Course-Section: MUSC 101 01

Title: Fundamentals Music Thry

Instructor: Richards, Kazuko

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 61

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	17	21	4.48	686/1589	4.48	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.48
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	15	22	4.48	659/1589	4.48	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.48
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	2	16	21	4.43	706/1391	4.43	4.75	4.34	4.29	4.43
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	6	21	13	4.18	932/1552	4.18	4.79	4.25	4.16	4.18
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	15	22	4.45	473/1495	4.45	4.57	4.14	4.07	4.45
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	1	2	20	15	4.29	649/1457	4.29	4.51	4.15	3.99	4.29
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	3	5	14	17	4.15	959/1572	4.15	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.15
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	35	4.88	545/1589	4.88	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	3	0	0	5	12	20	4.41	509/1569	4.41	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.41
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	19	0	0	0	1	9	11	4.48	925/1530	4.48	4.75	4.49	4.45	4.48
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	20	0	0	0	0	3	17	4.85	729/1533	4.85	4.92	4.75	4.69	4.85
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	22	0	1	1	4	4	8	3.94	1221/1528	3.94	4.73	4.35	4.31	3.94
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	18	0	2	0	3	7	10	4.05	1156/1529	4.05	4.81	4.36	4.31	4.05
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	18	3	0	1	2	5	11	4.37	478/1393	4.37	4.61	4.06	3.99	4.37
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	31	0	1	1	1	1	5	3.89	****/1337	****	4.75	4.17	4.01	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	30	0	1	1	2	2	4	3.70	1161/1331	3.70	4.77	4.35	4.18	3.70
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	31	0	1	1	0	4	3	3.78	****/1333	****	4.76	4.40	4.22	****
4. Were special techniques successful	31	0	1	1	2	3	2	3.44	****/1014	****	4.55	4.05	3.91	****

Course-Section: MUSC 101 01

Title: Fundamentals Music Thry

Instructor: Richards, Kazuko

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 61

Questionnaires: 40

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	5.00	4.46	4.33	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.13	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.12	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	5.00	4.19	3.98	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/40	****	5.00	3.85	3.17	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/40	****	5.00	3.89	3.11	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	4.33	4.30	3.86	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.15	4.81	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	5.00	4.32	4.57	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	12						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	17	Under-grad	40	Non-major	40
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	17						

Course-Section: MUSC 110 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 8

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Title: Musicianship Lab I

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	185/1589	4.63	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1589	4.88	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1391	4.94	4.75	4.34	4.29	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1552	4.94	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.57	4.14	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	400/1457	4.75	4.51	4.15	3.99	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	0	6	4.50	495/1572	4.68	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	992/1589	4.81	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.63
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	241/1569	4.61	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.58
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	787/1530	4.79	4.75	4.49	4.45	4.57
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	729/1533	4.93	4.92	4.75	4.69	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	405/1528	4.79	4.73	4.35	4.31	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1529	4.97	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	4	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	221/1393	4.62	4.61	4.06	3.99	4.43
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.01	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	269/1331	4.93	4.77	4.35	4.18	4.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1333	4.93	4.76	4.40	4.22	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 110 01

Title: Musicianship Lab I

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	2	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1014	4.60	4.55	4.05	3.91	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 110 01

Title: Musicianship Lab I

Instructor: Revill, David An

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	185/1589	4.63	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.88
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1589	4.88	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1391	4.94	4.75	4.34	4.29	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1552	4.94	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.57	4.14	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	400/1457	4.75	4.51	4.15	3.99	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	0	6	4.50	495/1572	4.68	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	992/1589	4.81	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.63
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	369/1569	4.61	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.58
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	787/1530	4.79	4.75	4.49	4.45	4.57
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	729/1533	4.93	4.92	4.75	4.69	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	607/1528	4.79	4.73	4.35	4.31	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1529	4.97	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	2	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	629/1393	4.62	4.61	4.06	3.99	4.43
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.01	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	269/1331	4.93	4.77	4.35	4.18	4.86
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1333	4.93	4.76	4.40	4.22	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 110 01

Title: Musicianship Lab I

Instructor: Revill, David An

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	2	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1014	4.60	4.55	4.05	3.91	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	١	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 110 02

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 11

Title: Musicianship Lab I

Questionnaires: 8

Instructor: Cella, Lisa M

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	0	1	6	4.38	819/1589	4.63	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	292/1589	4.88	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	184/1391	4.94	4.75	4.34	4.29	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	128/1552	4.94	4.79	4.25	4.16	4.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.57	4.14	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1457	4.75	4.51	4.15	3.99	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	137/1572	4.68	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1589	4.81	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	129/1569	4.61	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.64
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1530	4.79	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1533	4.93	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1528	4.79	4.73	4.35	4.31	4.94
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1529	4.97	4.81	4.36	4.31	4.94
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1393	4.62	4.61	4.06	3.99	4.81
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.01	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1331	4.93	4.77	4.35	4.18	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	313/1333	4.93	4.76	4.40	4.22	4.86

Course-Section: MUSC 110 02

Title: Musicianship Lab I

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 8

Frequencies Instructor Course UMBC Level Sect Org Questions NA 5 Mean Mean Mean NR Mean Rank Mean **Discussion** 4. Were special techniques successful 2 4.20 429/1014 4.60 4.55 4.05 3.91 4.20 1 1 0 0 0

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	١	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 110 02

Title: Musicianship Lab I

Instructor: Revill, David An

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 8

·	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	0	1	6	4.38	819/1589	4.63	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	292/1589	4.88	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	184/1391	4.94	4.75	4.34	4.29	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	128/1552	4.94	4.79	4.25	4.16	4.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.57	4.14	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1457	4.75	4.51	4.15	3.99	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	137/1572	4.68	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1589	4.81	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	481/1569	4.61	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.64
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1530	4.79	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1533	4.93	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	206/1528	4.79	4.73	4.35	4.31	4.94
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	232/1529	4.97	4.81	4.36	4.31	4.94
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	251/1393	4.62	4.61	4.06	3.99	4.81
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.01	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1331	4.93	4.77	4.35	4.18	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	313/1333	4.93	4.76	4.40	4.22	4.86

Course-Section: MUSC 110 02

Title: Musicianship Lab I

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 11
Questionnaires: 8

Instructor: Revill, David An

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	2	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	429/1014	4.60	4.55	4.05	3.91	4.20

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 112 03

Title: Music Repertoire

Instructor: Tremblay, Christ

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 125 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 9

Title: Theory I:Basics Of Music

Instructor: Dusman, Linda J

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	2	1	5	4.38	819/1589	4.19	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	444/1589	4.63	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	184/1391	4.81	4.75	4.34	4.29	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1552	4.88	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	341/1495	4.50	4.57	4.14	4.07	4.57
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	1	0	1	0	4	4.00	886/1457	4.36	4.51	4.15	3.99	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	3	0	5	4.25	843/1572	4.44	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1589	4.69	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1569	4.43	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00
Lecture											,			
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	311/1530	4.57	4.75	4.49	4.45	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1533	4.86	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	227/1528	4.43	4.73	4.35	4.31	4.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	257/1529	4.68	4.81	4.36	4.31	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	124/1393	4.78	4.61	4.06	3.99	4.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	226/1337	4.65	4.75	4.17	4.01	4.80
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1331	4.75	4.77	4.35	4.18	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	1	0	0	4	4.40	781/1333	4.45	4.76	4.40	4.22	4.40
4. Were special techniques successful	4	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1014	5.00	4.55	4.05	3.91	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 125 01

Title: Theory I:Basics Of Music

Instructor: Dusman, Linda J

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 9

Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/194	****	4.33	4.17	4.36	****
Seminar														
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.13	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.12	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	5.00	4.47	4.61	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	5.00	4.19	3.98	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	8	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/40	****	5.00	3.85	3.17	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/40	****	5.00	3.89	3.11	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	4.33	4.30	3.86	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.15	4.81	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	1	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 125 02

Title: Theory I:Basics Of Music

Instructor: Macaulay, Janice

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	1	0	1	2	4	4.00	1182/1589	4.19	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	444/1589	4.63	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	301/1391	4.81	4.75	4.34	4.29	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	238/1552	4.88	4.79	4.25	4.16	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	1	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	508/1495	4.50	4.57	4.14	4.07	4.43
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	1	0	0	1	0	6	4.71	200/1457	4.36	4.51	4.15	3.99	4.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	368/1572	4.44	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.63
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	5	3	4.38	1240/1589	4.69	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.38
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	1	6	0	3.86	1125/1569	4.43	4.60	4.13	4.08	3.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	2	1	4	4.29	1145/1530	4.57	4.75	4.49	4.45	4.29
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	1029/1533	4.86	4.92	4.75	4.69	4.71
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	2	3	2	4.00	1171/1528	4.43	4.73	4.35	4.31	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	739/1529	4.68	4.81	4.36	4.31	4.50
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	2	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	158/1393	4.78	4.61	4.06	3.99	4.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	452/1337	4.65	4.75	4.17	4.01	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	623/1331	4.75	4.77	4.35	4.18	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	702/1333	4.45	4.76	4.40	4.22	4.50

Course-Section: MUSC 125 02

Title: Theory I:Basics Of Music

Instructor: Macaulay, Janice

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1014	5.00	4.55	4.05	3.91	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	5
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: MUSC 170 01

Title: Beginning Voice Class

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 24

				Fre	quend	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	5	0	0	1	2	3	13	4.47	686/1589	4.47	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.47
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	5	0	0	0	2	3	14	4.63	433/1589	4.63	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	5	5	1	0	1	3	9	4.36	780/1391	4.36	4.75	4.34	4.29	4.36
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	5	1	0	0	2	4	12	4.56	457/1552	4.56	4.79	4.25	4.16	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	1	2	5	11	4.37	575/1495	4.37	4.57	4.14	4.07	4.37
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	5	4	0	1	2	3	9	4.33	593/1457	4.33	4.51	4.15	3.99	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	5	1	1	1	2	4	10	4.17	949/1572	4.17	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	5	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	624/1589	4.84	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.84
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	1	0	0	0	6	8	4.57	312/1569	4.57	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.57
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	2	2	13	4.65	677/1530	4.65	4.75	4.49	4.45	4.65
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	352/1533	4.94	4.92	4.75	4.69	4.94
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	1	1	15	4.82	259/1528	4.82	4.73	4.35	4.31	4.82
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	0	16	4.88	219/1529	4.88	4.81	4.36	4.31	4.88
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	7	0	2	0	0	8	4.40	435/1393	4.40	4.61	4.06	3.99	4.40
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	267/1337	4.75	4.75	4.17	4.01	4.75
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	290/1331	4.83	4.77	4.35	4.18	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	337/1333	4.83	4.76	4.40	4.22	4.83

Course-Section: MUSC 170 01 **Title: Beginning Voice Class Instructor:** Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 24 Questionnaires: 24

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	12	3	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	341/1014	4.33	4.55	4.05	3.91	4.33

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	16	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	24	Non-major	23
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	4	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	9	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	5				
				?	7						

