| Course-Section: | CHIN 101 01 | | | Term | ı - Fal | l 2010 |) | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 23 |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----|----|------|---------|--------|------|----|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | Elementary Chinese I | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 19 |
| Instructor: | Huang,Hui Chih | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | General | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | - |
| 1. Did you gain new insig | phts,skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 13 | 4.63 | 477/1528 | 4.40 | 4.38 | 4.31 | 4.16 | 4.63 |
| 2. Did the instructor mak | e clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 13 | 4.63 | 410/1527 | 4.43 | 4.37 | 4.28 | 4.23 | 4.63 |
| 3. Did the exam question | is reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 4.74 | 316/1333 | 4.63 | 4.55 | 4.34 | 4.26 | 4.74 |
| 4. Did other evaluations | reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 4.21 | 891/1495 | 3.96 | 4.36 | 4.25 | 4.11 | 4.21 |
| 5. Did assigned readings | contribute to what you learned | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 4.80 | 132/1439 | 4.55 | 4.38 | 4.11 | 3.97 | 4.80 |
| 6. Did written assignmen | ts contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 3.74 | 1101/1425 | 3.57 | 4.23 | 4.12 | 3.93 | 3.74 |
| 7. Was the grading syste | m clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 4.63 | 318/1508 | 4.49 | 4.16 | 4.18 | 4.11 | 4.63 |
| 8. How many times was | class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 5.00 | 1/1526 | 5.00 | 4.63 | 4.66 | 4.57 | 5.00 |
| 9. How would you grade | the overall teaching effectiveness | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 4.44 | 449/1490 | 3.90 | 4.13 | 4.11 | 4.02 | 4.44 |
| | Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's le | ectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 4.58 | 770/1428 | 4.64 | 4.50 | 4.49 | 4.43 | 4.58 |
| 2. Did the instructor seen | n interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 4.89 | 548/1436 | 4.74 | 4.82 | 4.74 | 4.70 | 4.89 |
| 3. Was lecture material p | presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 11 | 4.53 | 601/1427 | 4.41 | 4.39 | 4.32 | 4.27 | 4.53 |
| 4. Did the lectures contri | bute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 4.58 | 589/1425 | 4.38 | 4.50 | 4.34 | 4.31 | 4.58 |
| 5. Did audiovisual technic | ques enhance your understanding | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 4.63 | 229/1291 | 4.37 | 4.11 | 4.05 | 3.97 | 4.63 |
| | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions c | contribute to what you learned | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 4.60 | 365/1271 | 3.76 | 4.36 | 4.16 | 3.98 | 4.60 |
| 2. Were all students activ | vely encouraged to participate | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 4.70 | 395/1276 | 4.27 | 4.62 | 4.33 | 4.14 | 4.70 |
| 3. Did the instructor enco | ourage fair and open discussion | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 4.70 | 471/1273 | 4.26 | 4.55 | 4.38 | 4.18 | 4.70 |
| 4. Were special technique | es successful | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4.56 | 197/922 | 4.13 | 4.27 | 4.02 | 3.87 | 4.56 |

