Course-Section: HAPP 100 01

Title: Survey US HIth Care Sys

Instructor: Pinet Peralta,L

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 45

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	17	0	1	3	5	10	9	3.82	1345/1589	4.03	4.29	4.32	4.20	3.82
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	17	0	0	3	8	5	12	3.93	1243/1589	3.85	4.21	4.29	4.28	3.93
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	17	0	2	1	4	7	14	4.07	1021/1391	4.01	4.18	4.34	4.29	4.07
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	17	0	2	2	4	10	10	3.86	1227/1552	3.75	4.18	4.25	4.16	3.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	17	0	1	1	4	4	18	4.32	620/1495	4.13	4.21	4.14	4.07	4.32
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	17	0	2	5	3	9	9	3.64	1205/1457	3.51	4.19	4.15	3.99	3.64
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	18	1	1	3	7	7	8	3.69	1318/1572	3.86	4.27	4.21	4.18	3.69
8. How many times was class cancelled	17	0	0	0	0	5	23	4.82	677/1589	4.47	4.59	4.66	4.59	4.82
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	20	0	2	2	9	8	4	3.40	1416/1569	3.48	3.97	4.13	4.08	3.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	17	0	2	0	2	4	20	4.43	990/1530	4.29	4.53	4.49	4.45	4.43
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	18	0	0	0	2	3	22	4.74	977/1533	4.61	4.81	4.75	4.69	4.74
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	17	0	0	3	4	6	15	4.18	1058/1528	3.94	4.29	4.35	4.31	4.18
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	18	1	1	2	2	7	14	4.19	1057/1529	4.11	4.33	4.36	4.31	4.19
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	18	0	1	0	6	7	13	4.15	697/1393	3.88	4.19	4.06	3.99	4.15
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	24	0	1	1	4	7	8	3.95	874/1337	4.04	4.05	4.17	4.01	3.95
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	24	0	0	2	4	6	9	4.05	976/1331	4.06	4.29	4.35	4.18	4.05
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	24	0	0	0	2	7	12	4.48	725/1333	4.38	4.51	4.40	4.22	4.48
4. Were special techniques successful	25	6	0	2	5	5	2	3.50	823/1014	3.59	4.11	4.05	3.91	3.50

Course-Section: HAPP 100 01

Title: Survey US Hith Care Sys

Instructor: Pinet Peralta,L

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 45

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	41	1	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.25	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	41	0	1	0	2	0	1	3.00	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.36	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	41	0	0	2	1	0	1	3.00	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	41	1	0	0	3	0	0	3.00	****/181	****	****	4.40	4.54	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	41	1	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	****/165	****	****	4.12	4.37	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	40	1	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	****/62	****	5.00	4.46	4.33	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	40	0	0	1	1	0	3	4.00	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.13	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	40	1	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.12	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	40	1	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	****/61	****	5.00	4.47	4.61	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	40	0	1	2	1	0	1	2.60	****/61	****	4.50	4.19	3.98	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	40	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	****/40	****	****	3.85	3.17	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	40	0	1	0	2	0	2	3.40	****/40	****	****	3.89	3.11	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	40	0	1	0	1	1	2	3.60	****/32	****	****	4.30	3.86	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	40	1	0	2	1	1	0	2.75	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.81	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	40	1	0	0	2	2	0	3.50	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.57	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	40	0	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	****/39	****	****	4.00	3.52	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	40	0	0	1	1	1	2	3.80	****/22	****	****	4.12	3.23	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	40	1	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	****/33	****	****	4.42	4.30	****

Course-Section: HAPP 100 01

Title: Survey US HIth Care Sys

Instructor: Pinet Peralta,L

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 45

Questionnaires: 45

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	40	0	1	1	2	0	1	2.80	****/19	****	****	4.44	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	40	1	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	****/16	****	****	4.25	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	18
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	11						
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	4	С	6	General	2	Under-grad	45	Non-major	27
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	19						

Course-Section: HAPP 100 02

Title: Survey US HIth Care Sys

Instructor: Buanya, Yaa F

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 45

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	2	5	11	22	4.24	967/1589	4.03	4.29	4.32	4.20	4.24
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	4	4	4	14	15	3.78	1341/1589	3.85	4.21	4.29	4.28	3.78
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	3	2	6	13	17	3.95	1105/1391	4.01	4.18	4.34	4.29	3.95
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	3	4	9	14	11	3.63	1366/1552	3.75	4.18	4.25	4.16	3.63
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	2	2	8	10	16	3.95	971/1495	4.13	4.21	4.14	4.07	3.95
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	6	4	9	11	10	3.38	1324/1457	3.51	4.19	4.15	3.99	3.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	5	7	11	18	4.02	1077/1572	3.86	4.27	4.21	4.18	4.02
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	3	29	8	4.13	1452/1589	4.47	4.59	4.66	4.59	4.13
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	0	3	3	7	11	8	3.56	1338/1569	3.48	3.97	4.13	4.08	3.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	5	3	13	19	4.15	1245/1530	4.29	4.53	4.49	4.45	4.15
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	1	0	5	7	27	4.48	1287/1533	4.61	4.81	4.75	4.69	4.48
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	4	4	5	13	13	3.69	1358/1528	3.94	4.29	4.35	4.31	3.69
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	4	6	7	21	4.03	1165/1529	4.11	4.33	4.36	4.31	4.03
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	5	3	5	14	11	3.61	1089/1393	3.88	4.19	4.06	3.99	3.61
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	1	2	4	9	15	4.13	759/1337	4.04	4.05	4.17	4.01	4.13
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	1	3	5	6	16	4.06	970/1331	4.06	4.29	4.35	4.18	4.06
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	1	2	3	6	19	4.29	860/1333	4.38	4.51	4.40	4.22	4.29

