Course-Section: MCS 101 01

Term - Fall 2012 Title: Media Literacy

Instructor: Loviglio, Jason

Enrollment: 50

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	5	20	4.52	633/1589	4.15	4.29	4.32	4.20	4.52
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	3	8	17	4.38	802/1589	4.51	4.52	4.29	4.28	4.38
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	18	0	0	2	0	8	4.60	482/1391	4.34	4.42	4.34	4.29	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	5	6	17	4.34	744/1552	4.47	4.53	4.25	4.16	4.34
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	2	7	18	4.50	416/1495	4.48	4.52	4.14	4.07	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	3	6	19	4.48	421/1457	4.18	4.32	4.15	3.99	4.48
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	6	7	15	4.32	748/1572	4.36	4.42	4.21	4.18	4.32
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	11	16	4.59	1021/1589	4.65	4.66	4.66	4.59	4.59
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	1	10	15	4.54	344/1569	4.15	4.14	4.13	4.08	4.31
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	1	4	4	20	4.48	912/1530	4.33	4.56	4.49	4.45	4.46
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	2	0	27	4.86	700/1533	4.73	4.78	4.75	4.69	4.65
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	4	3	22	4.62	539/1528	4.65	4.62	4.35	4.31	4.56
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	4	3	21	4.52	726/1529	4.57	4.65	4.36	4.31	4.51
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	2	6	21	4.66	229/1393	4.67	4.65	4.06	3.99	4.52
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	0	2	1	20	4.63	365/1337	4.12	4.39	4.17	4.01	4.63
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	3	4	17	4.58	559/1331	4.28	4.51	4.35	4.18	4.58
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	2	2	19	4.74	464/1333	4.45	4.65	4.40	4.22	4.74
4. Were special techniques successful	5	9	0	0	4	4	7	4.20	429/1014	4.10	4.20	4.05	3.91	4.20

Course-Section: MCS 101 01

Title: Media Literacy

Instructor: Loviglio, Jason

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 50

Questionnaires: 29

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	26	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/180	4.78	4.78	4.20	4.25	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	26	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/194	4.48	4.48	4.17	4.36	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	26	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/178	4.78	4.78	4.47	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	26	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/181	4.78	4.78	4.40	4.54	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	26	1	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/165	4.75	4.75	4.12	4.37	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	28	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/40	1.00	1.00	3.85	3.17	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	28	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/40	1.00	1.00	3.89	3.11	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/39	1.00	1.00	4.00	3.52	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	28	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/33	4.00	4.00	4.42	4.30	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	10						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	2	General	1	Under-grad	29	Non-major	21
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	7						

Course-Section: MCS 101 01 Title: Media Literacy **Term - Fall 2012**

Enrollment: 50

Instructor: Snyder, Donald I

instruction on yactipolitata i				Ero	quen	sios		T-n	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	queno 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		Mean
•	INK	INA			3	4	9	Меан	Kalik	Mean	Меан	Меан	Меан	Меан
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	3	5	20	4.52	633/1589	4.15	4.29	4.32	4.20	4.52
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	3	8	17	4.38	802/1589	4.51	4.52	4.29	4.28	4.38
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	18	0	0	2	0	8	4.60	482/1391	4.34	4.42	4.34	4.29	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	5	6	17	4.34	744/1552	4.47	4.53	4.25	4.16	4.34
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	2	7	18	4.50	416/1495	4.48	4.52	4.14	4.07	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	3	6	19	4.48	421/1457	4.18	4.32	4.15	3.99	4.48
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	6	7	15	4.32	748/1572	4.36	4.42	4.21	4.18	4.32
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	11	16	4.59	1021/1589	4.65	4.66	4.66	4.59	4.59
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	15	1	0	0	2	8	3	4.08	902/1569	4.15	4.14	4.13	4.08	4.31
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	15	0	0	0	2	4	8	4.43	990/1530	4.33	4.56	4.49	4.45	4.46
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	15	0	0	0	3	2	9	4.43	1332/1533	4.73	4.78	4.75	4.69	4.65
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	15	0	0	0	1	5	8	4.50	695/1528	4.65	4.62	4.35	4.31	4.56
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	2	3	9	4.50	739/1529	4.57	4.65	4.36	4.31	4.51
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	15	1	0	0	3	2	8	4.38	456/1393	4.67	4.65	4.06	3.99	4.52
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	0	2	1	20	4.63	365/1337	4.12	4.39	4.17	4.01	4.63
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	3	4	17	4.58	559/1331	4.28	4.51	4.35	4.18	4.58
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	2	2	19	4.74	464/1333	4.45	4.65	4.40	4.22	4.74
4. Were special techniques successful	5	9	0	0	4	4	7	4.20	429/1014	4.10	4.20	4.05	3.91	4.20

Course-Section: MCS 101 01

Title: Media Literacy

Instructor: Snyder, Donald I

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 50

Questionnaires: 29

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	26	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/180	4.78	4.78	4.20	4.25	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	26	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/194	4.48	4.48	4.17	4.36	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	26	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/178	4.78	4.78	4.47	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	26	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/181	4.78	4.78	4.40	4.54	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	26	1	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/165	4.75	4.75	4.12	4.37	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	28	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/40	1.00	1.00	3.85	3.17	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	28	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/40	1.00	1.00	3.89	3.11	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	28	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/39	1.00	1.00	4.00	3.52	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	28	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/33	4.00	4.00	4.42	4.30	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	10						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	2	General	1	Under-grad	29	Non-major	21
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	7						

