Course-Section: MUSC 101 01

Title: Fundamentals Music Thry

Instructor: Richards, Kazuko

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 23

Questionnaires: 20

								Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	133/1449	4.21	4.66	4.33	4.14	4.90
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	15	4.75	241/1446	4.52	4.71	4.29	4.20	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	8	12	4.60	433/1256	4.49	4.73	4.34	4.21	4.60
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	6	13	4.68	316/1402	4.39	4.77	4.27	4.10	4.68
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	5	15	4.75	163/1358	4.22	4.42	4.13	4.04	4.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	1	4	11	4.63	290/1327	4.63	4.56	4.16	3.92	4.63
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	1	5	12	4.47	518/1435	4.47	4.45	4.20	4.11	4.47
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	19	5.00	1/1446	4.91	4.59	4.67	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	76/1437	4.33	4.52	4.12	4.04	4.90
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	8	0	0	0	2	1	9	4.58	726/1386	4.45	4.59	4.48	4.40	4.58
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	8	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1390	4.91	4.87	4.74	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	2	3	2	5	3.83	1157/1379	3.95	4.67	4.34	4.28	3.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	2	10	4.83	267/1379	4.49	4.77	4.36	4.26	4.83
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	7	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	436/1236	3.88	4.54	4.08	3.93	4.40
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	18	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1121	2.91	4.39	4.18	3.89	***
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	18	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1122	4.00	4.37	4.36	4.09	***
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	18	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1121	3.80	4.66	4.40	4.08	****

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:36 PM

Course-9	Section	: MUSC 101 0	11				Torm	ı - Sprin	na 21	∩11						Enro	Ilment:	23
Course-							Term	i - 5pi ii i	19 21	011								
	Title	: Fundamenta	als Mu	isic Thry											Q	uestion	naires:	20
Ins	tructor	: Richards,Ka	zuko															
								Frequ	uenc	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	asor	าร			Туре			Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9		Requ	ired for N	Иајо	rs	1		Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	5													
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	3	С	0		Gene	ral			12		Under-grad	20		Non-ma	ajor	20
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electi	ves			4		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				0							
				?	6													

Course-Section: MUSC 101 02

Title: Fundamentals Music Thry
Instructor: Hawley JR,Thoma

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 91

Questionnaires: 36

	•								structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	3	4	8	12	8	3.51	1354/1449	4.21	4.66	4.33	4.14	3.51
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	2	2	15	16	4.29	830/1446	4.52	4.71	4.29	4.20	4.29
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	2	4	7	21	4.38	665/1256	4.49	4.73	4.34	4.21	4.38
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	24	0	0	3	4	4	4.09	963/1402	4.39	4.77	4.27	4.10	4.09
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	9	2	1	8	7	8	3.69	1076/1358	4.22	4.42	4.13	4.04	3.69
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	27	0	1	3	3	1	3.50	****/1327	4.63	4.56	4.16	3.92	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	6	7	22	4.46	545/1435	4.47	4.45	4.20	4.11	4.46
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	2	0	0	0	6	27	4.82	707/1446	4.91	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.82
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	1	0	1	11	17	5	3.76	1110/1437	4.33	4.52	4.12	4.04	3.76
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	7	9	18	4.32	997/1386	4.45	4.59	4.48	4.40	4.32
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	1	4	28	4.82	761/1390	4.91	4.87	4.74	4.67	4.82
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	1	7	15	11	4.06	1038/1379	3.95	4.67	4.34	4.28	4.06
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	1	0	1	7	10	14	4.16	977/1379	4.49	4.77	4.36	4.26	4.16
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	15	2	2	7	3	5	3.37	1067/1236	3.88	4.54	4.08	3.93	3.37
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	25	0	3	1	3	2	2	2.91	1065/1121	2.91	4.39	4.18	3.89	2.91
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	26	0	1	0	1	4	4	4.00	857/1122	4.00	4.37	4.36	4.09	4.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	26	0	0	1	4	1	4	3.80	949/1121	3.80	4.66	4.40	4.08	3.80
4. Were special techniques successful	26	7	0	1	1	1	0	3.00	****/790	****	4.60	4.06	3.89	****

Course-Section: MUSC 101 02

Title: Fundamentals Music Thry

Instructor: Hawley JR,Thoma

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 91

Questionnaires: 36

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	35	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/205	****	1.00	4.29	4.37	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	35	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/34	****	1.00	4.33	2.63	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	35	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/35	****	5.00	4.15	5.00	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	35	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	****	5.00	4.09	5.00	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	35	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/31	****	4.89	4.34	4.82	****

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP.	Α	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	28	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	7	2.00-2.99	2	С	2	General	24	Under-grad	36	Non-major	36
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	8	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	13	F	0	Electives	4	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	3				
				?	3						

Course-Section: MUSC 111 01

Title: Musicianship Lab II

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 16

'								structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	4	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	119/1449	4.63	4.66	4.33	4.14	4.92
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	4	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1446	4.75	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	4	0	0	0	0	0	12	5.00	1/1256	4.83	4.73	4.34	4.21	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	4	5	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1402	4.90	4.77	4.27	4.10	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	4	7	0	0	1	0	4	4.60	285/1358	4.63	4.42	4.13	4.04	4.60
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	4	10	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1327	4.75	4.56	4.16	3.92	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	4	0	0	1	2	2	7	4.25	769/1435	4.38	4.45	4.20	4.11	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	4	0	0	0	0	11	1	4.08	1324/1446	4.13	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.08
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	0	0	3	9	4.75	155/1437	4.78	4.52	4.12	4.04	4.75
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	614/1386	4.71	4.59	4.48	4.40	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1390	4.88	4.87	4.74	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	10	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	211/1379	4.79	4.67	4.34	4.28	4.83
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1379	4.88	4.77	4.36	4.26	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	10	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1236	4.67	4.54	4.08	3.93	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1121	3.50	4.39	4.18	3.89	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1122	4.50	4.37	4.36	4.09	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1121	4.50	4.66	4.40	4.08	****
4. Were special techniques successful	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/790	****	4.60	4.06	3.89	****

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 111 0	)1				Term	n - Sprir	ng 20	)11						Enrol	lment:	16
	Title:	Musicianshi	p Lab	П							,				Q	uestion	naires:	16
Ins	tructor:	Cella,Lisa M																
								Frequ	uenc	ies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fre	eque	ncy Di	istri	buti	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	ason	S			Туре			Maj	ors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	7		Requ	ired for l	Majoı	s	12		Graduate	0		Major		11
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	3													
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	1		Gene	eral			0		Under-grad	16		Non-ma	ijor	5
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	2	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Elect	ives			0		**** - Means t	here are	not end	ugh resp	onses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ınt				
				1	0		Othe	r			0							
				?	5													

Course-Section: MUSC 111 02

Title: Musicianship Lab II

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	0	4	4.33	821/1449	4.63	4.66	4.33	4.14	4.33
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	4.50	571/1446	4.75	4.71	4.29	4.20	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	367/1256	4.83	4.73	4.34	4.21	4.67
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	179/1402	4.90	4.77	4.27	4.10	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	232/1358	4.63	4.42	4.13	4.04	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	180/1327	4.75	4.56	4.16	3.92	4.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	479/1435	4.38	4.45	4.20	4.11	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	4.17	1275/1446	4.13	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.17
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	118/1437	4.78	4.52	4.12	4.04	4.80
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	462/1386	4.71	4.59	4.48	4.40	4.75
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	872/1390	4.88	4.87	4.74	4.67	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	316/1379	4.79	4.67	4.34	4.28	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	385/1379	4.88	4.77	4.36	4.26	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	220/1236	4.67	4.54	4.08	3.93	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	1	1	0	3.50	931/1121	3.50	4.39	4.18	3.89	3.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	537/1122	4.50	4.37	4.36	4.09	4.50
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	591/1121	4.50	4.66	4.40	4.08	4.50
4. Were special techniques successful	4	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/790	***	4.60	4.06	3.89	***

Course-Section: MUSC 111 02

Title: Musicianship Lab II

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/200	****	****	4.28	4.19	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/205	****	1.00	4.29	4.37	****

#### Frequency Distribution

						. 9					
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 112 02

Title: Music Repertoire

Instructor: Yoshioka,Airi

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 2

								In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1449	5.00	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.21	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.10	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1358	5.00	4.42	4.13	4.04	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	3.92	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1435	5.00	4.45	4.20	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1019/1446	4.50	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1437	5.00	4.52	4.12	4.04	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1386	5.00	4.59	4.48	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.67	4.34	4.28	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.77	4.36	4.26	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.54	4.08	3.93	5.00

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:36 PM

Course-S	Section	: MUSC 112 C	)2				Term	- Spr	ring 2	011						Enro	Ilment:	3
	Title	: Music Repe	rtoire												Q	uestion	naires:	2
Ins	tructor	: Yoshioka,Ai	ri															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Lecture																
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 126 02

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 22

Questionnaires: 14

course-section.	10000 120 02
Title:	Theory II:Form&Analysis
Instructor:	Rubin,Anna I

·				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	2	1	5	2	4	3.36	1386/1449	3.36	4.66	4.33	4.14	3.36
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	2	3	1	5	3	3.29	1383/1446	3.29	4.71	4.29	4.20	3.29
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	2	2	3	6	3.79	1064/1256	3.79	4.73	4.34	4.21	3.79
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	5	2	3	3.80	1149/1402	3.80	4.77	4.27	4.10	3.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	2	0	4	4	3	3.46	1186/1358	3.46	4.42	4.13	4.04	3.46
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	1	2	3	2	2	3.20	1232/1327	3.20	4.56	4.16	3.92	3.20
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	2	2	4	1	5	3.36	1312/1435	3.36	4.45	4.20	4.11	3.36
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	421/1446	4.93	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.93
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	2	5	4	2	1	2.64	1410/1437	2.64	4.52	4.12	4.04	2.64
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	2	1	4	2	1	2.90	1374/1386	2.90	4.59	4.48	4.40	2.90
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	1	0	1	2	6	4.20	1290/1390	4.20	4.87	4.74	4.67	4.20
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	3	1	3	2	1	2.70	1360/1379	2.70	4.67	4.34	4.28	2.70
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	2	0	4	2	2	3.20	1322/1379	3.20	4.77	4.36	4.26	3.20
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	1	0	1	4	0	3	3.63	971/1236	3.63	4.54	4.08	3.93	3.63
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	****/1121	***	4.39	4.18	3.89	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1122	***	4.37	4.36	4.09	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1121	***	4.66	4.40	4.08	****
4. Were special techniques successful	12	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/790	***	4.60	4.06	3.89	***

Course-	Section:	MUSC 126 0	)2				Term	n - Spring	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	22
	Title:	Theory II:Fo	orm&A	Analysis						_				Q	uestion	naires:	14
Ins	tructor:	Rubin,Anna	I														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	<b>:</b>		Maj	jors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	12		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	14		Graduate	0		Major		12
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	1		Gene	ral		0	)	Under-grad	14		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0		Electi	ves		0	)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ugh resp	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0	)						
				?	0												

Course-Section: MUSC 170 01

Title: Beginning Voice Class

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 23

Questionnaires: 18

				Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	7	9	4.39	758/1449	4.39	4.66	4.33	4.14	4.39
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	3	12	4.50	571/1446	4.50	4.71	4.29	4.20	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	12	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.21	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	3	13	4.81	171/1402	4.81	4.77	4.27	4.10	4.81
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	3	4	3	6	3.75	1044/1358	3.75	4.42	4.13	4.04	3.75
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	4	2	4	0	2	6	3.43	1161/1327	3.43	4.56	4.16	3.92	3.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	1	3	2	3	7	3.75	1165/1435	3.75	4.45	4.20	4.11	3.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	1	15	2	4.06	1335/1446	4.06	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.06
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	2	9	6	4.24	659/1437	4.24	4.52	4.12	4.04	4.24
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	2	3	3	7	4.00	1177/1386	4.00	4.59	4.48	4.40	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	2	2	11	4.60	518/1379	4.60	4.67	4.34	4.28	4.60
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	2	2	1	10	4.27	892/1379	4.27	4.77	4.36	4.26	4.27
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	9	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	220/1236	4.67	4.54	4.08	3.93	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	283/1121	4.67	4.39	4.18	3.89	4.67
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	9	0	0	0	0	3	6	4.67	404/1122	4.67	4.37	4.36	4.09	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	9	0	0	0	2	2	5	4.33	731/1121	4.33	4.66	4.40	4.08	4.33
4. Were special techniques successful	9	1	0	0	2	2	4	4.25	329/790	4.25	4.60	4.06	3.89	4.25

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 170 0	)1				Term	ı - Sprin	g 20	D11						Enro	Ilment:	23
	Title:	Beginning V	oice C	Class							,				Q	uestion	naires:	18
Ins	tructor:	Jackson, Jan	ice															
								Frequ	uenc	ies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fr	eque	ncy Di	stri	buti	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	ason	ıS			Турє	<b>;</b>		Maj	jors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	1	Α	13		Requ	ired for N	Majo	rs	1		Graduate	0		Major		1
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	1													
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	18		Non-ma	ajor	17
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0		Electi	ves			10		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other				4							
				2	2													

Course-Section: MUSC 171 01

Title: Intermediate Voice Class
Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 7