Course-Section: HAPP 100 02 Title: Survey US HIth Care Sys Instructor: Buanya, Yaa F

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 45 Questionnaires: 41

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	10	9	2	2	4	7	7	3.68	745/1014	3.59	4.11	4.05	3.91	3.68

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	2	Α	13	Required for Majors	28	Graduate	0	Major	33
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	0	В	16						
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	2	С	3	General	0	Under-grad	41	Non-major	8
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	6	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	8						

Course-Section: HAPP 200 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 34

Title: Hmn Dev Impl Hlth/Diseas

Instructor: Canham, Rhonda L

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	15	0	0	3	4	5	6	3.78	1377/1589	3.78	4.29	4.32	4.33	3.78
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	16	0	2	2	7	3	3	3.18	1539/1589	3.18	4.21	4.29	4.30	3.18
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	16	0	3	2	5	4	3	3.12	1355/1391	3.12	4.18	4.34	4.36	3.12
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	15	7	1	2	1	4	3	3.55	1405/1552	3.55	4.18	4.25	4.26	3.55
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	2	4	5	7	3.94	971/1495	3.94	4.21	4.14	4.18	3.94
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	16	7	0	2	1	3	4	3.90	998/1457	3.90	4.19	4.15	4.14	3.90
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	16	0	1	1	2	4	9	4.12	995/1572	4.12	4.27	4.21	4.19	4.12
8. How many times was class cancelled	16	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	280/1589	4.94	4.59	4.66	4.63	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	19	0	2	1	4	5	2	3.29	1453/1569	3.29	3.97	4.13	4.12	3.29
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	15	0	1	0	2	1	14	4.50	887/1530	4.50	4.53	4.49	4.47	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	16	0	1	0	1	1	14	4.59	1197/1533	4.59	4.81	4.75	4.78	4.59
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	15	0	1	1	4	6	6	3.83	1294/1528	3.83	4.29	4.35	4.35	3.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	15	0	1	2	3	3	9	3.94	1220/1529	3.94	4.33	4.36	4.39	3.94
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	16	2	0	3	2	3	7	3.93	877/1393	3.93	4.19	4.06	4.13	3.93
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	20	0	3	1	5	1	3	3.00	1271/1337	3.00	4.05	4.17	4.16	3.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	19	0	1	3	2	4	4	3.50	1219/1331	3.50	4.29	4.35	4.32	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	20	0	0	1	4	4	4	3.85	1102/1333	3.85	4.51	4.40	4.39	3.85
4. Were special techniques successful	20	11	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/1014	****	4.11	4.05	4.03	****

Course-Section: HAPP 200 01

Title: Hmn Dev Impl Hlth/Diseas

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 34

Questionnaires: 33

Instructor: Canham,Rhonda L

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	32	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.12	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	31	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.63	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	31	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	5.00	4.46	4.07	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	31	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.06	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	32	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/40	****	****	3.85	3.77	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	32	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/40	****	****	3.89	3.86	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	32	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/39	****	****	4.00	4.01	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	32	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.12	3.93	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	32	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/33	****	****	4.42	4.30	****

Run Date: 1/31/2013 2:30:27 PM Page 7 of 39

Course-Section: HAPP 200 01

Title: Hmn Dev Impl Hlth/Diseas

Instructor: Canham,Rhonda L

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 34

Questionnaires: 33

				Free	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	32	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.44	4.67	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	16
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	9						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	3	General	0	Under-grad	33	Non-major	17
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	18						

