University of Maryland Baltimore County Spring 2007

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

	Frequencies					5	Instructor			Course	Dept	UMBC Level		Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	10	4.64	453/1522	4.64	4.81	4.30	4.14	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	299/1522	4.71	4.79	4.26	4.18	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	189/1285	4.86	4.79	4.30	4.22	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	1	0	4	7	4.42	613/1476	4.42	4.81	4.22	4.09	4.42
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	257/1412	4.64	4.88	4.06	4.01	4.64
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	1	0	0	5	6	4.25	604/1381	4.25	4.64	4.08	3.93	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	3	3	7	4.31	731/1500	4.31	4.70	4.18	4.16	4.31
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1517	5.00	4.82	4.65	4.62	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	129/1497	4.85	4.87	4.11	4.02	4.85
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	Ο	0	0	1	13	4.93	153/1440	4.93	4.98	4.45	4.40	4.93
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	629/1448	4.86	4.95	4.71	4.63	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	357/1436	4.71	4.90	4.29	4.24	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	2	10	4.62	514/1432		4.79	4.29	4.23	4.62
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	12	0	1	0	0	1		****/1221			3.93	3.86	****
5. Dia adarovibiar econniques enhance your anaciseanaring	Ū	12	0	-	Ū	0	-	5.50	/ 1001			5.25	5.00	
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	324/1280	4.60	4.78	4.10	3.92	4.60
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	527/1277	4.60	4.87	4.34	4.13	4.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	509/1269	4.60	4.87	4.31	4.04	4.60
4. Were special techniques successful	9	2	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	****/ 854	* * * *	4.50	4.02	3.87	* * * *

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре	Majors				
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	 A	6	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	0		
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	б								
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	3	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	14	Non-major	14		
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0								
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	#### - Means there are not enough					
				P	0			responses to	be sig	gnificant			
				I	0	Other	10	_					
				?	1								

University of Maryland Baltimore County Spring 2007

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

			Frequencies					Inst	ructor	Course Dept		UMBC Level		Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	б	5.00	1/1522	5.00	4.81	4.30	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	358/1522	4.67	4.79	4.26	4.25	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	4.50	531/1285	4.50	4.79	4.30	4.30	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	б	5.00	1/1476	5.00	4.81	4.22	4.26	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1412	5.00	4.88	4.06	4.03	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	207/1381	4.67	4.64	4.08	4.13	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	160/1500	4.80	4.70	4.18	4.13	4.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	932/1517	4.67	4.82	4.65	4.62	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1497	5.00	4.87	4.11	4.13	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1440	5.00	4.98	4.45	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.95	4.71	4.71	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.90	4.29	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1432	5.00	4.79	4.29	4.29	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1221	* * * *	* * * *	3.93	3.94	* * * *
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1280	5.00	4.78	4.10	4.14	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1277		4.87	4.34	4.38	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1269		4.87	4.31	4.39	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	4	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	194/ 854		4.50	4.02	4.00	4.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Туре	Majors				
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	А	3	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0		
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1								
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	3	Under-grad	6	Non-major	6		
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0								
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	#### - Means there are not enough					
				P	0			responses to	be sig	gnificant			
				I	0	Other	2	_					
				?	2								

University of Maryland Baltimore County Spring 2007

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

	Frequencies							Inst	ructor	Course	Dept	UMBC Level		Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	246/1522	4.80	4.81	4.30	4.34	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1522	5.00	4.79	4.26	4.25	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1285	5.00	4.79	4.30	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1476	5.00	4.81	4.22	4.26	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1412	5.00	4.88	4.06	4.03	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1381	5.00	4.64	4.08	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1500	5.00	4.70	4.18	4.13	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	714/1517	4.80	4.82	4.65	4.62	4.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	189/1497	4.75	4.87	4.11	4.13	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1440	5.00	4.98	4.45	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1448	5.00	4.95	4.71	4.71	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.90	4.29	4.30	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	350/1432	4.75	4.79	4.29	4.29	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1221	* * * *	* * * *	3.93	3.94	* * * *
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	З	4.75	222/1280	4.75	4.78	4.10	4.14	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1277	5.00	4.87	4.34	4.38	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1269		4.87	4.31	4.39	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	3	0	0	0	0	1		****/ 854		4.50	4.02	4.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	L L	Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Туре	Majors				
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	 А	4	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0		
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0								
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	3	Under-grad	5	Non-major	5		
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0								
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	1	#### - Means there are not enough					
				P	1			responses to	be sig	gnificant			
				I	0	Other	1	_					
				?	0								