

Course-Section: JDST 100 1
 Title Intro Judaic Studies
 Instructor: Buchdahl, Gustav
 Enrollment: 31
 Questionnaires: 29

University of Maryland
 Baltimore County
 Fall 2009

Page 918
 MAR 22, 2010
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	NR	NA	Frequencies					Instructor		Course Mean	Dept Mean	UMBC Mean	Level Mean	Sect Mean
			1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank					

General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	2	6	6	14	4.14	998/1509	4.14	4.14	4.31	4.18	4.14
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	4	5	7	11	3.93	1148/1509	3.93	3.93	4.26	4.25	3.93
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	1	3	6	17	4.32	718/1287	4.32	4.32	4.30	4.24	4.32	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	16	0	3	2	1	5	3.73	1207/1459	3.73	3.73	4.22	4.11	3.73
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	2	0	3	8	15	4.21	635/1406	4.21	4.21	4.09	4.02	4.21
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	18	1	0	5	1	3	3.50	1192/1384	3.50	3.50	4.11	3.98	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	5	5	5	11	3.85	1148/1489	3.85	3.85	4.17	4.20	3.85
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	1	0	0	5	22	4.68	933/1506	4.68	4.68	4.67	4.66	4.68
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	2	3	7	11	4.17	714/1463	4.17	4.17	4.09	4.02	4.17
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	2	1	6	17	4.46	852/1438	4.46	4.46	4.46	4.44	4.46
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	1	24	4.88	588/1421	4.88	4.88	4.73	4.66	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	1	7	8	9	4.00	1051/1411	4.00	4.00	4.31	4.27	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	1	4	5	15	4.36	798/1405	4.36	4.36	4.32	4.27	4.36
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	17	2	0	2	1	3	3.38	1042/1236	3.38	3.38	4.00	3.87	3.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	2	0	2	3	5	3.75	936/1260	3.75	3.75	4.14	3.95	3.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	17	0	1	2	1	3	5	3.75	1054/1255	3.75	3.75	4.33	4.15	3.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	1	0	0	4	7	4.33	770/1258	4.33	4.33	4.38	4.18	4.33
4. Were special techniques successful	17	8	1	1	0	0	2	3.25	****/ 873	****	****	4.03	3.89	****
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	28	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/ 198	****	****	4.22	4.14	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/ 48	****	****	4.39	3.75	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	28	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/ 48	****	****	4.41	4.29	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/ 49	****	****	4.26	4.28	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned	Cum. GPA	Expected Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors		
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	A 13	Required for Majors 2	Graduate 0	Major 0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B 7			
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	1	C 1	General 10	Under-grad 29	Non-major 29
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D 0			
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F 1	Electives 8	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant	
				P 0			
				I 0	Other 1		
				? 0			