Course-Section: HAPP 354 01

Title: Soc Bases:Publ/Comm HIth

Instructor: Kalfoglou, Andre

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 42

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	19	0	0	0	1	3	18	4.77	290/1589	4.59	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.77
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	19	0	0	0	0	7	15	4.68	378/1589	4.42	4.21	4.29	4.26	4.68
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	19	0	1	1	2	2	16	4.41	733/1391	4.40	4.18	4.34	4.30	4.41
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	20	0	0	2	3	3	13	4.29	816/1552	4.19	4.18	4.25	4.24	4.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	19	0	1	2	0	4	15	4.36	575/1495	4.43	4.21	4.14	4.11	4.36
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	1	1	5	15	4.55	363/1457	4.44	4.19	4.15	4.13	4.55
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	21	0	0	0	3	4	13	4.50	495/1572	4.39	4.27	4.21	4.18	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	20	0	0	0	1	18	2	4.05	1486/1589	4.11	4.59	4.66	4.67	4.05
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	26	0	0	0	3	3	9	4.40	509/1569	4.05	3.97	4.13	4.10	4.40
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	20	0	0	1	1	7	12	4.43	990/1530	4.26	4.53	4.49	4.49	4.43
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	21	0	0	0	0	0	20	5.00	1/1533	4.91	4.81	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	21	0	0	1	1	6	12	4.45	756/1528	4.33	4.29	4.35	4.33	4.45
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	21	0	1	0	1	4	14	4.50	739/1529	4.25	4.33	4.36	4.34	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	20	0	0	0	1	4	16	4.71	185/1393	4.36	4.19	4.06	4.10	4.71
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	31	0	1	1	0	3	5	4.00	****/1337	3.33	4.05	4.17	4.20	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	31	0	2	0	1	2	5	3.80	****/1331	****	4.29	4.35	4.35	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	31	0	0	1	1	1	7	4.40	****/1333	****	4.51	4.40	4.41	****
4. Were special techniques successful	31	2	2	2	1	0	3	3.00	****/1014	****	4.11	4.05	4.04	****

Course-Section: HAPP 354 01 Title: Soc Bases:Publ/Comm HIth **Term - Fall 2012**

Enrollment: 42

Instructor: Kalfoglou, Andre

Frequencies	Instructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Se
			Q	uestion	naires:	41

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	40	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.05	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	40	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	5.00	4.46	5.00	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	40	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.58	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	40	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.53	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	40	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	5.00	4.47	5.00	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	40	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	4.50	4.19	4.80	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	17	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	41	Non-major	28
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	22						

Course-Section: HAPP 354 02

Title: Soc Bases:Publ/Comm HIth

Instructor: Stanley, Andre G

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 36

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	24	0	0	0	3	1	8	4.42	766/1589	4.59	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.42
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	24	0	1	1	1	1	8	4.17	1034/1589	4.42	4.21	4.29	4.26	4.17
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	25	1	0	1	0	3	6	4.40	733/1391	4.40	4.18	4.34	4.30	4.40
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	25	0	0	1	2	3	5	4.09	1016/1552	4.19	4.18	4.25	4.24	4.09
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	1	0	3	8	4.50	416/1495	4.43	4.21	4.14	4.11	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	1	1	3	7	4.33	593/1457	4.44	4.19	4.15	4.13	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	25	0	0	1	1	3	6	4.27	815/1572	4.39	4.27	4.21	4.18	4.27
8. How many times was class cancelled	25	0	0	0	0	9	2	4.18	1409/1589	4.11	4.59	4.66	4.67	4.18
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	25	1	1	0	2	5	2	3.70	1249/1569	4.05	3.97	4.13	4.10	3.70
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	25	0	1	0	2	2	6	4.09	1284/1530	4.26	4.53	4.49	4.49	4.09
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	25	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	843/1533	4.91	4.81	4.75	4.75	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	26	0	0	2	0	2	6	4.20	1035/1528	4.33	4.29	4.35	4.33	4.20
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	26	0	1	1	1	1	6	4.00	1174/1529	4.25	4.33	4.36	4.34	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	25	0	0	2	2	1	6	4.00	796/1393	4.36	4.19	4.06	4.10	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	27	0	1	1	3	2	2	3.33	1204/1337	3.33	4.05	4.17	4.20	3.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	28	0	1	0	0	3	4	4.13	****/1331	****	4.29	4.35	4.35	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	28	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	****/1333	****	4.51	4.40	4.41	****
4. Were special techniques successful	27	3	0	1	1	3	1	3.67	****/1014	****	4.11	4.05	4.04	****

Course-Section: HAPP 354 02

Title: Soc Bases:Publ/Comm HIth

Instructor: Stanley,Andre G

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 36

Questionnaires: 36

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	35	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.08	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	36	Non-major	29
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	26						

Course-Section: HAPP 380 01

Title: Global Issues In Health

Instructor: Jeffrey,Jeanett

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 34

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	7	0	1	0	0	6	20	4.63	491/1589	4.63	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	9	0	1	0	2	5	17	4.48	644/1589	4.48	4.21	4.29	4.26	4.48
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	0	2	0	1	8	16	4.33	799/1391	4.33	4.18	4.34	4.30	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	8	0	1	0	1	6	18	4.54	477/1552	4.54	4.18	4.25	4.24	4.54
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	7	0	1	1	4	5	16	4.26	693/1495	4.26	4.21	4.14	4.11	4.26
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	10	3	3	2	3	5	8	3.62	1222/1457	3.62	4.19	4.15	4.13	3.62
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	8	0	0	2	2	7	15	4.35	723/1572	4.35	4.27	4.21	4.18	4.35
8. How many times was class cancelled	9	0	0	0	0	23	2	4.08	1473/1589	4.08	4.59	4.66	4.67	4.08
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	16	0	0	2	1	7	8	4.17	804/1569	4.17	3.97	4.13	4.10	4.17
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	2	1	5	4	15	4.07	1292/1530	4.07	4.53	4.49	4.49	4.07
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	0	2	23	4.92	469/1533	4.92	4.81	4.75	4.75	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	3	1	5	4	14	3.93	1238/1528	3.93	4.29	4.35	4.33	3.93
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	2	0	2	4	18	4.38	872/1529	4.38	4.33	4.36	4.34	4.38
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	1	2	1	1	5	15	4.25	586/1393	4.25	4.19	4.06	4.10	4.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	21	0	1	1	0	1	10	4.38	564/1337	4.38	4.05	4.17	4.20	4.38
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	20	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	424/1331	4.71	4.29	4.35	4.35	4.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	20	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	202/1333	4.93	4.51	4.40	4.41	4.93
4. Were special techniques successful	22	4	1	0	0	0	7	4.50	****/1014	****	4.11	4.05	4.04	****

