Course-Section: MUSC 110 01

Title: Musicianship Lab I

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	160/1528	4.83	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.91
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	117/1527	4.95	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.91
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	124/1495	4.94	4.70	4.25	4.11	4.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	7	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	239/1439	4.58	4.57	4.11	3.97	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	6	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	3.93	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	4	2	4	3.82	1199/1508	3.77	4.38	4.18	4.11	3.82
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1526	4.81	4.58	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	5	5	4.50	344/1490	3.98	4.53	4.11	4.02	3.90
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	441/1428	4.18	4.63	4.49	4.43	4.09
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	516/1436	4.85	4.83	4.74	4.70	4.70
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	420/1427	4.18	4.64	4.32	4.27	3.93
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1425	4.56	4.69	4.34	4.31	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	143/1291	4.75	4.70	4.05	3.97	4.58

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:01 PM

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 110 0)1				Term	- Fall	2010)						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	15
	Title:	Musicianshi	p Lab I												Q	uestion	naires:	11
Ins	tructor:	Cella,Lisa M																
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Lecture																
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	,			0							
				?	2													

Course-Section: MUSC 110 01

Title: Musicianship Lab I

Instructor: Parent, James C.

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	160/1528	4.83	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.91
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	117/1527	4.95	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.91
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	124/1495	4.94	4.70	4.25	4.11	4.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	7	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	239/1439	4.58	4.57	4.11	3.97	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	6	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	3.93	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	4	2	4	3.82	1199/1508	3.77	4.38	4.18	4.11	3.82
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1526	4.81	4.58	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	2	5	1	2	3.30	1348/1490	3.98	4.53	4.11	4.02	3.90
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	2	4	2	2	3.40	1375/1428	4.18	4.63	4.49	4.43	4.09
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	2	1	7	4.50	1183/1436	4.85	4.83	4.74	4.70	4.70
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	3	4	1	2	3.20	1355/1427	4.18	4.64	4.32	4.27	3.93
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	3	4	3	4.00	1076/1425	4.56	4.69	4.34	4.31	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	425/1291	4.75	4.70	4.05	3.97	4.58

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:01 PM

Course-S	Section	: MUSC 110 C)1				Term	- Fal	l 201)						Enro	Ilment:	15
	Title	: Musicianshi	p Lab I								,				Q	uestion	naires:	11
Ins	tructor	: Parent,Jame	es C.															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Lecture																
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be signification	ant				
				1	0		Other				0							
				?	2													

Course-Section: MUSC 110 2

Title: Musicianship Lab I

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 8

Tristractor.				-							-			0 1
					quen	cies			structor	Course	Org	UMBC		Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	307/1528	4.83	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1527	4.95	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1495	4.94	4.70	4.25	4.11	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	367/1439	4.58	4.57	4.11	3.97	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	3.93	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	2	0	3	2	3.71	1251/1508	3.77	4.38	4.18	4.11	3.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	958/1526	4.81	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.63
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	4.38	530/1490	3.98	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.06
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	478/1428	4.18	4.63	4.49	4.43	4.28
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1436	4.85	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1427	4.18	4.64	4.32	4.27	4.42
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1425	4.56	4.69	4.34	4.31	4.63
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1291	4.75	4.70	4.05	3.97	4.93
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1007/1271	3.67	4.56	4.16	3.98	3.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.65	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.18	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.72	4.02	3.87	5.00

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 110 2					Term	ı - Fall 20	010							Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	12
	Title:	Musicianshi	p Lab	I											Q	uestion	naires:	8
Ins	tructor:	Cella,Lisa M																
								Freque	encie	es		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	trib	uti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	sons				Туре	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5		Requ	ired for M	ajors		8		Graduate	0		Major		6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1		Gene	eral			0		Under-grad	8		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ives			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	r			0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 110 2

Title: Musicianship Lab I

Instructor: Parent, James C.

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 8

		Frequencies							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	307/1528	4.83	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1527	4.95	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1495	4.94	4.70	4.25	4.11	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	367/1439	4.58	4.57	4.11	3.97	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	3.93	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	2	0	3	2	3.71	1251/1508	3.77	4.38	4.18	4.11	3.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	958/1526	4.81	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.63
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	4	2	2	3.75	1149/1490	3.98	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.06
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	2	2	1	3.80	1298/1428	4.18	4.63	4.49	4.43	4.28
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1436	4.85	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	3	1	2	3.83	1192/1427	4.18	4.64	4.32	4.27	4.42
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	1	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	930/1425	4.56	4.69	4.34	4.31	4.63
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	96/1291	4.75	4.70	4.05	3.97	4.93
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1007/1271	3.67	4.56	4.16	3.98	3.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.65	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.18	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.72	4.02	3.87	5.00

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 110 2					Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	12
	Title:	Musicianshi	p Lab	I										Q	uestion	naires:	8
Ins	tructor:	Parent, Jame	es C.														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	8	3	Graduate	0		Major		6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	8		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other			0)						
				?	0												

Course-Section: MUSC 112 03

Title: Music Repertoire

Instructor: Yoshioka,Airi

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	307/1528	4.75	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	575/1527	4.50	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.70	4.25	4.11	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.57	4.11	3.97	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	3.93	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	681/1508	4.33	4.38	4.18	4.11	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	811/1526	4.75	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	579/1490	4.33	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	1021/1428	4.33	4.63	4.49	4.43	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	420/1427	4.67	4.64	4.32	4.27	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	475/1425	4.67	4.69	4.34	4.31	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	205/1291	4.67	4.70	4.05	3.97	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.56	4.16	3.98	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.65	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.18	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.72	4.02	3.87	5.00

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 112 0	3				Term	ı - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	5
	Title:	Music Reper	toire											Q	uestion	naires:	4
Ins	tructor:	Yoshioka,Aii	ri														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Disti	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Турє	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	2	2	Graduate	0		Major		4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	4		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	•		0)						
				?	0												

Course-Section: MUSC 125 01

Title: Theory I:Basics Of Music

Instructor: Smith,Stuart S

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	5	7	4.46	687/1528	4.73	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.46
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	4.69	326/1527	4.56	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.69
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	201/1333	4.85	4.70	4.34	4.26	4.85
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	1	0	2	3	4	3.90	1159/1495	4.09	4.70	4.25	4.11	3.90
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	1	1	2	1	6	3.91	963/1439	3.65	4.57	4.11	3.97	3.91
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	2	3	2	5	3.62	1162/1425	3.59	4.43	4.12	3.93	3.62
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	1	2	1	7	4.27	758/1508	3.97	4.38	4.18	4.11	4.27
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	2	6	5	4.23	1304/1526	4.40	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.23
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	191/1490	4.56	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.70
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	4.77	459/1428	4.60	4.63	4.49	4.43	4.77
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1436	4.93	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	193/1427	4.71	4.64	4.32	4.27	4.85
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1425	4.86	4.69	4.34	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	4	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	205/1291	4.83	4.70	4.05	3.97	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	0	2	1	6	4.10	750/1271	4.47	4.56	4.16	3.98	4.10
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1276	4.92	4.65	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	1	0	9	4.80	345/1273	4.73	4.69	4.38	4.18	4.80
4. Were special techniques successful	3	7	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/922	****	4.72	4.02	3.87	****

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 125 0	1				Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	18
	Title:	Theory I:Bas	sics O	f Music										Q	uestion	naires:	13
Ins	tructor:	Smith,Stuart	t S														
					_			Frequer	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Туре	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	2	Α	7		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	9)	Graduate	0		Major		9
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	eral		0)	Under-grad	13		Non-ma	ajor	4
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ives		2	2	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	r		0)						
				?	2												

Course-Section: MUSC 125 02

Title: Theory I:Basics Of Music

Instructor: Smith,Stuart S

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1528	4.73	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	704/1527	4.56	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.43
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	192/1333	4.85	4.70	4.34	4.26	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	2	4	4.29	808/1495	4.09	4.70	4.25	4.11	4.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	1	0	2	0	2	3.40	1270/1439	3.65	4.57	4.11	3.97	3.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	1	1	1	3	3.57	1180/1425	3.59	4.43	4.12	3.93	3.57
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	1	2	1	2	3.67	1270/1508	3.97	4.38	4.18	4.11	3.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	1002/1526	4.40	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.57
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	464/1490	4.56	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	942/1428	4.60	4.63	4.49	4.43	4.43
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	677/1436	4.93	4.83	4.74	4.70	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	541/1427	4.71	4.64	4.32	4.27	4.57
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	407/1425	4.86	4.69	4.34	4.31	4.71
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1291	4.83	4.70	4.05	3.97	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	184/1271	4.47	4.56	4.16	3.98	4.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	268/1276	4.92	4.65	4.33	4.14	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	507/1273	4.73	4.69	4.38	4.18	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	1	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/922	***	4.72	4.02	3.87	***

Course-Section: MUSC 125 02

Title: Theory I:Basics Of Music

Instructor: Smith,Stuart S

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/43	5.00	4.92	4.43	4.68	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	5	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	30/31	3.00	4.75	4.53	4.51	3.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	5	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	21/36	4.50	4.86	4.43	4.33	4.50
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	***	5.00	4.54	4.63	***

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	1	Α	6	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 170 01

Title: Beginning Voice Class

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 17

				Fre	quen	ries		. In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	128/1528	4.92	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.92
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	0	0	5	8	4.62	438/1527	4.62	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.62
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	6	0	1	2	1	3	3.86	1116/1333	3.86	4.70	4.34	4.26	3.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	2	4	7	4.38	682/1495	4.38	4.70	4.25	4.11	4.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	2	0	1	4	3	3	3.73	1090/1439	3.73	4.57	4.11	3.97	3.73
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	8	0	2	1	1	1	3.20	1317/1425	3.20	4.43	4.12	3.93	3.20
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	5	1	0	1	6	2	2	3.45	1337/1508	3.45	4.38	4.18	4.11	3.45
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	1	0	12	4.85	671/1526	4.85	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.85
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	118/1490	4.82	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.82
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	4	9	4.69	588/1428	4.69	4.63	4.49	4.43	4.69
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	13	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	193/1427	4.85	4.64	4.32	4.27	4.85
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	130/1425	4.92	4.69	4.34	4.31	4.92
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	5	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	327/1291	4.50	4.70	4.05	3.97	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	229/1271	4.78	4.56	4.16	3.98	4.78
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	329/1276	4.78	4.65	4.33	4.14	4.78
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.18	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	9	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	124/922	4.75	4.72	4.02	3.87	4.75

Course-Section: MUSC 170 01

Title: Beginning Voice Class

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 18

Questionnaires: 17

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	15	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/198	****	5.00	4.16	3.90	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	15	0	1	0	0	1	0	2.50	****/208	****	5.00	4.27	4.23	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	15	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/194	****	5.00	4.56	4.54	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	15	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/194	****	5.00	4.37	4.30	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/76	***	5.00	4.51	4.44	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/74	****	5.00	4.31	4.43	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	15	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/66	****	5.00	4.27	4.15	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/76	****	5.00	4.27	4.21	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	15	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	****/73	****	5.00	3.94	3.82	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/42	***	5.00	4.00	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	16	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/41	***	4.89	4.06	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	***	5.00	4.74	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/32	***	4.78	4.20	4.09	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	***	5.00	4.34	4.87	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	****	4.92	4.43	4.68	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.75	4.53	4.51	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	16	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	****	4.86	4.43	4.33	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	5.00	4.54	4.63	****

Course-Section:	: MUSC 170 01	Term - Fall 2010		Enrollmen	Enrollment:	Enrollment: 1	Enrollment: 18	Enrollment: 18
Title	Beginning Voice Class		_	Questionnaires	Questionnaires:	Questionnaires: 1	Questionnaires: 17	Questionnaires: 17
Instructor	Jackson,Janice					•		

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	***	5.00	4.45	4.39	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	17	Non-major	17
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	9	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	6						

Course-Section: MUSC 173 01

Title: Intro To Choral Singing

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	636/1528	4.50	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	294/1333	4.75	4.70	4.34	4.26	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1047/1495	4.00	4.70	4.25	4.11	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	851/1439	4.00	4.57	4.11	3.97	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	583/1425	4.33	4.43	4.12	3.93	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	783/1508	4.25	4.38	4.18	4.11	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.58	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	344/1490	4.50	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.63	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.27	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.70	4.05	3.97	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:02 PM

Course-S	Section	: MUSC 173 C)1				Term	- Fal	I 2010)						Enro	Ilment:	6
	Title	: Intro To Cho	oral Sin	ging											Q	uestion	naires:	4
Ins	tructor	: Jackson,Jar	nice															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Lecture																
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves			2		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other				2							
				?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 174 01

