## University of Maryland Baltimore County Spring 2009

## Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

			Frequencies					Inst	ructor	Course Dept		UMBC Level		Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	б	4.71	347/1576	4.71	4.34	4.30	4.11	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	515/1576	4.57	4.32	4.27	4.18	4.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	345/1342	4.71	4.48	4.32	4.19	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	291/1520	4.71	4.30	4.25	4.09	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	483/1465	4.43	4.26	4.12	4.02	4.43
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	130/1434	4.86	4.22	4.14	3.94	4.86
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	1	3	3.86	1182/1547	3.86	4.12	4.19	4.10	3.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	1025/1574	4.57	4.55	4.64	4.59	4.57
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	263/1554	4.67	4.13	4.10	4.01	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1488	5.00	4.39	4.47	4.41	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1493		4.78	4.73	4.65	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	393/1486		4.33	4.32	4.26	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	Ő	Õ	Ő	0	1	6	4.86	251/1489		4.40	4.32	4.22	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	132/1277		3.99	4.03	3.91	4.80
1									- ,					
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1279	5.00	4.30	4.17	3.96	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1270	5.00	4.57	4.35	4.09	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1269	5.00	4.38	4.35	4.09	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	4	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/ 878	5.00	4.19	4.05	3.91	5.00

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Туре	Majors				
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	A	2	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	0		
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3								
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	1	Under-grad	7	Non-major	7		
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0								
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	1	#### - Means there are not enough					
				P	0		responses to be s		be sig	gnificant			
				I	0	Other	0	-					
				?	1								