

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 101 01	Term - Fall 2012	Enrollment:	30
Title:	Elementary German I		Questionnaires:	22
Instructor:	Sutton,Susanne			

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	3	17	4.68	407/1589	4.68	4.47	4.32	4.20	4.68
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	6	15	4.64	433/1589	4.70	4.43	4.29	4.28	4.64
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	8	13	4.55	552/1391	4.64	4.54	4.34	4.29	4.55
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	7	14	4.59	415/1552	4.55	4.42	4.25	4.16	4.59
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	1	8	11	4.50	416/1495	4.49	4.40	4.14	4.07	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	8	11	4.32	617/1457	4.37	4.34	4.15	3.99	4.32
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	3	7	12	4.41	647/1572	4.42	4.30	4.21	4.18	4.41
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	19	3	4.14	1445/1589	4.31	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.14
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	7	13	4.65	249/1569	4.56	4.22	4.13	4.08	4.65
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	4	18	4.82	381/1530	4.69	4.55	4.49	4.45	4.82
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	21	4.95	293/1533	4.88	4.81	4.75	4.69	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	7	14	4.59	582/1528	4.51	4.44	4.35	4.31	4.59
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	5	17	4.77	366/1529	4.67	4.55	4.36	4.31	4.77
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	10	11	4.45	392/1393	4.39	4.21	4.06	3.99	4.45
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	1	4	5	9	4.00	823/1337	4.00	4.30	4.17	4.01	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	2	2	4	12	4.30	788/1331	4.48	4.58	4.35	4.18	4.30
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	1	4	5	10	4.20	914/1333	4.27	4.51	4.40	4.22	4.20
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	1	1	2	7	8	4.05	530/1014	3.81	4.21	4.05	3.91	4.05

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 101 01
Title:	Elementary German I
Instructor:	Sutton,Susanne

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment:	30
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	21	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.25	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	****	3.40	4.17	4.36	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.57	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/62	****	4.20	4.46	4.33	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	4.00	4.29	4.12	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/61	****	4.25	4.47	4.61	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	4.00	4.19	3.98	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	21	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/40	****	3.20	3.85	3.17	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	21	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/40	****	2.80	3.89	3.11	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.30	3.86	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.81	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.57	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/39	****	3.20	4.00	3.52	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	3.20	4.12	3.23	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/33	****	3.20	4.42	4.30	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/19	****	****	4.44	5.00	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 101 01	Term - Fall 2012	Enrollment:	30
Title:	Elementary German I		Questionnaires:	22
Instructor:	Sutton,Susanne			

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/16	****	****	4.25	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	9	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	2	B	6						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	C	3	General	13	Under-grad	22	Non-major	22
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 101 02
Title:	Elementary German I
Instructor:	Sutton,Susanne

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment:	30
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	5	16	4.68	407/1589	4.68	4.47	4.32	4.20	4.68
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	18	4.77	266/1589	4.70	4.43	4.29	4.28	4.77
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	17	4.73	330/1391	4.64	4.54	4.34	4.29	4.73
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	8	13	4.50	509/1552	4.55	4.42	4.25	4.16	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	1	6	13	4.48	450/1495	4.49	4.40	4.14	4.07	4.48
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	10	10	4.43	487/1457	4.37	4.34	4.15	3.99	4.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	1	1	3	15	4.43	616/1572	4.42	4.30	4.21	4.18	4.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	11	10	4.48	1145/1589	4.31	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.48
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	1	0	6	10	4.47	411/1569	4.56	4.22	4.13	4.08	4.47
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	0	6	14	4.57	787/1530	4.69	4.55	4.49	4.45	4.57
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	4	17	4.81	872/1533	4.88	4.81	4.75	4.69	4.81
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	0	1	6	13	4.43	792/1528	4.51	4.44	4.35	4.31	4.43
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	1	4	15	4.57	652/1529	4.67	4.55	4.36	4.31	4.57
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	0	4	2	14	4.33	510/1393	4.39	4.21	4.06	3.99	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	2	1	2	3	10	4.00	823/1337	4.00	4.30	4.17	4.01	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	2	2	14	4.67	478/1331	4.48	4.58	4.35	4.18	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	2	2	2	12	4.33	832/1333	4.27	4.51	4.40	4.22	4.33
4. Were special techniques successful	4	2	1	3	3	4	5	3.56	803/1014	3.81	4.21	4.05	3.91	3.56