Title: Beg Vocal Methods

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

·	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	368/1527	4.67	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	746/1495	4.33	4.70	4.25	4.11	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.57	4.11	3.97	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1418/1425	2.00	4.43	4.12	3.93	2.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1317/1508	3.50	4.38	4.18	4.11	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.58	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	221/1490	4.67	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.63	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	420/1427	4.67	4.64	4.32	4.27	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.70	4.05	3.97	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.56	4.16	3.98	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.65	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.18	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.72	4.02	3.87	5.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/42	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.08	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 174 01

Title: Beg Vocal Methods

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	11/41	4.67	4.89	4.06	4.10	4.67
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/30	5.00	5.00	4.74	5.00	5.00
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	18/32	4.33	4.78	4.20	4.09	4.33
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/29	5.00	5.00	4.34	4.87	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Majors	
Major	3
Non-major	1
nough responses	
!!	Major Non-major

Course-Section: MUSC 176 01

Title: Keyboard Skills I

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	3.83	1265/1528	4.27	4.73	4.31	4.16	3.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	179/1527	4.85	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1333	4.83	4.70	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	227/1495	4.75	4.70	4.25	4.11	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1439	4.50	4.57	4.11	3.97	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1211/1425	4.08	4.43	4.12	3.93	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	0	4	4.33	681/1508	4.38	4.38	4.18	4.11	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	1216/1526	4.52	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	2	3	1	3.83	1096/1490	4.08	4.53	4.11	4.02	3.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	1021/1428	4.33	4.63	4.49	4.43	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	1043/1436	4.67	4.83	4.74	4.70	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1259/1427	3.67	4.64	4.32	4.27	3.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1255/1425	3.67	4.69	4.34	4.31	3.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.70	4.05	3.97	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1271	***	4.56	4.16	3.98	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1276	***	4.65	4.33	4.14	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1273	***	4.69	4.38	4.18	****
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/922	***	4.72	4.02	3.87	***

Course-Section: MUSC 176 01

Title: Keyboard Skills I

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	****	5.00	4.37	4.30	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	****	5.00	4.00	4.08	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/41	****	4.89	4.06	4.10	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	5.00	4.74	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	4.78	4.20	4.09	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	****	4.92	4.43	4.68	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 176 02

Title: Keyboard Skills I

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	362/1528	4.27	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.71
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	161/1527	4.85	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	4	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	393/1333	4.83	4.70	4.34	4.26	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	3	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	227/1495	4.75	4.70	4.25	4.11	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	4	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	851/1439	4.50	4.57	4.11	3.97	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	3	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	249/1425	4.08	4.43	4.12	3.93	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	558/1508	4.38	4.38	4.18	4.11	4.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	867/1526	4.52	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.71
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	4	2	4.33	579/1490	4.08	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.33
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	****	4.92	4.43	4.68	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	***	4.75	4.53	4.51	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	4.86	4.43	4.33	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	5.00	4.54	4.63	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:02 PM

Course-Section:	MUSC 176 02				Term	- Fall 2	2010)						Enrol	lment:	10
Title:	Keyboard Skills I												Q	uestion	naires:	8
Instructor:	Beith,Nancy S															
						Frequ	uenc	ies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced															
,		Р	0							1	to be significa	ant				
		I	0		Other				0							
		?	2													

Course-Section: MUSC 178 01

Title: Keyboard Skills III

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 20

Questionnaires: 14

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	9	3	4.07	1096/1528	4.18	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.07
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	227/1527	4.82	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.79
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	237/1333	4.65	4.70	4.34	4.26	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	147/1495	4.72	4.70	4.25	4.11	4.85
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	12	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1439	4.00	4.57	4.11	3.97	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	1	1	2	2	2	3.38	1272/1425	3.69	4.43	4.12	3.93	3.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	2	11	4.71	229/1508	4.57	4.38	4.18	4.11	4.71
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	13	1	4.07	1401/1526	4.25	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.07
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	2	2	7	2	3.69	1185/1490	3.93	4.53	4.11	4.02	3.69
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	12	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1428	4.50	4.63	4.49	4.43	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	12	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1436	4.60	4.83	4.74	4.70	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	12	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1427	4.50	4.64	4.32	4.27	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1425	4.00	4.69	4.34	4.31	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	12	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1291	4.00	4.70	4.05	3.97	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1271	3.00	4.56	4.16	3.98	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1276	3.00	4.65	4.33	4.14	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1273	3.00	4.69	4.38	4.18	****
4. Were special techniques successful	12	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/922	****	4.72	4.02	3.87	****

Course-Section: MUSC 178 01

Title: Keyboard Skills III

Instructor: Beith,Nancy S

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 20

Questionnaires: 14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/43	****	4.92	4.43	4.68	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/36	****	4.86	4.43	4.33	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Д	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	13
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	0	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	14	Non-major	1
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 178 02

Title: Keyboard Skills III

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 7

Frequencies Instructor Course Org UMBC Level NR NA Questions 3 Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean Rank Mean General 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course 0 0 0 0 2 1 4 4.29 886/1528 4.18 4.73 4.31 4.16 4.29 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals 0 0 0 1 6 4.74 4.23 0 0 4.86 161/1527 4.82 4.28 4.86 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals 0 3 0 0 0 2 2 4.50 564/1333 4.65 4.70 4.34 4.26 4.50 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals 4.60 0 2 0 0 1 0 4 4.60 369/1495 4.72 4.70 4.25 4.11 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned 0 4 0 1 0 0 2 4.00 851/1439 4.00 4.57 4.11 3.97 4.00 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned 0 5 0 0 1 0 1 4.00 891/1425 3.69 4.43 4.12 3.93 4.00 7. Was the grading system clearly explained 0 2 0 0 0 1 4.43 4 4.43 558/1508 4.57 4.38 4.18 4.11

8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	1142/1526	4.25	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.43
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	0	5	1	4.17	778/1490	3.93	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.17
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	854/1428	4.50	4.63	4.49	4.43	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	1114/1436	4.60	4.83	4.74	4.70	4.60
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	625/1427	4.50	4.64	4.32	4.27	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	1076/1425	4.00	4.69	4.34	4.31	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	728/1291	4.00	4.70	4.05	3.97	4.00
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	1195/1271	3.00	4.56	4.16	3.98	3.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	1230/1276	3.00	4.65	4.33	4.14	3.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	1240/1273	3.00	4.69	4.38	4.18	3.00
Self Paced														

6

0

0

0

0

0

5.00

****/43

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:02 PM

1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned

4.92

4.43

4.68

Course-Section: MUSC 178 02

Title: Keyboard Skills III

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	***	4.75	4.53	4.51	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	***	4.86	4.43	4.33	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	***	5.00	4.54	4.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	***	5.00	4.45	4.39	****

Frequency Distribution

Cr	edits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
0	0-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	6
2	8-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
5	6-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	1
84	-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
G	3rad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
					Р	0			to be significant			
					1	0	Other	0				
					?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 180 01

Title: Beginning Piano Class

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 24

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	0	1	2	4	11	4.39	785/1528	4.44	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.39
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	0	1	0	5	12	4.56	514/1527	4.58	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.56
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	6	0	0	0	2	3	13	4.61	447/1333	4.72	4.70	4.34	4.26	4.61
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	1	0	1	1	3	12	4.53	470/1495	4.60	4.70	4.25	4.11	4.53
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	7	7	1	1	1	2	5	3.90	963/1439	4.06	4.57	4.11	3.97	3.90
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	7	7	1	1	1	3	4	3.80	1056/1425	3.98	4.43	4.12	3.93	3.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	7	0	0	1	2	3	11	4.41	572/1508	4.33	4.38	4.18	4.11	4.41
8. How many times was class cancelled	7	0	0	0	0	0	17	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.58	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	6	1	0	0	0	13	4	4.24	698/1490	4.19	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.24
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	1	1	16	4.83	335/1428	4.74	4.63	4.49	4.43	4.83
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	1	3	14	4.72	964/1436	4.83	4.83	4.74	4.70	4.72
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	1	4	13	4.67	420/1427	4.58	4.64	4.32	4.27	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	4	2	12	4.44	755/1425	4.69	4.69	4.34	4.31	4.44
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	1	0	0	3	3	11	4.47	356/1291	4.56	4.70	4.05	3.97	4.47
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	2	0	1	0	3	3.33	1135/1271	3.33	4.56	4.16	3.98	3.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	1	0	0	0	5	4.33	750/1276	4.33	4.65	4.33	4.14	4.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	1	0	1	0	5	4.14	888/1273	4.14	4.69	4.38	4.18	4.14
4. Were special techniques successful	17	3	1	0	1	0	2	3.50	****/922	***	4.72	4.02	3.87	***

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 180 0	1				Term	ı - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	24
	Title:	Beginning P	iano (Class										Q	uestion	naires:	24
Ins	tructor:	Beith, Nancy	S														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	rs Earned Cum. GPA Exp				Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Туре	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	0)	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	1		Gene	ral		1		Under-grad	24		Non-ma	ajor	24
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0		Electi	ves		8	1	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	1							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			7	•						
				?	7												

Course-Section: MUSC 180 02

Title: Beginning Piano Class

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 24

								Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	0	1	2	2	13	4.50	636/1528	4.44	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	0	1	1	2	14	4.61	438/1527	4.58	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.61
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	6	0	0	0	1	1	16	4.83	210/1333	4.72	4.70	4.34	4.26	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	3	0	0	2	1	12	4.67	313/1495	4.60	4.70	4.25	4.11	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	7	3	0	1	2	4	7	4.21	699/1439	4.06	4.57	4.11	3.97	4.21
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	8	10	1	0	0	1	4	4.17	766/1425	3.98	4.43	4.12	3.93	4.17
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	7	1	0	1	2	5	8	4.25	783/1508	4.33	4.38	4.18	4.11	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	7	1	0	0	0	0	16	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.58	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	2	0	1	1	7	5	4.14	800/1490	4.19	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.14
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	0	0	2	1	11	4.64	669/1428	4.74	4.63	4.49	4.43	4.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	10	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	413/1436	4.83	4.83	4.74	4.70	4.93
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	12	0	0	0	2	2	8	4.50	625/1427	4.58	4.64	4.32	4.27	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	130/1425	4.69	4.69	4.34	4.31	4.93
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	1	0	1	1	0	12	4.64	221/1291	4.56	4.70	4.05	3.97	4.64
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	****/1271	3.33	4.56	4.16	3.98	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	20	0	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	****/1276	4.33	4.65	4.33	4.14	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	20	0	0	2	0	0	2	3.50	****/1273	4.14	4.69	4.38	4.18	****
4. Were special techniques successful	20	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/922	***	4.72	4.02	3.87	***

										_							
Course-	Section:	MUSC 180 C	2				Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	24
	Title:	Beginning P	iano (Class						_				Q	uestion	naires:	24
Ins	structor:	Beith, Nancy	'S														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
					Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion								
Credits E	arned	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	:		Ma	jors			
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	0)	Graduate	0		Major		1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	3												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	0		Gene	ral		1		Under-grad	24		Non-ma	ajor	23
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electi	ves		7	•	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	1							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	•		7	•						
				2	a												

Course-Section: MUSC 182 01

Title: Beginning String Class

Instructor: Tremblay,Christ

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 11

	Frequencies						In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	0	10	4.73	348/1528	4.73	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.73
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	117/1527	4.91	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.91
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	237/1333	4.80	4.70	4.34	4.26	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.70	4.25	4.11	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	132/1439	4.80	4.57	4.11	3.97	4.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	87/1425	4.90	4.43	4.12	3.93	4.90
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	239/1508	4.70	4.38	4.18	4.11	4.70
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	4	4.36	1193/1526	4.36	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.36
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	266/1490	4.60	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	385/1428	4.80	4.63	4.49	4.43	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.27	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.70	4.05	3.97	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1271	****	4.56	4.16	3.98	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1276	***	4.65	4.33	4.14	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1273	***	4.69	4.38	4.18	****
4. Were special techniques successful	9	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/922	***	4.72	4.02	3.87	****

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 182 0)1				Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	11
	Title:	Beginning S	tring	Class						_				Q	uestion	naires:	11
Ins	tructor:	Tremblay,Ch	nrist														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits Ea	ts Earned Cum. GPA Exp				Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	I Grades Reasons 10 Required for Majors				11		Graduate	0		Major		10	
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	0		Gene	eral		0)	Under-grad	11		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0		Electi	ives		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	r		0)						
				?	0												

Course-Section: MUSC 183 01

Title: Interm String Class
Instructor: Ladd, Gita

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.70	4.25	4.11	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1050/1508	4.00	4.38	4.18	4.11	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.00	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.53	4.11	4.02	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 188 01