Course-Section: MUSC 173 01

Title: Intro To Choral Singing

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	175/1589	4.89	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.89
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	266/1589	4.78	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.78
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	175/1391	4.89	4.75	4.34	4.29	4.89
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	1	0	1	0	0	7	4.63	383/1552	4.63	4.79	4.25	4.16	4.63
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	4.33	609/1495	4.33	4.57	4.14	4.07	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	1	1	1	0	1	4	3.86	1042/1457	3.86	4.51	4.15	3.99	3.86
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	121/1572	4.88	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	4	5	4.56	328/1569	4.56	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	711/1530	4.63	4.75	4.49	4.45	4.63
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	539/1528	4.63	4.73	4.35	4.31	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	3	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	158/1393	4.75	4.61	4.06	3.99	4.75
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	202/1337	4.83	4.75	4.17	4.01	4.83
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	290/1331	4.83	4.77	4.35	4.18	4.83
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.22	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	6	1	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	205/1014	4.60	4.55	4.05	3.91	4.60

Course-Section: MUSC 173 01

Title: Intro To Choral Singing

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.25	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/194	****	4.33	4.17	4.36	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	5.00	4.40	4.54	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/165	****	****	4.12	4.37	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	5.00	4.46	4.33	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.13	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.12	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	5.00	4.47	4.61	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	5.00	4.19	3.98	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/40	****	5.00	3.85	3.17	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/40	****	5.00	3.89	3.11	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	4.33	4.30	3.86	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.15	4.81	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	5.00	4.32	4.57	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/39	****	4.97	4.00	3.52	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	****	4.67	4.12	3.23	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/33	****	5.00	4.42	4.30	****

Course-Section: MUSC 173 01

Title: Intro To Choral Singing

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/19	****	5.00	4.44	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/16	****	5.00	4.25	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	1	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	11	Non-major	12
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	5				
				?	4						

Course-Section: MUSC 174 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Title: Beg Vocal Methods

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	614/1589	4.50	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	3.00	1437/1495	3.00	4.57	4.14	4.07	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.01	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.18	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.22	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 174 01

Title: Beg Vocal Methods

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1014	5.00	4.55	4.05	3.91	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 176 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 12

Title: Keyboard Skills I

Questionnaires: 11

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	871/1589	4.67	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	1	2	2	4	4.00	1151/1589	4.50	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	4	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	733/1391	4.70	4.75	4.34	4.29	4.40
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	1	0	2	5	4.38	706/1552	4.69	4.79	4.25	4.16	4.38
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	7	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1495	5.00	4.57	4.14	4.07	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	2	1	2	1	1	2	3.14	1391/1457	3.57	4.51	4.15	3.99	3.14
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	1	1	1	5	4.25	843/1572	4.54	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	1	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	992/1589	4.81	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.63
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	1	1	6	1	3.78	1193/1569	4.19	4.60	4.13	4.08	3.78
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	1	0	2	3	4.17	1237/1530	4.58	4.75	4.49	4.45	4.17
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	1	0	2	3	4.17	1453/1533	4.58	4.92	4.75	4.69	4.17
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	1	0	1	1	3	3.83	1294/1528	4.42	4.73	4.35	4.31	3.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	1	0	1	4	4.33	924/1529	4.67	4.81	4.36	4.31	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	4	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1393	5.00	4.61	4.06	3.99	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	1	1	1	1	3.50	1145/1337	4.25	4.75	4.17	4.01	3.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	824/1331	4.63	4.77	4.35	4.18	4.25
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	1150/1333	4.38	4.76	4.40	4.22	3.75

Course-Section: MUSC 176 01

Title: Keyboard Skills I

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	7	3	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1014	****	4.55	4.05	3.91	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	1	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: MUSC 176 02

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 6

Title: Keyboard Skills I

Questionnaires: 6

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1589	4.67	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1589	4.50	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1391	4.70	4.75	4.34	4.29	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1552	4.69	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.57	4.14	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	886/1457	3.57	4.51	4.15	3.99	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	152/1572	4.54	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1589	4.81	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	288/1569	4.19	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1530	4.58	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1533	4.58	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	4.42	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1529	4.67	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.61	4.06	3.99	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1337	4.25	4.75	4.17	4.01	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1331	4.63	4.77	4.35	4.18	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1333	4.38	4.76	4.40	4.22	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 176 02

Title: Keyboard Skills I

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1014	****	4.55	4.05	3.91	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 178 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 11

Title: Keyboard Skills III

Questionnaires: 11

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	1	0	2	7	4.18	1026/1589	3.98	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.18
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	218/1589	4.69	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.82
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.29	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	128/1552	4.66	4.79	4.25	4.16	4.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1495	****	4.57	4.14	4.07	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	400/1457	3.92	4.51	4.15	3.99	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	267/1572	4.54	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.73
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	467/1589	4.85	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.91
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	2	5	3	4.10	879/1569	3.88	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.10
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	488/1530	4.75	4.75	4.49	4.45	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	872/1533	4.80	4.92	4.75	4.69	4.80
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	695/1528	4.50	4.73	4.35	4.31	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	397/1529	4.75	4.81	4.36	4.31	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1393	****	4.61	4.06	3.99	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1337	****	4.75	4.17	4.01	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1331	****	4.77	4.35	4.18	****

Course-Section: MUSC 178 01
Title: Keyboard Skills III
Instructor: Beith,Nancy S

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 11
Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	2	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1333	****	4.76	4.40	4.22	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: MUSC 178 02

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 19

Title: Keyboard Skills III

Questionnaires: 19

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	5	0	1	1	3	4	5	3.79	1371/1589	3.98	4.70	4.32	4.20	3.79
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	5	0	0	0	2	2	10	4.57	511/1589	4.69	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	5	12	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.29	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	5	3	0	0	3	0	8	4.45	588/1552	4.66	4.79	4.25	4.16	4.45
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	5	5	1	1	2	4	1	3.33	1340/1457	3.92	4.51	4.15	3.99	3.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	5	0	1	1	0	2	10	4.36	710/1572	4.54	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.36
8. How many times was class cancelled	5	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	768/1589	4.85	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.79
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	1	2	9	0	3.67	1277/1569	3.88	4.60	4.13	4.08	3.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1530	4.75	4.75	4.49	4.45	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	17	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1533	4.80	4.92	4.75	4.69	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	17	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1528	4.50	4.73	4.35	4.31	****

Course-Section: MUSC 178 02

Title: Keyboard Skills III

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 19
Questionnaires: 19

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/1529	4.75	4.81	4.36	4.31	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	12	Graduate	0	Major	11
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	19	Non-major	8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	8						

Course-Section: MUSC 180 01

Title: Beginning Piano Class

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 22

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	0	0	1	9	6	4.31	897/1589	4.22	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.31
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	0	0	1	5	10	4.56	525/1589	4.58	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.56
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	6	0	0	0	0	7	9	4.56	529/1391	4.63	4.75	4.34	4.29	4.56
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	1	0	0	2	7	6	4.27	837/1552	4.36	4.79	4.25	4.16	4.27
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	6	6	0	0	2	3	5	4.30	643/1495	4.35	4.57	4.14	4.07	4.30
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	9	0	0	1	4	2	4.14	786/1457	4.14	4.51	4.15	3.99	4.14
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	0	0	1	4	6	5	3.94	1161/1572	3.97	4.48	4.21	4.18	3.94
8. How many times was class cancelled	7	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	327/1589	4.91	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.93
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	1	0	1	1	7	4	4.08	902/1569	4.04	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.08
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	0	0	6	8	4.57	787/1530	4.63	4.75	4.49	4.45	4.57
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	907/1533	4.77	4.92	4.75	4.69	4.79
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	0	2	4	8	4.43	792/1528	4.46	4.73	4.35	4.31	4.43
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	1	0	5	8	4.43	829/1529	4.50	4.81	4.36	4.31	4.43
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	4	0	0	1	6	3	4.20	629/1393	4.10	4.61	4.06	3.99	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/1337	4.14	4.75	4.17	4.01	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	****/1331	3.29	4.77	4.35	4.18	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/1333	3.71	4.76	4.40	4.22	****

Course-Section: MUSC 180 01 **Title: Beginning Piano Class Instructor:** Beith, Nancy S

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 22 Questionnaires: 22

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	18	0	0	0	2	2	0	3.50	****/1014	****	4.55	4.05	3.91	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	1	Α	11	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	1	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	3	Under-grad	22	Non-major	22
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	4	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	9	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	4				
				?	6						

Course-Section: MUSC 180 02

Title: Beginning Piano Class

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 23

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	1	0	4	3	9	4.12	1099/1589	4.22	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.12
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	0	1	0	4	12	4.59	496/1589	4.58	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.59
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	6	0	0	0	1	3	13	4.71	350/1391	4.63	4.75	4.34	4.29	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	4	0	0	2	3	8	4.46	572/1552	4.36	4.79	4.25	4.16	4.46
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	6	7	0	0	2	2	6	4.40	531/1495	4.35	4.57	4.14	4.07	4.40
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	13	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/1457	4.14	4.51	4.15	3.99	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	0	0	1	5	4	7	4.00	1095/1572	3.97	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	0	0	0	0	2	15	4.88	519/1589	4.91	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.88
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	0	0	0	3	9	3	4.00	957/1569	4.04	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	5	11	4.69	610/1530	4.63	4.75	4.49	4.45	4.69
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	959/1533	4.77	4.92	4.75	4.69	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	1	6	9	4.50	695/1528	4.46	4.73	4.35	4.31	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	1	5	10	4.56	664/1529	4.50	4.81	4.36	4.31	4.56
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	1	1	1	3	2	8	4.00	796/1393	4.10	4.61	4.06	3.99	4.00
Discussion												,		
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	1	0	3	3	4.14	745/1337	4.14	4.75	4.17	4.01	4.14
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	1	1	1	3	1	3.29	1252/1331	3.29	4.77	4.35	4.18	3.29
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	16	0	1	1	0	2	3	3.71	1171/1333	3.71	4.76	4.40	4.22	3.71

Course-Section: MUSC 180 02

Title: Beginning Piano Class

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 23

Questionnaires: 23

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	16	4	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	****/1014	****	4.55	4.05	3.91	****

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	23	Non-major	23
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	3	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	7	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	7				
				?	8						

Course-Section: MUSC 182 01

Title: String Methods: Violin a

Instructor: Tremblay, Christ

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 11

			Frequencies			Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	156/1589	4.90	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.90
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.29	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	4	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	135/1495	4.83	4.57	4.14	4.07	4.83
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	6	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	169/1457	4.75	4.51	4.15	3.99	4.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	388/1572	4.60	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	183/1569	4.75	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	787/1530	4.57	4.75	4.49	4.45	4.57
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	2	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	629/1393	4.20	4.61	4.06	3.99	4.20
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.01	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.18	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.22	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 182 01

Title: String Methods: Violin a

Instructor: Tremblay, Christ

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 12
Questionnaires: 11

				Free	quenc	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	7	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1014	5.00	4.55	4.05	3.91	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	1	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	4
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives 0 **** - Means there are not enough			nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: MUSC 183 01

Title: String Methods: Cello an

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank					structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	646/1589	4.50	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	1151/1589	4.00	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	600/1391	4.50	4.75	4.34	4.29	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	509/1552	4.50	4.79	4.25	4.16	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	609/1495	4.33	4.57	4.14	4.07	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	886/1457	4.00	4.51	4.15	3.99	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	3.00	1509/1572	3.00	4.48	4.21	4.18	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	1116/1589	4.50	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	3.75	1209/1569	3.75	4.60	4.13	4.08	3.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	1095/1530	4.33	4.75	4.49	4.45	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	1100/1533	4.67	4.92	4.75	4.69	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	909/1528	4.33	4.73	4.35	4.31	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	530/1529	4.67	4.81	4.36	4.31	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	510/1393	4.33	4.61	4.06	3.99	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.01	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0 0		0	0	1	1	4.50	623/1331	4.50	4.77	4.35	4.18	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	702/1333	4.50	4.76	4.40	4.22	4.50