Course-Section: MCS 101 02 **Title: Media Literacy** **Term - Fall 2012**

Enrollment: 18 Questionnaires: 11

Instructor: Snyder, Donald I

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	832/1589	4.15	4.29	4.32	4.20	4.36
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	832/1589	4.15	4.29	4.32	4.20	4.36
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	832/1589	4.15	4.29	4.32	4.20	4.36
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	6	5	4.45	689/1589	4.51	4.52	4.29	4.28	4.45
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	6	5	4.45	689/1589	4.51	4.52	4.29	4.28	4.45
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	6	5	4.45	689/1589	4.51	4.52	4.29	4.28	4.45
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	600/1391	4.34	4.42	4.34	4.29	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	600/1391	4.34	4.42	4.34	4.29	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	600/1391	4.34	4.42	4.34	4.29	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	5	4.36	718/1552	4.47	4.53	4.25	4.16	4.36
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	5	4.36	718/1552	4.47	4.53	4.25	4.16	4.36
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	5	4.36	718/1552	4.47	4.53	4.25	4.16	4.36
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	564/1495	4.48	4.52	4.14	4.07	4.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	564/1495	4.48	4.52	4.14	4.07	4.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	564/1495	4.48	4.52	4.14	4.07	4.38

0

0

0

0

0

0

3

3

3

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

1

1

1

0

0

0

0

0

0

1

1

1

3

3

3

3

3

3

4

4

7

7

7

4.25

4.25

4.25

4.55

4.55

4.55

680/1457

680/1457

680/1457

452/1572

452/1572

452/1572

Run Date: 1/31/2013 1:48:00 PM

6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned

6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned

6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned

7. Was the grading system clearly explained

7. Was the grading system clearly explained

7. Was the grading system clearly explained

4.18

4.18

4.18

4.36

4.36

4.36

4.32

4.32

4.32

4.42

4.42

4.42

4.15

4.15

4.15

4.21

4.21

4.21

3.99

3.99

3.99

4.18

4.18

4.18

4.25

4.25

4.25

4.55

4.55

4.55

Course-Section: MCS 101 02

Term - Fall 2012 Title: Media Literacy

Instructor: Snyder, Donald I

Enrollment: 18 Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	7	3	4.30	1304/1589	4.65	4.66	4.66	4.59	4.30
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	7	3	4.30	1304/1589	4.65	4.66	4.66	4.59	4.30
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	7	3	4.30	1304/1589	4.65	4.66	4.66	4.59	4.30
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	1	0	1	2	3	3.86	1125/1569	4.15	4.14	4.13	4.08	3.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	1	0	0	1	3	4.00	957/1569	4.15	4.14	4.13	4.08	3.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1277/1569	4.15	4.14	4.13	4.08	3.92
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	6	3	4.20	1209/1530	4.33	4.56	4.49	4.45	4.21
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	2	2	2	4.00	1319/1530	4.33	4.56	4.49	4.45	4.21
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	887/1530	4.33	4.56	4.49	4.45	4.21
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	586/1533	4.73	4.78	4.75	4.69	4.85
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	1261/1533	4.73	4.78	4.75	4.69	4.85
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1533	4.73	4.78	4.75	4.69	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	570/1528	4.65	4.62	4.35	4.31	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	479/1528	4.65	4.62	4.35	4.31	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1528	4.65	4.62	4.35	4.31	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	321/1529	4.57	4.65	4.36	4.31	4.72
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	530/1529	4.57	4.65	4.36	4.31	4.72
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1529	4.57	4.65	4.36	4.31	4.72
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	266/1393	4.67	4.65	4.06	3.99	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	221/1393	4.67	4.65	4.06	3.99	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1393	4.67	4.65	4.06	3.99	4.75

Run Date: 1/31/2013 1:48:00 PM

Page 6 of 45

	1							1	,		1		1	-
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	415/1337	4.12	4.39	4.17	4.01	4.56
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	415/1337	4.12	4.39	4.17	4.01	4.56
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	415/1337	4.12	4.39	4.17	4.01	4.56
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	1	1	1	7	4.40	715/1331	4.28	4.51	4.35	4.18	4.40
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	1	1	1	7	4.40	715/1331	4.28	4.51	4.35	4.18	4.40
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	1	1	1	7	4.40	715/1331	4.28	4.51	4.35	4.18	4.40
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	412/1333	4.45	4.65	4.40	4.22	4.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	412/1333	4.45	4.65	4.40	4.22	4.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	412/1333	4.45	4.65	4.40	4.22	4.78
4. Were special techniques successful	2	4	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	429/1014	4.10	4.20	4.05	3.91	4.20
4. Were special techniques successful	2	4	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	429/1014	4.10	4.20	4.05	3.91	4.20
4. Were special techniques successful	2	4	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	429/1014	4.10	4.20	4.05	3.91	4.20
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	7	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	50/180	4.78	4.78	4.20	4.25	4.50
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	7	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	50/180	4.78	4.78	4.20	4.25	4.50
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	7	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	50/180	4.78	4.78	4.20	4.25	4.50
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	7	0	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	121/194	4.48	4.48	4.17	4.36	4.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	7	0	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	121/194	4.48	4.48	4.17	4.36	4.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	7	0	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	121/194	4.48	4.48	4.17	4.36	4.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	7	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	99/178	4.78	4.78	4.47	4.57	4.50
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	7	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	99/178	4.78	4.78	4.47	4.57	4.50
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	7	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	99/178	4.78	4.78	4.47	4.57	4.50
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	7	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/181	4.78	4.78	4.40	4.54	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	7	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/181	4.78	4.78	4.40	4.54	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	7	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/181	4.78	4.78	4.40	4.54	5.00
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	7	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	18/165	4.75	4.75	4.12	4.37	4.75