Title: Percussion Methods

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 10

'	Frequencies						In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	521/1528	4.60	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.60
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	117/1527	4.90	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.90
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	657/1495	4.40	4.70	4.25	4.11	4.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	657/1439	4.25	4.57	4.11	3.97	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	583/1425	4.33	4.43	4.12	3.93	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	4	4	4.20	845/1508	4.20	4.38	4.18	4.11	4.20
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	566/1526	4.90	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.90
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	5	2	4.13	822/1490	4.13	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.13
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	637/1428	4.67	4.63	4.49	4.43	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	202/1427	4.83	4.64	4.32	4.27	4.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	242/1425	4.83	4.69	4.34	4.31	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1291	***	4.70	4.05	3.97	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1271	***	4.56	4.16	3.98	***
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1276	***	4.65	4.33	4.14	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1273	****	4.69	4.38	4.18	****
4. Were special techniques successful	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/922	***	4.72	4.02	3.87	****

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 188 0	1				Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	10
	Title:	Percussion N	Metho	ds										Q	uestion	naires:	10
Ins	tructor:	Goldstein,Th	noma														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Frequency Distribution											
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	d Grades Reasons 7 Required for Majors				6	5	Graduate	0		Major		6	
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	10		Non-ma	ajor	4
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0 Other				1								
				?	2												

Course-Section: MUSC 190 01

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Franklin,Rachel

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 2

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	4.88	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1527	4.83	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1508	4.58	4.38	4.18	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1061/1526	4.24	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1490	4.86	4.53	4.11	4.02	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.82	4.63	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1427	4.90	4.64	4.32	4.27	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	4.85	4.69	4.34	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	4.90	4.70	4.05	3.97	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.56	4.16	3.98	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.65	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.18	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:03 PM

Course-S	Section	: MUSC 190 C)1				Term	- Fal	l 2010)						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	5
	Title	: Perf.Studies	s:Weste	rn Art							,				Q	uestion	naires:	2
Inst	tructor	: Franklin,Rad	chel															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves			1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other				1							
				?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 190 02

SC 190 02

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Hawley JR, Thoma

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 6

			Frequencies				In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	434/1528	4.88	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	368/1527	4.83	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1333	4.50	4.70	4.34	4.26	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1495	4.75	4.70	4.25	4.11	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1439	4.81	4.57	4.11	3.97	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1508	4.58	4.38	4.18	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	919/1526	4.24	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	344/1490	4.86	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1428	4.82	4.63	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1427	4.90	4.64	4.32	4.27	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1425	4.85	4.69	4.34	4.31	5.00
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/43	4.75	4.92	4.43	4.68	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	4.50	4.86	4.43	4.33	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	6

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:03 PM

Course-S	Section	: MUSC 190 C)2				Term	ı - Fal	l 2010)						Enro	llment:	14
	Title	e: Perf.Studies	s:West	ern Art							,				Q	uestion	naires:	6
Ins	tructor	Hawley JR,1	Thoma															
								Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Self Paced																
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves			1	ż	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0							t	o be signific	ant				
				1	0		Other				1							
				?	2													

Course-Section: MUSC 190 03

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Appelman,Harold

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

'	Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1140/1528	4.88	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1113/1527	4.83	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1306/1333	4.50	4.70	4.34	4.26	3.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1047/1495	4.75	4.70	4.25	4.11	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1050/1508	4.58	4.38	4.18	4.11	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.24	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1401/1428	4.82	4.63	4.49	4.43	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1080/1427	4.90	4.64	4.32	4.27	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	4.85	4.69	4.34	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	4.90	4.70	4.05	3.97	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.56	4.16	3.98	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.65	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.18	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.72	4.02	3.87	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned Cum. GPA **Expected Grades** Reasons Majors Type 00-27 0.00-0.99 Required for Majors 0 Graduate 0 Major 0 Α 0 0 28-55 0 1.00-1.99 0 В 0

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:03 PM

Course-S	Section	: MUSC 190 C	3				Term	ı - Fall	1 2010)						Enro	<mark>llment:</mark>	2
	Title	: Perf.Studies	:Weste	ern Art											Q	uestion	naires:	1
Ins	tructor	: Appelman,H	larold															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	1		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	•			0							
				2	1													

Course-Section: MUSC 190 05

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Jackson,Janice

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 3

			Frequencies					In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1528	4.88	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1527	4.83	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	4.50	4.70	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1495	4.75	4.70	4.25	4.11	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1439	4.81	4.57	4.11	3.97	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	3.93	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	1270/1508	4.58	4.38	4.18	4.11	3.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	919/1526	4.24	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1490	4.86	4.53	4.11	4.02	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1428	4.82	4.63	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1427	4.90	4.64	4.32	4.27	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1425	4.85	4.69	4.34	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	4.90	4.70	4.05	3.97	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:03 PM

Course-S	Section	: MUSC 190 C)5				Term	- Fal	2010)						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	6
	Title	: Perf.Studies	s:Weste	rn Art											Q	uestion	naires:	3
Ins	tructor	: Jackson,Jan	nice															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Lecture																
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves			1		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other				1							
				?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 190 06

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: King,Thomas D.

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	4.88	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	4.83	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1422/1508	4.58	4.38	4.18	4.11	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1525/1526	4.24	4.58	4.66	4.57	2.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1490	4.86	4.53	4.11	4.02	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.82	4.63	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1427	4.90	4.64	4.32	4.27	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1076/1425	4.85	4.69	4.34	4.31	4.00

						. 3					
Credits	Earned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 07

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Markovic-Prakas

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	4.88	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1527	4.83	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1512/1526	4.24	4.58	4.66	4.57	3.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	344/1490	4.86	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.82	4.63	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1427	4.90	4.64	4.32	4.27	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	4.90	4.70	4.05	3.97	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 08

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Yoshioka,Airi

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 4

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean R							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1528	4.88	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1527	4.83	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	4.50	4.70	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.75	4.70	4.25	4.11	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	239/1439	4.81	4.57	4.11	3.97	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	3.93	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	191/1508	4.58	4.38	4.18	4.11	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	811/1526	4.24	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1490	4.86	4.53	4.11	4.02	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1428	4.82	4.63	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1427	4.90	4.64	4.32	4.27	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	667/1425	4.85	4.69	4.34	4.31	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	327/1291	4.90	4.70	4.05	3.97	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.56	4.16	3.98	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.65	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.18	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.72	4.02	3.87	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 190 08

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Yoshioka,Airi

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	31/43	4.75	4.92	4.43	4.68	4.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/31	5.00	4.75	4.53	4.51	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	27/36	4.50	4.86	4.43	4.33	4.00
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/21	5.00	5.00	4.54	4.63	5.00
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/20	5.00	5.00	4.45	4.39	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	4
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 09

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Tremblay,Christ

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	434/1528	4.88	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1527	4.83	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.75	4.70	4.25	4.11	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	448/1508	4.58	4.38	4.18	4.11	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	919/1526	4.24	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	221/1490	4.86	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.82	4.63	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1427	4.90	4.64	4.32	4.27	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	4.85	4.69	4.34	4.31	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 10

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Kannen,Maria La

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1528	4.88	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1527	4.83	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1508	4.58	4.38	4.18	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	919/1526	4.24	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1490	4.86	4.53	4.11	4.02	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.82	4.63	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1427	4.90	4.64	4.32	4.27	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	4.85	4.69	4.34	4.31	5.00
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/43	4.75	4.92	4.43	4.68	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/36	4.50	4.86	4.43	4.33	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:03 PM

Course-Section:	MUSC 190 10			Term	- Fall	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	3
Title:	Perf.Studies:Western Art											Q	uestion	naires:	3
Instructor:	Kannen,Maria La														
					Fred	quenc	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														
	I	0		Other				0							
	?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 190 12

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 2

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	4.88	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	4.83	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.75	4.70	4.25	4.11	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1439	4.81	4.57	4.11	3.97	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.24	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1490	4.86	4.53	4.11	4.02	5.00
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.82	4.63	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1427	4.90	4.64	4.32	4.27	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	4.85	4.69	4.34	4.31	5.00
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.56	4.16	3.98	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.65	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.18	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.72	4.02	3.87	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:04 PM

Course-S	Section	: MUSC 190 1	2				Term	ı - Fal	I 2010)						Enro	Ilment:	2
	Title	: Perf.Studies	s:Weste	ern Art											Q	uestion	naires:	1
Ins	tructor	: Lagana,Tho	mas V															
								Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 190 15

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Kesner,Lori A.

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 5

	•			Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1528	4.88	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1527	4.83	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1333	4.50	4.70	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1495	4.75	4.70	4.25	4.11	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1439	4.81	4.57	4.11	3.97	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	3.93	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1508	4.58	4.38	4.18	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1526	4.24	4.58	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1490	4.86	4.53	4.11	4.02	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1428	4.82	4.63	4.49	4.43	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.70	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1427	4.90	4.64	4.32	4.27	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1425	4.85	4.69	4.34	4.31	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1291	4.90	4.70	4.05	3.97	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1271	5.00	4.56	4.16	3.98	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1276	5.00	4.65	4.33	4.14	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.18	****
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/922	5.00	4.72	4.02	3.87	****

Course-Section: MUSC 190 15

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Kesner,Lori A.

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/43	4.75	4.92	4.43	4.68	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	5.00	4.75	4.53	4.51	***
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	4.50	4.86	4.43	4.33	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	5.00	5.00	4.54	4.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	5.00	5.00	4.45	4.39	***

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	1	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	5
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 17

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Richards,Edwin

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	4.88	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	4.83	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1508	4.58	4.38	4.18	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.24	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1490	4.86	4.53	4.11	4.02	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.82	4.63	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1427	4.90	4.64	4.32	4.27	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	4.85	4.69	4.34	4.31	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 28

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Dove,Barry B

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	4.88	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1113/1527	4.83	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1047/1495	4.75	4.70	4.25	4.11	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	851/1439	4.81	4.57	4.11	3.97	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1508	4.58	4.38	4.18	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.24	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	344/1490	4.86	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.50

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 30

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Pollauf,Jacquel

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	4.88	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	4.83	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1439	4.81	4.57	4.11	3.97	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1508	4.58	4.38	4.18	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1526	4.24	4.58	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1490	4.86	4.53	4.11	4.02	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 191 03

Title: Recital Preparation

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 19

Questionnaires: 19

'								In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	10	0	0	0	1	3	5	4.44	713/1528	4.44	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.44
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	10	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	514/1527	4.56	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.56
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	10	3	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	393/1333	4.67	4.70	4.34	4.26	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	10	3	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	496/1495	4.50	4.70	4.25	4.11	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	10	3	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	367/1439	4.50	4.57	4.11	3.97	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	10	3	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	249/1425	4.67	4.43	4.12	3.93	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	10	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	284/1508	4.67	4.38	4.18	4.11	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	10	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	783/1526	4.78	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.78
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	12	1	0	1	0	0	5	4.50	344/1490	4.50	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.50
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	16	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1428	***	4.63	4.49	4.43	***
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	16	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1436	***	4.83	4.74	4.70	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	16	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1427	***	4.64	4.32	4.27	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1425	***	4.69	4.34	4.31	***
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	17	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1291	***	4.70	4.05	3.97	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	17	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1271	***	4.56	4.16	3.98	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	17	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1276	***	4.65	4.33	4.14	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	17	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1273	****	4.69	4.38	4.18	****
4. Were special techniques successful	17	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/922	***	4.72	4.02	3.87	****

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 191 0	3				Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	19
	Title:	Recital Prep	aratio	n										Q	uestion	naires:	19
Ins	tructor:	Wonneberge	er,Ala														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Туре	!		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	6	;	Graduate	0		Major		7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	19		Non-ma	ajor	12
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	13												

Course-Section: MUSC 193 01

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 7

mue.

