Course-Section: HUM 121H 0101 University of Maryland Title INTRO TO HUMANITIES II Baltimore County

Baltimore County
Spring 2008

Instructor: SENG, PHILLIP (Instr. A)
Enrollment: 17

Enrollment: 17
Questionnaires: 17

Page 979 AUG 6, 2008 Job IRBR3029

O +	a	Evaluation	0	
Student	Course	Evaluation.	Ouestion	шатге

Ouestions			Fre	equer 2	ncies 3	s 4	5	Ins Mean	tructor Rank	Course	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean		Sect Mean
	NR	NA 												
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	2	1	1	8	4	3.69	1478/1670	3.69	3.69	4.31	4.23	3.69
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	1	4	6	4	3.69	1443/1666	3.69	3.69	4.27	4.30	3.69
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	1	3	5	6	3.88	1252/1615	3.88	3.88	4.24	4.17	3.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	2	1	5	7	3.94	962/1566	3.94	3.94	4.07	4.03	3.94
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	1	3	7	4	3.75	1152/1528	3.75	3.75	4.12	4.00	3.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	0	4	5	6	3.94	1235/1650	3.94	3.94	4.22	4.28	3.94
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1667		5.00	4.67	4.61	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	1	0	2	5	5	4.00	953/1626	4.12	4.12	4.11	4.07	4.12
Lecture	_	_	_	_		_	_							
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	673/1559		4.65	4.46	4.47	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	777/1560		4.83	4.72	4.68	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	1	0	4	7	4.42	802/1549		4.44	4.31	4.32	4.44
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	1	Τ	2	8	4.42	835/1546		4.34	4.32	4.32	4.34
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	10	Τ	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1323	****	****	4.00	3.91	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	608/1384	4.33	4.33	4.10	3.92	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	1	5	0	3	3.56	1174/1378	3.56	3.56	4.29	4.09	3.56
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	621/1378	4.56	4.56	4.31	4.08	4.56
4. Were special techniques successful	8	8	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/ 904	****	***	4.03	3.94	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	9	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	62/ 87		4.63	4.65	4.67	4.63
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	9	0	0	0	0	6	2	4.25	66/ 79		4.25	4.64	4.72	4.25
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	3	3	2	3.88	67/ 75		3.88	4.57	4.46	3.88
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	45/ 79		4.50	4.45	4.59	4.50
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	9	0	0	1	3	4	0	3.38	60/ 80	3.38	3.38	3.97	3.99	3.38
Frequ	encv	Dist	rib	ıtior	า									

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned Cum. GPA			Expecte	d Grades	Reasons		Type	Majors			
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	А	4	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	8	1.00-1.99	0	В	12						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	C	0	General	0	Under-grad	17	Non-major	17
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means	there	are not enough	n
				P	0			responses to	be sig	gnificant	
				I	0	Other	16				
				?	0						

Course-Section: HUM 121H 0101 Title INTRO TO HUMANITIES II

University of Maryland Page 980 AUG 6, 2008 Baltimore County Spring 2008 Job IRBR3029 Instructor: FARABAUGH, ROBI (Instr. B)

Enrollment: 17 Questionnaires: 17

_				
Student	Course	Evaluation	Ouestionna	aire

							Frequencies				Instructor			Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect		
			Questions	5		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank		Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
1 5'			General			-	•	•	-	-	0		2 60	1 450 /16	<b>.</b>	2 60	2 60	4 21	4 00	2 60
			new insights,skil			1 1	0	2	1 1	1	8	4		1478/16			3.69		4.23	3.69
	2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals						-	1 1	1	4	6	4		1443/16		3.69	3.69	4.27	4.30	3.69
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned						1	0	_		3	5			1252/16		3.88	3.88	4.24	4.17	3.88
		_	_		-	1	0	1	2	1	5			962/15		3.94		4.07	4.03	3.94
			_		what you learned	1	0	1	1	3	7			1152/15		3.75	3.75	4.12	4.00	3.75
		_	ng system clearly		.nea	1	0	1	0	4	5			1235/16		3.94	3.94	4.22	4.28	3.94
	-		s was class cance			1	•	•	0	0	0		5.00	1/16		5.00	5.00		4.61	5.00
9. Ho	w wou.	la you	grade the overa.	ll teach	ing effectiveness	4	0	0	0	2	6	5	4.23	751/16	26	4.12	4.12	4.11	4.07	4.12
			Lecture	2																
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared					6	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	722/15	59	4.65	4.65	4.46	4.47	4.65	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject					6	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	829/15	60	4.83	4.83	4.72	4.68	4.83	
3. Wa	s lect	ture ma	aterial presented	d and ex	plained clearly	6	0	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	749/15	49	4.44	4.44	4.31	4.32	4.44
4. Di	d the	lectu	res contribute to	what y	rou learned	6	0	0	1	1	3	6	4.27	971/15	46	4.34	4.34	4.32	4.32	4.34
5. Di	d aud:	iovisua	al techniques enh	nance yo	our understanding	6	10	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/13	23	****	****	4.00	3.91	***
			Discus				_			_										
			cussions contribu			8	0	0	0	1	4	4	4.33	608/13		4.33			3.92	
			ents actively end			8	0	0	1	5	0	3		1174/13		3.56	3.56	4.29	4.09	3.56
					l open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	4		4.56	621/13		4.56	4.56	4.31	4.08	4.56
4. We	re spe	ecial	techniques succes	ssful		8	8	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/ 9	04	****	****	4.03	3.94	****
			Semina	2																
1. We	re ass	sianed	topics relevant		announced theme	9	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	62/	87	4.63	4.63	4.65	4.67	4.63
		_	-		vidual attention	9	0	0	0	0	6		4.25		79	4.25	4.25	4.64	4.72	4.25
			projects contribu			9	0	0	0	3	3	2	3.88	67/	75	3.88	3.88	4.57	4.46	3.88
		_	ions contribute t		_	9	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	. ,	79	4.50	4.50		4.59	4.50
	_		for grading made		700 -00-00	9	0	0	1	3	4	0	3.38	- ,	80		3.38			3.38
					Frequ	ency	Dis	tribu	utior	1										
Credi	ts Ear	rned	Cum. GPA		Expected Grades				Rea	sons	3				Тур	е		Majors		\$
00-2	7	4	0.00-0.99	0	A 4		 Re	 quire		 or Ma	ior		0	 Gradu	ate		0	Majo		0
28-5		8	1.00-1.99	0	B 12		1/6/	2411	-u - u	) <u> </u>	، ۲۰۰۰	_	J	Gradu	acc		0	1.10	, <u>.</u>	U
56-8		0	2.00-2.99	1	C 0		Gei	neral					0	Under	-ar	ad 1	7	Non-	major	17
84-1		0	3.00-3.49	4	D 0		001		-				~	OHACI	5-	~~ I	•	1,011		± /
Grad		0	3.50-4.00	9	F 0		E14	ectiv	7es				0	####	_ M	eans t	here a	re not	enous	ıh
Siad	•	J	3.30 1.00	,	P 0								~						_	,
					I O		O+1	ner	16				6	responses to be significant						
					? 0	Other				10										