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 101 02
Title:	Elementary German I
Instructor:	Sutton,Susanne

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment:	30
Questionnaires:	22

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.25	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/194	****	3.40	4.17	4.36	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	21	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	****	4.40	4.54	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/165	****	****	4.12	4.37	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	4	0.00-0.99 0	A 11	Required for Majors 4	Graduate 0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	B 5		
56-83	2	2.00-2.99 1	C 4	General 11	Under-grad 22
84-150	1	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		Non-major 22
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 2	F 0	Electives 3	
			P 0		
			I 0	Other 2	
			? 2		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 102 01
Title:	Elementary German II
Instructor:	Sutton,Susanne

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment:	23
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	5	14	4.74	341/1589	4.70	4.47	4.32	4.20	4.74
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	15	4.79	253/1589	4.81	4.43	4.29	4.28	4.79
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	17	4.89	165/1391	4.78	4.54	4.34	4.29	4.89
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	6	12	4.67	341/1552	4.33	4.42	4.25	4.16	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	2	4	11	4.39	553/1495	4.59	4.40	4.14	4.07	4.39
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	1	4	12	4.50	400/1457	4.25	4.34	4.15	3.99	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	5	13	4.63	358/1572	4.73	4.30	4.21	4.18	4.63
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	280/1589	4.56	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.95
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	8	9	4.53	352/1569	4.36	4.22	4.13	4.08	4.53
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	6	13	4.68	610/1530	4.76	4.55	4.49	4.45	4.68
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	17	4.89	614/1533	4.70	4.81	4.75	4.69	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	1	2	15	4.63	524/1528	4.57	4.44	4.35	4.31	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	15	4.79	351/1529	4.73	4.55	4.36	4.31	4.79
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	3	14	4.72	178/1393	4.76	4.21	4.06	3.99	4.72
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	2	1	0	2	10	4.13	752/1337	3.94	4.30	4.17	4.01	4.13
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	1	0	0	3	11	4.53	599/1331	4.52	4.58	4.35	4.18	4.53
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	2	2	10	4.57	641/1333	4.16	4.51	4.40	4.22	4.57
4. Were special techniques successful	5	4	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	293/1014	4.20	4.21	4.05	3.91	4.40

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 102 01
Title:	Elementary German II
Instructor:	Sutton,Susanne

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment:	23
Questionnaires:	19

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/61	****	4.25	4.47	4.61	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	18	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	4.00	4.19	3.98	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1 A 5	Required for Majors	3 Graduate 0 Major 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	1 B 8		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0 C 4	General	12 Under-grad 19 Non-major 19
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	7 D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1 F 0	Electives	2 **** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0	Other	0
			? 2		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 102 02
Title:	Elementary German II
Instructor:	Sutton,Susanne

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	6

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	435/1589	4.70	4.47	4.32	4.20	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	200/1589	4.81	4.43	4.29	4.28	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	402/1391	4.78	4.54	4.34	4.29	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	1081/1552	4.33	4.42	4.25	4.16	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	148/1495	4.59	4.40	4.14	4.07	4.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	4.00	886/1457	4.25	4.34	4.15	3.99	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	152/1572	4.73	4.30	4.21	4.18	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	4.17	1423/1589	4.56	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.17
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	754/1569	4.36	4.22	4.13	4.08	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	346/1530	4.76	4.55	4.49	4.45	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	1261/1533	4.70	4.81	4.75	4.69	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	695/1528	4.57	4.44	4.35	4.31	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	530/1529	4.73	4.55	4.36	4.31	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	124/1393	4.76	4.21	4.06	3.99	4.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	1	0	2	3.75	1021/1337	3.94	4.30	4.17	4.01	3.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	623/1331	4.52	4.58	4.35	4.18	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	1150/1333	4.16	4.51	4.40	4.22	3.75

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: GERM 102 02	Term - Fall 2012	Enrollment: 10
Title: Elementary German II		Questionnaires: 6
Instructor: Sutton,Susanne		

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	554/1014	4.20	4.21	4.05	3.91	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 1	Required for Majors 1	Graduate 0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 3		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 0	C 1	General 3	Under-grad 6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 0	F 0	Electives 0	
			P 0		
			I 0		
			? 1		

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 201 01
Title:	Intermediate German I
Instructor:	Wolff,Xenia Y.