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Questionnaires: 2

Instructor: Franklin, Rachel

									structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	4.70	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1527	4.66	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	4.75	4.70	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.48	4.70	4.25	4.11	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1439	4.50	4.57	4.11	3.97	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1422/1508	4.04	4.38	4.18	4.11	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1061/1526	4.48	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1490	4.42	4.53	4.11	4.02	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.42	4.63	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	4.63	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1427	4.50	4.64	4.32	4.27	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	4.69	4.69	4.34	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	4.79	4.70	4.05	3.97	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1271	4.67	4.56	4.16	3.98	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1276	4.50	4.65	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1273	4.67	4.69	4.38	4.18	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Expected Grades Reasons Credits Earned Cum. GPA Type Majors 00-27 0.00-0.99 Α 2 Required for Majors 2 Graduate Major 2 0

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:04 PM Page 63 of 166

Course-S	Section	n: MUSC 193 0	1				Term	ı - Fall	l 2010	0						Enro	Ilment:	7
	Title	e: Perf Studies	Musc	Majors											Q	uestion	naires:	2
Ins	tructo	r: Franklin,Rad	chel															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	2		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ugh resp	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	-			0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 193 03

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 1

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Appelman, Harold

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1140/1528	4.70	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1484/1527	4.66	4.74	4.28	4.23	3.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1453/1495	4.48	4.70	4.25	4.11	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1498/1508	4.04	4.38	4.18	4.11	2.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.48	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1406/1490	4.42	4.53	4.11	4.02	3.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1401/1428	4.42	4.63	4.49	4.43	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1430/1436	4.63	4.83	4.74	4.70	3.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1379/1427	4.50	4.64	4.32	4.27	3.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1076/1425	4.69	4.69	4.34	4.31	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	4.79	4.70	4.05	3.97	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	780/1271	4.67	4.56	4.16	3.98	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1230/1276	4.50	4.65	4.33	4.14	3.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	947/1273	4.67	4.69	4.38	4.18	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:04 PM

Page 65 of 166

Course-	Section	: MUSC 193 C)3				Term	ı - Fal	l 201	0						Enro	Ilment:	1
	Title	: Perf Studies	s Musc	Majors											Q	uestion	naires:	1
Ins	tructor	: Appelman,F	larold															
								Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
		Discussion																
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ugh resp	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 193 04

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	4.70	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	4.66	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	851/1439	4.50	4.57	4.11	3.97	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1345/1425	4.00	4.43	4.12	3.93	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1508	4.04	4.38	4.18	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.48	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1490	4.42	4.53	4.11	4.02	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 05

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 1

'				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	4.70	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	4.66	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.48	4.70	4.25	4.11	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1439	4.50	4.57	4.11	3.97	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	4.00	4.43	4.12	3.93	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1508	4.04	4.38	4.18	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1526	4.48	4.58	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1490	4.42	4.53	4.11	4.02	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.42	4.63	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	4.63	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1427	4.50	4.64	4.32	4.27	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	4.69	4.69	4.34	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	4.79	4.70	4.05	3.97	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1271	4.67	4.56	4.16	3.98	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1276	4.50	4.65	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1273	4.67	4.69	4.38	4.18	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/922	4.67	4.72	4.02	3.87	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:04 PM

Course-	Section	MUSC 193 0	5				Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	3
	Title	Perf Studies	Musc	Majors						_				Q	uestion	naires:	1
Ins	structor	Jackson, Jan	ice														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	٦	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0		Requ	ired for Ma	ijors	1	I	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		()	Under-grad	1		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves		()	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			()						
				?	1												

Course-Section: MUSC 193 07

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Markovic-Prakas

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

		Frequencies						Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	4.70	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	4.66	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.48	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1490	4.42	4.53	4.11	4.02	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.42	4.63	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	4.63	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1427	4.50	4.64	4.32	4.27	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	4.69	4.69	4.34	4.31	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1271	4.67	4.56	4.16	3.98	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1276	4.50	4.65	4.33	4.14	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1273	4.67	4.69	4.38	4.18	5.00
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/208	5.00	5.00	4.27	4.23	5.00
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/74	5.00	5.00	4.31	4.43	5.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/73	5.00	5.00	3.94	3.82	5.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/42	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.08	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/41	5.00	4.89	4.06	4.10	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 193 07

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Markovic-Prakas

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/30	5.00	5.00	4.74	5.00	5.00
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/32	5.00	4.78	4.20	4.09	5.00
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/29	5.00	5.00	4.34	4.87	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/43	5.00	4.92	4.43	4.68	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/36	5.00	4.86	4.43	4.33	5.00

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough respons			
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 08

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Yoshioka,Airi

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

Frequencies Instructor Course Org UMBC Level Sect Questions 5 Mean Mean Mean Mean NR NA 3 Mean Rank Mean General 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course 0 0 0 0 0 0 5.00 1/1528 4.70 4.73 4.31 4.16 5.00 4 0 0 0 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals 0 0 0 4 5.00 1/1527 4.66 4.74 4.28 4.23 5.00 3. Did the exam guestions reflect the expected goals 3 0 0 0 5.00 1/1333 4.75 4.70 4.34 4.26 5.00 0 0 1 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals 0 1 0 0 1 0 2 4.33 746/1495 4.48 4.70 4.25 4.11 4.33 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned 0 3 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 1/1439 4.50 4.57 4.11 3.97 5.00 7. Was the grading system clearly explained 1 0 2 681/1508 4.38 4.33 0 0 0 1 4.33 4.04 4.18 4.11 8. How many times was class cancelled 3 0 0 0 0 0 1 4.75 811/1526 4.48 4.58 4.66 4.57 4.75 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness 1 0 0 0 0 2 4.33 579/1490 4.42 4.53 4.02 4.33 1 4.11 Lecture 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared 1 0 0 0 1 0 2 4.33 1021/1428 4.42 4.63 4.49 4.43 4.33 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject 1 0 0 0 0 0 3 5.00 1/1436 4.63 4.83 4.74 4.70 5.00 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly 2 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 1/1427 4.50 4.64 4.32 4.27 5.00 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned 2 0 0 0 2 4.69 5.00 0 0 5.00 1/1425 4.69 4.34 4.31 0 2 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding 2 0 0 0 0 5.00 1/1291 4.79 4.70 4.05 3.97 5.00 Discussion 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned 3 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 1/1271 4.67 4.56 4.16 3.98 5.00 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate 3 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 1/1276 4.50 4.65 4.33 4.14 5.00 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion 3 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 1/1273 4.67 4.69 4.38 4.18 5.00 4. Were special techniques successful 3 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 1/922 4.67 4.72 4.02 3.87 5.00

Course-S	Section	: MUSC 193 0	8				Term	ı - Fall 2	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	4
	Title	: Perf Studies	Musc	Majors											Q	uestion	naires:	4
Ins	tructor	: Yoshioka,Ai	ri															
					-			Frequ	ienc	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	son	าร			Туре	,		Maj	jors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3		Requ	ired for N	⁄Iаjo	rs	4		Graduate	0		Major		4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	eral			0		Under-grad	4		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ives			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Othe	r			0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 193 14

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1528	4.70	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	259/1527	4.66	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	4.75	4.70	4.34	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	496/1495	4.48	4.70	4.25	4.11	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	851/1439	4.50	4.57	4.11	3.97	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	891/1425	4.00	4.43	4.12	3.93	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	1050/1508	4.04	4.38	4.18	4.11	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	811/1526	4.48	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	156/1490	4.42	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1202/1428	4.42	4.63	4.49	4.43	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	4.63	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1080/1427	4.50	4.64	4.32	4.27	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	4.69	4.69	4.34	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	4.79	4.70	4.05	3.97	5.00
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/43	5.00	4.92	4.43	4.68	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/31	5.00	4.75	4.53	4.51	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/36	5.00	4.86	4.43	4.33	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:05 PM Page 74 of 166

Course-S	Section	: MUSC 193 1	4				Term	ı - Fall 2	010							Enro	Ilment:	4
	Title	: Perf Studies	Musc	Majors											Q	uestion	naires:	4
Ins	tructor	: Cella,Lisa M																
								Frequ	enci	es		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Self Paced																
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	sons				Туре	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3		Requ	ired for M	lajors	3	4		Graduate	0		Major		3
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	4		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 193 27

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quend	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	307/1528	4.70	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	575/1527	4.66	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1003/1333	4.75	4.70	4.34	4.26	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1047/1495	4.48	4.70	4.25	4.11	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	851/1439	4.50	4.57	4.11	3.97	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	891/1425	4.00	4.43	4.12	3.93	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1050/1508	4.04	4.38	4.18	4.11	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	811/1526	4.48	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	344/1490	4.42	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.50
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1202/1428	4.42	4.63	4.49	4.43	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1382/1436	4.63	4.83	4.74	4.70	4.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1080/1427	4.50	4.64	4.32	4.27	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1305/1425	4.69	4.69	4.34	4.31	3.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1061/1291	4.79	4.70	4.05	3.97	3.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	780/1271	4.67	4.56	4.16	3.98	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	926/1276	4.50	4.65	4.33	4.14	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	947/1273	4.67	4.69	4.38	4.18	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	467/922	4.67	4.72	4.02	3.87	4.00

Course-Section: MUSC 193 27

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Goldstein,Thoma

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/76	5.00	5.00	4.51	4.44	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/74	5.00	5.00	4.31	4.43	5.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/66	5.00	5.00	4.27	4.15	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/76	5.00	5.00	4.27	4.21	5.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/73	5.00	5.00	3.94	3.82	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/43	5.00	4.92	4.43	4.68	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/31	5.00	4.75	4.53	4.51	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/36	5.00	4.86	4.43	4.33	5.00
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/21	5.00	5.00	4.54	4.63	5.00
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/20	5.00	5.00	4.45	4.39	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 28 Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 3

Instructor: Dove, Barry B

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	4.70	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1527	4.66	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.48	4.70	4.25	4.11	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1508	4.04	4.38	4.18	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1061/1526	4.48	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	911/1490	4.42	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.42	4.63	4.49	4.43	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	4.63	4.83	4.74	4.70	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1427	4.50	4.64	4.32	4.27	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	4.69	4.69	4.34	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	4.79	4.70	4.05	3.97	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				

Course-Section:	MUSC 193 28			Term	ı - Fal	I 2010)						Enro	Ilment:	3
Title:	Perf Studies Musc Majors											Q	uestion	naires:	2
Instructor:	Dove,Barry B														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Lecture														
	2		<u> </u>												

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:05 PM

Course-Section: MUSC 193 30

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Pollauf, Jacquel

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1140/1528	4.70	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1113/1527	4.66	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.48	4.70	4.25	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1526	4.48	4.58	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1406/1490	4.42	4.53	4.11	4.02	3.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 33

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Ruas,Laura Mari

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1140/1528	4.70	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	4.66	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.48	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1490	4.42	4.53	4.11	4.02	5.00

					1 3					
arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
			Р	0			to be significant			
			I	0	Other	0				
			?	0						
	0 1 0	0 0.00-0.99 0 1.00-1.99 1 2.00-2.99 0 3.00-3.49	0 0.00-0.99 0 0 1.00-1.99 0 1 2.00-2.99 0 0 3.00-3.49 0	0 0.00-0.99 0 A 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 1 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 3.00-3.49 0 D 0 3.50-4.00 1 F P	0 0.00-0.99 0 A 1 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 1 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 0 3.00-3.49 0 D 0 0 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 P 0 I 0	Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades Reasons 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 1 Required for Majors 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 1 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 General 0 3.00-3.49 0 D 0 0 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 Electives P 0 I 0 Other	Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades Reasons 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 1 Required for Majors 1 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 0 General 0 1 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 General 0 0 3.00-3.49 0 D 0 0 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 Electives 0 P 0 0 Other 0 0	Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades Reasons Type 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 1 Required for Majors 1 Graduate 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 0 Under-grad 1 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 General 0 Under-grad 0 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 Electives 0 **** - Means the to be significant I 0 Other 0	Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades Reasons Type 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 1 Required for Majors 1 Graduate 0 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 0 Under-grad 1 1 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 General 0 Under-grad 1 0 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 Electives 0 **** - Means there are not to be significant I 0 Other 0	Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades Reasons Type Majors 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 1 Required for Majors 1 Graduate 0 Major 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 Under-grad 1 Non-major 1 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 General 0 Under-grad 1 Non-major 0 3.00-3.49 0 D 0 ***** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant P 0 Other 0

Course-Section: MUSC 194 06

Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj

Instructor: King,Thomas D.