Course-Section: HAPP 380 01

Title: Global Issues In Health

Instructor: Jeffrey,Jeanett

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 34

Questionnaires: 34

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	33	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	5.00	4.46	5.00	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	33	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.58	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	33	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.53	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	33	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	5.00	4.47	5.00	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	33	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	4.50	4.19	4.80	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	20
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	34	Non-major	14
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	13						

Course-Section: HAPP 398 01 Title: Selected Topics

Instructor: Kalfoglou, Andre

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 33

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	7	0	0	0	2	7	16	4.56	569/1589	4.68	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	7	0	1	1	2	8	13	4.24	954/1589	4.42	4.21	4.29	4.26	4.24
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	1	1	3	4	2	14	4.04	1038/1391	4.04	4.18	4.34	4.30	4.04
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	7	1	1	2	2	6	13	4.17	943/1552	4.48	4.18	4.25	4.24	4.17
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	7	0	1	0	4	6	14	4.28	663/1495	4.52	4.21	4.14	4.11	4.28
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	8	1	0	1	3	5	14	4.39	521/1457	4.60	4.19	4.15	4.13	4.39
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	7	0	0	3	6	4	12	4.00	1095/1572	4.20	4.27	4.21	4.18	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	7	0	0	0	0	6	19	4.76	806/1589	4.88	4.59	4.66	4.67	4.76
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	0	0	0	4	9	9	4.23	730/1569	4.61	3.97	4.13	4.10	4.23
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	1	2	3	4	14	4.17	1237/1530	4.58	4.53	4.49	4.49	4.17
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	1	0	24	4.92	469/1533	4.96	4.81	4.75	4.75	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	3	2	5	14	4.25	992/1528	4.53	4.29	4.35	4.33	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	1	0	2	2	5	15	4.38	883/1529	4.49	4.33	4.36	4.34	4.38
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	0	0	3	2	2	16	4.35	499/1393	4.42	4.19	4.06	4.10	4.35
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	1	0	4	3	12	4.25	663/1337	4.53	4.05	4.17	4.20	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	2	4	14	4.60	543/1331	4.70	4.29	4.35	4.35	4.60
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	2	4	14	4.60	615/1333	4.70	4.51	4.40	4.41	4.60
4. Were special techniques successful	12	4	1	2	1	7	5	3.81	681/1014	4.28	4.11	4.05	4.04	3.81

Course-Section: HAPP 398 01

Title: Selected Topics

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 33

Questionnaires: 32

Instructor: Kalfoglou,Andre

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	30	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.08	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	30	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.05	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	30	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.42	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	30	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/181	****	****	4.40	4.31	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	30	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/165	****	****	4.12	3.94	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	29	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	5.00	5.00	4.46	5.00	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	30	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	5.00	5.00	4.43	4.58	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	30	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	5.00	5.00	4.29	4.53	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/61	5.00	5.00	4.47	5.00	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	30	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/61	4.50	4.50	4.19	4.80	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/40	****	****	3.85	3.93	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	30	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/40	****	****	3.89	4.16	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	30	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/32	****	****	4.30	4.48	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	30	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.15	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	30	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.25	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/39	****	****	4.00	4.49	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	29	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/22	****	****	4.12	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	30	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/33	****	****	4.42	4.25	****

Course-Section: HAPP 398 01

Title: Selected Topics

Instructor: Kalfoglou,Andre

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 33

Questionnaires: 32

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	30	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.44	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	30	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/16	****	****	4.25	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	15	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	18
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	7						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	2	Under-grad	32	Non-major	14
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	11	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	10						

Course-Section: HAPP 398 02 Title: Selected Topics **Term - Fall 2012**

Enrollment: 7

Instructor: McGlynn,Janet

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	253/1589	4.68	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	467/1589	4.42	4.21	4.29	4.26	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	4	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1391	4.04	4.18	4.34	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	177/1552	4.48	4.18	4.25	4.24	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	183/1495	4.52	4.21	4.14	4.11	4.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	131/1457	4.60	4.19	4.15	4.13	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	647/1572	4.20	4.27	4.21	4.18	4.40
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1589	4.88	4.59	4.66	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1569	4.61	3.97	4.13	4.10	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1530	4.58	4.53	4.49	4.49	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1533	4.96	4.81	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	281/1528	4.53	4.29	4.35	4.33	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	615/1529	4.49	4.33	4.36	4.34	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	349/1393	4.42	4.19	4.06	4.10	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	226/1337	4.53	4.05	4.17	4.20	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	322/1331	4.70	4.29	4.35	4.35	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	373/1333	4.70	4.51	4.40	4.41	4.80
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	137/1014	4.28	4.11	4.05	4.04	4.75