| Course-S | Section: | CHIN 101 0 ⁻ | 1 | | | | Term | - Fall 201 | 0 | | | | | | Enrol | Iment: | 23 |
|------------|----------|-------------------------|-------|----------|-------|----|--------|--------------|-------|-----|------|-----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|------|
| | Title: | Elementary | Chine | se I | | | | | | _ | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 19 |
| Ins | tructor: | Huang,Hui C | Chih | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Frequer | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | | Questions | | | NR | NA | 1 | 2 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Fr | eque | ncy Disti | ibuti | ion | | | | | | | |
| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grade | es | | Reaso | ns | | | Туре | è | | Maj | ors | |
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 8 | | Requi | ired for Maj | ors | 3 | | Graduate | 0 | | Major | | 1 |
| 28-55 | 1 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 2 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 2 | | Gene | ral | | 7 | | Under-grad | 19 | | Non-ma | ijor | 18 |
| 84-150 | 3 | 3.00-3.49 | 4 | D | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 2 | F | 0 | | Electi | ves | | 4 | | **** - Means | there are | not enc | ough resp | oonses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | | | | | to be significa | ant | | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | | Other | | | 2 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: CHIN 101 02 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark> 201</mark> (| C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 25 |
|---|----|----|------|---------|----------------------|------|----|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: Elementary Chinese I | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 17 |
| Instructor: Tang,Wenlong | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | - | | | | | _ | _ | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 4.18 | 1004/1528 | 4.40 | 4.38 | 4.31 | 4.16 | 4.18 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 4.24 | 922/1527 | 4.43 | 4.37 | 4.28 | 4.23 | 4.24 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 4.53 | 542/1333 | 4.63 | 4.55 | 4.34 | 4.26 | 4.53 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 3.71 | 1281/1495 | 3.96 | 4.36 | 4.25 | 4.11 | 3.71 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 4.31 | 605/1439 | 4.55 | 4.38 | 4.11 | 3.97 | 4.31 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3.41 | 1256/1425 | 3.57 | 4.23 | 4.12 | 3.93 | 3.41 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 4.35 | 654/1508 | 4.49 | 4.16 | 4.18 | 4.11 | 4.35 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 5.00 | 1/1526 | 5.00 | 4.63 | 4.66 | 4.57 | 5.00 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 3.36 | 1330/1490 | 3.90 | 4.13 | 4.11 | 4.02 | 3.36 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 4.71 | 572/1428 | 4.64 | 4.50 | 4.49 | 4.43 | 4.71 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 4.59 | 1127/1436 | 4.74 | 4.82 | 4.74 | 4.70 | 4.59 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 4.29 | 882/1427 | 4.41 | 4.39 | 4.32 | 4.27 | 4.29 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 4.18 | 989/1425 | 4.38 | 4.50 | 4.34 | 4.31 | 4.18 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 4.12 | 664/1291 | 4.37 | 4.11 | 4.05 | 3.97 | 4.12 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 5 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2.92 | 1217/1271 | 3.76 | 4.36 | 4.16 | 3.98 | 2.92 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 3.83 | 1026/1276 | 4.27 | 4.62 | 4.33 | 4.14 | 3.83 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3.82 | 1054/1273 | 4.26 | 4.55 | 4.38 | 4.18 | 3.82 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3.71 | 633/922 | 4.13 | 4.27 | 4.02 | 3.87 | 3.71 |

| Course-S | Section: | CHIN 101 02 | 2 | | | | Term | - Fall 201 | 0 | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 25 |
|------------|----------|-------------|-------|----------|-------|----|---------|-------------|--------|----|------|-----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|------|
| | Title: | Elementary | Chine | ese I | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 17 |
| Ins | tructor: | Tang,Wenlo | ng | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | - | | | Frequer | ncies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | | Questions | | | NR | NA | 1 | 2 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Fr | equei | ncy Dist | ributi | on | | | | | | | |
| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grade | es | | Reaso | ns | | | Туре | ! | | Мај | ors | |
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 11 | | Requi | red for Maj | ors | 6 | | Graduate | 0 | | Major | | 0 |
| 28-55 | 3 | 1.00-1.99 | 1 | В | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 1 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 2 | | Gene | ral | | 5 | | Under-grad | 17 | | Non-ma | ajor | 17 |
| 84-150 | 1 | 3.00-3.49 | 3 | D | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 4 | F | 0 | | Electiv | ves | | 5 | | **** - Means | there are | not end | ough resp | oonses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | | | | | to be significa | ant | | | | |
| | | | | Ι | 0 | | Other | | | 0 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: | CHIN 201 01 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark> 201</mark> | C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 27 |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----|----|------|---------|--------------------|------|----|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|---------|
| Title: | Intermediate Chinese I | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 17 |
| Instructor: | Huang,Hui Chih | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | General | | _ | _ | | _ | _ | _ | | | | - | _ | _ | |
| 1. Did you gain new insig | ghts,skills from this course | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 3.47 | 1418/1528 | 3.34 | 4.38 | 4.31 | 4.34 | 3.47 |
| 2. Did the instructor mak | ke clear the expected goals | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 4.20 | 952/1527 | 3.71 | 4.37 | 4.28 | 4.32 | 4.20 |
| 3. Did the exam question | ns reflect the expected goals | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 4.13 | 925/1333 | 3.82 | 4.55 | 4.34 | 4.40 | 4.13 |
| 4. Did other evaluations | reflect the expected goals | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3.23 | 1431/1495 | 3.04 | 4.36 | 4.25 | 4.28 | 3.23 |
| 5. Did assigned readings | contribute to what you learned | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3.91 | 963/1439 | 3.70 | 4.38 | 4.11 | 4.12 | 3.91 |
| 6. Did written assignmer | nts contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2.80 | 1384/1425 | 2.69 | 4.23 | 4.12 | 4.11 | 2.80 |
| 7. Was the grading syste | em clearly explained | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3.47 | 1333/1508 | 3.38 | 4.16 | 4.18 | 4.19 | 3.47 |
| 8. How many times was | class cancelled | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 4.87 | 636/1526 | 4.54 | 4.63 | 4.66 | 4.64 | 4.87 |
| 9. How would you grade | the overall teaching effectiveness | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 3.08 | 1399/1490 | 2.95 | 4.13 | 4.11 | 4.11 | 3.08 |
| | Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's | lectures well prepared | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3.80 | 1298/1428 | 3.58 | 4.50 | 4.49 | 4.48 | 3.80 |
| 2. Did the instructor see | m interested in the subject | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 4.07 | 1375/1436 | 4.10 | 4.82 | 4.74 | 4.76 | 4.07 |
| 3. Was lecture material p | presented and explained clearly | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 3.80 | 1207/1427 | 3.40 | 4.39 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 3.80 |
| 4. Did the lectures contri | ibute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3.87 | 1177/1425 | 3.36 | 4.50 | 4.34 | 4.37 | 3.87 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techni | ques enhance your understanding | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4.08 | 684/1291 | 3.70 | 4.11 | 4.05 | 4.14 | 4.08 |
| | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions of | contribute to what you learned | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/1271 | 3.43 | 4.36 | 4.16 | 4.21 | **** |
| 2. Were all students activ | vely encouraged to participate | 13 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2.75 | ****/1276 | 3.43 | 4.62 | 4.33 | 4.37 | **** |
| 3. Did the instructor enc | ourage fair and open discussion | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2.25 | ****/1273 | 3.57 | 4.55 | 4.38 | 4.43 | **** |
| 4. Were special techniqu | les successful | 13 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/922 | 3.40 | 4.27 | 4.02 | 4.11 | * * * * |