Course-Section: MUSC 183 01

Title: String Methods: Cello an

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	244/1014	4.50	4.55	4.05	3.91	4.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	4	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 188 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 7

Title: Percussion Methods

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

Questionnaires: 7

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank					Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect				
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	1	0	0	4	4.40	780/1589	4.40	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.40
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.29	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	2	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	1215/1495	3.67	4.57	4.14	4.07	3.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.51	4.15	3.99	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	1	0	0	4	4.40	647/1572	4.40	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.40
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	754/1569	4.20	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.20
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.61	4.06	3.99	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1337	****	4.75	4.17	4.01	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1331	****	4.77	4.35	4.18	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1333	****	4.76	4.40	4.22	****

Course-Section: MUSC 188 01

Title: Percussion Methods

Instructor: Goldstein,Thoma

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1014	****	4.55	4.05	3.91	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 01

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Andrist, Audrey

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.80	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1500/1589	4.57	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	4.79	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.85	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	4.92	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1171/1528	4.62	4.73	4.35	4.31	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 02

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Hawley JR, Thoma

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	223/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.83
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	343/1589	4.80	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	4	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1364/1391	4.57	4.75	4.34	4.29	3.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	4	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	509/1552	4.85	4.79	4.25	4.16	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	4.50	4.57	4.14	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	4	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1268/1457	4.23	4.51	4.15	3.99	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	3	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	1334/1572	4.47	4.48	4.21	4.18	3.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	5	1	4.17	1423/1589	4.57	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.17
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	1	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	241/1569	4.79	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1530	4.85	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1533	4.92	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1528	4.62	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1529	4.83	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	1311/1393	4.53	4.61	4.06	3.99	3.00
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1333	4.75	4.76	4.40	4.22	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	18/39	4.92	4.97	4.00	3.52	4.67
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/22	4.00	4.67	4.12	3.23	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/33	5.00	5.00	4.42	4.30	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 190 02

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Hawley JR,Thoma

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 12
Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	4	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/19	5.00	5.00	4.44	5.00	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	rned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	7
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 07

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Markovic,Lorria

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 4

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank					structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	614/1589	4.80	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1391	4.57	4.75	4.34	4.29	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	4.85	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	416/1495	4.50	4.57	4.14	4.07	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1457	4.23	4.51	4.15	3.99	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1572	4.47	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	1116/1589	4.57	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1569	4.79	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1530	4.85	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1533	4.92	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1528	4.62	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1529	4.83	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1393	4.53	4.61	4.06	3.99	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1337	4.75	4.75	4.17	4.01	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1331	4.75	4.77	4.35	4.18	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1333	4.75	4.76	4.40	4.22	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 190 07

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Markovic,Lorria

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 11
Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1014	4.75	4.55	4.05	3.91	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	4
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 09

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Tremblay, Christ

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	4.80	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	4.85	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.50	4.57	4.14	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1411/1457	4.23	4.51	4.15	3.99	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	495/1572	4.47	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	4.57	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1569	4.79	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.85	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	4.92	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	4.62	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	4.83	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00

Run Date: 1/31/2013 2:15:48 PM Page 46 of 186

Course-Section: MUSC 190 09

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Tremblay,Christ

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	796/1393	4.53	4.61	4.06	3.99	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 10

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1

Instructor: Kannen, Maria La

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.80	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.57	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	4.79	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 11

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	400/1589	4.80	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	4.85	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.50	4.57	4.14	4.07	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1572	4.47	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	956/1589	4.57	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	241/1569	4.79	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1530	4.85	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1533	4.92	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	4.62	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1529	4.83	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1393	4.53	4.61	4.06	3.99	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1337	4.75	4.75	4.17	4.01	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1331	4.75	4.77	4.35	4.18	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	4.75	4.76	4.40	4.22	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 190 11

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Ladd,Gita

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 5
Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1014	4.75	4.55	4.05	3.91	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 15

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Kesner,Lori A.

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 4

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	292/1589	4.80	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1391	4.57	4.75	4.34	4.29	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1552	4.85	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.50	4.57	4.14	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1457	4.23	4.51	4.15	3.99	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	843/1572	4.47	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1589	4.57	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1569	4.79	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1530	4.85	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1533	4.92	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1528	4.62	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1529	4.83	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	510/1393	4.53	4.61	4.06	3.99	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1337	4.75	4.75	4.17	4.01	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1331	4.75	4.77	4.35	4.18	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	4.75	4.76	4.40	4.22	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1014	4.75	4.55	4.05	3.91	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 190 15 Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Kesner, Lori A.

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 5 **Questionnaires:** 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/39	4.92	4.97	4.00	3.52	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/33	5.00	5.00	4.42	4.30	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	4
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 18

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Spece, Richard D

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.80	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1572	4.47	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.57	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.85	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	4.92	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	4.62	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	4.83	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1393	4.53	4.61	4.06	3.99	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 22

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.80	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1391	4.57	4.75	4.34	4.29	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	4.85	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.50	4.57	4.14	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1457	4.23	4.51	4.15	3.99	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1572	4.47	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1500/1589	4.57	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	4.79	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 25

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.80	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1500/1589	4.57	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	4.79	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 27

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 3

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Questionnaires: 2

								In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.80	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	4.85	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1572	4.47	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1116/1589	4.57	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	957/1569	4.79	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1530	4.85	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1533	4.92	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	4.62	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	4.83	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1393	4.53	4.61	4.06	3.99	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	1			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 33

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Ruas, Laura Mari

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

								In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1182/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1151/1589	4.80	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1061/1391	4.57	4.75	4.34	4.29	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	4.85	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	899/1495	4.50	4.57	4.14	4.07	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	886/1457	4.23	4.51	4.15	3.99	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1095/1572	4.47	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.57	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	957/1569	4.79	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.85	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1476/1533	4.92	4.92	4.75	4.69	4.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1171/1528	4.62	4.73	4.35	4.31	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1174/1529	4.83	4.81	4.36	4.31	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	796/1393	4.53	4.61	4.06	3.99	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	823/1337	4.75	4.75	4.17	4.01	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	989/1331	4.75	4.77	4.35	4.18	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1007/1333	4.75	4.76	4.40	4.22	4.00

Course-Section: MUSC 190 33

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Ruas,Laura Mari

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1
Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	554/1014	4.75	4.55	4.05	3.91	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 37

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 3

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Questionnaires: 3

Instructor: Richards, Kazuko

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	853/1589	4.80	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1391	4.57	4.75	4.34	4.29	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	341/1552	4.85	4.79	4.25	4.16	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1437/1495	4.50	4.57	4.14	4.07	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	3.33	1340/1457	4.23	4.51	4.15	3.99	3.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	1334/1572	4.47	4.48	4.21	4.18	3.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1116/1589	4.57	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	241/1569	4.79	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1319/1530	4.85	4.75	4.49	4.45	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	4.92	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1482/1528	4.62	4.73	4.35	4.31	3.00

Run Date: 1/31/2013 2:15:49 PM Page 59 of 186

Course-Section: MUSC 190 37

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

Instructor: Richards, Kazuko

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1174/1529	4.83	4.81	4.36	4.31	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 38

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Forshee, Zane F.

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.80	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1500/1589	4.57	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	4.79	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.85	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	4.92	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	4.62	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	4.83	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1393	4.53	4.61	4.06	3.99	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/39	4.92	4.97	4.00	3.52	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 190 38

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Forshee,Zane F.

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/33	5.00	5.00	4.42	4.30	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 40

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Humphreys, Miche

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.80	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	4.85	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	899/1495	4.50	4.57	4.14	4.07	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1572	4.47	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.57	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	369/1569	4.79	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1319/1530	4.85	4.75	4.49	4.45	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	4.92	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1171/1528	4.62	4.73	4.35	4.31	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	4.83	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 42

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.80	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.57	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	4.79	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 43

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Young, Alice E

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.80	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1081/1552	4.85	4.79	4.25	4.16	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1095/1572	4.47	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1500/1589	4.57	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1569	4.79	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/39	4.92	4.97	4.00	3.52	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	13/22	4.00	4.67	4.12	3.23	4.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/33	5.00	5.00	4.42	4.30	5.00
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/19	5.00	5.00	4.44	5.00	5.00
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/16	5.00	5.00	4.25	5.00	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 45

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 3

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Questionnaires: 2

Instructor: Tiemann, Scott A

			Frequencies			Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	614/1589	4.80	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1391	4.57	4.75	4.34	4.29	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	4.85	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	416/1495	4.50	4.57	4.14	4.07	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1457	4.23	4.51	4.15	3.99	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1095/1572	4.47	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.57	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	4.79	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1530	4.85	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1533	4.92	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	4.62	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1529	4.83	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 190 45

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Tiemann, Scott A

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 2

	Frequencies							In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1393	4.53	4.61	4.06	3.99	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 191 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 59

Title: Recital Preparation Instructor: Cella, Lisa M

Questionnaires: 21

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean					structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														•
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	0	3	5	10	4.39	806/1589	4.08	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.39
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	2	3	13	4.61	455/1589	4.29	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.61
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	10	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	184/1391	4.60	4.75	4.34	4.29	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	8	0	0	2	1	7	4.50	509/1552	4.50	4.79	4.25	4.16	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	13	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	****/1495	****	4.57	4.14	4.07	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	13	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	****/1457	****	4.51	4.15	3.99	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	1	0	0	0	1	16	4.94	59/1572	4.80	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.94
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	1	17	4.94	280/1589	4.98	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.94
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	1	0	0	0	4	12	4.75	183/1569	4.58	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.75
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1530	4.83	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	10	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1533	4.83	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	10	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	174/1528	4.79	4.73	4.35	4.31	4.91
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1529	4.83	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	12	5	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1393	****	4.61	4.06	3.99	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	162/1337	4.89	4.75	4.17	4.01	4.89
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	238/1331	4.89	4.77	4.35	4.18	4.89
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.22	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 191 01

Title: Recital Preparation

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 59

Questionnaires: 21

	Frequencies					In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	12	3	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	102/1014	4.83	4.55	4.05	3.91	4.83

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	0	Α	16	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	18
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	21	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 191 02

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 17

Title: Recital Preparation

Instructor: Bailey, Connie C

Questionnaires: 9

		Frequencies			Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	1	0	5	2	3.67	1440/1589	4.08	4.70	4.32	4.20	3.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	0	2	4	2	3.67	1419/1589	4.29	4.70	4.29	4.28	3.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	799/1391	4.60	4.75	4.34	4.29	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	509/1552	4.50	4.79	4.25	4.16	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	3	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	329/1572	4.80	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1589	4.98	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	1	5	1	4.00	957/1569	4.58	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	644/1530	4.83	4.75	4.49	4.45	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	1100/1533	4.83	4.92	4.75	4.69	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	479/1528	4.79	4.73	4.35	4.31	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	530/1529	4.83	4.81	4.36	4.31	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1393	****	4.61	4.06	3.99	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1337	4.89	4.75	4.17	4.01	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1331	4.89	4.77	4.35	4.18	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.22	****

Course-Section: MUSC 191 02

Title: Recital Preparation

Instructor: Bailey, Connie C

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 17
Questionnaires: 9

				Fre	quenc	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	7	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1014	4.83	4.55	4.05	3.91	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	9	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 191 03