Frequencies Instructor Course Org UMBC Level Sect Questions 3 5 NR NA Mean Rank Mean Mean Mean Mean Mean General 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course 0 0 0 0 1 2 705/1449 4.43 4.66 4.33 4.14 4.43 4 4.43 0 0 3 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals 0 0 0 4 4.57 479/1446 4.57 4.71 4.29 4.20 4.57 3. Did the exam guestions reflect the expected goals 0 3 2 1035/1256 4.73 3.86 0 0 1 1 3.86 3.86 4.34 4.21 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals 0 1 0 0 0 1 5 4.83 157/1402 4.83 4.77 4.27 4.10 4.83 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned 0 4 0 0 1 0 2 4.33 549/1358 4.33 4.42 4.13 4.04 4.33 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned 3 3.83 974/1327 3.83 4.56 3.92 3.83 0 1 1 0 1 1 4.16 7. Was the grading system clearly explained 0 1 0 0 4 0 2 3.67 1209/1435 3.67 4.45 4.20 4.11 3.67 8. How many times was class cancelled 0 0 0 0 0 1 6 4.86 627/1446 4.86 4.59 4.67 4.57 4.86 0 2 5 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness 0 0 0 0 4.71 184/1437 4.71 4.52 4.12 4.04 4.71 Lecture 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared 3 0 0 0 0 1 3 4.75 462/1386 4.75 4.59 4.48 4.40 4.75 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject 3 0 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 1/1390 5.00 4.87 4.74 4.67 5.00 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly 3 0 0 3 4.75 316/1379 4.75 0 0 1 4.75 4.67 4.34 4.28 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned 3 0 0 0 0 0 4 5.00 1/1379 5.00 4.77 4.36 4.26 5.00 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding 3 2 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 1/1236 5.00 4.54 4.08 3.93 5.00 Discussion 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned 5 0 0 0 1 0 1 4.00 727/1121 4.00 4.39 4.18 3.89 4.00 2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate 5 0 0 0 0 0 2 5.00 1/1122 5.00 4.37 4.36 4.09 5.00 5 2 3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion 0 0 0 0 0 5.00 4.66 4.40 4.08 5.00 1/1121 5.00 4. Were special techniques successful \*\*\*\*/790 \*\*\* \*\*\*\* 5 0 0 0 0 5.00 4.60 4.06 3.89 1

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 171 0	1				Term	ı - Spring 2	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	10
	Title:	Intermediat	e Voi	ce Class										Q	uestion	naires:	7
Ins	tructor:	Jackson,Jan	ice														
								Frequen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Distr	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	!		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	0	ı	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	1		Gene	ral		0	ı	Under-grad	7		Non-ma	ajor	7
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves		6	i	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0	ı						
				?	1												

Course-Section: MUSC 175 01

Title: Intermediate Vocal Meth
Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 3

'	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1449	5.00	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.21	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.10	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1358	5.00	4.42	4.13	4.04	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1435	5.00	4.45	4.20	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.59	4.67	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	226/1437	4.67	4.52	4.12	4.04	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1386	5.00	4.59	4.48	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.67	4.34	4.28	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.77	4.36	4.26	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.54	4.08	3.93	5.00
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.39	4.18	3.89	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1122	5.00	4.37	4.36	4.09	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.66	4.40	4.08	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/790	5.00	4.60	4.06	3.89	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:37 PM

Course-S	Section	MUSC 175 0	1				Term	ı - Spring	2011						Enro	Ilment:	4
	Title	Intermediat	e Voc	al Meth										Q	uestion	naires:	3
Ins	tructor	Jackson,Jan	ice														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре	)		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1		Requ	ired for Ma	ajors	2	2	Graduate	0		Major		3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		C	)	Under-grad	3		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		C	)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other	•		C	)						
				?	2												

Course-Section: MUSC 177 01

Title: Keyboard Skills II

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 12

Questionnaires: 10

	•			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	5	4	4.30	860/1449	4.46	4.66	4.33	4.14	4.30
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4.90	94/1446	4.88	4.71	4.29	4.20	4.90
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	190/1256	4.67	4.73	4.34	4.21	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	143/1402	4.93	4.77	4.27	4.10	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	628/1358	4.46	4.42	4.13	4.04	4.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	8	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	3.92	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	4.40	612/1435	4.63	4.45	4.20	4.11	4.40
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	4.70	848/1446	4.85	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.70
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	2	0	0	2	5	1	3.88	1035/1437	4.01	4.52	4.12	4.04	3.88
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	1052/1386	4.63	4.59	4.48	4.40	4.25
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	1162/1390	4.75	4.87	4.74	4.67	4.50
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	902/1379	4.50	4.67	4.34	4.28	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	900/1379	4.50	4.77	4.36	4.26	4.25
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1236	4.67	4.54	4.08	3.93	****
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	2	0	0	0	1	2.33	1108/1121	2.33	4.39	4.18	3.89	2.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	2	0	0	0	1	2.33	1114/1122	2.33	4.37	4.36	4.09	2.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	2	0	0	0	1	2.33	1118/1121	2.33	4.66	4.40	4.08	2.33

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:37 PM Page 19 of 139

Course-	Section	: MUSC 177 0	1				Term	ı - Spring	2011						Enro	Ilment:	12
	Title	: Keyboard Sk	cills II											Q	uestion	naires:	10
Ins	structor	: Beith,Nancy	S														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє	9		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	10		Graduate	0		Major		9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3												
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	1		Gene	ral		0		Under-grad	10		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves		0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be signification	ant				
				I	0		Other	-		0							
				?	1												

Course-Section: MUSC 177 02

Title: Keyboard Skills II

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	432/1449	4.46	4.66	4.33	4.14	4.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	140/1446	4.88	4.71	4.29	4.20	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	519/1256	4.67	4.73	4.34	4.21	4.50
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1402	4.93	4.77	4.27	4.10	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	4	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	232/1358	4.46	4.42	4.13	4.04	4.67
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	5	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	3.92	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	123/1435	4.63	4.45	4.20	4.11	4.86
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1446	4.85	4.59	4.67	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	6	1	4.14	758/1437	4.01	4.52	4.12	4.04	4.14
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1386	4.63	4.59	4.48	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1390	4.75	4.87	4.74	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	316/1379	4.50	4.67	4.34	4.28	4.75
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	385/1379	4.50	4.77	4.36	4.26	4.75
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	1	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	220/1236	4.67	4.54	4.08	3.93	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1121	2.33	4.39	4.18	3.89	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1122	2.33	4.37	4.36	4.09	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1121	2.33	4.66	4.40	4.08	****
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/205	***	1.00	4.29	4.37	****

Course-Section: MUSC 177 02

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 10

Title: Keyboard Skills II

Questionnaires: 8

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/201	***	****	4.51	4.57	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	***	****	4.42	4.55	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/196	***	****	4.25	4.42	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/34	***	1.00	4.33	2.63	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	***	5.00	4.15	5.00	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	***	5.00	4.09	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/30	***	****	4.04	4.75	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	16/31	4.60	4.89	4.34	4.82	4.60
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/18	5.00	5.00	4.13	4.88	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	3	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	13/24	4.60	4.76	4.34	4.64	4.60
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	3	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/15	5.00	5.00	4.18	4.50	5.00
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	3	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/13	5.00	5.00	4.07	4.63	5.00

#### Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:37 PM

Course	-Section:	MUSC 177 02	2				Term	- Spr	ing 20	011						Enro	Ilment:	10
	Title:	Keyboard Sk	ills II												Q	uestion	naires:	8
In	structor:	Beith,Nancy	S															
								Fre	quend	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Self Paced																
				Р	0							1	to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other				0							
				?	2													

Course-Section: MUSC 179 01

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 14

Title: Keyboard Skills IV

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Questionnaires: 11

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	1	8	4.45	663/1449	4.49	4.66	4.33	4.14	4.45
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	0	10	4.73	274/1446	4.80	4.71	4.29	4.20	4.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	1	0	0	0	5	4.33	717/1256	4.54	4.73	4.34	4.21	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	1	0	0	0	8	4.56	468/1402	4.58	4.77	4.27	4.10	4.56
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	1	0	0	0	4	4.20	679/1358	4.20	4.42	4.13	4.04	4.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	7	2	0	0	0	2	3.00	1264/1327	3.00	4.56	4.16	3.92	3.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	1	0	0	9	4.70	268/1435	4.65	4.45	4.20	4.11	4.70
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	526/1446	4.92	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.91
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	1	0	0	5	4	4.10	803/1437	4.05	4.52	4.12	4.04	4.10
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	9	0	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/1386	***	4.59	4.48	4.40	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	9	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1390	***	4.87	4.74	4.67	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	9	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1379	***	4.67	4.34	4.28	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	9	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1379	***	4.77	4.36	4.26	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	9	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.00	****/1236	***	4.54	4.08	3.93	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.89	4.34	4.82	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	***	4.76	4.34	4.64	****

## Frequency Distribution

**Expected Grades** Reasons Credits Earned Cum. GPA Type Majors 00-27 0.00-0.99 Α 5 Required for Majors 9 Graduate Major 0 9

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:38 PM Page 24 of 139

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 179 0	1				Term	- Spr	ing 2	011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	14
	Title:	Keyboard Sl	cills IV												Q	uestion	naires:	11
Ins	tructor:	Beith, Nancy	S															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Self Paced																
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	4													
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	11		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other				0							
				?	2													

Course-Section: MUSC 179 02

Title: Keyboard Skills IV

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 15

•				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	5	9	4.53	553/1449	4.49	4.66	4.33	4.14	4.53
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	130/1446	4.80	4.71	4.29	4.20	4.87
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	11	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	269/1256	4.54	4.73	4.34	4.21	4.75
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	4	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	408/1402	4.58	4.77	4.27	4.10	4.60
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	11	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1358	4.20	4.42	4.13	4.04	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	11	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1327	3.00	4.56	4.16	3.92	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	6	9	4.60	382/1435	4.65	4.45	4.20	4.11	4.60
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	368/1446	4.92	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.93
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	2	10	2	4.00	868/1437	4.05	4.52	4.12	4.04	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	12	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1386	***	4.59	4.48	4.40	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	12	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1390	***	4.87	4.74	4.67	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	13	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1379	***	4.67	4.34	4.28	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1379	****	4.77	4.36	4.26	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	12	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1236	***	4.54	4.08	3.93	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1121	****	4.39	4.18	3.89	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1122	***	4.37	4.36	4.09	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1121	***	4.66	4.40	4.08	****
4. Were special techniques successful	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/790	***	4.60	4.06	3.89	****

Course-Section: MUSC 179 02

Title: Keyboard Skills IV

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 17

Questionnaires: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/205	****	1.00	4.29	4.37	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/31	***	4.89	4.34	4.82	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	12	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/24	***	4.76	4.34	4.64	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	12	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	****/15	****	5.00	4.18	4.50	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	12	2	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	****/13	****	5.00	4.07	4.63	****

#### Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11	Required for Majors	13	Graduate	0	Major	14
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	1
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 180 01

Title: Beginning Piano Class

Instructor: Beith,Nancy S

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 20

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	5	6	9	4.20	947/1449	4.20	4.66	4.33	4.14	4.20
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	15	4.75	241/1446	4.75	4.71	4.29	4.20	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	16	4.80	215/1256	4.80	4.73	4.34	4.21	4.80
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	6	13	4.68	316/1402	4.68	4.77	4.27	4.10	4.68
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	10	0	0	2	4	4	4.20	679/1358	4.20	4.42	4.13	4.04	4.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	15	0	0	2	0	3	4.20	704/1327	4.20	4.56	4.16	3.92	4.20
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	0	7	11	4.35	666/1435	4.35	4.45	4.20	4.11	4.35
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.59	4.67	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	1	0	3	7	5	3.94	971/1437	3.94	4.52	4.12	4.04	3.94
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	1	3	1	14	4.47	840/1386	4.47	4.59	4.48	4.40	4.47
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	1	1	17	4.84	684/1390	4.84	4.87	4.74	4.67	4.84
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	2	6	11	4.47	675/1379	4.47	4.67	4.34	4.28	4.47
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	3	4	11	4.32	850/1379	4.32	4.77	4.36	4.26	4.32
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	3	0	0	3	4	9	4.38	460/1236	4.38	4.54	4.08	3.93	4.38
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/1121	***	4.39	4.18	3.89	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	0	1	1	0	2	3.75	****/1122	***	4.37	4.36	4.09	***
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	16	0	1	0	1	0	2	3.50	****/1121	***	4.66	4.40	4.08	****
4. Were special techniques successful	15	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/790	***	4.60	4.06	3.89	****

Course-Section: MUSC 180 01

Title: Beginning Piano Class

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 20

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	21/31	4.38	4.89	4.34	4.82	4.38
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	13	3	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/18	***	5.00	4.13	4.88	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	13	1	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	14/24	4.50	4.76	4.34	4.64	4.50
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	13	5	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/15	***	5.00	4.18	4.50	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	13	5	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/13	****	5.00	4.07	4.63	****

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	17	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	20	Non-major	20
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	7	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	10	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	7				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 181 01

Title: Intermediate Piano Class

Instructor: Beith,Nancy S

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 10

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	460/1449	4.60	4.66	4.33	4.14	4.60
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	297/1446	4.70	4.71	4.29	4.20	4.70
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	190/1256	4.83	4.73	4.34	4.21	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	9	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.10	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	6	1	1	0	0	2	3.25	1258/1358	3.25	4.42	4.13	4.04	3.25
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	1	1	1	0	4	3.71	1037/1327	3.71	4.56	4.16	3.92	3.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	1	4	1	3	3.67	1209/1435	3.67	4.45	4.20	4.11	3.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.59	4.67	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	5	3	4.38	504/1437	4.38	4.52	4.12	4.04	4.38
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	237/1386	4.89	4.59	4.48	4.40	4.89
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	4.70	384/1379	4.70	4.67	4.34	4.28	4.70
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	9	4.80	310/1379	4.80	4.77	4.36	4.26	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	2	0	0	5	1	2	3.63	971/1236	3.63	4.54	4.08	3.93	3.63
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1121	****	4.39	4.18	3.89	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1122	***	4.37	4.36	4.09	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1121	***	4.66	4.40	4.08	****
4. Were special techniques successful	8	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/790	***	4.60	4.06	3.89	***

Course-Section: MUSC 181 01

Title: Intermediate Piano Class

Instructor: Beith, Nancy S

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 10

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	****	4.89	4.34	4.82	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	****	5.00	4.13	4.88	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/24	****	4.76	4.34	4.64	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/15	***	5.00	4.18	4.50	****

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	1	General	1	Under-grad	10	Non-major	10
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	2	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	5	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	4				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 189 01

Title: Guitar Methods

Instructor: Forshee,Zane F.