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment:	18
Questionnaires:	18

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	3	13	4.61	505/1589	4.48	4.47	4.32	4.33	4.61
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	6	10	4.44	704/1589	4.44	4.43	4.29	4.30	4.44
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	2	12	4.39	752/1391	4.44	4.54	4.34	4.36	4.39
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	1	13	4.50	509/1552	4.43	4.42	4.25	4.26	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	5	11	4.50	416/1495	4.29	4.40	4.14	4.18	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	5	10	4.39	533/1457	4.41	4.34	4.15	4.14	4.39
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	3	13	4.61	378/1572	4.59	4.30	4.21	4.19	4.61
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1589	4.86	4.69	4.66	4.63	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	8	6	4.43	481/1569	4.36	4.22	4.13	4.12	4.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	381/1530	4.73	4.55	4.49	4.47	4.81
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	700/1533	4.75	4.81	4.75	4.78	4.87
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	5	11	4.69	449/1528	4.56	4.44	4.35	4.35	4.69
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	1	13	4.80	321/1529	4.69	4.55	4.36	4.39	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	2	1	1	1	3	5	3.91	912/1393	3.91	4.21	4.06	4.13	3.91
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	1	3	2	6	3.85	964/1337	3.92	4.30	4.17	4.16	3.85
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	367/1331	4.72	4.58	4.35	4.32	4.77
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	2	3	8	4.46	733/1333	4.41	4.51	4.40	4.39	4.46
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	0	1	2	5	5	4.08	521/1014	3.99	4.21	4.05	4.03	4.08

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 201 01
Title:	Intermediate German I
Instructor:	Wolff,Xenia Y.

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment:	18
Questionnaires:	18

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	17	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.60	****
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	17	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/16	****	****	4.25	4.56	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	A	4	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	B	6						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	3	C	5	General	14	Under-grad	18	Non-major	18
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	1						
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 201 02	Term - Fall 2012	Enrollment:	21
Title:	Intermediate German I		Questionnaires:	14
Instructor:	Wolff,Xenia Y.			

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	3	8	4.36	845/1589	4.48	4.47	4.32	4.33	4.36
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	2	9	4.43	734/1589	4.44	4.43	4.29	4.30	4.43
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	9	4.50	600/1391	4.44	4.54	4.34	4.36	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	3	8	4.36	731/1552	4.43	4.42	4.25	4.26	4.36
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	3	3	7	4.07	860/1495	4.29	4.40	4.14	4.18	4.07
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	2	9	4.43	487/1457	4.41	4.34	4.15	4.14	4.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	420/1572	4.59	4.30	4.21	4.19	4.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	901/1589	4.86	4.69	4.66	4.63	4.71
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	1	1	5	7	4.29	658/1569	4.36	4.22	4.13	4.12	4.29
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	3	10	4.64	677/1530	4.73	4.55	4.49	4.47	4.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	3	10	4.64	1127/1533	4.75	4.81	4.75	4.78	4.64
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	6	7	4.43	792/1528	4.56	4.44	4.35	4.35	4.43
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	652/1529	4.69	4.55	4.36	4.39	4.57
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	1	3	4	4	3.92	900/1393	3.91	4.21	4.06	4.13	3.92
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	2	2	2	6	4.00	823/1337	3.92	4.30	4.17	4.16	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	478/1331	4.72	4.58	4.35	4.32	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	810/1333	4.41	4.51	4.40	4.39	4.36
4. Were special techniques successful	2	2	0	0	5	1	4	3.90	641/1014	3.99	4.21	4.05	4.03	3.90

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 201 02
Title:	Intermediate German I
Instructor:	Wolff,Xenia Y.

