Course-Section: LLC 600 0101 University of Maryland LANG, LIT, & CULTURE Baltimore County

Title Instructor: CRANDALL, JOANN Fall 2005

Enrollment: 8

5. Were criteria for grading made clear

Ouestionnaires: 8 Student Course Evaluation Ouestionnaire

Frequencies Instructor Course Dept UMBC Level Sect NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Ouestions Rank Mean Mean Mean Mean 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course 2 0 0 0 1 5 4.83 214/1674 4.83 4.92 4.27 4.44 4.83 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals 2 0 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals 2 1 0 0 0 1 5 4.83 191/1674 4.83 4.92 4.23 4.34 4.83 0 0 0 0 5 5.00 1/1609 5.00 5.00 4.22 4.34 5.00 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned 3 0 0 0 0 1 4 4.80 136/1585 4.80 4.90 3.96 4.23 4.80 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned 3 0 00 1 0 4 4.60 283/1535 4.60 4.80 4.08 4.27 4.60 7. Was the grading system clearly explained 8. How many times was class cancelled 2 4.00 1097/1651 4.00 4.50 4.18 4.32 4.00 4 0 0 2 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 5 5.00 1/1673 5.00 5.00 4.69 4.78 5.00 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness 3 0 0 0 1 4 4.80 149/1656 4.80 4.90 4.07 4.15 4.80 Lecture 2 0 0 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared 0 0 1 5 4.83 336/1586 4.83 4.92 4.43 4.50 4.83 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject 2 0 Ω Ω 0 0 6 5.00 1/1585 5.00 5.00 4.69 4.79 5.00 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly 2 0 0 0 0 1 5 4.83 217/1582 4.83 4.92 4.26 4.33 4.83 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned 2 0 0 0 1 0 5 4.67 495/1575 4.67 4.83 4.27 4.30 4.67 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding 2 1 2 0 2 0 1 2.60 1311/1380 2.60 1.80 3.94 3.85 2.60 Discussion 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned 1 5 4.83 173/1520 4.83 4.92 4.01 4.19 4.83 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate 2 0 0 0 0 0 6 5.00 1/1515 5.00 5.00 4.24 4.47 5.00 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion 2 0 0 0 0 0 6 5.00 1/1511 5.00 5.00 4.27 4.49 5.00 0 1 0 0 3.00 ****/ 994 **** 5.00 3.94 4.07 **** 4. Were special techniques successful 2 5 0 Seminar 1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme 7 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/ 103 **** 5.00 4.41 4.56 **** 1 5.00 ****/ 101 **** 5.00 4.48 4.62 **** 2. Was the instructor available for individual attention 7 0 0 0 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/ 95 **** 5.00 4.31 4.43 **** 3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned 7 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 ****/ 99 **** 5.00 4.39 4.54 **** 4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned

Page 1102

JAN 21, 2006

Job IRBR3029

Frequency Distribution

7 0 0 0 0 1 0 4.00 ****/ 97 **** 5.00 4.14 4.26 ****

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected	l Grades	Reasons	Type	Majors	Majors		
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	A	4	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	4	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	4	3.50-4.00 1		F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means	there	are not enough	
				P	0			responses to	gnificant		
				I	0	Other	6	-			
				?	1						

Course-Section: LLC 890 0101 University of Maryland Title RES PROPOSAL SEMINAR SCHWARTZ, ANA-M Instructor:

Baltimore County Fall 2005

Page 1103

JAN 21, 2006

Job IRBR3029

Enrollment:	3	
Questionnaires:	3	Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions						Frequencies				Instructor		Course	_	UMBC	Level	Sect	
			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
		 General															
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course				1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1674	5.00	4.92	4.27	4.44	5.00
	2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals				0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1674	5.00	4.92	4.23	4.34	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals					1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1016/1423	4.00	4.00	4.27	4.28	4.00
4. Did oth	her eval	uations reflect the	expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1609	5.00	5.00	4.22	4.34	5.00
5. Did ass	signed r	eadings contribute	to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1585	5.00	4.90	3.96	4.23	5.00
			e to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1535	5.00	4.80	4.08	4.27	5.00
7. Was the	e gradin	g system clearly ex	plained	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1651	5.00	4.50	4.18	4.32	5.00
8. How man	ny times	was class cancelle	d	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1673	5.00	5.00	4.69	4.78	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness					0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1656	5.00	4.90	4.07	4.15	5.00
		Lecture															
		uctor's lectures we		1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1586		4.92	4.43	4.50	5.00
	2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject				0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1585	5.00	5.00	4.69	4.79	5.00
	3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly					0	0	0	0	2		1/1582		4.92	4.26	4.33	5.00
	4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned			1	0	0	0	0	0	2		1/1575	5.00	4.83	4.27	4.30	5.00
5. Did aud	diovisua	l techniques enhanc	e your understanding	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1377/1380	1.00	1.80	3.94	3.85	1.00
		Discussion															
			to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2		1/1520		4.92	4.01	4.19	5.00
	2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate			1	0	0	0	0	0	2		1/1515	5.00	5.00	4.24	4.47	5.00
	3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion			1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1511	5.00	5.00	4.27	4.49	5.00
4. Were sp	pecial to	echniques successfu	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/ 994	5.00	5.00	3.94	4.07	5.00
		Seminar															
	1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme			1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/ 103	5.00	5.00	4.41	4.56	5.00
	2. Was the instructor available for individual attention			1	0	0	0	0	0	2		1/ 101	5.00	5.00	4.48	4.62	5.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned			1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/ 95	5.00	5.00	4.31	4.43	5.00	
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned			1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/ 99	5.00	5.00	4.39	4.54	5.00	
5. Were c	riteria :	for grading made cl	ear	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/ 97	5.00	5.00	4.14	4.26	5.00
			Frequ	iency	/ Dis	trib	utio	n									
Credits Ea	Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades					Reasons					Type			Majors			
00-27	0	0.00-0.99 0	A 0	Required for Majors 0					 Graduat	 e	0	Majc	 r	2			
28-55	1	1.00-1.99 0	В 0						J ·								
56-83	0	2.00-2.99 0	C 0		Gei	nera	1				0	Under-g	rad	3	Non-	major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49 0	D 0													-	
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00 2	F 0		Electives					0	#### - 1	- Means there are not enough					
			P 2									respons	es to b	e sign	ifican	ıt	
I 0					Otl	her					2						
	? 0																