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 7

			Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1449	5.00	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.21	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.10	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1358	5.00	4.42	4.13	4.04	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1327	****	4.56	4.16	3.92	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	1	0	1	5	4.43	585/1435	4.43	4.45	4.20	4.11	4.43
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4.57	970/1446	4.57	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.57
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	97/1437	4.86	4.52	4.12	4.04	4.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1386	5.00	4.59	4.48	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.67	4.34	4.28	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.77	4.36	4.26	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1236	****	4.54	4.08	3.93	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1121	****	4.39	4.18	3.89	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1122	****	4.37	4.36	4.09	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.66	4.40	4.08	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	5	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	425/790	4.00	4.60	4.06	3.89	4.00

Course-Section: MUSC 189 01

Title: Guitar Methods

Instructor: Forshee,Zane F.

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/205	****	1.00	4.29	4.37	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	***	***	4.58	4.48	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	***	***	4.00	3.44	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/34	****	1.00	4.33	2.63	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	****	5.00	4.15	5.00	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	5.00	4.09	5.00	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	***	***	4.04	4.75	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	***	4.89	4.34	4.82	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	***	5.00	4.13	4.88	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	***	4.76	4.34	4.64	****

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	7	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:38 PM

Course-Section:	MUSC 189 01				Term	- Spr	ing 2	011						Enrol	Ilment:	10
Title:	Guitar Methods												Q	uestion	naires:	7
Instructor:	Forshee,Zane F.															
						Fred	quen	cies		Ins <sup>-</sup>	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced															
		I	0		Other				0							
		?	1													

Course-Section: MUSC 190 01

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Franklin,Rachel

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 2

			Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1449	4.90	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1446	4.84	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	1354/1446	4.28	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1437	4.85	4.52	4.12	4.04	5.00

#### Frequency Distribution

					1 3					
arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
			Р	0			to be significant			
			I	0	Other	0				
			?	0						
	0 1 0 0	0 0.00-0.99 1 1.00-1.99 0 2.00-2.99 0 3.00-3.49	0 0.00-0.99 0 1 1.00-1.99 0 0 2.00-2.99 0 0 3.00-3.49 0	0 0.00-0.99 0 A 1 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 3.00-3.49 0 D 0 3.50-4.00 1 F P	0 0.00-0.99 0 A 2 1 1.00-1.99 0 B 0 0 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 0 3.00-3.49 0 D 0 0 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 P 0 I 0	0       0.00-0.99       0       A       2       Required for Majors         1       1.00-1.99       0       B       0         0       2.00-2.99       0       C       0       General         0       3.00-3.49       0       D       0         0       3.50-4.00       1       F       0       Electives         P       0       I       0       Other	arned Cum. GPA Expected Grades Reasons  0 0.00-0.99 0 A 2 Required for Majors 2  1 1.00-1.99 0 B 0  0 2.00-2.99 0 C 0 General 0  0 3.00-3.49 0 D 0  0 3.50-4.00 1 F 0 Electives 0  P 0  I 0 Other 0	arned         Cum. GPA         Expected Grades         Reasons         Type           0         0.00-0.99         0         A         2         Required for Majors         2         Graduate           1         1.00-1.99         0         B         0         0         Under-grad           0         2.00-2.99         0         C         0         General         0         Under-grad           0         3.00-3.49         0         D         0         **** - Means the to be significant           P         0         0         to be significant         0         **** - Means the to be significant	arned         Cum. GPA         Expected Grades         Reasons         Type           0         0.00-0.99         0         A         2         Required for Majors         2         Graduate         0           1         1.00-1.99         0         B         0         0         Under-grad         2           0         2.00-2.99         0         C         0         General         0         Under-grad         2           0         3.50-4.00         1         F         0         Electives         0         ***** - Means there are not to be significant           I         0         Other         0	arned         Cum. GPA         Expected Grades         Reasons         Type         Majors           0         0.00-0.99         0         A         2         Required for Majors         2         Graduate         0         Major           1         1.00-1.99         0         B         0         0         Under-grad         2         Non-major           0         3.00-3.49         0         D         0         Under-grad         2         Non-major           0         3.50-4.00         1         F         0         Electives         0         ***** - Means there are not enough responses to be significant           I         0         Other         0

Course-Section: MUSC 190 02

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Hawley JR,Thoma

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 8

'	Frequencies							Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	4.75	269/1449	4.90	4.66	4.33	4.14	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	0	7	4.63	411/1446	4.84	4.71	4.29	4.20	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.21	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1402	4.90	4.77	4.27	4.10	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1358	4.63	4.42	4.13	4.04	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	7	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	3.92	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	5	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	970/1435	4.09	4.45	4.20	4.11	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	4.75	788/1446	4.28	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	184/1437	4.85	4.52	4.12	4.04	4.71
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	803/1386	4.93	4.59	4.48	4.40	4.50
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	832/1379	4.90	4.67	4.34	4.28	4.33
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	836/1379	4.90	4.77	4.36	4.26	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	2	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	709/1236	4.40	4.54	4.08	3.93	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1121	5.00	4.39	4.18	3.89	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1122	3.22	4.37	4.36	4.09	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1121	5.00	4.66	4.40	4.08	****
4. Were special techniques successful		0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/790	5.00	4.60	4.06	3.89	****

Course-Section: MUSC 190 02

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Hawley JR,Thoma

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 13

Questionnaires: 8

		Frequencies						Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/31	5.00	4.89	4.34	4.82	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	5	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/18	***	5.00	4.13	4.88	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/24	4.75	4.76	4.34	4.64	5.00
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	5	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/15	***	5.00	4.18	4.50	****
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	5	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/13	***	5.00	4.07	4.63	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	8	Non-major	8
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	4				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 03

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Appelman,Harold

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 1

		Frequencies						Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	4.90	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1061/1446	4.84	4.71	4.29	4.20	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1402	4.90	4.77	4.27	4.10	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	3.92	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	970/1435	4.09	4.45	4.20	4.11	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	4.28	4.59	4.67	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1437	4.85	4.52	4.12	4.04	5.00

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:38 PM

Course-Section: MUSC 190 05

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Jackson,Janice

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 2

'			Frequencies				In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	594/1449	4.90	4.66	4.33	4.14	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1446	4.84	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1402	4.90	4.77	4.27	4.10	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1435	4.09	4.45	4.20	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1019/1446	4.28	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1437	4.85	4.52	4.12	4.04	5.00
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1386	4.93	4.59	4.48	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1379	4.90	4.67	4.34	4.28	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1379	4.90	4.77	4.36	4.26	5.00
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.39	4.18	3.89	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1122/1122	3.22	4.37	4.36	4.09	1.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.66	4.40	4.08	5.00
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	204/205	1.00	1.00	4.29	4.37	1.00
Field Work														
Did field experience contribute to what you learned	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	34/34	1.00	1.00	4.33	2.63	1.00
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/35	5.00	5.00	4.15	5.00	5.00
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/30	5.00	5.00	4.09	5.00	5.00

Course-Section:	MUSC 190 05
Title:	Perf.Studies:Western Art
Instructor:	Jackson,Janice

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/31	5.00	4.89	4.34	4.82	5.00

Credits	Earned	Cum. GP/	Д	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 07

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 10

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Questionnaires: 3

Instructor: Markovic, Lorria

			Frequencies			Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1449	4.90	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1446	4.84	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1428/1435	4.09	4.45	4.20	4.11	2.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3.00	1441/1446	4.28	4.59	4.67	4.57	3.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1437	4.85	4.52	4.12	4.04	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1386	4.93	4.59	4.48	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	4.90	4.67	4.34	4.28	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	4.90	4.77	4.36	4.26	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/31	5.00	4.89	4.34	4.82	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	17/24	4.75	4.76	4.34	4.64	4.00

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:38 PM

Course-Section:	MUSC 190 07			Term	- Spr	ing 2	011						Enrol	Ilment:	10
Title:	Perf.Studies:Western Art											Q	uestion	naires:	3
Instructor:	Markovic,Lorria														
					Fred	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Self Paced														
	I	0		Other				0							
	?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 190 08

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Yoshioka,Airi

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

			Frequencies						structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1449	4.90	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1446	4.84	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.21	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1402	4.90	4.77	4.27	4.10	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1358	4.63	4.42	4.13	4.04	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	3.92	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	163/1435	4.09	4.45	4.20	4.11	4.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	788/1446	4.28	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.75
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1437	4.85	4.52	4.12	4.04	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1386	4.93	4.59	4.48	4.40	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.67	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1379	4.90	4.67	4.34	4.28	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1379	4.90	4.77	4.36	4.26	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1236	4.40	4.54	4.08	3.93	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1121	5.00	4.39	4.18	3.89	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1122	3.22	4.37	4.36	4.09	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1121	5.00	4.66	4.40	4.08	****
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/790	5.00	4.60	4.06	3.89	***

Course-	Section:	MUSC 190 C	8				Term	n - Spring	2011						Enro	Ilment:	5
	Title:	Perf.Studies	s:Wes	tern Art										Q	uestion	naires:	5
Ins	structor:	Yoshioka,Ai	ri														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	<b>;</b>		Ма	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3		Requ	ired for Ma	ors	0	)	Graduate	0		Major		0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0	)	Under-grad	5		Non-ma	ajor	5
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		2	!	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other			3	3						
				2	1												

Course-Section: MUSC 190 09

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Tremblay,Christ

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 7

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1449	4.90	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1446	4.84	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	970/1435	4.09	4.45	4.20	4.11	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	1354/1446	4.28	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1437	4.85	4.52	4.12	4.04	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1386	4.93	4.59	4.48	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	4.90	4.67	4.34	4.28	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	4.90	4.77	4.36	4.26	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1144/1236	4.40	4.54	4.08	3.93	3.00

						. 9					
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 10

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Kannen,Maria La

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	4.90	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	4.84	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	4.28	4.59	4.67	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1437	4.85	4.52	4.12	4.04	5.00

						1 3					
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 12

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Lagana,Thomas V

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

	Frequencies						In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	4.90	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	4.84	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.21	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1402	4.90	4.77	4.27	4.10	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	3.92	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1435	4.09	4.45	4.20	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1354/1446	4.28	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1437	4.85	4.52	4.12	4.04	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1386	4.93	4.59	4.48	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	4.90	4.67	4.34	4.28	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	4.90	4.77	4.36	4.26	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	4.40	4.54	4.08	3.93	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.39	4.18	3.89	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1122	3.22	4.37	4.36	4.09	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.66	4.40	4.08	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/790	5.00	4.60	4.06	3.89	5.00

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:39 PM Page 47 of 139

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 190 1	2				Term	ı - Sprin	g 20	)11						Enro	Ilment:	1
	Title:	Perf.Studies	:West	tern Art											Q	uestion	naires:	1
Ins	tructor:	Lagana,Tho	mas V	'														
					-			Frequ	enci	ies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	sons	S			Туре	<b>;</b>		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1		Requ	ired for M	1ajor	s	1		Graduate	0		Major		1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	eral			0		Under-grad	1		Non-ma	ajor	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ives			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Othe	r			0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 190 13

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 8

\_\_\_\_

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Questionnaires: 3

Instructor: Forshee, Zane F.

	Frequencies						In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1449	4.90	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1446	4.84	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.21	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	528/1402	4.90	4.77	4.27	4.10	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1358	4.63	4.42	4.13	4.04	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	3.92	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1377/1435	4.09	4.45	4.20	4.11	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	1151/1446	4.28	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.33
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	226/1437	4.85	4.52	4.12	4.04	4.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1386	4.93	4.59	4.48	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	4.90	4.67	4.34	4.28	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	4.90	4.77	4.36	4.26	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	4.40	4.54	4.08	3.93	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/31	5.00	4.89	4.34	4.82	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/24	4.75	4.76	4.34	4.64	5.00

### Frequency Distribution

**Expected Grades** Credits Earned Cum. GPA Reasons Type Majors 00-27 0.00-0.99 3 Required for Majors 0 Graduate Major 0 0 Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:39 PM Page 49 of 139

Course-S	Section	: MUSC 190 1	3				Term	- Spr	ing 2	011						Enro	Ilment:	8
	Title	: Perf.Studies	:Weste	ern Art											Q	uestion	naires:	3
Ins	tructor	Forshee,Zan	ne F.															
								Fre	quend	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Self Paced																
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	3		Non-ma	ajor	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves			2		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				1							

?

0

Course-Section: MUSC 190 15

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Kesner,Lori A.