Course-Section: HAPP 398 02

Title: Selected Topics

Instructor: McGlynn,Janet

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/62	5.00	5.00	4.46	5.00	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/65	5.00	5.00	4.43	4.58	5.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/63	5.00	5.00	4.29	4.53	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/61	5.00	5.00	4.47	5.00	5.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	3	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	18/61	4.50	4.50	4.19	4.80	4.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	2	Under-grad	7	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: HAPP 402 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 40

l itie:

Title: Envrnmtl Hlth Pol & Prac

Questionnaires: 40

Instructor: Keenan Jr,Paul

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	17	0	0	0	3	2	18	4.65	449/1589	4.65	4.29	4.32	4.46	4.65
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	17	0	0	0	0	2	21	4.91	122/1589	4.91	4.21	4.29	4.35	4.91
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	17	0	0	0	0	2	21	4.91	140/1391	4.91	4.18	4.34	4.46	4.91
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	17	2	0	0	1	1	19	4.86	142/1552	4.86	4.18	4.25	4.37	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	17	3	0	2	1	4	13	4.40	531/1495	4.40	4.21	4.14	4.25	4.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	17	9	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	64/1457	4.93	4.19	4.15	4.30	4.93
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	17	0	0	0	0	1	22	4.96	49/1572	4.96	4.27	4.21	4.28	4.96
8. How many times was class cancelled	17	0	0	0	0	13	10	4.43	1183/1589	4.43	4.59	4.66	4.68	4.43
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	20	1	2	0	2	4	11	4.16	816/1569	4.16	3.97	4.13	4.22	4.16
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	18	0	0	0	0	2	20	4.91	224/1530	4.91	4.53	4.49	4.56	4.91
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	17	0	0	0	0	1	22	4.96	293/1533	4.96	4.81	4.75	4.76	4.96
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	17	0	0	0	0	2	21	4.91	156/1528	4.91	4.29	4.35	4.41	4.91
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	1	0	1	20	4.82	308/1529	4.82	4.33	4.36	4.44	4.82
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	19	3	0	0	1	2	15	4.78	144/1393	4.78	4.19	4.06	4.18	4.78
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	31	0	1	0	0	0	8	4.56	****/1337	****	4.05	4.17	4.36	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	31	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	****/1331	****	4.29	4.35	4.56	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	31	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	****/1333	****	4.51	4.40	4.63	****

Course-Section: HAPP 402 01

Title: Envrnmtl Hlth Pol & Prac

Instructor: Keenan Jr, Paul

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 40

Questionnaires: 40

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	32	3	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1014	****	4.11	4.05	4.32	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	16	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	18
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	40	Non-major	22
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	8	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	20						

Course-Section: HAPP 411 01

Title: Health Regul & Qual Impr

Instructor: Woodward, Jenine

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 22

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	3	0	6	4	5	3.44	1514/1589	3.76	4.29	4.32	4.46	3.44
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	2	4	5	4	3	3.11	1547/1589	3.52	4.21	4.29	4.35	3.11
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	1	6	5	3	3	3.06	1360/1391	3.60	4.18	4.34	4.46	3.06
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	2	3	6	5	2	3.11	1506/1552	3.56	4.18	4.25	4.37	3.11
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	7	1	0	6	3	1	3.27	1399/1495	3.52	4.21	4.14	4.25	3.27
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	1	1	5	1	8	2	3.29	1355/1457	3.69	4.19	4.15	4.30	3.29
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	2	5	5	5	1	2.89	1533/1572	3.56	4.27	4.21	4.28	2.89
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	280/1589	4.60	4.59	4.66	4.68	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	3	0	8	4	1	3.00	1508/1569	3.60	3.97	4.13	4.22	3.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	2	5	10	4.47	925/1530	4.44	4.53	4.49	4.56	4.47
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	1	2	14	4.76	942/1533	4.72	4.81	4.75	4.76	4.76
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	5	4	5	3	3.35	1442/1528	3.80	4.29	4.35	4.41	3.35
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	1	7	5	3	3.47	1412/1529	3.99	4.33	4.36	4.44	3.47
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	4	0	1	3	2	7	4.15	686/1393	4.37	4.19	4.06	4.18	4.15
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	1	3	0	2	2	3.13	1261/1337	3.51	4.05	4.17	4.36	3.13
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	2	1	0	1	4	3.50	1219/1331	3.80	4.29	4.35	4.56	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	2	0	1	5	4.13	965/1333	4.34	4.51	4.40	4.63	4.13
4. Were special techniques successful	13	4	0	2	0	1	1	3.25	****/1014	4.44	4.11	4.05	4.32	****

Course-Section: HAPP 411 01

Title: Health Regul & Qual Impr

Instructor: Woodward, Jenine

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 22

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.31	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.27	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.32	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	****	4.40	4.37	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/165	****	****	4.12	4.09	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	5.00	4.46	4.56	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.54	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.31	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	5.00	4.47	4.49	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	4.50	4.19	4.12	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/40	****	****	3.85	4.14	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/40	****	****	3.89	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.30	4.35	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.20	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.31	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/39	****	****	4.00	4.43	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.12	4.38	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/33	****	****	4.42	4.51	****