Run Date: 1/31/2013 1:48:01 PM

Course-Section: MCS 101 02

Title: Media Literacy

Instructor: Snyder, Donald I

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	7	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	18/165	4.75	4.75	4.12	4.37	4.75
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	7	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	18/165	4.75	4.75	4.12	4.37	4.75
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/62	****	****	4.46	4.33	****
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/62	****	****	4.46	4.33	****
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/62	****	****	4.46	4.33	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/65	****	****	4.43	4.13	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/65	****	****	4.43	4.13	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/65	****	****	4.43	4.13	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/63	****	****	4.29	4.12	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/63	****	****	4.29	4.12	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/63	****	****	4.29	4.12	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/61	****	****	4.47	4.61	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/61	****	****	4.47	4.61	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/61	****	****	4.47	4.61	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/61	****	****	4.19	3.98	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/61	****	****	4.19	3.98	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/61	****	****	4.19	3.98	****
Field Work														-
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/40	1.00	1.00	3.85	3.17	****
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/40	1.00	1.00	3.85	3.17	****
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/40	1.00	1.00	3.85	3.17	****

Run Date: 1/31/2013 1:48:01 PM

Page 8 of 45

	1 -						ı				1	1	1 -	1
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/40	1.00	1.00	3.89	3.11	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/40	1.00	1.00	3.89	3.11	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/40	1.00	1.00	3.89	3.11	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.30	3.86	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.30	3.86	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.30	3.86	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.81	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.81	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.81	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.57	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.57	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.57	****
Self Paced										'				
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/39	1.00	1.00	4.00	3.52	****
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/39	1.00	1.00	4.00	3.52	****
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/39	1.00	1.00	4.00	3.52	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.12	3.23	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.12	3.23	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.12	3.23	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/33	4.00	4.00	4.42	4.30	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/33	4.00	4.00	4.42	4.30	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/33	4.00	4.00	4.42	4.30	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	9	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/19	****	****	4.44	5.00	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	9	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/19	****	****	4.44	5.00	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	9	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/19	****	****	4.44	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	9	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/16	****	****	4.25	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	9	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/16	****	****	4.25	5.00	****

Run Date: 1/31/2013 1:48:01 PM

Course-Section: MCS 101 02 Title: Media Literacy Instructor: Snyder, Donald I

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	9	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/16	****	****	4.25	5.00	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	1	Under-grad	11	Non-major	9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	4						

Course-Section: MCS 101 02

Title: Media Literacy

Instructor: Loviglio, Jason

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	832/1589	4.15	4.29	4.32	4.20	4.36
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	6	5	4.45	689/1589	4.51	4.52	4.29	4.28	4.45
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	600/1391	4.34	4.42	4.34	4.29	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	5	5	4.36	718/1552	4.47	4.53	4.25	4.16	4.36
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	564/1495	4.48	4.52	4.14	4.07	4.38
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	1	0	3	4	4.25	680/1457	4.18	4.32	4.15	3.99	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	452/1572	4.36	4.42	4.21	4.18	4.55
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	7	3	4.30	1304/1589	4.65	4.66	4.66	4.59	4.30
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	1	0	0	2	4	4.14	829/1569	4.15	4.14	4.13	4.08	3.92
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	1	1	1	4	4.14	1252/1530	4.33	4.56	4.49	4.45	4.21
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1533	4.73	4.78	4.75	4.69	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	1	0	1	5	4.43	792/1528	4.65	4.62	4.35	4.31	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	2	0	5	4.43	829/1529	4.57	4.65	4.36	4.31	4.72
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	185/1393	4.67	4.65	4.06	3.99	4.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	415/1337	4.12	4.39	4.17	4.01	4.56
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	1	1	1	7	4.40	715/1331	4.28	4.51	4.35	4.18	4.40
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	412/1333	4.45	4.65	4.40	4.22	4.78
4. Were special techniques successful	2	4	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	429/1014	4.10	4.20	4.05	3.91	4.20

Course-Section: MCS 101 02

Title: Media Literacy

Instructor: Loviglio, Jason

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	7	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	50/180	4.78	4.78	4.20	4.25	4.50
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	7	0	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	121/194	4.48	4.48	4.17	4.36	4.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	7	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	99/178	4.78	4.78	4.47	4.57	4.50
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	7	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/181	4.78	4.78	4.40	4.54	5.00
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	7	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	18/165	4.75	4.75	4.12	4.37	4.75
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/62	****	****	4.46	4.33	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/65	****	****	4.43	4.13	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/63	****	****	4.29	4.12	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/61	****	****	4.47	4.61	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/61	****	****	4.19	3.98	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/40	1.00	1.00	3.85	3.17	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/40	1.00	1.00	3.89	3.11	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.30	3.86	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.81	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.57	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/39	1.00	1.00	4.00	3.52	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/22	****	****	4.12	3.23	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/33	4.00	4.00	4.42	4.30	****

Run Date: 1/31/2013 1:48:01 PM

Course-Section: MCS 101 02

Title: Media Literacy
Instructor: Loviglio, Jason

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	9	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/19	****	****	4.44	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	9	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/16	****	****	4.25	5.00	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	1	Under-grad	11	Non-major	9
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	4						

Course-Section: MCS 101 03

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 15

Title: Media Literacy

Instructor: Rodriguez, Renal

·				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	2	2	5	4.10	1110/1589	4.15	4.29	4.32	4.20	4.10
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	1	5	3	4.00	1151/1589	4.51	4.52	4.29	4.28	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	874/1391	4.34	4.42	4.34	4.29	4.25
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	3	4	4.00	1081/1552	4.47	4.53	4.25	4.16	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	1	2	2	3	3.88	1047/1495	4.48	4.52	4.14	4.07	3.88
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	2	4	2	4.00	886/1457	4.18	4.32	4.15	3.99	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	3	1	5	2	3.55	1390/1572	4.36	4.42	4.21	4.18	3.55
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	467/1589	4.65	4.66	4.66	4.59	4.90
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	4	4	1	3.67	1277/1569	4.15	4.14	4.13	4.08	3.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	1050/1530	4.33	4.56	4.49	4.45	4.38
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	1154/1533	4.73	4.78	4.75	4.69	4.63
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	856/1528	4.65	4.62	4.35	4.31	4.38
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	3	1	4	4.13	1113/1529	4.57	4.65	4.36	4.31	4.13
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	510/1393	4.67	4.65	4.06	3.99	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	1	2	1	2	3.67	1066/1337	4.12	4.39	4.17	4.01	3.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	899/1331	4.28	4.51	4.35	4.18	4.17
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	939/1333	4.45	4.65	4.40	4.22	4.17
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	1	1	1	2	3.80	686/1014	4.10	4.20	4.05	3.91	3.80