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1449	4.90	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1446	4.84	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.21	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1402	4.90	4.77	4.27	4.10	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	1174/1358	4.63	4.42	4.13	4.04	3.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1435	4.09	4.45	4.20	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1446	4.28	4.59	4.67	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	97/1437	4.85	4.52	4.12	4.04	4.86
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1386	4.93	4.59	4.48	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1379	4.90	4.67	4.34	4.28	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1379	4.90	4.77	4.36	4.26	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1236	4.40	4.54	4.08	3.93	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.39	4.18	3.89	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	1	0	0	0	2	3.67	979/1122	3.22	4.37	4.36	4.09	3.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.66	4.40	4.08	5.00
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/205	1.00	1.00	4.29	4.37	****

Course-Section: MUSC 190 15

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Kesner,Lori A.

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 7

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/34	1.00	1.00	4.33	2.63	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	5.00	5.00	4.15	5.00	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	5.00	5.00	4.09	5.00	***
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/31	5.00	4.89	4.34	4.82	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/24	4.75	4.76	4.34	4.64	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	7	Non-major	6
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	3	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	2				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 190 28

Title: Perf.Studies:Western Art

Instructor: Dove,Barry B

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	594/1449	4.90	4.66	4.33	4.14	4.50
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	571/1446	4.84	4.71	4.29	4.20	4.50
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1358	4.63	4.42	4.13	4.04	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	1441/1446	4.28	4.59	4.67	4.57	3.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	868/1437	4.85	4.52	4.12	4.04	4.00

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	2
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	0						

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:39 PM

Course-Section: MUSC 191 03

Title: Recital Preparation

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 16

\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*

4.09

4.08

3.89

Frequencies Instructor Course Org UMBC Level Sect Questions 3 5 Mean Mean Mean Mean NR NA Mean Rank Mean General 1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course 7 0 1 0 2 2 1197/1449 3.89 4.66 4.33 4.14 3.89 4 3.89 7 2 1 5 2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals 0 1 0 4.00 1061/1446 4.00 4.71 4.29 4.20 4.00 \*\*\*\*/1256 \*\*\* \*\*\*\* 3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals 7 0 0 2 4.73 6 0 1 4.33 4.34 4.21 4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals 8 3 0 1 0 1 3 4.20 859/1402 4.77 4.27 4.10 4.20 4.20 \*\*\* \*\*\* 5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned 7 6 0 0 1 0 2 4.33 \*\*\*\*/1358 4.42 4.13 4.04 6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned 7 5 0 0 2 0 2 847/1327 4.56 3.92 4.00 4.00 4.16 4.00 7 2 7. Was the grading system clearly explained 0 0 1 1 5 4.57 411/1435 4.57 4.45 4.20 4.11 4.57 8. How many times was class cancelled 7 1 0 0 0 0 8 5.00 1/1446 5.00 4.59 4.67 4.57 5.00 2 9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness 0 0 0 0 8 118/1437 4.52 4.12 4.04 4.80 4.80 4.80 Lecture 1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared 13 0 0 0 0 1 2 4.67 \*\*\*\*/1386 \*\*\* 4.59 4.48 4.40 \*\*\*\* \*\*\* \*\*\*\* 2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject 13 0 0 0 0 1 2 4.67 \*\*\*\*/1390 4.87 4.74 4.67 \*\*\*\*/1379 \*\*\* \*\*\*\* 3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly 0 2 4.67 13 0 0 0 1 4.67 4.34 4.28 \*\*\* \*\*\* \*\*\*\*/1379 4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned 13 0 0 0 0 1 2 4.67 4.77 4.36 4.26 \*\*\*\*/1236 \*\*\* \*\*\*\* 5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding 1 0 0 0 0 5.00 4.54 4.08 3.93 14 Discussion \*\*\*\*/1121 \*\*\* \*\*\*\* 1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned 15 0 0 0 0 0 1 5.00 4.39 4.18 3.89

15

15

15

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

1

1

1

5.00

5.00

5.00

2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate

3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion

4. Were special techniques successful

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

4.37

4.66

4.60

4.36

4.40

4.06

\*\*\*\*/1122

\*\*\*\*/1121

\*\*\*\*/790

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 191 0	3				Term	n - Spring	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	16
	Title:	Recital Prep	aratio	n						_				Q	uestion	naires:	16
Ins	structor:	Wonneberge	er,Ala														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	)		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	8	}	Graduate	0		Major		9
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0	)	Under-grad	16		Non-ma	ajor	7
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ives		0	)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other	r		0	)						
				?	7												

Course-Section: MUSC 193 01

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 4

Instructor: Franklin, Rachel

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1449	4.78	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1446	4.75	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.10	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1358	5.00	4.42	4.13	4.04	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1435	4.93	4.45	4.20	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	1019/1446	4.13	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.50
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1437	4.44	4.52	4.12	4.04	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1386	4.33	4.59	4.48	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1390	4.50	4.87	4.74	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.67	4.34	4.28	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.77	4.36	4.26	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.54	4.08	3.93	5.00

## Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:39 PM

Course-Section:	MUSC 193 01			Term	- Spri	ing 2	011						Enrol	Ilment:	4
Title:	Perf Studies Musc Majors											Q	uestion	naires:	2
Instructor:	Franklin,Rachel														
					Fred	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Lecture														
	I	0		Other				0							
	?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 193 03

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Appelman, Harold

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1417/1449	4.78	4.66	4.33	4.14	3.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1411/1446	4.75	4.71	4.29	4.20	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1354/1446	4.13	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1436/1437	4.44	4.52	4.12	4.04	2.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1386/1386	4.33	4.59	4.48	4.40	1.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1390/1390	4.50	4.87	4.74	4.67	2.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 08

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Yoshioka,Airi

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 4

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1449	4.78	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1446	4.75	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.21	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.10	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1358	5.00	4.42	4.13	4.04	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	3.92	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1435	4.93	4.45	4.20	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	888/1446	4.13	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1437	4.44	4.52	4.12	4.04	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1386	4.33	4.59	4.48	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1390	4.50	4.87	4.74	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.67	4.34	4.28	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.77	4.36	4.26	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.54	4.08	3.93	5.00

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:39 PM

Course-S	Section	: MUSC 193 C	8				Term	- Spr	ring 2	011						Enro	Ilment:	4
	Title	: Perf Studies	Musc N	Majors							,				Q	uestion	naires:	3
Ins	tructor	: Yoshioka,Ai	ri															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Lecture																
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be signification	ant				
				I	0		Other	,			0							
				?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 193 10

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

Instructor: Kannen, Maria La

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	4.78	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	4.75	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1435	4.93	4.45	4.20	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	4.13	4.59	4.67	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1437	4.44	4.52	4.12	4.04	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1386	4.33	4.59	4.48	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1390	4.50	4.87	4.74	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.67	4.34	4.28	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.77	4.36	4.26	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.54	4.08	3.93	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 11

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	4.78	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	4.75	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1435	4.93	4.45	4.20	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1354/1446	4.13	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1437	4.44	4.52	4.12	4.04	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1386	4.33	4.59	4.48	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1390	4.50	4.87	4.74	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.67	4.34	4.28	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.77	4.36	4.26	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 12

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 1

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Questionnaires: 1

									structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	4.78	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	4.75	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.10	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1354/1446	4.13	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	868/1437	4.44	4.52	4.12	4.04	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1386	4.33	4.59	4.48	4.40	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1390	4.50	4.87	4.74	4.67	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.67	4.34	4.28	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.77	4.36	4.26	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.39	4.18	3.89	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1122	5.00	4.37	4.36	4.09	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.66	4.40	4.08	5.00

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:40 PM

Course-Section:	MUSC 193 12				Term	- Spr	ing 20	011						Enro	Ilment:	1
Title:	Perf Studies Musc Majo	ors											Q	uestion	naires:	1
Instructor:	Lagana,Thomas V															
						Fre	quend	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion															
		Р	0							t	o be significa	ant				
		I	0		Other				0							
		?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 193 14

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1449	4.78	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1446	4.75	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.10	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	479/1435	4.93	4.45	4.20	4.11	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1446	4.13	4.59	4.67	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1437	4.44	4.52	4.12	4.04	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 17

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Richards,Edwin

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	4.78	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	4.75	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.10	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1435	4.93	4.45	4.20	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1354/1446	4.13	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1437	4.44	4.52	4.12	4.04	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 193 28

Title: Perf Studies Musc Majors

Instructor: Dove,Barry B

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	4.78	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.10	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1435	4.93	4.45	4.20	4.11	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2.00	1446/1446	4.13	4.59	4.67	4.57	2.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	868/1437	4.44	4.52	4.12	4.04	4.00

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:40 PM

Course-Section: MUSC 194 12

Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 2

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1106/1449	4.50	4.66	4.33	4.14	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	3.92	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1354/1446	4.50	4.59	4.67	4.57	4.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	868/1437	4.50	4.52	4.12	4.04	4.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 194 15

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 2

Title: Perf Studies: Musc Maj

Instructor: Kesner,Lori A.

Questionnaires: 1

		Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	4.50	4.66	4.33	4.14	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.20	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	4.50	4.59	4.67	4.57	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1437	4.50	4.52	4.12	4.04	5.00
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/31	5.00	4.89	4.34	4.82	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/24	5.00	4.76	4.34	4.64	5.00

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors			
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1		
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0								
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0		
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0								
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses					
				Р	0			to be significant					
				1	0	Other	1						
				?	0								

Course-Section: MUSC 211 01

Title: Musicianship Lab IV

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 28

Questionnaires: 23

	•			Frequencies				Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course		0	0	0	2	4	16	4.64	418/1449	4.64	4.66	4.33	4.32	4.64
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	3	19	4.86	130/1446	4.86	4.71	4.29	4.27	4.86
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	5	17	4.77	247/1256	4.77	4.73	4.34	4.36	4.77
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	2	0	0	1	3	15	4.74	258/1402	4.74	4.77	4.27	4.28	4.74
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	10	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	302/1358	4.58	4.42	4.13	4.13	4.58
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	7	0	0	2	0	13	4.73	195/1327	4.73	4.56	4.16	4.12	4.73
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	1	5	4	12	4.23	798/1435	4.23	4.45	4.20	4.17	4.23
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	19	2	4.05	1339/1446	4.05	4.59	4.67	4.63	4.05
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	4	0	0	1	1	9	8	4.26	627/1437	4.26	4.52	4.12	4.10	4.26
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	12	0	0	0	0	4	7	4.64	660/1386	4.64	4.59	4.48	4.46	4.64
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	12	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	531/1390	4.91	4.87	4.74	4.76	4.91
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	12	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	356/1379	4.73	4.67	4.34	4.31	4.73
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	295/1379	4.82	4.77	4.36	4.37	4.82
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	12	4	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.54	4.08	4.16	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/1121	****	4.39	4.18	4.11	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	19	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	****/1122	***	4.37	4.36	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	19	0	1	0	0	0	3	4.00	****/1121	***	4.66	4.40	4.39	****
4. Were special techniques successful		0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	****/790	***	4.60	4.06	4.01	****

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 211 0	)1				Term	n - Spring	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	28
	Title:	Musicianshi	p Lab	IV						_				Q	uestion	naires:	23
Ins	tructor:	Cella,Lisa M	]														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Туре	<b>:</b>		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	1	Α	13		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	20	)	Graduate	0		Major		18
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	7												
56-83	7	2.00-2.99	0	С	1		Gene	ral		0	)	Under-grad	23		Non-ma	ajor	5
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	5	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0		Electi	ves		0	)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0	)						
				?	1												

Course-Section: MUSC 214 01

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 84

Title: Hist Jazz: Origins-Pres

Questionnaires: 42

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

			Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	2	9	9	20	4.18	977/1449	4.18	4.66	4.33	4.32	4.18
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	3	4	8	25	4.38	735/1446	4.38	4.71	4.29	4.27	4.38
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	7	8	25	4.45	581/1256	4.45	4.73	4.34	4.36	4.45
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	27	0	0	3	3	7	4.31	762/1402	4.31	4.77	4.27	4.28	4.31
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	8	16	16	4.20	679/1358	4.20	4.42	4.13	4.13	4.20
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	35	0	0	2	1	2	4.00	****/1327	***	4.56	4.16	4.12	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	1	3	9	27	4.55	430/1435	4.55	4.45	4.20	4.17	4.55
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	1	39	4.98	158/1446	4.98	4.59	4.67	4.63	4.98
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	1	0	2	2	21	5	3.97	927/1437	3.97	4.52	4.12	4.10	3.97
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	1	0	2	13	24	4.48	840/1386	4.48	4.59	4.48	4.46	4.48
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	2	38	4.95	266/1390	4.95	4.87	4.74	4.76	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	1	1	5	13	20	4.25	902/1379	4.25	4.67	4.34	4.31	4.25
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	5	3	8	24	4.28	883/1379	4.28	4.77	4.36	4.37	4.28
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	5	2	0	7	6	19	4.18	616/1236	4.18	4.54	4.08	4.16	4.18
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	19	0	1	1	8	5	8	3.78	843/1121	3.78	4.39	4.18	4.11	3.78
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	19	0	1	1	3	6	12	4.17	793/1122	4.17	4.37	4.36	4.34	4.17
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	19	0	0	0	1	7	15	4.61	524/1121	4.61	4.66	4.40	4.39	4.61
4. Were special techniques successful	19	18	1	1	1	1	1	3.00	****/790	***	4.60	4.06	4.01	****