| Course-S | Section: | CHIN 201 0 ⁻ | 1 | | | | Term | - Fall 201 | 0 | | | | | | Enrol | llment: | 27 |
|------------|----------|-------------------------|-------|----------|-------|----|---------|-------------|-------|----|------|-----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|------|
| | Title: | Intermediat | e Chi | nese I | | | | | | - | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 17 |
| Ins | tructor: | Huang,Hui C | Chih | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Frequer | icies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | | Questions | | | NR | NA | 1 | 2 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Fr | equei | ncy Disti | ibuti | on | | | | | | | |
| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grade | es | | Reasc | ns | | | Туре | 2 | | Мај | ors | |
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 8 | | Requi | red for Maj | ors | 3 | | Graduate | 0 | | Major | | 0 |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 1 | 2.00-2.99 | 1 | С | 1 | | Gene | ral | | 9 | | Under-grad | 17 | | Non-ma | ajor | 17 |
| 84-150 | 5 | 3.00-3.49 | 3 | D | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 3 | F | 0 | | Electiv | ves | | 1 | | **** - Means | there are | not enc | ough resp | oonses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | | | | | to be significa | ant | | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | | Other | | | 2 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: CHIN 201 02 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark> 201</mark> | C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 16 |
|---|----|----|------|---------|--------------------|------|---|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: Intermediate Chinese I | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 14 |
| Instructor: Tang,Wenlong | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3.21 | 1463/1528 | 3.34 | 4.38 | 4.31 | 4.34 | 3.21 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3.21 | 1462/1527 | 3.71 | 4.37 | 4.28 | 4.32 | 3.21 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 3.50 | 1243/1333 | 3.82 | 4.55 | 4.34 | 4.40 | 3.50 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2.86 | 1474/1495 | 3.04 | 4.36 | 4.25 | 4.28 | 2.86 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 3.50 | 1216/1439 | 3.70 | 4.38 | 4.11 | 4.12 | 3.50 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2.57 | 1395/1425 | 2.69 | 4.23 | 4.12 | 4.11 | 2.57 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3.29 | 1385/1508 | 3.38 | 4.16 | 4.18 | 4.19 | 3.29 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 4.21 | 1322/1526 | 4.54 | 4.63 | 4.66 | 4.64 | 4.21 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2.82 | 1443/1490 | 2.95 | 4.13 | 4.11 | 4.11 | 2.82 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 3.36 | 1380/1428 | 3.58 | 4.50 | 4.49 | 4.48 | 3.36 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 4.14 | 1358/1436 | 4.10 | 4.82 | 4.74 | 4.76 | 4.14 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3.00 | 1379/1427 | 3.40 | 4.39 | 4.32 | 4.33 | 3.00 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2.86 | 1387/1425 | 3.36 | 4.50 | 4.34 | 4.37 | 2.86 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3.31 | 1126/1291 | 3.70 | 4.11 | 4.05 | 4.14 | 3.31 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3.43 | 1108/1271 | 3.43 | 4.36 | 4.16 | 4.21 | 3.43 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3.43 | 1177/1276 | 3.43 | 4.62 | 4.33 | 4.37 | 3.43 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3.57 | 1152/1273 | 3.57 | 4.55 | 4.38 | 4.43 | 3.57 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3.40 | 779/922 | 3.40 | 4.27 | 4.02 | 4.11 | 3.40 |

