Course-Section: JPNS 102 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 23

Questionnaires: 19

Title: Elem Japanese II **Instructor:** Walcott, Yasuko

| | | | Frequencies | | | | Instructor | | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect | |
|---|----|----|-------------|---|---|----|------------|------|-----------|------|-------------|-------|------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 4.37 | 831/1542 | 4.31 | 4.46 | 4.33 | 4.18 | 4.37 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 4.16 | 1035/1542 | 4.02 | 4.49 | 4.29 | 4.23 | 4.16 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 4.16 | 904/1339 | 3.95 | 4.59 | 4.32 | 4.14 | 4.16 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 3.89 | 1165/1498 | 3.79 | 4.48 | 4.26 | 4.08 | 3.89 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 4.24 | 650/1428 | 4.22 | 4.39 | 4.12 | 3.98 | 4.24 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 3.88 | 989/1407 | 3.82 | 4.41 | 4.15 | 3.92 | 3.88 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 4.00 | 1046/1521 | 3.81 | 4.35 | 4.20 | 4.09 | 4.00 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 4.68 | 976/1541 | 4.84 | 4.68 | 4.70 | 4.66 | 4.68 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 4.07 | 865/1518 | 3.82 | 4.31 | 4.11 | 4.00 | 4.07 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 3.94 | 1266/1472 | 3.97 | 4.61 | 4.46 | 4.38 | 3.94 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 4.83 | 727/1475 | 4.73 | 4.85 | 4.72 | 4.63 | 4.83 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 3.83 | 1210/1471 | 3.67 | 4.49 | 4.32 | 4.23 | 3.83 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 4.06 | 1090/1470 | 4.03 | 4.59 | 4.33 | 4.21 | 4.06 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 2 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3.36 | 1129/1310 | 3.57 | 4.22 | 4.06 | 3.93 | 3.36 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 4.12 | 733/1210 | 4.00 | 4.42 | 4.18 | 3.91 | 4.12 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 4.75 | 352/1211 | 4.44 | 4.63 | 4.37 | 4.15 | 4.75 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 4.13 | 881/1207 | 4.06 | 4.59 | 4.41 | 4.12 | 4.13 |

Course-Section: JPNS 102 01

Title: Elem Japanese II

Instructor: Walcott, Yasuko

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 23

Questionnaires: 19

| | | | Frequencies | | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect | | |
|---------------------------------------|----|----|-------------|---|---|-----|----------|--------|---------|------|-------|------|------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 4.07 | 460/859 | 3.91 | 4.28 | 4.08 | 3.95 | 4.07 |

Frequency Distribution

| Credits E | arned | Cum. GP/ | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | | |
|-----------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|----|--|
| 00-27 | 0 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 4 | Required for Majors | 1 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 1 | |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 7 | | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 4 | 2.00-2.99 | 2 | С | 7 | General | 8 | Under-grad | 19 | Non-major | 18 | |
| 84-150 | 2 | 3.00-3.49 | 5 | D | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 4 | F | 0 | Electives | 6 | **** - Means the | re are not e | nough responses | | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 2 | | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 1 | | | | | | | |

Course-Section: JPNS 102 03

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 21
Questionnaires: 16

Title: Elem Japanese II
Instructor: Walcott, Yasuko

| | | | | | | | Instructor | | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect | |
|---|----|----|---|---|---|---|------------|------|-----------|------|-------------|-------|------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 4.25 | 962/1542 | 4.31 | 4.46 | 4.33 | 4.18 | 4.25 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 3.88 | 1229/1542 | 4.02 | 4.49 | 4.29 | 4.23 | 3.88 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3.75 | 1127/1339 | 3.95 | 4.59 | 4.32 | 4.14 | 3.75 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 3.69 | 1272/1498 | 3.79 | 4.48 | 4.26 | 4.08 | 3.69 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 4.20 | 681/1428 | 4.22 | 4.39 | 4.12 | 3.98 | 4.20 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 3.75 | 1080/1407 | 3.82 | 4.41 | 4.15 | 3.92 | 3.75 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 3.63 | 1276/1521 | 3.81 | 4.35 | 4.20 | 4.09 | 3.63 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 5.00 | 1/1541 | 4.84 | 4.68 | 4.70 | 4.66 | 5.00 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3.56 | 1262/1518 | 3.82 | 4.31 | 4.11 | 4.00 | 3.56 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 4.00 | 1222/1472 | 3.97 | 4.61 | 4.46 | 4.38 | 4.00 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 4.63 | 1092/1475 | 4.73 | 4.85 | 4.72 | 4.63 | 4.63 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3.50 | 1332/1471 | 3.67 | 4.49 | 4.32 | 4.23 | 3.50 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 4.00 | 1108/1470 | 4.03 | 4.59 | 4.33 | 4.21 | 4.00 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3.78 | 938/1310 | 3.57 | 4.22 | 4.06 | 3.93 | 3.78 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 3.88 | 866/1210 | 4.00 | 4.42 | 4.18 | 3.91 | 3.88 |
| 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 4.13 | 874/1211 | 4.44 | 4.63 | 4.37 | 4.15 | 4.13 |
| 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 4.00 | 918/1207 | 4.06 | 4.59 | 4.41 | 4.12 | 4.00 |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 3.75 | 607/859 | 3.91 | 4.28 | 4.08 | 3.95 | 3.75 |