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	4.67	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	4.67	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1526	4.33	4.58	4.66	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	911/1490	4.00	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.00

					1 3					
arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
			Р	0			to be significant			
			I	0	Other	0				
			?	0						
	0 1 0	0 0.00-0.99 0 1.00-1.99 1 2.00-2.99 0 3.00-3.49	0 0.00-0.99 0 0 1.00-1.99 0 1 2.00-2.99 0 0 3.00-3.49 0	0 0.00-0.99 0 A 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 1 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 3.00-3.49 0 D 0 3.50-4.00 1 F P	0 0.00-0.99 0 A 1 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 1 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 0 3.00-3.49 0 D 0 0 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 P 0 I 0	Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades Reasons 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 1 Required for Majors 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 1 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 General 0 3.00-3.49 0 D 0 0 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 Electives P 0 I 0 Other	Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades Reasons 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 1 Required for Majors 1 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 0 General 0 1 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 General 0 0 3.00-3.49 0 D 0 0 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 Electives 0 P 0 0 Other 0 0	Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades Reasons Type 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 1 Required for Majors 1 Graduate 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 0 Under-grad 1 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 General 0 Under-grad 0 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 Electives 0 **** - Means the to be significant I 0 Other 0	Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades Reasons Type 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 1 Required for Majors 1 Graduate 0 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 0 Under-grad 1 1 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 General 0 Under-grad 1 0 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 Electives 0 **** - Means there are not to be significant I 0 Other 0	Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades Reasons Type Majors 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 1 Required for Majors 1 Graduate 0 Major 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 Under-grad 1 Non-major 1 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 General 0 Under-grad 1 Non-major 0 3.00-3.49 0 D 0 ***** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant P 0 Other 0

Course-Section: MUSC 194 12

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 2

Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj

Questionnaires: 2

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1140/1528	4.67	4.73	4.31	4.16	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1113/1527	4.67	4.74	4.28	4.23	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1306/1333	3.00	4.70	4.34	4.26	3.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1047/1495	4.50	4.70	4.25	4.11	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1361/1439	3.00	4.57	4.11	3.97	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1345/1425	3.00	4.43	4.12	3.93	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2.50	1481/1508	2.50	4.38	4.18	4.11	2.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.33	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	911/1490	4.00	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1401/1428	3.00	4.63	4.49	4.43	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1430/1436	3.00	4.83	4.74	4.70	3.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1379/1427	3.00	4.64	4.32	4.27	3.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1372/1425	3.00	4.69	4.34	4.31	3.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1194/1291	3.00	4.70	4.05	3.97	3.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	780/1271	4.00	4.56	4.16	3.98	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	926/1276	4.00	4.65	4.33	4.14	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	947/1273	4.00	4.69	4.38	4.18	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	467/922	4.00	4.72	4.02	3.87	4.00

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 194 1	2				Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	2
	Title:	Perf Studies	: Mus	с Мај						_				Q	uestion	naires:	2
Ins	tructor:	Lagana,Thor	mas V														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	ribut	ion							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	٨	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре)		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1		Requ	ired for Ma	ijors	2	2	Graduate	0		Major		1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		()	Under-grad	2		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		()	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other			()						
				?	1												

Course-Section: MUSC 194 28

Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj

Instructor: Dove,Barry B

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	4.67	4.73	4.31	4.16	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	4.67	4.74	4.28	4.23	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.50	4.70	4.25	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.33	4.58	4.66	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	911/1490	4.00	4.53	4.11	4.02	4.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 210 01

Title: Musicianship Lab III

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 8

·				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	0	0	1	5	4.29	886/1528	4.39	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	0	2	4	4.29	872/1527	4.64	4.74	4.28	4.32	4.29
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	1	1	0	0	0	5	4.33	769/1333	4.55	4.70	4.34	4.40	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	1	0	0	4	4.40	657/1495	4.61	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	367/1439	4.75	4.57	4.11	4.12	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	5	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1211/1425	4.05	4.43	4.12	4.11	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	1	2	1	2	3.29	1385/1508	4.04	4.38	4.18	4.19	3.29
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	1002/1526	4.64	4.58	4.66	4.64	4.57
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	221/1490	4.56	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	478/1428	4.75	4.63	4.49	4.48	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	297/1427	4.69	4.64	4.32	4.33	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	349/1425	4.81	4.69	4.34	4.37	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	327/1291	4.63	4.70	4.05	4.14	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1271	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.21	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1276	5.00	4.65	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.43	****

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:05 PM

Course-S	Section	: MUSC 210 0)1				Term	ı - Fall 20	10							Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	16
	Title	: Musicianshi	p Lab	Ш											Q	uestion	naires:	8
Ins	tructor	: Cella,Lisa M																
								Freque	encies	;		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4		5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons				Туре	1		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5		Requ	ired for M	ajors		7		Graduate	0		Major		8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1													
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	1		Gene	eral			0		Under-grad	8		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ives			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	r			0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 210 2

Title: Musicianship Lab III

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 16

	-			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	1	5	8	4.50	636/1528	4.39	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	14	5.00	1/1527	4.64	4.74	4.28	4.32	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	282/1333	4.55	4.70	4.34	4.40	4.77
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	3	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	169/1495	4.61	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.82
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	8	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1439	4.75	4.57	4.11	4.12	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	9	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	301/1425	4.05	4.43	4.12	4.11	4.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	1	1	12	4.79	163/1508	4.04	4.38	4.18	4.19	4.79
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	867/1526	4.64	4.58	4.66	4.64	4.71
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	7	6	4.46	404/1490	4.56	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.46
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	478/1428	4.75	4.63	4.49	4.48	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	477/1427	4.69	4.64	4.32	4.33	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	197/1425	4.81	4.69	4.34	4.37	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	4	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	143/1291	4.63	4.70	4.05	4.14	4.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.21	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.65	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.43	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	12	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/922	***	4.72	4.02	4.11	****

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 210 2					Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	16
	Title:	Musicianship	o Lab	Ш										Q	uestion	naires:	16
Ins	tructor:	Cella,Lisa M															
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	12	2	Graduate	0		Major		11
28-55	6	1.00-1.99	0	В	3												
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	2		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	16		Non-ma	ajor	5
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	3												

Course-Section: MUSC 218 01

Title: Recording Techniques

Instructor: Parent, James C.

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 10

<u> </u>	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	521/1528	4.60	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.60
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	453/1527	4.60	4.74	4.28	4.32	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	351/1333	4.70	4.70	4.34	4.40	4.70
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	350/1495	4.63	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.63
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	657/1439	4.25	4.57	4.11	4.12	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	396/1425	4.50	4.43	4.12	4.11	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	1	2	5	4.00	1050/1508	4.00	4.38	4.18	4.19	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	742/1526	4.80	4.58	4.66	4.64	4.80
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	8	1	4.00	911/1490	4.00	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.00
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	1138/1428	4.17	4.63	4.49	4.48	4.17
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	1043/1436	4.67	4.83	4.74	4.76	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	2	0	4	4.33	843/1427	4.33	4.64	4.32	4.33	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	870/1425	4.33	4.69	4.34	4.37	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	1	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	253/1291	4.60	4.70	4.05	4.14	4.60
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	446/1271	4.50	4.56	4.16	4.21	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	805/1276	4.25	4.65	4.33	4.37	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.43	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	6	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/922	****	4.72	4.02	4.11	****

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 218 0	1				Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	10
	Title:	Recording T	echni	ques										Q	uestion	naires:	10
Ins	tructor:	Parent, Jame	es C.														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	!		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	7		Requ	ired for Ma	ors	8	1	Graduate	0		Major		8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	10		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	-		0)						
				?	2												

Course-Section: MUSC 221 01

Title: Jazz Thry And Aural Skls

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 8

'				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	183/1528	4.88	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	259/1527	4.75	4.74	4.28	4.32	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	294/1333	4.75	4.70	4.34	4.40	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	227/1495	4.75	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	0	6	4.50	367/1439	4.50	4.57	4.11	4.12	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	175/1425	4.75	4.43	4.12	4.11	4.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	329/1508	4.63	4.38	4.18	4.19	4.63
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	4.25	1285/1526	4.25	4.58	4.66	4.64	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	184/1490	4.71	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.71
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	385/1428	4.80	4.63	4.49	4.48	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	839/1436	4.80	4.83	4.74	4.76	4.80
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	230/1427	4.80	4.64	4.32	4.33	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	277/1425	4.80	4.69	4.34	4.37	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	112/1291	4.80	4.70	4.05	4.14	4.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	319/1271	4.67	4.56	4.16	4.21	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	439/1276	4.67	4.65	4.33	4.37	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	507/1273	4.67	4.69	4.38	4.43	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	218/922	4.50	4.72	4.02	4.11	4.50

Course-Section: MUSC 221 01

Title: Jazz Thry And Aural Skls

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	5.00	4.51	4.17	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	****	5.00	4.31	3.91	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	5.00	4.27	3.85	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	5.00	4.27	4.15	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	***	5.00	3.94	3.95	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 225 01

Title: Theory III:Counterpoint

Instructor: Morin,Joseph C

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 25

Questionnaires: 22

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	1	0	2	5	12	4.35	815/1528	4.35	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.35
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	2	3	15	4.65	382/1527	4.65	4.74	4.28	4.32	4.65
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	17	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	****/1333	****	4.70	4.34	4.40	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	5	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	71/1495	4.93	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.93
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	2	0	1	1	8	8	4.28	636/1439	4.28	4.57	4.11	4.12	4.28
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	7	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	69/1425	4.92	4.43	4.12	4.11	4.92
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	3	4	13	4.50	448/1508	4.50	4.38	4.18	4.19	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	18	2	4.10	1393/1526	4.10	4.58	4.66	4.64	4.10
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	1	0	12	5	4.17	778/1490	4.17	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.17
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	8	11	4.58	770/1428	4.58	4.63	4.49	4.48	4.58
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	4	15	4.79	870/1436	4.79	4.83	4.74	4.76	4.79
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	1	1	3	12	4.53	601/1427	4.53	4.64	4.32	4.33	4.53
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	3	4	12	4.47	711/1425	4.47	4.69	4.34	4.37	4.47
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	0	0	2	5	11	4.50	327/1291	4.50	4.70	4.05	4.14	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	1	0	4	1	3.83	913/1271	3.83	4.56	4.16	4.21	3.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	1	1	0	1	3	3.67	1102/1276	3.67	4.65	4.33	4.37	3.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	16	0	0	1	0	2	3	4.17	877/1273	4.17	4.69	4.38	4.43	4.17

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:06 PM

Course-S	Section	MUSC 225 0	1				Term	ı - Fall 2	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	25
	Title	Theory III:0	Counte	erpoint											Q	uestion	naires:	22
Ins	tructor	Morin,Josep	h C															
					_			Frequ	uend	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	٦	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	asor	าร			Туре	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14		Requ	ired for N	Мајо	rs	19		Graduate	0		Major		16
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	0	В	3													
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	2		Gene	eral			0		Under-grad	22		Non-ma	ajor	6
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	3	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0		Electi	ives			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	r			0							
				?	3													

Course-Section: MUSC 227 1

Title: Thry V:20Th Cent Mus Ana

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 9

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	0	6	1	3.88	1247/1528	3.88	4.73	4.31	4.34	3.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	2	2	3	3.88	1241/1527	3.88	4.74	4.28	4.32	3.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	564/1333	4.50	4.70	4.34	4.40	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	2	1	4	4.29	808/1495	4.29	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.29
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	472/1439	4.43	4.57	4.11	4.12	4.43
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	2	2	3	4.14	786/1425	4.14	4.43	4.12	4.11	4.14
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	2	3	1	2	3.38	1365/1508	3.38	4.38	4.18	4.19	3.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	958/1526	4.63	4.58	4.66	4.64	4.63
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	6	0	3.86	1082/1490	3.86	4.53	4.11	4.11	3.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	3	2	1	3.67	1334/1428	3.67	4.63	4.49	4.48	3.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	742/1436	4.83	4.83	4.74	4.76	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	1	3	0	2	3.50	1300/1427	3.50	4.64	4.32	4.33	3.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	2	1	2	3.67	1255/1425	3.67	4.69	4.34	4.37	3.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	1	2	1	2	3.67	993/1291	3.67	4.70	4.05	4.14	3.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	644/1271	4.25	4.56	4.16	4.21	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	805/1276	4.25	4.65	4.33	4.37	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	637/1273	4.50	4.69	4.38	4.43	4.50
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	360/922	4.25	4.72	4.02	4.11	4.25

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 227 1					Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	15
	Title:	Thry V:20Th	Cent	Mus Ana										Q	uestion	naires:	9
Ins	tructor:	Rubin,Anna	1														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Туре	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	6	5	Graduate	0		Major		8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	9		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	2												

Course-Section: MUSC 230 01

Title: Musics Of The World

Instructor: Beck, Gina C

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 33

Questionnaires: 26

	•			Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	6	18	4.68	405/1528	4.68	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.68
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	6	18	4.68	340/1527	4.68	4.74	4.28	4.32	4.68
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	2	3	19	4.71	351/1333	4.71	4.70	4.34	4.40	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	17	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	350/1495	4.63	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.63
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	1	1	1	3	7	10	4.09	802/1439	4.09	4.57	4.11	4.12	4.09
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	19	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	****/1425	****	4.43	4.12	4.11	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	4	1	19	4.63	329/1508	4.63	4.38	4.18	4.19	4.63
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	24	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.58	4.66	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	2	12	8	4.27	651/1490	4.27	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.27
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	1	23	4.88	253/1428	4.88	4.63	4.49	4.48	4.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	0	24	4.92	413/1436	4.92	4.83	4.74	4.76	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	0	23	4.92	124/1427	4.92	4.64	4.32	4.33	4.92
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	24	4.92	130/1425	4.92	4.69	4.34	4.37	4.92
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	2	2	21	4.76	136/1291	4.76	4.70	4.05	4.14	4.76
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	3	0	5	4.25	644/1271	4.25	4.56	4.16	4.21	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	483/1276	4.63	4.65	4.33	4.37	4.63
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	828/1273	4.25	4.69	4.38	4.43	4.25
4. Were special techniques successful	17	5	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	****/922	***	4.72	4.02	4.11	****