| Course-Section: CHIN 201 02 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark> 201</mark> (| C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 16 |
|---|----|----|------|---------|----------------------|------|---|------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: Intermediate Chinese I | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 14 |
| Instructor: Tang,Wenlong | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.50 | ****/198 | * * * * | 4.40 | 4.16 | 4.41 | **** |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/208 | * * * * | 4.80 | 4.27 | 4.30 | **** |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2.50 | ****/194 | **** | 5.00 | 4.56 | 4.57 | **** |
| 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/194 | **** | 4.60 | 4.37 | 4.43 | **** |
| 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/176 | * * * * | **** | 4.23 | 4.18 | **** |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/76 | * * * * | 4.63 | 4.51 | 4.17 | **** |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/74 | * * * * | 4.86 | 4.31 | 3.91 | **** |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/66 | **** | 4.70 | 4.27 | 3.85 | **** |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/76 | **** | 4.71 | 4.27 | 4.15 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/73 | **** | 3.74 | 3.94 | 3.95 | **** |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.50 | ****/42 | **** | * * * * | 4.00 | 3.68 | **** |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.50 | ****/41 | **** | **** | 4.06 | 3.81 | **** |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/30 | **** | **** | 4.74 | 4.50 | **** |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/32 | * * * * | **** | 4.20 | 4.32 | **** |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/29 | **** | **** | 4.34 | 4.11 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.50 | ****/43 | * * * * | **** | 4.43 | 3.95 | **** |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/31 | **** | **** | 4.53 | 4.00 | **** |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | 12 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.50 | ****/36 | * * * * | **** | 4.43 | 3.75 | **** |

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:45:38 AM

| Course-Section: CHIN 201 02 | | | Term | - Fal | l 2010 | C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 16 |
|--|----|----|------|-------|--------|------|---|------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: Intermediate Chinese I | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 14 |
| Instructor: Tang,Wenlong | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/21 | **** | * * * * | 4.54 | 3.63 | **** |
| 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | ****/20 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.45 | 3.77 | **** |

Frequency Distribution

| Credits Ea | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | |
|------------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|------------------|-------------|------------------|----|
| 00-27 | 1 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | А | 8 | Required for Majors | 5 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 1 |
| 28-55 | 1 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 4 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 2 | 2.00-2.99 | 2 | С | 0 | General | 6 | Under-grad | 14 | Non-major | 13 |
| 84-150 | 1 | 3.00-3.49 | 1 | D | 0 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 2 | F | 0 | Electives | 0 | **** - Means the | ere are not | enough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significan | t | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 2 | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: CHIN 301 01 | | | Term | ı - Fal | l 2010 |) | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 26 |
|---|----|----|------|---------|--------|------|----|------|-----------|--------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: Advanced Chinese I | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 15 |
| Instructor: Brown,William I | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 4.71 | 362/1528 | 4.71 | 4.38 | 4.31 | 4.34 | 4.71 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 4.79 | 227/1527 | 4.79 | 4.37 | 4.28 | 4.27 | 4.79 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 4.79 | 259/1333 | 4.79 | 4.55 | 4.34 | 4.34 | 4.79 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 4.86 | 139/1495 | 4.86 | 4.36 | 4.25 | 4.28 | 4.86 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 4.86 | 115/1439 | 4.86 | 4.38 | 4.11 | 4.13 | 4.86 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 4.64 | 266/1425 | 4.64 | 4.23 | 4.12 | 4.17 | 4.64 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 4.71 | 229/1508 | 4.71 | 4.16 | 4.18 | 4.17 | 4.71 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 4.79 | 769/1526 | 4.79 | 4.63 | 4.66 | 4.68 | 4.79 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 3.50 | 1269/1490 | 3.50 | 4.13 | 4.11 | 4.11 | 3.50 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 4.93 | 177/1428 | 4.93 | 4.50 | 4.49 | 4.48 | 4.93 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 4.93 | 413/1436 | 4.93 | 4.82 | 4.74 | 4.74 | 4.93 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 4.79 | 256/1427 | 4.79 | 4.39 | 4.32 | 4.31 | 4.79 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 4.64 | 502/1425 | 4.64 | 4.50 | 4.34 | 4.34 | 4.64 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 4.64 | 221/1291 | 4.64 | 4.11 | 4.05 | 4.09 | 4.64 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/1271 | 5.00 | 4.36 | 4.16 | 4.19 | 5.00 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/1276 | 5.00 | 4.62 | 4.33 | 4.37 | 5.00 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/1273 | 5.00 | 4.55 | 4.38 | 4.40 | 5.00 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5.00 | 1/922 | 5.00 | 4.27 | 4.02 | 4.02 | 5.00 |

