	1	0	0	4	5	4.20	660/1243	4.20	3.87	4.17	4.42	4.20
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	273/1241	4.80	4.25	4.33	4.56	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	564/1236	4.60	4.33	4.40	4.64	4.60

Course-Section:	BIOL 445 01			Term	- Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	L						Enro	llment:	28
Title:	Signal Transduction											Q	uestion	naires:	25
Instructor:	Robinson,Phylli														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	es successful	15	0	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	255/889	4.40	3.98	4.02	4.26	4.40

Credits E	Earned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	10	Required for Majors	16	Graduate	5	Major	19
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	3	С	4	General	1	Under-grad	20	Non-major	6
84-150	10	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	5	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: BIOL 451 01			Term	ı - Fal	l 201	1						Enro	llment:	25
Title: Neurobiology											Q	uestion	naires:	15
Instructor: Robinson,Phylli														
				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	6	8	4.57	517/1520	4.57	4.48	4.31	4.44	4.57
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	0	4	6	3	3.71	1296/1520	3.71	4.31	4.27	4.32	3.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	2	7	4	4.15	887/1291	4.15	4.28	4.33	4.38	4.15
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	1	3	7	2	3.77	1204/1483	3.77	4.15	4.23	4.33	3.77
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	1	7	4	4.08	761/1417	4.08	4.16	4.08	4.12	4.08
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	2	2	6	3	3.77	1065/1405	3.77	4.03	4.12	4.25	3.77
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	1	3	6	3	3.85	1150/1504	3.85	4.22	4.16	4.21	3.85
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	1	0	12	4.85	713/1519	4.85	4.86	4.70	4.70	4.85
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	1	0	3	5	0	3.33	1349/1495	3.35	4.15	4.11	4.21	3.35
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	6	6	4.29	1070/1459	4.37	4.60	4.47	4.54	4.37
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	981/1460	4.74	4.79	4.74	4.78	4.74
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	6	5	2	3.57	1300/1455	3.17	4.32	4.32	4.37	3.17
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	2	0	3	4	4	3.62	1282/1456	3.38	4.37	4.34	4.41	3.38
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	3	0	1	2	4	4	4.00	729/1316	4.04	4.21	4.03	4.12	4.04
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	624/1243	4.25	3.87	4.17	4.42	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	324/1241	4.75	4.25	4.33	4.56	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	749/1236	4.38	4.33	4.40	4.64	4.38

Course-Section:	BIOL 451 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark> :	L						Enro	llment:	25
Title:	Neurobiology											Q	uestion	naires:	15
Instructor:	Robinson,Phylli														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	es successful	7	0	1	0	2	1	4	3.88	559/889	3.88	3.98	4.02	4.26	3.88

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	5	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	6
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	6						

Course-Section: BIOL 451 01			Term	- Fal	l 201	1						Enro	llment:	25
Title: Neurobiology											Q	uestion	naires:	15
Instructor: Lin,Weihong														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	6	8	4.57	517/1520	4.57	4.48	4.31	4.44	4.57
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	0	4	6	3	3.71	1296/1520	3.71	4.31	4.27	4.32	3.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	2	7	4	4.15	887/1291	4.15	4.28	4.33	4.38	4.15
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	1	3	7	2	3.77	1204/1483	3.77	4.15	4.23	4.33	3.77
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	1	7	4	4.08	761/1417	4.08	4.16	4.08	4.12	4.08
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	2	2	6	3	3.77	1065/1405	3.77	4.03	4.12	4.25	3.77
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	1	3	6	3	3.85	1150/1504	3.85	4.22	4.16	4.21	3.85
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	1	0	12	4.85	713/1519	4.85	4.86	4.70	4.70	4.85
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	1	0	2	5	0	3.38	1333/1495	3.35	4.15	4.11	4.21	3.35
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	2	3	8	4.46	886/1459	4.37	4.60	4.47	4.54	4.37
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	884/1460	4.74	4.79	4.74	4.78	4.74
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	2	4	3	3	1	2.77	1431/1455	3.17	4.32	4.32	4.37	3.17
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	3	1	4	3	3	3.14	1389/1456	3.38	4.37	4.34	4.41	3.38
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	0	1	3	2	6	4.08	680/1316	4.04	4.21	4.03	4.12	4.04
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	624/1243	4.25	3.87	4.17	4.42	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	324/1241	4.75	4.25	4.33	4.56	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	749/1236	4.38	4.33	4.40	4.64	4.38