Course-Section: MUSC 230 01

Title: Musics Of The World

Instructor: Beck, Gina C

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 33

Questionnaires: 26

'				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/198	****	5.00	4.16	4.41	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/208	****	5.00	4.27	4.30	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/194	****	5.00	4.56	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	25	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/194	***	5.00	4.37	4.43	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/176	***	5.00	4.23	4.18	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	24	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/76	****	5.00	4.51	4.17	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	24	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/74	***	5.00	4.31	3.91	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	24	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/66	***	5.00	4.27	3.85	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	24	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/76	****	5.00	4.27	4.15	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	24	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/73	****	5.00	3.94	3.95	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/42	***	5.00	4.00	3.68	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/41	***	4.89	4.06	3.81	***
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/30	***	5.00	4.74	4.50	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/32	***	4.78	4.20	4.32	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/29	***	5.00	4.34	4.11	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/43	***	4.92	4.43	3.95	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	25	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/31	***	4.75	4.53	4.00	***
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/36	****	4.86	4.43	3.75	****

Course-Section: MUSC 230 01

Title: Musics Of The World

Instructor: Beck, Gina C

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 33

Questionnaires: 26

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/21	****	5.00	4.54	3.63	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	25	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/20	****	5.00	4.45	3.77	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	15	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	12	Under-grad	26	Non-major	21
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: MUSC 261 01

Title: Teaching Choral Singing
Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.31	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.74	4.28	4.32	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.70	4.25	4.28	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	4.11	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1508	5.00	4.38	4.18	4.19	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.58	4.66	4.64	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	344/1490	4.50	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.50
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.63	4.49	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.37	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				

Course-Section:	MUSC 261 01			Term	- Fall	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	2
Title:	Teaching Choral Singing											Q	uestion	naires:	2
Instructor:	Jackson,Janice														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Lecture														
	2	0													

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:06 PM

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Yoshioka,Airi

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	578/1528	4.56	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	237/1527	4.78	4.74	4.28	4.27	4.78
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	154/1495	4.83	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1439	***	4.57	4.11	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	4	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	448/1508	4.50	4.38	4.18	4.17	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	1	5	1	4.00	1421/1526	4.00	4.58	4.66	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1490	4.50	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1428	***	4.63	4.49	4.48	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1436	***	4.83	4.74	4.74	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1427	***	4.64	4.32	4.31	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1425	***	4.69	4.34	4.34	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1291	***	4.70	4.05	4.09	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:06 PM

Course-Section:	MUSC 301 01				Term	- Fall	2010)						Enrol	Ilment:	12
Title:	Chambers Players												Q	uestion	naires:	9
Instructor:	Yoshioka,Airi															
						Freq	uenc	ies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Lecture															
		Р	0							1	to be significa	ant				
		I	0		Other				0							
		?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	578/1528	4.56	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	237/1527	4.78	4.74	4.28	4.27	4.78
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	154/1495	4.83	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1439	****	4.57	4.11	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	4	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	448/1508	4.50	4.38	4.18	4.17	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	1	5	1	4.00	1421/1526	4.00	4.58	4.66	4.68	4.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Tremblay,Christ

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	578/1528	4.56	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	237/1527	4.78	4.74	4.28	4.27	4.78
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	154/1495	4.83	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1439	****	4.57	4.11	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	4	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	448/1508	4.50	4.38	4.18	4.17	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	1	5	1	4.00	1421/1526	4.00	4.58	4.66	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1490	4.50	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.50

						. 3					
Credits I	Earned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Kannen, Maria La

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	578/1528	4.56	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.56
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	237/1527	4.78	4.74	4.28	4.27	4.78
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	154/1495	4.83	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1439	***	4.57	4.11	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	4	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	448/1508	4.50	4.38	4.18	4.17	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	1	5	1	4.00	1421/1526	4.00	4.58	4.66	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	344/1490	4.50	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1428	***	4.63	4.49	4.48	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1436	***	4.83	4.74	4.74	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1427	****	4.64	4.32	4.31	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1425	***	4.69	4.34	4.34	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:06 PM

Course-Section:	MUSC 301 01		Term - Fall 2010								Enrollment:			12		
Title:	Chambers Players												Q	uestion	naires:	9
Instructor:	Kannen,Maria La															
		,			Frequencies				Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
	Questions		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Lecture															
		I	0		Other				0							
		?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 303 01

Title: UMBC Camerata--Chamber C

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 47

Questionnaires: 28

'	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	2	5	20	4.67	434/1528	4.67	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	23	4.82	188/1527	4.82	4.74	4.28	4.27	4.82
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	24	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/1333	***	4.70	4.34	4.34	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	17	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	576/1495	4.45	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.45
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	25	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1439	****	4.57	4.11	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	0	3	4	20	4.50	448/1508	4.50	4.38	4.18	4.17	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.58	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	5	19	4.79	128/1490	4.79	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.79
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	133/1428	4.95	4.63	4.49	4.48	4.95
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	0	0	19	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	0	0	1	1	17	4.84	193/1427	4.84	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.84
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	1	1	17	4.84	231/1425	4.84	4.69	4.34	4.34	4.84
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	13	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	****/1291	****	4.70	4.05	4.09	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	246/1271	4.75	4.56	4.16	4.19	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	348/1276	4.75	4.65	4.33	4.37	4.75
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	16	0	0	1	0	0	11	4.75	408/1273	4.75	4.69	4.38	4.40	4.75
4. Were special techniques successful	16	4	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.72	4.02	4.02	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:06 PM

Course-S	Section	: MUSC 303 ()1				Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	47
	Title	: UMBC Came	erata-	-Chamber C						_				Q	uestion	naires:	28
Ins	tructor	: Caracciolo,	Step														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре	>		Maj	jors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	23		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	10		Graduate	0		Major		8
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	4												
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0		Under-grad	28		Non-ma	ajor	20
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	11	F	0		Electi	ves		15		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			2							
				?	1												

Course-Section: MUSC 304 01

Title: UMBC Jubilee Singers

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 31

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	1	0	10	4.50	636/1528	4.50	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	2	9	4.50	575/1527	4.50	4.74	4.28	4.27	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	339/1333	4.71	4.70	4.34	4.34	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	313/1495	4.67	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	367/1439	4.50	4.57	4.11	4.13	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	396/1425	4.50	4.43	4.12	4.17	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	1	1	2	6	4.30	722/1508	4.30	4.38	4.18	4.17	4.30
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	509/1526	4.92	4.58	4.66	4.68	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	221/1490	4.67	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.67
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	478/1428	4.75	4.63	4.49	4.48	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	917/1436	4.75	4.83	4.74	4.74	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	420/1427	4.67	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	349/1425	4.75	4.69	4.34	4.34	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	0	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	728/1291	4.00	4.70	4.05	4.09	4.00
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1271	***	4.56	4.16	4.19	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1276	***	4.65	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1273	****	4.69	4.38	4.40	****
4. Were special techniques successful	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/922	****	4.72	4.02	4.02	***

					_					_							
Course-	Section:	MUSC 304 C)1				Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	31
	Title:	UMBC Jubile	ee Sin	gers						_				Q	uestion	naires:	12
Ins	structor:	Jackson, Jan	nice														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es	·	Reas	ons			Туре	;		Ma	jors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	4		Graduate	0		Major		2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	12		Non-ma	ajor	10
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		3	}	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	•		2	!						
				2	2												

Course-Section: MUSC 305 01

Title: UMBC Community Symphony
Instructor: Richards, Edwin

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 52

Questionnaires: 48

•				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	0	0	0	5	39	4.89	175/1528	4.89	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.89
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	0	2	6	36	4.77	237/1527	4.77	4.74	4.28	4.27	4.77
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	37	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	****/1333	***	4.70	4.34	4.34	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	30	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	197/1495	4.79	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.79
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	35	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	****/1439	****	4.57	4.11	4.13	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	40	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/1425	****	4.43	4.12	4.17	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	7	0	0	1	6	30	4.78	163/1508	4.78	4.38	4.18	4.17	4.78
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	1	43	4.98	170/1526	4.98	4.58	4.66	4.68	4.98
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	1	43	4.98	25/1490	4.98	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.98
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	31	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	133/1428	4.94	4.63	4.49	4.48	4.94
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	28	0	0	0	0	1	19	4.95	258/1436	4.95	4.83	4.74	4.74	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	30	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	156/1427	4.89	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.89
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	30	0	0	0	2	3	13	4.61	542/1425	4.61	4.69	4.34	4.34	4.61
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	28	8	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	267/1291	4.58	4.70	4.05	4.09	4.58
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	39	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	****/1271	****	4.56	4.16	4.19	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	38	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	****/1276	***	4.65	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	39	0	1	0	2	3	3	3.78	****/1273	***	4.69	4.38	4.40	****
4. Were special techniques successful	38	4	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	****/922	***	4.72	4.02	4.02	***

Course-Section: MUSC 305 01

Title: UMBC Community Symphony
Instructor: Richards,Edwin

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 52

	•			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/198	****	5.00	4.16	4.26	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/208	***	5.00	4.27	4.31	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	***	5.00	4.56	4.59	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	***	5.00	4.37	4.37	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/176	***	5.00	4.23	4.33	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	5.00	4.51	4.02	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	***	5.00	4.31	3.86	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	***	5.00	4.27	4.00	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	5.00	4.27	3.68	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	5.00	3.94	4.27	****
Field Work														
Did field experience contribute to what you learned	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	***	5.00	4.00	3.20	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/41	***	4.89	4.06	3.86	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	***	5.00	4.74	4.80	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	***	4.78	4.20	3.38	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	***	5.00	4.34	4.79	***
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	***	4.92	4.43	3.75	***
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	***	4.75	4.53	4.75	***
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	****	4.86	4.43	5.00	****

Course-Section: MUSC 305 01

Title: UMBC Community Symphony
Instructor: Richards, Edwin

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 52

Questionnaires: 48

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	5.00	4.54	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/20	****	5.00	4.45	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP.	Α	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	8	0.00-0.99	1	Α	38	Required for Majors	23	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	6	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	48	Non-major	36
84-150	8	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	13	F	0	Electives	13	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	9						

Course-Section: MUSC 307 06

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 8

'	•			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	492/1528	4.26	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	424/1527	4.06	4.74	4.28	4.27	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	769/1333	2.67	4.70	4.34	4.34	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	844/1495	4.25	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.25
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	4	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	851/1439	3.50	4.57	4.11	4.13	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	4	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	583/1425	2.67	4.43	4.12	4.17	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	681/1508	3.94	4.38	4.18	4.17	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	689/1526	4.54	4.58	4.66	4.68	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	464/1490	3.89	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.43
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	1021/1428	3.67	4.63	4.49	4.48	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	1290/1436	3.92	4.83	4.74	4.74	4.33
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	843/1427	3.92	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	1	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1305/1425	3.50	4.69	4.34	4.34	3.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	728/1291	4.50	4.70	4.05	4.09	4.00
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	780/1271	3.75	4.56	4.16	4.19	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	926/1276	3.75	4.65	4.33	4.37	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	947/1273	3.50	4.69	4.38	4.40	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	6	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	467/922	3.50	4.72	4.02	4.02	4.00

Course-Section: MUSC 307 06

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Goldstein,Thoma

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	5.00	4.51	4.02	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	***	5.00	4.31	3.86	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	5.00	4.27	4.00	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	****	5.00	4.27	3.68	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	***	5.00	3.94	4.27	***

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 307 10

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: King, Thomas D.

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	434/1528	4.26	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	514/1527	4.06	4.74	4.28	4.27	4.56
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1333	2.67	4.70	4.34	4.34	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1495	4.25	4.70	4.25	4.28	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1439	3.50	4.57	4.11	4.13	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1425	2.67	4.43	4.12	4.17	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	5	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	1050/1508	3.94	4.38	4.18	4.17	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	783/1526	4.54	4.58	4.66	4.68	4.78
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	1	4	3	4.25	675/1490	3.89	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1428	3.67	4.63	4.49	4.48	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1436	3.92	4.83	4.74	4.74	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1427	3.92	4.64	4.32	4.31	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1425	3.50	4.69	4.34	4.34	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1271	3.75	4.56	4.16	4.19	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1276	3.75	4.65	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1273	3.50	4.69	4.38	4.40	****
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/198	***	5.00	4.16	4.26	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/208	***	5.00	4.27	4.31	****

Course-Section: MUSC 307 10

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: King,Thomas D.