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment:	21
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.50	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	****	3.40	4.17	4.12	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.63	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	****	4.40	4.55	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/165	****	****	4.12	4.42	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	4.20	4.46	4.07	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	****	4.25	4.43	4.06	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	4.00	4.29	3.83	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	4.25	4.47	4.25	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	4.00	4.19	4.26	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/40	****	3.20	3.85	3.77	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/40	****	2.80	3.89	3.86	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.30	4.42	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.15	3.26	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.60	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/39	****	3.20	4.00	4.01	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	3.20	4.12	3.93	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/33	****	3.20	4.42	4.30	****

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 201 02
Title:	Intermediate German I
Instructor:	Wolff,Xenia Y.

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment:	21
Questionnaires:	14

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.44	4.67	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/16	****	****	4.25	4.56	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	1	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	C	5	General	7	Under-grad	14	Non-major	14
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	1	Electives	0				
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 301 01
Title:	Adv German Studies I
Instructor:	Wolff,Xenia Y.

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	175/1589	4.89	4.47	4.32	4.33	4.89
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.43	4.29	4.26	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.54	4.34	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.42	4.25	4.24	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.40	4.14	4.11	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.34	4.15	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	329/1572	4.67	4.30	4.21	4.18	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	183/1569	4.75	4.22	4.13	4.10	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.55	4.49	4.49	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.81	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.44	4.35	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	219/1529	4.89	4.55	4.36	4.34	4.89
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	144/1393	4.78	4.21	4.06	4.10	4.78
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.30	4.17	4.20	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.58	4.35	4.35	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.51	4.40	4.41	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 301 01
Title:	Adv German Studies I
Instructor:	Wolff,Xenia Y.

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment:	10
Questionnaires:	9

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	110/1014	4.80	4.21	4.05	4.04	4.80

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors						
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	A	7	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 303 01
Title:	Topics In German
Instructor:	May,Brigitte Z

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment:	8
Questionnaires:	7

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	204/1589	4.86	4.47	4.32	4.33	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	4.29	912/1589	4.29	4.43	4.29	4.26	4.29
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	517/1391	4.57	4.54	4.34	4.30	4.57
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	286/1552	4.71	4.42	4.25	4.24	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	211/1495	4.71	4.40	4.14	4.11	4.71
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	335/1457	4.57	4.34	4.15	4.13	4.57
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	420/1572	4.57	4.30	4.21	4.18	4.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	312/1569	4.57	4.22	4.13	4.10	4.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	559/1530	4.71	4.55	4.49	4.49	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.81	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	607/1528	4.57	4.44	4.35	4.33	4.57
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	458/1529	4.71	4.55	4.36	4.34	4.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	290/1393	4.57	4.21	4.06	4.10	4.57
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	226/1337	4.80	4.30	4.17	4.20	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.58	4.35	4.35	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.51	4.40	4.41	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: GERM 303 01	Term - Fall 2012	Enrollment: 8
Title: Topics In German		Questionnaires: 7
Instructor: May,Brigitte Z		

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	293/1014	4.40	4.21	4.05	4.04	4.40

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors
00-27	1	0.00-0.99 0	A 4 Required for Majors	6 Graduate	0 Major
28-55	0	1.00-1.99 0	B 2		
56-83	1	2.00-2.99 0	C 1 General	0	7 Non-major
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 1	D 0		
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 3	F 0 Electives	1	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant
			P 0		
			I 0 Other	0	
			? 0		

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 401 01
Title:	Studies in German Language
Instructor:	Wolff,Xenia Y.

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment:	4
Questionnaires:	3

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.47	4.32	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	400/1589	4.67	4.43	4.29	4.35	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.54	4.34	4.46	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.42	4.25	4.37	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	255/1495	4.67	4.40	4.14	4.25	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.34	4.15	4.30	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	329/1572	4.67	4.30	4.21	4.28	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.22	4.13	4.22	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.55	4.49	4.56	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.81	4.75	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.44	4.35	4.41	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.55	4.36	4.44	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.21	4.06	4.18	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.30	4.17	4.36	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.58	4.35	4.56	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.51	4.40	4.63	5.00

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section:	GERM 401 01
Title:	Studies in German Langua
Instructor:	Wolff,Xenia Y.

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment:	4
Questionnaires:	3

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	554/1014	4.00	4.21	4.05	4.32	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						