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 29

Title: Recital Preparation

Questionnaires: 27

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	16	0	1	0	2	1	7	4.18	1026/1589	4.08	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.18
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	17	0	1	0	0	0	9	4.60	467/1589	4.29	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	16	5	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	****/1391	4.60	4.75	4.34	4.29	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	16	6	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1552	4.50	4.79	4.25	4.16	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	16	7	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/1495	****	4.57	4.14	4.07	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	16	6	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	****/1457	****	4.51	4.15	3.99	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	16	1	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	176/1572	4.80	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	16	1	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1589	4.98	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	19	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1569	4.58	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	22	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1530	4.83	4.75	4.49	4.45	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	22	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1533	4.83	4.92	4.75	4.69	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	22	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1528	4.79	4.73	4.35	4.31	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1529	4.83	4.81	4.36	4.31	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	23	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1393	****	4.61	4.06	3.99	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1337	4.89	4.75	4.17	4.01	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1331	4.89	4.77	4.35	4.18	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.22	****

Course-Section: MUSC 191 03

Title: Recital Preparation

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 29

Questionnaires: 27

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	26	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1014	4.83	4.55	4.05	3.91	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	10
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	27	Non-major	17
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	1	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	17						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 6

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Andrist, Audrey

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	4.96	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.29	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1552	4.96	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1572	4.56	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	4.46	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	241/1569	4.77	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1530	4.73	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1529	4.92	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	796/1393	4.33	4.61	4.06	3.99	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1337	4.83	4.75	4.17	4.01	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.18	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1333	4.67	4.76	4.40	4.22	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 193 01

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Andrist, Audrey

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 4

Frequencies Instructor Course UMBC Level Sect Org Questions NA 5 Mean Mean Mean NR Mean Rank Mean **Discussion** 4. Were special techniques successful 5.00 1/1014 3.80 4.55 4.05 3.91 5.00 2 1 0 0 0 0 1

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Term - Fall 2012

Course-Section: MUSC 193 04

Enrollment: 3

1100

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Questionnaires: 2

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.96	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.29	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	509/1552	4.96	4.79	4.25	4.16	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	416/1495	4.92	4.57	4.14	4.07	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	400/1457	4.88	4.51	4.15	3.99	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	495/1572	4.56	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1116/1589	4.46	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1569	4.77	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/39	5.00	4.97	4.00	3.52	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/22	5.00	4.67	4.12	3.23	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/33	5.00	5.00	4.42	4.30	5.00
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/19	5.00	5.00	4.44	5.00	5.00

Run Date: 1/31/2013 2:15:51 PM Page 76 of 186

Course-Section: MUSC 193 04

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/16	5.00	5.00	4.25	5.00	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 05

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 4

·				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1589	4.96	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.29	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1552	4.96	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	4.92	4.57	4.14	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1457	4.88	4.51	4.15	3.99	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1572	4.56	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	825/1589	4.46	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1569	4.77	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1530	4.73	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1529	4.92	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1393	4.33	4.61	4.06	3.99	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1337	4.83	4.75	4.17	4.01	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.18	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1333	4.67	4.76	4.40	4.22	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1014	3.80	4.55	4.05	3.91	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 193 05

000 170 00

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/194	4.00	4.33	4.17	4.36	5.00
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/181	5.00	5.00	4.40	4.54	5.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/40	5.00	5.00	3.85	3.17	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/40	5.00	5.00	3.89	3.11	5.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/32	4.00	4.33	4.30	3.86	5.00
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/29	5.00	5.00	4.15	4.81	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/39	5.00	4.97	4.00	3.52	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/33	5.00	5.00	4.42	4.30	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 07

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 3

Instructor: Markovic,Lorria

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.96	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.29	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1572	4.56	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1500/1589	4.46	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	369/1569	4.77	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 08

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Yoshioka, Airi

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1589	4.96	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1552	4.96	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	4.92	4.57	4.14	4.07	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1572	4.56	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1589	4.46	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1569	4.77	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 09

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Tremblay, Christ

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.96	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	4.96	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.92	4.57	4.14	4.07	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1572	4.56	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1500/1589	4.46	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	4.77	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.73	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	4.92	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00

Run Date: 1/31/2013 2:15:52 PM Page 82 of 186

Course-Section: MUSC 193 09

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Tremblay, Christ

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1
Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1393	4.33	4.61	4.06	3.99	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 10

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 3 Questionnaires: 1

Instructor: Kannen, Maria La

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

·				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.96	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	4.96	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1095/1572	4.56	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.46	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	957/1569	4.77	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.73	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	4.92	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1337	4.83	4.75	4.17	4.01	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.18	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 193 10

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Kannen, Maria La

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1007/1333	4.67	4.76	4.40	4.22	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 11

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	871/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	853/1589	4.96	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	495/1572	4.56	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1500/1589	4.46	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	241/1569	4.77	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1512/1530	4.73	4.75	4.49	4.45	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	4.92	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1384/1393	4.33	4.61	4.06	3.99	2.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	823/1337	4.83	4.75	4.17	4.01	4.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.18	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1007/1333	4.67	4.76	4.40	4.22	4.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1013/1014	3.80	4.55	4.05	3.91	1.00
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	184/194	4.00	4.33	4.17	4.36	3.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/40	5.00	5.00	3.85	3.17	5.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	30/32	4.00	4.33	4.30	3.86	3.00

Course-Section: MUSC 193 11

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/39	5.00	4.97	4.00	3.52	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 12

MUSC 193 12

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.96	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.29	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	4.96	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.92	4.57	4.14	4.07	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1457	4.88	4.51	4.15	3.99	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1572	4.56	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.46	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	369/1569	4.77	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1530	4.73	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1529	4.92	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1393	4.33	4.61	4.06	3.99	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1337	4.83	4.75	4.17	4.01	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.18	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1333	4.67	4.76	4.40	4.22	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 193 12

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1014	3.80	4.55	4.05	3.91	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 13

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Questionnaires: 1

Instructor: Forshee, Zane F.

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.96	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1572	4.56	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1500/1589	4.46	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	4.77	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.73	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	4.92	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1337	4.83	4.75	4.17	4.01	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.18	5.00

Run Date: 1/31/2013 2:15:52 PM Page 90 of 186

Course-Section: MUSC 193 13

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Forshee,Zane F.

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	4.67	4.76	4.40	4.22	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 14

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Cella, Lisa M

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.96	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1572	4.56	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1583/1589	4.46	4.69	4.66	4.59	3.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	4.77	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 17

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Richards, Edwin

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.96	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	4.96	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1572	4.56	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1116/1589	4.46	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1569	4.77	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00
Lecture										-				
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	4.92	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 25

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 4

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.96	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1457	4.88	4.51	4.15	3.99	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1572	4.56	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1116/1589	4.46	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1569	4.77	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.73	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	4.92	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not ei	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 27

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Questionnaires: 1

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.96	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	4.96	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1563/1572	4.56	4.48	4.21	4.18	2.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.46	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	4.77	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00
Discussion										-				
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	944/1014	3.80	4.55	4.05	3.91	3.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 33

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Ruas, Laura Mari

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.96	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	4.96	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1095/1572	4.56	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1500/1589	4.46	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	957/1569	4.77	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 38

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Forshee, Zane F.

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.96	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.29	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1552	4.96	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1572	4.56	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1500/1589	4.46	4.69	4.66	4.59	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	369/1569	4.77	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.73	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	4.92	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 40

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Humphreys, Miche

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	646/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.96	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	4.96	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1509/1572	4.56	4.48	4.21	4.18	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.46	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1569	4.77	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1319/1530	4.73	4.75	4.49	4.45	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1174/1529	4.92	4.81	4.36	4.31	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 45

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Tiemann, Scott A

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.94	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.96	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.29	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	4.96	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	4.92	4.57	4.14	4.07	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1572	4.56	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.46	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	4.77	4.60	4.13	4.08	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.73	4.75	4.49	4.45	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.69	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	4.92	4.81	4.36	4.31	5.00

Run Date: 1/31/2013 2:15:53 PM Page 99 of 186

Course-Section: MUSC 193 45

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Tiemann, Scott A

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1393	4.33	4.61	4.06	3.99	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 194 02

USC 194 02

Instructor: Hawley JR, Thoma

Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1182/1589	4.50	4.70	4.32	4.20	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1151/1589	4.50	4.70	4.29	4.28	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1081/1552	4.50	4.79	4.25	4.16	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1563/1572	2.00	4.48	4.21	4.18	2.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	957/1569	4.00	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 194 12

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Questionnaires: 1

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.50	4.70	4.32	4.20	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.50	4.70	4.29	4.28	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	4.50	4.79	4.25	4.16	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.51	4.15	3.99	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.59	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	957/1569	4.00	4.60	4.13	4.08	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 210 01

Title: Musicianship Lab III

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 16 Questionnaires: 16

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Tilstructor: Cella, Lisa M														
		Frequencies						In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mear
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	194/1589	4.88	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.87
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	172/1589	4.88	4.70	4.29	4.30	4.87
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	4	10	4.71	340/1391	4.81	4.75	4.34	4.36	4.71
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	2	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	156/1552	4.86	4.79	4.25	4.26	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	11	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	183/1495	4.88	4.57	4.14	4.18	4.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	5	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	509/1457	4.58	4.51	4.15	4.14	4.40
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	2	1	12	4.67	329/1572	4.61	4.48	4.21	4.19	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	327/1589	4.97	4.69	4.66	4.63	4.93
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	82/1569	4.89	4.60	4.13	4.12	4.92
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	259/1530	4.94	4.75	4.49	4.47	4.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1528	4.90	4.73	4.35	4.35	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1529	4.90	4.81	4.36	4.39	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	4	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.61	4.06	4.13	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.16	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.32	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.39	5.00
										_				

Course-Section: MUSC 210 01

Title: Musicianship Lab III

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 16

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	12	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1014	5.00	4.55	4.05	4.03	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	16	Non-major	4
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: MUSC 210 02

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 14

ı itie:

Title: Musicianship Lab III

Questionnaires: 11

Instructor: Cella, Lisa M

	_		Frequencies					In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	156/1589	4.88	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.90
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	136/1589	4.88	4.70	4.29	4.30	4.90
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	156/1391	4.81	4.75	4.34	4.36	4.90
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	121/1552	4.86	4.79	4.25	4.26	4.89
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	7	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1495	4.88	4.57	4.14	4.18	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	6	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	169/1457	4.58	4.51	4.15	4.14	4.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	0	1	2	6	4.56	441/1572	4.61	4.48	4.21	4.19	4.56
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1589	4.97	4.69	4.66	4.63	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	129/1569	4.89	4.60	4.13	4.12	4.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1530	4.94	4.75	4.49	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	281/1528	4.90	4.73	4.35	4.35	4.80
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	321/1529	4.90	4.81	4.36	4.39	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.61	4.06	4.13	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.16	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.32	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.39	****

Course-Section: MUSC 210 02

Title: Musicianship Lab III

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1014	5.00	4.55	4.05	4.03	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	1	Α	4	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 218 01

