Course-Section: GREK 101 1 Title Elementary Greek I Instructor: Freyman,Jay M

Enrollment:

Questionnaires: 15

25

University of Maryland Baltimore County MAR 22, 2010 Fall 2009 Job IRBR3029

Instructor

Frequencies

Page 845

Course Dept UMBC Level Sect

Student	Course	Evaluation	Questionnaire
---------	--------	------------	---------------

							P L	eque	псте	S		Inst	ructor	Course	Dept	OMBC	телет	Sect
Questions						NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		 Genera	 1															
1. Did yo	u gain ne	ew insights,ski	lls from	this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	193/1509	4.87	4.87	4.31	4.18	4.87
		ctor make clear			0	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	150/1509	4.87	4.80	4.26	4.25	4.87
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals							0	0	0	1	14	4.93	89/1287	4.93	4.87	4.30	4.24	4.93
		uations reflect			0	5	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	346/1459	4.60	4.78	4.22	4.11	4.60
5. Did as	signed re	eadings contrib	ute to v	what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	63/1406	4.93	4.98	4.09	4.02	4.93
				what you learned	. 0	1	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	89/1384	4.86	4.95	4.11	3.98	4.86
		g system clearl			0	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	208/1489	4.73	4.54	4.17	4.20	4.73
8. How man	ny times	was class canc	elled		0	0	0	0	3	10	2	3.93	1428/1506	3.93	4.35	4.67	4.66	3.93
9. How wo	uld you g	grade the overa	ll teach	ning effectiveness	3	0	0	0	1	4	7	4.50	325/1463	4.50	4.68	4.09	4.02	4.50
		Lectur	e															
1. Were ti	he instr	actor's lecture		repared	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	153/1438	4.93	4.98	4.46	4.44	4.93
		ctor seem inter			0	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1421			4.73		5.00
				plained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80			4.93	4.31		4.80
		es contribute t			0	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1405			4.32		
			-	our understanding	0	8	1	1	1	1		3.57	,			4.00	3.87	
		Discus	aion															
1 Did al	ann dinn			what you learned	10	0	0	1	1	1	2	3.80	908/1260	3.80	1 51	1 11	3.95	2 0 0
				to participate	10	0	0	0		1			665/1255			4.33		
				d open discussion	10	0	0	0	2	1					4.28	4.38	4.18	4.00
		echniques succe		open discussion	10	4	0	0	1	0	_		****/ 873			4.03		****
i. Were b	peciai e	confiduct bacce	DDIUI		10	-	O	O	_	O	O	3.00	, 075			1.05	3.05	
				Freq	uency	y Dis	trib	utio	n									
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected Grades				Rea	ason	s			Ту	pe			Majors	3
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	 А б		 R∈	quir	ed f	or M	 ajor		6	Graduat	 e	0	Majo	 or	0
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	0	В 5			1			5						5		
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	C 2		Ge	nera	1				4	Under-g	rad 1	.5	Non-	-major	15
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D 0													-	
Grad. 0 3.50-4.00 5 F 0						El	ecti	ves				3	#### - 1	Means t	here a	re not	enouc	_j h
				P 1									respons	es to b	e sign	ificar	ıt	
				I 0		Other						1	-					
				? 1														

Course-Section: GREK 201 1 Title Intermediate Greek Instructor: Sherwin, Walter

Enrollment:

Questionnaires: 8

8

University of Maryland Page 846 Baltimore County MAR 22, 2010 Fall 2009 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

		Frequencies						Inst	ructor	Course Dept		UMBC Level		Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	303/1509	4.75	4.87	4.31	4.34	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	141/1509	4.88	4.80	4.26	4.32	4.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1287	5.00	4.87	4.30	4.35	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	191/1459	4.75	4.78	4.22	4.30	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1406	5.00	4.98	4.09	4.09	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1384	5.00	4.95	4.11	4.09	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	4	2	3.88	1127/1489	3.88	4.54	4.17	4.19	3.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	973/1506	4.63	4.35	4.67	4.61	4.63
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	177/1463	4.71	4.68	4.09	4.08	4.71
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1438	5.00	4.98	4.46	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1421	5.00	5.00	4.73	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1411	5.00	4.93	4.31	4.37	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1405	5.00	5.00	4.32	4.39	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1260	5.00	4.54	4.14	4.19	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1255		4.80	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	932/1258	4.00	4.28	4.38	4.44	4.00
Frequ	iencv	Dist	rib	utio	ı									

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Type	Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	6	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	8
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	#### - Means	there	are not enough	1
				P	0			responses to	be sig	qnificant	
				I	0	Other	2	-		-	
				2	0						

University of Maryland Baltimore County

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Course-Section: GREK 350 1 Title Septuagint Freyman, Jay M Fall 2009 Instructor: Enrollment: 6

Ouestionnaires: 6

Page 847 MAR 22, 2010 Job IRBR3029

			Fre	eque	ncies	3		Inst	tructor	Course Dept		UMBC Level		Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1509	5.00	4.87	4.31	4.32	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	356/1509	4.67	4.80	4.26	4.25	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	359/1287	4.67	4.87	4.30	4.33	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1459	5.00	4.78	4.22	4.26	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1406	5.00	4.98	4.09	4.12	5.00
5. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1384	5.00	4.95	4.11	4.15	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1489	5.00	4.54	4.17	4.14	5.00
3. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	1070/1506	4.50	4.35	4.67	4.67	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	106/1463	4.83	4.68	4.09	4.08	4.83
Lecture														
l. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1438	5.00	4.98	4.46	4.43	5.00

2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject 1 0 0 0 0 0 5 5.00 1/1421 5.00 5.00 4.73 4.73 5.00 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly 0 0 0 5 5.00 1/1411 5.00 4.93 4.31 4.29 5.00 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned 1 0 0 0 0 0 5 5.00 1/1405 5.00 5.00 4.32 4.32 5.00 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding 1 2 1 0 0 0 2 3.67 904/1236 3.67 3.62 4.00 4.07 3.67

Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	187/1260	4.83	4.54	4.14	4.22	4.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1255	5.00	4.80	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	324/1258	4.83	4.28	4.38	4.42	4.83

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	 А	3	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	1	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	6
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	#### - Means	there	are not enough	1
				P	0			responses to	be sig	nificant	
				I	0	Other	3	-			
				2	1						