| Course-Section: CHIN 301 01 | | | Term | ı - Fal | <mark> 201</mark> | C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 26 |
|---|----|----|------|---------|--------------------|------|---|------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Title: Advanced Chinese I | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 15 |
| Instructor: Brown,William I | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/198 | * * * * | 4.40 | 4.16 | 4.26 | **** |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/208 | * * * * | 4.80 | 4.27 | 4.31 | **** |
| 3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/194 | * * * * | 5.00 | 4.56 | 4.59 | **** |
| 4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/194 | * * * * | 4.60 | 4.37 | 4.37 | **** |
| 5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/176 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.23 | 4.33 | * * * * |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/76 | * * * * | 4.63 | 4.51 | 4.02 | * * * * |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/74 | * * * * | 4.86 | 4.31 | 3.86 | **** |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/66 | **** | 4.70 | 4.27 | 4.00 | **** |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/76 | * * * * | 4.71 | 4.27 | 3.68 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/73 | * * * * | 3.74 | 3.94 | 4.27 | **** |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/42 | * * * * | **** | 4.00 | 3.20 | **** |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/41 | * * * * | **** | 4.06 | 3.86 | **** |
| 3. Was the instructor available for consultation | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/30 | * * * * | **** | 4.74 | 4.80 | **** |
| 4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/32 | * * * * | **** | 4.20 | 3.38 | **** |
| 5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/29 | **** | * * * * | 4.34 | 4.79 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | - | | | - | | | | | - | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/43 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.43 | 3.75 | **** |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/31 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.53 | 4.75 | **** |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/36 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.43 | 5.00 | **** |

Run Date: 2/9/2011 11:45:38 AM

| Course-Section: CHIN 301 01 | ر ا | | | - Fall | 2010 | C | | | | | | Enro | Iment: | 26 |
|--|------------|----|---|--------|------|------|---|------|----------|--------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: Advanced Chinese I | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 15 |
| Instructor: Brown,William I | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| Questions | | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/21 | **** | **** | 4.54 | 5.00 | **** |
| 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/20 | **** | * * * * | 4.45 | 5.00 | **** |

Frequency Distribution

| Credits Ea | arned | ed Cum. GPA Expected Grades | | Reasons | | Туре | Majors | | | | | | |
|------------|-------|-----------------------------|---|---------|----|---------------------|--------|------------------|---|-----------|----|--|--|
| 00-27 | 2 | 0.00-0.99 | 1 | А | 13 | Required for Majors | 4 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 | | |
| 28-55 | 1 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 1 | 2.00-2.99 | 1 | С | 0 | General | 6 | Under-grad | 15 | Non-major | 15 | | |
| 84-150 | 4 | 3.00-3.49 | 3 | D | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 1 | F | 0 | Electives | 1 | **** - Means the | **** - Means there are not enough responses | | | | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significan | t | | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 3 | | | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 2 | | | | | | | | |