Title: Recording Techniques

Instructor: Revill, David An

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 11

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	477/1589	4.64	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	815/1589	4.36	4.70	4.29	4.30	4.36
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	7	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	1250/1391	3.67	4.75	4.34	4.36	3.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	2	1	7	4.50	509/1552	4.50	4.79	4.25	4.26	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	6	0	1	1	0	2	3.75	1153/1495	3.75	4.57	4.14	4.18	3.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	7	2	0	0	1	1	2.75	1442/1457	2.75	4.51	4.15	4.14	2.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	4	3	4	4.00	1095/1572	4.00	4.48	4.21	4.19	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	6	4.55	1074/1589	4.55	4.69	4.66	4.63	4.55
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	241/1569	4.67	4.60	4.13	4.12	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	399/1530	4.80	4.75	4.49	4.47	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	524/1528	4.64	4.73	4.35	4.35	4.64
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	443/1529	4.73	4.81	4.36	4.39	4.73
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	89/1393	4.89	4.61	4.06	4.13	4.89
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	400/1337	4.57	4.75	4.17	4.16	4.57
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	424/1331	4.71	4.77	4.35	4.32	4.71
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	641/1333	4.57	4.76	4.40	4.39	4.57

Course-Section: MUSC 218 01

Title: Recording Techniques

Instructor: Revill, David An

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 11
Questionnaires: 11

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	1	0	1	1	1	3	4.00	554/1014	4.00	4.55	4.05	4.03	4.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	9	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	11	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 221 01

Title: Jazz Thry And Aural Skls

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.32	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	228/1589	4.80	4.70	4.29	4.30	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	252/1391	4.80	4.75	4.34	4.36	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	177/1552	4.80	4.79	4.25	4.26	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.57	4.14	4.18	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	131/1457	4.80	4.51	4.15	4.14	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	913/1572	4.20	4.48	4.21	4.19	4.20
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	1395/1589	4.20	4.69	4.66	4.63	4.20
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	288/1569	4.60	4.60	4.13	4.12	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	399/1530	4.80	4.75	4.49	4.47	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	570/1528	4.60	4.73	4.35	4.35	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.39	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.61	4.06	4.13	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.16	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.32	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.39	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 221 01

Title: Jazz Thry And Aural Skls

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1014	5.00	4.55	4.05	4.03	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 223 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 4

Title: Jazz Composition

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Questionnaires: 4

	0 0 0 0 0 2 2 4.50 495/1572 0 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 1/1589						Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.32	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.29	4.30	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.36	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.79	4.25	4.26	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.57	4.14	4.18	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.51	4.15	4.14	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	495/1572	4.50	4.48	4.21	4.19	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.63	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.60	4.13	4.12	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.75	4.49	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.35	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.39	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.61	4.06	4.13	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.16	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	623/1331	4.50	4.77	4.35	4.32	4.50

Course-Section: MUSC 223 01

Title: Jazz Composition

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	702/1333	4.50	4.76	4.40	4.39	4.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	1
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 224 01

Title: Instrumentation

Instructor: Hasanova, Rahili

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

	0 0 0 1 3 1 0 3.00 1557/1589 0 0 0 0 2 3 0 3.60 1280/1391 0 0 0 1 1 3 0 3.40 1469/1552 0 0 0 0 1 2 2 4.20 744/1495 d 0 1 0 1 2 4.00 886/1457					structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	4.00	1182/1589	4.00	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	3.00	1557/1589	3.00	4.70	4.29	4.30	3.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	3.60	1280/1391	3.60	4.75	4.34	4.36	3.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	3.40	1469/1552	3.40	4.79	4.25	4.26	3.40
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	4.20	744/1495	4.20	4.57	4.14	4.18	4.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	4.00	886/1457	4.00	4.51	4.15	4.14	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	3	1	0	1	2.80	1541/1572	2.80	4.48	4.21	4.19	2.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.63	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	3.00	1508/1569	3.00	4.60	4.13	4.12	3.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	1016/1530	4.40	4.75	4.49	4.47	4.40
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	3.40	1433/1528	3.40	4.73	4.35	4.35	3.40
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	3.60	1388/1529	3.60	4.81	4.36	4.39	3.60
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	3.50	1142/1393	3.50	4.61	4.06	4.13	3.50
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	337/1337	4.67	4.75	4.17	4.16	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	478/1331	4.67	4.77	4.35	4.32	4.67

Course-Section: MUSC 224 01

Title: Instrumentation

Instructor: Hasanova, Rahili

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 5
Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	1190/1333	3.67	4.76	4.40	4.39	3.67

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	2
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 225 01

Title: Theory III:Counterpoint

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 27

Questionnaires: 23

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	1	1	2	8	10	4.14	1078/1589	4.14	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.14
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	2	3	4	13	4.27	922/1589	4.27	4.70	4.29	4.30	4.27
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	16	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	600/1391	4.50	4.75	4.34	4.36	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	4	0	2	0	3	13	4.50	509/1552	4.50	4.79	4.25	4.26	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	2	2	8	10	4.18	764/1495	4.18	4.57	4.14	4.18	4.18
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	7	0	2	0	4	9	4.33	593/1457	4.33	4.51	4.15	4.14	4.33
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	2	2	6	12	4.27	815/1572	4.27	4.48	4.21	4.19	4.27
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	0	21	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.63	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	2	1	0	4	9	3	3.76	1201/1569	3.76	4.60	4.13	4.12	3.76
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	1	0	1	4	13	4.47	925/1530	4.47	4.75	4.49	4.47	4.47
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	2	17	4.89	614/1533	4.89	4.92	4.75	4.78	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	1	0	0	5	13	4.53	670/1528	4.53	4.73	4.35	4.35	4.53
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	1	1	0	0	4	13	4.56	677/1529	4.56	4.81	4.36	4.39	4.56
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	4	0	1	1	2	8	4.42	426/1393	4.42	4.61	4.06	4.13	4.42
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	1	0	2	0	6	4.11	766/1337	4.11	4.75	4.17	4.16	4.11
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	1	0	1	1	6	4.22	846/1331	4.22	4.77	4.35	4.32	4.22
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	277/1333	4.89	4.76	4.40	4.39	4.89

Course-Section: MUSC 225 01
Title: Theory III:Counterpoint
Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 27

Questionnaires: 23

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	14	6	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1014	****	4.55	4.05	4.03	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	10	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	0	Major	15
28-55	5	1.00-1.99	0	В	4						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	4	General	0	Under-grad	23	Non-major	8
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: MUSC 227 01

Title: Thry V:20Th Cent Mus Ana

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 23

Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	1	8	2	8	3.75	1391/1589	3.75	4.70	4.32	4.33	3.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	1	2	9	5	3	3.35	1508/1589	3.35	4.70	4.29	4.30	3.35
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	5	8	7	4.10	1005/1391	4.10	4.75	4.34	4.36	4.10
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	5	8	7	4.10	1009/1552	4.10	4.79	4.25	4.26	4.10
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	6	6	6	3.89	1028/1495	3.89	4.57	4.14	4.18	3.89
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	8	7	4	3.79	1104/1457	3.79	4.51	4.15	4.14	3.79
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	4	8	3	4	3.37	1449/1572	3.37	4.48	4.21	4.19	3.37
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	1	11	6	4.28	1331/1589	4.28	4.69	4.66	4.63	4.28
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	1	9	5	2	3.47	1381/1569	3.47	4.60	4.13	4.12	3.47
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	1	4	4	3	3.75	1430/1530	3.75	4.75	4.49	4.47	3.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	2	1	9	4.58	1197/1533	4.58	4.92	4.75	4.78	4.58
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	1	0	5	3	2	3.45	1421/1528	3.45	4.73	4.35	4.35	3.45
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	2	0	3	3	4	3.58	1391/1529	3.58	4.81	4.36	4.39	3.58
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	221/1393	4.67	4.61	4.06	4.13	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	2	5	4.50	452/1337	4.50	4.75	4.17	4.16	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	1	1	2	4	4.13	938/1331	4.13	4.77	4.35	4.32	4.13
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	1	1	6	4.63	592/1333	4.63	4.76	4.40	4.39	4.63

Course-Section: MUSC 227 01

Title: Thry V:20Th Cent Mus Ana

Instructor: Rubin,Anna I

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 23

Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	13	5	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1014	****	4.55	4.05	4.03	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13	Required for Majors	19	Graduate	0	Major	18
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	3	General	0	Under-grad	20	Non-major	2
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 230 01

Title: Musics Of The World

Instructor: Beck, Gina C

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 40

Questionnaires: 34

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	5	0	0	0	0	4	25	4.86	194/1589	4.86	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.86
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	5	0	0	0	3	2	24	4.72	330/1589	4.72	4.70	4.29	4.30	4.72
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	5	0	0	1	2	4	22	4.62	455/1391	4.62	4.75	4.34	4.36	4.62
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	5	5	0	0	1	5	18	4.71	299/1552	4.71	4.79	4.25	4.26	4.71
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	1	2	6	20	4.55	362/1495	4.55	4.57	4.14	4.18	4.55
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	1	0	0	1	9	17	4.59	317/1457	4.59	4.51	4.15	4.14	4.59
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	5	0	0	0	2	1	26	4.83	160/1572	4.83	4.48	4.21	4.19	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	5	0	0	0	0	1	28	4.97	187/1589	4.97	4.69	4.66	4.63	4.97
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	0	1	0	2	11	11	4.24	706/1569	4.24	4.60	4.13	4.12	4.24
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	0	0	2	24	4.92	179/1530	4.92	4.75	4.49	4.47	4.92
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	0	26	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	0	2	1	23	4.81	281/1528	4.81	4.73	4.35	4.35	4.81
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	2	24	4.92	155/1529	4.92	4.81	4.36	4.39	4.92
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	0	0	0	0	2	23	4.92	65/1393	4.92	4.61	4.06	4.13	4.92
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	27	0	0	1	0	2	4	4.29	****/1337	****	4.75	4.17	4.16	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	27	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	****/1331	****	4.77	4.35	4.32	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	27	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	****/1333	****	4.76	4.40	4.39	****

Course-Section: MUSC 230 01 **Title: Musics Of The World Instructor: Beck, Gina C**

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 40 Questionnaires: 34

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	27	4	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	****/1014	****	4.55	4.05	4.03	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	14	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	3	General	10	Under-grad	34	Non-major	26
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	6	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	8	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	9						

Course-Section: MUSC 261 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 5

Title: Teaching Choral Singing

Questionnaires: 4

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.32	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.29	4.30	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.36	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.79	4.25	4.26	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.57	4.14	4.18	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.51	4.15	4.14	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	495/1572	4.50	4.48	4.21	4.19	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.63	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.60	4.13	4.12	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.75	4.49	4.47	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.35	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.39	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.16	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.32	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.39	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 261 01

Title: Teaching Choral Singing

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1014	5.00	4.55	4.05	4.03	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	316/1589	4.75	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	200/1589	4.83	4.70	4.29	4.26	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	11	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1391	****	4.75	4.34	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	142/1552	4.86	4.79	4.25	4.24	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	11	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1495	****	4.57	4.14	4.11	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	11	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1457	****	4.51	4.15	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	4	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	495/1572	4.50	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	1032/1589	4.58	4.69	4.66	4.67	4.58
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1569	4.83	4.60	4.13	4.10	4.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.75	4.49	4.49	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	786/1533	4.83	4.92	4.75	4.75	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1393	****	4.61	4.06	4.10	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	663/1337	4.25	4.75	4.17	4.20	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.35	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.41	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1014	****	4.55	4.05	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	0
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 24