Course-Section: MUSC 214 01

Title: Hist Jazz: Origins-Pres Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 84

Questionnaires: 42

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	41	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/205	****	1.00	4.29	4.10	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	40	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/196	****	****	4.25	4.10	****
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	38	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/67	****	****	4.58	4.48	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	38	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/66	***	****	4.36	4.17	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	38	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	***	****	4.25	3.96	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	38	2	0	1	0	0	1	3.50	****/75	***	****	4.32	4.48	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	38	2	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/73	***	****	4.00	4.04	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	40	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/31	***	4.89	4.34	2.63	****
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	40	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/18	***	5.00	4.13	3.50	****

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	5	0.00-0.99	0	Α	21	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	11						
56-83	7	2.00-2.99	4	С	3	General	22	Under-grad	42	Non-major	41
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	8	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	10	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	1				
				?	6						

Course-Section: MUSC 217 01

Title: Rock & Related Music

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 186

Questionnaires: 71

Title: Rock & Related Music
Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

<u> </u>							In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	6	0	0	0	4	19	42	4.58	486/1449	4.58	4.66	4.33	4.32	4.58
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	5	0	1	1	5	25	34	4.36	745/1446	4.36	4.71	4.29	4.27	4.36
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	6	0	0	1	3	21	40	4.54	493/1256	4.54	4.73	4.34	4.36	4.54
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	6	45	0	1	3	5	11	4.30	762/1402	4.30	4.77	4.27	4.28	4.30
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	7	37	2	0	9	4	12	3.89	954/1358	3.89	4.42	4.13	4.13	3.89
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	6	56	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	****/1327	***	4.56	4.16	4.12	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	6	0	0	1	6	7	51	4.66	313/1435	4.66	4.45	4.20	4.17	4.66
8. How many times was class cancelled	6	1	0	0	10	47	7	3.95	1376/1446	3.95	4.59	4.67	4.63	3.95
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	18	3	1	0	9	25	15	4.06	829/1437	4.06	4.52	4.12	4.10	4.06
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	13	0	0	0	1	9	48	4.81	354/1386	4.81	4.59	4.48	4.46	4.81
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	12	0	0	0	0	5	54	4.92	478/1390	4.92	4.87	4.74	4.76	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	13	0	0	0	1	17	40	4.67	424/1379	4.67	4.67	4.34	4.31	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	0	6	52	4.90	183/1379	4.90	4.77	4.36	4.37	4.90
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	15	1	0	0	3	12	40	4.67	213/1236	4.67	4.54	4.08	4.16	4.67
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	56	0	5	0	3	0	7	3.27	****/1121	***	4.39	4.18	4.11	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	57	0	6	1	2	0	5	2.79	****/1122	***	4.37	4.36	4.34	***
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	57	0	6	0	2	1	5	2.93	****/1121	***	4.66	4.40	4.39	****
4. Were special techniques successful	57	12	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/790	****	4.60	4.06	4.01	****

Course-	Section:	MUSC 217 (	)1				Term	- Spring	2011						Enro	Ilment:	186
	Title:	Rock & Rela	ated M	lusic										Q	uestion	naires:	71
Ins	tructor:	Morin, Josep	oh C														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	<b>:</b>		Maj	jors	
00-27	11	0.00-0.99	1	Α	30		Requ	ired for Ma	ors	7		Graduate	0		Major		1
28-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	20												
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	5	С	5		Gene	ral		20		Under-grad	71		Non-ma	ajor	70
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	7	D	2												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	11	F	0		Electi	ves		21		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			2							
				?	14												

Course-Section: MUSC 219 01

Title: Intro Digital Audio Wkst

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 10

Instructor: Parent, James C.

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	705/1449	4.43	4.66	4.33	4.32	4.43
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	411/1446	4.63	4.71	4.29	4.27	4.63
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	675/1256	4.38	4.73	4.34	4.36	4.38
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	1	0	0	0	4	3	4.43	641/1402	4.43	4.77	4.27	4.28	4.43
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	4	0	0	0	3	0	4.00	827/1358	4.00	4.42	4.13	4.13	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	4	0	0	0	4	0	4.00	847/1327	4.00	4.56	4.16	4.12	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	0	0	6	2	4.25	769/1435	4.25	4.45	4.20	4.17	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	0	7	1	4.13	1303/1446	4.13	4.59	4.67	4.63	4.13
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	2	3	2	4.00	868/1437	4.00	4.52	4.12	4.10	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	2	1	0	3.33	1341/1386	3.33	4.59	4.48	4.46	3.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.67	4.34	4.31	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.77	4.36	4.37	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	492/1236	4.33	4.54	4.08	4.16	4.33
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/1121	***	4.39	4.18	4.11	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1122	***	4.37	4.36	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1121	***	4.66	4.40	4.39	****
4. Were special techniques successful	8	0	0	0	0	2	0	4.00	****/790	****	4.60	4.06	4.01	****

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 219 0	)1				Term	<mark>- Spring</mark>	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	10
	Title:	Intro Digita	l Audi	o Wkst						_				Q	uestion	naires:	10
Ins	structor:	Parent, Jame	es C.														
					_			Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ribut	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє	<u>)</u>		Мај	ors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	7	7	Graduate	0		Major		7
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1												
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		C	)	Under-grad	10		Non-ma	ijor	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	2	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electi	ves		C	)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			C	)						
				?	3												

Course-Section: MUSC 222 1

Title: Jazz Arranging
Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 5

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	269/1449	4.75	4.66	4.33	4.32	4.75
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	241/1446	4.75	4.71	4.29	4.27	4.75
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1256	***	4.73	4.34	4.36	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	236/1402	4.75	4.77	4.27	4.28	4.75
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	4.50	371/1358	4.50	4.42	4.13	4.13	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	180/1327	4.75	4.56	4.16	4.12	4.75
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	3.67	1209/1435	3.67	4.45	4.20	4.17	3.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.59	4.67	4.63	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1437	5.00	4.52	4.12	4.10	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1386	***	4.59	4.48	4.46	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1390	***	4.87	4.74	4.76	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1379	***	4.67	4.34	4.31	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1236	***	4.54	4.08	4.16	****

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	2						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:40 PM

Course-Section:	MUSC 222 1				Term	- Sprir	ng 20	)11						Enrol	lment:	6
Title:	Jazz Arranging												Q	uestion	naires:	5
Instructor:	Belzer,Matthew															
						Freq	uenc	ies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Lecture															
		Р	0							1	to be significa	ant				
		I	0		Other				0							
		?	1													

Course-Section: MUSC 224 1

Title: Instrumentation

Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 10

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	0	1	8	4.60	460/1449	4.60	4.66	4.33	4.32	4.60
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	186/1446	4.80	4.71	4.29	4.27	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	0	0	9	4.70	324/1256	4.70	4.73	4.34	4.36	4.70
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	0	9	4.80	179/1402	4.80	4.77	4.27	4.28	4.80
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	1	0	3	2	4	3.80	1018/1358	3.80	4.42	4.13	4.13	3.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	1	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	165/1327	4.78	4.56	4.16	4.12	4.78
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	4.30	720/1435	4.30	4.45	4.20	4.17	4.30
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	4.60	949/1446	4.60	4.59	4.67	4.63	4.60
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.38	504/1437	4.38	4.52	4.12	4.10	4.38
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1386	5.00	4.59	4.48	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1379	***	4.67	4.34	4.31	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.77	4.36	4.37	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.54	4.08	4.16	5.00
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1121	***	4.39	4.18	4.11	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	8	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1122	****	4.37	4.36	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1121	****	4.66	4.40	4.39	****
4. Were special techniques successful	8	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/790	****	4.60	4.06	4.01	***

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 224 1					Term	ı - Sprin	g 20°	11						Enro	Ilment:	11
	Title:	Instrumenta	ation												Q	uestion	naires:	10
Ins	tructor:	Dusman,Lin	da J															
								Frequ	encie	es		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
						Fre	eque	ncy Dis	strib	uti	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	sons				Турє	<b>;</b>		Maj	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6		Requ	ired for M	lajors	;	10		Graduate	0		Major		9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3													
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	10		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	5	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				0							
				2	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 226 01

Title: Theory IV:Music Writing

Instructor: Macaulay,Janice

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 24

Questionnaires: 15

Page 82 of 139

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	4	9	4.57	500/1449	4.57	4.66	4.33	4.32	4.57
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	208/1446	4.79	4.71	4.29	4.27	4.79
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	174/1256	4.86	4.73	4.34	4.36	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	3	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	270/1402	4.73	4.77	4.27	4.28	4.73
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	3	0	0	1	4	6	4.45	427/1358	4.45	4.42	4.13	4.13	4.45
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	4	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	165/1327	4.78	4.56	4.16	4.12	4.78
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	2	3	9	4.50	479/1435	4.50	4.45	4.20	4.17	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	3	11	4.79	752/1446	4.79	4.59	4.67	4.63	4.79
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	1	9	2	4.08	816/1437	4.08	4.52	4.12	4.10	4.08
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	371/1386	4.80	4.59	4.48	4.46	4.80
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	787/1390	4.80	4.87	4.74	4.76	4.80
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	0	0	0	2	7	4.78	288/1379	4.78	4.67	4.34	4.31	4.78
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	2	8	4.80	310/1379	4.80	4.77	4.36	4.37	4.80
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	6	3	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	112/1236	4.83	4.54	4.08	4.16	4.83
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	13	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1121	***	4.39	4.18	4.11	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	13	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1122	***	4.37	4.36	4.34	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	13	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1121	***	4.66	4.40	4.39	***

Frequency Distribution

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:40 PM

Course-	Section	MUSC 226 0	)1				Term	ı - Sprin	g 20°	11						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	24
	Title	Theory IV:M	lusic \	Writing											Q	uestion	naires:	15
Ins	structor	Macaulay,Ja	nice															
								Frequ	encie	es		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Rea	sons				Туре	<b>;</b>		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9		Requ	ired for M	lajors	5	12		Graduate	0		Major		12
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	2													
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	0	С	1		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	15		Non-ma	ajor	3
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	2	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				0							
				2	3													

Course-Section: MUSC 230 01

Title: Musics Of The World

Instructor: Beck, Gina C

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 33

Questionnaires: 21

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	2	5	8	5	3.67	1304/1449	3.67	4.66	4.33	4.32	3.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	1	8	3	9	3.95	1106/1446	3.95	4.71	4.29	4.27	3.95
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	5	7	8	4.05	919/1256	4.05	4.73	4.34	4.36	4.05
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	9	0	1	2	3	5	4.09	963/1402	4.09	4.77	4.27	4.28	4.09
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	6	3	3	9	3.71	1065/1358	3.71	4.42	4.13	4.13	3.71
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	13	0	1	1	1	5	4.25	662/1327	4.25	4.56	4.16	4.12	4.25
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	2	1	5	12	4.35	666/1435	4.35	4.45	4.20	4.17	4.35
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	4	17	4.81	728/1446	4.81	4.59	4.67	4.63	4.81
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	8	8	2	3.67	1172/1437	3.67	4.52	4.12	4.10	3.67
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	2	4	15	4.62	691/1386	4.62	4.59	4.48	4.46	4.62
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	1	1	19	4.86	659/1390	4.86	4.87	4.74	4.76	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	5	5	11	4.29	876/1379	4.29	4.67	4.34	4.31	4.29
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	6	13	4.52	666/1379	4.52	4.77	4.36	4.37	4.52
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	0	0	1	5	14	4.65	228/1236	4.65	4.54	4.08	4.16	4.65
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	15	0	1	0	2	1	2	3.50	931/1121	3.50	4.39	4.18	4.11	3.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	15	0	1	0	2	2	1	3.33	1032/1122	3.33	4.37	4.36	4.34	3.33
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	15	0	1	0	2	1	2	3.50	1013/1121	3.50	4.66	4.40	4.39	3.50
4. Were special techniques successful	15	3	1	0	2	0	0	2.33	****/790	***	4.60	4.06	4.01	***

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 230 0	)1				Term	n - Spring	2011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	33
	Title:	Musics Of Th	he Wo	orld										Q	uestion	naires:	21
Inst	tructor:	Beck,Gina C															
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Д	Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Туре	:		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	6	5	Graduate	0		Major		4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	8												
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	0	С	4		Gene	ral		6	6	Under-grad	21		Non-ma	ajor	17
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	6	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0		Electi	ves		5	;	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	1							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			1							
				?	4												

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Yoshioka,Airi

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	1	2	7	4.36	783/1449	4.36	4.66	4.33	4.38	4.36
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	440/1446	4.60	4.71	4.29	4.33	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	8	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1256	***	4.73	4.34	4.39	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	7	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.37	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	8	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1358	***	4.42	4.13	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	8	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1327	***	4.56	4.16	4.23	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	4	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	858/1435	4.17	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	2	5	4	4.18	1261/1446	4.18	4.59	4.67	4.68	4.18
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	0	0	0	0	3	2	4.40	470/1437	4.53	4.52	4.12	4.14	4.53
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1386	***	4.59	4.48	4.53	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1390	***	4.87	4.74	4.76	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1379	***	4.67	4.34	4.38	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1379	****	4.77	4.36	4.40	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	10	1	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1236	***	4.54	4.08	4.18	****

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	8
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:41 PM

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 301 C	)1				Term	- Spr	ring 2	011						Enro	Ilment:	16
	Title:	Chambers P	layers												Q	uestion	naires:	12
Ins	tructor:	Yoshioka,Ai	ri															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Lecture																
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0		Electi	ves			2		**** - Means	there are	not end	ugh resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other				1							
				?	2													