Course-Section: MCS 101 03

Title: Media Literacy
Instructor: Rodriguez,Renal

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 15
Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	8	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/180	4.78	4.78	4.20	4.25	5.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	8	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	40/194	4.48	4.48	4.17	4.36	4.67
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	8	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/178	4.78	4.78	4.47	4.57	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	8	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	145/181	4.78	4.78	4.40	4.54	4.00
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	8	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/165	4.75	4.75	4.12	4.37	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	7
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	4						

Course-Section: MCS 101 03

Title: Media Literacy

Instructor: Snyder, Donald I

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 15

·				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	2	2	5	4.10	1110/1589	4.15	4.29	4.32	4.20	4.10
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	1	5	3	4.00	1151/1589	4.51	4.52	4.29	4.28	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	874/1391	4.34	4.42	4.34	4.29	4.25
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	4	3	4	4.00	1081/1552	4.47	4.53	4.25	4.16	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	1	2	2	3	3.88	1047/1495	4.48	4.52	4.14	4.07	3.88
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	2	4	2	4.00	886/1457	4.18	4.32	4.15	3.99	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	3	1	5	2	3.55	1390/1572	4.36	4.42	4.21	4.18	3.55
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	467/1589	4.65	4.66	4.66	4.59	4.90
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	0	2	1	0	3.33	1438/1569	4.15	4.14	4.13	4.08	3.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1530	4.33	4.56	4.49	4.45	4.38
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1533	4.73	4.78	4.75	4.69	4.63
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/1528	4.65	4.62	4.35	4.31	4.38
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	****/1529	4.57	4.65	4.36	4.31	4.13
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/1393	4.67	4.65	4.06	3.99	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	1	2	1	2	3.67	1066/1337	4.12	4.39	4.17	4.01	3.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	899/1331	4.28	4.51	4.35	4.18	4.17
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	939/1333	4.45	4.65	4.40	4.22	4.17
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	1	1	1	2	3.80	686/1014	4.10	4.20	4.05	3.91	3.80

Course-Section: MCS 101 03

Title: Media Literacy

Instructor: Snyder, Donald I

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 15
Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	8	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/180	4.78	4.78	4.20	4.25	5.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	8	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	40/194	4.48	4.48	4.17	4.36	4.67
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	8	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/178	4.78	4.78	4.47	4.57	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	8	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	145/181	4.78	4.78	4.40	4.54	4.00
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	8	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/165	4.75	4.75	4.12	4.37	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	7
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	4						

Course-Section: MCS 101 04 Title: Media Literacy **Term - Fall 2012**

Enrollment: 17 Questionnaires: 3

Instructor: Anchor, Kristen

·				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	1440/1589	4.15	4.29	4.32	4.20	3.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	4.51	4.52	4.29	4.28	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1061/1391	4.34	4.42	4.34	4.29	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1552	4.47	4.53	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.48	4.52	4.14	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	886/1457	4.18	4.32	4.15	3.99	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	329/1572	4.36	4.42	4.21	4.18	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	4.65	4.66	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	596/1569	4.15	4.14	4.13	4.08	4.78
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	887/1530	4.33	4.56	4.49	4.45	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1261/1533	4.73	4.78	4.75	4.69	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	4.65	4.62	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	739/1529	4.57	4.65	4.36	4.31	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1393	4.67	4.65	4.06	3.99	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1145/1337	4.12	4.39	4.17	4.01	3.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	989/1331	4.28	4.51	4.35	4.18	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1007/1333	4.45	4.65	4.40	4.22	4.00
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/180	4.78	4.78	4.20	4.25	5.00

Run Date: 1/31/2013 1:48:01 PM

Course-Section: MCS 101 04

Title: Media Literacy

Instructor: Anchor, Kristen

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/194	4.48	4.48	4.17	4.36	5.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/178	4.78	4.78	4.47	4.57	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/181	4.78	4.78	4.40	4.54	5.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	38/40	1.00	1.00	3.85	3.17	1.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	38/40	1.00	1.00	3.89	3.11	1.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	37/39	1.00	1.00	4.00	3.52	1.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	24/33	4.00	4.00	4.42	4.30	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MCS 101 04 Title: Media Literacy **Term - Fall 2012**

Enrollment: 17

Instructor: Snyder, Donald I

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	1440/1589	4.15	4.29	4.32	4.20	3.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	4.51	4.52	4.29	4.28	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1061/1391	4.34	4.42	4.34	4.29	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1552	4.47	4.53	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.48	4.52	4.14	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	886/1457	4.18	4.32	4.15	3.99	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	329/1572	4.36	4.42	4.21	4.18	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	4.65	4.66	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	4.15	4.14	4.13	4.08	4.78
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1145/1337	4.12	4.39	4.17	4.01	3.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	989/1331	4.28	4.51	4.35	4.18	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1007/1333	4.45	4.65	4.40	4.22	4.00
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/180	4.78	4.78	4.20	4.25	5.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/194	4.48	4.48	4.17	4.36	5.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/178	4.78	4.78	4.47	4.57	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/181	4.78	4.78	4.40	4.54	5.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	38/40	1.00	1.00	3.85	3.17	1.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	38/40	1.00	1.00	3.89	3.11	1.00