Course-Section: HAPP 411 01

Title: Health Regul & Qual Impr

Instructor: Woodward,Jenine

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 22

Questionnaires: 21

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.44	4.23	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/16	****	****	4.25	3.85	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	4	С	6	General	0	Under-grad	21	Non-major	9
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	8						

Course-Section: HAPP 411 02

Title: Health Regul & Qual Impr

Instructor: Trevitt, Jamie L

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	0	0	4	4	5	4.08	1131/1589	3.76	4.29	4.32	4.46	4.08
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	1	2	7	3	3.92	1243/1589	3.52	4.21	4.29	4.35	3.92
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	1	3	2	7	4.15	963/1391	3.60	4.18	4.34	4.46	4.15
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	1	3	4	5	4.00	1081/1552	3.56	4.18	4.25	4.37	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	0	1	0	3	6	3	3.77	1145/1495	3.52	4.21	4.14	4.25	3.77
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	2	5	5	4.08	841/1457	3.69	4.19	4.15	4.30	4.08
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	1	1	0	3	8	4.23	871/1572	3.56	4.27	4.21	4.28	4.23
8. How many times was class cancelled	5	0	0	0	1	7	4	4.25	1349/1589	4.60	4.59	4.66	4.68	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	1	1	3	5	4.20	754/1569	3.60	3.97	4.13	4.22	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	1	5	6	4.42	1003/1530	4.44	4.53	4.49	4.56	4.42
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	1100/1533	4.72	4.81	4.75	4.76	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	2	5	5	4.25	992/1528	3.80	4.29	4.35	4.41	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	2	2	8	4.50	739/1529	3.99	4.33	4.36	4.44	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	0	2	1	9	4.58	282/1393	4.37	4.19	4.06	4.18	4.58
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	1	0	3	1	5	3.90	925/1337	3.51	4.05	4.17	4.36	3.90
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	1	1	0	2	6	4.10	958/1331	3.80	4.29	4.35	4.56	4.10
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	658/1333	4.34	4.51	4.40	4.63	4.56

Course-Section: HAPP 411 02

Title: Health Regul & Qual Impr

Instructor: Trevitt,Jamie L

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	7	1	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	273/1014	4.44	4.11	4.05	4.32	4.44

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	17	Non-major	9
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	7						

Course-Section: HAPP 412 01

Title: Res Methods In Health

Instructor: Trevitt, Jamie L

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 33

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	9	0	0	1	4	3	14	4.36	832/1589	4.36	4.29	4.32	4.46	4.36
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	10	0	0	0	2	3	16	4.67	400/1589	4.67	4.21	4.29	4.35	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	10	2	0	0	2	0	17	4.79	271/1391	4.79	4.18	4.34	4.46	4.79
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	10	2	2	0	1	2	14	4.37	718/1552	4.37	4.18	4.25	4.37	4.37
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	10	0	1	0	2	6	12	4.33	609/1495	4.33	4.21	4.14	4.25	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	10	0	1	0	2	4	14	4.43	487/1457	4.43	4.19	4.15	4.30	4.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	10	0	0	0	2	1	18	4.76	221/1572	4.76	4.27	4.21	4.28	4.76
8. How many times was class cancelled	10	0	0	0	1	14	6	4.24	1367/1589	4.24	4.59	4.66	4.68	4.24
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	17	0	0	1	2	8	3	3.93	1056/1569	3.93	3.97	4.13	4.22	3.93
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	0	0	1	4	16	4.71	559/1530	4.71	4.53	4.49	4.56	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	10	0	0	0	0	4	17	4.81	872/1533	4.81	4.81	4.75	4.76	4.81
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	10	0	0	0	0	9	12	4.57	607/1528	4.57	4.29	4.35	4.41	4.57
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	1	0	0	1	3	16	4.75	397/1529	4.75	4.33	4.36	4.44	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	10	3	1	1	2	5	9	4.11	731/1393	4.11	4.19	4.06	4.18	4.11
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	19	0	1	1	0	4	6	4.08	783/1337	4.08	4.05	4.17	4.36	4.08
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	19	0	1	0	1	1	9	4.42	705/1331	4.42	4.29	4.35	4.56	4.42
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	19	0	0	0	2	1	9	4.58	632/1333	4.58	4.51	4.40	4.63	4.58
4. Were special techniques successful	19	1	1	0	1	5	4	4.00	554/1014	4.00	4.11	4.05	4.32	4.00

Course-Section: HAPP 412 01

Title: Res Methods In Health

Instructor: Trevitt, Jamie L

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 33

·				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.31	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.27	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.32	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	****	4.40	4.37	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/165	****	****	4.12	4.09	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	5.00	4.46	4.56	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.54	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.31	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	5.00	4.47	4.49	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	4.50	4.19	4.12	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/40	****	****	3.85	4.14	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/40	****	****	3.89	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.30	4.35	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.20	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.31	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/39	****	****	4.00	4.43	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.12	4.38	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/33	****	****	4.42	4.51	****