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Ladd, Gita

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quend	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	1	2	7	4.36	783/1449	4.36	4.66	4.33	4.38	4.36
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	440/1446	4.60	4.71	4.29	4.33	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	8	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1256	****	4.73	4.34	4.39	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	7	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.37	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	8	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1358	****	4.42	4.13	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	8	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1327	****	4.56	4.16	4.23	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	4	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	858/1435	4.17	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	2	5	4	4.18	1261/1446	4.18	4.59	4.67	4.68	4.18

## Frequency Distribution

							. 3					
Cr	edits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
0	0-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	4
2	8-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
5	6-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	8
84	-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
G	Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
					Р	0			to be significan	t		
					1	0	Other	1				
					?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01 Title: Chambers Players Instructor: Tremblay, Christ

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	1	2	7	4.36	783/1449	4.36	4.66	4.33	4.38	4.36
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	440/1446	4.60	4.71	4.29	4.33	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	8	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1256	***	4.73	4.34	4.39	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	7	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.37	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	8	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1358	***	4.42	4.13	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	8	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1327	***	4.56	4.16	4.23	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	4	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	858/1435	4.17	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	2	5	4	4.18	1261/1446	4.18	4.59	4.67	4.68	4.18
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	11	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1437	4.53	4.52	4.12	4.14	4.53
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	11	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1386	***	4.59	4.48	4.53	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1390	***	4.87	4.74	4.76	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1379	***	4.67	4.34	4.38	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1379	***	4.77	4.36	4.40	****

#### Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	8
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:41 PM

Course-	Section:	MUSC	301 01				Term	- Spr	ing 2	011						Enro	Ilment:	16
	Title:	Chamk	oers Players												Q	uestion	naires:	12
Ins	structor:	Tremb	lay,Christ															
								Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questi	ions		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Lectu	ıre															
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other				1							
				?	2													

Course-Section: MUSC 301 01

Title: Chambers Players

Instructor: Kannen, Maria La

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	1	2	7	4.36	783/1449	4.36	4.66	4.33	4.38	4.36
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	0	1	2	7	4.60	440/1446	4.60	4.71	4.29	4.33	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	8	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1256	***	4.73	4.34	4.39	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	7	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.37	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	8	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1358	***	4.42	4.13	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	8	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/1327	***	4.56	4.16	4.23	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	4	0	0	2	1	3	4.17	858/1435	4.17	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.17
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	2	5	4	4.18	1261/1446	4.18	4.59	4.67	4.68	4.18
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	9	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	226/1437	4.53	4.52	4.12	4.14	4.53
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	10	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1386	***	4.59	4.48	4.53	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1390	***	4.87	4.74	4.76	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	10	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1379	***	4.67	4.34	4.38	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	****/1379	***	4.77	4.36	4.40	****

#### Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	9	Required for Majors	6	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	8
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	2	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:41 PM

Course-Section:	MUSC 301 01				Term	- Spr	ing 20	D11						Enro	Ilment:	16
Title:	Chambers Players												Q	uestion	naires:	12
Instructor:	Kannen,Maria La															
						Fre	quenc	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Lecture															
		Р	0							t	o be significa	ant				
		I	0		Other				1							
		?	2													

Course-Section: MUSC 303 01

Title: UMBC Camerata--Chamber C

Instructor: Caracciolo,Step

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 44

Questionnaires: 27

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	2	6	19	4.63	432/1449	4.63	4.66	4.33	4.38	4.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	2	4	20	4.69	311/1446	4.69	4.71	4.29	4.33	4.69
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	23	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1256	***	4.73	4.34	4.39	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	20	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	444/1402	4.57	4.77	4.27	4.37	4.57
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	23	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1358	***	4.42	4.13	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	25	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/1327	***	4.56	4.16	4.23	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	0	2	2	3	19	4.50	479/1435	4.50	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.50
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.59	4.67	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	9	13	4.59	287/1437	4.59	4.52	4.12	4.14	4.59
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	12	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	270/1386	4.87	4.59	4.48	4.53	4.87
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	12	0	0	0	0	0	15	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	12	0	0	0	2	1	12	4.67	437/1379	4.67	4.67	4.34	4.38	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	1	2	12	4.73	415/1379	4.73	4.77	4.36	4.40	4.73
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	12	8	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	102/1236	4.86	4.54	4.08	4.18	4.86
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	****/1121	***	4.39	4.18	4.31	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	22	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	****/1122	***	4.37	4.36	4.46	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	22	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	****/1121	***	4.66	4.40	4.53	****
4. Were special techniques successful	22	2	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/790	****	4.60	4.06	4.11	***

Course-Section: MUSC 303 01

Title: UMBC Camerata--Chamber C

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 44

Questionnaires: 27

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/205	****	1.00	4.29	4.44	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/196	****	****	4.25	4.37	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/34	***	1.00	4.33	4.87	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/35	***	5.00	4.15	4.66	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	***	5.00	4.09	4.61	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	***	****	4.04	4.49	****
Self Paced														
Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/31	***	4.89	4.34	4.38	****
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/24	***	4.76	4.34	5.00	****
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/15	****	5.00	4.18	5.00	****

### Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	Α	Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	2	Α	23	Required for Majors	11	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	1	В	1						
56-83	7	2.00-2.99	2	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	27	Non-major	21
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	11	F	0	Electives	13	**** - Means th	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 304 01

Title: UMBC Jubilee Singers

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 39

Questionnaires: 27

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	4	22	4.78	248/1449	4.78	4.66	4.33	4.38	4.78
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	22	4.81	176/1446	4.81	4.71	4.29	4.33	4.81
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	19	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	157/1256	4.88	4.73	4.34	4.39	4.88
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	17	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.37	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	19	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1358	5.00	4.42	4.13	4.14	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	22	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	****/1327	***	4.56	4.16	4.23	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	3	0	1	0	5	18	4.67	313/1435	4.67	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.67
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	15	12	4.44	1064/1446	4.44	4.59	4.67	4.68	4.44
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	7	15	4.68	209/1437	4.68	4.52	4.12	4.14	4.68
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	6	0	0	0	0	3	18	4.86	287/1386	4.86	4.59	4.48	4.53	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	6	0	0	0	0	1	20	4.95	266/1390	4.95	4.87	4.74	4.76	4.95
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	6	0	1	0	0	2	18	4.71	370/1379	4.71	4.67	4.34	4.38	4.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	1	1	19	4.86	239/1379	4.86	4.77	4.36	4.40	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	10	1	0	0	1	7	4.44	394/1236	4.44	4.54	4.08	4.18	4.44
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	21	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	****/1121	****	4.39	4.18	4.31	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	21	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	****/1122	****	4.37	4.36	4.46	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	21	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	****/1121	***	4.66	4.40	4.53	****
4. Were special techniques successful	21	2	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/790	***	4.60	4.06	4.11	****

Course-Section: MUSC 304 01

Title: UMBC Jubilee Singers

Instructor: Jackson, Janice

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 39

Questionnaires: 27

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/205	****	1.00	4.29	4.44	****
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/201	****	***	4.51	4.59	****
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/202	****	****	4.42	4.48	****
5. Were requirements for lab reports clearly specified	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/196	****	****	4.25	4.37	****
Field Work														
1. Did field experience contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/34	****	1.00	4.33	4.87	****
2. Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	25	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/35	****	5.00	4.15	4.66	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	25	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/30	****	5.00	4.09	4.61	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	25	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/30	****	****	4.04	4.49	****
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	26	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	****/31	***	4.89	4.34	4.38	****

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	21	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	2	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	2	2.00-2.99	4	С	0	General	1	Under-grad	27	Non-major	23
84-150	6	3.00-3.49	1	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	6	F	0	Electives	11	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	2				
				?	5						

Course-Section: MUSC 305 01

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 50 Questionnaires: 48

'				Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	5	42	4.85	175/1449	4.85	4.66	4.33	4.38	4.85
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	3	45	4.94	66/1446	4.94	4.71	4.29	4.33	4.94
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	40	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	****/1256	****	4.73	4.34	4.39	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	34	0	0	0	1	13	4.93	86/1402	4.93	4.77	4.27	4.37	4.93
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	43	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	****/1358	****	4.42	4.13	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	43	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1327	****	4.56	4.16	4.23	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	13	0	0	1	4	30	4.83	147/1435	4.83	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.83
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	1	46	4.98	158/1446	4.98	4.59	4.67	4.68	4.98
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	3	45	4.94	53/1437	4.94	4.52	4.12	4.14	4.94
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	25	0	0	0	1	1	21	4.87	270/1386	4.87	4.59	4.48	4.53	4.87
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	25	0	0	0	0	1	22	4.96	266/1390	4.96	4.87	4.74	4.76	4.96
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	25	0	0	0	0	2	21	4.91	114/1379	4.91	4.67	4.34	4.38	4.91
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	25	0	0	0	1	3	19	4.78	340/1379	4.78	4.77	4.36	4.40	4.78
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	26	9	0	0	1	1	11	4.77	153/1236	4.77	4.54	4.08	4.18	4.77
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1121	***	4.39	4.18	4.31	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1122	****	4.37	4.36	4.46	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1121	****	4.66	4.40	4.53	****
4. Were special techniques successful	47	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/790	****	4.60	4.06	4.11	****

										_							
Course-	Section:	MUSC 305 (	01				Term	ı - Spring	2011						Enro	Ilment:	50
	Title:	UMBC Com	munity	y Symphony										Q	uestion	naires:	48
Ins	structor:	Richards, Ed	dwin														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GP	Α	Expected	Grade	es		Reas	ons			Турє	<b>;</b>		Ma	jors	
00-27	7	0.00-0.99	0	Α	41		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	16	i	Graduate	0		Major		10
28-55	8	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	6	2.00-2.99	4	С	0		Gene	ral		0	)	Under-grad	48		Non-ma	ajor	38
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	5	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	20	F	0		Electi	ves		14		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			11							
				2	7												

Course-Section: MUSC 307 06

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Goldstein, Thoma

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

	_			Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	376/1449	4.76	4.66	4.33	4.38	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	158/1446	4.71	4.71	4.29	4.33	4.83
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.39	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1402	4.75	4.77	4.27	4.37	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1358	5.00	4.42	4.13	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.23	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	769/1435	4.67	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	888/1446	4.89	4.59	4.67	4.68	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	279/1437	4.73	4.52	4.12	4.14	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	989/1386	4.33	4.59	4.48	4.53	4.33
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	1002/1390	4.67	4.87	4.74	4.76	4.67
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	437/1379	4.67	4.67	4.34	4.38	4.67
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	836/1379	4.33	4.77	4.36	4.40	4.33
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1236	****	4.54	4.08	4.18	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	547/1121	4.33	4.39	4.18	4.31	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1122	5.00	4.37	4.36	4.46	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.66	4.40	4.53	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/790	***	4.60	4.06	4.11	****

Course-Section: MUSC 307 06

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Goldstein,Thoma

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 6

Questionnaires: 6

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	***	****	4.58	5.00	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	***	****	4.36	4.70	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	***	****	4.25	4.48	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/75	***	****	4.32	4.80	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	***	****	4.00	4.64	****

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	6	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 307 07

Title: Small Ensemble

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 11

Questionnaires: 8

<u>'</u>				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	432/1449	4.76	4.66	4.33	4.38	4.63
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.50	571/1446	4.71	4.71	4.29	4.33	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.39	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	6	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	528/1402	4.75	4.77	4.27	4.37	4.50
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	4	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	215/1435	4.67	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.75
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	1	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1446	4.89	4.59	4.67	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	279/1437	4.73	4.52	4.12	4.14	4.60
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1386	4.33	4.59	4.48	4.53	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1390	4.67	4.87	4.74	4.76	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1379	4.67	4.67	4.34	4.38	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1379	4.33	4.77	4.36	4.40	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1236	***	4.54	4.08	4.18	****
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1121	4.33	4.39	4.18	4.31	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1122	5.00	4.37	4.36	4.46	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1121	5.00	4.66	4.40	4.53	****

### Frequency Distribution

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	5	Required for Majors	3	Graduate	0	Major	6
28-55	Ο	1 00-1 99	Λ	R	0						

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:41 PM

Course-S	Section	: MUSC 307 C	)7				Term	- Spr	ing 2	011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	11
	Title	: Small Ensen	nble												Q	uestion	naires:	8
Ins	tructor	: Morin,Josep	h C															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral			0		Under-grad	8		Non-ma	ajor	2
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0													
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves			3		**** - Means	there are	not end	ugh resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				0							
				2	2													

Course-Section: MUSC 307 08

Title: Small Ensemble
Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 5

	Frequence							Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1449	4.76	4.66	4.33	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	186/1446	4.71	4.71	4.29	4.33	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.39	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1402	4.75	4.77	4.27	4.37	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1358	5.00	4.42	4.13	4.14	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.23	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1435	4.67	4.45	4.20	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1446	4.89	4.59	4.67	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1437	4.73	4.52	4.12	4.14	5.00
Lecture														
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1390	4.67	4.87	4.74	4.76	***
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1379	4.67	4.67	4.34	4.38	***
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1379	4.33	4.77	4.36	4.40	****
Discussion														
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1121	5.00	4.66	4.40	4.53	****

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	1	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	5	Non-major	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:41 PM