Course-Section: MCS 101 04

Title: Media Literacy

Instructor: Snyder,Donald I

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	37/39	1.00	1.00	4.00	3.52	1.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	24/33	4.00	4.00	4.42	4.30	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Run Date: 1/31/2013 1:48:01 PM

Course-Section: MCS 101 04

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 17 Questionnaires: 3

Title: Media Literacy **Instructor:** Loviglio, Jason

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	1440/1589	4.15	4.29	4.32	4.20	3.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	4.51	4.52	4.29	4.28	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1061/1391	4.34	4.42	4.34	4.29	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1552	4.47	4.53	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.48	4.52	4.14	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	886/1457	4.18	4.32	4.15	3.99	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	329/1572	4.36	4.42	4.21	4.18	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	4.65	4.66	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	4.15	4.14	4.13	4.08	4.78
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1145/1337	4.12	4.39	4.17	4.01	3.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	989/1331	4.28	4.51	4.35	4.18	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1007/1333	4.45	4.65	4.40	4.22	4.00
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/180	4.78	4.78	4.20	4.25	5.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/194	4.48	4.48	4.17	4.36	5.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/178	4.78	4.78	4.47	4.57	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/181	4.78	4.78	4.40	4.54	5.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	38/40	1.00	1.00	3.85	3.17	1.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	38/40	1.00	1.00	3.89	3.11	1.00

Course-Section: MCS 101 04

Title: Media Literacy

Instructor: Loviglio, Jason

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	37/39	1.00	1.00	4.00	3.52	1.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	24/33	4.00	4.00	4.42	4.30	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Run Date: 1/31/2013 1:48:01 PM

Course-Section: MCS 222 01

Title: Media & Comm. Studies

Instructor: Turner, Rita J.

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 30

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	2	5	8	4.19	1026/1589	4.29	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.19
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	6	8	4.38	802/1589	4.34	4.52	4.29	4.30	4.38
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	3	3	2	3.88	1162/1391	4.37	4.42	4.34	4.36	3.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	1	3	3	7	4.14	965/1552	4.37	4.53	4.25	4.26	4.14
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	6	8	4.38	564/1495	4.57	4.52	4.14	4.18	4.38
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	4	3	8	4.27	670/1457	4.38	4.32	4.15	4.14	4.27
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	4	4	8	4.25	843/1572	4.36	4.42	4.21	4.19	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	1	0	1	9	5	4.06	1480/1589	4.26	4.66	4.66	4.63	4.06
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	1	2	7	4	4.00	957/1569	4.05	4.14	4.13	4.12	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	3	4	9	4.38	1050/1530	4.52	4.56	4.49	4.47	4.38
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	1	0	2	2	11	4.38	1367/1533	4.72	4.78	4.75	4.78	4.38
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	2	0	1	5	7	4.00	1171/1528	4.29	4.62	4.35	4.35	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	1	3	2	8	4.00	1174/1529	4.35	4.65	4.36	4.39	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	2	0	3	1	10	4.06	764/1393	4.43	4.65	4.06	4.13	4.06
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	2	8	4.64	358/1337	4.59	4.39	4.17	4.16	4.64
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	413/1331	4.54	4.51	4.35	4.32	4.73
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	477/1333	4.76	4.65	4.40	4.39	4.73
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	0	3	4	3	4.00	554/1014	4.07	4.20	4.05	4.03	4.00

Course-Section: MCS 222 01

Title: Media & Comm. Studies

Instructor: Turner, Rita J.

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	****	4.46	4.07	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	****	****	4.43	4.06	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	****	4.29	3.83	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	****	4.47	4.25	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	****	4.19	4.26	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	4	Under-grad	16	Non-major	12
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	1						

Run Date: 1/31/2013 1:48:01 PM

Course-Section: MCS 222 02

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 33

Title.

Title: Media & Comm. Studies

Questionnaires: 21

Instructor: Snyder, Donald I

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	3	6	12	4.43	753/1589	4.29	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.43
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	8	10	4.33	853/1589	4.34	4.52	4.29	4.30	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	12	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	175/1391	4.37	4.42	4.34	4.36	4.89
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	4	16	4.71	286/1552	4.37	4.53	4.25	4.26	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	4	16	4.67	255/1495	4.57	4.52	4.14	4.18	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	5	13	4.43	487/1457	4.38	4.32	4.15	4.14	4.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	3	6	12	4.43	616/1572	4.36	4.42	4.21	4.19	4.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	12	8	4.40	1213/1589	4.26	4.66	4.66	4.63	4.40
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	2	11	7	4.25	694/1569	4.05	4.14	4.13	4.12	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	1	6	12	4.45	951/1530	4.52	4.56	4.49	4.47	4.45
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	586/1533	4.72	4.78	4.75	4.78	4.90
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	1	7	11	4.40	817/1528	4.29	4.62	4.35	4.35	4.40
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	5	14	4.60	615/1529	4.35	4.65	4.36	4.39	4.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	4	15	4.70	192/1393	4.43	4.65	4.06	4.13	4.70
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	3	10	4.64	351/1337	4.59	4.39	4.17	4.16	4.64
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	2	2	10	4.57	567/1331	4.54	4.51	4.35	4.32	4.57
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	202/1333	4.76	4.65	4.40	4.39	4.93

Run Date: 1/31/2013 1:48:01 PM

Course-Section: MCS 222 02

Title: Media & Comm. Studies

Instructor: Snyder, Donald I

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 33

Questionnaires: 21

Frequencies Instructor Course UMBC Level Sect Org Questions NA 5 Mean Mean Mean NR Mean Rank Mean **Discussion** 4. Were special techniques successful 4.21 422/1014 4.07 4.20 4.05 4.03 4.21 7 0 0 0 3

