**Course-Section: LING 290 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 23** 

**Instructor:** Ka,Omar

Title: Intro To Applied Ling

**Questionnaires: 14** 

	_	Frequencies						Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	4	8	4.43	750/1542	4.43	4.46	4.33	4.35	4.43
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	8	4.43	726/1542	4.43	4.49	4.29	4.29	4.43
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	3	8	4.36	739/1339	4.36	4.59	4.32	4.40	4.36
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	8	4.43	660/1498	4.43	4.48	4.26	4.31	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	0	1	3	8	4.07	809/1428	4.07	4.39	4.12	4.17	4.07
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	2	9	4.36	579/1407	4.36	4.41	4.15	4.14	4.36
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	441/1521	4.57	4.35	4.20	4.22	4.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	874/1541	4.79	4.68	4.70	4.68	4.79
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	2	7	2	4.00	920/1518	4.00	4.31	4.11	4.12	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	2	9	4.54	778/1472	4.54	4.61	4.46	4.53	4.54
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.85	4.72	4.79	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	2	3	8	4.46	696/1471	4.46	4.49	4.32	4.37	4.46
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	2	3	7	4.15	1037/1470	4.15	4.59	4.33	4.40	4.15
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	0	3	1	8	4.15	666/1310	4.15	4.22	4.06	4.19	4.15
Discussion										-				
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	170/1210	4.86	4.42	4.18	4.18	4.86
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1211	5.00	4.63	4.37	4.34	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	289/1207	4.86	4.59	4.41	4.40	4.86

Course-Section: LING 290 01

Title: Intro To Applied Ling

Instructor: Ka,Omar

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 23
Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	7	2	2	1	0	0	2	2.80	831/859	2.80	4.28	4.08	4.07	2.80

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	14	Non-major	6
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: LING 330 01

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 18

Instructor: Field, Thomas T

Title: Language In Context

**Questionnaires: 11** 

							Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	0	1	9	4.55	584/1542	4.55	4.46	4.33	4.37	4.55
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	4	5	4.27	904/1542	4.27	4.49	4.29	4.31	4.27
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	445/1339	4.64	4.59	4.32	4.36	4.64
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	688/1498	4.40	4.48	4.26	4.32	4.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	204/1428	4.73	4.39	4.12	4.15	4.73
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	355/1407	4.56	4.41	4.15	4.20	4.56
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	1	9	4.64	369/1521	4.64	4.35	4.20	4.23	4.64
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	9	2	4.18	1373/1541	4.18	4.68	4.70	4.71	4.18
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	269/1518	4.64	4.31	4.11	4.13	4.64
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	209/1472	4.91	4.61	4.46	4.46	4.91
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1475	5.00	4.85	4.72	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	597/1471	4.55	4.49	4.32	4.33	4.55

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

1

0

1

2

0

0

0

1

3

3

3

0

3

0

0

0

1

1

0

0

0

4.64

4.29

4.75

4.75

5.00

10

5

6

7

8

543/1470

546/1310

251/1210

352/1211

1/1207

4.64

4.29

4.75

4.75

5.00

4.59

4.22

4.42

4.63

4.59

4.33

4.06

4.18

4.37

4.41

4.35

4.11

4.27

4.45

4.51

4.64

4.29

4.75

4.75

5.00

Run Date: 6/29/2012 9:48:11 AM

4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned

5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding

1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned

2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate

3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion

Discussion

Course-Section: LING 330 01

Title: Language In Context

Instructor: Field,Thomas T

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	1	0	1	0	3	2	4.00	478/859	4.00	4.28	4.08	4.13	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	8
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

**Course-Section: LING 360 01** 

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 7** 

Title: Socioling & Dialectology

**Instructor:** Field, Thomas T

**Questionnaires: 7** 

	_	Frequencies						In	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1542	5.00	4.46	4.33	4.37	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	186/1542	4.86	4.49	4.29	4.31	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	205/1339	4.86	4.59	4.32	4.36	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	298/1498	4.71	4.48	4.26	4.32	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	212/1428	4.71	4.39	4.12	4.15	4.71
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	0	6	4.57	335/1407	4.57	4.41	4.15	4.20	4.57
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	441/1521	4.57	4.35	4.20	4.23	4.57
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	1070/1541	4.57	4.68	4.70	4.71	4.57
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	138/1518	4.83	4.31	4.11	4.13	4.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	288/1472	4.86	4.61	4.46	4.46	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	673/1475	4.86	4.85	4.72	4.74	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	221/1471	4.86	4.49	4.32	4.33	4.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	244/1470	4.86	4.59	4.33	4.35	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	99/1310	4.83	4.22	4.06	4.11	4.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1210	5.00	4.42	4.18	4.27	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	290/1211	4.80	4.63	4.37	4.45	4.80
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	344/1207	4.80	4.59	4.41	4.51	4.80

Course-Section: LING 360 01

Title: Socioling & Dialectology

Instructor: Field, Thomas T

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	<b>UMBC</b>	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	2	1	0	0	1	1	3.33	770/859	3.33	4.28	4.08	4.13	3.33

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: LING 490 01

Title: Sem In Applied Ling

Term - Spring 2012

**Enrollment: 5 Questionnaires: 5** 

**Instructor:** McCray, Stanley

**Frequencies Instructor** Course Ora UMBC Level Sect **Ouestions** NA 5 NR 1 3 Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean **General** 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course 1 0 0 0 1 0 3 4.50 632/1542 4.50 4.46 4.33 4.42 4.50 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals 1 0 0 0 0 4.29 0 4 5.00 1/1542 5.00 4.49 4.33 5.00 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals 2 1 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 1/1339 5.00 4.59 4.32 4.44 5.00 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals 1 0 0 0 1 0 3 4.50 549/1498 4.50 4.48 4.26 4.35 4.50 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned 1 0 0 0 1 0 3 4.50 390/1428 4.50 4.39 4.12 4.22 4.50 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned 2 0 0 0 0 1 2 4.67 252/1407 4.67 4.41 4.15 4.30 4.67 7. Was the grading system clearly explained 0 1 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 1/1521 5.00 4.35 4.20 4.24 5.00 8. How many times was class cancelled 1327/1541 1 0 0 0 0 3 1 4.25 4.25 4.68 4.70 4.72 4.25 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness 2 0 0 0 0 0 3 5.00 5.00 4.31 4.11 1/1518 4.18 5.00 Lecture 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared 1 0 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 1/1472 5.00 4.61 4.46 4.50 5.00 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject 1 0 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 1/1475 5.00 4.85 4.72 4.74 5.00 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly 0 1 0 0 0 0 5.00 1/1471 5.00 4.49 4.32 4.36 5.00 4 4.59 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned 1 0 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 1/1470 5.00 4.33 4.38 5.00 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding \*\*\*\*/1310 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\* 1 3 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 4.22 4.06 4.09 Discussion 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned 2 0 0 0 1 0 2 4.33 578/1210 4.33 4.42 4.18 4.34 4.33 2 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate 0 0 0 0 0 3 5.00 1/1211 5.00 4.63 4.37 4.47 5.00 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion 2 0 0 0 0 0 3 5.00 4.59 4.53

5.00

4.41

5.00

1/1207

Course-Section: LING 490 01

Title: Sem In Applied Ling

Instructor: McCray,Stanley

Term - Spring 2012

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/859	5.00	4.28	4.08	4.19	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	<b>A</b>	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	2						