Course-Section: HAPP 412 01

Title: Res Methods In Health

Instructor: Trevitt,Jamie L

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 33
Questionnaires: 31

Frequencies Instructor Course UMBC Level Sect Org Questions NA 5 NR Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean Mean **Self Paced** 4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful 5.00 ****/19 **** *** 4.23 *** 30 0 0 0 0 0 1 4.44 5. Were there enough proctors for all the students ****/16 30 1 5.00 **** **** 4.25 3.85 **** 0 0 0 0 0

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	18
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	4	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	31	Non-major	13
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	12						

Course-Section: HAPP 452 01

Title: Health Care Org/Del

Instructor: Stuart, Mary E

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 51

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	30	0	0	2	3	7	6	3.94	1249/1589	3.94	4.29	4.32	4.46	3.94
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	30	0	0	0	4	4	10	4.33	853/1589	4.33	4.21	4.29	4.35	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	30	0	0	1	3	4	10	4.28	855/1391	4.28	4.18	4.34	4.46	4.28
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	31	1	0	0	1	8	7	4.38	706/1552	4.38	4.18	4.25	4.37	4.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	2	9	6	4.24	713/1495	4.24	4.21	4.14	4.25	4.24
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	1	7	10	4.50	400/1457	4.50	4.19	4.15	4.30	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	30	0	0	1	2	3	12	4.44	586/1572	4.44	4.27	4.21	4.28	4.44
8. How many times was class cancelled	32	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	327/1589	4.94	4.59	4.66	4.68	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	34	0	0	0	3	9	2	3.93	1056/1569	3.93	3.97	4.13	4.22	3.93
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	31	0	1	0	0	3	13	4.59	773/1530	4.71	4.53	4.49	4.56	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	31	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	643/1533	4.82	4.81	4.75	4.76	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	31	0	1	1	0	4	11	4.35	883/1528	4.34	4.29	4.35	4.41	4.34
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	31	0	1	0	2	4	10	4.29	965/1529	4.23	4.33	4.36	4.44	4.23
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	32	0	1	1	1	5	8	4.13	720/1393	4.06	4.19	4.06	4.18	4.06
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	37	0	1	1	1	1	7	4.09	****/1337	****	4.05	4.17	4.36	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	37	0	0	0	2	0	9	4.64	****/1331	****	4.29	4.35	4.56	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	37	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	****/1333	****	4.51	4.40	4.63	****

Course-Section: HAPP 452 01

Title: Health Care Org/Del

Instructor: Stuart, Mary E

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 51

Questionnaires: 48

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	37	2	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	****/1014	****	4.11	4.05	4.32	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	3	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	48	Non-major	35
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	32						

Course-Section: HAPP 452 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 51 Questionnaires: 48

Instructor: Kim, Michael Kuh

Title: Health Care Org/Del

'				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	30	0	0	2	3	7	6	3.94	1249/1589	3.94	4.29	4.32	4.46	3.94
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	30	0	0	0	4	4	10	4.33	853/1589	4.33	4.21	4.29	4.35	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	30	0	0	1	3	4	10	4.28	855/1391	4.28	4.18	4.34	4.46	4.28
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	31	1	0	0	1	8	7	4.38	706/1552	4.38	4.18	4.25	4.37	4.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	2	9	6	4.24	713/1495	4.24	4.21	4.14	4.25	4.24
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	1	7	10	4.50	400/1457	4.50	4.19	4.15	4.30	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	30	0	0	1	2	3	12	4.44	586/1572	4.44	4.27	4.21	4.28	4.44
8. How many times was class cancelled	32	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	327/1589	4.94	4.59	4.66	4.68	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	35	0	0	0	2	10	1	3.92	1056/1569	3.93	3.97	4.13	4.22	3.93
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	36	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	346/1530	4.71	4.53	4.49	4.56	4.71
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	36	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	959/1533	4.82	4.81	4.75	4.76	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	36	0	0	1	1	3	7	4.33	909/1528	4.34	4.29	4.35	4.41	4.34
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	36	0	1	0	2	2	7	4.17	1081/1529	4.23	4.33	4.36	4.44	4.23
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	36	0	1	1	0	5	5	4.00	796/1393	4.06	4.19	4.06	4.18	4.06
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	37	0	1	1	1	1	7	4.09	****/1337	****	4.05	4.17	4.36	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	37	0	0	0	2	0	9	4.64	****/1331	****	4.29	4.35	4.56	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	37	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	****/1333	****	4.51	4.40	4.63	****

Course-Section: HAPP 452 01

Title: Health Care Org/Del

Instructor: Kim, Michael Kuh

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 51
Questionnaires: 48

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	37	2	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	****/1014	****	4.11	4.05	4.32	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	3	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	48	Non-major	35
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	32						