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	1	В	11						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	2	General	5	Under-grad	21	Non-major	16
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MCS 222 03

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 32

i itie:

Title: Media & Comm. Studies

Questionnaires: 25

Instructor: Snyder, Donald I

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	5	9	11	4.24	967/1589	4.29	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.24
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	11	11	4.32	865/1589	4.34	4.52	4.29	4.30	4.32
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	10	0	0	2	5	7	4.36	780/1391	4.37	4.42	4.34	4.36	4.36
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	5	8	11	4.25	847/1552	4.37	4.53	4.25	4.26	4.25
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	4	18	4.67	255/1495	4.57	4.52	4.14	4.18	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	8	14	4.44	465/1457	4.38	4.32	4.15	4.14	4.44
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	2	8	14	4.40	647/1572	4.36	4.42	4.21	4.19	4.40
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	17	8	4.32	1285/1589	4.26	4.66	4.66	4.63	4.32
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	1	4	12	4	3.90	1081/1569	4.05	4.14	4.13	4.12	3.90
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	4	18	4.74	523/1530	4.52	4.56	4.49	4.47	4.74
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	1	22	4.88	671/1533	4.72	4.78	4.75	4.78	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	3	7	14	4.46	756/1528	4.29	4.62	4.35	4.35	4.46
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	9	13	4.46	795/1529	4.35	4.65	4.36	4.39	4.46
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	0	0	3	4	14	4.52	332/1393	4.43	4.65	4.06	4.13	4.52
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	2	4	10	4.50	452/1337	4.59	4.39	4.17	4.16	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	4	3	9	4.31	780/1331	4.54	4.51	4.35	4.32	4.31
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	1	4	11	4.63	592/1333	4.76	4.65	4.40	4.39	4.63

Course-Section: MCS 222 03

Title: Media & Comm. Studies

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 32

Questionnaires: 25

Instructor: Snyder, Donald I

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	9	2	0	1	3	5	5	4.00	554/1014	4.07	4.20	4.05	4.03	4.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	1	В	11						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	2	С	2	General	13	Under-grad	25	Non-major	21
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MCS 333 01

Title: Hist & Theory Of MCS

Instructor: Yang,Fan

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 30

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean 1 0 0 0 1 3 11 4.67 1 0 0 0 2 2 11 4.60 1 4 0 1 0 1 9 4.64 1 1 0 0 0 2 12 4.86 1 0 0 0 0 3 12 4.80 1 0 0 0 2 2 11 4.60 1 0 0 0 2 1 12 4.67 1 0 0 0 0 6 9 4.60			structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect					
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	435/1589	4.48	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	2	11	4.60	467/1589	4.45	4.52	4.29	4.26	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	4	0	1	0	1	9	4.64	442/1391	4.51	4.42	4.34	4.30	4.64
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	142/1552	4.63	4.53	4.25	4.24	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	148/1495	4.72	4.52	4.14	4.11	4.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	2	2	11	4.60	308/1457	4.49	4.32	4.15	4.13	4.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	2	1	12	4.67	329/1572	4.54	4.42	4.21	4.18	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	6	9	4.60	1011/1589	4.59	4.66	4.66	4.67	4.60
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	6	6	4.50	369/1569	4.31	4.14	4.13	4.10	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	2	1	12	4.67	644/1530	4.66	4.56	4.49	4.49	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1533	4.94	4.78	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	3	2	10	4.47	743/1528	4.38	4.62	4.35	4.33	4.47
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	321/1529	4.64	4.65	4.36	4.34	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	57/1393	4.73	4.65	4.06	4.10	4.93
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	292/1337	4.72	4.39	4.17	4.20	4.73
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	413/1331	4.76	4.51	4.35	4.35	4.73
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	253/1333	4.81	4.65	4.40	4.41	4.91

Course-Section: MCS 333 01

Title: Hist & Theory Of MCS

Instructor: Yang,Fan

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	86/1014	4.63	4.20	4.05	4.04	4.91

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	16	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MCS 333 02

Title: Hist & Theory Of MCS

Instructor: Yang,Fan

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 30

	_		NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean 0 0 1 1 7 8 4.29 0 1 0 3 2 11 4.29 9 0 0 2 1 5 4.38 0 0 1 1 5 10 4.41 0 1 0 0 2 14 4.65 1 0 1 0 7 8 4.38 0 1 0 2 12 4.41 0 0 0 7 10 4.59 0 0 1 0 3 13 4.65 0 0 0 2 15 4.88 0 1 0 0 8 8 4.29 0 1 0 0 5 11 4.47 0 0 0 <td< th=""><th>structor</th><th>Course</th><th>Org</th><th>UMBC</th><th>Level</th><th>Sect</th></td<>			structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	1	7	8	4.29	919/1589	4.48	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	0	3	2	11	4.29	901/1589	4.45	4.52	4.29	4.26	4.29
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	9	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	761/1391	4.51	4.42	4.34	4.30	4.38
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	1	5	10	4.41	652/1552	4.63	4.53	4.25	4.24	4.41
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	0	2	14	4.65	273/1495	4.72	4.52	4.14	4.11	4.65
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	1	0	7	8	4.38	545/1457	4.49	4.32	4.15	4.13	4.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	0	2	2	12	4.41	631/1572	4.54	4.42	4.21	4.18	4.41
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	7	10	4.59	1032/1589	4.59	4.66	4.66	4.67	4.59
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	1	0	12	4	4.12	866/1569	4.31	4.14	4.13	4.10	4.12
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	0	3	13	4.65	677/1530	4.66	4.56	4.49	4.49	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	643/1533	4.94	4.78	4.75	4.75	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	1	0	0	8	8	4.29	957/1528	4.38	4.62	4.35	4.33	4.29
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	0	5	11	4.47	772/1529	4.64	4.65	4.36	4.34	4.47
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	6	10	4.53	332/1393	4.73	4.65	4.06	4.10	4.53
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	300/1337	4.72	4.39	4.17	4.20	4.71
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	344/1331	4.76	4.51	4.35	4.35	4.79
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	490/1333	4.81	4.65	4.40	4.41	4.71

