Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: GERO 750 1		Term - Fall 2010						Enrollment: 5						
Title: Theory/Methods I											Q	uestion	naires:	4
Instructor: Morgan,Leslie A														
				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	919/1528	4.25	4.25	4.31	4.45	4.25
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	1113/1527	4.00	4.00	4.28	4.36	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	844/1495	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.25
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	367/1439	4.50	4.50	4.11	4.24	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	669/1425	4.25	4.25	4.12	4.28	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	2.50	1481/1508	2.50	2.50	4.18	4.25	2.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1526	5.00	5.00	4.66	4.81	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	911/1490	4.00	4.00	4.11	4.16	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1202/1428	4.00	4.00	4.49	4.56	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	1043/1436	4.67	4.67	4.74	4.83	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	2.33	1410/1427	2.33	2.33	4.32	4.36	2.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	1372/1425	3.00	3.00	4.34	4.34	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	644/1271	4.25	4.25	4.16	4.27	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1276	5.00	5.00	4.33	4.43	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	408/1273	4.75	4.75	4.38	4.52	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2.00	912/922	2.00	2.00	4.02	4.00	2.00
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	40/76	4.50	4.50	4.51	4.51	4.50
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	44/74	4.25	4.25	4.31	4.32	4.25

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaires

Course-Section: GERO 750 1			Term - Fall 2010						Enrollment: 5						
Title: Theory/Methods I												Q	uestion	naires:	4
Instructor:															
					Free	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions			NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar															
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned		0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	26/66	4.50	4.50	4.27	4.44	4.50
4. Did presentations con	tribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	55/76	4.00	4.00	4.27	4.33	4.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear			0	2	0	1	0	1	2.50	66/73	2.50	2.50	3.94	3.81	2.50
1		-		-			-								
			Fr€	eque	ncy E	Distr	ibuti	on							

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	А	0	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	1	Major	0	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	4	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	0							