Course-Section: HAPP 496 01 Title: Internship Seminar **Term - Fall 2012**

Enrollment: 32 Questionnaires: 32

Instructor: Pinet Peralta,L

rillet relata,L														
				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	9	0	0	2	2	5	14	4.35	858/1589	4.35	4.29	4.32	4.46	4.35
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	8	0	0	0	9	6	9	4.00	1151/1589	4.00	4.21	4.29	4.35	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	9	14	1	0	1	4	3	3.89	1158/1391	3.89	4.18	4.34	4.46	3.89
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	9	5	1	1	3	6	7	3.94	1144/1552	3.94	4.18	4.25	4.37	3.94
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	9	3	1	0	4	8	7	4.00	899/1495	4.00	4.21	4.14	4.25	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	3	4	7	8	3.91	998/1457	3.91	4.19	4.15	4.30	3.91
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	10	0	0	0	7	3	12	4.23	885/1572	4.23	4.27	4.21	4.28	4.23
8. How many times was class cancelled	10	0	0	0	0	3	19	4.86	572/1589	4.86	4.59	4.66	4.68	4.86
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	18	0	0	1	4	6	3	3.79	1185/1569	3.79	3.97	4.13	4.22	3.79
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	1	0	1	2	18	4.64	694/1530	4.64	4.53	4.49	4.56	4.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	1	0	1	2	19	4.65	1114/1533	4.65	4.81	4.75	4.76	4.65
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	0	0	2	4	17	4.65	494/1528	4.65	4.29	4.35	4.41	4.65
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	0	1	0	3	5	13	4.32	945/1529	4.32	4.33	4.36	4.44	4.32
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	12	1	2	1	2	8	6	3.79	979/1393	3.79	4.19	4.06	4.18	3.79
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	6	9	4.60	379/1337	4.60	4.05	4.17	4.36	4.60
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	17	0	0	1	0	4	10	4.53	599/1331	4.53	4.29	4.35	4.56	4.53
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	0	1	1	4	9	4.40	781/1333	4.40	4.51	4.40	4.63	4.40

18

Run Date: 1/31/2013 2:30:30 PM

4. Were special techniques successful

4.15

4.11

4.05

4.32

4.15

468/1014

4.15

Course-Section: HAPP 496 01

Title: Internship Seminar

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 32

Questionnaires: 32

Instructor: Pinet Peralta,L

	Frequencies							Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.31	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.27	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.32	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	****	4.40	4.37	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/165	****	****	4.12	4.09	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	5.00	4.46	4.56	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.54	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.31	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	5.00	4.47	4.49	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	4.50	4.19	4.12	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/40	****	****	3.85	4.14	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	30	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/40	****	****	3.89	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	30	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/32	****	****	4.30	4.35	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	30	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.20	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	30	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.31	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/39	****	****	4.00	4.43	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.12	4.38	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/33	****	****	4.42	4.51	****

Course-Section: HAPP 496 01

Title: Internship Seminar

Instructor: Pinet Peralta,L

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 32

Questionnaires: 32

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.44	4.23	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	31	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/16	****	****	4.25	3.85	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	21	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	1	Major	22	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	31	Non-major	10	
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	5	D	0							
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	10							

Course-Section: HAPP 497 01

Title: Hith Ping & Admin

Instructor: Coakley, Paul E

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 27

Questionnaires: 27

			Frequencies					In	Instructor		Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	5	0	0	0	0	3	19	4.86	194/1589	4.86	4.29	4.32	4.46	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	5	0	0	0	0	0	22	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.21	4.29	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	5	0	0	0	0	0	22	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.18	4.34	4.46	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	5	1	0	0	0	2	19	4.90	108/1552	4.90	4.18	4.25	4.37	4.90
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	5	1	0	1	1	0	19	4.76	176/1495	4.76	4.21	4.14	4.25	4.76
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	1	20	4.86	100/1457	4.86	4.19	4.15	4.30	4.86
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	5	0	0	0	0	0	22	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.27	4.21	4.28	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	5	0	0	0	0	2	20	4.91	467/1589	4.91	4.59	4.66	4.68	4.91
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	14	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	82/1569	4.92	3.97	4.13	4.22	4.92
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	0	22	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.53	4.49	4.56	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	0	22	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.81	4.75	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	1	21	4.95	87/1528	4.95	4.29	4.35	4.41	4.95
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	22	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.33	4.36	4.44	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	11	2	0	0	3	6	4.00	796/1393	4.00	4.19	4.06	4.18	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.05	4.17	4.36	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	1	0	17	4.89	238/1331	4.89	4.29	4.35	4.56	4.89
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.51	4.40	4.63	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	9	7	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	228/1014	4.55	4.11	4.05	4.32	4.55

Course-Section: HAPP 497 01

Title: HIth Plng & Admin

Instructor: Coakley, Paul E

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 27

Questionnaires: 27

			Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.31	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	****	****	4.17	4.27	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.32	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	****	4.40	4.37	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/165	****	****	4.12	4.09	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	5.00	4.46	4.56	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.54	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.31	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	5.00	4.47	4.49	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	4.50	4.19	4.12	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/40	****	****	3.85	4.14	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/40	****	****	3.89	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.30	4.35	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.20	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.31	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/39	****	****	4.00	4.43	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.12	4.38	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/33	****	****	4.42	4.51	****

Course-Section: HAPP 497 01

Title: HIth Plng & Admin

Instructor: Coakley, Paul E

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 27
Questionnaires: 27

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	****	4.44	4.23	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/16	****	****	4.25	3.85	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	redits Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades			Reasons		Туре		Majors			
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	18
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	27	Non-major	9
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	9						