Course-Section: JPNS 102 03

Title: Elem Japanese II

Instructor: Walcott, Yasuko

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 21
Questionnaires: 16

| | | | | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect | | | |
|---|----|----|---|---|-----|----------|--------|------|-------------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Laboratory | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Were you provided with adequate background information | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/210 | **** | 5.00 | 4.17 | 4.14 | **** |
| Seminar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/69 | **** | 4.75 | 4.60 | 4.28 | **** |
| 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/68 | **** | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.15 | **** |
| 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.00 | ****/73 | **** | 4.75 | 4.54 | 4.22 | **** |
| 5. Were criteria for grading made clear | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3.00 | ****/67 | **** | 3.75 | 4.17 | 3.14 | **** |
| Field Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/32 | **** | 4.75 | 4.20 | 5.00 | **** |
| 2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/35 | **** | 4.75 | 4.36 | 5.00 | **** |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/30 | **** | 4.75 | 4.27 | 4.84 | **** |
| 2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/19 | **** | 4.75 | 4.57 | 4.84 | **** |

Course-Section: JPNS 102 03

Title: Elem Japanese II

Instructor: Walcott, Yasuko

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 21

Questionnaires: 16

| | | | Frequencies | | | | | In | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect |
|---|----|----|-------------|---|---|---|---|------|----------|--------|------|------|-------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Self Paced | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.00 | ****/29 | **** | 4.75 | 4.29 | 4.82 | **** |

Frequency Distribution

| Credits E | redits Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades | | | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | | |
|-----------|--|-----------|---|--------|---------|---------------------|------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|----|
| 00-27 | 1 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 0 | Required for Majors | 4 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 1 |
| 28-55 | 1 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 7 | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 3 | С | 5 | General | 7 | Under-grad | 16 | Non-major | 15 |
| 84-150 | 2 | 3.00-3.49 | 3 | D | 1 | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 0 | F | 0 | Electives | 1 | **** - Means the | ere are not e | nough responses | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | |
| | | | | 1 | 0 | Other | 1 | _ | | | |
| | | | | ? | 1 | | | | | | |

Course-Section: JPNS 202 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 12

3.57

3.86

3.57

Instructor: Walcott, Yasuko

Title: Intermediate Japanese I

Questionnaires: 8

| | | | | | Instructor | | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect | | | |
|---|----|----|---|---|------------|---|--------|------|-----------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| General | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4.00 | 1173/1542 | 4.00 | 4.46 | 4.33 | 4.35 | 4.00 |
| 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3.63 | 1365/1542 | 3.63 | 4.49 | 4.29 | 4.29 | 3.63 |
| 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3.63 | 1176/1339 | 3.63 | 4.59 | 4.32 | 4.40 | 3.63 |
| 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3.86 | 1188/1498 | 3.86 | 4.48 | 4.26 | 4.31 | 3.86 |
| 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3.29 | 1309/1428 | 3.29 | 4.39 | 4.12 | 4.17 | 3.29 |
| 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 3.88 | 997/1407 | 3.88 | 4.41 | 4.15 | 4.14 | 3.88 |
| 7. Was the grading system clearly explained | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3.63 | 1276/1521 | 3.63 | 4.35 | 4.20 | 4.22 | 3.63 |
| 8. How many times was class cancelled | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4.57 | 1070/1541 | 4.57 | 4.68 | 4.70 | 4.68 | 4.57 |
| 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2.83 | 1460/1518 | 2.83 | 4.31 | 4.11 | 4.12 | 2.83 |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3.75 | 1343/1472 | 3.75 | 4.61 | 4.46 | 4.53 | 3.75 |
| 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4.38 | 1285/1475 | 4.38 | 4.85 | 4.72 | 4.79 | 4.38 |
| 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3.63 | 1299/1471 | 3.63 | 4.49 | 4.32 | 4.37 | 3.63 |
| 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 3.75 | 1237/1470 | 3.75 | 4.59 | 4.33 | 4.40 | 3.75 |
| 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2.80 | 1258/1310 | 2.80 | 4.22 | 4.06 | 4.19 | 2.80 |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

2

1

2

0

1

0

2

1

2

0

0

3

4

3

3.57

3.86

3.57

0

0

0

1

1

1

1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned

2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate

3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion

3.57

3.86

3.57

4.42

4.63

4.59

4.18

4.37

4.41

4.18

4.34

4.40

994/1210

1008/1211

1081/1207

Course-Section: JPNS 202 01

Title: Intermediate Japanese I

Instructor: Walcott, Yasuko

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 12
Questionnaires: 8

| | | Frequencies | | | | Ins | structor | Course | Org | UMBC | Level | Sect | | |
|---------------------------------------|----|-------------|---|---|---|-----|----------|--------|---------|-------------|-------|------|------|------|
| Questions | NR | NA | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Mean | Rank | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean | Mean |
| Discussion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Were special techniques successful | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3.17 | 803/859 | 3.17 | 4.28 | 4.08 | 4.07 | 3.17 |

Frequency Distribution

| Credits E | arned | Cum. GP/ | 4 | Expected | Grades | Reasons | | Туре | | Majors | | |
|-----------|-------|-----------|---|----------|--------|---------------------|---|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|---|--|
| 00-27 | 1 | 0.00-0.99 | 0 | Α | 2 | Required for Majors | 4 | Graduate | 0 | Major | 2 | |
| 28-55 | 0 | 1.00-1.99 | 0 | В | 2 | | | | | | | |
| 56-83 | 0 | 2.00-2.99 | 1 | С | 3 | General | 1 | Under-grad | 8 | Non-major | 6 | |
| 84-150 | 2 | 3.00-3.49 | 2 | D | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Grad. | 0 | 3.50-4.00 | 1 | F | 0 | Electives | 2 | **** - Means ther | e are not e | nough responses | | |
| | | | | Р | 0 | | | to be significant | | | | |
| | | | | I | 0 | Other | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | ? | 0 | | | | | | | |