Title: Chambers Players

Questionnaires: 12

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	316/1589	4.75	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	200/1589	4.83	4.70	4.29	4.26	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	11	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1391	****	4.75	4.34	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	142/1552	4.86	4.79	4.25	4.24	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	11	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1495	****	4.57	4.14	4.11	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	11	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1457	****	4.51	4.15	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	4	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	495/1572	4.50	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	1032/1589	4.58	4.69	4.66	4.67	4.58
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	241/1569	4.83	4.60	4.13	4.10	4.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1530	5.00	4.75	4.49	4.49	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1533	4.83	4.92	4.75	4.75	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.34	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	663/1337	4.25	4.75	4.17	4.20	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.35	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.41	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 12

Frequencies Instructor Course UMBC Level Sect Org Questions NA 5 Mean Mean Mean NR Mean Rank Mean **Discussion** 4. Were special techniques successful ****/1014 5.00 **** 4.55 4.05 4.04 **** 8 3 0 0 0 0 1

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	0
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 24

Title: Chambers Players

Questionnaires: 12

Instructor: Tremblay, Christ

·	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean 0 0 0 0 1 1 10 4.75 3 0 0 0 0 1 0 11 4.83 3 0 11 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 * 0 11 0 0 0 1 5.00 * 0 11 0 0 0 1 5.00 * 0 1 0 0 0 1 5.00 * 11 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 * 11 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 * 11 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 * 11 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 * 11 0 0<				quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	316/1589	4.75	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	200/1589	4.83	4.70	4.29	4.26	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	11	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1391	****	4.75	4.34	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	142/1552	4.86	4.79	4.25	4.24	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	11	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1495	****	4.57	4.14	4.11	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	11	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1457	****	4.51	4.15	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	4	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	495/1572	4.50	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	1032/1589	4.58	4.69	4.66	4.67	4.58
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1569	4.83	4.60	4.13	4.10	4.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1530	5.00	4.75	4.49	4.49	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1533	4.83	4.92	4.75	4.75	4.83
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.34	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	663/1337	4.25	4.75	4.17	4.20	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.35	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.41	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Tremblay,Christ

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1014	****	4.55	4.05	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	0
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 24

i itie:	Chambers Players											Q	uestion	naires:	12
Instructor:	Kannen,Maria La														
					Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	General														
Did you gain new insig	ghts,skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	316/1589	4.75	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.75
Did the instructor mak	you gain new insights, skills from this course the instructor make clear the expected goals			0	0	1	0	11	4.83	200/1589	4.83	4.70	4.29	4.26	4.83
Did the exam question	ns reflect the expected goals	0	11	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1391	****	4.75	4.34	4.30	****

•														
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	4.75	316/1589	4.75	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	200/1589	4.83	4.70	4.29	4.26	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	11	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1391	****	4.75	4.34	4.30	***
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	142/1552	4.86	4.79	4.25	4.24	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	11	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1495	****	4.57	4.14	4.11	***
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	11	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1457	****	4.51	4.15	4.13	***
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	4	0	1	0	1	6	4.50	495/1572	4.50	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	7	4.58	1032/1589	4.58	4.69	4.66	4.67	4.58
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	10	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/1569	4.83	4.60	4.13	4.10	4.83
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	1	0	0	3	4.25	663/1337	4.25	4.75	4.17	4.20	4.25
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.35	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.41	5.00

Page 129 of 186 Run Date: 1/31/2013 2:15:56 PM

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Kannen, Maria La

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1014	****	4.55	4.05	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	0
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 303 01

Title: UMBC Camerata--Chamber C

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 44

Questionnaires: 44

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	0	2	0	13	23	4.50	646/1589	4.50	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	6	0	0	0	2	8	28	4.68	378/1589	4.68	4.70	4.29	4.26	4.68
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	6	27	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	242/1391	4.82	4.75	4.34	4.30	4.82
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	16	0	0	3	3	16	4.59	415/1552	4.59	4.79	4.25	4.24	4.59
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	6	26	0	0	2	3	7	4.42	519/1495	4.42	4.57	4.14	4.11	4.42
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	34	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/1457	****	4.51	4.15	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	2	0	0	1	5	30	4.81	176/1572	4.81	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.81
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	0	0	0	0	3	35	4.92	373/1589	4.92	4.69	4.66	4.67	4.92
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	16	0	0	0	2	11	15	4.46	425/1569	4.46	4.60	4.13	4.10	4.46
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	17	0	0	0	0	2	25	4.93	179/1530	4.93	4.75	4.49	4.49	4.93
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	19	0	0	0	0	0	25	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	20	0	0	0	0	4	20	4.83	248/1528	4.83	4.73	4.35	4.33	4.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	2	1	21	4.79	336/1529	4.79	4.81	4.36	4.34	4.79
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	20	9	1	0	1	2	11	4.47	383/1393	4.47	4.61	4.06	4.10	4.47
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	22	0	1	2	1	2	16	4.36	579/1337	4.36	4.75	4.17	4.20	4.36
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	22	0	0	0	2	5	15	4.59	551/1331	4.59	4.77	4.35	4.35	4.59
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	23	0	0	0	3	3	15	4.57	641/1333	4.57	4.76	4.40	4.41	4.57
4. Were special techniques successful	23	4	0	0	2	5	10	4.47	258/1014	4.47	4.55	4.05	4.04	4.47

Course-Section: MUSC 303 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 44

Title: UMBC Camerata--Chamber C

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Questionnaires: 44

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	41	0	2	0	0	0	1	2.33	****/194	****	4.33	4.17	4.05	****
Seminar														
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	41	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	5.00	4.47	5.00	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	42	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/40	****	5.00	3.85	3.93	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	42	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/40	****	5.00	3.89	4.16	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	41	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	4.33	4.30	4.48	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	41	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.15	4.15	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	42	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/39	****	4.97	4.00	4.49	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	11	0.00-0.99	1	Α	30	Required for Majors	14	Graduate	1	Major	9
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	43	Non-major	35
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	1	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	16	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	10						

Course-Section: MUSC 304 01

Title: UMBC Jubilee Singers

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 56

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Questionnaires: 51

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	16	0	0	1	0	6	28	4.74	328/1589	4.74	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.74
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	16	0	0	1	2	3	29	4.71	343/1589	4.71	4.70	4.29	4.26	4.71
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	14	18	0	0	1	2	16	4.79	271/1391	4.79	4.75	4.34	4.30	4.79
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	16	12	0	0	1	2	20	4.83	163/1552	4.83	4.79	4.25	4.24	4.83
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	17	18	1	0	0	2	13	4.63	291/1495	4.63	4.57	4.14	4.11	4.63
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	16	22	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	161/1457	4.77	4.51	4.15	4.13	4.77
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	17	3	0	1	4	7	19	4.42	631/1572	4.42	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.42
8. How many times was class cancelled	16	0	0	0	1	7	27	4.74	844/1589	4.74	4.69	4.66	4.67	4.74
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	26	3	0	0	1	7	14	4.59	296/1569	4.59	4.60	4.13	4.10	4.59
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	32	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	329/1530	4.84	4.75	4.49	4.49	4.84
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	32	0	0	0	0	1	18	4.95	352/1533	4.95	4.92	4.75	4.75	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	32	0	0	0	0	2	17	4.89	184/1528	4.89	4.73	4.35	4.33	4.89
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	32	0	0	0	1	1	17	4.84	270/1529	4.84	4.81	4.36	4.34	4.84
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	31	3	1	1	1	2	12	4.35	489/1393	4.35	4.61	4.06	4.10	4.35
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	42	0	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	****/1337	****	4.75	4.17	4.20	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	43	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	****/1331	****	4.77	4.35	4.35	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	43	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	****/1333	****	4.76	4.40	4.41	****

Course-Section: MUSC 304 01

Title: UMBC Jubilee Singers

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 56

Questionnaires: 51

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	44	2	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1014	****	4.55	4.05	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	1	Α	25	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	51	Non-major	49
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	7	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	4				
				?	24						

Course-Section: MUSC 305 01

Title: UMBC Community Symphony

Instructor: Richards, Edwin

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 66

Questionnaires: 57

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	15	41	4.70	379/1589	4.70	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.70
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	7	49	4.84	191/1589	4.84	4.70	4.29	4.26	4.84
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	49	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	****/1391	****	4.75	4.34	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	41	0	0	1	3	11	4.67	341/1552	4.67	4.79	4.25	4.24	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	46	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	****/1495	****	4.57	4.14	4.11	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	49	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	****/1457	****	4.51	4.15	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	11	0	0	2	8	36	4.74	255/1572	4.74	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.74
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	2	0	0	0	7	48	4.87	545/1589	4.87	4.69	4.66	4.67	4.87
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	9	47	4.84	139/1569	4.84	4.60	4.13	4.10	4.84
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	32	0	0	0	0	0	25	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.75	4.49	4.49	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	32	0	0	0	0	0	25	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	34	0	0	0	0	1	22	4.96	87/1528	4.96	4.73	4.35	4.33	4.96
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	34	0	0	0	0	2	21	4.91	174/1529	4.91	4.81	4.36	4.34	4.91
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	37	13	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	****/1393	****	4.61	4.06	4.10	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	52	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	****/1337	****	4.75	4.17	4.20	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	52	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	****/1331	****	4.77	4.35	4.35	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	50	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	****/1333	****	4.76	4.40	4.41	****

Course-Section: MUSC 305 01 **Title: UMBC Community Symphony Instructor:** Richards, Edwin

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 66 Questionnaires: 57

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	52	1	1	0	0	1	2	3.75	****/1014	****	4.55	4.05	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	8	0.00-0.99	0	Α	53	Required for Majors	26	Graduate	0	Major	25
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	7	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	57	Non-major	32
84-150	14	3.00-3.49	9	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	21	F	0	Electives	21	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	8				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 307 01

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1182/1589	4.25	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	853/1589	4.30	4.70	4.29	4.26	4.33
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.79	4.25	4.24	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.57	4.14	4.11	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.51	4.15	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1572	4.22	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	1276/1589	4.51	4.69	4.66	4.67	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	1367/1569	4.25	4.60	4.13	4.10	3.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1319/1530	4.56	4.75	4.49	4.49	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1476/1533	4.67	4.92	4.75	4.75	4.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	695/1528	4.72	4.73	4.35	4.33	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1529	4.89	4.81	4.36	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.61	4.06	4.10	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.20	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.35	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.41	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 307 01

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	244/1014	3.75	4.55	4.05	4.04	4.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 307 06

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Goldstein,Thoma

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4.00	1182/1589	4.25	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4.25	943/1589	4.30	4.70	4.29	4.26	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	3.67	1334/1572	4.22	4.48	4.21	4.18	3.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	1116/1589	4.51	4.69	4.66	4.67	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4.00	957/1569	4.25	4.60	4.13	4.10	4.00
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	944/1014	3.75	4.55	4.05	4.04	3.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	4	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 307 07

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Title: Small Ensemble

Questionnaires: 2

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	646/1589	4.25	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1151/1589	4.30	4.70	4.29	4.26	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.51	4.69	4.66	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	369/1569	4.25	4.60	4.13	4.10	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	4.56	4.75	4.49	4.49	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	4.67	4.92	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	4.72	4.73	4.35	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	4.89	4.81	4.36	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.61	4.06	4.10	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.20	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.35	5.00

Run Date: 1/31/2013 2:15:57 PM Page 140 of 186

Course-Section: MUSC 307 07

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

			Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.41	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	0							