Course-Section:	BIOL 451 01			Term	- Fall	2 01 1	L						Enro	llment:	25
Title:	Neurobiology											Q	uestion	naires:	15
Instructor:	Lin,Weihong														
					Fre	quenc	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion														
4. Were special techniqu	les successful	7	0	1	0	2	1	4	3.88	559/889	3.88	3.98	4.02	4.26	3.88

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	А	5	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	6
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	6						

Course-Section:	BIOL 480 01			Term	- Fal	l 201	1						Enro	llment:	24
Title:	Animal Behavior											Q	uestion	naires:	20
Instructor:	Lohr,Bernard														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General		-				-					_			
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	140/1520	4.90	4.48	4.31	4.44	4.90
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	16	4.75	249/1520	4.75	4.31	4.27	4.32	4.75
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	3	15	4.74	313/1291	4.74	4.28	4.33	4.38	4.74
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	5	13	4.50	493/1483	4.50	4.15	4.23	4.33	4.50
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	9	10	4.53	346/1417	4.53	4.16	4.08	4.12	4.53
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	4	13	4.50	385/1405	4.50	4.03	4.12	4.25	4.50
7. Was the grading syste	em clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	3	15	4.74	207/1504	4.74	4.22	4.16	4.21	4.74
8. How many times was	class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.86	4.70	4.70	5.00
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	114/1495	4.74	4.15	4.11	4.21	4.74
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	19	4.95	100/1459	4.82	4.60	4.47	4.54	4.82
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	5.00	1/1460	4.97	4.79	4.74	4.78	4.97
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	163/1455	4.82	4.32	4.32	4.37	4.82
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	19	4.95	100/1456	4.82	4.37	4.34	4.41	4.82
5. Did audiovisual techni	iques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	1	0	0	19	4.85	97/1316	4.81	4.21	4.03	4.12	4.81
	Discussion		-	-			-								c
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	311/1243	4.65	3.87	4.17	4.42	4.65
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	100/1241	4.94	4.25	4.33	4.56	4.94
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	128/1236	4.94	4.33	4.40	4.64	4.94
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	4	0	0	0	1	4	11	4.63	150/889	4.63	3.98	4.02	4.26	4.63

Course-Section:	BIOL 480 01			Term	ı - Fal	l 201	1						Enro	llment:	24
Title:	Animal Behavior											Q	uestion	naires:	20
Instructor:	Lohr,Bernard														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase u	Inderstanding of the material	2	0	0	0	3	4	11	4.44	54/164	4.44	4.63	4.15	4.36	4.44
2. Were you provided w	ith adequate background information	2	0	0	0	1	3	14	4.72	23/165	4.72	4.58	4.19	4.23	4.72
3. Were necessary mate	rials available for lab activities	2	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	24/160	4.89	4.80	4.45	4.25	4.89
4. Did the lab instructor	provide assistance	2	0	0	0	1	1	16	4.83	33/158	4.83	4.71	4.36	4.49	4.83
5. Were requirements for	or lab reports clearly specified	2	1	0	1	0	4	12	4.59	29/150	4.59	4.49	4.05	3.93	4.59
	Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics	relevant to the announced theme	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.33	4.60	4.59	****
2. Was the instructor av	ailable for individual attention	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	****	4.80	4.55	4.60	****
3. Did research projects	contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	4.60	4.54	4.60	****
4. Did presentations con	tribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	****	4.59	4.56	****
5. Were criteria for grad	ling made clear	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	****	3.40	4.20	4.19	****
	Field Work														
1. Did field experience c	ontribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.36	4.50	****
2. Did you clearly under	stand your evaluation criteria	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.15	4.21	****
3. Was the instructor av	ailable for consultation	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	5.00	4.48	4.33	****
4. To what degree could	l you discuss your evaluations	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/27	****	4.60	4.23	4.04	****
5. Did conferences help	you carry out field activities	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	****	4.23	4.01	****
	Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system	n contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	4.00	4.17	3.99	****
2. Did study questions n	nake clear the expected goal	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/15	****	4.20	4.17	3.43	****
3. Were your contacts w	vith the instructor helpful	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	4.40	4.07	3.67	****
		L		L	L										