Course-S	Section	: MUSC 307 C	)8				Term	ı - Spr	ing 2	011						Enro	Ilment:	5
	Title	: Small Ensen	nble												Q	uestion	naires:	5
Ins	tructor	: Belzer,Mattl	hew															
								Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion																
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	3	F	0		Electi	ves			0		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough resp	oonses	
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				1							
				?	2													

Course-Section: MUSC 308 01

Title: UMBC Wind Ensemble

Instructor: Spece,Richard D

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 38

Questionnaires: 32

	•			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	1	0	6	10	15	4.19	967/1449	4.19	4.66	4.33	4.38	4.19
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	6	4	22	4.50	571/1446	4.50	4.71	4.29	4.33	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	25	0	0	1	1	5	4.57	****/1256	***	4.73	4.34	4.39	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	25	0	0	1	2	4	4.43	****/1402	***	4.77	4.27	4.37	****
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	28	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/1358	***	4.42	4.13	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	28	0	0	1	1	2	4.25	****/1327	***	4.56	4.16	4.23	****
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	5	0	0	1	6	20	4.70	268/1435	4.70	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.70
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	31	4.97	211/1446	4.97	4.59	4.67	4.68	4.97
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	7	2	0	0	2	8	13	4.48	395/1437	4.48	4.52	4.12	4.14	4.48
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	14	0	0	0	1	4	13	4.67	614/1386	4.67	4.59	4.48	4.53	4.67
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	14	0	0	0	0	2	16	4.89	582/1390	4.89	4.87	4.74	4.76	4.89
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	14	0	0	0	1	5	12	4.61	504/1379	4.61	4.67	4.34	4.38	4.61
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	14	0	0	0	1	5	12	4.61	567/1379	4.61	4.77	4.36	4.40	4.61
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	16	10	0	0	0	2	4	4.67	****/1236	***	4.54	4.08	4.18	****
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	29	0	0	0	1	0	2	4.33	****/1121	***	4.39	4.18	4.31	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	29	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1122	***	4.37	4.36	4.46	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	29	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	****/1121	***	4.66	4.40	4.53	****
4. Were special techniques successful	29	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/790	***	4.60	4.06	4.11	****

Course-	Section:	MUSC 308 (	01				Term	- Spring	2011						Enro	Ilment:	38
	Title:	UMBC Wind	l Enser	mble						_				Q	uestion	naires:	32
Ins	structor:	Spece,Richa	ard D														
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GP.	A	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Турє	)		Ma	jors	
00-27	4	0.00-0.99	0	Α	28		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	9	)	Graduate	0		Major		6
28-55	4	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0	)	Under-grad	32		Non-ma	ajor	26
84-150	7	3.00-3.49	5	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	11	F	0		Electi	ves		12		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			4							
				2	1												

Course-Section: MUSC 319 01

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 8

Title: Adv Topics In Music Tech

Questionnaires: 8

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	3	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	218/1449	4.80	4.66	4.33	4.38	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	186/1446	4.80	4.71	4.29	4.33	4.80
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.39	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.37	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	128/1358	4.80	4.42	4.13	4.14	4.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	3	2	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	847/1327	4.00	4.56	4.16	4.23	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	3	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	163/1435	4.80	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.80
8. How many times was class cancelled	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.59	4.67	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1437	5.00	4.52	4.12	4.14	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1386	***	4.59	4.48	4.53	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1390	***	4.87	4.74	4.76	****
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1379	***	4.67	4.34	4.38	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1379	***	4.77	4.36	4.40	****
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1236	***	4.54	4.08	4.18	****

## Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	4	Graduate	0	Major	5
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	3
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:42 PM

Course-Section: MUSC 319 01					Term - Spring 2011								Enrollment: 8					
Title: Adv Topics In Music Tech															Q	uestion	naires:	8
Ins																		
						Frequencies					In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions						NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Lecture																
Grad.	Grad. 0 3.50-4.00 2 F			F	0		Electi	Electives 0					**** - Means	not enough responses				
				Р	0								to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other				0							
				?	3													

Course-Section: MUSC 320 01

Title: UMBC Jazz Ensemble

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 15

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	167/1449	4.87	4.66	4.33	4.38	4.87
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	4.93	66/1446	4.93	4.71	4.29	4.33	4.93
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	8	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.39	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.37	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	10	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1358	5.00	4.42	4.13	4.14	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	9	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	144/1327	4.80	4.56	4.16	4.23	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	2	0	1	0	0	12	4.77	205/1435	4.77	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.77
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	4.67	888/1446	4.67	4.59	4.67	4.68	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	1	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	68/1437	4.92	4.52	4.12	4.14	4.92
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1386	5.00	4.59	4.48	4.53	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	0	0	1	7	4.88	163/1379	4.88	4.67	4.34	4.38	4.88
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	0	0	0	8	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.77	4.36	4.40	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.54	4.08	4.18	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1121	****	4.39	4.18	4.31	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1122	****	4.37	4.36	4.46	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/1121	****	4.66	4.40	4.53	****
4. Were special techniques successful	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/790	****	4.60	4.06	4.11	****

Course-S	Section:	MUSC 320 0	1				Term	n - Spring 2	011						Enro	<mark>Ilment:</mark>	15
	Title:	UMBC Jazz E	Ensem	nble										Q	uestion	naires:	15
Ins	tructor:	Belzer,Matth	new														
								Frequen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fre	eque	ncy Distr	ibuti	on							
Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	าร			Туре	!		Maj	jors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	13		Requ	ired for Majo	ors	5		Graduate	0		Major		8
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	1	В	0												
56-83	5	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0	ı	Under-grad	15		Non-ma	ajor	7
84-150	2	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	7	F	0		Electi	ves		6	i	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			3							
				?	1												

Course-Section: MUSC 322 01

Title: Music History II

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 23

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	2	0	0	1	1	2	17	4.67	376/1449	4.67	4.66	4.33	4.38	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	2	0	0	1	2	3	15	4.52	544/1446	4.52	4.71	4.29	4.33	4.52
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	2	0	0	1	1	3	16	4.62	422/1256	4.62	4.73	4.34	4.39	4.62
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	2	2	0	1	0	3	15	4.68	316/1402	4.68	4.77	4.27	4.37	4.68
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	2	4	1	14	4.29	598/1358	4.29	4.42	4.13	4.14	4.29
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	2	1	4	14	4.43	500/1327	4.43	4.56	4.16	4.23	4.43
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	2	0	0	1	2	3	15	4.52	459/1435	4.52	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.52
8. How many times was class cancelled	2	0	0	0	2	13	6	4.19	1254/1446	4.19	4.59	4.67	4.68	4.19
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	1	7	10	4.50	364/1437	4.50	4.52	4.12	4.14	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	7	0	0	1	1	1	13	4.63	676/1386	4.63	4.59	4.48	4.53	4.63
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	7	0	0	1	0	1	14	4.75	872/1390	4.75	4.87	4.74	4.76	4.75
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	7	0	0	1	2	2	11	4.44	729/1379	4.44	4.67	4.34	4.38	4.44
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	7	0	0	1	1	1	13	4.63	555/1379	4.63	4.77	4.36	4.40	4.63
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	8	0	0	1	1	1	12	4.60	264/1236	4.60	4.54	4.08	4.18	4.60
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	19	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1121	***	4.39	4.18	4.31	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	19	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1122	***	4.37	4.36	4.46	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	19	0	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	****/1121	***	4.66	4.40	4.53	****
4. Were special techniques successful	19	2	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/790	****	4.60	4.06	4.11	***

Course-Section: MUSC 322 01

Title: Music History II

Instructor: Morin, Joseph C

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 30

Questionnaires: 23

	Frequencies Frequencies					Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	****	****	4.58	5.00	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	***	****	4.36	4.70	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	***	****	4.25	4.48	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/75	***	****	4.32	4.80	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	22	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	****	****	4.00	4.64	****

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GP.	Α	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	3	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	18	Graduate	0	Major	16
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	8						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	2	General	0	Under-grad	23	Non-major	7
84-150	9	3.00-3.49	4	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	10	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	6						

Course-Section: MUSC 323 1

Title: Careeer Dev For Musician

Instructor: Cella,Lisa M

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 21

Questionnaires: 16

		Frequencies				Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect		
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	15	4.94	93/1449	4.94	4.66	4.33	4.38	4.94
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	5	11	4.69	325/1446	4.69	4.71	4.29	4.33	4.69
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	11	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.39	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	5	10	4.67	339/1402	4.67	4.77	4.27	4.37	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	4	11	4.63	267/1358	4.63	4.42	4.13	4.14	4.63
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	2	5	9	4.44	488/1327	4.44	4.56	4.16	4.23	4.44
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	1	1	0	1	3	10	4.40	612/1435	4.40	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.40
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	6	10	4.63	928/1446	4.63	4.59	4.67	4.68	4.63
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	0	1	12	4.92	61/1437	4.92	4.52	4.12	4.14	4.92
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	516/1386	4.73	4.59	4.48	4.53	4.73
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	235/1379	4.82	4.67	4.34	4.38	4.82
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.77	4.36	4.40	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	4	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	185/1236	4.71	4.54	4.08	4.18	4.71
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	10	0	0	0	1	2	3	4.33	547/1121	4.33	4.39	4.18	4.31	4.33
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	10	0	0	0	1	0	5	4.67	404/1122	4.67	4.37	4.36	4.46	4.67
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	10	0	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	292/1121	4.83	4.66	4.40	4.53	4.83
4. Were special techniques successful	10	2	0	0	0	1	3	4.75	102/790	4.75	4.60	4.06	4.11	4.75

										_							
Course-	Section:	MUSC 323 1					Term	n - Spring	2011						Enro	Ilment:	21
	Title:	Careeer Dev	/ For N	Musician										Q	uestion	naires:	16
Ins	structor:	Cella,Lisa M															
								Freque	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	)		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	11		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	8	,	Graduate	0		Major		10
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	В	3												
56-83	4	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0	)	Under-grad	16		Non-ma	ajor	6
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	1	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	9	F	0		Electi	ves		5	;	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				I	0		Other			0	)						
				2	1												

Course-Section: MUSC 324 01

Title: Small Ensemble Jazz Grou

Instructor: Belzer, Matthew

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 8

Questionnaires: 5

Tristi dotor.				Е						Cauma	0.11	111100	1	Cont
0 "	NE	D.I.O.			quen	cies			structor	Course	Org	UMBC		Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4.80	218/1449	4.80	4.66	4.33	4.38	4.80
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4.60	440/1446	4.60	4.71	4.29	4.33	4.60
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1256	****	4.73	4.34	4.39	****
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	339/1402	4.67	4.77	4.27	4.37	4.67
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1358	****	4.42	4.13	4.14	****
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.23	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	4.40	612/1435	4.40	4.45	4.20	4.25	4.40
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.59	4.67	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	4.50	364/1437	4.50	4.52	4.12	4.14	4.50
Lecture														
Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1386	****	4.59	4.48	4.53	****
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/1379	****	4.67	4.34	4.38	****
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1379	****	4.77	4.36	4.40	***
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	3.00	1144/1236	3.00	4.54	4.08	4.18	3.00
Discussion														
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1121	****	4.39	4.18	4.31	****
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/1122	****	4.37	4.36	4.46	****
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/1121	***	4.66	4.40	4.53	***
4. Were special techniques successful	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/790	****	4.60	4.06	4.11	****

					_					_							
Course-	Section:	MUSC 324 C	)1				Term	- Spring	2011						Enro	Ilment:	8
	Title:	Small Ensen	nble J	azz Grou						_				Q	uestion	naires:	5
Ins	tructor:	Belzer,Mattl	hew														
								Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	ion							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grade	es		Reaso	ns			Туре	)		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4		Requ	ired for Ma	jors	1		Graduate	0		Major		2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0		Gene	ral		0	)	Under-grad	5		Non-ma	ajor	3
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		2		**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			1							
				2	0												

Course-Section: MUSC 385 01

Title: Intermediate Conducting

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 14

Questionnaires: 2

				Fre	quend	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1449	5.00	4.66	4.33	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	571/1446	4.50	4.71	4.29	4.33	4.50
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.39	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.37	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4.00	827/1358	4.00	4.42	4.13	4.14	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1435	5.00	4.45	4.20	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.59	4.67	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1437	5.00	4.52	4.12	4.14	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	2	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	2	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 391 04 Title: Adv Perf Studies - West Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 2

Instructor: Franklin, Rachel

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	5.00	4.66	4.33	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.33	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.59	4.67	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	868/1437	4.50	4.52	4.12	4.14	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1386	5.00	4.59	4.48	4.53	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.76	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.67	4.34	4.38	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.77	4.36	4.40	5.00

Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 391 06

Title: Adv Perf Studies - West

Instructor: Lagana, Thomas V

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	5.00	4.66	4.33	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.33	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.39	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.37	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1358	5.00	4.42	4.13	4.14	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.23	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1435	5.00	4.45	4.20	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.59	4.67	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1437	4.50	4.52	4.12	4.14	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 392 04

Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art

Instructor: Richards, Edwin

9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

5.00

4.14

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	5.00	4.66	4.33	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.33	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.59	4.67	4.68	5.00

#### Frequency Distribution

0

0

5.00

1/1437

4.50

4.52

4.12

0

0

0

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:42 PM

Course-Section: MUSC 392 07 Title: Adv.Perf.Stud:Westrn Art Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 1

Instructor: Franklin, Rachel

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	5.00	4.66	4.33	4.38	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.33	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.39	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.37	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1358	5.00	4.42	4.13	4.14	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.23	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1435	5.00	4.45	4.20	4.25	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.59	4.67	4.68	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	868/1437	4.50	4.52	4.12	4.14	4.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 400 02

Title: Special Projects
Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	5.00	4.66	4.33	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.34	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.59	4.67	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1437	5.00	4.52	4.12	4.20	5.00

### Frequency Distribution

Credits	Earned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	0	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	1						

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:42 PM

Course-Section: MUSC 401 02

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 1

Title: Special Projects: Comp

Questionnaires: 1

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

				Fre	quen	cies		In:	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	5.00	4.66	4.33	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.34	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	936/1256	4.50	4.73	4.34	4.43	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1022/1402	4.50	4.77	4.27	4.35	4.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.28	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1435	5.00	4.45	4.20	4.27	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1437	5.00	4.52	4.12	4.20	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1386	5.00	4.59	4.48	4.55	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.67	4.34	4.40	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.77	4.36	4.44	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.54	4.08	4.13	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.39	4.18	4.39	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1122	5.00	4.37	4.36	4.54	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.66	4.40	4.60	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	425/790	4.50	4.60	4.06	4.27	4.00

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned Cum. GPA Expected Grades Reasons Type Majors

00-27 0 0.00-0.99 0 A 0 Required for Majors 1 Graduate 0 Major

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:42 PM Page 123 of 139

Course-Section: MUSC 401 02 Term - Spring 2011 Enrollment: 1 Title: Special Projects: Comp Questionnaires: 1 Instructor: Rubin, Anna I Frequencies UMBC Level Sect Instructor Course Org Questions Mean Mean Mean Mean NR NA 3 Mean Rank Discussion 28-55 0 1.00-1.99 0 В 1 56-83 2.00-2.99 С 0 General 0 Under-grad Non-major 0 0 1 84-150 3.00-3.49 0 D 0 0 \*\*\*\* - Means there are not enough responses Grad. 3.50-4.00 F 0 Electives 0 0 0

Ρ

?