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	***	5.00	4.56	4.59	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/176	***	5.00	4.23	4.33	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	5.00	4.51	4.02	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/42	***	5.00	4.00	3.20	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	***	5.00	4.74	4.80	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	***	4.78	4.20	3.38	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/43	***	4.92	4.43	3.75	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	***	4.75	4.53	4.75	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/36	***	4.86	4.43	5.00	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	***	5.00	4.54	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	4
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	6	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:07 PM

Course-Section:	MUSC 307 10				Term	- Fal	l 2010)						Enrol	Ilment:	10
Title:	Small Ensemble												Q	uestion	naires:	9
Instructor:	King,Thomas D.															
						Fre	quenc	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced															
		I	0		Other				0							
		?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 307 11

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Franklin,Rachel

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 7

'								In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1408/1528	4.26	4.73	4.31	4.34	3.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1484/1527	4.06	4.74	4.28	4.27	3.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1332/1333	2.67	4.70	4.34	4.34	1.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1367/1495	4.25	4.70	4.25	4.28	3.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1361/1439	3.50	4.57	4.11	4.13	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1424/1425	2.67	4.43	4.12	4.17	1.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1317/1508	3.94	4.38	4.18	4.17	3.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.54	4.58	4.66	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1406/1490	3.89	4.53	4.11	4.11	3.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1401/1428	3.67	4.63	4.49	4.48	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1421/1436	3.92	4.83	4.74	4.74	3.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1300/1427	3.92	4.64	4.32	4.31	3.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1305/1425	3.50	4.69	4.34	4.34	3.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	4.50	4.70	4.05	4.09	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1077/1271	3.75	4.56	4.16	4.19	3.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1152/1276	3.75	4.65	4.33	4.37	3.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1240/1273	3.50	4.69	4.38	4.40	3.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	857/922	3.50	4.72	4.02	4.02	3.00

										_							
Course-	Section:	MUSC 307 1	1				Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	Ilment:	7
	Title:	Small Ensen	nble							_				Q	uestion	naires:	2
Ins	structor:	Franklin,Rad	chel														
					-			Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Туре)		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	0)	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	2		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other			1							
				2	1												

Course-Section: MUSC 308 01

Title: UMBC Wind Ensemble

Instructor: Spece, Richard D

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 41

Questionnaires: 28

		Frequencies							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	3	4	3	18	4.29	886/1528	4.29	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.29
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	4	21	4.61	453/1527	4.61	4.74	4.28	4.27	4.61
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	19	0	0	2	0	7	4.56	511/1333	4.56	4.70	4.34	4.34	4.56
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	17	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	102/1495	4.91	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.91
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	23	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	****/1439	***	4.57	4.11	4.13	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	24	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1425	***	4.43	4.12	4.17	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	4	0	1	0	1	22	4.83	129/1508	4.83	4.38	4.18	4.17	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	26	4.93	453/1526	4.93	4.58	4.66	4.68	4.93
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	1	1	0	3	6	14	4.33	579/1490	4.33	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	19	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	253/1428	4.89	4.63	4.49	4.48	4.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	20	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	20	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	477/1427	4.63	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	197/1425	4.88	4.69	4.34	4.34	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	19	2	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	167/1291	4.71	4.70	4.05	4.09	4.71

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	0	Α	26	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	1	Major	10
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	27	Non-major	18
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:07 PM

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 308 (01				Term	- Fal	I 2010)						Enro	Ilment:	41
	Title:	UMBC Wind	d Ensemb	ole							,				Q	uestion	naires:	28
Inst	tructor:	Spece,Richa	ard D															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions					NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Lecture																
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	11	F	0		Electi	ves			10		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	1								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other				3							
				?	1													

Course-Section: MUSC 311 01 Title: Free Composition Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 2

Instructor: Dusman, Linda J

Questionnaires: 2

			Frequencies						structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.31	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.74	4.28	4.27	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.70	4.25	4.28	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.57	4.11	4.13	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	396/1425	4.50	4.43	4.12	4.17	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1508	5.00	4.38	4.18	4.17	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1061/1526	4.50	4.58	4.66	4.68	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.53	4.11	4.11	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.63	4.49	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.70	4.05	4.09	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.19	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.65	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.40	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Expected Grades Reasons Credits Earned Cum. GPA Type Majors 00-27 0.00-0.99 Α 2 Required for Majors 2 Graduate Major 0

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:07 PM Page 125 of 166

Course-S	Course-Section: MUSC 311 01						Term	ı - Fall	l 2010)						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	2
	Title	e: Free Compo	sition												Q	uestion	naires:	2
Ins	tructo	r: Dusman,Lin	da J															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	2		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ugh resp	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	-			0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 312 01

Title: Composition

Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.31	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.74	4.28	4.27	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.70	4.25	4.28	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.57	4.11	4.13	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	4.17	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1422/1508	3.00	4.38	4.18	4.17	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1421/1526	4.00	4.58	4.66	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.53	4.11	4.11	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.63	4.49	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.70	4.05	4.09	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.19	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.65	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.72	4.02	4.02	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:07 PM

Course-	Section:	: MUSC 312 0	1				Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	1
	Title	Composition	1							_				Q	uestion	naires:	1
Ins	structor	: Dusman,Lin	da J														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ons			Туре	9		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	1		Graduate	0		Major		1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		C)	Under-grad	1		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	-		0)						
				?	0												

Course-Section: MUSC 318 01

Title: Digital Audio Processing

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 8

'	•			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	214/1528	4.83	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	368/1527	4.67	4.74	4.28	4.27	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	393/1333	4.67	4.70	4.34	4.34	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	313/1495	4.67	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	2	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	168/1439	4.75	4.57	4.11	4.13	4.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	1	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	513/1425	4.40	4.43	4.12	4.17	4.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	284/1508	4.67	4.38	4.18	4.17	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	689/1526	4.83	4.58	4.66	4.68	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.53	4.11	4.11	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	637/1428	4.67	4.63	4.49	4.48	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	1043/1436	4.67	4.83	4.74	4.74	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	420/1427	4.67	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	475/1425	4.67	4.69	4.34	4.34	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	205/1291	4.67	4.70	4.05	4.09	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1271	****	4.56	4.16	4.19	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1276	****	4.65	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1273	****	4.69	4.38	4.40	****
4. Were special techniques successful	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/922	***	4.72	4.02	4.02	****

					_												
Course-	Section:	MUSC 318 C)1				Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	Ilment:	8
	Title:	Digital Audi	o Prod	cessing										Q	uestion	naires:	8
Ins	structor:	Wonneberg	er,Ala														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Туре)		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	6	;	Graduate	0		Major		5
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	8		Non-ma	ajor	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				2	3												

Course-Section: MUSC 320 01 Title: UMBC Jazz Ensemble Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 19

·	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	144/1528	4.92	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.92
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	179/1527	4.83	4.74	4.28	4.27	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	5	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	117/1495	4.89	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.89
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	5	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	122/1439	4.83	4.57	4.11	4.13	4.83
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	5	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	121/1425	4.83	4.43	4.12	4.17	4.83
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1508	5.00	4.38	4.18	4.17	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	689/1526	4.83	4.58	4.66	4.68	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	122/1490	4.80	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.80
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.63	4.49	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.70	4.05	4.09	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	184/1271	4.83	4.56	4.16	4.19	4.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	5	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.65	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	5	1	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	95/922	4.83	4.72	4.02	4.02	4.83

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 320 0)1				Term	ı - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	19
	Title:	UMBC Jazz E	Ensem	nble										Q	uestion	naires:	12
Ins	tructor:	Belzer,Matth	hew														
								Frequer	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Distr	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	2	Α	10		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	5	;	Graduate	1		Major		7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	11		Non-ma	ajor	5
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		2	2	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			4							
				?	1												

Course-Section: MUSC 321 01

Title: Music History I

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 31

	•			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	2	1	19	4.77	279/1528	4.77	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.77
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	4	16	4.64	410/1527	4.64	4.74	4.28	4.27	4.64
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	1	0	0	21	4.86	183/1333	4.86	4.70	4.34	4.34	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	5	1	0	0	3	13	4.59	394/1495	4.59	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.59
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	1	2	18	4.81	132/1439	4.81	4.57	4.11	4.13	4.81
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	1	0	3	6	11	4.24	692/1425	4.24	4.43	4.12	4.17	4.24
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	1	0	0	2	19	4.73	220/1508	4.73	4.38	4.18	4.17	4.73
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	14	8	4.36	1193/1526	4.36	4.58	4.66	4.68	4.36
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	3	6	10	4.37	542/1490	4.37	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.37
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	1	2	15	4.78	441/1428	4.78	4.63	4.49	4.48	4.78
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	1	0	17	4.89	580/1436	4.89	4.83	4.74	4.74	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	1	0	4	13	4.61	491/1427	4.61	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.61
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	1	0	2	1	13	4.47	711/1425	4.47	4.69	4.34	4.34	4.47
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	1	0	0	3	14	4.61	245/1291	4.61	4.70	4.05	4.09	4.61
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	****/1271	****	4.56	4.16	4.19	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	****/1276	****	4.65	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	****/1273	****	4.69	4.38	4.40	****
4. Were special techniques successful	18	3	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/922	****	4.72	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section: MUSC 321 01

Title: Music History I

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 31

Questionnaires: 23

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	5.00	4.51	4.02	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/74	***	5.00	4.31	3.86	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	5.00	4.27	4.00	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/76	***	5.00	4.27	3.68	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	***	5.00	3.94	4.27	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP.	Α	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	0	Major	19
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	23	Non-major	4
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	13	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 324 01

Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 4

	Frequencies							In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	307/1528	4.71	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	259/1527	4.88	4.74	4.28	4.27	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.70	4.25	4.28	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.57	4.11	4.13	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	4.17	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1508	5.00	4.38	4.18	4.17	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1526	4.67	4.58	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	344/1490	4.75	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	478/1428	4.88	4.63	4.49	4.48	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	297/1427	4.88	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	205/1291	4.83	4.70	4.05	4.09	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.19	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.65	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.72	4.02	4.02	5.00

					_					_							
Course-	Section:	MUSC 324 0	1				Term	n - Fall 201	10						Enro	Ilment:	4
	Title:	Small Ensen	nble J	azz Grou										Q	uestion	naires:	4
Ins	tructor:	Belzer,Mattl	new														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре)		Ma	jors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	1	Α	3		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	2	2	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		O)	Under-grad	4		Non-ma	ajor	4
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		2	?	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	1							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	•		O)						
				2	0												

Course-Section: MUSC 324 02

Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 3

Lugaria, momas V				Ero	quen	cioc		J۵	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	quen	vies .	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
	NR	NA	ı	2	3	4	5	wean	Rank	Mean	iviean	iviean	iviean	iviean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	434/1528	4.71	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1527	4.88	4.74	4.28	4.27	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.70	4.25	4.28	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.57	4.11	4.13	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	4.17	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1508	5.00	4.38	4.18	4.17	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	1216/1526	4.67	4.58	4.66	4.68	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1490	4.75	4.53	4.11	4.11	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	4.88	4.63	4.49	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1427	4.88	4.64	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	4.83	4.70	4.05	4.09	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.19	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.65	4.33	4.37	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.72	4.02	4.02	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 324 02

Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 3

'				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/198	5.00	5.00	4.16	4.26	5.00
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/208	5.00	5.00	4.27	4.31	5.00
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/194	5.00	5.00	4.56	4.59	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/194	5.00	5.00	4.37	4.37	5.00
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/176	5.00	5.00	4.23	4.33	5.00
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/76	5.00	5.00	4.51	4.02	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/74	5.00	5.00	4.31	3.86	5.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/66	5.00	5.00	4.27	4.00	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/76	5.00	5.00	4.27	3.68	5.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/73	5.00	5.00	3.94	4.27	5.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/42	5.00	5.00	4.00	3.20	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/41	5.00	4.89	4.06	3.86	5.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/30	5.00	5.00	4.74	4.80	5.00
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/32	5.00	4.78	4.20	3.38	5.00
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/29	5.00	5.00	4.34	4.79	5.00
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/43	5.00	4.92	4.43	3.75	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/31	5.00	4.75	4.53	4.75	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/36	5.00	4.86	4.43	5.00	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 324 02

Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/21	5.00	5.00	4.54	5.00	5.00
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/20	5.00	5.00	4.45	5.00	5.00

Frequency Distribution

	Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Type		Majors		
	00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
	28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
	56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
	84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.		0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
					Р	0			to be significant			
					I	0	Other	1				
					?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 358 01

Title: Music In Performance

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 24

Met Mijeesepii e	Frequencies						Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean		
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	3	4	13	4.50	636/1528	4.50	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	2	1	3	14	4.45	656/1527	4.45	4.74	4.28	4.27	4.45
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	15	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1333	****	4.70	4.34	4.34	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	3	1	1	0	1	14	4.53	470/1495	4.53	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.53
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	2	0	0	0	4	13	4.76	161/1439	4.76	4.57	4.11	4.13	4.76
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	87/1425	4.90	4.43	4.12	4.17	4.90
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	2	3	15	4.65	295/1508	4.65	4.38	4.18	4.17	4.65
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	20	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.58	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	1	9	8	4.39	518/1490	4.39	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.39
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	286/1428	4.87	4.63	4.49	4.48	4.87
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	1	1	13	4.80	230/1427	4.80	4.64	4.32	4.31	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	208/1425	4.87	4.69	4.34	4.34	4.87
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	7	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	96/1291	4.86	4.70	4.05	4.09	4.86
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.19	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	1	0	17	4.89	212/1276	4.89	4.65	4.33	4.37	4.89
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	18	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.40	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	12	0	0	2	0	4	4.33	316/922	4.33	4.72	4.02	4.02	4.33