| Course-Section: | CHIN 309 01 | | | Term | ı - Fal | Fall 2010 | | | | | | | Enro | Ilment: | 14 |
|---------------------------------------|---|----|----|------|---------|-----------|------|---|------|-----------|---------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Title: | Business Chinese | | | | | | | | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 10 |
| Instructor: | Brown,William I | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insi | ghts,skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 4.70 | 376/1528 | 4.70 | 4.38 | 4.31 | 4.34 | 4.70 |
| 2. Did the instructor mal | ke clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 4.60 | 453/1527 | 4.60 | 4.37 | 4.28 | 4.27 | 4.60 |
| 3. Did the exam question | ns reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 4.80 | 237/1333 | 4.80 | 4.55 | 4.34 | 4.34 | 4.80 |
| 4. Did other evaluations | reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 4.80 | 177/1495 | 4.80 | 4.36 | 4.25 | 4.28 | 4.80 |
| 5. Did assigned readings | 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 4.80 | 132/1439 | 4.80 | 4.38 | 4.11 | 4.13 | 4.80 |
| 6. Did written assignmer | 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 4.70 | 215/1425 | 4.70 | 4.23 | 4.12 | 4.17 | 4.70 |
| 7. Was the grading system | em clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 4.80 | 144/1508 | 4.80 | 4.16 | 4.18 | 4.17 | 4.80 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 4.60 | 978/1526 | 4.60 | 4.63 | 4.66 | 4.68 | 4.60 |
| 9. How would you grade | 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5.00 | 1/1490 | 5.00 | 4.13 | 4.11 | 4.11 | 5.00 |
| | Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's | lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4.90 | 221/1428 | 4.90 | 4.50 | 4.49 | 4.48 | 4.90 |
| 2. Did the instructor see | m interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4.90 | 516/1436 | 4.90 | 4.82 | 4.74 | 4.74 | 4.90 |
| 3. Was lecture material | presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4.90 | 138/1427 | 4.90 | 4.39 | 4.32 | 4.31 | 4.90 |
| 4. Did the lectures contr | ibute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4.90 | 163/1425 | 4.90 | 4.50 | 4.34 | 4.34 | 4.90 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techni | ques enhance your understanding | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 4.78 | 130/1291 | 4.78 | 4.11 | 4.05 | 4.09 | 4.78 |
| | Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions | contribute to what you learned | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/1271 | * * * * | 4.36 | 4.16 | 4.19 | **** |
| 2. Were all students acti | 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/1276 | * * * * | 4.62 | 4.33 | 4.37 | **** |
| 3. Did the instructor enc | ourage fair and open discussion | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/1273 | * * * * | 4.55 | 4.38 | 4.40 | **** |
| 4. Were special techniqu | les successful | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/922 | * * * * | 4.27 | 4.02 | 4.02 | **** |

| Course-Section: | CHIN 309 01 | | | Term | ı - Fal | l 2010 | C | | | | | | Enro | llment: | 14 |
|---|--------------------------------|----|----|------|---------|--------|------|---|------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Title: | Business Chinese | | | | | | | 2 | | | | Q | uestion | naires: | 10 |
| Instructor: | Brown,William I | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | | | Fre | quen | cies | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
| | Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| | Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did the lab increase u | nderstanding of the material | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/198 | **** | 4.40 | 4.16 | 4.26 | **** |
| | Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system | contribute to what you learned | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/43 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.43 | 3.75 | **** |
| 2. Did study questions m | nake clear the expected goal | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/31 | **** | **** | 4.53 | 4.75 | **** |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/36 | **** | * * * * | 4.43 | 5.00 | **** |
| 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful | | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/21 | **** | **** | 4.54 | 5.00 | **** |
| 5. Were there enough pr | roctors for all the students | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/20 | * * * * | * * * * | 4.45 | 5.00 | * * * * |

Frequency Distribution

4

2

2

2

| Credits E | arned | Cum. GPA | 4 | Expected Grades | | | | |
|-----------|-------|-----------|---|-----------------|---|--|--|--|
| 00-27 | 4 | 0.00-0.99 | 4 | А | 9 | | | |
| 28-55 | 1 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 1 | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 0 | С | 0 | | | |
| 84-150 | 2 | 3.00-3.49 | 1 | D | 0 | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 1 | F | 0 | | | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | |

Ι

?

0

0

| es | Reasons |
|----|---------------------|
|) | Required for Majors |
| | |
|) | General |
|) | |
|) | Electives |
|) | |
|) | Other |
| | |

| Туре | | Majors | |
|------------------------------------|----|------------------|----|
| Graduate | 0 | Major | 0 |
| Under-grad | 10 | Non-major | 10 |
| **** - Means the to be significant | | enough responses | |