Course-Section: ENCE 621 01

Title: Groundwater Hydrology

Instructor: Welty, Claire

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	2	1	2	2	3.57	1337/1449	3.57	4.04	4.33	4.41	3.57
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	1	2	3.57	1306/1446	3.57	3.79	4.29	4.30	3.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	3	2	3.86	1035/1256	3.86	4.18	4.34	4.30	3.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	1	1	2	0	3.25	1331/1402	3.25	3.75	4.27	4.26	3.25
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	1	4	0	3.29	1249/1358	3.29	3.64	4.13	4.18	3.29
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	1	2	1	1	3.40	1170/1327	3.40	3.95	4.16	4.29	3.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	3	3	4.14	878/1435	4.14	3.82	4.20	4.23	4.14
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.50	4.67	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	1	0	0	2	0	3.00	1364/1437	3.00	3.63	4.12	4.17	3.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	1030/1386	4.29	4.52	4.48	4.47	4.29
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	940/1390	4.71	4.73	4.74	4.77	4.71
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	2	1	4	0	3.29	1311/1379	3.29	3.89	4.34	4.34	3.29
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	5	1	1	3.43	1284/1379	3.43	3.96	4.36	4.35	3.43
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	2	2	2	0	3.00	1144/1236	3.00	4.00	4.08	3.94	3.00
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	1	0	0	0	1.50	1121/1121	1.50	1.50	4.18	4.29	1.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	2.00	1121/1122	2.00	2.00	4.36	4.44	2.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	1111/1121	2.50	2.50	4.40	4.52	2.50

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 7/14/2011 11:16:25 AM

Course-S	Section:	ENCE 621 0°	1				Term	ı - Sprin	g 20	011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	8	
Title: Groundwater Hydrology											,				Q	uestion	naires:	7	
Ins	tructor:	Welty,Claire	:																
								Frequ	ienc	ies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
Discussion																			
Credits E	Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected				Grades Reasons								Туре	1	Majors				
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1		Required for Majors				4		Graduate 2		. Majo			2	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5														
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1		Gene	ral			1		Under-grad	5		Non-ma	ajor	5	
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	4	D	0														
Grad.	2	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electives						**** - Means	eans there are not enough responses					
				Р	0								to be significa	ant					
				1	0		Other	•			0								
				?	0														

Course-Section: ENCE 648 01

Title: Enviro Risk Assessment

Instructor: Ghosh,Upal

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	594/1449	4.50	4.04	4.33	4.41	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	1061/1446	4.00	3.79	4.29	4.30	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	519/1256	4.50	4.18	4.34	4.30	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	810/1402	4.25	3.75	4.27	4.26	4.25
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	827/1358	4.00	3.64	4.13	4.18	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	404/1327	4.50	3.95	4.16	4.29	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	1262/1435	3.50	3.82	4.20	4.23	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	4.00	1354/1446	4.00	4.50	4.67	4.81	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	638/1437	4.25	3.63	4.12	4.17	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	462/1386	4.75	4.52	4.48	4.47	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	872/1390	4.75	4.73	4.74	4.77	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	635/1379	4.50	3.89	4.34	4.34	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	688/1379	4.50	3.96	4.36	4.35	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.00	4.08	3.94	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1121	***	1.50	4.18	4.29	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1122	***	2.00	4.36	4.44	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion			0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1121	***	2.50	4.40	4.52	***

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 7/14/2011 11:16:25 AM

Course-	Section	ENCE 648 0°	1				Term	ı - Spring	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	16
Title: Enviro Risk Assessment										_				Q	uestion	naires:	8
Ins	structor	Ghosh,Upal															
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions				NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion																	
Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected					Grades Reasons							Турє	;	Majors			
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3		Requ	ired for Ma	ijors	C)	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		1		Under-grad 8			Non-major		8
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		2	2	**** - Means there are			e not enough responses		
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			()						
				?	4												