Course-Section: MCS 333 02

Title: Hist & Theory Of MCS

Instructor: Yang,Fan

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	3	3	8	4.36	327/1014	4.63	4.20	4.05	4.04	4.36

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	18	Non-major	9
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MCS 377 01

Title: Desktop Publishing and t

Instructor: Custen, Calvin R

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 20

			0 0 0 0 2 4 4 0 0 0 0 1 2 3 4 3 1 0 0 0 2 3 1 0 0 1 1 2 4 2 0 1 0 0 3 4 2 0 1 0 1 2 4 0 1 2 1 0 2 3 0 0 0 0 1 5 4 0 1 0 1 3 1 3 0 0 0 0 2 2 4 0 0 0 0 1 0 3 4 0 0 0 0 1 2 4 0 1 0 1 3 1 3			In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	435/1589	4.67	4.29	4.32	4.33	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	853/1589	4.33	4.52	4.29	4.26	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1250/1391	3.67	4.42	4.34	4.30	3.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	847/1552	4.25	4.53	4.25	4.24	4.25
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	693/1495	4.25	4.52	4.14	4.11	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	886/1457	4.00	4.32	4.15	4.13	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	1	0	2	3.00	1509/1572	3.00	4.42	4.21	4.18	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	651/1589	4.83	4.66	4.66	4.67	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	1	0	1	3	1	3.50	1367/1569	3.50	4.14	4.13	4.10	3.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	887/1530	4.50	4.56	4.49	4.49	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	1261/1533	4.50	4.78	4.75	4.75	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	992/1528	4.25	4.62	4.35	4.33	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.65	4.36	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	586/1393	4.25	4.65	4.06	4.10	4.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1337	****	4.39	4.17	4.20	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1331	****	4.51	4.35	4.35	****

Course-Section: MCS 377 01

Title: Desktop Publishing and t

Instructor: Custen, Calvin R

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 20
Questionnaires: 6

Frequencies Instructor Course UMBC Level Sect Org Questions NA 5 Mean Mean Mean NR Mean Rank Mean **Discussion** ****/1333 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion 5 5.00 **** 4.65 4.40 4.41 **** 0 0 0 0 0 1

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	4
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MCS 404 01

Title: Internship

Instructor: Snyder, Donald I

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 21

								In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	1	1	3	14	4.40	780/1589	4.31	4.29	4.32	4.46	4.40
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	0	1	4	14	4.50	614/1589	4.72	4.52	4.29	4.35	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	12	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	600/1391	4.69	4.42	4.34	4.46	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	4	16	4.80	177/1552	4.80	4.53	4.25	4.37	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	14	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	416/1495	4.53	4.52	4.14	4.25	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	3	2	14	4.58	335/1457	4.55	4.32	4.15	4.30	4.58
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	98/1572	4.83	4.42	4.21	4.28	4.90
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	2	17	4.89	493/1589	4.89	4.66	4.66	4.68	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	2	0	0	4	8	4.14	829/1569	4.11	4.14	4.13	4.22	4.07
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	1	18	4.85	311/1530	4.95	4.56	4.49	4.56	4.93
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	586/1533	4.89	4.78	4.75	4.76	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	174/1528	4.95	4.62	4.35	4.41	4.95
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	0	1	18	4.75	397/1529	4.92	4.65	4.36	4.44	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	1	0	0	2	15	4.67	221/1393	4.85	4.65	4.06	4.18	4.77
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	1	1	1	13	4.63	365/1337	4.77	4.39	4.17	4.36	4.63
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	248/1331	4.94	4.51	4.35	4.56	4.88
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	177/1333	4.97	4.65	4.40	4.63	4.94
4. Were special techniques successful	5	6	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	205/1014	4.47	4.20	4.05	4.32	4.60

Course-Section: MCS 404 01

Title: Internship

Instructor: Snyder, Donald I

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 21

			Frequencies				Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	****	4.46	4.56	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	****	****	4.43	4.54	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	****	4.47	4.49	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	****	4.19	4.12	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/40	****	1.00	3.85	4.14	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/40	****	1.00	3.89	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.30	4.35	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.20	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.31	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	15
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	21	Non-major	6
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	9			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: MCS 404 01
Title: Internship

Instructor: Loviglio, Jason

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 21

<u>'</u>						structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	1	1	3	14	4.40	780/1589	4.31	4.29	4.32	4.46	4.40
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	0	1	4	14	4.50	614/1589	4.72	4.52	4.29	4.35	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	12	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	600/1391	4.69	4.42	4.34	4.46	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	4	16	4.80	177/1552	4.80	4.53	4.25	4.37	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	14	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	416/1495	4.53	4.52	4.14	4.25	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	3	2	14	4.58	335/1457	4.55	4.32	4.15	4.30	4.58
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	98/1572	4.83	4.42	4.21	4.28	4.90
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	2	17	4.89	493/1589	4.89	4.66	4.66	4.68	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	13	0	2	0	0	0	6	4.00	957/1569	4.11	4.14	4.13	4.22	4.07
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	13	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1530	4.95	4.56	4.49	4.56	4.93
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	13	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1533	4.89	4.78	4.75	4.76	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	13	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1528	4.95	4.62	4.35	4.41	4.95
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1529	4.92	4.65	4.36	4.44	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	12	1	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	93/1393	4.85	4.65	4.06	4.18	4.77
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	1	1	1	13	4.63	365/1337	4.77	4.39	4.17	4.36	4.63
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	248/1331	4.94	4.51	4.35	4.56	4.88
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion		0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	177/1333	4.97	4.65	4.40	4.63	4.94
4. Were special techniques successful	5	6	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	205/1014	4.47	4.20	4.05	4.32	4.60

