Course-Section: JDST 310 01
Title Modern Israel
Instructor: Lukacs,Yehuda

University of Maryland Baltimore County Spring 2010 Page 886 JUN 28, 2010 Job IRBR3029

Enrollment: 24
Questionnaires: 13 Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

							Frequencies				Ins	tructor	Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions					NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Genera	 1															
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course						0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	298/1447	4.77	4.57	4.31	4.32	4.77
_	2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals					0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	238/1447		4.48	4.27	4.23	4.77
	3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals						0	0	0	2	11	4.85	,		4.62	4.33	4.33	4.85
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals						0 2	0	0	2	2	7	4.45			4.23	4.24	4.24	4.45
			_	what you learned	0 1	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	,		4.63	4.11	4.10	4.67
	_	-		what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	3	8	4.58			4.21	4.14	4.13	4.58
		system clearl			1	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67			4.55	4.19	4.15	4.67
		was class canc			0	0	0	0	0	12	1		1340/1447		4.54	4.69	4.65	4.08
	-			ning effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	4	8	4.67	,		4.37	4.10	4.09	4.67
		Lectur	e															
1. Were t	he instru	ctor's lecture	s well p	prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	160/1387	4.92	4.87	4.46	4.44	4.92
2. Did the	e instruc	tor seem inter	ested ir	n the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	475/1387	4.92	4.96	4.73	4.71	4.92
3. Was le	cture mat	erial presente	d and ex	plained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	217/1386	4.83	4.73	4.32	4.30	4.83
4. Did the	e lecture	s contribute t	o what y	ou learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	143/1380	4.92	4.83	4.32	4.32	4.92
5. Did au	diovisual	techniques en	hance yo	our understanding	1	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	131/1193	4.75	4.75	4.02	4.05	4.75
		Discus																
				what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	302/1172	4.64	4.48	4.15	4.24	4.64
		_	_	l to participate	3	0	0	0	1	3	6	4.50	553/1182	4.50	4.71	4.35	4.42	4.50
				l open discussion	3	0	0	0	1	0	9	4.80	- ,		4.78	4.38	4.49	4.80
4. Were special techniques successful					3	7	0	0	2	0	1	3.67	****/ 800	****	3.00	4.06	4.12	****
_		Labora	_															
2. Were y	ou provid	ed with adequa	te backo	ground information	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 192	****	****	4.34	4.20	****
		Semina	r															
				vidual attention	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/ 62	****	****	4.56	4.21	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned					12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	,	****	****	4.41	2.87	****
4. Did pr	esentatio:	ns contribute	to what	you learned	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/ 65	****	****	4.42	4.01	****
5. Were c	riteria f	or grading mad	e clear		12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 64	****	****	4.09	3.38	****
		Self	Paced															
1. Did se	lf-paced	system contrib	ute to v	what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 31	****	****	4.72	5.00	****
		ions make clea			12	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 21	****	****	4.57	5.00	***
				Frequ	ency	Dis	trib	utio	n									
Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades					Reasons					s			Ту	уре		Majors		5
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	 1	 А 9		Re	quir	ed fo	or M	aior	 `s	3	 Graduat	 e	0	Majo	 or	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	B 2			-10-1		11	(-	5 GIAGUA		-C 0			-	ŭ
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	0	C 2		Ge	nera	1				7	Under-g	rad 1	.3	Non-	-major	13
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D 0									3				3 - "	
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F 0		El	ecti	ves				1	#### - 1	Means t	here a	re not	enou	_i h
	-			P 0		_							responses to be significate				_	•
I 0						Ot1	her					2			5-			
				? 0														

Course-Section: JDST 340 01

. 0001 340 01

Title Origins Anti-Semitism

Instructor: Hochberg, Severi

Enrollment: 28
Questionnaires: 16

University of Maryland Baltimore County Spring 2010

Page 887 JUN 28, 2010 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

			Frequencies			Tnst	ructor	Course Dept		UMBC Leve		l Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	_	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	7	8	4.38	752/1447		4.57	4.31	4.32	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	2	5	8	4.19	920/1447		4.48	4.27	4.23	4.19
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	1	4	9	4.40	658/1241	4.40	4.62	4.33	4.33	4.40
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	2	0	1	4	1	6	4.00	976/1402		4.23	4.24	4.24	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	4	10	4.60	280/1358		4.63	4.11	4.10	4.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	2	2	5	4	3.85	944/1316	3.85	4.21	4.14	4.13	3.85
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	1	2	1	10	4.43	568/1427		4.55	4.19	4.15	4.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0		5.00	1/1447	5.00	4.54	4.69	4.65	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	2	10	3	4.07	817/1434	4.07	4.37	4.10	4.09	4.07
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	337/1387	4.81	4.87	4.46	4.44	4.81
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1387	5.00	4.96	4.73	4.71	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	2	2	12	4.63	483/1386	4.63	4.73	4.32	4.30	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	339/1380	4.75	4.83	4.32	4.32	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	13	3	0	0	0	0		****/1193	****	4.75	4.02	4.05	****
1														
Discussion		•		•			_		504/4450	4 00	4 40			4 00
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	3	2	7	4.33	521/1172		4.48	4.15	4.24	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	178/1182		4.71	4.35	4.42	4.92
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	390/1170		4.78	4.38	4.49	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	4	8	1	0	2	0	1	3.00	742/ 800	3.00	3.00	4.06	4.12	3.00
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	14	1	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/ 189	****	****	4.34	4.26	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	14	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/ 192	****	****	4.34	4.20	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	14	1	0	0	0	0	1		****/ 186	****	****	4.48	4.36	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	14	1	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/ 187	****	****	4.33	4.11	****
<u>.</u>														
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	13	1	0	0	0	0	2		****/ 66	****	****	4.58	4.17	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/ 62	****	****	4.56	4.21	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	2		****/ 58	****	****	4.41	2.87	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	2		****/ 65	****	****	4.42	4.01	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	14	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 64	****	****	4.09	3.38	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	14	0	2	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/ 38	****	****	4.49	4.73	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 36	****	****	4.25	3.81	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 28	****	****	4.52	4.46	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 30	****	****	4.30	4.42	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 27	****	****	4.43	4.50	****
- 10 - 1														
Self Paced		•			•	•			,			4 ===	- 0-	
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/ 31	****	****	4.72	5.00	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 21	****	****	4.57	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 31	****	****	4.64	5.00	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 20	****	****	4.60	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 15	****	^ ^ * *	4.61	5.00	^ ^ * *

Course-Section: JDST 340 01

Title Origins Anti-Semitism

Instructor: Enrollment: Questionnaires: 16

Hochberg, Severi

28

University of Maryland Baltimore County Spring 2010

Page 887 JUN 28, 2010 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected	d Grades	Reasons		Type	Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	A	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	11						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	2	C	0	General	7	Under-grad	16	Non-major	16
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	5	#### - Means	there	are not enough	n
				P	0			responses to	be si	gnificant	
				I	0	Other	0	-			
				?	0						