Course-Section: MUSC 307 08

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

		Frequencies				In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions		NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	646/1589	4.25	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	467/1589	4.30	4.70	4.29	4.26	4.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	1095/1572	4.22	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	1395/1589	4.51	4.69	4.66	4.67	4.20
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1569	4.25	4.60	4.13	4.10	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	644/1530	4.56	4.75	4.49	4.49	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1533	4.67	4.92	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	479/1528	4.72	4.73	4.35	4.33	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	530/1529	4.89	4.81	4.36	4.34	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1393	5.00	4.61	4.06	4.10	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.20	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.35	****

Run Date: 1/31/2013 2:15:57 PM Page 142 of 186

Course-Section: MUSC 307 08

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

	Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions		NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.41	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	1	Α	4	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	3	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	2	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	1					
				?	1							

Course-Section: MUSC 308 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 49

Title: UMBC Wind Ensemble

Questionnaires: 42

Instructor: Spece, Richard D

			Frequencies				Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions		NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	9	0	2	0	6	10	15	4.09	1117/1589	4.09	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.09
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	8	0	0	0	4	9	21	4.50	614/1589	4.50	4.70	4.29	4.26	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	9	27	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	****/1391	****	4.75	4.34	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	9	24	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	****/1552	****	4.79	4.25	4.24	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	10	29	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1495	****	4.57	4.14	4.11	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	9	29	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1457	****	4.51	4.15	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	8	1	0	0	0	4	29	4.88	121/1572	4.88	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.88
8. How many times was class cancelled	9	1	0	0	0	7	25	4.78	768/1589	4.78	4.69	4.66	4.67	4.78
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	15	2	0	0	0	11	14	4.56	320/1569	4.56	4.60	4.13	4.10	4.56
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	31	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	541/1530	4.73	4.75	4.49	4.49	4.73
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	31	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	31	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	270/1528	4.82	4.73	4.35	4.33	4.82
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	31	0	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	689/1529	4.55	4.81	4.36	4.34	4.55
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	33	3	0	0	3	0	3	4.00	****/1393	****	4.61	4.06	4.10	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	36	0	1	0	1	1	3	3.83	****/1337	****	4.75	4.17	4.20	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	36	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	****/1331	****	4.77	4.35	4.35	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	36	0	1	0	2	0	3	3.67	****/1333	****	4.76	4.40	4.41	****

Course-Section: MUSC 308 01

Title: UMBC Wind Ensemble

Instructor: Spece,Richard D

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 49

Questionnaires: 42

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	36	2	0	0	2	0	2	4.00	****/1014	****	4.55	4.05	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	27	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	12
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	42	Non-major	30
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	5	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	12	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	14						

Course-Section: MUSC 311 01

Title: Free Composition

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 4

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	435/1589	4.67	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	853/1589	4.33	4.70	4.29	4.26	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.79	4.25	4.24	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	899/1495	4.00	4.57	4.14	4.11	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	248/1457	4.67	4.51	4.15	4.13	4.67
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	329/1572	4.67	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	369/1569	4.50	4.60	4.13	4.10	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	644/1530	4.67	4.75	4.49	4.49	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	479/1528	4.67	4.73	4.35	4.33	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	530/1529	4.67	4.81	4.36	4.34	4.67
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.61	4.06	4.10	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	337/1337	4.67	4.75	4.17	4.20	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.35	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.41	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1014	5.00	4.55	4.05	4.04	5.00
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/194	5.00	4.33	4.17	4.05	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 311 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 4

Title: Free Composition

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/62	5.00	5.00	4.46	5.00	5.00
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/65	5.00	5.00	4.43	4.58	5.00
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/63	5.00	5.00	4.29	4.53	5.00
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/61	5.00	5.00	4.47	5.00	5.00
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/61	5.00	5.00	4.19	4.80	5.00
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/40	5.00	5.00	3.85	3.93	5.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/40	5.00	5.00	3.89	4.16	5.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/32	5.00	4.33	4.30	4.48	5.00
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/29	5.00	5.00	4.15	4.15	5.00
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/21	5.00	5.00	4.32	4.25	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/39	5.00	4.97	4.00	4.49	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/22	5.00	4.67	4.12	5.00	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/33	5.00	5.00	4.42	4.25	5.00
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/19	5.00	5.00	4.44	5.00	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 311 01

Title: Free Composition

Instructor: Rubin,Anna I

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/16	5.00	5.00	4.25	5.00	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 312 01

Title: Composition

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.32	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1151/1589	4.00	4.70	4.29	4.26	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.79	4.25	4.24	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1437/1495	3.00	4.57	4.14	4.11	3.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.51	4.15	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1095/1572	4.00	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	957/1569	4.00	4.60	4.13	4.10	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1319/1530	4.00	4.75	4.49	4.49	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1171/1528	4.00	4.73	4.35	4.33	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.61	4.06	4.10	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.20	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.35	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 312 01

Title: Composition

Instructor: Rubin,Anna I

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.41	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Term - Fall 2012

Course-Section: MUSC 318 01

Enrollment: 12

Title: Digital Audio Processing

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.32	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.29	4.26	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.79	4.25	4.24	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	2	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	255/1495	4.67	4.57	4.14	4.11	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	2	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	308/1457	4.60	4.51	4.15	4.13	4.60
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	233/1572	4.75	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	1	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	139/1569	4.83	4.60	4.13	4.10	4.83
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.75	4.49	4.49	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.61	4.06	4.10	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1337	****	4.75	4.17	4.20	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1331	****	4.77	4.35	4.35	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1333	****	4.76	4.40	4.41	****

Course-Section: MUSC 318 01

Title: Digital Audio Processing

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 12
Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	8	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1014	****	4.55	4.05	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	10	Non-major	4
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	1	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 320 01

Title: UMBC Jazz Ensemble

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 13

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	316/1589	4.75	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	200/1589	4.83	4.70	4.29	4.26	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	8	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.79	4.25	4.24	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	9	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.57	4.14	4.11	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	8	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1457	****	4.51	4.15	4.13	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	1	0	10	4.82	168/1572	4.82	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.82
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	4.83	651/1589	4.83	4.69	4.66	4.67	4.83
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.60	4.13	4.10	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.75	4.49	4.49	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	3	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	266/1393	4.60	4.61	4.06	4.10	4.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.20	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	478/1331	4.67	4.77	4.35	4.35	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	547/1333	4.67	4.76	4.40	4.41	4.67
4. Were special techniques successful	8	1	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1014	5.00	4.55	4.05	4.04	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 320 01

Title: UMBC Jazz Ensemble

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/40	****	5.00	3.85	3.93	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/40	****	5.00	3.89	4.16	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/32	****	4.33	4.30	4.48	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.15	4.15	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/21	****	5.00	4.32	4.25	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	1	Α	10	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	5
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 321 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 31

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Title: Music History I

Questionnaires: 31

·	2 0 0 0 0 6 23 4.79 240/158 2 0 0 0 0 8 21 4.72 330/139 2 0 0 0 2 3 24 4.76 238/155 2 2 0 1 3 6 17 4.44 485/149 3 0 0 1 4 7 16 4.36 569/145						structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	1	6	22	4.72	353/1589	4.72	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.72
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	6	23	4.79	240/1589	4.79	4.70	4.29	4.26	4.79
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	8	21	4.72	330/1391	4.72	4.75	4.34	4.30	4.72
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	2	3	24	4.76	238/1552	4.76	4.79	4.25	4.24	4.76
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	2	0	1	3	6	17	4.44	485/1495	4.44	4.57	4.14	4.11	4.44
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	4	7	16	4.36	569/1457	4.36	4.51	4.15	4.13	4.36
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	1	6	22	4.72	267/1572	4.72	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.72
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	28	4.97	187/1589	4.97	4.69	4.66	4.67	4.97
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	8	1	0	0	0	9	13	4.59	296/1569	4.59	4.60	4.13	4.10	4.59
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	1	3	23	4.81	381/1530	4.81	4.75	4.49	4.49	4.81
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	3	24	4.89	643/1533	4.89	4.92	4.75	4.75	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	1	2	24	4.85	227/1528	4.85	4.73	4.35	4.33	4.85
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	3	23	4.81	308/1529	4.81	4.81	4.36	4.34	4.81
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	0	0	0	1	3	21	4.80	124/1393	4.80	4.61	4.06	4.10	4.80
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	2	1	8	4.55	422/1337	4.55	4.75	4.17	4.20	4.55
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	21	0	0	1	1	2	6	4.30	788/1331	4.30	4.77	4.35	4.35	4.30
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	21	0	0	1	0	3	6	4.40	781/1333	4.40	4.76	4.40	4.41	4.40

Course-Section: MUSC 321 01

Title: Music History I

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 31

Questionnaires: 31

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	21	5	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	****/1014	****	4.55	4.05	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	26	Graduate	0	Major	24
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	12						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	4	General	0	Under-grad	31	Non-major	7
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	7						

Course-Section: MUSC 324 01

Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 3

	Questions NR NA 1 2 3 4 General									Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	435/1589	4.67	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	400/1589	4.67	4.70	4.29	4.26	4.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.79	4.25	4.24	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.57	4.14	4.11	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.51	4.15	4.13	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	4.00	1095/1572	4.00	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.60	4.13	4.10	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.75	4.49	4.49	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.34	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.61	4.06	4.10	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.20	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.35	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.41	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 324 01

Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1014	5.00	4.55	4.05	4.04	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	١	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 358 01

Title: Music In Performance

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 34

	Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect			
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	0	4	24	4.72	353/1589	4.72	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.72
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	5	23	4.72	330/1589	4.72	4.70	4.29	4.26	4.72
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	16	0	1	0	3	9	4.54	564/1391	4.54	4.75	4.34	4.30	4.54
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	1	0	3	2	20	4.54	477/1552	4.54	4.79	4.25	4.24	4.54
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	3	6	20	4.59	330/1495	4.59	4.57	4.14	4.11	4.59
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	2	25	4.93	64/1457	4.93	4.51	4.15	4.13	4.93
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	2	2	24	4.79	198/1572	4.79	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.79
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	10	19	4.66	965/1589	4.66	4.69	4.66	4.67	4.66
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	1	0	0	0	8	13	4.62	280/1569	4.62	4.60	4.13	4.10	4.62
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	1	1	22	4.88	276/1530	4.88	4.75	4.49	4.49	4.88
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	1	1	22	4.88	671/1533	4.88	4.92	4.75	4.75	4.88
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	2	1	21	4.79	294/1528	4.79	4.73	4.35	4.33	4.79
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	2	0	22	4.83	282/1529	4.83	4.81	4.36	4.34	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	14	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	192/1393	4.70	4.61	4.06	4.10	4.70
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	24	4.96	59/1337	4.96	4.75	4.17	4.20	4.96
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	1	1	0	1	22	4.68	457/1331	4.68	4.77	4.35	4.35	4.68
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	25	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.41	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 358 01

Title: Music In Performance

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 34

Questionnaires: 29

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	4	13	0	0	2	1	9	4.58	212/1014	4.58	4.55	4.05	4.04	4.58

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	16	Required for Majors	17	Graduate	0	Major	17
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	5						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	3	Under-grad	29	Non-major	12
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	8						