Course-Section: MCS 404 01

Title: Internship

Instructor: Loviglio, Jason

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 21

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	****	4.46	4.56	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	****	****	4.43	4.54	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	****	4.47	4.49	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	****	4.19	4.12	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/40	****	1.00	3.85	4.14	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/40	****	1.00	3.89	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.30	4.35	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.20	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.31	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	15
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	21	Non-major	6
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	9			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: MCS 404 02 Title: Internship

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 24 Questionnaires: 18

Instructor: Loviglio, Jason

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	2	0	2	2	12	4.22	986/1589	4.31	4.29	4.32	4.46	4.22
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	82/1589	4.72	4.52	4.29	4.35	4.94
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	175/1391	4.69	4.42	4.34	4.46	4.89
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	177/1552	4.80	4.53	4.25	4.37	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	8	1	0	0	0	8	4.56	362/1495	4.53	4.52	4.14	4.25	4.56
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	2	1	13	4.53	381/1457	4.55	4.32	4.15	4.30	4.53
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	1	0	0	0	15	4.75	233/1572	4.83	4.42	4.21	4.28	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	0	16	4.88	519/1589	4.89	4.66	4.66	4.68	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	1	0	9	5	4.20	754/1569	4.11	4.14	4.13	4.22	4.15
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	134/1530	4.95	4.56	4.49	4.56	4.97
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	1	14	4.81	843/1533	4.89	4.78	4.75	4.76	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	0	16	4.88	195/1528	4.95	4.62	4.35	4.41	4.94
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	136/1529	4.92	4.65	4.36	4.44	4.97
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	0	2	14	4.88	93/1393	4.85	4.65	4.06	4.18	4.94
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	131/1337	4.77	4.39	4.17	4.36	4.92
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1331	4.94	4.51	4.35	4.56	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1333	4.97	4.65	4.40	4.63	5.00

Run Date: 1/31/2013 1:48:02 PM

Course-Section: MCS 404 02

Title: Internship

Instructor: Loviglio, Jason

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	3	1	0	0	2	6	4.33	341/1014	4.47	4.20	4.05	4.32	4.33

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	18	Non-major	4
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	10			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MCS 404 02

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 24 Questionnaires: 18

Title: Internship Instructor: Snyder,Donald I
Title: Internship

'	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	2	0	2	2	12	4.22	986/1589	4.31	4.29	4.32	4.46	4.22
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	82/1589	4.72	4.52	4.29	4.35	4.94
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	175/1391	4.69	4.42	4.34	4.46	4.89
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	177/1552	4.80	4.53	4.25	4.37	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	8	1	0	0	0	8	4.56	362/1495	4.53	4.52	4.14	4.25	4.56
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	2	1	13	4.53	381/1457	4.55	4.32	4.15	4.30	4.53
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	1	0	0	0	15	4.75	233/1572	4.83	4.42	4.21	4.28	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	0	16	4.88	519/1589	4.89	4.66	4.66	4.68	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	1	0	6	3	4.10	879/1569	4.11	4.14	4.13	4.22	4.15
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	12	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1530	4.95	4.56	4.49	4.56	4.97
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	11	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	729/1533	4.89	4.78	4.75	4.76	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	12	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1528	4.95	4.62	4.35	4.41	4.94
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1529	4.92	4.65	4.36	4.44	4.97
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	12	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1393	4.85	4.65	4.06	4.18	4.94
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	131/1337	4.77	4.39	4.17	4.36	4.92
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1331	4.94	4.51	4.35	4.56	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1333	4.97	4.65	4.40	4.63	5.00

Course-Section: MCS 404 02

Title: Internship

Instructor: Snyder, Donald I

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	3	1	0	0	2	6	4.33	341/1014	4.47	4.20	4.05	4.32	4.33

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	15	Graduate	0	Major	14	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	18	Non-major	4	
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	6	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses		
				Р	10			to be significant				
				1	0	Other	0					
				?	2							

Course-Section: MCS 499 01

Title: Capstone Senior Seminar

Instructor: Adelman, Rebecca

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 21

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	Instructor		Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	175/1589	4.89	4.29	4.32	4.46	4.89
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	400/1589	4.67	4.52	4.29	4.35	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.42	4.34	4.46	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	213/1552	4.78	4.53	4.25	4.37	4.78
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	255/1495	4.67	4.52	4.14	4.25	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	154/1457	4.78	4.32	4.15	4.30	4.78
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	113/1572	4.89	4.42	4.21	4.28	4.89
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	519/1589	4.89	4.66	4.66	4.68	4.89
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	205/1569	4.71	4.14	4.13	4.22	4.71
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	276/1530	4.88	4.56	4.49	4.56	4.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	671/1533	4.88	4.78	4.75	4.76	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.62	4.35	4.41	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	257/1529	4.86	4.65	4.36	4.44	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	349/1393	4.50	4.65	4.06	4.18	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	452/1337	4.50	4.39	4.17	4.36	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	379/1331	4.75	4.51	4.35	4.56	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.65	4.40	4.63	5.00

Course-Section: MCS 499 01

Title: Capstone Senior Seminar

Instructor: Adelman,Rebecca

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 21

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	1	0	1	0	2	3.50	823/1014	3.50	4.20	4.05	4.32	3.50

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	0
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						