Run Date: 1/31/2012 10:57:37 AM

Course-Section:	BIOL 480 01			Term	- Fall	l 201:	L						Enro	llment:	24
Title:	Animal Behavior							,				Q	uestion	naires:	20
Instructor:	Lohr,Bernard														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tute	pring by proctors helpful	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/15	****	3.80	4.06	2.94	****
5. Were there enough pr	octors for all the students	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/12	****	4.40	4.16	3.28	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	А	6	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	2	Major	18
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	10						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	18	Non-major	2
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				Ι	0	Other	2				
				?	2						

Course-Section:	BIOL 480 01			Term	ı - Fal	<mark>l 201</mark>	1						Enro	llment:	24
Title:	Animal Behavior											Q	uestion	naires:	20
Instructor:	Mendelson,Tamra														
					Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	140/1520	4.90	4.48	4.31	4.44	4.90
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	16	4.75	249/1520	4.75	4.31	4.27	4.32	4.75
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	3	15	4.74	313/1291	4.74	4.28	4.33	4.38	4.74
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	5	13	4.50	493/1483	4.50	4.15	4.23	4.33	4.50
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	9	10	4.53	346/1417	4.53	4.16	4.08	4.12	4.53
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	4	13	4.50	385/1405	4.50	4.03	4.12	4.25	4.50
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	3	15	4.74	207/1504	4.74	4.22	4.16	4.21	4.74
8. How many times was	class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.86	4.70	4.70	5.00
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	5	13	4.63	239/1495	4.74	4.15	4.11	4.21	4.74
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	1	3	12	4.69	584/1459	4.82	4.60	4.47	4.54	4.82
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	381/1460	4.97	4.79	4.74	4.78	4.97
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	361/1455	4.82	4.32	4.32	4.37	4.82
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	0	2	13	4.69	478/1456	4.82	4.37	4.34	4.41	4.82
5. Did audiovisual techni	iques enhance your understanding	4	3	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	138/1316	4.81	4.21	4.03	4.12	4.81
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions	contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	4	12	4.65	311/1243	4.65	3.87	4.17	4.42	4.65
2. Were all students acti	vely encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	100/1241	4.94	4.25	4.33	4.56	4.94
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	128/1236	4.94	4.33	4.40	4.64	4.94
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	4	0	0	0	1	4	11	4.63	150/889	4.63	3.98	4.02	4.26	4.63

Course-Section:	BIOL 480 01			Term	- Fal	I 201 :	1						Enro	llment:	24
Title:	Animal Behavior											Q	uestion	naires:	20
Instructor:	Mendelson,Tamra														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mear
	Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase u	inderstanding of the material	2	0	0	0	3	4	11	4.44	54/164	4.44	4.63	4.15	4.36	4.44
2. Were you provided wi	ith adequate background information	2	0	0	0	1	3	14	4.72	23/165	4.72	4.58	4.19	4.23	4.72
3. Were necessary mate	rials available for lab activities	2	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	24/160	4.89	4.80	4.45	4.25	4.89
4. Did the lab instructor	provide assistance	2	0	0	0	1	1	16	4.83	33/158	4.83	4.71	4.36	4.49	4.83
5. Were requirements fo	r lab reports clearly specified	2	1	0	1	0	4	12	4.59	29/150	4.59	4.49	4.05	3.93	4.59
	Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics	relevant to the announced theme	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	4.33	4.60	4.59	****
2. Was the instructor av	ailable for individual attention	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	****	4.80	4.55	4.60	****
3. Did research projects	contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	4.60	4.54	4.60	****
4. Did presentations con	tribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/68	****	****	4.59	4.56	****
5. Were criteria for grad	ing made clear	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	****	3.40	4.20	4.19	****
	Field Work														
1. Did field experience c	ontribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.36	4.50	****
2. Did you clearly under	stand your evaluation criteria	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	****	4.15	4.21	****
3. Was the instructor av	ailable for consultation	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/23	****	5.00	4.48	4.33	****
4. To what degree could	you discuss your evaluations	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/27	****	4.60	4.23	4.04	****
5. Did conferences help	you carry out field activities	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	****	4.23	4.01	****
	Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system	n contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	****	4.00	4.17	3.99	****
2. Did study questions m	nake clear the expected goal	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/15	****	4.20	4.17	3.43	****
3. Were your contacts w	ith the instructor helpful	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	4.40	4.07	3.67	****