Course-Section: MUSC 362 01

Title: Arts In Education

Instructor: Vaughn, Nana Mel

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 15

	NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank se 7 0 1 1 2 3 1 3.25 1545/1589 3 als 7 0 1 0 1 5 1 3.63 1437/1589 3 ioals 7 6 0 0 0 1 1 4.50 ****/1391 * ils 7 0 0 1 2 2 3 3.88 1210/1552 3 learned 7 0 1 0 4 1 2 3.38 1367/1495 3					Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect				
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	7	0	1	1	2	3	1	3.25	1545/1589	3.25	4.70	4.32	4.33	3.25
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	7	0	1	0	1	5	1	3.63	1437/1589	3.63	4.70	4.29	4.26	3.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	7	6	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1391	****	4.75	4.34	4.30	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	7	0	0	1	2	2	3	3.88	1210/1552	3.88	4.79	4.25	4.24	3.88
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	7	0	1	0	4	1	2	3.38	1367/1495	3.38	4.57	4.14	4.11	3.38
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	1	1	3	3	4.00	886/1457	4.00	4.51	4.15	4.13	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	7	0	2	1	2	1	2	3.00	1509/1572	3.00	4.48	4.21	4.18	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	7	0	0	0	0	6	2	4.25	1349/1589	4.25	4.69	4.66	4.67	4.25
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	1	0	3	4	0	3.25	1462/1569	3.25	4.60	4.13	4.10	3.25
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	0	0	0	4	1	4.20	1209/1530	4.20	4.75	4.49	4.49	4.20
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	10	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	1181/1533	4.60	4.92	4.75	4.75	4.60
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	10	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	817/1528	4.40	4.73	4.35	4.33	4.40
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	2	2	1	3.80	1313/1529	3.80	4.81	4.36	4.34	3.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	11	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	586/1393	4.25	4.61	4.06	4.10	4.25
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/1337	****	4.75	4.17	4.20	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1331	****	4.77	4.35	4.35	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1333	****	4.76	4.40	4.41	****

Course-Section: MUSC 362 01

Title: Arts In Education

Instructor: Vaughn,Nana Mel

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	12	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1014	****	4.55	4.05	4.04	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	8
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	7
84-150	5	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	7						

Course-Section: MUSC 380 01

Title: Intro To Conducting

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 31

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	3	6	17	4.41	780/1589	4.41	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.41
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	1	1	2	3	19	4.46	674/1589	4.46	4.70	4.29	4.26	4.46
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	1	2	19	4.82	242/1391	4.82	4.75	4.34	4.30	4.82
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	2	0	19	4.81	177/1552	4.81	4.79	4.25	4.24	4.81
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	8	1	0	3	3	12	4.32	631/1495	4.32	4.57	4.14	4.11	4.32
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	16	0	0	1	0	8	4.78	154/1457	4.78	4.51	4.15	4.13	4.78
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	4	2	2	19	4.33	735/1572	4.33	4.48	4.21	4.18	4.33
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	11	15	4.58	1042/1589	4.58	4.69	4.66	4.67	4.58
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	0	3	7	10	4.35	571/1569	4.35	4.60	4.13	4.10	4.35
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	1	1	4	13	4.53	858/1530	4.53	4.75	4.49	4.49	4.53
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	1	0	17	4.89	643/1533	4.89	4.92	4.75	4.75	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	2	1	4	12	4.37	869/1528	4.37	4.73	4.35	4.33	4.37
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	1	1	2	15	4.63	572/1529	4.63	4.81	4.36	4.34	4.63
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	10	6	0	2	1	0	8	4.27	568/1393	4.27	4.61	4.06	4.10	4.27
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	2	3	8	4.46	491/1337	4.46	4.75	4.17	4.20	4.46
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	0	1	2	2	8	4.31	788/1331	4.31	4.77	4.35	4.35	4.31
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	0	0	2	3	7	4.42	773/1333	4.42	4.76	4.40	4.41	4.42
4. Were special techniques successful	15	1	0	0	1	3	7	4.55	228/1014	4.55	4.55	4.05	4.04	4.55

Course-Section: MUSC 380 01

Title: Intro To Conducting

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 31

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	25	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/180	****	****	4.20	4.08	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	25	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/194	****	4.33	4.17	4.05	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	25	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/178	****	****	4.47	4.42	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	25	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/181	****	5.00	4.40	4.31	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	25	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/165	****	****	4.12	3.94	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	25	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/62	****	5.00	4.46	5.00	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	25	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/65	****	5.00	4.43	4.58	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	25	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/63	****	5.00	4.29	4.53	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	25	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	5.00	4.47	5.00	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	25	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/61	****	5.00	4.19	4.80	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	25	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/40	****	5.00	3.85	3.93	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	25	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/40	****	5.00	3.89	4.16	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	25	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/32	****	4.33	4.30	4.48	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	25	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/29	****	5.00	4.15	4.15	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	25	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/21	****	5.00	4.32	4.25	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/39	****	4.97	4.00	4.49	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	25	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/22	****	4.67	4.12	5.00	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	25	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/33	****	5.00	4.42	4.25	****

Course-Section: MUSC 380 01

Title: Intro To Conducting

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 31

Questionnaires: 27

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	25	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/19	****	5.00	4.44	5.00	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	25	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/16	****	5.00	4.25	5.00	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	16	Required for Majors	19	Graduate	0	Major	20
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	5	General	1	Under-grad	27	Non-major	7
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	4						

Course-Section: MUSC 390 11

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.67	4.70	4.32	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.33	4.70	4.29	4.26	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.33	4.69	4.66	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.60	4.13	4.10	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.75	4.49	4.49	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.34	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 390 13

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 4

Instructor: Forshee, Zane F.

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1182/1589	4.67	4.70	4.32	4.33	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1557/1589	4.33	4.70	4.29	4.26	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1583/1589	4.33	4.69	4.66	4.67	3.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.60	4.13	4.10	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 390 35

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1

Instructor: Jurkscheit, Kris

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.67	4.70	4.32	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.33	4.70	4.29	4.26	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.33	4.69	4.66	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.60	4.13	4.10	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.75	4.49	4.49	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.34	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 391 02

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1

Instructor: Richards, Edwin

Title: Adv Perf Studies - West

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.32	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.29	4.26	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.60	4.13	4.10	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 391 03

Title: Adv Perf Studies - West

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.32	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.29	4.26	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.79	4.25	4.24	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.60	4.13	4.10	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.75	4.49	4.49	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.75	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.33	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.34	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 392 04

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Instructor: Yoshioka, Airi

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.32	4.33	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.29	4.26	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.79	4.25	4.24	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.57	4.14	4.11	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.48	4.21	4.18	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.67	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.60	4.13	4.10	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 400 03

Title: Special Projects

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.32	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.29	4.35	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.79	4.25	4.37	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.57	4.14	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.51	4.15	4.30	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	4.75	4.69	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.60	4.13	4.22	5.00
Lecture														
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.41	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.44	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.36	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.63	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/39	5.00	4.97	4.00	4.43	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/33	5.00	5.00	4.42	4.51	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 400 03

Title: Special Projects

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1
Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/19	5.00	5.00	4.44	4.23	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 400 04

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

Title: Special Projects

·				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.32	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.29	4.35	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.79	4.25	4.37	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.57	4.14	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.51	4.15	4.30	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.48	4.21	4.28	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.75	4.69	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.60	4.13	4.22	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.75	4.49	4.56	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.41	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.44	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.61	4.06	4.18	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.36	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.56	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.63	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/39	5.00	4.97	4.00	4.43	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 400 04

Title: Special Projects

Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/33	5.00	5.00	4.42	4.51	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 400 05

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

Title:	Special Projects
Instructor:	Yoshioka,Airi

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.32	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.29	4.35	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.79	4.25	4.37	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.57	4.14	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.51	4.15	4.30	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.48	4.21	4.28	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	4.75	4.69	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.60	4.13	4.22	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.75	4.49	4.56	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.41	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.44	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.36	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.56	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.63	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 400 05

Title: Special Projects

Instructor: Yoshioka,Airi

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1014	5.00	4.55	4.05	4.32	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 400 07

Title: Special Projects

Instructor: Richards, Edwin

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 1
Questionnaires: 1

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.32	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.29	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.46	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.57	4.14	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1500/1589	4.75	4.69	4.66	4.68	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.60	4.13	4.22	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP/	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 401 02

Title: Special Projects: Comp

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

			Frequencies					In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.32	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.29	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.46	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.79	4.25	4.37	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.57	4.14	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.51	4.15	4.30	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.48	4.21	4.28	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1116/1589	4.50	4.69	4.66	4.68	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.60	4.13	4.22	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.75	4.49	4.56	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.41	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.44	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.61	4.06	4.18	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.75	4.17	4.36	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.77	4.35	4.56	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.76	4.40	4.63	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 401 02

Title: Special Projects: Comp

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Discussion														
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1014	5.00	4.55	4.05	4.32	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not e	nough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 401 03

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 5

Title: Special Projects: Comp

Questionnaires: 2

Instructor: Dusman, Linda J

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.32	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.29	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.46	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.79	4.25	4.37	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1495	5.00	4.57	4.14	4.25	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.51	4.15	4.30	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.48	4.21	4.28	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1116/1589	4.50	4.69	4.66	4.68	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.60	4.13	4.22	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1530	5.00	4.75	4.49	4.56	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1528	5.00	4.73	4.35	4.41	5.00

Run Date: 1/31/2013 2:16:00 PM Page 181 of 186

Course-Section: MUSC 401 03

Title: Special Projects: Comp

Instructor: Dusman, Linda J

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 5
Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.44	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits E	Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	2	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	1							

Course-Section: MUSC 417 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 8

Title: Surround Sound and Video

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Questionnaires: 8

			Frequencies					In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	435/1589	4.67	4.70	4.32	4.46	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.70	4.29	4.35	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1391	5.00	4.75	4.34	4.46	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1552	5.00	4.79	4.25	4.37	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	255/1495	4.67	4.57	4.14	4.25	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	2	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.51	4.15	4.30	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	1	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.48	4.21	4.28	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1589	5.00	4.69	4.66	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1569	5.00	4.60	4.13	4.22	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	488/1530	4.75	4.75	4.49	4.56	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	350/1528	4.75	4.73	4.35	4.41	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1529	5.00	4.81	4.36	4.44	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 417 01

Title: Surround Sound and Video

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 8

Instructor: Wonneberger,Ala

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1393	5.00	4.61	4.06	4.18	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	5	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2							
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	3	
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	2							

Course-Section: MUSC 427 01

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 4

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

Title: Electronic Music

Questionnaires: 3

			Frequencies						Instructor		Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	871/1589	4.33	4.70	4.32	4.46	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	1419/1589	3.67	4.70	4.29	4.35	3.67
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	402/1391	4.67	4.75	4.34	4.46	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	341/1552	4.67	4.79	4.25	4.37	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	609/1495	4.33	4.57	4.14	4.25	4.33
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1457	5.00	4.51	4.15	4.30	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1572	5.00	4.48	4.21	4.28	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	956/1589	4.67	4.69	4.66	4.68	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	1277/1569	3.67	4.60	4.13	4.22	3.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1319/1530	4.00	4.75	4.49	4.56	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1533	5.00	4.92	4.75	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	695/1528	4.50	4.73	4.35	4.41	4.50
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	739/1529	4.50	4.81	4.36	4.44	4.50

Run Date: 1/31/2013 2:16:00 PM Page 185 of 186

Course-Section: MUSC 427 01

Title: Electronic Music

Instructor: Rubin,Anna I

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Lecture														
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	349/1393	4.50	4.61	4.06	4.18	4.50

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1	
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	2	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means ther	e are not e	nough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	1					
				?	0							