0

0

0

Other

to be significant

0

Course-Section: MUSC 401 03

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 5

Title.

Title: Special Projects: Comp

Questionnaires: 3

Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

	_			Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1449	5.00	4.66	4.33	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.34	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1256	4.50	4.73	4.34	4.43	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1402	4.50	4.77	4.27	4.35	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1358	5.00	4.42	4.13	4.21	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.28	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1435	5.00	4.45	4.20	4.27	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.59	4.67	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1437	5.00	4.52	4.12	4.20	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1386	5.00	4.59	4.48	4.55	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.67	4.34	4.40	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.77	4.36	4.44	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.54	4.08	4.13	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.39	4.18	4.39	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1122	5.00	4.37	4.36	4.54	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.66	4.40	4.60	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/790	4.50	4.60	4.06	4.27	5.00

Course-Section: MUSC 401 03

Title: Special Projects: Comp

Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 5

Questionnaires: 3

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Self Paced														
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/31	5.00	4.89	4.34	4.17	5.00
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/18	5.00	5.00	4.13	4.00	5.00
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/24	5.00	4.76	4.34	3.98	5.00
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/15	5.00	5.00	4.18	3.94	5.00
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/13	5.00	5.00	4.07	3.80	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	2	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	0
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	2						

Course-Section: MUSC 416 01

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 3

Title: Special Topics in Music

Questionnaires: 3

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

				Fre	quen	cies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1106/1449	4.00	4.66	4.33	4.46	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	1061/1446	4.00	4.71	4.29	4.34	4.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	717/1256	4.33	4.73	4.34	4.43	4.33
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4.33	734/1402	4.33	4.77	4.27	4.35	4.33
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1.00	1358/1358	1.00	4.42	4.13	4.21	1.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4.00	970/1435	4.00	4.45	4.20	4.27	4.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4.67	888/1446	4.67	4.59	4.67	4.71	4.67
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	1/1437	5.00	4.52	4.12	4.20	5.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1362/1386	3.00	4.59	4.48	4.55	3.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1058/1379	4.00	4.67	4.34	4.40	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.77	4.36	4.44	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	709/1236	4.00	4.54	4.08	4.13	4.00

#### Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	3
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	3	Non-major	0
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:43 PM

Course-Section:	MUSC 416 01				Term	- Spr	ing 20	011						Enrol	Ilment:	3
Title:	Special Topics in Musi	С											Q	uestion	naires:	3
Instructor:	Wonneberger,Ala															
						Fred	quenc	cies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Lecture															
		Р	0							t	o be significa	ant				
		I	0		Other				0							
		?	1													

Course-Section: MUSC 418 01

Title: Music Tech. Internship

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 3

	Frequencies Instructor  NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Rank								structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1449	5.00	4.66	4.33	4.46	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.34	5.00
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.43	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.35	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	371/1358	4.50	4.42	4.13	4.21	4.50
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.28	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1435	5.00	4.45	4.20	4.27	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.59	4.67	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	364/1437	4.50	4.52	4.12	4.20	4.50
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1386	5.00	4.59	4.48	4.55	5.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.67	4.34	4.40	5.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.77	4.36	4.44	5.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1236	5.00	4.54	4.08	4.13	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.39	4.18	4.39	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1122	5.00	4.37	4.36	4.54	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.66	4.40	4.60	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/790	5.00	4.60	4.06	4.27	5.00

Course-	Section:	MUSC 418 C	)1				Term	n - Spring	2011						Enro	Ilment:	3
	Title:	Music Tech.	Inter	nship										Q	uestion	naires:	3
Ins	structor:	Wonneberg	er,Ala														
					-			Frequer	ncies		In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
		Questions			NR	NA	1	2 3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
		Discussion															
						Fr	eque	ncy Dist	ributi	on							
Credits E	arned	Cum. GPA	Д	Expected	Grade	es		Reasc	ns			Туре	<b>:</b>		Ma	jors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	2		Requ	ired for Maj	ors	2	!	Graduate	0		Major		2
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0												
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	1	С	0		Gene	ral		0	)	Under-grad	3		Non-ma	ajor	1
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0												
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0		Electi	ves		0	)	**** - Means	there are	not end	ough res	ponses	
				Р	0							to be significa	ant				
				1	0		Other			0	)						
				2	1												

Course-Section: MUSC 427 01

Title: Electronic Music

Instructor: Rubin,Anna I

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 8

	Frequencies Instructor  NR NA 1 2 3 4 5 Mean Ran							structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	1	1	1	5	4.25	903/1449	4.25	4.66	4.33	4.46	4.25
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	3	2	3.88	1168/1446	3.88	4.71	4.29	4.34	3.88
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	3	2	3	4.00	936/1256	4.00	4.73	4.34	4.43	4.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	1	1	3	3	4.00	1022/1402	4.00	4.77	4.27	4.35	4.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	1	2	4	1	3.63	1113/1358	3.63	4.42	4.13	4.21	3.63
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	2	2	3	1	3.38	1181/1327	3.38	4.56	4.16	4.28	3.38
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	2	3	2	1	3.25	1340/1435	3.25	4.45	4.20	4.27	3.25
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	4.13	1303/1446	4.13	4.59	4.67	4.71	4.13
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	1	2	3	0	3.33	1311/1437	3.33	4.52	4.12	4.20	3.33
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	1	0	0	0	1	4	2	4.14	1123/1386	4.14	4.59	4.48	4.55	4.14
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	659/1390	4.86	4.87	4.74	4.78	4.86
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	1	0	0	1	1	4	1	3.71	1205/1379	3.71	4.67	4.34	4.40	3.71
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	1	0	4	2	4.00	1053/1379	4.00	4.77	4.36	4.44	4.00
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	1	0	1	0	0	3	3	4.00	709/1236	4.00	4.54	4.08	4.13	4.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	396/1121	4.50	4.39	4.18	4.39	4.50
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1122	5.00	4.37	4.36	4.54	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.66	4.40	4.60	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	6	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	200/790	4.50	4.60	4.06	4.27	4.50

Course-Section: MUSC 427 01

Title: Electronic Music

Instructor: Rubin, Anna I

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 10

Questionnaires: 8

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Laboratory														
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/200	***	***	4.28	4.11	****
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/205	***	1.00	4.29	3.91	***
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/201	***	****	4.51	4.19	***
4. Did the lab instructor provide assistance	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/202	***	****	4.42	3.90	***

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	3	Required for Majors	5	Graduate	0	Major	4
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	1						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	8	Non-major	4
84-150	3	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	5	F	0	Electives	1	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	2			to be significant			
				I	0	Other	1				
				?	1						

Course-Section: MUSC 480 01

Title: Topic in Music, Art & S

Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 12

									structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	0	1	1	9	4.73	299/1449	4.73	4.66	4.33	4.46	4.73
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	0	0	3	8	4.73	274/1446	4.73	4.71	4.29	4.34	4.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	7	0	0	0	0	4	5.00	1/1256	5.00	4.73	4.34	4.43	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	2	0	0	0	1	8	4.89	122/1402	4.89	4.77	4.27	4.35	4.89
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	1	10	4.91	78/1358	4.91	4.42	4.13	4.21	4.91
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	2	9	4.82	138/1327	4.82	4.56	4.16	4.28	4.82
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	2	3	6	4.36	655/1435	4.36	4.45	4.20	4.27	4.36
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	1	0	0	0	0	10	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.59	4.67	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	5	0	0	0	0	5	2	4.29	606/1437	4.29	4.52	4.12	4.20	4.29
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	5	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	287/1386	4.86	4.59	4.48	4.55	4.86
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	5	0	0	0	0	0	7	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	5	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	187/1379	4.86	4.67	4.34	4.40	4.86
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	5	0	0	0	0	1	6	4.86	239/1379	4.86	4.77	4.36	4.44	4.86
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	5	0	0	0	0	2	5	4.71	185/1236	4.71	4.54	4.08	4.13	4.71
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.39	4.18	4.39	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1122	5.00	4.37	4.36	4.54	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.66	4.40	4.60	5.00
4. Were special techniques successful	6	0	0	0	1	1	4	4.50	200/790	4.50	4.60	4.06	4.27	4.50

Course-Section: MUSC 480 01

Title: Topic in Music, Art & S

Instructor: Dusman, Linda J

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 15

Questionnaires: 12

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar														
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/67	***	***	4.58	4.47	****
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/66	****	***	4.36	4.33	****
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/64	****	***	4.25	4.24	****
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/75	****	***	4.32	4.27	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/73	***	***	4.00	4.09	***

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	A	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	4	Required for Majors	8	Graduate	0	Major	9
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	3						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	12	Non-major	3
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	ere are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significan	t		
				I	0	Other	0				
				?	5						

Course-Section: MUSC 492 02

Title: Senior Project

Instructor: Wonneberger, Ala

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 1

Questionnaires: 1

	Frequencies						Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1106/1449	4.00	4.66	4.33	4.46	4.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1061/1446	4.00	4.71	4.29	4.34	4.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	1377/1435	3.00	4.45	4.20	4.27	3.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.59	4.67	4.71	5.00
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	868/1437	4.00	4.52	4.12	4.20	4.00
Lecture														
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1177/1386	4.00	4.59	4.48	4.55	4.00
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1390	5.00	4.87	4.74	4.78	5.00
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	1058/1379	4.00	4.67	4.34	4.40	4.00
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1379	5.00	4.77	4.36	4.44	5.00
Discussion														
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.39	4.18	4.39	5.00
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1122	5.00	4.37	4.36	4.54	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1121	5.00	4.66	4.40	4.60	5.00

### Frequency Distribution

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	1	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	0	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses		

Run Date: 7/14/2011 1:40:43 PM

Course-Section:	MUSC 492 02				Term	- Sprir	ng 20	011						Enrol	lment:	1
Title:	Senior Project												Q	uestion	naires:	1
Instructor:	Wonneberger,Ala															
						Frequ	uenc	ies		Ins	tructor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
	Questions		NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	Discussion															
		Р	0							1	to be significa	ant				
		I	0		Other				0							
		?	0													

Course-Section: MUSC 694 01

Title: Solo Performance Study

Instructor: Dusman,Linda J

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	5.00	4.66	4.33	4.41	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.26	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1358	5.00	4.42	4.13	4.18	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.29	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1435	5.00	4.45	4.20	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.59	4.67	4.81	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	Ą	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	0							

Course-Section: MUSC 694 01

Title: Solo Performance Study

Instructor: Caracciolo, Step

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	5.00	4.66	4.33	4.41	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.26	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1358	5.00	4.42	4.13	4.18	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.29	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1435	5.00	4.45	4.20	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.59	4.67	4.81	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Type		Majors	
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0						
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses	
				Р	0			to be significant			
				1	0	Other	0				
				?	0						

Course-Section: MUSC 694 01

Title: Solo Performance Study

Instructor: Richards, Kazuko

Term - Spring 2011

Enrollment: 3

Questionnaires: 1

				Fre	quen	cies		Ins	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General														
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1449	5.00	4.66	4.33	4.41	5.00
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.71	4.29	4.30	5.00
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1402	5.00	4.77	4.27	4.26	5.00
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1358	5.00	4.42	4.13	4.18	5.00
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1327	5.00	4.56	4.16	4.29	5.00
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1435	5.00	4.45	4.20	4.23	5.00
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	1/1446	5.00	4.59	4.67	4.81	5.00

Credits Ea	arned	Cum. GPA	4	Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	1	Required for Majors	1	Graduate	0	Major	0	
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	0							
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	0	General	0	Under-grad	1	Non-major	1	
84-150	0	3.00-3.49	0	D	0							
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means the	re are not	enough responses		
				Р	0			to be significant				
				I	0	Other	0					
				?	0							