Course-S				Term	ı - Fall 20	10						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	24			
	Title:	Music In Per	form	ance						_				Q	uestion	naires:	21
Ins	tructor:	Morin, Joseph	h C														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion																	
		Fr	eque	ncy Dis	ributi	ion											
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14		Requ	ired for Ma	ijors	10)	Graduate			Major		12
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	2												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	1		Gene	ral		4	ļ.	Under-grad	21		Non-ma	ajor	9
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves		3	3 **** - Means		there are	not end	ot enough responses		
				Р	0	0					to be significa	ant					
				1	0		Other	•		0)						
				?	3												

Course-Section: MUSC 362 01

Title: Arts In Education

Instructor: Yoshioka,Airi

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 9

		Frequencies							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	183/1528	4.88	4.73	4.31	4.34	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	143/1527	4.88	4.74	4.28	4.27	4.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	227/1495	4.75	4.70	4.25	4.28	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	274/1439	4.63	4.57	4.11	4.13	4.63
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	396/1425	4.50	4.43	4.12	4.17	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	1	1	2	2	3.83	1185/1508	3.83	4.38	4.18	4.17	3.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	618/1526	4.88	4.58	4.66	4.68	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	184/1490	4.71	4.53	4.11	4.11	4.71
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.63	4.49	4.48	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.74	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	327/1291	4.50	4.70	4.05	4.09	4.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1271	****	4.56	4.16	4.19	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1276	****	4.65	4.33	4.37	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1273	***	4.69	4.38	4.40	****
4. Were special techniques successful	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/922	****	4.72	4.02	4.02	****

Course-Section: MUSC 362 01							Term	ր - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	9
	Title:	Arts In Educ	cation							_				Q	uestion	naires:	8
Ins	structor:	Yoshioka,Ai	ri														
					_			Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ons			Туре)		Ma	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	8	Graduate		0		Major		8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	eral		0)	Under-grad	8		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0		Elect	ives		0)	**** - Means there are			re not enough responses		
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Othe	r		0)						
				?	0												

Course-Section: MUSC 390 12

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Instructor: Lagana,Thomas V

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.31	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.74	4.28	4.27	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.70	4.25	4.28	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.57	4.11	4.13	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	4.17	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1508	5.00	4.38	4.18	4.17	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1526	4.75	4.58	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.53	4.11	4.11	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Туре		Majors				
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1		
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0								
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0		
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0								
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	**** - Means there are not enough responses				
				Р	0			to be significant					
				1	0	Other	0						
				?	0								

Course-Section: MUSC 390 17

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Instructor: Richards,Edwin

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.31	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.74	4.28	4.27	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.57	4.11	4.13	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	4.17	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1061/1526	4.75	4.58	4.66	4.68	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.53	4.11	4.11	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 391 02

Title: Adv Perf Studies - West

Instructor: Ruas,Laura Mari

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.31	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	4.00	4.74	4.28	4.27	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.58	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.53	4.11	4.11	5.00

					1 3					
arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
			Р	0			to be significant			
			I	0	Other	0				
			?	0						
	0 0 0 1	0 0.00-0.99 0 1.00-1.99 0 2.00-2.99 1 3.00-3.49	0 0.00-0.99 0 0 1.00-1.99 0 0 2.00-2.99 0 1 3.00-3.49 0	0 0.00-0.99 0 A 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 2.00-2.99 0 C 1 3.00-3.49 0 D 0 3.50-4.00 1 F P	0 0.00-0.99 0 A 1 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 0 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 1 3.00-3.49 0 D 0 0 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 P 0 I 0	0 0.00-0.99 0 A 1 Required for Majors 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 0 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 General 1 3.00-3.49 0 D 0 0 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 Electives P 0 I 0 Other	Carned Cum. GPA Expected Grades Reasons 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 1 Required for Majors 1 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 0 General 0 1 3.00-2.99 0 C 0 General 0 1 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 Electives 0 P 0 I 0 Other 0	Carned Cum. GPA Expected Grades Reasons Type 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 1 Required for Majors 1 Graduate 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 0 Under-grad 1 3.00-2.99 0 C 0 General 0 Under-grad 1 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 Electives 0 ***** - Means the to be significant I 0 Other 0	Carned Cum. GPA Expected Grades Reasons Type 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 1 Required for Majors 1 Graduate 0 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 0 Under-grad 1 1 3.00-2.99 0 C 0 General 0 Under-grad 1 1 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 Electives 0 ***** - Means there are not to be significant I 0 Other 0	Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades Reasons Type Majors 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 1 Required for Majors 1 Graduate 0 Major 0 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 Under-grad 1 Non-major 1 3.00-3.49 0 D 0 Under-grad 1 Non-major 0 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 Electives 0 ***** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant I 0 Other 0

Course-Section: MUSC 391 03

Title: Adv Perf Studies - West

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.31	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1484/1527	4.00	4.74	4.28	4.27	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.58	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.53	4.11	4.11	5.00
Lecture														
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.74	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 392 01

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Instructor: Kannen,Maria La

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.31	4.34	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.74	4.28	4.27	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.34	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.70	4.25	4.28	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.57	4.11	4.13	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	4.17	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1508	5.00	4.38	4.18	4.17	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.58	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.53	4.11	4.11	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 400 04

Title: Special Projects

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.31	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.74	4.28	4.30	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.37	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.70	4.25	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.57	4.11	4.20	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	4.26	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1508	5.00	4.38	4.18	4.24	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.58	4.66	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.53	4.11	4.19	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.63	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.37	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.37	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.70	4.05	4.10	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:08 PM

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 400 0)4				Term	- Fall	l 2010)						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	4
	Title:	Special Proj	ects								,				Q	uestion	naires:	4
Inst	tructor:	Wonneberge	er,Ala															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Lecture																
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				0							
				?	4													

Course-Section: MUSC 400 08

Title: Special Projects
Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

	•			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.31	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.74	4.28	4.30	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.37	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.70	4.25	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.57	4.11	4.20	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	4.26	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1508	5.00	4.38	4.18	4.24	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.58	4.66	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.53	4.11	4.19	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.63	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.37	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.37	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.33	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.65	4.33	4.49	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.55	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.72	4.02	4.23	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:09 PM Page 151 of 166

Course-	Section:	MUSC 400 0	8				Term	ı - Fall 2	2010)						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	1
	Title:	Special Proj	ects								•				Q	uestion	naires:	1
Ins	tructor:	Belzer,Mattl	hew															
								Frequ	uenc	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	asor	าร			Туре	;		Ма	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1		Requ	ired for N	Мајо	rs	1		Graduate	0		Major		1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	eral			0		Under-grad	1		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ives			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	r			0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 401 02

Title: Special Projects: Comp
Instructor: Rubin,Anna I

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.31	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.74	4.28	4.30	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.37	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.57	4.11	4.20	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	4.26	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1508	4.75	4.38	4.18	4.24	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1526	4.75	4.58	4.66	4.71	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 401 03

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 7

Title: Special Projects: Comp

Questionnaires: 2

Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

	•			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.31	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.74	4.28	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.70	4.25	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.57	4.11	4.20	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	4.26	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	448/1508	4.75	4.38	4.18	4.24	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1061/1526	4.75	4.58	4.66	4.71	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	344/1490	4.50	4.53	4.11	4.19	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.63	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.37	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.37	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.70	4.05	4.10	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.33	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.65	4.33	4.49	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.55	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.72	4.02	4.23	5.00
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/43	5.00	4.92	4.43	4.63	5.00

Course-Section:	MUSC 401 03	T	ϵ
Title:	Special Projects: Comp		
Instructor:	Dusman,Linda J		

Term - Fall 2010 Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/36	5.00	4.86	4.43	4.38	5.00

						1 2					
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 417 01

Title: Spec Tpcs In Musc Tech
Instructor: Wonneberger,Ala

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 3

'				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.31	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	368/1527	4.67	4.74	4.28	4.30	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	393/1333	4.67	4.70	4.34	4.37	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	313/1495	4.67	4.70	4.25	4.33	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	239/1439	4.67	4.57	4.11	4.20	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	4.26	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	284/1508	4.67	4.38	4.18	4.24	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.58	4.66	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	221/1490	4.67	4.53	4.11	4.19	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.63	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.37	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.37	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.70	4.05	4.10	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.33	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.65	4.33	4.49	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.55	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.72	4.02	4.23	5.00

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 417 0	1				Term	n - Fall 201	0						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	7
	Title:	Spec Tpcs In	Mus	c Tech										Q	uestion	naires:	3
Ins	tructor:	Wonneberge	r,Ala														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Disti	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA		Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Туре	;		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	2	2	Graduate	0		Major		2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	3		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				?	1												

Course-Section: MUSC 418 01

Title: Music Tech. Internship

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.31	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.74	4.28	4.30	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.37	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.70	4.25	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.57	4.11	4.20	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	4.26	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1508	5.00	4.38	4.18	4.24	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.58	4.66	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1490	5.00	4.53	4.11	4.19	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1428	5.00	4.63	4.49	4.54	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1436	5.00	4.83	4.74	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1427	5.00	4.64	4.32	4.37	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.69	4.34	4.37	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1291	5.00	4.70	4.05	4.10	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1271	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.33	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1276	5.00	4.65	4.33	4.49	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1273	5.00	4.69	4.38	4.55	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/922	5.00	4.72	4.02	4.23	5.00

										_							
Course-	Section:	MUSC 418 C)1				Term	n - Fall 20	10						Enro	Ilment:	5
	Title:	Music Tech.	Inter	nship										Q	uestion	naires:	5
Ins	structor:	Wonneberg	er,Ala														
					-			Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Д	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ons			Турє)		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	3	1	Graduate	0		Major		3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0)	Under-grad	5		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves		0)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0)						
				2	2												

Course-Section: MUSC 492 01

Title: Senior Project

Instructor: Wonneberger,Ala

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.31	4.39	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.74	4.28	4.30	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.37	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.70	4.25	4.33	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	4.26	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1508	5.00	4.38	4.18	4.24	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.58	4.66	4.71	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 693 01

Title: Solo Performance Study

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

Instructor:	Dusman,Linda J

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.31	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.74	4.28	4.36	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.39	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.70	4.25	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.57	4.11	4.24	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	4.28	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1508	5.00	4.38	4.18	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.58	4.66	4.81	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/43	5.00	4.92	4.43	4.43	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/31	5.00	4.75	4.53	4.67	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/36	5.00	4.86	4.43	4.54	5.00
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/21	5.00	5.00	4.54	4.68	5.00
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/20	5.00	5.00	4.45	4.64	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:09 PM

Course-Section:	MUSC 693 01			Term	- Fall 2	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	3
Title:	Solo Performance Study											Q	uestion	naires:	3
Instructor:	Dusman,Linda J														
					Frequ	uenc	ies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														
	Р	0							1	to be significa	ant				
	I	0		Other				0							
	?	2													

Course-Section: MUSC 693 01

Title: Solo Performance Study

Instructor: Richards, Kazuko

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

		Frequencies				Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.31	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.74	4.28	4.36	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.39	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.70	4.25	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.57	4.11	4.24	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	4.28	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1508	5.00	4.38	4.18	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.58	4.66	4.81	5.00
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/43	5.00	4.92	4.43	4.43	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/31	5.00	4.75	4.53	4.67	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/36	5.00	4.86	4.43	4.54	5.00
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/21	5.00	5.00	4.54	4.68	5.00
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/20	5.00	5.00	4.45	4.64	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:09 PM

Course-Section	: MUSC 693 01		Term - Fall 2010										Enro	llment:	3	
Title	: Solo Performance Study												Q	uestion	naires:	3
Instructor	: Richards,Kazuko															
						Fred	quend	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	N	IR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced															
	Р		0								to be significa	ant				
	I		0		Other				0							
	?		2													

Course-Section: MUSC 693 01

Title: Solo Performance Study

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Term - Fall 2010

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

			Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.31	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1527	5.00	4.74	4.28	4.36	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.70	4.34	4.39	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.70	4.25	4.33	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1439	5.00	4.57	4.11	4.24	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1425	5.00	4.43	4.12	4.28	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1508	5.00	4.38	4.18	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1526	5.00	4.58	4.66	4.81	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/43	5.00	4.92	4.43	4.43	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/31	5.00	4.75	4.53	4.67	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/36	5.00	4.86	4.43	4.54	5.00
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/21	5.00	5.00	4.54	4.68	5.00
Were there enough proctors for all the students		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/20	5.00	5.00	4.45	4.64	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	

Run Date: 2/9/2011 12:29:09 PM

Course-Section:	MUSC 693 01			Term	- Fall	2010)						Enro	Ilment:	3
Title:	Solo Performance Study											Q	uestion	naires:	3
Instructor	Caracciolo,Step														
					Fred	quenc	ies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														
	Р	0							1	to be significa	ant				
	I	0		Other				0							
	?	2													