Run Date: 1/31/2012 10:57:37 AM

Course-Section:	BIOL 480 01			Term	ı - Fal	 201 :	L						Enro	llment:	24
Title:	Animal Behavior							2				Q	uestion	naires:	20
Instructor:	Mendelson,Tamra														
		Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tut	4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful			0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/15	****	3.80	4.06	2.94	****
5. Were there enough p	Were there enough proctors for all the students				0	0	0	1	5.00	****/12	****	4.40	4.16	3.28	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	А	6	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	2	Major	18
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	10						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	18	Non-major	2
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives 4 **** - Means there are not eno				enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	2						

Course-Section:			Term	<mark>ı - Fal</mark>	<mark>l 201</mark>	1						Enro	llment:	9	
Title: Adv Molec Biol Lab												Q	uestion	naires:	9
Instructor:	Wolf,Julia B														
				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
	General														
1. Did you gain new insi	ghts,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1520	5.00	4.48	4.31	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor mal	ke clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	130/1520	4.89	4.31	4.27	4.28	4.89
3. Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	267/1291	4.78	4.28	4.33	4.38	4.78
4. Did other evaluations	reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	201/1483	4.78	4.15	4.23	4.25	4.78
5. Did assigned readings	s contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	428/1417	4.44	4.16	4.08	4.13	4.44
6. Did written assignmer	nts contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	1	6	4.44	457/1405	4.44	4.03	4.12	4.24	4.44
7. Was the grading system	em clearly explained	0	1	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	437/1504	4.50	4.22	4.16	4.21	4.50
8. How many times was	class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1519	5.00	4.86	4.70	4.77	5.00
9. How would you grade	e the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.15	4.11	4.20	5.00
	Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's	lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	616/1459	4.67	4.60	4.47	4.48	4.67
2. Did the instructor see	m interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1460	5.00	4.79	4.74	4.77	5.00
3. Was lecture material	presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	236/1455	4.83	4.32	4.32	4.31	4.83
4. Did the lectures contr	ibute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	503/1456	4.67	4.37	4.34	4.32	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techni	iques enhance your understanding	3	2	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	538/1316	4.25	4.21	4.03	3.86	4.25
	Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned			0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1243	5.00	3.87	4.17	4.23	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate			0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1241	5.00	4.25	4.33	4.39	5.00
3. Did the instructor enc	ourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.33	4.40	4.47	5.00
4. Were special techniqu	ies successful	5	3	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/889	****	3.98	4.02	4.06	****

Course-Section: BIOL 635L 01			Term	- Fal	l 201	1						Enro	llment:	9
Title: Adv Molec Biol Lab											Q	uestion	naires:	9
Instructor: Wolf,Julia B														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/164	5.00	4.63	4.15	3.66	5.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	20/165	4.78	4.58	4.19	3.75	4.78
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	24/160	4.89	4.80	4.45	3.91	4.89
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	22/158	4.89	4.71	4.36	3.59	4.89
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/150	5.00	4.49	4.05	3.71	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors				
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	1	А	7	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	5	Major	0			
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2									
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	9			
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0									
Grad.	5	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	**** - Means there are not enough responses					
				Р	0			to be significant						
				I	0	Other	0							
				?	0									